



COMPUTATIONAL ANDSTRUCTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY J O U R N A L



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj

Versatile control of the CDC48 segregase by the plant UBX-containing (PUX) proteins



Junrui Zhang^a, Alexandra I. Vancea^a, Umar F. Shahul Hameed^a, Stefan T. Arold^{a,b,*}

^a King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Computational Bioscience Research Center (CBRC), Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering (BESE), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

^b Centre de Biochimie Structurale, CNRS, INSERM, Université de Montpellier, 34090 Montpellier, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 March 2021 Received in revised form 12 May 2021 Accepted 13 May 2021 Available online 14 May 2021

Keywords: CDC48 Cofactors PUX proteins Segregase LDAD ERAD Autophagy Structure Adaptor

Contents

ABSTRACT

In plants, AAA-adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase) Cell Division Control Protein 48 (CDC48) uses the force generated through ATP hydrolysis to pull, extract, and unfold ubiquitylated or sumoylated proteins from the membrane, chromatin, or protein complexes. The resulting changes in protein or RNA content are an important means for plants to control protein homeostasis and thereby adapt to shifting environmental conditions. The activity and targeting of CDC48 are controlled by adaptor proteins, of which the plant ubiquitin regulatory X (UBX) domain-containing (PUX) proteins constitute the largest family. Emerging knowledge on the structure and function of PUX proteins highlights that these proteins are versatile factors for plant homeostasis and adaptation that might inspire biotechnological applications.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1	Intraduction	2126
1.	introduction	
2.	Categories of CDC48/p97 cofactors	3126
	2.1. The PUX protein domains	3127
	2.2. The UBX domain	
	2.3. The PUB domain	
	2.4. The SHP box	
	2.5. The SEP domain.	
	2.6. The UBA domain	
	2.7. The UAS domain	
	2.8. The UIM motif	
	2.9. Other domains and motifs	
3.	Controlling CDC48 activity	3129
4.	Conclusion	3130
	CRediT authorship contribution statement	3130
	Declaration of Competing Interest	3130
	Acknowledgements	
	Appendix A. Supplementary data	
	Pre	

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: stefan.arold@kaust.edu.sa (S.T. Arold).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.05.025

2001-0370/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Organisms need to adapt rapidly in response to molecular cues or changing conditions. Although this capacity to react is essential to all life forms, it is particularly crucial to plants, given that they cannot adapt by changing their location. At a cellular level, such adaptation generally requires the modification of the protein or RNA composition in specific subcellular loci. This adaptation is most effectively and rapidly achieved via changes in the protein/RNA expression profile, concomitant with an active and selective relocation or degradation of proteins from these loci [1,2].

The cell division control protein 48 (CDC48) constitutes the core of a versatile multiprotein complex that can do both, alter protein/ RNA expression and extract proteins from their environment for reuse or degradation [3,4]. CDC48 is a type II adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase) associated with diverse cellular activities (AAA ATPase). Five isoforms of CDC48 have been identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: CDC48A, B and C are close paralogues, whereas CDC48D and E are more distantly related [5]. Of these CDC48A is the best characterized and possibly most important isoform in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. CDC48 has close orthologues in animals, where it is also known as p97, valosin-containing protein (VCP), or transitional endoplasmic reticulum 94 (TER94) [4]. CDC48 orthologues have also been described in single-celled eukaryotes, such as amoebozoa and yeast (termed Cdc48) [6]. Herein we use CDC48/p97 to refer to features pertaining to all homologues.

CDC48/p97 is composed of two stacked hexameric ATPase rings (formed by their N-terminal D1 and C-terminal D2 ATPase domains) (Fig. 1A) [7]. An additional ~190 residues N-terminal domain and a C-terminal stretch of ~75 flexible residues are used to bind cofactors and substrates [8,9]. The flexible C-terminal tail also contributes to the regulation of the catalytic activity. The two ATPase rings form a central pore involved in substrate process-

ing [4]. AAA ATPases use ATP hydrolysis for conformational changes, and CDC48/p97 uses the force generated through ATP hydrolysis to pull, extract, and unfold ubiquitylated or sumoylated proteins from the membrane, chromatin, or protein complexes [10]. This segregase activity is often, but not necessarily, linked with subsequent substrate degradation through the ubiquitin–pro-teasome system [11,12]. Thus, CDC48/p97 contributes to protein homeostasis regulation, membrane fusion, vesicular trafficking, and chromatin-associated function [11].

CDC48 is an essential protein in plants and is in particular required when rapid cellular changes are needed, such as during reproduction, germination, growth, differentiation, and the immune response [13,14]. In *A. thaliana*, CDC48A is involved in male gametophyte development [15,16], centromere disassembly, the release of bulk ribosomal RNA genes in pollen for pollen-tube growth [17], and in the reshaping of lipid droplets to prime seeds for germination [18,19]. CDC48A is also critical in shaping many aspects of sophisticated plant immune responses, where transcription and translation are enhanced, and in the degradation of immune receptors and of proteins from pathogens [14,20–22].

