## BRIEF REPORT

Taylor & Francis

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

# Discovery of benzamide-hydroxypyridinone hybrids as potent multi-targeting agents for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease

Xiaoying Jiang<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Jianan Guo<sup>a</sup>, Changjun Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Jinping Gu<sup>a</sup>, Tao Zhou<sup>d</sup>, Renren Bai<sup>e,f</sup> and Yuanyuan Xie<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Pharmaceutical Science, Collaborative Innovation Centre of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China; <sup>b</sup>College of Material, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Key Laboratory of Organosilicon Chemistry and Material Technology, Ministry of Education, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>c</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, China; <sup>d</sup>School of Food Science and Biotechnology, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>e</sup>School of Pharmacy, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>f</sup>Key Laboratory of Elemene Class Anti-Cancer Chinese Medicines, Engineering Laboratory of Development and Application of Traditional Chinese Medicines, Collaborative Innovation Center of Traditional Chinese Medicines of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China

#### ABSTRACT

A novel class of benzamide-hydroxypyridinone (HPO) derivatives were innovatively designed, synthesised, and biologically evaluated as potential multitargeting candidates for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease (AD) through pharmacophores-merged approaches based on lead compounds **18d**, benzyloxy phenyl analogs, and deferiprone (DFP). These hybrids possessed potent Monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) inhibition as well as excellent iron chelation, with pFe<sup>3+</sup> values ranging from 18.13 to 19.39. Among all the compounds, **8g** exhibited the most potent selective MAO-B inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub> = 68.4 nM, SI = 213). Moreover, **8g** showed favourable pharmacokinetic properties and had great potential to penetrate the BBB *in silico* and PAMPA-BBB assay. Molecular modelling showed that **8g** could adopt an extended conformation and have more enhanced interactions with MAO-B than **18d**. *In vitro* and *in vivo* assays demonstrated that **8g** remarkably resisted A $\beta$ -induced oxidation and ameliorated cognitive impairment induced by scopolamine. Taken collectively, these results suggest that compound **8g** is a potential multifunctional candidate for anti-AD treatment.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 6 July 2021 Revised 10 August 2021 Accepted 3 September 2021

# **KEYWORDS**

Alzheimer's disease; multifunctional candidate; benzamide-hydroxypyridinones; iron chelators; MAO-B inhibitors

## 1. Introduction

With the development of society and the improvement of living standards, human life expectancy has been extended and population ageing has swept the world. The prevalence of dementia is increased with ageing and longevity, especially Alzheimer's disease (AD). AD is an irrevocable progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterised by a progressive deterioration in memory, incoherent language, cognitive impairments, and behavioural abnormalities<sup>1</sup>. In recent years, it has affected about 50 million people worldwide and it is expected to increase four times by 2050<sup>2</sup>. The incidence rate will also continue to rise, placing a heavy burden on families and societies. Therefore, AD has become a major socio-economic and healthcare concern which has led to an urgent need to develop novel and more efficient anti-AD drugs.

The pathogenesis of AD is still enigmatic and complicated. Many factors, such as loss of acetylcholine (ACh)<sup>3,4</sup>, aggregation of A $\beta^5$ , hyperphosphorylation of tau protein<sup>6</sup>, disturbance of biometallic homeostasis<sup>7</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>8</sup>, neuroinflammation, and activation of microglia cells<sup>9</sup>, are all considered to play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of AD and possess complicated interconnections. The recognised multifactorial nature of AD and its consequent complexity is thought to account for the absence of effective drugs based on a single target. Therefore, the multitarget-directed ligands (MTDLs) can simultaneously intervene in more than two AD pathogenesis and may achieve better therapeutic outcomes when the mechanisms of action are complimentary<sup>10,11</sup>.

An elevated level of iron has been demonstrated to be associated with a variety of pathogenesis of AD. The higher iron levels in AD patients will stimulate the expression of amyloid protein precursor (APP) gene and tan protein, which leads to binding to A $\beta$  and tau protein, further promoting A $\beta$  aggregation and tau hyperphosphorylation<sup>12</sup>. The excess iron ions can also activate microglia cells to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), causing mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and neuronal death<sup>13</sup>. In addition, the hydrogen peroxide produced by the oxidation of neurotransmitters was catalysed by MAO-B, which will further participate in the free radical reaction catalysed by iron and then aggravate oxidative stress<sup>14,15</sup>. Therefore, we believed that combining two major functions (MAO-B inhibition and metal chelation) into a single molecule may afford a promising multifunctional therapeutic strategy for AD therapy (metal chelation, MAO-B inhibition,  $A\beta$  aggregation inhibition, and antioxidant activity).

CONTACT Renren Bai 🐼 renrenbai@hznu.edu.cn, renrenbai@126.com 💽 School of Pharmacy, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China; Yuanyuan Xie 🐼 xyycz@zjut.edu.cn 💽 Key Laboratory for Green Pharmaceutical Technologies and Related Equipment of Ministry of Education, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Deferiprone (DFP), a typical orally active hydroxypyridinone (HPO) iron chelator, has been widely used clinically for the treatment of thalassaemia<sup>16</sup>. It has also been involved in many clinical trials to treat AD and Parkinson's disease (PD) because of their ability to remove excess iron from the brain<sup>17,18</sup>. HPOs have high selectivity and affinity for iron which can form steady neutral 3:1 iron complexes at physiological pH, enabling these complexes to easily penetrate cell membranes through simple diffusion and facilitate iron removal from iron-overload cells<sup>19,20</sup>. Many studies have reported HPO derivatives as MTDLs with potential efficacy in the treatment of AD<sup>21–24</sup>.

