## **Review** Article

# Development of 5-Substituted N-Methylmorphinan-6-ones as Potent Opioid Analgesics with Improved Side-Effect Profile

#### Helmut Schmidhammer and Mariana Spetea

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Institute of Pharmacy and Center for Molecular Biosciences Innsbruck (CMBI), University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52a, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Correspondence should be addressed to Helmut Schmidhammer, helmut.schmidhammer@uibk.ac.at

Received 3 February 2012; Accepted 4 April 2012

Academic Editor: Severo Salvadori

Copyright © 2012 H. Schmidhammer and M. Spetea. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

One of the most important functions of the opioid system is the control of pain. Among the three main opioid receptor classes  $(\mu, \delta, \kappa)$ , the  $\mu$  (MOR) is the main type targeted for pharmacotherapy of pain. Opioid analgesics such as morphine, oxycodone and fentanyl are agonists at the MOR and are the mainstay for the treatment of moderate-to-severe pain. However, adverse effects related to opioid use are severe and often lead to early discontinuation and inadequate analgesia. The development of more effective and safer medications for the management of pain still remains a major direction in pharmaceutical research. Chemical approaches towards the identification of novel MOR analgesics with reduced side effects include structural modifications of 14-alkoxy-*N*-methylmorphinan-6-ones in key positions that are important for binding, selectivity, potency, and efficacy at opioid receptors. This paper describes a representative strategy to improve the therapeutic usefulness of opioid analgesics from the morphinan class of drugs by targeting position 5. The focus is on chemical and biological studies and structure-activity relationships of this series of ligands. We report on 14-alkoxymorphinan-6-ones having a methyl and benzyl group at position 5 as strong opioid antinociceptive agents with reduced propensity to cause undesired effects compared to morphine although interacting selectively with MORs.

## 1. Introduction

The analgesic action of extracts of the opium poppy plant *Papaver somniferum* has been recognized for centuries. Morphine (Figure 1), the primary active component of opium, was isolated in 1805 by the German pharmacist Friedrich Sertürner, and more than 120 years elapsed when Gulland and Robinson proposed its correct structure [1]. Today, opioid analgesics play a central role in pain control and are generally considered to be highly effective in the management of moderate-to-severe pain [2, 3]. They can be classified into three classes: natural derivatives occurring in opium such as morphine and codeine; partially synthetic derivatives, including hydromorphone, oxycodone, oxymorphone, and buprenorphine; and synthetic compounds such as levorphanol, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanil, and the recently introduced tapentadol (Figure 1) [3–5].

Opioids act on three G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that is,  $\mu$  (MOR),  $\delta$  (DOR), and  $\kappa$  (KOR) [6], but

it appears that the analgesic action of the most commonly used opioid analgesics is mediated primarily via the MOR. Activation of MORs, widely expressed in the nervous system and in peripheral tissues [7-10], is responsible not only for beneficial (analgesia) effects but also for a number of several undesired effects, which limits their clinical usefulness [3, 4, 11]. Adverse effects associated with opioid analgesics include respiratory depression, nausea, sedation, dizziness, vomiting, hypotension, and constipation. Long-term opioid use can cause tolerance, and thus complicating optimal pain treatment. Another concern with prolonged use of opioids is physical dependence and development of addictive disorders [4, 11]. Drug deaths from opioids are a serious and increasing issue [4]. On this basis, the development of more effective and safer medications for the management of pain, especially severe and chronic pain, still remains a major direction in pharmaceutical research.

Chemical approaches towards the identification of novel MOR analgesics with reduced side effects are represented by



FIGURE 1: Examples of clinically used opioid analgesics.

structural modifications of morphinan-6-ones in key positions that are important for binding, selectivity, potency and efficacy at opioid receptors. A representative example is the development of the 14-O-methyl-substituted derivative of the clinically used MOR analgesic oxymorphone, namely, 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1) (Figure 2) [12]. It was reported that substitution of the hydroxyl group with a methoxy group in position 14 not only increases affinity to opioid receptors, while retaining the MOR selectivity of oxymorphone, but also markedly enhances the antinociceptive potency [12]. However, this MOR agonist induces the classical opioid unwanted effects of the conventional MOR analgesics [12– 14]. An overview on synthesis and structure-activity relationships (SARs) on different 14-alkoxy-substituted morphinans has been recently published [15].