CDC48/p97 orthologues in plants, yeast, and animals generally have more than 70% sequence identity, indicating that their function is essentially preserved. However, there are notable differences in the cofactors required for target selection and functional control of CDC48/p97 between plants and other species, reflecting their different physiologies.

2. Categories of CDC48/p97 cofactors

The multifunctionality of CDC48/p97 arises from their capacity to bind to different cofactors, of which more than 30 are currently known across plants, yeast, and animals [23]. These cofactors can



Fig. 1. Structures of CDC48/p97 and PUX domains. A: Surface representation of *A. thaliana* CDC48A; homology model built with SWISS-MODEL [24], using the human p97 structure as a template (PDB 5FTN) [25]. The surfaces of the N, D1, and D2 domains are colour-coded, and the flexible C-terminal tail is indicated by a black line. Ct: C-terminal tail. B: The PUX1 UBX domain (green) in a complex with the N-terminal domain of p97 (p97-N) (white) (PDB 6HD0) [26]. C: Mouse PNGase PUB domain (pink) in complex with the p97 PIM motif (white) (PDB 2HPL) [27]. D: Human UFD1 SHP box (yellow) in complex with p97-N (white) (PDB 5B6C) [28]. E: Structural representation of conserved residues in the SEP domain of human p47 (PDB 1SS6) [29]. F: Rat p47 UBA domain (red) (PDB 1V92) [30]. Residues that may interact with ubiquitin are given. G: Human FAF1 UAS domain (orange) (PDB 2EC4) [31]. Residues of the positively charged surface patch are given. H: Structural representation of the UBX domain (green) and helical lariat (blue) of the human ASPL-C in complex with p97-N (white) (PDB 5IFS) [23]. Key residues for protein interactions are shown. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

be divided into the following three classes based on their functions and domains: first, noncatalytic substrate-recruiting cofactors that contain one domain for binding to CDC48/p97 and another domain for binding to ubiquitin or small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO)modified substrates. Prominent examples of these cofactors include ubiquitin-associated and ubiquitin regulatory X (UBA-UB X) proteins, and the complex formed by the ubiquitin recognition factor in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated degradation protein 1 (Ufd1) with the nuclear protein localization protein 4 homologue (Npl4). The second class includes substrate-processing cofactors, such as ubiquitin E3 ligases and deubiquitinases. The third class consists of cofactors that regulate the function of CDC48/p97 through the disruption of hexamers, post-translational modifications (PTMs), or sequestering [4].

These diverse cofactors bind to only a small number of sites on CDC48/p97. The majority of the cofactors bind to the N-terminal domain, either through a UBX or UBX-like (UBXL) domain or through specific linear binding motifs, such as the SHP binding motif [4]. The resulting competition between cofactors may help commit CDC48/p97 to specific functions. Whereas the CDC48/p97 cofactors are relatively well understood in mammals and yeast, their identification and characterization in plants has been very sporadic.

2.1. The PUX protein domains

The *Arabidopsis* genome contains at least 16 UBX-containing proteins that are collectively called the plant ubiquitin regulatory X domain-containing proteins (PUX) [32]; approximately half of these have been investigated to date [16,18,19,32–34]. The identified functions already provide an indication for the

many ways through which PUX proteins can control CDC48A. Several members function as canonical adaptors to recruit the proteolytic activity of CDC48 to diverse cellular loci and organelles, whereas others have evolved different approaches to inhibit CDC48 function. Additionally, although some PUX proteins recruit ubiquitinated proteins to CDC48, others mediate the degradation of nonfunctional CDC48 [34]. Herein, we first provide an overview of the individual domains and motifs of PUX proteins and subsequently summarise the emerging knowledge of how the combination of these domains produces specific biological functions in plants.

PUX proteins are a very heterogeneous family. Their sequences range from 150 to more than 500 amino acids in length and contain various combinations of a dozen interaction domains and sequence motifs linked by unstructured regions (Fig. 2). The only common feature is the C-terminal UBX domain. Three-dimensional structures have not yet been determined for other domains, and their cellular ligands and functions are often incompletely understood.

