



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

However, long-term use of short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists (SABAs) can produce these deleterious effects and has been linked to proinflammatory cytokine release in the airway epithelium<sup>5</sup>; therefore, it could contribute to AHR.

A variety of treatment options may be considered for women with premenstrual asthma. We agree that ICS are important for attenuating type 2 inflammation and associated AHR, they can upregulate B2R (which may be important when given with LABA or a SABA reliever), and that a flexible ICS–formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) strategy is attractive. To date, however, evidence for this regimen comes from studies in a general asthma population and lacks clinical data specific to premenstrual asthma.

In patients with mild asthma, ICS–formoterol on demand (anti-inflammatory reliever strategy) is preferable to as-needed SABA alone, particularly for lowering rates of severe exacerbation.<sup>6</sup> However, for more severe asthma, this strategy may not provide the symptom control of regular ICS maintenance therapy in patients with good adherence to therapy. Most clinical evidence supporting flexible anti-inflammatory reliever or MART regimens is from studies evaluating low-dose budesonide–formoterol in mild asthma (eg, SYGMA 1 and 2, Novel START, PRACTICAL). Nevertheless, patients with premenstrual asthma tend to have more severe disease<sup>1</sup> and are not a directly comparable population.

Robust data support once-daily maintenance with medium- or high-dose ICS/LABA,<sup>7</sup> and these regimens should be considered treatment options for some patients with premenstrual asthma, especially those with good adherence. When considering treatment options for women with premenstrual asthma, as with any patient, a personalized approach is preferred. The patient's preferences, adherence, inhaler technique, characteristics, comorbidities, and modifiable risk factors should be considered.

Christine R. Jenkins, MBBS, MD, FRACP<sup>a</sup>  
Louis-Philippe Boulet, MD, FRCPC<sup>b</sup>  
Kim L. Lavoie, PhD, FCPA, FABMR<sup>c</sup>  
Chantal Raheison-Semjen, MD, PhD<sup>d,e</sup>  
Dave Singh, MD<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>George Institute for Global Health, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>b</sup>Québec Heart and Lung Institute, Laval University, Québec City, Québec, Canada

<sup>c</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Québec at Montreal and Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre, CIUSSS-NIM, Hôpital du Sacre-Coeur de Montreal, Montreal, Canada

<sup>d</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Guadeloupe, Guadeloupe, France

<sup>e</sup>INSERM U1219 EpiCene Team, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

<sup>f</sup>University of Manchester, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom.

Medical writing support for the development of this letter response, under the direction of the authors, was provided by James Currie of Ashfield MedComms, An Inizio Company, and funded by Novartis.

Conflicts of interest: C.R. Jenkins reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Sanofi-Genzyme; writing support and personal fees from Novartis; and grant and personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline, outside the submitted work. L.-P. Boulet reports research grants, consulting fees, and honoraria from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, and Sanofi-Regeneron; research grants from Amgen; and honoraria from Covis, outside the submitted work. K.L. Lavoie reports consulting fees and honoraria from AstraZeneca, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline, AbbVie, and Boehringer Ingelheim; consulting fees from Bausch and Sojecci, Inc; and honoraria from Astellas, Janssen, xFacto, and Respiplus, outside the submitted work. C. Raheison-Semjen reports grants and personal fees from Novartis, Chiesi, and AstraZeneca; and personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline, outside the submitted work. D. Singh reports personal fees from Aerogen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer

Ingelheim, Chiesi, Cipla, CSL Behring, Epiendo, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Glenmark, Gossamerbio, Kinaset, Menarini, Novartis, Pulmatrix, Sanofi, Synairgen, Teva, Theravance, and Verona, outside the submitted work.

Received for publication July 27, 2022; accepted for publication July 29, 2022.

Corresponding author: Christine R. Jenkins, MBBS, MD, FRACP, George Institute for Global Health, Level 5, 1 King St, Newtown, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. E-mail: christine.jenkins@sydney.edu.au.