An alternative strategy to improve the therapeutic usefulness of opioid analgesics from the morphinan class of drugs is to target position 5. The 5-methyl-substituted *N*methylmorphinan-6-one, metopon (Figure 3), which shows higher analgesic potency than morphine, had also an improved side-effect profile concerning respiratory depression, physical dependence, mental dullness, tolerance, and nausea in patients [16, 17]. It was shortly used in an oral



FIGURE 2: Structure of 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1).

formulation for chronic pain relief in hospitalized cancer patients in the late 1940s [16]. Due to the low synthetical availability of metopon it was never introduced to the market as an analgesic drug. The positive preclinical and clinical findings on metopon represented a stimulating aspect for the design of differently substituted derivatives of metopon. Herein, we review recent advances on the development of 14-alkoxy substituted analogues of metopon, and also of a 5-benzylated analogue with agonist action at the MOR, as



FIGURE 3: Structure of metopon.

potent analgesics with reduced adverse effects. The focus is on SAR and pharmacological studies as well as on the description of synthetic procedures developed and used in the preparation of such derivatives.

## 2. Synthesis of 5-Substituted N-Methylmorphinan-6-ones

The starting point of extensive research in the area of Nmethylmorphinan-6-ones with new substitution patterns was represented by the synthesis of 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1). While 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1) can be prepared starting from oxymorphone in four synthetic steps [12], 14-alkoxy-N-methylmorphinan-6-ones substituted in position 5 have to be prepared from thebaine (2) as starting material. Introduction of an alkyl substituent in position 5 of thebaine (2) can be accomplished by formation of the thebaine anion using n-butyllithium in THF at low temperature [18], followed by alkylation with the respective alkylating agent (methyl fluorosulfonate, dimethyl sulfate, or benzyl chloride), yielding 5-methylthebaine (3) and 5benzylthebaine (4), respectively [18-21]. Treatment with performic acid afforded 14-hydroxy-5-methylcodeinone (5) [19, 20] and its 5-benzyl analogue 6 (Scheme 1) [14]. The  $\beta$ -orientation of the 14-hydoxy group was proved by X-ray analysis [20]. 14-O-Alkylation of 5 with dimethyl or diethyl sulfate in DMF in the presence of NaH gave the respective 14-alkoxycodeinones 7 and 8 which were catalytically hydrogenated to afford 10 and 11. Ether cleavage of both compounds with 48% HBr solution yielded 77% of 14methoxymetopon (13) and 75% of 14-ethoxymetopon (14) [19, 20]. Analogously, 5-benzyl-14-O-methyloxymorphone (15) (75% from 12) was obtained from 14-hydroxy-5benzylcodeinone (6) via intermediates 9 and 12 (Scheme 1) [14].

14-Benzyloxymetopon (18) was prepared from 14hydroxy-5-methylcodeinone (5). Compound 5 was first 3-O-demethylated using 48% HBr solution to give phenol 16 which was 3,14-bis-O-benzylated to afford compound 17. Concomitant hydrogenation of the 7,8 double bond and hydrogenolysis of the 3-O-benzyl ether over Pd/C yielded 73% of 18 (Scheme 2) [13]. 14-Hydoxy-5methylcodeinone (5) was also used for the synthesis of 14phenylpropoxymetopon (PPOM; 21) and its 3-O-methyl ether **20**. 14-O-Alkylation with cinnamyl bromide in DMF in the presence of NaH gave compound **19** which was catalytically hydrogenated to afford 73% of 3-O-methylated PPOM (**20**). Ether cleavage using 48% HBr solution yielded 88% of PPOM (**21**) (Scheme 3) [22].

## 3. Biological Activities of 5-Substituted N-Methylmorphinan-6-ones and Structure-Activity Relationship Studies

The applied strategy to obtain MOR analgesics in the N-methylmorphinan-6-one class exhibiting more favorable pharmacological features was initially based on the introduction of a small alkyl group such as methyl at the oxygen in position 14 of oxymorphone leading to 14-Omethyloxymorphone (1) [12]. This oxymorphone analogue displayed improved binding affinities at all three opioid receptors, while maintaining the MOR selectivity of the parent molecule, together with a considerable increase in in vitro and in vivo agonist potency (Table 1). In different in vitro functional assays including mouse vas deferens (MVD), guinea pig brain (GPI), and rat vas deferens (RVD) bioassays, and the [35S]GTPyS binding assay using rat brain preparations, 14-O-methyloxymorphone proved to be a more potent MOR agonist than morphine and oxymorphone (Tables 1 and 2) [13, 14, 23, 24]. Compound 1 was also reported to possess up to 40 times higher antinociceptive potency than oxymorphone [12, 24], and it was up to 800 times more potent than morphine after subcutaneous (s.c.) administration in different pain tests in mice and rats (Tables 1 and 2) [12–14, 24]. Although it induced effective analgesia, this oxymorphone analogue 1 also produced the typical opioid adverse actions in mice after s.c. administration such as respiratory depression [12], physical dependence [12], inhibition of the gastrointestinal tract [13], and locomotor impairment [14].