2.2. The UBX domain

The UBX domain is the general binding domain that enables PUX proteins to associate with CDC48 [35]. UBX is the only PUX domain for which a three-dimensional structure has been determined, namely for *A. thaliana* PUX1 bound to the human p97 [26]. The PUX1 UBX domain adopts a ubiquitin-like fold. Akin to the UBX domains of rat p47 and human FAF1, PUX1 UBX binds to the N-terminal domain of p97 (p97-N) [36–38]. The interaction is mediated by a loop between the UBX ß-strands 3 and 4 (termed the S3-S4 loop) that contains a crucial proline residue. This motif



Fig. 2. Domain architecture and classification of UBX domain–containing proteins. Folded protein domains are shown boxed with domain names. UBA: Ubiquitin-associated; PUB: Peptide: N-glycanase/UBA or UBX-containing proteins; SEP: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, eyes closed gene and vertebrate p47; UAS: Ubiquitin-associating; and UBX: Ubiquitin regulatory; HP: Hydrophobic patch. Linear functional motifs are colour coded: HL: Helical lariat; Znf: Zinc finger; CC: Coiled-coil region; UIM: Ubiquitin-interacting motif; SHP box: linear motif derived from Shp1p [43]; aa: amino acids. The scale bar shows the approximate length of 50 aa. Putative motifs or structural elements identified in this study are shown in stripes.

inserts into a hydrophobic pocket of p97-N (Fig. 1B) [36,38]. The S3-S4 loop motif is conserved in PUX proteins suggesting that they bind to CDC48-N, with the notable exception of PUX2 (Supplementary Figure 1A). However, to date the association with CDC48 has only been confirmed experimentally for the UBX domains of PUX1, 7, and 10 [16,18,19,32].

2.3. The PUB domain

The ~ 100-residue PUB domain is the only domain from UBXcontaining proteins known to interact with the p97 C-terminal tail (p97-C) [39]. In Arabidopsis, the PUB domain is only present in PUX2, which has a poorly understood molecular function. However, PUB domains found in human proteins (PNGase, UBXD1, and HOIP) are reportedly bound to p97 [40-42]. Analysis of the interactions of PNGase, UBXD1, and HOIP with their respective ligands revealed a common PUB interaction motif (PIM). In p97, this PIM is formed by the four residues D⁸⁰³LYG, located at the end of its C-terminal tail, and it associates with residues N⁴¹, K⁵⁰, Y⁵¹, and R⁵⁵ in the human PNGase PUB domain (Fig. 1C) [27,40,42]. The PIM-binding surface patch is conserved in the PUX2 PUB domain; furthermore, a putative PIM, based on our sequence comparison, is present in CDC48A-C (residues D⁸⁰⁶LYN, Supplementary Figure 1B), suggesting that the PUX2 PUB domain also binds to CDC48A-C.

2.4. The SHP box

The SHP box is a 10 amino acid motif with the consensus sequence F-x-G-x-G-x-x-h, where x is any residue and h is a hydrophobic residue, usually leucine. The SHP box, previously also called binding site 1 [44], is the only other element in UBXcontaining proteins that interacts with the CDC48/p97-N domain [44–46]. This motif was first identified in several yeast proteins, including Dfm1, Shp1, and Ufd1 [43]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and X-ray crystallographic analyses showed that the SHP box binds to human p97-N. However, these studies disagreed on whether the SHP box and the UBX domain could bind simultaneously to the same CDC48/p97-N domain [28,47]. Upon binding to p97, the human UFD1 SHP box adopts an elongated structure [28]. When binding with p97, UFD1 uses F^{225} aside from the F^{228} , N²³³, and L²³⁵ residues of the SHP sequence (Fig. 1D) [28]. These residues are conserved in PUX proteins, except for F²²⁵, which is replaced by a positively charged residue (Supplementary Figure 1C). Whether this replacement will abolish the interaction of the SHP box with CDC48A remains to be established. PUX2, 3, 4, and 5 contain additional putative SHP box motifs in their sequences with unknown significance (Fig. 2, Supplementary Figure 1C).

2.5. The SEP domain

The SEP domain was named according to the following three protein orthologues in which it was identified: <u>Shp1</u> from *S. cerevisiae*, <u>eyes</u> closed from *D. melanogaster*, and human <u>p47</u> [29]. Four PUX proteins contain SEP domains. Three of them (PUX3, 4, and 5) also harbour one or possibly two SHP box(es) (Fig. 2), suggesting that the SEP domain and SHP box act as a functional entity in these members. The human p47 SEP domain was suggested to function as a cysteine protease inhibitor based on the structural similarity of its S1-S2 and S3-H1 loops with the corresponding loops in cystatins and stefins (Fig. 1E) [29]. These loop residues are also conserved in the SEP domains of PUX3, 4, 5, and 6 (Supplementary Figure 1D). Therefore, PUX SEP domains may also function as protease inhibitors, but possibly with altered specificity and/or affin-

ity. However, this functional annotation is not the only one that has been proposed; the SEP domain of human p37, in combination with a helix located upstream of SEP, can reportedly mediate a ubiquitin-independent degradation of substrates by p97 [48,49]. A helical region of similar length is also predicted for PUX3, 4, and 5 (Supplementary Figure 1C). Finally, the SEP-SHP fragment of human p47 was shown to self-associate [30]. This selfassociation was disrupted when the p47 SEP-UBX fragment bound to p97-N [30], suggesting an autoregulatory mechanism resulting from the competition between intra- and intermolecular interactions. PUX3, 4, and 5 were shown to target CDC48 to the nucleoskeleton [33]. However, the mechanism through which the SEP–SHP module contributes to this function has not yet been established. PUX6, which contains a tandem SEP module without SHP motifs, was not found to localise to the nucleoskeleton [33]. Hence, the PUX6 SEP domain might perform a different function.

