

Association between ABC family variants rs1800977, rs4149313, and rs1128503 and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in a Chinese Han population Journal of International Medical Research 48(8) 1–9 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0300060520941347 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Ruicheng Yan^{1,2}, Jianfei Luo², Xiaobo He¹ and Shijun Li²

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the association between three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) gene family and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Chinese Han population.

Methods: A total of 1086 type 2 diabetes patients and 1122 healthy controls were included in this retrospective study. Three genetic variants, rs1800977 and rs4149313 in ABCA1, and rs1128503 in ABCB1 were included in the study. Susceptibility to type 2 diabetes was evaluated under three genetic models.

Results: A significant association between rs1800977 and type 2 diabetes was identified in three different genetic models (TT vs CC, odds ratio [OR] = 0.611 [95% confidence interval (Cl), 0.469–0.798]; T vs C, OR = 0.841 [95% Cl, 0.745–0.950]; and the recessive model, OR = 0.606 [95% Cl, 0.474–0.774]). Additionally, a significant association between rs4149313 and type 2 diabetes was identified in three different genetic models (AA vs GG, OR = 0.467 [95% Cl, 0.326–0.670]; A vs G, OR = 0.819 [95% Cl, 0.717–0.935]; and the recessive model, OR = 0.478 [95% Cl, 0.336–0.680]).

Conclusion: We found that SNPs rs1800977 and rs4149313 in ABCA1 are significantly associated with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population, although this should be confirmed in a larger study.

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, East Section of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China ²Department of Bariatric Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Corresponding author:

Ruicheng Yan, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery I Section, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, No. 238 Jiefang Road, Wuchang District, Wuhan 430060, China. Email: yanruichengdr@yeah.net

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Keywords

ATP-binding cassette transporter A1, single nucleotide polymorphism, type 2 diabetes, Chinese Han, genotyping, blood pressure, cholesterol, glycated hemoglobin

Date received: 3 January 2020; accepted: 19 June 2020

Introduction

Currently, 422 million patients have been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus worldwide, of whom 95% have type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).¹ Diabetes is an endocrine disease² characterized by an absolute or relative lack of insulin secretion and a lower sensitivity of target organs or cells to insulin.³ Specific clinical symptoms include abnormalities of glucose and lipids in the blood.

T2DM occurrence and development are related to both genetic and environmental factors. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) are one of the most common human genetic variations, accounting for more than 90% of all known polymorphisms, and hundreds have been found to be associated with T2DM.^{4,5} However, reported studies only explain some of the causes of T2DM, and further investigation is needed to fully understand the relationship between SNPs and T2DM.

ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) is a member of the ATP-binding cassette transporter family which binds and hydrolyzes ATP, using the energy generated to transport substances including lipids, sterols, and cell metabolites.^{6–8} ABCA1 was previously shown to play a key role in the reverse transport of cholesterol. ⁹

Recent studies have shown that *ABCA1* is essential for normal glucose regulation, such as on islet β cells.¹⁰ Functional *ABCA1* SNPs can change expression levels and activity, leading to differences in blood lipid levels and atherosclerosis levels.¹¹

However, the effects and mechanisms of functional *ABCA1* SNPs on T2DM susceptibility remain unclear. Here, we aimed to investigate the association between three *ABCA1* SNPs (rs1800977, rs4149313, and rs1128503) and susceptibility to T2DM in a Chinese Han population.

Materials and methods

Study participants

A total of 1086 hospitalized diabetic patients who were diagnosed according to American Diabetes Association Criteria and 1122 age-matched control individuals with no family history of diabetes recruited from Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University were included in this retrospective study. Clinical data including age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) were measured using standard laboratory techniques. Biochemical parameters including lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) were determined by an automatic biochemical analyzer using commercial kits (Shenzhen Renova Technology Industry Co., Ltd, Shenzhen, China). Blood pressure, including systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), was measured by a sphygmomanometer with cuff. All assessments of clinical characteristic data were blinded for genotyping results. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, and written informed consent was provided by all participants.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 µL whole blood using the QIAamp blood kit according to the manufacturer's protocol Inc., Valencia, CA. (Qiagen USA). Genotyping was conducted via the SNaPshot method.¹² Data were analyzed GeneMapperTM 4.0software using (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For quality control, genotyping was performed without knowledge of the subjects' status, and 50 samples (2.26% of the cases) were randomly selected for replicate genotyping by a different technician.