Metopon (5-methyldihydromorphone; Figure 3) was reported to be about three times more potent than morphine as analgesic with lower tendency to produce nausea, sedation, respiratory depression, development of tolerance and dependence [16, 17]. Further chemical derivatization in the class of N-methylmorphinan-6-ones using 14-Omethyloxymorphone (1) as the lead, targeted position 5 by introducing a methyl group, giving rise to a new opioid compound, 14-methoxymetopon (13) [27]. 14-Methoxymetopon maintained the high affinity at the MOR in the subnanomolar range of its 5-unsubstituted analogue 1, while DOR and KOR affinities were reduced by two to three times, resulting in higher MOR selectivity (Table 1). In in vitro bioassays, derivative 13 was a potent agonist in the GPI  $(IC_{50} = 6.1 \text{ nM})$  [13], MVD  $(IC_{50} = 24.4 \text{ nM})$  [13] and 12.7 nM [23]) and RVD preparations (IC<sub>50</sub> = 268 nM) [24], showing comparable potency to 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1), and it was several times more active as agonist than morphine (Table 2). 14-Ethoxymetopon (14) was also described as MOR selective (Table 2), and a potent agonist in the GPI bioassay displaying similar potency to its 14methoxy-substituted analogue 13, and about 50 times greater



SCHEME 1: Preparation of 14-methoxymetopon (13), 14-ethoxymetopon (14), and 5-benzyl-14-O-methyloxymorphone (15).



SCHEME 2: Preparation of 14-benzyloxymetopon (18).



SCHEME 3: Preparation of 14-phenylpropoxymetopon (PPOM; 21) and its 3-O-methyl ether (20).

		R <sub>3</sub> 0			A13 R1 O				
			Bir	ding affinity	(K <sub>i</sub> , nM)		Agonis	t potency	
	R <sub>1</sub> , R <sub>2</sub> , R <sub>3</sub>	MOR <sup>a</sup>	DOR <sup>b</sup>	KOR <sup>d</sup>	Select	ivity	(relativ	GPI <sup>f</sup>	Antinociceptive potency (relative to morphine)
					DOR/MOR	KOR/MO	R		
Oxymo	rphone H, H, H	0.97	80.5	61.6	83	63	0.4	ND	10 <sup>h</sup> 18 <sup>i</sup>
									13) 126h
1	Me. H. H	0.10	4.80	10.2	48	102	331	156	120 <sup>i</sup>
1	1,10,11,11	0.10	1.00	10.2	10	102	001	100	810 <sup>j</sup>
									94 <sup>h</sup>
13	Me, Me, H	0.15	13.3	25.2	89	168	202	52	82 <sup>i</sup>
									99 <sup>j</sup>
14	Et, Me, H	0.46	12.2 <sup>c</sup>	43.2	26	94	ND	49 <sup>g</sup>	46 <sup>h</sup>
15	Me, Bz, H	0.31	13.1	22.8	42	73	ND	ND	50 <sup>1</sup> 52i
18	Bz. Me. H	0.18	3 67	2 46	20	14	696	167	
10	<i>D2</i> , WC, 11	0.10	5.07	2.10	20	11	070	107	327 <sup>h</sup>
20	PhPr, Me, Me	0.62	6.33	25.0	10	40	ND	ND	436 <sup>i</sup>
									235 <sup>j</sup>
									8,500 <sup>h</sup>
21	PhPr, Me, H	0.20	0.14	0.40	0.7	2	ND	ND	24,000 <sup>i</sup>
									2,500 <sup>j</sup>

TABLE 1: In vitro and in vivo opioid activity and SAR study on the variation of the substituent in position 5 in 14-alkoxy-substituted Nmethylmorphinan-6-ones.

Bz: benzyl; Et: ethyl; Me: methyl; PhPr: phenylpropyl; K<sub>i</sub>: inhibition constant; ND: not determined.

<sup>a</sup>Binding against [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO in rat brain membranes [13, 14, 22, 23, 25, 26]. <sup>b</sup>Binding against [<sup>3</sup>H][Ile<sup>5,6</sup>]deltorphin II or c[<sup>3</sup>H]DSLET in rat brain membranes [13, 14, 22, 23, 25, 26].

<sup>d</sup>Binding against [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593 in rat or guinea pig brain membranes [13, 14, 22, 23, 25, 26].

<sup>e</sup>Determined in the MVD [13, 23, 24].

<sup>f</sup>Determined in the GPI [13, 23].

<sup>g</sup>Relative to normorphine [25].

<sup>h</sup>Determined in the hot-plate test in mice after s.c. administration [12–14, 22].

<sup>i</sup>Determined in the tail-flick test in mice after s.c. administration [14, 22, 24].

<sup>j</sup>Determined in the writhing test in mice after s.c. administration [20, 22, 25].