In our previous work, some coumarin-HPO derivatives were designed and biologically evaluated as multitargeted iron chelators<sup>25–27</sup>. As a continuation of this research, we present the design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a class of novel benzamide-HPO derivatives as multitargeting iron chelators with potent anti-AD effects here.

The main rational design for these novel benzamide-HPO hybrids was through pharmacophores-merged approaches based on lead compound 18d<sup>27</sup>, benzyloxy acetophenone, and DFP. According to our previous research, most of the coumarin-HPO hybrids possess certain MAO-B inhibitory activity and excellent iron-chelating activity<sup>27</sup>. The structure-activity relationship (SAR) shows that C-2 substituted HPOs and C-7 benzyloxy or alkoxyl substituted coumarins were optimal, and the amido bond enhanced the interaction with MAO-B. Compound 18d was demonstrated to be a promising MTDL. However, these compounds have disadvantages of poor solubility, poor permeability, and relatively low Log P and Log BB values, which may be due to the lactone ring of coumarin. Moreover, benzyloxy phenyl and its analogs have been reported to possess preferable MAO-B inhibition with high selectivity and potent inhibition, such as safinamide<sup>28–30</sup>. Therefore, to improve the activities and physicochemical properties, the structure was simplified and optimised based on 18d. A novel class of benzamide-HPO hybrids was innovatively designed and synthesised based on pharmacophores-merged approaches (Figure 1).

# 2. Results and discussion

#### 2.1. Chemistry

The synthetic strategies for the benzamide-HPO hybrids **8a–y** and **11a–c** are presented in Schemes 1–4. According to previous research, the biological activities of 2-methyl substituted HPOs are optimal. For the protective group of 3-hydroxyl on HPOs, 4-methoxybenzyl was more easily removed than the benzyl group<sup>27</sup>. Therefore, to reduce the difficulty of selective deprotection, 4-methoxylbenzyl chloride was used to protect the 3-hydroxyl group of commercially available maltol **1** (Scheme 1). Then compound **2** was reacted with ethylenediamine to produce intermediates **3** under alkaline conditions<sup>27</sup>.

The synthesis of alkoxy and benzyloxy substituted benzoic acids was shown in Scheme 2. The *O*-alkylated or *O*-benzylated products **5a**–**r** with moderate yields were obtained by the reaction of *o*-, *m*-, and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids **4a–c** with benzyl bromides or alkyl bromides in ethanol/water with KOH<sup>31</sup>.

Subsequently, amide derivatives **7a-v** and **10a-c** were formed by activation of carboxyl groups of benzoic acids **5a-r/6a-d** and pyridinecarboxylic acids **9a-c**, which using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), 2-mercaptothiazoline, and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP). Selective deprotection of **7a-v** and **10a-c** was achieved by appropriate equivalent BCl<sub>3</sub>, providing the designed compounds **8a-v** and **11a-c** as white solids in excellent yields. However, to obtain compounds **8w-y**, the methoxy groups on compound **7b-d** were removed by BBr<sub>3</sub> (Schemes 3 and 4).

#### 2.2. Iron-chelating activity test

3-Hydroxypyridin-4-ones have high affinity and selectivity for Fe<sup>3+</sup>. Because of the competition effect in aqueous solutions at different pH values, the superior selectivity and affinity for Fe<sup>3+</sup> derived from the extensive delocalisation of electrons in its resonance structures (Scheme 5)<sup>20</sup>. In biological conditions, the pFe<sup>3+</sup> value is a more useful parameter than the traditional stability constant in assessing the affinity of ligands for Fe<sup>3+</sup>. It was defined as the



Figure 1. Rational design of benzamide-HPO hybrids as MTDLs.



Scheme 1. Synthetic route of compound 3.<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: (i) 4-methoxylbenzyl chloride, K2CO3, DMF, 80 °C, 2 h. (ii) Ethylenediamine, NaOH, ethanol: water = 1.1:1 (v/v), 70 °C, 1.5 h.



-2

| <b>5a</b> , R <sup>-</sup> = 2-0Bh                                      | 5g, R <sup>2</sup> = 4-cyclonexylmethoxy                 | <b>5m</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(3,5-F <sub>2</sub> -OBn) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>5b</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 3-OBn                                      | <b>5h</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(3-F-OBn)                 | 5n, R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-CF <sub>3</sub> -OBn)          |
| <b>5c</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-OBn                                      | <b>5i</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-F-OBn)                 | 50, R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(3-CH <sub>3</sub> -OBn)          |
| <b>5d</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>         | <b>5j</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(3-CI-OBn)                | <b>5p</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-CH <sub>3</sub> -OBn)  |
| 5e, R <sup>2</sup> = 4-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> | <b>5k</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-CI-OBn)                | <b>5q</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-iPr-OBn)               |
| <b>5f</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-OH <sub>2</sub> CC≡CH                    | <b>5I</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(2,5-F <sub>2</sub> -OBn) | <b>5r</b> , R <sup>2</sup> = 4-(4-tBu-OBn)               |

**Scheme 2.** Synthetic route of compounds **5**.<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: (i) alkyl or benzyl bromides, KOH, ethanol: water = 2:1 (v/v), reflux, 5–30 h.

negative logarithm of the concentration of free Fe<sup>3+</sup> in solution at pH 7.4 ( $[Fe^{3+}]_{total} = 10^{-6}$  M,  $[Iigand]_{total} = 10^{-5}$  M)<sup>20</sup>. Therefore, the pK<sub>a</sub> values of compounds and their affinity constants for Fe<sup>3+</sup> were measured (Table 1)<sup>32</sup>.