2.6. The UBA domain

The UBA domain was identified through sequence analysis of proteins that may be involved in the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway [50]. The UBA domain consists of approximately 40 amino acids and forms a three-helix bundle, although only a few residues are highly conserved (Fig. 1F) [51,52]. Even though ubiquitinbinding is the general feature proposed for UBA domains [50], two different cellular functions have been ascribed to them: degradation and stabilisation. Degradation-class UBA domains interact with multi-ubiquitinated substrates and deliver these to the proteasome with the help of the UBX domain. Stabilising UBA domains block the elongation of the ubiquitin chain by capping the ubiquitin anchored on the substrate [53,54]. A comparison between the UBA domains of the Ucp1 and Ddi1 orthologs from fission and brewer's yeasts, respectively, showed a different preference for mono- vs. tetraubiquitin, indicating a specialisation of the UBA domains towards degradation or stabilisation [55,56].

Nine of the 16 PUX proteins contain a UBA domain at their Nterminus (Fig. 2), suggesting that also PUX proteins may have UBA domains specialised for degradation or stabilisation. An interaction with ubiquitin was confirmed experimentally for the UBA domains of PUX7 and PUX10 [16,18]. Although the cellular role of PUX10 in guiding active CDC48A to extract proteins from vesicles supports a 'degradation mode' of the PUX10 UBA domain [18,19], the exact role of this and other PUX family UBA domains remains to be clarified.

2.7. The UAS domain

The ~ 110 residue UAS domain belongs to the thioredoxin-like superfamily [57], but lacks the C-x-x-C motif that conveys the reductional capability to thioredoxin. Rather, the analysis of human UAS domains from FAF1 and FAF2 (also called UBXD8) identified a fatty acid (FA) sensor function [31]. The UAS domain can mediate the polymerisation of FAF2, which is a sensor for long-chain unsaturated FAs. Two positively charged patches on the UAS domain of both FAF1 and FAF2 are essential for promoting FA-induced polymerisation (Fig. 1G) [31].

Six Arabidopsis PUX proteins contain a UAS domain (PUX7, 10, 11, 14, 15, and 16) (Fig. 2). Our structural modelling suggests that they display positively charged surface patches that resemble that of FAF2 UAS, except for the missing positive residues in the S3-S4 loop of PUX11 and PUX15 (Supplementary Figure 2A). The possibility that PUX UAS domains are sensors of different types of FA will need to be experimentally assessed.

2.8. The UIM motif

The ubiquitin-interacting motif (UIM) is a short motif of approximately 20 amino acids with the consensus h-x-x-A-x-x-S-x-x- A^c , where A^c denotes an acidic residue [58]. The UIM motif was first identified as a ubiquitin-interacting region in the human S5a subunit of the 19S regulator complex [59]. Subsequent mutational analyses confirmed the importance of conserved UIM residues for ubiquitin binding [60,61]. A subset of UIM motifs can bind to ATG8, a ubiquitin-fold protein implicated in autophagy [34]. PUX7, 8, 9 and 13 all bind to ATG8 with their UIM motifs (containing the A^c - A^c -x-x-h-x-x-A-h-x-x-S-x-x- A^c consensus) to mediate the autophagy and clearance of inactive CDC48 [34].

2.9. Other domains and motifs

Several PUX members harbour additional functional regions. The N-terminal region of the PUX1 UBX domain displays secondary structure and physicochemical features similar to the helical latch, or lariat, of the human UBX domain-containing protein alveolar soft part sarcoma locus (ASPL) (Fig. 1H). Structural studies of ASPL show that the 60 residues N-terminal of its UBX domain latch around p97 (Fig. 1H), thereby competing with p97's self-association and disrupting the p97 hexamer [23]. The hexamer-disrupting function of this lariat appears preserved in PUX1 [26,32].

A zinc finger domain of unknown function has been identified in PUX2 by sequence analysis [62]. Our homology modelling suggested that this domain displays sequence and structural features compatible with the type 4 ubiquitin-binding zinc finger of the human Rad18 protein (Supplementary Figure 2B) [63,64]. Hence we speculate that PUX2 might use this function to recognise ubiquitinated ligands.