	Agonist activity <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>35</sup> S]GTPvS (FC-a, nM: % stim)	Antinociception	Motor coordination <sup>d</sup>	Log P <sup>g</sup>
		2 cob	abbe (119,119)	
Morphine	462; 85	2.63 <sup>o</sup> 2.29 <sup>c</sup>	10 <sup>e</sup>	0.88
1	23.7; 108	0.017 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>e</sup>	0.60
		0.014 <sup>c</sup>		
13	63.0; 110	0.028 <sup>b</sup>	0.16	1.12
		0.028 <sup>c</sup>	0.15	
15	12 7 05	0.053 <sup>b</sup>	o of	1.40
	13.7; 85	0.043 <sup>c</sup>	0.2	1.49

TABLE 2: Comparison of pharmacological and physicochemical properties of morphine, 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1), 14-me-thoxymetopon (13), and its 5-benzyl-substituted analogue 15.

 $EC_{50}$ : effective concentration necessary to produce a 50% effect;  $ED_{50}$ : effective analgesic dose to produce a 50% effect;  $\log P$ : partition coefficient.

<sup>a</sup>Determined in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPyS functional assays in rat brain membranes; Data as % stimulation relative to DAMGO [14].

<sup>b</sup>Determined in the hot-plate test in mice after s.c. administration [14].

<sup>c</sup>Determined in the tail-flick test in mice after s.c. administration [14].

<sup>d</sup>Determined in the rotarod test in mice after s.c. administration [14].

<sup>e</sup>Significant decrease [14].

<sup>f</sup>No significant effect [14].

<sup>g</sup>The experimental log *P* determined in octanol/water [14].

than that of normorphine [25]. The potent MOR agonist activity of 14-methoxymetopon was also established using <sup>35</sup>S]GTPyS functional assays in rat brain [14, 26], calf striatum [28], and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells expressing mouse MOR splice variants [28]. When compared to 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1), the 5-methyl analogue 13 showed less than three times lower agonist potency, while equal efficacy based on stimulation of [35S]GTPyS binding in rat brain preparation (Table 2), signifying that methylation in position 5 did not considerably alter opioid agonist activity in vitro. 14-Methoxymetopon is available in tritium-labeled form ([<sup>3</sup>H]14-methoxymetopon) [26], which was described to have high affinity and selectivity for both native and recombinant MORs [26, 28]. In vivo agonist activities of 14-methoxymetopon (13) and its 14-Oethyl analogue 14-ethoxymetopon (14) were also reported. Introduction of a methyl substituent in position 5 of 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1) resulted in a somewhat reduced analgesic potency in the mouse hot-plate and tail-flick tests after s.c. administration [13, 14, 24]. 14-Methoxymetopon and 14-ethoxymetopon were significantly more potent than morphine in producing antinociception (Table 1). A large number of studies reported on the analgesic properties of 14methoxymetopon (1) in various pain models in mice, rats and dogs. These experiments were performed with different routes of administration, including s.c., intraperitoneal (i.p.), intravenous (i.v.), intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.), and intrathecal (i.t.), and employed a wide range of pain stimuli, that is, thermal, chemical, electrical and mechanical. The pain tests consisted of models of acute nociceptive pain such as hot-plate [13, 14, 25, 29, 30], tail-flick [14, 25, 30-32], tail-electrical stimulation [33], and skin-twitch [34], visceral pain (acetic acid- [25, 27] and paraphenylquinone (PPQ-) induced writhing [30]), and inflammatory pain (carrageenan-induced inflammatory hyperalgesia [35]). 14-Methoxymetopon induced effective analgesia in all models of pain in rodents, showing different degrees of higher potency

than morphine, depending on the analgesic paradigm and route of administration used (Table 3). In dogs, it had similar antinociceptive efficacy to sufentanil in the skintwitch test after i.v. application [33]. 14-Methoxymetopon (13) significantly reduced pain-behavior in response to heat and mechanical stimulation in the inflamed paw of rats with carrageenan-induced inflammatory hyperalgesia [35].

14-Methoxymetopon (13) was generally described to produce less severe adverse effects than traditional MOR analgesics. The gastrointestinal inhibitory activity of this derivative after s.c. administration was relatively weak, its maximal inhibition was only approximately 65%, whereas morphine completely blocked the transit in the charcoal test in mice [31]. Intravenous administration of 14methoxymetopon (13) does not induce respiratory depression compared to sufentanil when given in equianalgesic doses to dogs [34]. A dose of  $12 \mu g/kg$  sufentanil decreases oxygen and carbon dioxide tension (PaO<sub>2</sub> and PaCO<sub>2</sub>) by 41% and 57%, respectively, while at the same dose of 14methoxymetopon, gas levels remain almost unchanged [34]. In the same study using conscious dogs, it was shown that the MOR opioid agonist 13 evokes significantly reduced bradycardia and hypotension, and produces less sedation than sufentanil [34]. The maximal bradycardic effect was 19% after 14-methoxymetopon and 42% after sufentanil, and the maximal decrease in the mean arterial blood pressure was 20% after sufentanil, and only 6% after derivative 13 at the highest i.v. dose in dogs [34]. The physical dependence liability of 14-methoxymetopon in the naloxone-induced withdrawal jumping test in mice was much lower than that of morphine when administered i.p. in equianalgesic doses with 78% response showed by morphine-treated animals and 38% by mice receiving derivative 13 [25]. Similar observations on the induction of minimal physical dependence compared to morphine were made in rats not only for 14-methoxymetopon (13) but also for its 14-ethoxy

