#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio Vita, University of Brescia, Italy

#### REVIEWED BY Stefano Barlati, ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia, Italy Padmavati Ramachandran, Schizophrenia Research Foundation, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Christina Ziebart cziebart@uwo.ca

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Rehabilitation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 01 November 2021 ACCEPTED 28 June 2022 PUBLISHED 16 August 2022

#### CITATION

Ziebart C, Bobos P, MacDermid JC, Furtado R, Sobczak DJ and Doering M (2022) The efficacy and safety of exercise and physical activity on psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:807140. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.807140

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Ziebart, Bobos, MacDermid, Furtado, Sobczak and Doering. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## The efficacy and safety of exercise and physical activity on psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

## Christina Ziebart<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Pavlos Bobos<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Joy C. MacDermid<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Rochelle Furtado<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel J. Sobczak<sup>6</sup> and Michele Doering<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, London, ON, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Physical Therapy, Western University, London, ON, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Applied Health Research Centre, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada, <sup>4</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, <sup>5</sup>Roth McFarlane Hand and Upper Limb Centre, St. Joseph's Hospital, London, ON, Canada, <sup>6</sup>Parkwood Mental Health, London, ON, Canada

**Background:** Treatment of psychosis typically focuses on medication, but some of these medications can have unintended side effects, exercise has global health benefits, with minimal side effects. The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to investigate the effectiveness and safety of exercise and physical activity on psychotic symptoms, in people with psychosis when compared to usual care, in a hospital setting.

**Methods:** A systematic electronic search of the literature was performed in June 2022, in PubMed, Scopus, and PsychINFO with no date restrictions. We included randomized trials (RCTs) with patients with psychosis that received an exercise intervention within a hospital setting. The primary outcome of interest was Positive and Negative Symptom Severity Scale (PANSS) overall score. Secondary outcomes were adverse or serious adverse events.

**Results:** A total of 24 trials were included in this systematic review, with 9 included in the meta-analysis, including 1,426 participants. Aerobic had more pronounced effects when compared to usual care in PANSS positive (-0.23, 95% CI -0.53 to 0.07), negative (-0.38, 95% CI -0.65 to -0.10), general (-0.42, 95% CI -0.71 to -0.13) and overall scores (-0.25, 95% CI -0.52 to 0.03). Yoga when compared to usual care had no difference in PANSS subscale and overall scores. We found no difference on relapsing of psychiatric symptoms or somatic hospitalization when we compared aerobic or yoga to usual care (Risk Ratio, 1.12 95% CI 0.44-2.81).

**Conclusion:** Aerobic activity as an exercise modality in a hospital setting can be effective in decreasing negative and general psychosis symptom severity scores compared to usual care, however, it was uncertain if the effects were clinically important. More trials are needed to confirm the clinically benefit of aerobic exercise.

## **Systematic Review Registration:** [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/], identifier [CRD42021224997].

KEYWORDS

exercise, psychosis, physical activity, review-systematic, hospital

## Introduction

Psychosis can be part of a variety of conditions including, but not limited to, schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder (1). Schizophrenia affects approximately 24 million people worldwide and is characterized by positive symptoms such as hallucinations and delusions, negative symptoms including alogia and avolition, and neurocognitive deficits including perception, memory, and attention (2, 3). Worse negative symptoms and cognitive decline are associated with poor functional outcomes (2, 3). Although antipsychotic medication can help to manage some of the symptoms, they may not be able to address all the symptoms, and the patient still may relapse, or the medication may not have a lasting effect (4).

Typically, medication can help to manage the positive symptoms (hallucinations, delusions, confused thoughts or disorganized speech, trouble concentrating, or movement disorders), but not the negative symptoms (having a lack of pleasure, not talking much or showing much emotion, withdrawal or struggling to keep up with daily activities like bathing) (5, 6). Antipsychotic medications can also have unintended side effects, for example, weight gain and metabolic syndrome (which is a cluster of health conditions that can increase the risk of heart attack, stroke, and diabetes) (5, 6). As part of the clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia, an initial assessment should include a physical health assessment, among assessing substance use, trauma history, risk of suicide and aggressive behavior and patient's goals and preferences for treatment (7).

The clinical practice guidelines recommend both pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy as intervention strategies to manage schizophrenia (7). The guidelines emphasize that the first episode of psychosis be treated in a coordinated specialty care program (7). The guidelines do not specify exercise, but other literature indicates a value to integrating exercise early in the treatment of psychosis.

Physical activity and exercise are one treatment strategy used to manage side effects such as weight gain and metabolic syndrome as well as the primary cognitive, negative, and positive symptoms associated with psychosis (5, 6). In a study by Damme et al. (8) the group with high risk for psychosis had significantly lower VO2max than the healthy controls (8), however, this relationship may be confounded by body mass index (BMI) (9). Recent systematic reviews have looked at the effect of exercise and physical activity as a treatment strategy for people with psychosis (10–13). Exercise was found to be superior to usual care when it comes to improving total symptom severity as assessed by the Positive And Negative Symptom Severity scale (PANSS), cardiorespiratory function, depression, aerobic capacity, quality of life, and psychosocial stressors (10–12).

Exercise and physical activity may be one strategy to improve function and address psychotic symptoms in individuals in hospitals; however, the American Psychiatric Association highlighted a need for more research on patientcentered outcomes which includes physical health, determine the optimal setting of care and the optimal approaches to recovery (14). Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to investigate the effectiveness of exercise and physical activity on psychotic symptoms in people with psychosis in a hospital setting when compared to usual care.

## **Methods**

We used the guidelines from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and Cochrane collaboration guidelines for this systematic review and meta-analysis (15).

## Eligibility criteria

Studies were included in this review if the following criteria were met:

- Design: randomized controlled trial (RCT)
- Participants: Adults with psychosis in a hospital setting
- Intervention: Any form of exercise or physical activity
- Comparison: Usual care, other forms of exercise, self-directed care, treatment with another healthcare professional
- Outcomes: Psychosis symptom severity scores

Studies that were not written in English, were in a community setting or had no full text available were excluded from this systematic review explanation of excluded studies can be found in the **Supplementary material**. This review has been registered on PROSPERO: CRD42021224997.

## Information sources

A systematic electronic search of the literature was performed in November 2020, and updated in June 2022, in

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.807140

PubMed, Scopus, and PsychINFO with no date restrictions. The following key words were used to identify potentially relevant studies: "schizophrenia," "bipolar," "psychosis," "exercise," "exercise therapy," "physical activity," "randomized controlled trials," "RCT." In addition, we conducted a manual search of the reference lists of the included studies to identify any potential studies missed in the electronic search. The complete search strategy is summarized in **Supplementary Figures 1–3**.

## Study selection

The selection of individual studies involved three independent reviewers (CZ, RF, and PB) who performed the systematic electronic search of the databases. Two reviewers (CZ and PB) identified potentially relevant articles, removed duplicates, and then screened titles and abstracts. The full text of any study marked include or uncertain was obtained and the eligibility criteria were applied.

### Data collection process

Three independent researchers (CZ, RF, and PB) extracted the data from the eligible included studies. Data extraction included: study, author, year, sample characteristics, intervention, comparison groups, PANSS score (overall as well as subscales) and adverse or serious adverse events.

# Assessment of risk of bias in individual studies

Two independent review authors (CZ and PB) assessed the included RCTs for risk of bias (ROB). The ROB assessment was performed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool (16). The Cochrane ROB tool is based on 7 items, random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias (16). The adequacy of each of the seven ROB domains was rated as "low," "unclear," or "high" risk according to criteria provided in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (16). We summarized the assessment of ROB per study as Low ROB (if low ROB was judged for all the seven domains); as Unclear ROB (if unclear ROB was judged for one or more of the seven domains); and as High ROB (if high ROB was judged for one or more of the seven domains) (16).

### Quality of outcomes

The GRADE guidelines for systematic reviews were used to evaluate the quality of the psychosis symptom severity

scores. The GRADE approach includes assessing ROB for study limitations, inconsistency, publication bias, imprecision, and indirectness (17–22) for the body of pooled trials. The rating of the quality of outcome across trials was carried out to summarize the extent of our confidence (high, moderate, low, or very low) that the estimates of the effect represent the truth (17–22).

## Synthesis of the results

We performed a random effects meta-analysis (Sidik-Jokman) of RCTs comparing exercise as a whole intervention or by different type of exercise (aerobic or yoga) to usual care in patients with psychosis on PANSS scores. We used STATA (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16, StataCorp LLC). We used the standardized mean difference (SMD) and reported 95% confidence intervals. We assessed small study effects with Harbord test (23) and we investigated the presence of publication bias with funnel plots (24). In the presence of publication bias in the meta-analysis. We compared the observed and the imputed studies by using the non-parametric "trim and fill" method. We used contour-enhanced funnel plot to assess if imputed studies fall in the region of statistical significance (25).