Sequence analysis identified elongated helical regions just upstream of the UBX domains of PUX8, 10, and 13 (Fig. 2). Unlike the helical lariat of PUX1, these regions have sequences compatible with dimeric coiled-coil structures [65], suggesting that these regions mediate (homo) oligomerisation.

PUX10 uses a \sim 20-residue hydrophobic hairpin sequence to localise to the phospholipid monolayer that envelopes lipid droplets (LDs) [18,19]. This sequence is only present in PUX10 within the PUX family, but similar hairpins are used by several LD-localised proteins, such as oleosins which are the major LD-associated proteins in plant seeds.

Additionally, the long unstructured PUX family protein regions are likely to contain additional linear interaction motifs and sites for PTMs, as suggested, for example, by large-scale phosphopro-teome profiling [66,67]. These sites require further experimental investigations.

3. Controlling CDC48 activity

CDC48 is a ubiquitous segregase present throughout the cell. In plants, the activity of CDC48 is largely controlled and directed by PUX proteins. The emerging structural, functional, and biological knowledge starts to reveal the many ways by which individual PUX family members control CDC48.

PUX1 has been shown to inactivate CDC48A by dissociating the CDC48 hexamer [26,32,68]. For this, the PUX1 UBX domain binds to the N-terminal of CDC48A, as shown by the hybrid structure of the PUX1 UBX domain in a complex with human p97. The capability of PUX1 to dissociate the CDC48 hexamer originates from the N-terminal helical lariat of the PUX1 UBX domain that wraps around the CDC48 monomer (Fig. 1H) [26,32,68]. Lacking additional domains and known functional motifs, the major role of PUX1 appears to be to prevent CDC48A from assembling.

PUX2 is a compatibility factor for powdery mildew (PM) as mutations in this protein inhibit the growth of PM in A. thaliana [62]. This effect was linked to PUX2's capability to decrease basal mesophyll cell ploidy, thus increasing endoreduplication, and hence metabolic capacity, at the fungal feeding site [69]. PUX2 may influence cell ploidy by affecting cell expansion and differentiation via its interaction with CDC48 at the cell division plane [32,69]. PUX2 is the only PUX protein that has a PUB domain, which binds to the C-terminal of CDC48A. PUX2 also has an atypical UBX domain, where the S3-S4 loop motif is unusually long (Supplementary Figure 1A), raising the possibility that it does not bind to CDC48. These features suggest that PUX2 is an atypical member that interacts with CDC48 via the PUB domain instead of the UBX domain, which is freed and modified for different associations. Its zinc finger domain might functionally replace the UBA domain for ubiquitin binding. Intriguingly, the region preceding the PUX2 UBX domain displays sequence and secondary structure features reminiscent of the N-terminal lariat of PUX1 and human ASPL. It remains to be determined how these unusual features combine to produce the biological function of PUX2.

PUX3, 4, and 5 were shown to recruit CDC48 to the nucleoskeleton underneath the inner nuclear membrane (INM) [33]. Similar to PUX1, these three PUX proteins negatively regulate protein degradation by CDC48. However, the exact mechanism remains unclear. Their UBX domains appear to be canonical CDC48-interacting domains without indications of an N-terminal latch found in PUX1, inferring that the UBX domains of these three PUX proteins recruit CDC48 without disrupting the hexamer. PUX3, 4, and 5 have a SEP domain and SHP motifs, suggesting that these regions mediate the interactions with the nucleoskeleton, possibly in a ubiquitin-independent way, thereby targeting CDC48 to these locations. Whether or how the SEP domain and SHP motifs influence CDC48 activity is currently unknown. It has been suggested that PUX3, 4, and 5 inhibit the function of CDC48 directly through an unknown mechanism or indirectly by degrading a ubiquitin ligase that targets the INM proteins [33]. The presence of a UBA domain in PUX5, but not in PUX3 and 4, might indicate a slightly different function of PUX5 by allowing it to recruit ubiquitinated substrates.

PUX7, 8, 9, and 13 mediate the autophagic clearance of inactive CDC48. Autophagosomes emerge from the ER as cup-shaped phagophores decorated by the ubiquitin-fold protein ATG8 [34]. PUX7, 8, 9, and 13 bind to ATG8 and CDC48 via their UIM motif and UBX domain, respectively [34]. Although PUX7/8/9/13 are continuously turned over by autophagy, and PUX7/8/9/13 and CDC48 are turned over during nitrogen starvation in Arabidopsis, these PUX proteins only mediate autophagic clearance of CDC48 that has been first rendered nonfunctional through small-molecule inhibitors or through deleterious mutations [34]. How the PUX proteins specifically identify inactive CDC48 remains to be determined. However, given that all four PUX members have an Nterminal UBA domain, the involvement of ubiquitination is a possibility. PUX7, 8, 9, and 13 jointly contribute to full clearance of inactive CDC48, inferring functional redundancy. Yet, PUX7 also has a central UAS domain and hence might have specific additional functions.