Pain model	Route	ED <sub>50</sub>	Potency versus morphine	Reference	
Hot-plate test (mouse)					
50°C	s.c.	54 µg/kg	24	[29]	
52°C	s.c.	28 µg/kg	94	[14]	
56°C	s.c.	30 µg/kg	28	[30]	
Hot-plate test 55°C (rat)	s.c.	15 µg/kg	313	[25]	
	s.c.	30 µg/kg	63	[30]	
	s.c.	28 µg/kg	82	[14]	
Tail-flick test (mouse)	s.c.	7.6 µg/kg	500	[31]	
	i.c.v.	0.29 fg/animal	>1,000,000	[31]	
	i.t.	0.31 fg/animal	>1,000,000	[31]	
Tail-flick test (rat)	s.c.	7.2 µg/kg	250	[25]	
fail-filek (est (fat)	i.p.	40 µg/kg	125	[25]	
Tail electric stimulation test (rat)	s.c.	30 µg/kg <sup>a</sup>	167	[33]	
Writhing test (mouse)					
acetic acid	s.c.	7 μg/kg	99	[25]	
PPQ	s.c.	9 μg/kg	44	[30]	
Carrageenan-induced inflammatory pain (rat)	s.c.	20 µg/kg <sup>a</sup>	100	[35]	

TABLE 3: Overview of the analgesic activity of 14-methoxymetopon (13) in various models of pain in rodents.

<sup>a</sup>Significant effect.

substituted analogue, 14-ethoxymetopon (14) in naloxoneinduced abstinence, both MOR agonists show negligible withdrawal syndromes [25]. The rate of development of tolerance to analgesia was markedly slower than that of morphine. In the tail-flick test in rats, a much lower degree of tolerance was reported after chronic treatment with compound 13 for 7 and 11 days than morphine when given i.p. in equianalgesic doses [25, 32]. Also, 14ethoxymetopon (14) failed to induce significant tolerance to the antinociceptive action [25]. Additional behavioral studies described that derivative 13 is more effective in rats in reducing the emotive/affective component of pain and in producing an anxiolytic effect than morphine in the elevated-plus maze [33]. Despite 14-methoxymetopon's classification as a highly potent and selective MOR agonist which is strongly supported by in vitro binding [25, 26, 28, 31] and functional studies [13, 14, 24-26, 28], antagonism of its in vitro [14, 23, 26] and in vivo effects by naloxone, naltrexone and selective MOR antagonists [14, 25, 31, 34], and antisense mapping studies [31], it is evident that 14-methoxymetopon has pharmacological and functional profiles distinct from those of traditional MOR agonists.

The effect of the replacement of the 5-methyl group in 14-methoxymetopon (13) by a benzyl group resulting in analogue 15 was examined aiming for understanding the role of the substitution pattern in position 5 in *N*methylmorphinan-6-ones on the interaction with opioid receptors [14]. Exchanging the 5-methyl group in 13 with a benzyl group in 15, left binding affinities at DOR and KOR largely unaffected, while retaining the high affinity at the MOR (K<sub>i</sub> of 0.15 nM for 13 versus 0.31 nM for 15), and the MOR selectivity (Table 1) [14]. On the basis of in vitro [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>y</sub>S functional findings, the presence of a 5-benzyl group in compound 15 yielded a new MOR agonist, showing a five times increase in potency compared to its 5-methyl substituted derivative 13; while it was slightly less efficacious in stimulating [35S]GTPyS binding, but displaying similar efficacy to morphine (Table 2) [14]. The binding affinity of analogue 15 for opioid receptors is comparable to that of 14-methoxymetopon 13; it is hence likely that the presence of an arylalkyl group such as benzyl at position 5 leads to an increase in agonist potency. A similar profile was noted when comparing in vitro agonist properties of the 5-benzyl analogue 15 to its 5-unsubstituted derivative 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1) (Tables 1 and 2). Compound 15 produced effective and naloxone-sensitive analgesia in the hot-plate and tail-flick tests in mice after s.c. administration [14]. Its antinociceptive potency was less than two times lower than that of 14-methoxymetopon 13, and 50 times higher than that of morphine (Tables 1 and 2) [14]. Substitution of the 5-methyl group in 13 with a benzyl group appeared to be well tolerated leading to a highly potent and efficacious MOR antinociceptive agent. Besides, the introduction of a benzyl substituent at position 5 in 14-O-methyloxymorphone (1), giving rise to compound 15, produced a three times decrease in antinociceptive potency (Table 2). Further experiments performed with this new opioid molecule showed that contrary to morphine, 14-Omethyloxymorphone (1) and 14-methoxymetopon (13), no significant alteration in motor coordination was induced by derivative 15 in the mouse rotarod test at any of the s.c. analgesic doses (Table 2) [14]. Results from pharmacological investigations have shown that exchanging the 5methyl with a benzyl group in 14-methoxymetopon (13) afforded a potent MOR antinociceptive agent with decreased propensity to cause locomotor dysfunction.