## Subgroup analysis and exploring heterogeneity

Our secondary outcome was to assess adverse events, safety outcomes were expressed as risk ratio. We quantified heterogeneity estimates of the between-study variance using the  $\tau^2$  statistic (26). In the presence of substantial heterogeneity in the meta-analysis, we planned to perform separate univariate meta-regressions to quantify factors that may contribute to substantial heterogeneity. We prespecified the following factors: allocation concealment, year that the study was conducted and the time of follow-up.

## Results

## Study selection

The initial search generated 603 articles. After removal of duplicates, and title and abstract screening 87 articles remained for full-text review. Of these, 15 met the eligibility criteria. Screening of reference lists resulted in identifying an additional 8 articles to be included. Our updated search yielded an additional 45 articles to review, one of which met the eligibility criteria. A total of 24 studies included in this systematic review. The study selection flow chart is presented in **Figure 1**.



## Study characteristics

The 24 eligible RCTs were conducted between 2006 and 2022 and included 1,426 participants. The study ranged from 10 to 160 participants. All trials were conducted on adults over the age of 18. A summary of the study characteristics is presented in Table 1.

## Intervention and comparators

The studies included in this review were exercise and physical activity interventions, compared to usual care. There were a mix of exercise interventions including dancing (27), running (28–32), walking (33, 34), yoga (35–39), strength training (5), high intensity interval training (28, 40, 41), and lifestyle modifications, by increasing daily walking and mobility (42, 43). Some interventions included a combination of both strength and aerobic exercise training (44, 45).

The comparator groups were usual care or an active intervention. The usual care most commonly included antipsychotic medications and being followed by a psychiatrist. We found lack of reporting from majority of the included studies on which antipsychotic medication was prescribed. The information about the medication use that was available is described in **Table 1**. Active interventions in the comparator group included walking or stretching (28, 32, 35, 39, 45). Some of the comparator groups were related to education about

TABLE 1 Summary of study characteristics.

| Author                            | Country                     | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome     | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up      | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria  | Main exclusion<br>criteria   | Intervention<br>details   | Comparator<br>details  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| Attux et al.<br>(43)              | Brazil                      | 36.2 (9.9)                       | 38.3 (10.7)                 | 160 (96)     | Weight loss            | 12 weeks          | 3 and<br>6 months | 75              | 72.1%     | Participants<br>using<br>antipsychotic<br>medication,<br>having<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 18–65, and<br>being clinically<br>stable | Not clinically stable,<br>in the presence of<br>diabetes, history of<br>an eating disorder, or<br>drug or alcohol<br>abuse   | l h weekly sessions<br>to discuss dietary<br>choices, lifestyle,<br>physical activity and<br>self-esteem                        | Usual care<br>including<br>antipsychotic<br>medication and<br>regular visits<br>with a<br>psychiatrist |
| Bang-<br>Kittilsen<br>et al. (28) | Norway                      | 36.6 (14.3)                      | 37.5 (13.8)                 | 82 (50)      | Neurocognition         | 12 weeks          | 4 months          | 25              | NR        | Participants with<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 18–67 and<br>able to speak<br>and understand<br>Scandinavian<br>language               | Pregnancy, chest<br>pain, unstable<br>angina, recent MI,<br>uncontrollable<br>cardiac arrhythmia,<br>severe hypertension,<br>comorbid diagnosis<br>of mild mental<br>retardation | 8 min of warm-up,<br>4 min of treadmill<br>running or walking<br>at 85–95% max HR<br>alternating with<br>3 min of 70% max<br>HR | 45 min of<br>computerized<br>interactive sport<br>simulation   |
| Beebe et al.<br>(33)              | United States               | 40–63 years                      | 40–63 years                 | 10 (8)       | 6-min walk<br>distance | 16 weeks          | 1 year            | 0               | NR        | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>medical<br>clearance for<br>moderate<br>exercise   | Significant<br>cardiovascular,<br>neuromuscular,<br>endocrine or other<br>disorders that would<br>make it unsafe to<br>exercise  | 10 min of warm-up,<br>30 min of walking,<br>10 min cool down  | Usual care,<br>offered exercise<br>at the end of the<br>study  |
| Brobakken<br>et al. (44)          | Norway and<br>United States | 34 (10)                          | 36 (12)                     | 48 (28)      | VO2 peak               | 12 weeks          | 12 weeks          | 14              | 83%       | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 18–65   | Admitted to a<br>psychiatric hospital,<br>or any<br>contraindications to<br>exercise   | Walk/run 4 × 4 min<br>at 85–95% HR peak,<br>3 min of active rest at<br>70% HR peak, max<br>strength training                    | Perform the<br>intervention but<br>independently   |
| Curcic et al.<br>(29)             | Serbia                      | 39.95 (9.51)                     | 41.75 (9.45)                | 80 (42)      | VO2 max                | 12 weeks          | 12 weeks          | 0               | NR        | Hospitalized for schizophrenia   | No physical<br>problems, no<br>primary diagnosis of<br>alcohol or substance<br>abuse   | Treadmill running<br>for 45 min at<br>65–75% of max HR<br>followed by 10 min<br>of stretching                                   | Continued with<br>standard<br>pharmacological<br>therapy   |
| Duraiswamy<br>et al. (35)         | India                       | 32.53 (7.9)                      | 31.30 (7.9)                 | 61 (42)      | PANSS                  | 3 weeks           | 4 months          | 20              | NR        | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 18–55   | Severe physical<br>ailments  | Yoga, breathing and<br>relaxation<br>techniques   | Brisk walking,<br>jogging and<br>exercises in<br>standing and<br>sitting                               |

(Continued)

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.807140

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Author                   | Country             | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria  | Main exclusion<br>criteria   | Intervention<br>details  | Comparator<br>details  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Heggelung<br>et al. (41) | Norway              | 30.5 (8.7)                       | 38.9 (11.4)                 | 19 (13)      | VO2 peak           | 8 weeks           | 8 weeks      | 6               | 85%       | ICD-10<br>schizotypal and<br>delusional<br>disorders. Stable<br>on antipsychotic<br>medication   | Coronary artery<br>disease, chronic<br>obstructive<br>pulmonary disease,<br>unstable<br>pharmacological<br>treatment, not able<br>to perform treadmill<br>testing and exercise | The HIT group<br>trained 4 × 4-min<br>interval training on a<br>treadmill at<br>85–95% HR peak<br>interspersed with<br>3 min of active<br>resting periods at a<br>work load<br>corresponding to<br>70% HR peak<br>between each<br>interval. Walking or<br>running at 5%<br>incline 3 × per week<br>for 8 weeks | The CG group<br>spent the same<br>amount of time,<br>36 min<br>three times per<br>week, training to<br>improve their<br>ability in the<br>computer game,<br>Tetris |
| Ikai et al.<br>(36)      | Japan and<br>Canada | 54.8 (9.0)                       | 51.5 (15.1)                 | 49 (32)      | Postural Sway      | 8 weeks           | 16 weeks     | 5               | 87%       | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>18 years and<br>older  | Incapable of giving<br>consent, current<br>substance or alcohol<br>abuse   | Yoga treatment<br>including a<br>warm-up, asana<br>yoga, deep relaxation<br>and breathing  | Regular<br>day-care.<br>Provided yoga<br>treatment at the<br>end of the study  |
| Ikai et al.<br>(37)      | Japan and<br>Canada | 53.5 (9.9)                       | 48.2 (12.3)                 | 50 (33)      | Resilience         | 8 weeks           | 16 weeks     | 7               | NR        | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia<br>ore related<br>psychotic<br>disorder,<br>18 years or older,<br>stable on<br>medications                 | Patients incapable of<br>providing consent,<br>suffered from<br>alcohol abuse or<br>other psychiatric<br>comorbidities   | Yoga group received<br>a weekly 1-h session<br>of Hatha yoga<br>therapy. Each session<br>consisted of gentle<br>yoga stretches and<br>simple movements<br>in coordination with<br>breathing  | Daycare sessions<br>providing social<br>skills and<br>walking  |
| Ikai et al.<br>(38)      | Japan and<br>Canada | 55.5 (11.4)                      | 55.0 (15.8)                 | 56 (36)      | Postural Sway      | 12 weeks          | 18 weeks     | 7               | NR        | Inpatients with a<br>diagnosis of<br>psychiatric<br>treatment,<br>20 years or older<br>and capable of<br>providing<br>voluntary<br>consent | Active alcohol abuse,<br>and other psychiatric<br>comorbidities  | Chair yoga group<br>received a 20-min<br>chair yoga session,<br>based on Hatha<br>yoga, twice a week<br>for 12 weeks.  | Treatment as<br>usual.<br>Encouraged to<br>spend time<br>freely reading,<br>walking,<br>chatting   |