Similar to the previous research, because of the amide bond, all compounds were fitting to three  $pK_a$  values by spectrophotometric and speciation plot analysis, such as compounds 8a and **8g** (Figure 2)<sup>27</sup>. There was no doubt that the  $pK_{a1}$  (<3.40) was attributed to the protonation of the 4-carbonyl oxygen group, the  $pK_{a2}$  (9.55–9.99) was belonging to the dissociation of the 3-OH group. These compounds were all determined in 0.1 M KCl aqueous solution, indicating that they have good water solubility. The spectrophotometric titration yielded two main  $pK_a$  values for all compounds over the pH range 2.0-10.5, such as 8a and 8g, which are 3.43, 9.81 and 3.38, 9.69, respectively. It could be seen that the ionisation equilibrium of compounds is pH-dependent and they possess uncharged property in the pH range of 6.0-8.0 (Figure 2). Obviously, the  $pK_{a1}$  values of compounds were almost all lower than the corresponding value of DFP. This is because that the substitutional groups on 1-nitrogen affect the negative charge delocalisation of 4-carbonyl oxygen.

The affinity constants for Fe<sup>3+</sup> (log  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$ ) were also analysed according to the absorption spectra of speciation between Fe<sup>3+</sup> with ligands at different pH solutions. The pFe<sup>3+</sup> values were then calculated based on the  $pK_a$  values and the above three affinity constants. All compounds exhibited excellent pFe<sup>3+</sup> values (18.13–19.39) (Table 1). Compounds **8g**, **8l**, and **8u** exhibited the most potent iron chelation with pFe<sup>3+</sup> values of







Scheme 4. Synthetic route of compounds 11.<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: (i) (1) 9a-c, DCC, DMAP, 2-mercaptothiazoline, DCM, r.t., 24 h; (2) 3, DCM, r.t., 24 h. (ii) BCl<sub>3</sub>, anhydrous DCM, -48 °C to r.t., 12 h.



Scheme 5. Resonance structures and proton equilibria of 8g.

| Compound         | pK <sub>a1</sub> | pK <sub>a2</sub> | Log $\beta_1^{b}$ | $\log \beta_2^c$ | $\log \beta_3^c$ | pFe <sup>3+</sup> |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 8a               | 3.43             | 9.81             | 14.93             | 26.35            | 35.83            | 19.14             |
| 8b               | 3.41             | 9.88             | 14.64             | 26.20            | 35.45            | 18.56             |
| 8c               | 3.37             | 9.83             | 14.68             | 26.17            | 35.55            | 18.80             |
| 8d               | 3.34             | 9.82             | 14.52             | 25.95            | 35.25            | 18.53             |
| 8e               | 3.38             | 9.99             | 14.66             | 26.46            | 35.64            | 18.43             |
| 8f               | 3.38             | 9.92             | 14.75             | 26.85            | 35.33            | 18.38             |
| 8g               | 3.38             | 9.69             | 14.60             | 26.04            | 35.65            | 19.31             |
| 8ĥ               | 3.27             | 9.80             | 14.67             | 26.06            | 35.33            | 18.67             |
| 8i               | 3.41             | 9.84             | 14.56             | 25.98            | 35.18            | 18.40             |
| 8j               | 3.41             | 9.88             | 14.67             | 26.14            | 35.24            | 18.35             |
| 8k               | 3.21             | 9.89             | 14.44             | 26.31            | 35.84            | 18.91             |
| 81               | 3.51             | 9.74             | 14.76             | 26.72            | 35.87            | 19.39             |
| 8m               | 3.62             | 9.76             | 15.03             | 26.77            | 35.31            | 18.81             |
| 8n               | 3.32             | 9.55             | 14.64             | 25.95            | 34.39            | 18.51             |
| 80               | 3.33             | 9.63             | 14.43             | 26.09            | 34.55            | 18.44             |
| 8p               | 3.27             | 9.82             | 14.47             | 26.58            | 35.44            | 18.74             |
| 8q               | 3.27             | 9.82             | 14.61             | 26.63            | 35.73            | 19.01             |
| 8r               | 3.25             | 9.78             | 14.48             | 26.01            | 34.98            | 18.39             |
| 8s               | 3.31             | 9.86             | 14.67             | 26.67            | 35.67            | 18.85             |
| 8t               | 3.12             | 9.93             | 14.45             | 26.42            | 35.19            | 18.18             |
| 8u               | 3.33             | 9.58             | 14.67             | 25.58            | 35.36            | 19.35             |
| 8v               | 3.29             | 9.56             | 14.69             | 25.51            | 35.20            | 19.25             |
| 8w               | 3.47             | 9.68             | 14.45             | 25.69            | 34.63            | 18.34             |
| 8x               | 3.28             | 9.75             | 14.67             | 25.93            | 34.96            | 18.46             |
| 8y               | 3.33             | 9.95             | 14.61             | 26.07            | 35.42            | 18.31             |
| 11a              | 3.35             | 9.86             | 14.52             | 25.92            | 35.10            | 18.27             |
| 11b              | 3.09             | 9.79             | 14.40             | 25.68            | 34.75            | 18.13             |
| 11c              | 3.15             | 9.81             | 14.74             | 26.21            | 35.58            | 18.89             |
| DFP              | 3.64             | 9.79             | 14.75             | 26.04            | 34.84            | 18.24             |
| DFP              | 3.64             | 9.79             | 14.86             | 27.13            | 36.76            | 20.12             |
| DFP <sup>d</sup> | 3.61             | 9.78             | 15.03             | 27.42            | 37.35            | 20.74             |

<sup>a</sup>Measured in KCl (0.1 M).