		$ED_{50} (\mu g/kg)^a$	
	Hot-plate test	Tail-flick test	PPQ writhing test
20	2.6	4.4	1.7
21	0.10	0.08	0.16
13	30	30	9.0
Morphine	850	1,920	400
Etorphine	1.0	2.0	0.40

TABLE 4: Comparison of antinociceptive potencies of 14-methoxymetopon (13) and its 14-phenylpropoxy substituted analogues 20 and 21, with morphine and etorphine.

<sup>a</sup> Determined in mice after s.c. administration [22].

Subsequent synthetical and biological work targeted 14-arylalkyloxymetopon derivatives of 14-methoxymetopon (13), resulting in 14-benzyloxymetopon (18) [13] and 14phenylpropoxy-substituted analogues 20 and PPOM (21) [22]. The benzyloxy or phenylpropoxy substitution in position 14 gave rise to new structures, 18 and 21, respectively, which had markedly enhanced binding affinities at both DOR and KOR, while the high affinity at MORs remains unaffected compared to the parent compound 13 (Table 1). As a result, the MOR selectivity was markedly reduced for the benzyloxy derivative 18, with a complete loss of MOR selectivity for PPOM (21), while some MOR selectivity was still depicted by its 3-methoxy analogue 20. All three 5methyl, 14-arylalkyloxy-substituted compounds, 18, 20, and 21, showed high antinociceptive activity when administered s.c. to mice in pain models including hot-plate, tail-flick, and writhing tests (Table 1) [13, 22]. Notable was the observation, that in vivo, PPOM (21) was an extremely potent opioid agonist exhibiting substantially augmented analgesic potency compared not only to its 14-methoxy analogue 13 and morphine, but it was even more effective in inducing analgesia than etorphine (Table 4), a MOR morphinan used in veterinary medicine for anesthesia of large animals and wildlife species [36, 37]. Moreover, the 3-O-methyl ether of PPOM (20) also showed several hundredfolds greater analgesic potency than morphine, although compared to PPOM (21), its potency was 10 to 55 times lower depending upon the applied analgesic assay and displaying similar potency to etorphine (Table 4) [22].

Assessment of physicochemical properties has gained increased relevance in drug development, particularly in understanding the behavior of bioactive molecules and correlation with their biological profiles [38, 39]. The lipophilicity of some *N*-methylmorphinan-6-ones including 14-*O*-methyloxymorphone (1), 14-methoxymetopon (13) and its 5-benzyl analogue 15 was experimentally evaluated in comparison to morphine (Table 2) and oxymorphone [24]. While similar lipophilicity is shown by 14-*O*-methyloxymorphone (1) and its parent molecule oxymorphone (log *P* values of 0.60 and 0.67, resp.) and also morphine, the presence of a 5-methyl group in compound 13 leads to a more lipophilic compound (Table 2). Further increase in lipophilicity resulted after replacement of the 5-methyl with a 5-benzyl group in derivative 13 (Table 2), making such structures feasible candidates for oral and/or transdermal delivery.

## 4. Conclusion

The summarized research reports on the development of novel opioid analgesics from the class of N-methylmorphinan-6-ones have highlighted the spectrum of chemical strategies, biological and pharmacological properties and ligand-based SARs, directed towards the discovery of more effective and safer pain medications. Targeting position 5 in 14-alkoxy-N-methylmorphinas-6-ones represents a promising approach for tuning activities and influencing interaction with opioid receptors in this class of compounds. We reported that the presence of methyl and benzyl groups at position 5 gives rise to strong opioid antinociceptive agents with reduced propensity to induce undesired effects compared to morphine although interacting selectively with MORs. The promising experimental results represent a useful and valuable aspect for design and optimization of existing structural templates increasing the chance of identifying clinically useful analgesics for superior management of pain.