Statistical analyses

SPSS version 11.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to carry out statistical analyses. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was assessed in patients and controls by the chi-square test. Odd ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated to assess the associations between SNPs and susceptibility to T2DM. Associations between SNPs and T2DM were evaluated using three genetic models including the allele frequency model, dominant model, and recessive

Table 1. Characteristics of patients and controls.

model. Clinical data between patients and controls were compared with the Student's t test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to assess the influence of clinical data on results. Multifactor dimensionality reduction analysis was used to assess the effect of a combination of SNPs. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Population characteristics and genotype results

Clinical baseline characteristics in patients and controls are shown in Table 1 and the genotype distribution of the three SNPs is shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences in sex or age between patients and controls. However, BMI, SBP, DBP, and levels of LDL-C, HDL-C, and HbA1c were significantly increased in patients compared with controls (P < 0.001). Genotype distributions of the three SNPs also differed between patients and controls. Chi-squared analysis showed that SNPs in the control group did not deviate from HWE.

Association between rs1800977, rs4149313, and rs1128503 and T2DM

Table 3 shows that there were significant associations between rs1800977 and

	Patient group (n = 1086)	Control group (n = 1122)	P value
Sex (Male/Female)	524/562	571/551	0.215
Age (years)	$\textbf{58.81} \pm \textbf{9.67}$	$\textbf{59.15} \pm \textbf{9.94}$	0.416
BMI (kg/m ²)	$\textbf{26.84} \pm \textbf{3.49}$	$\textbf{25.87} \pm \textbf{3.77}$	<0.001
SBP (mmHg)	132.98 ± 13.56	131.06 ± 13.28	<0.001
DBP (mmHg)	81.11±10.89	$\textbf{78.58} \pm \textbf{11.24}$	<0.001
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.03 ± 1.02	2.79 ± 1.13	<0.001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.57 ± 0.51	1.26 ± 0.48	<0.001
HbAIc (%)	$\textbf{7.79} \pm \textbf{2.22}$	$\textbf{5.98} \pm \textbf{2.14}$	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.

SNP	Genotype	Patient group (n = 1086)	Control group $(n = 22)$	P*
rs 800977	СС	427 (39%)	416 (37%)	0.217
	CT	541 (50%)	518 (46%)	
	TT	118 (11%)	188 (17%)	
	С	1395 (64%)	1350 (60%)	
	Т	777 (36%)	894 (40%)	
rs4149313	GG	584 (54%)	563 (50%)	0.872
	GA	455 (42%)	462 (41%)	
	AA	47 (4%)	97 (9%)	
	G	1623 (75%)	1588 (71%)	
	А	549 (25%)	656 (29%)	
rs 28503	TT	536 (50%)	572 (51%)	0.144
	ТС	449 (41%)	444 (40%)	
	CC	101 (9%)	106 (9%)	
	Т	1521 (70%)	1588 (71%)	
	С	651 (30%)	656 (29%)	

Table 2. Genotype distributions of SNPs and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test.

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

*P for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test in the control group.

T2DM in three genetic models (TT vs CC, OR = 0.611 (95% CI, 0.469-0.798), P < 0.001; T vs C, OR = 0.841 (95% CI, 0.745-0.950), P = 0.005; and the recessive model, OR = 0.606 (95% CI, 0.474-0.774), P < 0.001).

As shown in Table 4, there were also significant associations between rs4149313 and T2DM in three genetic models (AA vs GG, OR = 0.467 (95% CI, 0.326-0.670), P < 0.001; A vs G, OR = 0.819 (95% CI, 0.717-0.935), P = 0.003; and the recessive model, OR = 0.478 (95% CI, 0.336-0.680), P < 0.001).