<sup>b</sup>Measured in KCI (0.1 M): DMSO = 9:1 (v/v).

<sup>c</sup>Measured in KCI (0.1 M): DMSO = 3:2 (v/v).

<sup>d</sup>Measured in KCI (0.1 M) which was from reference<sup>19</sup>.

19.31, 19.39, and 19.35, respectively, which were higher than that of DFP (pFe<sup>3+</sup> = 18.24) under the same experimental conditions. As found with the speciation plot of compound **8g**, the neutral 3:1 complexes dominated over the pH range 6–9 (Figure 3).

SAR showed that the 4-carbonyl and 3-hydroxyl groups on the HPO ring were necessary for iron chelation. The para- and metasubstitutions on the benzene ring showed better iron chelation than ortho-substitutions. Compounds with 4-bulky alkoxy, 4-benzyloxy, and 4-benzyloxy substituted by electron-withdrawing groups on the benzene ring exhibited good iron chelation. While the benzene ring replaced by pyridine ring exhibited relatively poor iron chelation. In general, all benzamide-HPO hybrids show favourable iron-chelating ability.

### 2.3. Human MAO-B inhibition assay

The MAO-B inhibitory ability of all benzamide-HPO hybrids was measured. As shown in Table 2, the inhibitory rate at the

concentration of 1  $\mu$ M and 100 nM were firstly screened using pargyline as the positive control. Most compounds displayed remarkable MAO-B inhibition with an inhibitory rate ranging from 60 to 80% at 1  $\mu$ M. Compound **81** showed more than 80% inhibitory effect but was still weaker than pargyline. When tested at 100 nM, most compounds exhibited MAO-B inhibitory rate between 40 and 50%. Five compounds (**8a**, **8g**, **8i**, **8l**, and **8m**) displayed inhibitory effects over 50%, which is superior to pargyline.

The IC<sub>50</sub> values of 20 compounds with favourable MAO-B inhibition were subsequently measured (Tables 3 and 4). Most of the compounds exerted IC<sub>50</sub> values between 100 and 200 nM. There was no doubt that five compounds (**8a**, **8g**, **8i**, **8i**, and **8m**) mentioned above also possessed much more potent MAO-B inhibition than pargyline, with IC<sub>50</sub> values below 100 nM. Compound **8g** exhibited the highest inhibition and good selectivity for MAO-B (IC<sub>50</sub> = 68.4 nM, SI = 213), which was demonstrated to be the most potent one. However, it is interesting that the compounds without benzyloxy phenyl motif (**8a**, **8i**) still showed available inhibition of MAO-B, with IC<sub>50</sub> values very similar to that of compound **8g**, suggesting that benzyl may not be the key pharmacophore. This may provide useful guidance for us to design more concise and efficient compounds in the future.

The SAR study indicated that the para- and ortho-substitutions on the benzene ring exhibited better MAO-B inhibition than meta-substitutions. When substitutions were all on the para-benzene ring, compounds with saturated alkoxy with long chains, benzyloxy, and benzyloxy substituted by single electron-withdrawing groups at para-phenyl ring exhibited better inhibition effect on MAO-B. Moreover, poor MAO-B inhibition was obtained when the benzene ring was replaced by a pyridine ring.

#### 2.4. Prediction of drug-like properties and BBB permeability

To further understand the drug-likeness, the molecular properties of these new hybrids were predicted and performed by molinspiration (http://www.molinspiration.com). It was found that miLog p-values of HPOs were closer to the experimentally measured values than those calculated by other programs<sup>33</sup>. All the compounds were in accordance with Lipinski's rules and Veber's rules. They also had appropriate topological polar surface area (TPSA) values except for **8a** because low TPSA (<75 Å<sup>2</sup>) may increase the risk of non-specific toxicity<sup>34</sup>. Subsequently, the BBB permeability is very critical for anti-AD compounds. Log BB was calculated with Clark's equation while compounds with a Log BB value <-1.0 are not likely to enter the brain (Table S1)<sup>35</sup>. Therefore, 19 compounds (8b, 8e-8v) possessed preferable drug-likeness with appropriate solubility and permeability. 8g was found to be the optimum compound (miLog P = 1.87, Log BB = -0.77) when simultaneously possessed good iron chelation and MAO-B inhibition.



Figure 2. pH dependence of UV spectra of compounds 8a and 8g in 0.1 M KCl over the pH range 2.0–10.5 at 25 °C. (A-1/B-1) The 2D titration spectra of compound 8a/8g. (A-2/B-2) Speciation plots of compound 8a/8g.



**Figure 3.** pH dependence of UV–Vis spectra of compound **8g** in  $1.0 \,\mu$ M Fe<sup>3+</sup> at 25 °C. (A) The spectra of compound **8g** ( $1.1 \,\mu$ M) over the pH range 1.113-2.183 in DMSO: 0.1 M KCI = 1:9 ( $\nu/\nu$ ). (B) The spectra of compound **8g** ( $5.0 \,\mu$ M) over the pH range 2.534-9.069 in DMSO: 0.1 M KCI = 2:3 ( $\nu/\nu$ ). (C) Speciation plot of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and compound **8g**.