#### Abbreviations

[ <sup>35</sup> S]GTP <i>γ</i> S:	Guanosine-5'-O-(3-[ <sup>35</sup> S]thio)-
-	triphosphate
DMF:	N,N-dimethylformamide
DOR:	$\delta$ opioid receptor
EC <sub>50</sub> , IC <sub>50</sub> :	Concentration necessary to produce a
	50% effect
ED <sub>50</sub> :	Effective analgesic dose necessary to elicit
	a 50% effect
GPI:	Guinea pig ileum
i.c.v.:	Intracerebroventricular
i.p.:	Intraperitoneal
i.t.:	Intrathecal
i.v.:	Intravenous
K <sub>i</sub> :	Inhibition constant
KOR:	κ opioid receptor
MOR:	$\mu$ opioid receptor
MVD:	Mouse vas deferens
NaH:	Sodium hydride
PPOM:	14-Phenylpropoxymetopon

PPQ: Paraphenylquinone

- RVD: Rat vas deferens
- SAR: Structure-activity relationship
- s.c.: Subcutaneous.

#### Acknowledgments

The paper was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P12668 and TRP19-B16, European Community (EPILA, QLK6-1999-02334), and Drug Evaluation Committee of the College on Problems of Drug Dependence of the USA (N01DA-1-7725).

## References

- S. Benyhe, "Morphine: new aspects in the study of an ancient compound," *Life Sciences*, vol. 55, no. 13, pp. 969–979, 1994.
- [2] C. J. Woolf, "Overcoming obstacles to developing new analgesics," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1241–1247, 2010.
- [3] C. E. Inturrisi, "Clinical pharmacology of opioids for pain," *Clinical Journal of Pain*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. S3–S13, 2002.
- [4] R. Benyamin, A. M. Trescot, S. Datta et al., "Opioid complications and side effects," *Pain Physician*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. S105– S120, 2008.
- [5] I. Power, "An update on analgesics," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 19–24, 2011.
- [6] G. W. Pasternak, Ed., *The Opioid Receptors*, Humana Press, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [7] A. Mansour, C. A. Fox, H. Akil, and S. J. Watson, "Opioidreceptor mRNA expression in the rat CNS: anatomical and functional implications," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 22–29, 1995.
- [8] L. McCarthy, M. Wetzel, J. K. Sliker, T. K. Eisenstein, and T. J. Rogers, "Opioids, opioid receptors, and the immune response," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 111–123, 2001.
- [9] C. Stein, "Opioid receptors on peripheral sensory neurons," *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 521, pp. 69–76, 2003.
- [10] P. Holzer, "Opioid receptors in the gastrointestinal tract," *Regulatory Peptides*, vol. 155, no. 1–3, pp. 11–17, 2009.
- [11] L. J. Crofford, "Adverse effects of chronic opioid therapy for chronic musculoskeletal pain," *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 191–197, 2010.
- [12] H. Schmidhammer, L. Aeppli, L. Atwell et al., "Synthesis and biological evaluation of 14-alkoxymorphinans. 1. Highly potent opioid agonists in the series of (-)-14-methoxy-Nmethylmorphinan-6-ones," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 1575–1579, 1984.
- [13] R. Lattanzi, M. Spetea, F. Schüllner et al., "Synthesis and biological evaluation of 14-alkoxymorphinans. 22. Influence of the 14-alkoxy group and the substitution in position 5 in 14-alkoxymorphinan-6-ones on *in vitro* and *in vivo* activities," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 3372–3378, 2005.
- [14] M. Spetea, C. R. Bohotin, M. F. Asim, K. Stübegger, and H. Schmidhammer, "*In vitro* and *in vivo* pharmacological profile of the 5-benzyl analogue of 14-methoxymetopon, a novel μ opioid analgesic with reduced propensity to alter motor function," *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 2010.