Genetic model distributions of rs1128503 are shown in Table 5. There were no significant differences between patients and controls in any of the five genetic models.

Association between genotype and clinical data in patients

Table 6 shows that the rs1800977 CC genotype and rs4149313 AA genotype were associated with significantly lower levels of LDL-C, HDL-C, and HbA1c than other genotypes (P < 0.05).

Association between haplotype and T2DM susceptibility

As shown in Table 7, CG and TA haplotypes of rs1800977 and rs4149313 were associated with significantly higher risks of T2DM (P < 0.05).

Discussion

T2DM is a polygenic disease closely associated with hundreds of mutations.^{13–15} It is characterized by a reduced sensitivity of target organs or cells to insulin, resulting in metabolic disorders of protein and fat^{16,17} and clinical symptoms including an abnormal increase of blood glucose and lipids.

ABCA1 is a member of the ABC cell membrane transport family. Human *ABCA1* has 50 exons and is located on chromosome 9q31.1. It is mainly expressed in the plasma cell membrane and the Golgi

Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl)* P* OR (95% Cl)* P* CT vs CC 541 (50%) 427 (39%) 518 (46%) 146 (37%) 1017 (0349-1219) 0851 1011 (0341-1257) 0392 TV vs CC 118 (11%) 427 (39%) 518 (46%) 146 (37%) 0.611 (0.469-0.798) 0.003 0.823 (0.712-0.911) 0.001 Tv vs CC 118 (11%) 427 (39%) 188 (17%) 416 (37%) 0.606 (0.474-0.774) 0.003 0.823 (0.712-0.911) 0.001 Tv vs C 177 (36%) 138 (17%) 416 (37%) 0.606 (0.474-0.774) 0.001 0.614 (0.461-0.783) <0001 Tv vs C 177 (36%) 188 (17%) 188 (17%) 0.930 (0.664 (0.474-0.774) <0001 0.614 (0.461-0.783) <0001 Tv vs C 177 (36%) 188 (17%) 188 (17%) 0.930 (0.666 (0.474-0.774) <0001 0.614 (0.461-0.783) <0001 TDM: vp e2 diabetes melitures (Price mericari. BM: Lood mass index: HbA1c. 0.5001 (0.614 (0.461-0.783) <0001 <0.741 (0.461-0.783) <0001 "Represente	Table 3. Associ	iation between rs	s1800977 and s	usceptibility to	I2DM.				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Genetic model	Patient group		Control grou	þ	OR (95% CI)	Ρ	OR (95% CI)*	×4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} T \ & T \ $	CT vs CC TT vs CC	541 (50%) 118 (11%)	427 (39%) 427 (39%)	518 (46%) 188 (17%)	416 (37%) 416 (37%)	1.017 (0.849–1.219) 0.611 (0.469–0.798)	0.851 <0.001	1.011 (0.841–1.257) 0.623 (0.462–0.817)	0.892 <0.001
Dominant 659 (61%) 427 (39%) 706 (63%) 416 (37%) 0.309 (0.766-1.080) 0.278 0.923 (0.761-1.116) 0.324 Recessive 118 (11%) 968 (39%) 188 (17%) 934 (83%) 0.606 (0.474-0.774) <0.001	T vs C	777 (36%)	1395 (64%)	894 (40%)	1350 (60%)	0.841 (0.745–0.950)	0.005	0.852 (0.712–0.971)	0.011
Recessive I I8 (11%) 968 (89%) I 88 (17%) 934 (83%) 0.606 (0.474-0.774) <0.001 0.614 (0.461-0.783) <0.001 T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; OK, odds ratio; CL, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin. <td>Dominant</td> <td>659 (61%)</td> <td>427 (39%)</td> <td>706 (63%)</td> <td>416 (37%)</td> <td>0.909 (0.766–1.080)</td> <td>0.278</td> <td>0.923 (0.761–1.116)</td> <td>0.324</td>	Dominant	659 (61%)	427 (39%)	706 (63%)	416 (37%)	0.909 (0.766–1.080)	0.278	0.923 (0.761–1.116)	0.324