Another two *in silico* methods (ADMETlab and admetSAR) were also applied to predict the BBB permeability of compound **8g**<sup>36,37</sup>. This reliable classification model was built by machine learning ways and resampling methods<sup>38</sup>. As shown in Table 5, compound **8g** was classified as BBB + with a probability of 0.631 and 0.8164, respectively.

Certainly, the parallel artificial membrane permeation assay (PAMPA) was carried out to assess the capacity of **8g** to penetrate into the brain<sup>39</sup>. We have identified the effective permeability ( $P_e$ ) for seven commercial drugs with known CNS penetration as well as for the compound **8g** (Table 6). The standard concentration-absorbance curve for each compound was shown in the Supporting Information (Table S1). According to the BBB

permeation limits defined by Di et al.<sup>39</sup>, compounds with  $P_e > 4.0$  were possessing high permeation, with  $P_e < 2.0$  were showing low permeation, and with  $2.0 < P_e < 4.0$  were displaying uncertain permeability. Compound **8g** showed  $P_e$  values above 4.0, suggesting that compound **8g** has a high potential to cross the BBB by passive diffusion.

#### 2.5. Molecular modelling

The potential binding sites of optimum compound **8g** with MAO-B (PDB: 2V5Z) was shown in Figure 4, which was investigated by molecular docking. The ligand formed an extended conformation

Table 2. MAO-B inhibitory rate of all compounds.

| Compound | Inhibitory rate ± SEM<br>(%, 1 μM) | Inhibitory rate ± SEM<br>(%, 100 nM) | Compound  | Inhibitory rate±SEM<br>(%,1 μM) | Inhibitory rate ± SEM<br>(%, 100 nM) |
|----------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 8a       | 77.65 ± 0.60                       | 57.78 ± 1.02                         | 8p        | 65.11 ± 1.47                    | 41.41 ± 2.45                         |
| 8b       | 59.93 ± 1.57                       | 38.78 ± 1.16                         | 8q        | $63.89 \pm 0.67$                | 49.70 ± 4.22                         |
| 8c       | 59.97 ± 0.14                       | 31.91 ± 0.85                         | 8r        | $63.44 \pm 4.06$                | $46.48 \pm 6.99$                     |
| 8d       | $63.00 \pm 3.90$                   | $36.02 \pm 0.15$                     | 8s        | $71.33 \pm 1.02$                | $49.34 \pm 2.58$                     |
| 8e       | 51.47 ± 1.06                       | 33.08 ± 1.43                         | 8t        | $64.10 \pm 0.82$                | 45.13 ± 1.62                         |
| 8f       | 54.57 ± 0.51                       | 37.93 ± 1.22                         | 8u        | $62.92 \pm 0.51$                | $41.48 \pm 1.94$                     |
| 8g       | $78.29 \pm 0.16$                   | $50.92 \pm 1.50$                     | 8v        | $64.25 \pm 1.94$                | 48.90 ± 1.93                         |
| 8ĥ       | $66.75 \pm 0.34$                   | 48.07 ± 1.57                         | 8w        | $56.43 \pm 0.24$                | $44.38 \pm 0.23$                     |
| 8i       | $53.41 \pm 0.31$                   | $50.34 \pm 2.16$                     | 8x        | $55.75 \pm 0.03$                | $43.63 \pm 0.34$                     |
| 8j       | 58.63 ± 1.18                       | 38.71 ± 0.94                         | 8y        | $55.28 \pm 1.91$                | 37.61 ± 1.01                         |
| 8k       | $63.27 \pm 0.92$                   | $39.49 \pm 2.38$                     | 11a       | $61.48 \pm 0.58$                | $34.04 \pm 5.19$                     |
| 81       | 84.89 ± 3.51                       | $51.43 \pm 2.42$                     | 11b       | $60.84 \pm 1.99$                | $34.13 \pm 1.58$                     |
| 8m       | $70.86 \pm 2.51$                   | 50.44 ± 3.89                         | 11c       | 69.71 ± 2.38                    | $29.15 \pm 2.14$                     |
| 8n       | $72.42 \pm 3.26$                   | $47.66 \pm 6.06$                     | Pargyline | $91.59 \pm 0.09$                | $50.30 \pm 0.73$                     |
| 80       | $63.61 \pm 4.14$                   | $48.53 \pm 4.37$                     | 57        |                                 |                                      |

Table 3. The  $IC_{50}$  values against MAO-B of the selected compounds.

| Compound | IC <sub>50</sub> (nM) | Compound  | IC <sub>50</sub> (nM) |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 8a       | 79.0±0.30             | 8q        | 93.8±6.48             |
| 8d       | 210.8 ± 1.21          | 8r        | $187.7 \pm 0.42$      |
| 8g       | $68.4 \pm 6.05$       | 8s        | $122.3 \pm 0.21$      |
| 8h       | $119.2 \pm 2.76$      | 8t        | $117.9 \pm 4.24$      |
| 8i       | 89.1 ± 10.09          | 8u        | $127.9 \pm 3.96$      |
| 8k       | $188.9 \pm 7.51$      | 8v        | 123.8 ± 1.32          |
| 81       | $96.4 \pm 6.78$       | 8w        | $121.5 \pm 0.86$      |
| 8m       | $82.8\pm0.80$         | 8x        | 120.8 ± 1.94          |
| 8n       | $110.9 \pm 1.10$      | 11b       | $247.8 \pm 9.56$      |
| 80       | $138.9 \pm 1.74$      | Pargyline | $107.3 \pm 8.80$      |
| 8р       | $174.6 \pm 6.73$      |           |                       |

Table 4. The IC<sub>50</sub> values of compound 8g against MAO-A and MAO-B.

|           | IC <sub>50</sub> ± | SEM (nM)        |                 |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Compound  | MAO-B              | MAO-A           | Sl <sup>a</sup> |
| 8g        | $68.4 \pm 6.05$    | 14582 ± 231.50  | 213             |
| Pargyline | $107.3 \pm 8.80$   | $4189 \pm 5.00$ | 39              |

 $^{a}SI$  (selectivity index) =  $IC_{50}$  (MAO-A)/IC\_{50} (MAO-B), which represents the selectivity for the MAO-B isoform.