(Continued)

| Author                     | Country | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome     | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria  | Main<br>exclusion<br>criteria  | Intervention<br>details  | Comparator<br>details   |
|----------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| Kaltsatou<br>et al. (27)   | Greece  | 59.5 (19.6)                      | 60.4 (8.6)                  | 31 (15)      | Functional<br>Capacity | 8 months          | 8 months     | 20              | 87%       | Patients with<br>schizophrenia,<br>mini mental<br>state score > 22,<br>stable on<br>medications  | Significant<br>cardiovascular,<br>neuromuscular,<br>physician deemed<br>them too unwell to<br>participate in<br>exercise, or unable<br>to provide<br>informed consent  | Traditional Greek<br>dancing   | Psychotherapy and<br>was asked to<br>continue their<br>usual sedentary<br>lifestyle   |
| Kurebayashi<br>et al. (47) | Japan   | 50.3 (14.0)                      | 59.7 (13.0)                 | 18           | PANSS                  | 8 weeks           | 8 weeks      | 4               | NR        | Chronic<br>schizophrenia in<br>the hospital,<br>aged 18–50 with<br>a PANSS<br>negative score of<br>at least 20 and<br>2 years since<br>disease onset | Prominent<br>neurological<br>disease,<br>cardiovascular<br>clinical problem,<br>IQ < 70  | 6 mg daily<br>risperidone<br>treatment and<br>30 min of aerobic<br>training, 3 days per<br>week, with<br>supervision.  | 6 mg daily<br>risperidone   |
| Kwon et al.<br>(42)        | Korea   | 32 (9.2)                         | 29.80 (6.07)                | 48 (15)      | Weight and BMI         | 12 weeks          | 12 weeks     | 12              | 36.4%     | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 19–64   | No history of<br>hypomanic or<br>psychotic states<br>within 4 weeks,<br>PANSS score > 70,<br>severe medical<br>disease, pregnant,<br>hyperthyroidism,<br>or hypothyroidism<br>and any history of<br>seizure or<br>substance abuse        | Cognitive behavioral<br>therapy managing<br>diet and exercise.<br>Keeping a food diary,<br>and talking with a<br>dietitian. Exercise<br>management<br>through diary and<br>education | Routine care with<br>verbal<br>recommendations<br>about physical<br>activity and diet |
| Li et al. (45)             | China   | 51 (6.86)                        | 50.97 (8.54)                | 61 (47)      | Neurocognition         | 24 weeks          | 24 weeks     | NR              | NR        | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>inpatient for 1<br>year, receiving<br>antipsychotic<br>medication  | Having a serious<br>disease such as<br>cardiovascular,<br>pulmonary<br>disease, having<br>uncorrected<br>vision, having a<br>condition that<br>precludes<br>exercising and<br>exercising<br>regularly within<br>6 months of the<br>study | Baduanjin training 2<br>sessions 5 days per<br>week for 40 min per<br>day. 5 min warm-up,<br>30 min Baduanjin<br>training and 5 min<br>cool down                                     | Brisk walking<br>5 days per week for<br>40 min per day                                |

(Continued)

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.807140

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Author                            | Country  | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome            | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria   | Main exclusion<br>criteria   | Intervention<br>details   | Comparator<br>details   |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| Loh et al.<br>(56)                | Malaysia | 46 (14)                          | 53 (11)                     | 104 (74)     | Quality of Life               | 3 months          | 3 months     | 4               | NR        | Adults with<br>schizophrenia,<br>age 18–65,<br>receiving<br>inpatient<br>treatment  | Bedridden patients,<br>medical illness, and<br>patient diagnosed<br>with dementia  | Group walking<br>program and<br>lifestyle. 3 times per<br>week, 40 min of<br>walking with a 5 min<br>warm-up and cool<br>down | Continuing<br>usual care, doing<br>their usual daily<br>activities  |
| Manjunath<br>et al. (39)          | India    | 31.7 (8.8)                       | 31.1 (7.8)                  | 88 (49)      | CGI Illness<br>Severity       | 2 weeks           | 6 weeks      | 28              |           | Adults with psychosis   | Any<br>contraindications to<br>exercise  | Each yoga session<br>lasted 1 h. After<br>2 weeks, patients<br>were advised to<br>practice the same for<br>the next 4 weeks   | Exercise training<br>twice a week for<br>4 weeks  |
| Methapatara<br>et al. (34)        | Thailand | 43.16 (9.27)                     | 37.59<br>(10.83)            | 64 (41)      | Body Weight                   | 12 weeks          | 12 weeks     | NR              | NR        | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 18–65, BMI<br>of 23 kg/m2 or<br>more, mild<br>degree of illness,<br>no plan for<br>pregnancy in the<br>next 6 months | Unstable medical<br>condition,<br>contraindications for<br>exercise, cognitive<br>impairment,<br>participating in<br>another clinical trial,<br>pregnancy or breast<br>feeding | Five 1-h sessions of<br>group education on<br>nutrition, exercise<br>and using a<br>pedometer to track<br>steps               | Usual care<br>including<br>antipsychotic<br>medication  |
| Oertel-<br>Knöchel<br>et al. (40) | Germany  | 44.6 (13.8)                      | 38.3 (4.5)                  | 17 (12)      | Cognitive<br>Performance      | 4 weeks           | 4 weeks      | 24              | NR        | Minimum of<br>5 years with<br>psychotic<br>disease, stable on<br>medication   | No comorbid<br>psychotic diagnosis   | Three weekly<br>sessions each lasting<br>75 min over 4 weeks,<br>of cognitive training<br>and exercise                        | Three weekly<br>sessions each<br>lasting 75 min<br>over 4 weeks of<br>cognitive<br>training and<br>relaxation |
| Sailer et al.<br>(30)             | Germany  | 30.89 (11.41)                    | 30.89<br>(11.41)            | 36 (25)      | Attendance and<br>Persistence | 4 weeks           | 4 weeks      | 0               | 72.9%     | Received<br>inpatient or<br>outpatient<br>treatment for<br>schizophrenia,<br>and received<br>treatment for at<br>least 1 week                               | Severe psychiatric<br>symptoms, and<br>medical<br>contraindications for<br>exercise  | 30 min jogging<br>sessions including<br>warm-up and cool<br>down  | Education about physical activity   |
|                                   |          |                                  |                             |              |                               |                   |              |                 |           | least 1 week  |  |   | (Contin   |

Frontiers in Psychiatry

| Author                    | Country     | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria   | Main exclusion<br>criteria  | Intervention<br>details   | Comparator<br>details   |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| Scheewe<br>et al. (5)     | Netherlands | 29.2 (7.2)                       | 30.1 (7.7)                  | 63 (46)      | PANSS              | 6 months          | 6 months     | 24              | NR        | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia,<br>stable on<br>antipsychotic<br>medication  | No significant<br>medical problems,<br>no primary diagnosis<br>of alcohol or<br>substance abuse   | Muscle strength<br>exercises, 6 exercises,<br>3 times per week,<br>10–15 repetitions<br>with gradual<br>increasing intensity                          | Occupational<br>therapy for 1 h<br>per week for<br>6 months                                     |
| Shimada<br>et al. (31)    | Japan       | 50.14 (7.73)                     | 49.75 (7.00)                | 41 (18)      | Neurocognition     | 12 weeks          | 12 months    | 1               | 100%      | Diagnosis of<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 20–65  | Diagnosis of mental<br>retardation,<br>alcohol/substance<br>dependence, a<br>known neurological<br>disorder, possibility<br>of difficulty<br>participating in<br>aerobic exercise                     | 12 weeks, 2 sessions<br>per week, 60-min<br>sessions of aerobic<br>exercise, at an<br>intensity of 60–80%<br>hear rate max                            | Usual treatment<br>for<br>schizophrenia<br>and<br>rehabilitation<br>programs                    |
| Su et al. (32)            | Taiwan      | 37.64 (8.23)                     | 36.68 (8.33)                | 57 (20)      | PANSS              | 3 months          | 3 months     | 13              | 76.6%     | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia,<br>aged 20–60, had<br>an IQ of greater<br>than 70, and<br>were on<br>antipsychotic<br>medication | Illness duration less<br>than 1 year,<br>significant<br>neurological,<br>metabolic or<br>psychiatric<br>condition, substance<br>abuse issue, and<br>current participation<br>in other clinical trials | 3 times per week for<br>three months,<br>aerobic exercise<br>55-69% HRmax,<br>warm-up for 5 min,<br>30 min of aerobic<br>exercise, 5-min cool<br>down | Stretching<br>program for<br>40 min at the<br>same frequency<br>as the<br>intervention<br>group |
| Varambally<br>et al. (57) | India       | 32.8 (10)                        | 30.6 (7.3)                  | 151 (53)     | PANSS              | 1 month           | 4 months     | 24              | 75%       | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia   | Receiving<br>antipsychotic<br>medication without<br>change in dose in<br>3 months,<br>moderately<br>symptomatic with a<br>score of 3 of clinical<br>global impression,<br>and not receiving<br>ECT    | Yoga, breathing and<br>relaxation<br>techniques   | Brisk walking,<br>jogging and<br>exercises in<br>standing and<br>sitting                        |