PUX10 recruits CDC48 to LDs to regulate the protein composition of these ER-derived organelles. However, conversely to PUX3/4/5 in INM, CDC48 recruited by PUX10 is catalytically active and extracts ubiquitinated proteins from the LDs for LD-associated degradation (LDAD) [18,19]. PUX10 uses its hydrophobic hairpin to localise to LDs. There, it functions as an adaptor protein that uses the UBX domain to bind to CDC48-N and the UBA domain to recruit active CDC48 to ubiquitinated LD proteins to catalyse their extraction from LDs. The function of the PUX10 UAS domain is currently unknown, but the FA-binding activity observed in human UAS domains would provide a function matching the LD environment. By controlling the LD-associated degradation (LDAD) of ubiquitinated oleosins and possibly other LD surface proteins, PUX10 regulates the LD size and therefore availability of the lipids stored within it. Thus, PUX10 was shown to regulate the turnover of LDs during seed germination and embryogenesis in *A. thaliana* and may also regulate LDs in tobacco pollen tubes [18,19]. Additional localisations of PUX10 to the membranes of chloroplasts and the ER suggest PUX10 might also have roles in chloroplast-associated degradation (CHLORAD) and ER-associated degradation (ERAD) [18].

4. Conclusion

By translocating and/or eliminating proteins from various locations in the cell, CDC48/p97 serves as a fast-response mechanism to changing conditions and assures a healthy proteome in plants, animals, and single-celled eukaryotes. CDC48/p97 proteins are highly conserved in their sequence and segregase activity. The functional versatility of CDC48/p97 arises from its combination with an arsenal of adaptor proteins that control both the activity and targets of CDC48/p97.

PUX proteins emerge as adaptor proteins that target CDC48 to several organelles, thereby controlling membrane-associated protein translocation and degradation. This assures normal organellar homeostasis and allows adaptation to developmental and environmental cues. CDC48-mediated protein degradation has been associated with CHLORAD, LDAD, ERAD, INM, and autophagy in plants [18,19,33,34,70–72]. All these protein degradation mechanisms were shown to involve PUX proteins, although the evidence linking PUX proteins to CHLORAD and ERAD remains weak [18,19].

Further resolving the pieces of the PUX puzzle requires a combination of structural and functional approaches. At the domain and motif levels, we require a better understanding of the cellular targets of the individual PUX domains and the experimental determination of structures of PUX family domains, both when alone and bound to their targets. Additional efforts need to be directed towards identifying higher-level regulatory mechanisms. In plants, CDC48A is controlled through PTMs such as S-nitrosation in tobacco [73] and phosphorylation in Arabidopsis [15]. However, the effects of PTMs on PUX proteins and their association with CDC48 remain unexplored. Additional understudied mechanisms include conformational dynamics and self-associations that may collectively regulate PUX proteins and their ligands. Furthermore, it is important to elucidate whether and how specific combinatorial effects are produced by the simultaneous interaction of one CDC48 hexamer with more than one type of adaptor protein. For example, the combination of different PUX proteins or the combination of PUX proteins with other factors, such as adaptors of the Npl4/Ufd1 families, may each give rise to specifically tuned responses [74]. At the organismal level, it is noteworthy that the biological function of PUX11, 12, 14, 15, and 16 still has not been investigated.

Owing to their relatively small size and high flexibility, establishing the structure-function relationship of PUX proteins will require integrative and innovative approaches. We anticipate that the combination of computational approaches, high- and lowresolution structural methods, and functional *in vitro* and *in planta* assays will be necessary to appreciate the functional palette of PUX proteins. An enhanced understanding of how the PUX proteins allow plants to maintain proteostasis and to adapt to changing conditions may inspire novel genetic approaches to enhance desired features in agriculturally important species.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Junrui Zhang: Conceptualization, Visualization, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Alexandra I. Vancea: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Umar F. Shahul Hameed: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Stefan T. Arold: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) through the baseline fund and the Award No. URF/1/4039-01 from the Office of Sponsored Research (OSR). We thank I. Blilou for comments on the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.05.025.