T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; OR, odds ratio: CI, confidence interval: BMI, blood mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin. *Represents the values after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, blood pressure, and HbA1c. *Represents the values after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, blood pressure, and HbA1c. Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Genetic model Patient group OR (95% CI) P OR (95% CI)* P* A vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.798-11.129) 0.558 0.064 (0.728-11.149) 0.632 A vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.732-0.670) 0.001 0.471 (0.311-0.677) 0.032 A vs GG 549 (25%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.732-1.129) 0.558 0.054 (0.728-1.149) 0.632 A vs G 549 (25%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.732-1.023) 0.001 0.471 (0.311-0.677) 0.021 0.024 (0.728-1.149) 0.032 A vs G 549 (25%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.732-1.023) 0.001 0.471 (0.311-0.677) 0.021 (0.021-0.677) 0.021 0.024 (0.728-1.149) 0.024 (0.728-1.149) 0.024 (0.728-1.149) 0.024 (0.728-1.149) 0.021 0.021 (0.232-0.670) 0.021 (0.23	Recessive	118 (11%)	968 (89%)	188 (17%)	934 (83%)	0.606 (0.474–0.774)	<0.001	0.614 (0.461–0.783)	<0.001
Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Cenetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% CI)* P* Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% CI)* P* Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% CI)* P* Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% CI)* P* Ga vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.046 (0.798-1.129) 0.658 0.640 (0.728-1.149) 0.632 A vs GG 47 (4%) 584 (54%) 553 (50%) 0.949 (0.771-0.935) 0.0091 0.471 (0.311-0.679) <0.001	T2DM, type 2 diat	betes mellitus; OR,	odds ratio; CI, co	onfidence interval;	BMI, body mass	index; HbAIc, glycated hem	loglobin.		
Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Control group COR (95% CI) P Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% CI) P GA vs GG 47 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.75% CI) P A vs GG 47 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.728-1.149) 0.633 A vs GG 47 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.738-1.149) 0.633 A vs GG 47 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.733-1.102) 0.001 0.4471 (0.311-0.679) <0001 A vs G 534 (54%) 557 (50%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.733-1.023) 0.003 0.824 (0.705-0.941) 0.008 A vs G 553 (50%) 0.946 (0.733-1.023) 0.001 0.447 (0.705-0.941) 0.003 Dominant 502 (46%) 97 (9%)	v alla sallasalday.	aines airer aujustitie	enu ior age, sex, r	orili, blood pressu	re, allu HDATC.				
Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl)* P* Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P OR (95% Cl)* P* GA vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 763 (50%) 0.949 (0.798-1.129) 0.5558 0.964 (0.728-1.149) 0.632 A vs GG 47 (4%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.7798-1.129) 0.5558 0.964 (0.728-1.149) 0.632 A vs GG 47 (4%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.7798-1.129) 0.0558 0.964 (0.728-1.149) 0.632 A vs G 544 (25%) 1623 (75%) 553 (50%) 0.866 (0.733-1.023) 0.001 0.471 (0.311-0.679) <0.001									
Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P Ganetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P Ganetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P GA vs GG 475 (42%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.798-1.129) 0.558 0.6001 0.64 (0.728-1.149) 0.603 A vs GG 447 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.846 (0.778-0.149) 0.003 0.824 (0.728-1.149) 0.6031 A vs GG 447 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.846 (0.778-0.149) 0.003 0.824 (0.705-0.941) 0.003 A vs GG 543 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.846 (0.771-0.935) 0.001 0.621 (0.001 0.824 (0.705-0.941) 0.008 A vs G 584 (54%) 97 (9%) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Table 4. Association between rs4149313 and susceptibility to T2DM. Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P GA vs GG 435 (42%) 563 (50%) 0.946 (0.798-1.129) 0.558 (0.728-1.149) 0.603 A vs GG 47 (4%) 563 (50%) 0.8467 (0.326-0.670) 0.001 0.471 (0.311-0.6779) A vs G 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.819 (0.717-0.935) 0.001 0.471 (0.0311-0.6779) 0.003 0.824 (0.705-0.941) 0.003 A vs G 553 (50%) 0.866 (0.773-0.051) 0.001 0.471 (0.021-0.6779) 0.003									
Genetic model Patient group Control group OR (95% Cl) P OR (95% Cl)* P* GA vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 462 (41%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.798–1.129) 0.558 0.964 (0.728–1.149) 0.632 AA vs GG 477 (4%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.467 (0.326–0.670) <0.001	Table 4. Associ	iation between rs	s4149313 and s	usceptibility to [.]	T2DM.				
GA vs GG 455 (42%) 584 (54%) 462 (41%) 563 (50%) 0.949 (0.798–1.129) 0.558 0.964 (0.728–1.149) 0.633 AA vs GG 477 (4%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.467 (0.326–0.670) <0.001	Genetic model	Patient group		Control grou	d	OR (95% CI)	Ь	OR (95% CI)*	å
AA vs GG 47 (4%) 584 (54%) 97 (9%) 563 (50%) 0.467 (0.326-0.670) <0.001	GA vs GG	455 (42%)	584 (54%)	462 (41%)	563 (50%)	0.949 (0.798–1.129)	0.558	0.964 (0.728–1.149)	0.632
A vs G 549 (25%) 1623 (75%) 656 (29%) 1588 (71%) 0.819 (0.717–0.935) 0.003 0.824 (0.705–0.941) 0.008 Dominant 502 (46%) 584 (54%) 559 (50%) 563 (50%) 0.866 (0.733–1.023) 0.091 0.878 (0.721–1.047) 0.123 Recessive 47 (4%) 1039 (96%) 97 (9%) 1025 (91%) 0.478 (0.336–0.680) <0.001	AA vs GG	47 (4%)	584 (54%)	67 (9%)	563 (50%)	0.467 (0.326-0.670)	<0.001	0.471 (0.311–0.679)	<0.001
Dominant 502 (46%) 584 (54%) 559 (50%) 563 (50%) 0.866 (0.733–1.023) 0.091 0.878 (0.721–1.047) 0.123 Recessive 47 (4%) 1039 (96%) 97 (9%) 1025 (91%) 0.478 (0.336–0.680) <0.001 0.501 (0.321–0.699) <0.001	A vs G	549 (25%)	1623 (75%)	656 (29%)	1588 (71%)	0.819 (0.717–0.935)	0.003	0.824 (0.705–0.941)	0.008
Recessive 47 (4%) 1039 (96%) 97 (9%) 1025 (91%) 0.478 (0.336–0.680) <0.001 0.501 (0.321–0.699) <0.001	Dominant	502 (46%)	584 (54%)	559 (50%)	563 (50%)	0.866 (0.733–1.023)	0.091	0.878 (0.721–1.047)	0.123
	Recessive	47 (4%)	1039 (96%)	6%) 26	1025 (91%)	0.478 (0.336–0.680)	<0.001	0.501 (0.321–0.699)	<0.001
	*Represents the va	alues after adjustme	ent for age, sex, E	3MI, blood pressu	re, and HbAIc.				