## REFERENCES

1. Jenkins CR, Boulet LP, Lavoie KL, Raheison-Semjen C, Singh D. Personalized treatment of asthma: the importance of sex and gender differences. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022;10:963-71.e3.
2. Stewart K, Chan R, Lipworth B. Importance of  $\beta_2$ -receptor function in premenstrual asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022;10:2777.
3. Mills PJ, Ziegler MG, Nelesen RA, Kennedy BP. The effects of the menstrual cycle, race, and gender on adrenergic receptors and agonists. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1996; 60:99-104.
4. Tan KS, McFarlane LC, Lipworth BJ. Loss of normal cyclical beta 2 adrenoceptor regulation and increased premenstrual responsiveness to adenosine monophosphate in stable female asthmatic patients. *Thorax* 1997;52:608-11.
5. Oehme S, Mittag A, Schrödl W, Tarnok A, Nieber K, Abraham G. Agonist-induced  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor desensitization and downregulation enhance pro-inflammatory cytokine release in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2015;30:110-20.
6. O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, Barnes PJ, Zhong N, Keen C, et al. Inhaled combined budesonide-formoterol as needed in mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:1865-76.
7. van Zyl-Smit RN, Krüll M, Gessner C, Gon Y, Noga O, Richard A, et al. Once-daily mometasone plus indacaterol versus mometasone or twice-daily fluticasone plus salmeterol in patients with inadequately controlled asthma (PALLADIUM): a randomised, double-blind, triple-dummy, controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020;8:987-99.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2022.07.034>

## Different effect of inhaled and systemic corticosteroids on the outcome of COVID-19 among patients with asthma



### To the Editor:

We read with great interest the study by Ren et al,<sup>1</sup> which investigated the effect of allergic rhinitis (AR) and/or asthma on the risk of COVID-19 infection, severity, and mortality, and also assessed the impact of long-term AR and/or asthma medications on the outcomes of COVID-19. On the basis of the analysis of 770,557 adult participants who completed SARS-CoV-2 testing between March 16 and December 31, 2020, in the UK, they found that asthma would be a protective factor of SARS-CoV-2 infection among patients aged <65; however, asthma would be associated with a higher risk of COVID-19 hospitalization.<sup>1</sup> However, we have serious concerns about several important residual confounding factors, which might influence the results of this study.

First, the authors assessed the effect of several long-term medications for AR or asthma, including antihistamine,  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor agonists, and corticosteroid, but none of them showed association with COVID-19 infection or severity.<sup>1</sup> A previous study showed that the recent use of systemic corticosteroid was significantly associated with increased risk of both moderate-to-severe COVID-19 and all-cause mortality.<sup>2,3</sup> In contrast, several randomized controlled trials demonstrated the positive impact of inhaled budesonide on the outcome of COVID-19 among patients with asthma.<sup>4,5</sup> Therefore, we wonder whether the effect of systemic and inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) could differ. However, we only see that the number of events was lower in the ICS users than those

receiving systemic corticosteroids in Table E4. Further subgroup analysis according to the use of inhaled corticosteroids similar to the ones in Figure 2 is warranted to clarify this issue, and additional evaluation about a dose-response relationship is needed.

Second, the severity of asthma is another confounding factor affecting the outcome of COVID-19. One study using Swedish National Airway Register showed that patients with uncontrolled asthma and high disease burden, including increased asthma medication intensity, would be associated with an increased risk of severe COVID-19.<sup>6</sup> Similar findings were demonstrated in another national incident cohort study in Scotland.<sup>3</sup>

In conclusion, although Ren et al's study provided useful information, further analysis according to the use of corticosteroid and the severity of asthma is needed.

Chi-Kuei Hsu, MD<sup>a</sup>  
Chih-Cheng Lai, MD<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, E-Da Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Tainan Branch, Tainan, Taiwan.

No funding was received for this work.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no relevant conflicts of interest. Received for publication June 11, 2022; Revised June 15, 2022; accepted for publication June 16, 2022.

Corresponding author: Chih-Cheng Lai, MD, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Tainan Branch, No. 427, Fuxing Rd, Yongkang District, Tainan City 710, Taiwan. E-mail: dtmed141@gmail.com.