(Continued)

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.807140

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Author              | Country | Mean age<br>intervention<br>(SD) | Mean age<br>control<br>(SD) | N<br>(males) | Primary<br>outcome | Program<br>length | Follow<br>up | Drop out<br>(n) | Adherence | Main<br>inclusion<br>criteria   | Main exclusion<br>criteria  | Intervention<br>details   | Comparator<br>details   |
|---------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| Wang et al.<br>(58) | Taiwan  | 38.3 (8.3)                       | 38.7 (8.6)                  | 32 (30)      | PANSS              | 12 weeks          | 3 months     | 12              | 60%       | Diagnosed with<br>schizophrenia<br>and were<br>physically able<br>to exercise | Schizophrenia for<br>longer than 1 year,<br>any neurological<br>conditions, pregnant<br>or breast feeding,<br>substance abuse | Each AE session<br>included 5 min of<br>walking for a<br>warm-up, followed<br>by 30min of AE,<br>then finally a 5-min<br>cooldown period,<br>i.e., 40 min in total. | The stretching<br>and toning<br>control program<br>consisted of a<br>30-min recorded<br>program of 14<br>exercise<br>routines,<br>including a<br>3-min warm-up,<br>25-min<br>flexibility,<br>toning and<br>balance exercises<br>designed to use<br>all major muscle<br>groups of the<br>upper and lower<br>extremities, and<br>a 2-min cool<br>down exercise<br>performed to<br>music |

physical activity (30) or spending time with an occupational therapist (5, 42). More information about the comparator characteristics is presented in Table 1.

## **Excluded studies**

Of the 90 articles that were deemed relevant for full text review, 24 were included in this systematic review and metaanalysis. The remaining articles were excluding for the following reasons:

- Wrong setting, was not in a hospital setting (n = 27)
- Wrong outcome, did not included PANSS as part of their outcome assessments (*n* = 24)
- Wrong study design, was not an RCT (n = 9)
- Wrong intervention, not exercise or physical activity (n = 3)

The reasons of the excluded studies are presented in **Supplementary Web Appendix**.

## **Risk of bias**

Blinding of the participants (performance bias) was rated as high in 18 out of 24 trials. Random sequence generation was rated as low risk of bias in 15 out of 24 trials. We found no indication of publication bias see funnel plot in **Supplementary Web Appendix Figure 4**, and **Supplementary Tables 3**, 4.

## Quality assessment per outcome

We found very low to moderate quality certainty for the pooled psychosis symptom severity scores (**Table 2**). Most of the studies were downgraded due to high risk of bias, from lack of blinding and incomplete outcome data.

### Participants

A total of 1,426 participants were included in this review. The average age across all the studies was 39.5 years. There were 825 males included in the study, which was 58% of the participants. More information about the study demographics is included in Table 1.

### Outcomes

Five of studies used the overall PANSS as the primary outcome (5, 32, 35, 46, 47), and two used the PANSS

subscale as the primary outcome. Three of the studies assessed neurocognition as the primary outcome (28, 31, 45). Three of the studies assessed weight loss as a primary outcome (34, 42, 43). Four studies assessed performance through either the 6-min walk distance (33) or VO2 max/peak (29, 41, 44). A summary of the included articles' primary outcomes is presented in Table 1.

## Timeframe

The timeframe for most of the studies (9/24 studies) for the exercise intervention was 12 weeks [range (min-max) 3– 34.4 weeks]. A summary of the program length and follow up time frames are presented in **Table 1**.

## Drop out and adherence

Drop out was not reported in two of the studies. Three of the studies had no participants drop out (29, 30, 46). One trial reported high attrition and had the highest number of participants to drop out (n = 75) across all trials which was 47% of the participants that were randomized (43).

Adherence was not well reported in the studies. Some studies required participants to maintain a certain adherence (e.g., 75 or 80% of sessions) or the participants were excluded from the study. A summary of all the studies that reported the rate of drop out and adherence is presented in Table 1.

## Meta-analysis of the exercise as a whole intervention

Nine studies were included in the meta-analysis of the PANSS outcome. **Figure 2** shows the between group differences of all the exercise modalities as whole intervention compared to usual care.

## Overall positive and negative symptom severity scale scores (primary outcome of interest)

Exercise as an intervention in hospital setting had more pronounced effects when compared to usual care in PANSS overall scores with a pooled SMD of -0.29, 95% CI = -0.52 to -0.07,  $\tau^2 = 0.02$ , n = 9, moderate certainty of evidence (Figure 2).

#### Negative symptom severity scale scores

When looking at the negative PANSS scores, exercise compared to usual care displayed statistical superiority with a pooled SMD of -0.30, 95% CI = -0.52 to -0.09,  $\tau^2 = 0.01$ , n = 8, moderate certainty of evidence (Figure 2).

### Positive symptom severity scale scores

For the positive PANSS scores, although exercise was favored when compared to usual care the difference

| Outcomes       | Comparison                      | No of participants<br>(Studies) | Quality of evidence<br>(GRADE)             | Standardized mean<br>difference (95% CI) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| PANSS total    | Any exercise vs. usual care     | 376 (9)                         | ⊕⊕⊕⊖<br>MODERATE (1)                       | -0.29, (-0.52 to -0.07)                  |
|                | Yoga vs. usual care             | 155 (3)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.06, (-0.38 to 0.26)                   |
|                | Aerobic exercise vs. usual care | 221 (6)                         | ⊕⊕⊕○<br>MODERATE (1)                       | -0.25, (-0.52 to 0.03)                   |
| PANSS positive | Any exercise vs. usual care     | 376 (9)                         | ⊕⊕⊕○<br>MODERATE (1)                       | -0.17, (-0.38 to 0.04)                   |
|                | Yoga vs. usual care             | 155 (3)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.09, (-0.42 to 0.23)                   |
|                | Aerobic exercise vs. usual care | 170 (4)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.23, (-0.53 to 0.07)                   |
| PANSS negative | Any exercise vs. usual care     | 357 (8)                         | ⊕⊕⊕○<br>MODERATE (1)                       | -0.30, (-0.52 to -0.01)                  |
|                | Yoga vs. usual care             | 155 (3)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.20, (-0.53 to 0.13)                   |
|                | Aerobic exercise vs. usual care | 202 (5)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.38, (-0.65 to -0.10)                  |
| PANSS general  | Any exercise vs. usual care     | 325 (7)                         | ⊕⊕⊕○<br>MODERATE (1)                       | -0.16, (-0.39 to 0.06)                   |
|                | Yoga vs. usual care             | 155 (3)                         | ⊕⊕○○<br>LOW (1, 2)                         | -0.10, (-0.41 to 0.22)                   |
|                | Aerobic exercise vs. usual care | 221 (6)                         | $\bigoplus_{\text{LOW}} \bigcirc \bigcirc$ | -0.42, (-0.71 to -0.13)                  |

#### TABLE 2 Certainty of evidence per outcome.

GRADE Working group grades of Evidence.

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

<sup>1</sup>downgraded due to risk of bias.

<sup>2</sup>downgraded due to imprecision.

was not statistically different (SMD of -0.17, 95% CI = -0.38 to 0.04,  $\tau^2$  = 0.01, n = 9), moderate certainty of evidence (Figure 2).

## General positive and negative symptom severity scale scores

For the general PANSS scores, although exercise was favored when compared to usual care the difference was not statistically different (SMD of -0.16, 95% CI = -0.39 to 0.06,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , n = 7), moderate certainty of evidence (Figure 2).

## Meta-analysis by different type of exercise modality

To get a better understanding of which type of exercise affects psychotic symptoms, a comparison for the PANSS scores was made between aerobic and usual care, and yoga vs. usual care (Figures 3–6).

## Overall positive and negative symptom severity scale scores

Aerobic had more pronounced effects when compared to usual care, however, the difference was not statistically

significant (SMD of -0.25, 95% CI = -0.52 to 0.03,  $\tau^2 = 0.01$ , n = 6, low certainty of evidence, **Figure 3**). Yoga had no difference when compared to usual care (SMD of -0.06, 95% CI = -0.38 to 0.26,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , n = 3, very low certainty of evidence, **Figure 3**).