Table 5. Associ	ition between rs	1128503 and su	sceptibility to T2	EDM.				
Genetic model	Patient group		Control grou	д	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)*	<u>*</u>
TC vs TT	449 (41%)	536 (49%)	444 (40%)	572 (51%)	I.079 (0.905–I.287)	0.397	1.081 (0.907–1.278)	0.467
CC vs TT	101 (9%)	536 (49%)	106 (9%)	572 (51%)	1.017 (0.756–1.368)	0.912	1.021 (0.732–1.385)	0.914
C vs T	651 (30%)	1521 (70%)	656 (29%)	1588 (71%)	1.036 (0.910–1.179)	0.591	1.040 (0.907–1.183)	0.602
Dominant	550 (51%)	536 (49%)	550 (49%)	572 (51%)	1.067 (0.903–1.261)	0.445	1.074 (0.932–1.285)	0.467
Recessive	101 (9%)	985 (91%)	106 (9%)	1016 (91%)	0.983 (0.738–1.309)	0.906	0.092 (0.740–1.315)	0.912
T2DM, type 2 diab	etes mellitus; OR, o	odds ratio; CI, cor	nfidence interval; B	MI, body mass ind	ex; HbA1c, glycated hemogl	obin.		

Represents the values after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, blood pressure, and HbA Ic.

complex, where its encoded protein transports cholesterol and phospholipids via vesicles.¹⁸

In this study, we investigated the association between ABCA1 variants rs1800977 rs4149313 and ABCB1 variant and rs1128503 with susceptibility to T2DM, and found that rs1800977 and rs4149313 were associated with a significantly higher T2DM risk in a Chinese Han population. In 2018, Li et al.¹⁹ conducted a case–control study including 508 T2DM patients and 614 controls to explore the association between the ABCA1 rs1800977 polymorphism and T2DM risk in a Chinese Han population. They showed that the rs1800977 TT genotype and T allele were associated with a reduced risk of T2DM compared with the CC genotype. In 2019, Yan et al.²⁰ assessed the relationship between ABCA1 polymorphisms C69T and R230C in literature from PubMed, Web of Science, EMBASE, Wanfang Database. China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Cochrane databases. They found that the ABCA1 R230C T allele was associated with a significantly reduced risk of diabetes (OR = 0.75, 95% CI, 0.57–0.98, P = 0.04).

Some studies have shown that *ABCA1* rs4149313 is associated with coronary heart disease,^{21,22} while we identified a significant association between rs4149313 and T2DM in three genetic models in this study. Conversely, while *ABCB1* rs1128503 has previously been associated with hepatocellular carcinoma and breast cancer,^{23,24} we found no association between rs1128503 and T2DM in this study. A possible reason for this is because rs1128503 is located in an intron of *ABCB1*, and introns lose their function during evolution.

It has been reported that the *ABCA1* rs 2230806 polymorphism provides clinically relevant information for predicting the therapeutic response to donepezil therapy.²⁵ On the basis of this finding, *ABCA1* gene therapy has been attempted for diabetes

SNP	Genotype	LDL-C (mmol/L)	HDL-C (mmol/L)	HbAlc (%)
rs1800977	CC (n = 427)	3.07 ± 1.05	1.62 ± 0.54	$\textbf{7.85} \pm \textbf{2.36}$
	CT(n = 541)	3.01 ± 1.04	$\textbf{1.59} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	$\textbf{7.83} \pm \textbf{2.27}$
	TT(n = 118)	$2.83 \pm 0.94^{*}$	$1.41 \pm 0.44^{*}$	$7.19 \pm 2.14^*$
rs4149313	GG(n = 584)	3.09 ± 1.12	1.64 ± 0.56	$\textbf{7.87} \pm \textbf{2.35}$
	GA(n = 455)	3.01 ± 1.05	$\textbf{1.59} \pm \textbf{0.52}$	$\textbf{7.83} \pm \textbf{2.27}$
	AA $(n = 47)$	2.81 ± 0.92^{st}	$1.37\pm0.41*$	$7.02\pm2.01*$
rs1128503	TT $(n = 536)$	3.02 ± 1.06	1.51 ± 0.59	$\textbf{7.66} \pm \textbf{2.49}$
	TC $(n = 449)$	$\textbf{3.13} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	1.62 ± 0.58	$\textbf{7.84} \pm \textbf{2.25}$
	CC(n = 101)	$\textbf{2.94} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	1.54 ± 0.57	$\textbf{7.58} \pm \textbf{2.27}$

Table 6. Association between genotype and clinical data in T2DM patients.

T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.

*Compared with wild-type homozygote, P<0.05.