Table 5. The BBB permeability of compound 8g is predicted by ADMETIab and admetSAR.

| Property         | Value      | Probability |
|------------------|------------|-------------|
| BBB <sup>a</sup> | Category 1 | 0.631       |
| BBB <sup>b</sup> | Category + | 0.8164      |

 $^a$  Predicted by ADMETIab: Category 1: BBB+; Category 0: BBB-; BB ratio  $\geq$  0.1: BBB+; BB ratio < 0.1: BBB-.

<sup>b</sup>Predicted by admetSAR: Category -: BBB-, Category +: BBB+.

between the substrate and entrance cavities. The benzyloxyphenyl of **8g** has formed some lipophilic binding interactions with Tyr 326, lle 316, lle 199, Leu 164, Pro 104, Leu 171, and Cys 172 in the hydrophobic entrance cavity. The carbonyl oxygen of amide forms strong hydrogen bond interaction with Cys 172, NH forms strong hydrogen bond interaction with Leu 171, and also interacts with Gln 206 and Tyr 435 to some extent, indicating that the introduction of amide bond enhanced the interaction with enzyme and result in its good inhibitory activity against MAO-B. Furthermore, the hydroxyl of HPO forms Pi-sulfur interaction with Lys 296 and forms a hydrogen bond with the FAD cofactor. The methyl of HPO forms Pi-alkyl interaction with Tyr 398, which indicated that HPO also works as a critical segment for interacting with MAO-B. Therefore, all these interactions may explain the preferable activity of compound **8g**.

**Table 6.** The permeability ( $P_{er}$ , 10<sup>-6</sup> cm/s) results of compound **8g** and commercial drugs in the PAMPA-BBB assay and their prediction of CNS penetrability.

| 5              | ,                        |                          |                         |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Compound       | $P_e \pm \text{SEM}^{a}$ | Pe                       | Prediction <sup>d</sup> |
| Donepezil      | $10.44 \pm 0.98$         | $7.3 \pm 0.9^{b}$        | CNS +                   |
| Testosterone   | 14.38 ± 1.53             | 17 <sup>c</sup>          | CNS +                   |
| Tacrine        | $4.12 \pm 0.45$          | 5.3 ± 0.19 <sup>b</sup>  | CNS +                   |
| Hydrocortisone | $2.00 \pm 0.04$          | 1.9 <sup>c</sup>         | CNS ±                   |
| Piroxicam      | $2.05 \pm 0.04$          | 2.5 <sup>c</sup>         | CNS ±                   |
| Atenolol       | $0.45 \pm 0.25$          | 0.8 <sup>c</sup>         | CNS —                   |
| Theophylline   | $0.12 \pm 0.01$          | 1.07 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup> | CNS —                   |
| 8g             | $4.07 \pm 0.44$          |                          | CNS +                   |
|                |                          |                          |                         |

<sup>a</sup>Data were from eight independent experiments.

<sup>b</sup>Data were from reference<sup>39</sup>

<sup>c</sup>Data were from reference<sup>40</sup>

 $^{\rm d}{\rm CNS}$  +:  $P_e~(10^{-6}\,{\rm cm/s})$  > 4.0, high BBB permeability; CNS ±: 2.0 <  $P_e~(10^{-6}\,{\rm cm/s})$  < 4.0, uncertain BBB permeability; CNS –:  $P_e~(10^{-6}\,{\rm cm/s})$  < 2.0, low BBB permeability.

# 2.6. Antioxidant activity assays

Aβ deposition and peroxidation are also important clinical features of AD. Therefore, we subsequently assessed the antioxidation of compound **8g**, using 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA) to detect intracellular ROS generation on the PC12 cell line derived from neural pheochromocytoma. Based on our previous research, the concentration of 10 μM of compound **8g** was chosen in this test. As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the fluorescence intensity of cells containing A $\beta_{1-42}$  was much higher than normal cells, indicating that Aβ deposition would cause oxidative stress to a certain extent. When compound **8g** was adopted, it was surprisingly found that the A $\beta_{1-42}$ -induced intracellular ROS levels were significantly reduced (33.32% *vs.* model group 44.58%). The ROS fluorescence intensity also showed a significant decrease, indicating that **8g** had the ability to resist A $\beta$ -induced oxidation.