### Negative symptom severity scale scores

When looking at the negative PANSS Score, aerobic when compared to usual care displayed statistical superiority with a pooled SMD of -0.38, 95% CI -0.65 to -0.10,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , n = 5, low certainty of evidence (Figure 4). Yoga when compared to usual care had no difference (SMD of -0.20, 95% CI = -0.53 to 0.13),  $\tau^2 = 0.01$ , very low certainty of evidence (Figure 4).

#### Positive symptom severity scale scores

For the positive PANSS scores, we found no difference either for aerobic or yoga when compared to usual care (SMD of -0.23, 95% CI = -0.53 to 0.07,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , n = 4), very low certainty of evidence for the aerobic; and SMD of -0.09, 95% CI = -0.42 to 0.23,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , n = 3, very low certainty of evidence for the yoga intervention (**Figure 5**).

| Study  |    |          |       |               |             | with       | 95% CI     | (%)          |
|--|----|----------|-------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| PANSS general  |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
| Curcic, 2017   |    |          |       | _             |             | -0.25 [ -0 | 0.69, 0.19 | 9] 5.18      |
| Kaltsatou, 2015  |    |          |       |               |             | -0.14 [ -0 | .83, 0.55  | 5] 2.34      |
| Shimada, 2020  | -  |          |       |               |             | -0.31 [ -0 | .93, 0.30  | )] 2.89      |
| Kurebayashi, 2022  | ~  |          | -     |               |             | -0.07 [ -1 | .13, 0.99  | 9] 1.03      |
| Ikai, 2013   |    |          | -     | -             |             | 0.02[-0    | .53, 0.57  | 7] 3.47      |
| Ikai, 2014   |    |          |       |               |             | -0.27 [ -0 | .82, 0.28  | 3] 3.51      |
| Ikai, 2017   |    |          | _     |               |             | -0.03 [ -0 | .58, 0.52  | 2] 3.47      |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 2.63\%$ , $H^2 = 1.03$ |    | •        |       |               |             | -0.16 [ -0 | .39, 0.06  | 6]           |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(6) = 1.21, p = 0.98          |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
| PANSS negative   |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
| Curcic, 2017   |    |          |       | -             |             | -0.35 [ -0 | .78, 0.09  | 9] 5.15      |
| Kaltsatou, 2015  |    |          |       |               | _           | -0.24 [ -0 | .93, 0.4   | 5] 2.32      |
| Shimada, 2020  | ←  |          |       | _             |             | -0.45 [ -1 | .07, 0.16  | 6] 2.85      |
| Wang, 2018   | ←  | _        |       |               |             | -0.53 [ -1 | .10, 0.04  | -<br>1] 3.30 |
| Kurebayashi, 2022  | ←  |          |       |               |             | 0.09 [ -1  | .15, 0.97  | 7] 1.03      |
| Ikai, 2013   | _  | _        |       | _             |             | -0.40[-0   | .96, 0.16  | 6] 3.41      |
| Ikai, 2014   |    |          | _     |               | _           | -0.13[-0   | .68, 0.4   | 3.53         |
| lkai, 2017   |    |          |       |               |             | -0.07[-0   | .63, 0.48  | 3] 3.47      |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.01$ . $I^2 = 6.74\%$ . $H^2 = 1.07$ |    |          |       |               |             | -0.301-0   | 52. 0.09   |              |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(7) = 2.22, p = 0.95          |    |          |       |               |             |            | ,          |              |
| PANSS positive   |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
| Curcic 2017  |    |          |       |               |             | -0 18 [ -0 | 162 0.24   | 51 5 20      |
| Heggelung 2011   | _  |          | _     |               |             | 0.04[-0    | 93 0.8     | 5] 144       |
| Kaltsatou 2015   | ←  |          |       |               |             | -0.34 [ -1 | 03 0.36    | 3 231        |
| Shimada 2020   | -  |          |       |               |             | -0.62[-1   | 24 0.0     | 1 2.80       |
| Wang 2018  |    |          |       |               | _           | -0.11[-0   | 167 0.4    | 3 3 40       |
| Kurabayashi 2022   | _  |          |       |               |             | - 1010-    | 15 0.04    | 3 1.03       |
|  |    |          |       |               | _           | -0.13[-0   | 168 0.4    | ) 1.00       |
|  |    |          |       |               |             | 0.13[-0    | 0.00, 0.42 | -] 3.47      |
|  |    |          |       |               |             | -0.14[-0   | .69, 0.4   | 1 3.53       |
|  |    |          |       |               |             | 0.09[-0    | .46, 0.64  | +] 3.47      |
| Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$ : Q(8) = 3.24, p = 0.92          |    |          |       |               |             | -0.17[-0   | 0.38, 0.04 | +]           |
| PANO2 4-4-1  |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
| PANSS TOTAL<br>Curcic, 2017                                    |    |          |       |               |             | -0.52 [ -0 | .96, -0.08 | 3] 5.07      |
| Heggelung, 2011  | -  |          | _     | -             |             | - 0.03[-0  | .86, 0.92  | 2] 1.44      |
| Kaltsatou, 2015  |    |          |       |               | -           | -0.30[-0   | .99, 0.39  | 9] 2.32      |
| Shimada, 2020  | ←  | _        |       |               |             | -0.62[-1   | .24, 0.0   | 2.80         |
| Wang, 2018   | ←  | _        |       |               |             | -0.53 [ -1 | .10, 0.0   | 3] 3.30      |
| Kurebayashi 2022   | ←  |          | _     |               |             |            | .09, 1.02  | 2] 1.03      |
| Ikai. 2013   |    |          |       |               |             | -0.19[-0   | 75. 0.36   | 3 3 46       |
| Ikai. 2014   |    |          | _     |               |             | -0.051-0   | .60. 0.40  | 3 3 53       |
| Ikai, 2017   |    |          |       |               |             | -0.04[-0   | .59. 0.5   | 3 47         |
| Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.02$ $l^2 = 18.46\%$ $H^2 = 1.23$       |    |          |       |               |             | -0.201-0   | 152 -0.0   | 7]           |
| Test of $\theta_{1} = \theta \cdot O(8) = 5.14$ $p = 0.74$     |    |          |       |               |             | 0.20["(    |            | 1            |
| (0, 0, 0, 0, 0), $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$                             |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |
|  |    | Interver | ntion | Usua<br>bette | l care<br>r |            |            |              |
|  | -1 | -0.5     | - 0   |               | 0.5         | 1          |            |              |
| Random-effects Sidik-Jonkman model                             |    |          | 5     |               |             |            |            |              |
|  |    |          |       |               |             |            |            |              |

## General positive and negative symptom severity scale scores

When looking at the general PANSS scores, aerobic when compared to usual care displayed statistical

superiority with a pooled SMD of -0.42, 95% CI -0.71 to -0.13,  $\tau^2 = 0.02$ , n = 6, very low certainty of evidence (**Figure 6**). We found no difference when yoga compared to usual care (SMD of -0.10,

| Study  |   |        |        |      |          | Hedges'<br>with 95% | sg<br>SCI | Weight<br>(%) |
|--|---|--------|--------|------|----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Aerobic vs usual care  |   |        |        |      |          |                     |           |               |
| Curcic, 2017   |   |        | _      |      | -        | -0.18 [ -0.62       | , 0.25]   | 19.54         |
| Heggelung, 2011  |   |        |        | -    |          | <br>-0.04 [ -0.93   | , 0.85]   | 5.39          |
| Kaltsatou, 2015  | ← |        |        |      |          | -0.34 [ -1.03       | , 0.36]   | 8.66          |
| Shimada, 2020  | ← |        |        | -    |          | -0.62 [ -1.24       | , 0.01]   | 10.49         |
| Wang, 2018   |   |        |        | ┞──  |          | -0.11 [ -0.67       | , 0.45]   | 12.78         |
| Kurebayashi, 2022  | ← |        |        |      |          | <br>-0.10 [ -1.15   | , 0.96]   | 3.87          |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.01$ , $I^2 = 9.40\%$ , $H^2 = 1.10$ |   |        |        |      |          | -0.25 [ -0.52       | , 0.03]   |               |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 2.01, p = 0.85          |   |        |        |      |          |                     |           |               |
| Yoga vs usual care   |   |        |        |      |          |                     |           |               |
| <b>I</b> kai, 2013   |   |        |        | ┣──  |          | -0.13 [ -0.68       | , 0.42]   | 13.01         |
| <b>I</b> kai, 2014   |   |        |        | +    |          | -0.14 [ -0.69       | , 0.41]   | 13.24         |
| <b>I</b> kai, 2017   |   | -      |        | ┼■   |          | 0.09 [ -0.46        | , 0.64]   | 13.03         |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 2.68\%$ , $H^2 = 1.03$ |   |        | $\leq$ |      | •        | -0.06 [ -0.38       | , 0.26]   |               |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.43, p = 0.80          |   |        |        |      |          |                     |           |               |
|  |   | Interv | entior | n Us | ual care |                     |           |               |
|  | _ |        | Dette  |      | lier     | <br>-               |           |               |
|  |   |        |        |      |          | 1                   |           |               |

95% CI = -0.41 to 0.22),  $\tau^2 = 0$ , low certainty of evidence (Figure 6).