Haplotype	Frequency	Patient, Control frequencies	Р
rs1800977*rs4149313			
CG	0.454	0.481, 0.428	<0.001
TG	0.273	0.267, 0.279	0.342
CA	0.167	0.162, 0.173	0.300
TA	0.105	0.091, 0.119	0.002

Table 7. Association between haplotype and susceptibility to T2DM.

T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

mellitus treatment. In another form of *ABCA1*-related treatment, thiazolidinediones are insulin sensitizers related to the *ABCA1* gene receptor which enhance the sensitivity of cells to insulin by activating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) to improve the function of islet cells.²⁶ For example, rosiglitazone activates PPAR-gamma, which increases *ABCA1* transcription, enhances sensitivity to insulin, and reduces blood glucose levels.²⁷

Differences in reported associations of *ABCA1* and *ABCB1* SNPs with disease between studies may reflect the variation in SNP detection methods used. For example, reported techniques include restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, allele-specific PCR, single-stranded conformation polymorphism, high-throughput

DNA sequencing, and denaturing highperformance liquid chromatography.^{28–31} A limitation of our study was that we did not determine all lipid profile parameters. Additionally, we did not explore the possible mechanism by which ABCA1 was affected by rs1800977 and rs4149313 in T2DM. Moreover, our findings need to be verified in a larger study.

In conclusion, we showed that *ABCA1* SNPs rs1800977 and rs4149313 are significantly associated with susceptibility to T2DM in a Chinese population.

Author's contributions

RY, JL, and XH were responsible for the conception and design of the study. RJ and SL performed the experiments. XH and SL analyzed and interpreted the data. RJ and JL drafted the article. SL was responsible for the revision of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ORCID iD

Ruicheng Yan D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7042-244X

References

- Hackett RA and Steptoe A. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and psychological stress - a modifiable risk factor. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2017; 13: 547–560.
- Bansal V, Gassenhuber J, Phillips T, et al. Spectrum of mutations in monogenic diabetes genes identified from high-throughput DNA sequencing of 6888 individuals. *BMC Med* 2017; 15: 213.
- Nawaz MS, Shah KU, Khan TM, et al. Evaluation of current trends and recent development in insulin therapy for management of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2017; 11: S833–S839.
- 4. Cuyàs E, Buxó M, Ferri Iglesias MJ, et al. The C allele of ATM rs11212617 associates with higher pathological complete remission rate in breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant metformin. *Front Oncol* 2019; 9: 193.
- Gomathi P, Samarth AP, Raj NBAJ, et al. The -866G/A polymorphism in the promoter of the UCP2 gene is associated with risk for type 2 diabetes and with decreased insulin levels. *Gene* 2019; 701: 125–130.
- 6. Horiuchi Y, Ohkawa R, Lai SJ, et al. Characterization of the cholesterol efflux of

apolipoprotein E-containing high-density lipoprotein in THP-1 cells. *Biol Chem* 2019; 400: 209–218.

- 7. Hou L, Tang S, Wu BJ, et al. Apolipoprotein A-I improves pancreatic β -cell function independent of the ATPbinding cassette transporters ABCA1 and ABCG1. *FASEB J* 2019; 33: 8479–8489.
- Schumacher T and Benndorf RA. ABC transport proteins in cardiovascular diseasea brief summary. *Molecules* 2017; 22: 589.
- Vincent V, Thakkar H, Aggarwal S, et al. ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) expression in adipose tissue and its modulation with insulin resistance in obesity. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2019; 12: 275–284.
- 10. De Haan W, Karasinska JM, Ruddle P, et al. Hepatic ABCA1 expression improves β -cell function and glucose tolerance. *Diabetes* 2014; 63: 4076–4082.
- Zhao L, Jin H, Yang B, et al. Correlation between ABCA1 gene polymorphism and aopA-I and HDL-C in abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Med Sci Monit* 2016; 22: 172–176.
- Ben-Avi L, Durst R, Shpitzen S, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotyping: accurate, simple, high throughput method using ABI Prism SNaPshot Multiplex System. J Alzheimers Dis 2004; 6: 497–501.
- Tanaka K, Kanazawa I and Sugimoto T. Reduction in endogenous insulin secretion is a risk factor of sarcopenia in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2015; 97: 385–390.
- Yahaya TO and Salisu TF. A review of type 2 diabetes mellitus predisposing genes. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2018; 4.
- Climent E, Pérez-Calahorra S, Marco-Benedí V, et al. Effect of LDL cholesterol, statins and presence of mutations on the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 5596.
- Mondal P, Prasad A and Girdhar K. Interventions to improve β-cell mass and function. Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 2017; 78: 469–477.
- Newsholme P, Cruzat V, Arfuso F, et al. Nutrient regulation of insulin secretion and action. *J Endocrinol* 2014; 221: R105–R120.