# 2.7. Cognitive and memory assays in vivo

The anti-AD effect of compound **8g** on the scopolamine-induced cognitive dysfunction ICR mice model was assessed by Morris water maze (MWM) test<sup>27</sup>. Each group of mice was intraperitoneally injected with compound **8g** (15 mg/kg), pargyline (15 mg/kg), or PBS, and then injection with scopolamine (15 mg/kg) or PBS 30 min later once a day for 15 consecutive days. The MWM test was performed at the last 5 days including two days of memory and learning training, three days of cognitive assessment. On the fifth day, a probe training trial was performed and analysed. To make a contrast with lead compound **18d**, we still chose



Figure 4. Best docking results for the compound 8g with MAO-B (PDB entry 2V5Z) (compound 8g, yellow sticks; FAD cofactor, orange sticks). (A) The 3D docking model. (B) The planar docking model.

pargyline (15 mg/kg) as the positive drug. However, the dosage of scopolamine was increased from 5 mg/kg to 15 mg/kg to achieve a better modelling effect.

The data for the last day was shown in Figure 7, the latency (12.54±2.71 vs. 41.40±5.87 s, <sup>###</sup>p < 0.001) and the distance (2.40±0.49 vs. 7.74±1.23 m, <sup>##</sup>p < 0.01) of mice treated with scopolamine were remarkably more prolonged than the control group. Moreover, the entries to the target were also significantly decreased (5.75±0.86 vs. 2.00±0.50, <sup>##</sup>p < 0.01), demonstrating that the mice model of cognitive dysfunction has been well-established. Treatment with **8g** markedly reduced the latency (16.03±2.76 s, <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01) and the distance to the target (2.64±0.52 m, <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01), which a little better than the pargyline group (13.96±2.94 s, <sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001) (2.89±0.69)

m, \*\*p < 0.01). Compound **8g** (4.12 ± 0.40, \*\*p < 0.01) also worked better than pargyline (3.85 ± 0.48, \*p < 0.05) in increasing the entries to target. The representative trajectories (Figure 8) also showed that the model group was very lengthy and disorganised, while compound **8g** was clearer than pargyline, which demonstrated that the scopolamine-induced spatial learning and memory deficits were remarkably ameliorated by compound **8g**.

# 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we reported a class of novel benzamide-HPO hybrids as potential anti-AD candidates with multiple biochemical





properties based on the MTDLs strategy. All compounds possessed excellent iron chelation activity and showed promising MAO-B inhibition. Among them, compound **8g** was proved to be the most potent iron chelator (pFe<sup>3+</sup> = 19.31) and the most effective selective MAO-B inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub> = 68.4 nM, SI = 213). In *silico* drug-likeness predictions and PAMPA-BBB assay demonstrated that **8g** possessed acceptable BBB permeability. Molecular modelling showed that **8g** could form an extended conformation and have more enhanced interactions with MAO-B than **18d**. In *vitro* assay indicated that compound **8g** significantly reduced the A $\beta$ -induced intracellular ROS levels and remarkedly reversed the cognitive deficit in the MWM test. All results indicated that hybrid **8g** is an interesting and promising multifunctional agent with the potential to be a therapeutic candidate against AD.



Figure 6. The intracellular ROS generation of compound 8g (10 µM). Blue and green fluorescence represent the nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively.



**Figure 7.** Effect of compound **8g** and pargyline on scopolamine-induced cognitive deficit ICR mice determined by MWM test. (A) Latency to the target. (B) Distance to the target. (C) Entries to the target. (n = 8, mean ± SEM; \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001, **8g** or pargyline group vs. model group; ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.001, Control group vs. model group).



Figure 8. The representative trajectories in the MWM test. (A) Control. (B) Model. (C) Compound 8g. (D) Pargyline.

# **Disclosure statement**

The authors declare no competing financial interest and the target compounds were protected in a patent by the authors (CN 111995567).

# Funding

This project was supported by the Zhejiang Key R&D Program (No. 2021C03085), National Natural Science Foundation of China, NSFC (Grant Nos. 21576239 and 81803340), and Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation (LY20H300004).

#### References

- 1. Scheltens P, Blennow K, Breteler MM, et al. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 2016;388:505–17.
- 2. Association AS. 2019 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement 2019;15:321–87.
- 3. Wang H, Zhang HY. Reconsideration of anticholinesterase therapeutic strategies against Alzheimer's disease. ACS Chem Neurosci 2019;10:852–62.
- Hampel H, Mesulam MM, Cuello AC, et al. The cholinergic system in the pathophysiology and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Brain 2018;141:1917–33.
- Wolfe MS. Secretase targets for Alzheimer's disease: identification and therapeutic potential. J Med Chem 2001;44:2039–60.
- 6. Chu D, Liu F. Pathological changes of Tau related to Alzheimer's disease. ACS Chem Neurosci 2019;10:931–44.
- 7. Ayton S, Lei P, Bush AI. Metallostasis in Alzheimer's disease. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;62:76–89.
- Losada-Barreiro S, Bravo-Diaz C. Free radicals and polyphenols: the redox chemistry of neurodegenerative diseases. Eur J Med Chem 2017;133:379–402.
- Walker KA, Ficek BN, Westbrook R. Understanding the role of systemic inflammation in Alzheimer's disease. ACS Chem Neurosci 2019;10:3340–2.
- Zhou JT, Jiang XY, He SY, et al. Rational design of multitarget-directed ligands: strategies and emerging paradigms. J Med Chem 2019;62:8881–914.
- 11. Oset-Gasque MJ, Marco-Contelles J. Alzheimer's disease, the "one-molecule, one-target" paradigm, and the multitarget directed ligand approach. ACS Chem Neurosci 2018;9:401–3.
- 12. Liu JL, Fan YG, Yang ZS, et al. Iron and Alzheimer's disease: from pathogenesis to therapeutic implications. Front Neurosci 2018;12:632–14.
- 13. Liu Y, Nguyen M, Robert A, et al. Metal ions in Alzheimer's disease: a key role or not? Acc Chem Res 2019;52:2026–35.
- Mangiatordi GF, Alberga D, Pisani L, et al. A rational approach to elucidate human monoamine oxidase molecular selectivity. Eur J Pharm Sci 2017;101:90–9.