### Adverse events

Adverse events were reported in 11 of the included studies (28, 30–32, 35, 37–39, 42–44). Most commonly the adverse event was a relapse of psychiatric symptoms, however, one study reported death, but the investigators reported that it was unrelated to the study protocols (44). One study reported on a somatic hospitalization (44). In our meta-analysis of serious adverse events, we found no difference on relapsing of psychiatric symptoms or somatic hospitalizations when we compared exercise to usual care with a pooled Risk Ratio (RR), 1.12 95% CI 0.44–2.81 (Figure 7).

## Discussion

## Main findings

This systematic review and meta-analysis found a benefit to exercise training compared to usual care in schizophrenic adults in a hospital setting. Our meta-analysis showed more pronounced treatment effects in the PANSS overall score in the exercise intervention groups compared to the usual care. We further analyzed whether a certain type of exercise resulted in improvements in psychiatric symptoms. The ROB in the studies was mostly high, due to lack of blinding. ROB assessments for any study of exercise programs would have to be downgraded since it is impossible to blind the instructors or participants in exercise programs. The quality of the outcomes was low to moderate due to a high ROB, and imprecision. Larger sample sizes and more studies evaluating the effect of exercise in a hospital setting would need to confirm the effect of exercise for managing psychotic symptoms.

### **Previous evidence**

Several other systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been conducted on the effects of exercise for people with psychosis. A Cochrane review published in 2013 of 39 trials and 1,356 participants compared exercise with no treatment or control intervention for the primary outcome of depression (48). They found that there was a moderate clinical effect, and the results were statistically significant (48). In another Cochrane review looking at exercise therapy for schizophrenia, only three studies were included in this review but also found

| Study  |              |            | Hedges's<br>with 95% | g<br>Cl | Weight<br>(%) |
|--|--------------|------------|----------------------|---------|---------------|
| Aerobic vs usual care  |              |            |                      |         |               |
| Curcic, 2017   |              |            | -0.35 [ -0.78,       | 0.09]   | 21.05         |
| Kaltsatou, 2015  |              |            | -0.24 [ -0.93,       | 0.45]   | 9.11          |
| Shimada, 2020  | ← _          |            | -0.45 [ -1.07,       | 0.16]   | 11.26         |
| Wang, 2018   | ← _          | -          | -0.53 [ -1.10,       | 0.04]   | 13.13         |
| Kurebayashi, 2022  | ← ∎          |            | -0.09 [ -1.15,       | 0.97]   | 3.97          |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 3.90\%$ , $H^2 = 1.04$ |              |            | -0.38 [ -0.65,       | -0.10]  |               |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(4) = 0.79, p = 0.94          |              |            |                      |         |               |
| Yoga vs usual care   |              |            |                      |         |               |
| lkai, 2013   | <b>_</b>     |            | -0.40 [ -0.96,       | 0.16]   | 13.58         |
| lkai, 2014   |              |            | -0.13 [ -0.68,       | 0.41]   | 14.07         |
| lkai, 2017   |              |            | -0.07 [ -0.63,       | 0.48]   | 13.83         |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.01$ , $I^2 = 6.96\%$ , $H^2 = 1.07$ |              | -          | -0.20 [ -0.53,       | 0.13]   |               |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.74, p = 0.69          |              |            |                      |         |               |
|  | Intervention | Usual care |                      |         |               |
|  | better       | better     |                      |         |               |

#### FIGURE 4

Negative PANSS scores in random-effects Sidik-Jonkman model. The between group difference of the aerobic or yoga compared to usual care is expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD). A negative difference means that the treatment resulted in a lower PANSS negative score compared to the usual care, which is favorable.



#### FIGURE 5

Positive PANSS scores in random-effects Sidik-Jonkman model. The between group difference of the aerobic or yoga compared to usual care is expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD). A negative difference means that the treatment resulted in a lower PANSS positive score compared to the usual care, which is favorable.

| Study   |                        |                      | Hedges's g<br>with 95% Cl |        |       |  |  |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Aerobic vs usual care   |                        |                      |                           |        |       |  |  |
| Curcic, 2017  |                        |                      | -0.52 [ -0.96,            | -0.08] | 18.22 |  |  |
| Heggelung, 2011   |                        |                      | - 0.03 [ -0.86,           | 0.92]  | 5.79  |  |  |
| Kaltsatou, 2015   |                        |                      | -0.30 [ -0.99,            | 0.39]  | 9.06  |  |  |
| Shimada, 2020   | ←                      |                      | -0.62 [ -1.24,            | 0.01]  | 10.77 |  |  |
| Wang, 2018  | ← _                    | -                    | -0.53 [ -1.10,            | 0.03]  | 12.52 |  |  |
| Kurebayashi, 2022   | ←                      |                      | → -0.04 [ -1.09,          | 1.02]  | 4.21  |  |  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.02$ , $I^2 = 15.65\%$ , $H^2 = 1.19$ |                        |                      | -0.42 [ -0.71,            | -0.13] |       |  |  |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 2.31, p = 0.81           |                        |                      |                           |        |       |  |  |
| Yoga vs usual care  |                        |                      |                           |        |       |  |  |
| Ikai, 2013  |                        |                      | -0.19 [ -0.75,            | 0.36]  | 13.05 |  |  |
| Ikai, 2014  |                        | <b></b>              | -0.05 [ -0.60,            | 0.49]  | 13.30 |  |  |
| Ikai, 2017  |                        | <b> </b>             | -0.04 [ -0.59,            | 0.51]  | 13.09 |  |  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.52\%$ , $H^2 = 1.01$  |                        |                      | -0.10 [ -0.41,            | 0.22]  |       |  |  |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.18, p = 0.91           |                        |                      |                           |        |       |  |  |
|   | Intervention<br>better | Usual care<br>better |                           |        |       |  |  |
|   | -1 -0.5 (              | ) 0.5                | 1                         |        |       |  |  |

#### FIGURE 6

General PANSS scores in random-effects Sidik-Jonkman model. The between group difference of the aerobic or yoga compared to usual care is expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD). A negative difference means that the treatment resulted in a lower PANSS general score compared to the usual care, which is favorable.



#### FIGURE 7

Serious adverse events (relapsing or hospitalization) displayed in a fixed-effects Mantel-Haenszel model. The between group difference of exercise modalities compared to usual care is expressed as Risk Ratio (RR). A risk ratio below 1 means that the exercise intervention had lower risk while above 1 indicates higher risk when compared to the usual care.

exercise significantly improved negative symptoms related to schizophrenia (49). A more recent meta-analysis of 17 RCT's also found a benefit of exercise on negative symptoms for people with schizophrenia (50). Our study was unique in pooling all exercise as well as analyzing the effects of two different types of exercise (aerobic and yoga) compared to usual care on the effects of psychotic symptoms. We found improvements in psychotic symptoms in the intervention group compared to usual control, which is in agreement with the Cochrane reviews. We also found that aerobic exercise improved negative and general symptoms when compared to usual care, but yoga had no effect. One Cochrane review published in 2015 included 8 studies found that outcomes related to mental state, social functioning, and quality of life favored yoga compared to usual care (51). Our study could not confirm these findings because we did not evaluate these outcomes.

In another meta-analysis looking at mindful exercise compared to usual care, 7 studies were included and there was found to be a significant improvement in psychiatric symptoms and memory for the group that participated in the mindful exercise (52). Mindful exercise emphasizes deep, slow and relaxed breathing, and internal concentration to reduce stress by minimizing external stressors and improving mental focus (53). Although mindful exercise was not part of the interventions included in the current study, there could be an indirect effect of aerobic exercise and yoga on mindfulness, further supporting the benefits of exercise for people with psychosis.

### Clinical and research implications

This meta-analysis provided unique insight into the benefits of exercise in people with schizophrenia in a hospital setting. The study by Curcic et al. (29), showed the greatest effect in the meta-analysis (29). The intervention was a treadmill running program for 45 min at 65-75% of max HR, and the control group continued with standard care. In Curcic et al. (29), standard of care was pharmacotherapy (29). It is known that exercise at a higher intensity can help with symptoms like depression and anxiety, which may also contribute to the positive and negative symptoms experienced in people with schizophrenia (29). However, not all the running exercise studies included in this systematic review showed statistically or clinically significant improvements in the PANSS score compared to the control. This is likely because in some of the studies the control group was active control, and in one case the control group received the same intervention just without supervision (44).