- [16] N. B. Eddy, "Metopon hydrochloride (methyldihydromorphinone hydrochloride)," *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, vol. 58, pp. 79–80, 1948.
- [17] N. B. Eddy, "Pharmacology of metopon and other new analgesic opium derivatives," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 1948.
- [18] R. M. Boden, M. Gates, S. P. Ho, and P. Sundararaman, "Derivatives of the thebaine anion. 1. Structure of metopon. A direct demonstration," *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1347–1349, 1982.
- [19] H. Schmidhammer, F. Fritsch, W. P. Burkard, L. Eggstein-Aeppli, F. Hefti, and M. I. Holck, "(-)-N-[(cyclopropyl)methyl]-3,4-dimethoxy-5-methylmorphinan-6-one, an opioid agonist with preference for kappa opioid receptors," *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 642–647, 1988.
- [20] H. Schmidhammer, J. B. Deeter, N. D. Jones, J. D. Leander, D. D. Schoepp, and J. K. Swartzendruber, "Synthesis, structure elucidation, and pharmacological evaluation of 5-methyl-oxymorphone (= 4,5α-epoxy-3,14-dihydroxy-5,17dimethylmorphinan-6-one)," *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, vol. 71, no. 7, pp. 1801–1804, 1988.
- [21] M. Gates, R. M. Boden, and P. Sundararaman, "Derivatives of the thebaine anion. 2. 5-methylmorphine, 5-methylcodeine, 5-methylheroin, and some related compounds," *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 972–974, 1989.
- [22] J. Schütz, M. Spetea, M. Hoch et al., "Synthesis and biological evaluation of 14-alkoxymorphinans. 20.1 14-phenylpropoxymetopon: an extremely powerful analgesic," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 46, no. 19, pp. 4182–4187, 2003.
- [23] M. Spetea, T. Friedmann, P. Riba et al., "In vitro opioid activity profiles of 6-amino acid substituted derivatives of 14-O-methyloxymorphone," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 483, no. 2-3, pp. 301–308, 2004.
- [24] P. Riba, T. Friedmann, K. P. Király et al., "Novel approach to demonstrate high efficacy of μ opioids in the rat vas deferens: a simple model of predictive value," *Brain Research Bulletin*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 178–184, 2010.
- [25] Z. Fürst, B. Búzás, T. Friedmann, H. Schmidhammer, and A. Borsodi, "Highly potent novel opioid receptor agonist in the 14-alkoxymetopon series," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 236, no. 2, pp. 209–215, 1993.
- [26] M. Spetea, F. Tóth, J. Schütz et al., "Binding characteristics of [3H]14-methoxymetopon, a high affinity mu-opioid receptor agonist," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 290–295, 2003.
- [27] H. Schmidhammer, A. Schratz, and J. Mitterdorfer, "Synthesis and biological evaluation of 14-alkoxymorphinans. Part 8. 14-methoxymetopon, an extremely potent opioid agonist," *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, vol. 73, no. 6, pp. 1784–1787, 1990.
- [28] L. Mahurter, C. Garceau, J. Marino, H. Schmidhammer, G. Tóth, and G. W. Pasternak, "Separation of binding affinity and intrinsic activity of the potent μ-opioid 14-methoxymetopon," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 319, no. 1, pp. 247–253, 2006.
- [29] G. Zernig, A. Saria, R. Krassnig, and H. Schmidhammer, "Signal transduction efficacy of the highly potent mu opioid agonist 14- methoxymetopon," *Life Sciences*, vol. 66, no. 19, pp. 1871–1877, 2000.

- [30] E. Greiner, M. Spetea, R. Krassnig et al., "Synthesis and biological evaluation of 14-alkoxymorphinans. 18.1 N-substituted 14-phenylpropyloxymorphinan-6-ones with unanticipated agonist properties: extending the scope of common structureactivity relationships," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1758–1763, 2003.
- [31] M. A. King, W. Su, C. L. Nielan et al., "14-methoxymetopon, a very potent μ-opioid receptor-selective analgesic with an unusual pharmacological profile," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 459, no. 2-3, pp. 203–209, 2003.
- [32] K. P. Király, P. Riba, C. D'Addario et al., "Alterations in prodynorphin gene expression and dynorphin levels in different brain regions after chronic administration of 14methoxymetopon and oxycodone-6-oxime," *Brain Research Bulletin*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 233–239, 2006.
- [33] L. Urigüen, B. Fernández, E. M. Romero et al., "Effects of 14methoxymetopon, a potent opioid agonist, on the responses to the tail electric stimulation test and plus-maze activity in male rats: neuroendocrine correlates," *Brain Research Bulletin*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 661–666, 2002.
- [34] E. Freye, H. Schmidhammer, and L. Latasch, "14-methoxymetopon, a potent opioid, induces no respiratory depression, less sedation, and less bradycardia than sufentanil in the dog," *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, vol. 90, no. 6, pp. 1359–1364, 2000.
- [35] I. Bileviciute-Ljungar, M. Spetea, Y. Guo, J. Schütz, P. Windisch, and H. Schmidhammer, "Peripherally mediated antinociception of the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist 2-[(4,5 $\alpha$ -epoxy-3-hydroxy-14 $\beta$ -methoxy-17-methylmorphinan-6 $\beta$ -yl) amino]acetic acid (HS-731) after subcutaneous and oral administration in rats with carrageenan-induced hindpaw inflammation," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 317, no. 1, pp. 220–227, 2006.
- [36] G. F. Stegmann, "Etorphine-halothane anaesthesia in two fiveyear-old African elephants (Loxodonta africana)," *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 164– 166, 1999.
- [37] J. Sterken, J. Troubleyn, F. Gasthuys, V. Maes, M. Diltoer, and C. Verborgh, "Intentional overdose of large animal immobilon," *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 298–301, 2004.
- [38] A. Avdeef and B. Testa, "Physicochemical profiling in drug research: a brief survey of the state-of-the-art of experimental techniques," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 1681–1689, 2002.
- [39] B. Faller, "Physicochemical profiling in early drug discovery: new challenges at the age of high-throughput screen and combinatorial chemistry," in *Chemistry and Molecular Aspects* of Drug Design and Action, E. A. Rekka and P. N. Kourounakis, Eds., pp. 303–312, CRC Press, 2008.