- 15. Edmondson DE, Binda C, Mattevi A. Structural insights into the mechanism of amine oxidation by monoamine oxidases A and B. Arch Biochem Biophys 2007;464:269–76.
- 16. Hider RC. Recent developments centered on orally active iron chelators. Thalassemia Rep 2014;4:2261–9.
- 17. Martin-Bastida A, Ward RJ, Newbould R, et al. Brain iron chelation by deferiprone in a phase 2 randomised doubleblinded placebo controlled clinical trial in Parkinson's disease. Sci Rep 2017;7:1398–406.
- Crichton RR, Ward RJ, Hider RC. The efficacy of iron chelators for removing iron from specific brain regions and the pituitary-ironing out the brain. Pharmaceuticals 2019;12: 138–50.
- 19. Hider RC, Roy S, Ma YM, et al. The potential application of iron chelators for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. Metallomics 2011;3:239–49.
- 20. Cilibrizzi A, Abbate V, Chen YL, et al. Hydroxypyridinone journey into metal chelation. Chem Rev 2018;118:7657–701.
- 21. Jiang XY, Zhou T, Bai RR, et al. Hydroxypyridinone-based iron chelators with broad-ranging biological activities. J Med Chem 2020;63:14470–501.
- 22. Chaves S, Piemontese L, Hiremathad A, et al. Hydroxypyridinone derivatives: a fascinating class of chelators with therapeutic applications – an update. Curr Med Chem 2018;25:97–112.
- 23. Santos MA, Chand K, Chaves S. Recent progress in multifunctional metal chelators as potential drugs for Alzheimer's disease. Coord Chem Rev 2016;327–328:287–303.
- 24. Hiremathad A, Chand K, Tolayan L, et al. Hydroxypyridinonebenzofuran hybrids with potential protective roles for Alzheimer's disease therapy. J Inorg Biochem 2018;179: 82–96.
- Mi ZS, Gan B, Yu SH, et al. Dual-target anti-Alzheimer's disease agents with both iron ion chelating and monoamine oxidase-B inhibitory activity. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2019;34:1489–97.
- Zhang CJ, Yang K, Yu SH, et al. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of hydroxypyridinone-coumarin hybrids as multimodal monoamine oxidase B inhibitors and iron chelates against Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 2019;180: 367–82.
- 27. Jiang XY, Guo JN, Lv YJ, et al. Rational design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel multitargeting anti-AD iron chelators with potent MAO-B inhibitory and antioxidant activity. Bioorg Med Chem 2020;28:115550.
- Gealageas R, Devineau A, So PPL, et al. Development of novel monoamine oxidase-B (MAO-B) inhibitors with reduced blood-brain barrier permeability for the potential management of noncentral nervous system (CNS) diseases. J Med Chem 2018;61:7043–64.
- 29. Wang Z, Wu J, Yang X, et al. Neuroprotective effects of benzyloxy substituted small molecule monoamine oxidase B

inhibitors in Parkinson's disease. Bioorg Med Chem 2016;24: 5929–40.

- 30. Legoabe LJ, Petzer A, Petzer JP. 2-Acetylphenol analogs as potent reversible monoamine oxidase inhibitors. Drug Des Dev Ther 2015;9:3635–44.
- 31. Giancotti G, Cancellieri M, Balboni A, et al. Rational modifications on a benzylidene-acrylohydrazide antiviral scaffold, synthesis and evaluation of bioactivity against Chikungunya virus. Eur J Med Chem 2018;149:56–68.
- 32. Xie YY, Lu ZD, Kong XL, et al. Systematic comparison of the mono-, dimethyl- and trimethyl 3-hydroxy-4(1*H*)-pyridones attempted optimization of the orally active iron chelator, deferiprone. Eur J Med Chem 2016;115:132–40.
- 33. Chen WT, Yuan X, Li Z, et al. CN128: A new orally active hydroxypyridinone iron chelator. J Med Chem 2020;63: 4215–26.
- Prasanna S, Doerksen RJ. Topological polar surface area: a useful descriptor in 2D-QSAR. Curr Med Chem 2009;16: 21–41.

- 35. Clark DE, Pickett SD. Computational methods for the prediction of 'drug-likeness'. Drug Discov Today 2000;5:49–58.
- 36. Dong J, Wang NN, Yao ZJ, et al. ADMETlab: a platform for systematic ADMET evaluation based on a comprehensively collected ADMET database. J Cheminform 2018;10:29.
- 37. Cheng FX, Li WH, Zhou YD, et al. admetSAR: a comprehensive source and free tool for assessment of chemical ADMET properties. J Chem Inf Model 2012;52:3099–105.
- 38. Wang Z, Yang HB, Wu ZR, et al. *In silico* prediction of bloodbrain barrier permeability of compounds by machine learning and resampling methods. ChemMedChem 2018;13: 2189–201.
- 39. Di L, Kerns EH, Fan K, et al. High throughput artificial membrane permeability assay for blood-brain barrier. Eur J Med Chem 2003;38:223–32.
- Lemes LFN, de Andrade Ramos G, de Oliveira AS, et al. Cardanol-derived AChE inhibitors: towards the development of dual binding derivatives for Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 2016;108:687–700.