One challenge to implementing an exercise program in a hospital setting is having access to personnel and resources. A study intervention allows for better implementation of an exercise program, because study personnel can run the program. Within the hospital setting, and outside of a research intervention, the program will rely on utilizing staff that are currently available. It is most feasible to rely on occupational and physical therapists to deliver exercise interventions. In the studies assessed in this review, one study intervention used occupational therapists to help with delivery (5), while none of the studies discussed the use of a physical therapist. Physical therapists are trained to provide exercise therapy safely and effectively. Recognizing the benefits that exercise has on psychiatric symptoms, exercise should be considered an essential part of treatment, and physical and occupational therapists should be used to administer the programs.

It is unclear how well received these programs were by the participants, as many studies did not report on adherence. However, in the studies that did report adherence the adherence ranged quite a bit with the lowest reported adherence as 36% adherence (42) and the highest reported adherence as 87% (36). In the study by Kwon et al. (42) with 36% adherence, participants had to attended 80% of the exercise sessions (42). The study by Ikai et al. (36) attribute their higher adherence to a flexible exercise schedule, and not requiring the participants to engage in exercise on their own (36). Based on these numbers it is not completely clear how well an exercise intervention is implemented into a hospital setting, but there seems to be some promising results, that even with a low adherence there may be benefit to psychiatric symptoms.

There were some adverse events reported, with 5 studies reporting serious adverse events, but suggested it was unrelated to the study protocol. For many of the studies contraindications to exercise was an exclusion criterion. That being said, due to increased movement, there is an increased risk of falling (54). It would be beneficial for all patients to undergo an exercise readiness screening, to assess balance, strength, and endurance prior to beginning the exercise program. As well, the exercise program should be modified for the individual, to provide maximal benefits and reduce the risk of exerciserelated injuries. Most participants had to be stable on their medications to be eligible to participate in the study. However, it's feasible to think there may be a risk to other participants or the instructor. It would be advised to follow hospital protocols to reduce the risk of behavior related adverse events. As well, relapse was another adverse event reported by five of the studies. It is not uncommon for patients with psychiatric symptoms to relapse, and it's possible that with more exercise symptoms can be better managed and there may be less relapse events.

Finally, there were some notable gaps in the literature reducing the generalizability of these results. All the participants were between the ages of 18 and 65. Unfortunately this restricts the generalizability to older adults. Future studies should evaluate whether exercise interventions are feasible in older adults within a hospital setting. It may be that the mental illness is further progressed, and their mobility is further declined requiring a different approach than adults. There is also likely a fear of older adults with psychotic symptoms and likely other comorbidities like dementia participating in exercise interventions. However, there is evidence to suggest that exercise can help manage the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (55). Trialing an exercise program in a hospital setting may be safer with extra personnel available.

## Strengths and limitations

Overall, this study demonstrated both strengths and limitations. The strength of this study was that we were able to conduct a meta-analysis in 9 trials, with the exercise intervention as whole and as two different exercise forms including yoga, and aerobic with no or very low statistical heterogeneity. The study effects and the overall effects of the meta-analysis demonstrated that the exercise intervention had a more pronounced effect, but the clinical benefit was uncertain. We also assessed the risk of bias to provide insight into the internal validity of the included studies. Although we believe we conducted a thorough literature search, where three databases, gray literature and reference lists were used, it's possible some trials may have been missed. Also, the results in this study demonstrate a low to moderate certainty, and need to be interpreted with caution, until more studies can corroborate our findings. Pharmacotherapy was used as part of the treatment which can have a strong effect on mood, psychotic symptoms as well as physiological side effects which could make the clinical benefits and effectiveness of the intervention less pronounced, suggesting a potentially greater effect of exercise than is seen in our meta-analysis.

## Conclusion

Aerobic intervention seems to be effective in reducing negative and general symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. However, we are uncertain if the effects of aerobic interventions were clinically important. We found no benefit of yoga when compared to standard of care. Additionally, the safety of exercise interventions needs to be monitored as less than half of the included trials reported adverse events. We found no increased risk on symptom relapsing or rehospitalizations for exercise interventions. Additional studies need to be conducted to corroborate these findings.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in this article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## References

## Author contributions

CZ, DS, and MD contributed to the idea of the study. CZ, PB, and RF identified the articles and extracted the data. CZ, JM, and PB contributed to the analysis of the study. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

## Funding

CZ and PB were supported by the CIHR doctoral award. PB was supported by the Arthritis Society Postdoctoral Fellowship training award. JM was supported by a Canada Research Chair in Musculoskeletal Health Outcomes and Knowledge Translation and the Dr. James Roth Chair in Musculoskeletal Measurement and Knowledge Translation.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyt.2022.807140/full#supplementary-material

<sup>1.</sup> Conus P, Cotton S, Schimmelmann BG, McGorry PD, Lambert M. The firstepisode psychosis outcome study: premorbid and baseline characteristics of an epidemiological cohort of 661 first-episode psychosis patients. *Early Intervent Psychiatry.* (2007) 1:191–200. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7893.2007.00026.x

<sup>2.</sup> Peralta V, Cuesta MJ. Psychometric properties of the positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* (1994) 53:31-40. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(94)90093-0

<sup>3.</sup> Karow A, Moritz S, Lambert M, Schoder S, Krausz M. PANSS syndromes and quality of life in schizophrenia. *Psychopathology.* (2005) 38:320–6. doi: 10.1159/000088921

<sup>4.</sup> Schimmelmann BG, Conus P, Edwards J, McGorry PD, Lambert M. Diagnostic stability 18 months after treatment initiation for firstepisode psychosis. *J Clin Psychiatry.* (2005) 66:1239. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v66n 1006

5. Scheewe TW, Backx FJ, Takken T, Jörg F, Van Strater AC, Kroes A, et al. Exercise therapy improves mental and physical health in schizophrenia: a randomised controlled trial. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2013) 127:464–73. doi: 10.1111/acps.12029

 Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Probst M, Soundy A, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, et al. Promotion of cardiorespiratory fitness in schizophrenia: a clinical overview and meta–analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2015) 132:131–43. doi: 10.1111/acps. 12407

7. American Psychiatric Association The American Psychiatric Association Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Schizophrenia. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Pub (2020). doi: 10.1176/appi.books.9780890424841

8. Damme KS, Sloan RP, Bartels MN, Ozsan A, Ospina LH, Kimhy D, et al. Psychosis risk individuals show poor fitness and discrepancies with objective and subjective measures. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-89301-5

9. Papiol S, Schmitt A, Maurus I, Rossner MJ, Schulze TG, Falkai P. Association between physical activity and schizophrenia: results of a 2-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2021) 78:441–4. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3946

10. Korman N, Armour M, Chapman J, Rosenbaum S, Kisely S, Suetani S, et al. High Intensity Interval training (HIIT) for people with severe mental illness: a systematic review & meta-analysis of intervention studies-considering diverse approaches for mental and physical recovery. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 284:112601. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112601

11. Rosenbaum S, Tiedemann A, Sherrington C, Curtis J, Ward PB. Physical activity interventions for people with mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry.* (2014) 75:964–74. doi: 10.4088/JCP.13r08765

12. Dauwan M, Begemann MJ, Heringa SM, Sommer IE. Exercise improves clinical symptoms, quality of life, global functioning, and depression in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Bull.* (2016) 42:588–99. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbv164

13. Firth J, Cotter J, Elliott R, French P, Yung AR. A systematic review and metaanalysis of exercise interventions in schizophrenia patients. *Psychol Med.* (2015) 45:1343–61. doi: 10.1017/S0033291714003110

14. American Psychiatric Association. Areas for Further Research in Individuals With Schizophrenia: The American Psychiatric Association Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Schizophrenia. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association (2022).

15. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* (2021) 74:790–9.

16. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *Bmj.* (2011) 343:d5928. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928

17. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction—GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol. (2011) 64:383–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026

18. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, et al. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence—imprecision. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2011) 64:1283–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.012

19. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, et al. GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence—indirectness. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2011) 64:1303–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.04.014

20. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence—publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2011) 64:1277–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.011

21. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2011) 64:407–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.017

22. Mustafa RA, Santesso N, Brozek J, Akl EA, Walter SD, Norman G, et al. The GRADE approach is reproducible in assessing the quality of evidence of quantitative evidence syntheses. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2013) 66:736–42. doi: 10.1016/j. jclinepi.2013.02.004

23. Harbord RM, Harris RJ, Sterne JA. Updated tests for small-study effects in meta-analyses. *Stata J.* (2009) 9:197–210. doi: 10.1177/1536867X0900900202

24. Sterne JA, Gavaghan D, Egger M. Publication and related bias in metaanalysis: power of statistical tests and prevalence in the literature. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2000) 53:1119–29. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(00)00242-0

25. Sterne JA, Egger M. Regression methods to detect publication and other bias in meta-analysis. In: Rothstein HR, Sutton AJ, Borenstein M editors. *Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis: Prevention, Assessment and Adjustments.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc (2005). p. 99–110. doi: 10.1002/0470870168.ch6

26. Deeks J, Higgins J, Altmann D. Incorporating heterogeneity into randomeffects models. In: Higgins JPT, Green S editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley–Blackwell (2013).