References

- Marshall RS, Vierstra RD. Dynamic Regulation of the 26S Proteasome: From Synthesis to Degradation. Front Mol Biosci 2019;6:40. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmolb.2019.00040</u>.
- [2] Majumder P, Baumeister W. Proteasomes: unfoldase-assisted protein degradation machines. Biol Chem 2019;401:183–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/ hsz-2019-0344</u>.
- [3] Stolz A, Hilt W, Buchberger A, Wolf DH. Cdc48: a power machine in protein degradation. Trends Biochem Sci 2011;36(10):515–23. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tibs.2011.06.001</u>.
- [4] Hanzelmann P, Schindelin H. The Interplay of Cofactor Interactions and Posttranslational Modifications in the Regulation of the AAA+ ATPase p97. Front Mol Biosci 2017;4:21. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2017.00021</u>.
- [5] Bègue H, Jeandroz S, Blanchard C, Wendehenne D, Rosnoblet C. Structure and functions of the chaperone-like p97/CDC48 in plants. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj 2017;1861(1):3053–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbagen.2016.10.001</u>.
- [6] Kienle N, Kloepper TH, Fasshauer D. Shedding light on the expansion and diversification of the Cdc48 protein family during the rise of the eukaryotic cell. BMC Evol Biol 2016;16:215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-016-0790-1</u>.
- [7] DeLaBarre B, Brunger AT. Complete structure of p97/valosin-containing protein reveals communication between nucleotide domains. Nat Struct Biol 2003;10(10):856-63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nsb972</u>.
- [8] Ogura T, Wilkinson AJ. AAA+ superfamily ATPases: common structure-diverse function. Genes Cells 2001;6:575–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/i.1365-2443.2001.00447.x.</u>
- Buchberger A, Schindelin H, Hanzelmann P. Control of p97 function by cofactor binding. FEBS Lett 2015;589:2578–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. febslet.2015.08.028</u>.
- [10] Cooney I, Han H, Stewart MG, Carson RH, Hansen DT, Iwasa JH, et al. Structure of the Cdc48 segregase in the act of unfolding an authentic substrate. Science 2019;365(6452):502–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science:aax0486</u>.
- [11] Meyer H, Bug M, Bremer S. Emerging functions of the VCP/p97 AAA-ATPase in the ubiquitin system. Nat Cell Biol 2012;14(2):117–23. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncb2407</u>.
- [12] Meerang M, Ritz D, Paliwal S, Garajova Z, Bosshard M, Mailand N, et al. The ubiquitin-selective segregase VCP/p97 orchestrates the response to DNA double-strand breaks. Nat Cell Biol 2011;13(11):1376-82. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncb2367</u>.
- [13] Park S, Rancour DM, Bednarek SY. In planta analysis of the cell cycledependent localization of AtCDC48A and its critical roles in cell division, expansion, and differentiation. Plant Physiol 2008;148:246–58. <u>https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.108.121897</u>.
- [14] Rosnoblet C, Bègue H, Blanchard C, Pichereaux C, Besson-Bard A, Aimé S, et al. Functional characterization of the chaperon-like protein Cdc48 in cryptogein-

induced immune response in tobacco. Plant Cell Environ 2017;40(4):491–508. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce:y40.410.1111/pce:12686.