## REFERENCES

- Ren J, Pang W, Luo Y, Cheng D, Qiu K, Rao Y, et al. Impact of allergic rhinitis and asthma on COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and mortality. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022;10:124-33.
- Adir Y, Humbert M, Saliba W. COVID-19 risk and outcomes in adult asthmatic patients treated with biologics or systemic corticosteroids: nationwide real-world evidence. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021;148:361-7.e13.
- Shi T, Pan J, Katikireddi SV, McCowan C, Kerr S, Agrawal U, et al. Risk of COVID-19 hospital admission among children aged 5-17 years with asthma in Scotland: a national incident cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022;10:191-8.
- Agusti A, De Stefano G, Levi A, Munoz X, Romero-Mesones C, Sibila O, et al. Add-on inhaled budesonide in the treatment of hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a randomised clinical trial. *Eur Respir J* 2022;59:2103036.
- Yu LM, Bafadhel M, Dorward J, Hayward G, Saville BS, Gbinigie O, et al. Inhaled budesonide for COVID-19 in people at high risk of complications in the community in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. *Lancet* 2021;398:843-55.
- Karlsson Sundbaum J, Konradsen JR, Vanfleteren L, Fisk SA, Pedroletti C, Sjöo Y, et al. Uncontrolled asthma predicts severe COVID-19: a report from the Swedish National Airway Register. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2022;16:17534666221091183.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2022.06.051>

## Reply to "Different effect of inhaled and systemic corticosteroids on the outcome of COVID-19 among patients with asthma"



To the Editor:

We sincerely appreciate the interest of Hsu and Lai<sup>1</sup> in our recent publication in *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice* titled "Impact of allergic rhinitis and asthma

on COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and mortality."<sup>2</sup> For the 2 main concerns raised in their correspondence, our clarifications are as follows.

In terms of the first concern regarding whether the effect of systemic and inhaled corticosteroids on COVID-19 could differ, in fact, we had initially analyzed the association between inhaled corticosteroids and the infection, severity, and mortality of COVID-19 among patients with allergic rhinitis and/or asthma, and the results were not significant (Table I and Figures 1-3). Because inhaled corticosteroids actually included oral inhaled corticosteroids and intranasal corticosteroids, we separated them in the subgroup analysis. Because the number of oral inhaled corticosteroid patients (n = 251) was significantly smaller than that in the nasal spray group (n = 12,579), we ultimately presented the results of corticosteroid nasal sprays instead of the inhaled corticosteroids. In addition, regarding the dose-response relationship, no detailed data on dose or duration information were collected in the UK Biobank, so no further analysis of these medications could be performed.

Second, Hsu and Lai also highlighted the potential role of asthma severity in confounding or modifying the association between asthma and the outcome of COVID-19, as other studies<sup>3,4</sup> have shown that patients with uncontrolled asthma had an increased risk of severe COVID-19 compared with those without asthma or with well-controlled asthma. We also agree that the confounding effects of asthma severity cannot be ignored, but, unfortunately, there are no relevant data on asthma severity in the UK Biobank, thus limiting the analysis of the impact of asthma severity on COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and mortality in this study.

In conclusion, we concur that further research with more comprehensive data on medications and the severity of asthma is needed to reduce the confounding effects and better elucidate the relationship between asthma and COVID-19.

Lan Feng, MBBS<sup>a</sup>  
Wendu Pang, MD<sup>a</sup>  
Yaxin Luo, PMH<sup>b</sup>  
Jianjun Ren, PhD<sup>a,c</sup>  
Yu Zhao, MD, PhD<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>c</sup>West China Biomedical Big Data Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China.

This work was supported by West China Hospital, Sichuan University (grant numbers 2019HXFH003, ZYJC21027, and 2019HXBH079); Sichuan University (grant numbers GSALK2020021 and 2020SCU12049); the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (grant number 2022YFS0066); the Health Department of Sichuan Province (grant number 20PJ030); China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant number 2020M673250); and National Natural Youth Science Foundation of China (grant number 82002868).

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no relevant conflicts of interest. UK Biobank ethical approval was from the North West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee. The current analysis was approved under the UKB application (Applicant Number: 69718).

Received for publication June 30, 2022; accepted for publication July 7, 2022.

Corresponding author: Yu Zhao, MD, PhD, Department of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guoxue Lane, Wuhou District, Chengdu City, Sichuan Province 610041, China. E-mail: yutzhao@VIP.163.com.