27. Kaltsatou A, Kouidi E, Fountoulakis K, Sipka C, Theochari V, Kandylis D, et al. Effects of exercise training with traditional dancing on functional capacity and quality of life in patients with schizophrenia: a randomized controlled study. *Clin Rehabil.* (2015) 29:882–91. doi: 10.1177/0269215514564085

28. Bang-Kittilsen G, Egeland J, Holmen TL, Bigseth TT, Andersen E, Mordal J, et al. High-intensity interval training and active video gaming improve neurocognition in schizophrenia: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2020) 271:339–53. doi: 10.1007/s00406-020-01200-4

29. Curcic D, Stojmenovic T, Djukic-Dejanovic S, Dikic N, Vesic-Vukasinovic M, Radivojevic N, et al. Positive impact of prescribed physical activity on symptoms of schizophrenia: randomized clinical trial. *Psychiatria Danubina*. (2017) 29:459–65. doi: 10.24869/psyd.2017.459

30. Sailer P, Wieber F, Pröpster K, Stoewer S, Nischk D, Volk F, et al. A brief intervention to improve exercising in patients with schizophrenia: a controlled pilot study with mental contrasting and implementation intentions (MCII). *BMC Psychiatry.* (2015) 15:211. doi: 10.1186/s12888-015-0513-y

31. Shimada T, Ito S, Makabe A, Yamanushi A, Takenaka A, Kawano K, et al. Aerobic exercise and cognitive functioning in schizophrenia: results of a 1-year follow-up from a randomized controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 286:112854. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112854

32. Su C-Y, Wang P-W, Lin Y-J, Tang T-C, Liu M-F, Chen M-D. The effects of aerobic exercise on cognition in schizophrenia: a 3-month follow-up study. *Psychiatry Res.* (2016) 244:394–402. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.08.011

33. Beebe LH, Tian L, Morris N, Goodwin A, Allen SS, Kuldau J. Effects of exercise on mental and physical health parameters of persons with schizophrenia. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* (2005) 26:661–76. doi: 10.1080/01612840590959551

34. Methapatara W, Srisurapanont M. Pedometer walking plus motivational interviewing program for Thai schizophrenic patients with obesity or overweight: a 12–week, randomized, controlled trial. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2011) 65:374–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2011.02225.x

35. Duraiswamy G, Thirthalli J, Nagendra H, Gangadhar B. Yoga therapy as an add—on treatment in the management of patients with schizophrenia–a randomized controlled trial. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2007) 116:226–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2007.01032.x

36. Ikai S, Uchida H, Suzuki T, Tsunoda K, Mimura M, Fujii Y. Effects of yoga therapy on postural stability in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2013) 47:1744–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.07.017

37. Ikai S, Suzuki T, Uchida H, Saruta J, Tsukinoki K, Fujii Y, et al. Effects of weekly one-hour Hatha yoga therapy on resilience and stress levels in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders: an eight-week randomized controlled trial. *J Altern Complement Med.* (2014) 20:823–30. doi: 10.1089/acm.2014. 0205

38. Ikai S, Uchida H, Mizuno Y, Tani H, Nagaoka M, Tsunoda K, et al. Effects of chair yoga therapy on physical fitness in patients with psychiatric disorders: a 12-week single-blind randomized controlled trial. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2017) 94:194–201. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.07.015

39. Manjunath R, Varambally S, Thirthalli J, Basavaraddi I, Gangadhar B. Efficacy of yoga as an add-on treatment for in-patients with functional psychotic disorder. *Indian J Psychiatry*. (2013) 55(Suppl 3):S374. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.116314

40. Oertel-Knöchel V, Mehler P, Thiel C, Steinbrecher K, Malchow B, Tesky V, et al. Effects of aerobic exercise on cognitive performance and individual psychopathology in depressive and schizophrenia patients. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2014) 264:589–604. doi: 10.1007/s00406-014-0485-9

41. Heggelund J, Nilsberg GE, Hoff J, Morken G, Helgerud J. Effects of high aerobic intensity training in patients with schizophrenia—a controlled trial. *Nordic J Psychiatry.* (2011) 65:269–75. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2011.56 0278

42. Kwon JS, Choi JS, Bahk WM, Kim CY, Kim CH, Shin YC, et al. Weight management program for treatment-emergent weight gain in olanzapine-treated patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder: a 12-week randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Psychiatry.* (2006) 67:547–53. doi: 10.4088/JCP. v67n0405

43. Attux C, Martini LC, Elkis H, Tamai S, Freirias A, Camargo MD, et al. A 6-month randomized controlled trial to test the efficacy of a lifestyle intervention for weight gain management in schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2013) 13:60. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-13-60

44. Brobakken MF, Nygård M, Güzey IC, Morken G, Reitan SK, Heggelund J, et al. Aerobic interval training in standard treatment of out-patients with

schizophrenia: a randomized controlled trial. Acta Psychiatr Scand. (2019) 140:498–507. doi: 10.1111/acps.13105

45. Li M, Fang J, Gao Y, Wu Y, Shen L, Yusubujiang Y, et al. Baduanjin mindbody exercise improves logical memory in long-term hospitalized patients with schizophrenia: a randomized controlled trial. *Asian J Psychiatry*. (2020) 51:102046. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102046

46. Khonsari NM, Badrfam R, Mohammdi MR, Rastad H, Etemadi F, Vafaei Z, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise as adjunct therapy on the improvement of negative symptoms and cognitive impairment in patients with schizophrenia: a randomized, case-control clinical trial. J Psychosocial Nurs Ment Health Serv. (2022) 60:38–43. doi: 10.3928/02793695-20211014-03

47. Kurebayashi Y, Mori K, Otaki J. Effects of mild–intensity physical exercise on neurocognition in inpatients with schizophrenia: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* (2021) [Online ahead of print]. doi: 10.1111/ppc. 12896

48. Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, Lawlor DA, Rimer J, Waugh FR, et al. Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2013) 12:CD004366. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub6

49. Gorczynski P, Faulkner G. Exercise therapy for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (2010) 5:CD004412. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004412.pub2

50. Sabe M, Kaiser S, Sentissi O. Physical exercise for negative symptoms of schizophrenia: systematic review of randomized controlled trials and metaanalysis. *General Hosp Psychiatry.* (2020) 62:13–20. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych. 2019.11.002

51. Broderick J, Knowles A, Chadwick J, Vancampfort D. Yoga versus standard care for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2015) 10:CD010554. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010554.pub2

52. Li J, Shen J, Wu G, Tan Y, Sun Y, Keller E, et al. Mindful exercise versus non-mindful exercise for schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* (2018) 32:17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2018.04.003

53. Bangalore NG, Varambally S. Yoga therapy for schizophrenia. Int J Yoga. (2012) 5:85. doi: 10.4103/0973-6131.98212

54. Zecevic AA, Salmoni AW, Speechley M, Vandervoort AA. Defining a fall and reasons for falling: comparisons among the views of seniors, health care providers, and the research literature. *Gerontologist.* (2006) 46:367–76. doi: 10.1093/geront/ 46.3.367

55. de Souto Barreto P, Demougeot L, Pillard F, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Rolland Y. Exercise training for managing behavioral and psychological symptoms in people with dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2015) 24:274–85. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2015.09.001

56. Loh SY, Abdullah A, Bakar AK, Thambu M, Jaafar NR. Structured walking and chronic institutionalized schizophrenia inmates: a pilot RCT study on quality of life. *Glob J Health Sci.* (2016) 8:238–48. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n 1p238

57. Varambally S, Gangadhar BN, Thirthalli J, Jagannathan A, Kumar S, Venkatasubramanian G, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of add-on yogasana intervention in stabilized outpatient schizophrenia: Randomized controlled comparison with exercise and waitlist. *Indian J Psychiatry*. (2012) 54:227–32. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545. 102414

58. Wang PW, Lin HC, Su CY, Chen MD, Lin KC, Ko CH, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise on improving symptoms of individuals with schizophrenia: A single blinded randomized control study. *Front Psychiatry.* (2018) 9:167. doi: 10. 3389/fpsyt.2018.00167