- Wang S and Smith JD. ABCA1 and nascent HDL biogenesis. *Biofactors* 2014; 40: 547–554.
- Li C and Fan D. Association between the ABCA1 rs1800977 polymorphism and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Chinese Han population. *Biosci Rep* 2018; 38: BSR20171632.
- Yan H, Cheng L, Jia R, et al. ATP-binding cassette sub-family a member1 gene mutation improves lipid metabolic abnormalities in diabetes mellitus. *Lipids Health Dis* 2019; 18: 103.
- Fan SL, Li X, Chen SJ, et al. ABCA1 rs4149313 polymorphism and susceptibility to coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Ann Hum Genet* 2014; 78: 264–276.
- Wang F, Ji Y, Chen X, et al. ABCA1 variants rs2230806 (R219K), rs4149313 (M8831I), and rs9282541 (R230C) are associated with susceptibility to coronary heart disease. J Clin Lab Anal 2019; 33: e22896.
- Chang Q, He ZL, Peng YC, et al. A metaanalysis of MDR1 polymorphisms rs1128503 and rs1045642 and susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Int Med Res* 2019; 47: 2800–2809.
- Vencatto RW, Marson FAL, Martins CD, et al. Association between C1236T (rs1128503) variant in ABCB1 gene and breast cancer recurrence. *Clinical Cancer Drugs* 2018; 5: 60–64.
- 25. Lu J, Fu J, Zhong Y, et al. Association between ABCA1 gene polymorphisms and the therapeutic response to donepezil

therapy in Han Chinese patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res Bull* 2018; 140: 1–4.

- Lara-Riegos JC, Ortiz-López MG, Peña-Espinoza BI, et al. Diabetes susceptibility in Mayas: evidence for the involvement of polymorphisms in HHEX, HNF4α, KCNJ11, PPARγ, CDKN2A/2B, SLC30A8, CDC123/CAMK1D, TCF7L2, ABCA1 and SLC16A11 genes. *Gene* 2015; 565: 68–75.
- Park SE, Kang ES, Kim DH, et al. Effect of ABCA1 variant on atherogenic dyslipidaemia in patients with Type 2 diabetes treated with rosiglitazone. *Diabet Med* 2009; 26: 577–581.
- Kai-Ning L, Huan-Xin M, Jian-Xia H, et al. Influence of vitamin D receptor Fok I polymorphism on expression of CYP24A1 in periodontal cells. *Journal of Peking University (Health Sciences)* 2018.
- 29. Chua TH, Song BK and Chong YV. Development of allele-specific single-nucleotide polymorphism-based polymerase chain reaction markers in cytochrome oxidase I for the differentiation of Bactrocera papayae and Bactrocera carambolae (Diptera: Tephritidae). J Econ Entomol 2010; 103: 1994–1999.
- Maréchal V. SSCP (single strand conformation polymorphism). EMC Biologie Médicale 2008; 3: 1–5.
- Altmann A, Weber P, Bader D, et al. A beginner's guide to SNP calling from high-throughput DNA-sequencing data. *Hum Genet* 2012; 131: 1541–1554.