- [15] Aker J, Borst JW, Karlova R, de Vries S. The Arabidopsis thaliana AAA protein CDC48A interacts in vivo with the somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase 1 receptor at the plasma membrane. J Struct Biol 2006;156(1):62–71. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb:2006.03.004</u>.
- [16] Gallois J-L, Drouaud J, Lécureuil A, Guyon-Debast A, Bonhomme S, Guerche P. Functional characterization of the plant ubiquitin regulatory X (UBX) domaincontaining protein AtPUX7 in Arabidopsis thaliana. Gene 2013;526 (2):299–308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.05.056</u>.
- [17] Mérai Z, Chumak N, García-Águilar M, Hsieh T-F, Nishimura T, Schoft VK, et al. The AAA-ATPase molecular chaperone Cdc48/p97 disassembles sumoylated centromeres, decondenses heterochromatin, and activates ribosomal RNA genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111(45):16166–71. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1418564111.</u>
- [18] Deruyffelaere C, Purkrtova Z, Bouchez I, Collet B, Cacas J-L, Chardot T, et al. PUX10 Is a CDC48A Adaptor Protein That Regulates the Extraction of Ubiquitinated Oleosins from Seed Lipid Droplets in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2018;30(9):2116–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00275</u>.
- [19] Kretzschmar FK, Mengel LA, Müller AO, Schmitt K, Blersch KF, Valerius O, et al. PUX10 Is a Lipid Droplet-Localized Scaffold Protein That Interacts with CELL DIVISION CYCLE48 and Is Involved in the Degradation of Lipid Droplet Proteins. Plant Cell 2018;30(9):2137–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1105/ toc.18.00276</u>.
- [20] Begue H, Mounier A, Rosnoblet C, Wendehenne D. Toward the understanding of the role of CDC48, a major component of the protein quality control, in plant immunity. Plant Sci 2019;279:34–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.plantsci.2018.10.029</u>.
- [21] Copeland C, Woloshen V, Huang Y, Li X. AtCDC48A is involved in the turnover of an NLR immune receptor. Plant J 2016;88(2):294–305. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1111/tpi.2016.88.issue-210.1111/tpi.13251</u>.
- [22] Hauler F, Mallery DL, McEwan WA, Bidgood SR, James LC. AAA ATPase p97/VCP is essential for TRIM21-mediated virus neutralization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109(48):19733-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210659109</u>.
- [23] Arumughan A, Roske Y, Barth C, Forero LL, Bravo-Rodriguez K, Redel A, et al. Quantitative interaction mapping reveals an extended UBX domain in ASPL that disrupts functional p97 hexamers. Nat Commun 2016;7(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13047</u>.
- [24] Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R and Schwede T (2018) SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W296-W303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427
- [25] Banerjee S, Bartesaghi A, Merk A, Rao P, Bulfer SL, Yan Y, et al. 2.3 A resolution cryo-EM structure of human p97 and mechanism of allosteric inhibition. Science 2016;351(6275):871–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science:aad7974</u>.
- [26] Banchenko S, Arumughan A, Petrović S, Schwefel D, Wanker EE, Roske Y, et al. Common Mode of Remodeling AAA ATPases p97/CDC48 by Their Disassembling Cofactors ASPL/PUX1. Structure 2019;27(12):1830–1841.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2019.10.001.
- [27] Zhao G, Zhou X, Wang L, Li G, Schindelin H, Lennarz WJ. Studies on peptide:N-glycanase-p97 interaction suggest that p97 phosphorylation modulates endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104(21):8785–90. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702966104.
- [28] Le LTM, Kang W, Kim J-Y, Le OTT, Lee SY, Yang JK, et al. Structural Details of Ufd1 Binding to p97 and Their Functional Implications in ER-Associated Degradation. PLoS ONE 2016;11(9):e0163394. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163394</u>.
- [29] Soukenik M, Diehl A, Leidert M, Sievert V, Bussow K, Leitner D, Labudde D, Ball LJ, Lechner A, Nagler DK and Oschkinat H (2004) The SEP domain of p47 acts as a reversible competitive inhibitor of cathepsin L. FEBS Lett 576:358-62. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2004.09.037
- [30] Yuan X, Simpson P, Mckeown C, Kondo H, Uchiyama K, Wallis R, et al. Structure, dynamics and interactions of p47, a major adaptor of the AAA ATPase, p97. EMBO J 2004;23(7):1463–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600152</u>.
- [31] Kim H, Zhang H, Meng D, Russell G, Lee JN, Ye J. UAS domain of Ubxd8 and FAF1 polymerizes upon interaction with long-chain unsaturated fatty acids. J Lipid Res 2013;54(8):2144–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1194/ilr.M037218</u>.
- [32] Rancour DM, Park S, Knight SD, Bednarek SY. Plant UBX domain-containing protein 1, PUX1, regulates the oligomeric structure and activity of arabidopsis CDC48. J Biol Chem 2004;279(52):54264–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M405498200</u>.
- [33] Huang A, Tang Yu, Shi X, Jia M, Zhu J, Yan X, et al. Proximity labeling proteomics reveals critical regulators for inner nuclear membrane protein degradation in plants. Nat Commun 2020;11(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>s41467-020-16744-1</u>.
- [34] Marshall RS, Hua Z, Mali S, McLoughlin F, Vierstra RD. ATG8-Binding UIM Proteins Define a New Class of Autophagy Adaptors and Receptors. Cell 2019;177(3):766-781.e24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.009</u>.
- [35] Schuberth C, Buchberger A. UBX domain proteins: major regulators of the AAA ATPase Cdc48/p97. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008;65(15):2360–71. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00018-008-8072-8</u>.
- [36] Dreveny I, Kondo H, Uchiyama K, Shaw A, Zhang X, Freemont PS. Structural basis of the interaction between the AAA ATPase p97/VCP and its adaptor protein p47. EMBO J 2004;23(5):1030–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/si.</u> emboi.7600139.

- [37] Kang W, Yang JK. Crystal structure of human FAF1 UBX domain reveals a novel FcisP touch-turn motif in p97/VCP-binding region. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011;407(3):531–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.bbrc.2011.03.052</u>.
- [38] Kim KH, Kang W, Suh SW, Yang JK. Crystal structure of FAF1 UBX domain in complex with p97/VCP N domain reveals a conformational change in the conserved FcisP touch-turn motif of UBX domain. Proteins 2011;79 (8):2583-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.23073</u>.
- [39] Blueggel M, van den Boom J, Meyer H, Bayer P, Beuck C. Structure of the PUB Domain from Ubiquitin Regulatory X Domain Protein 1 (UBXD1) and Its Interaction with the p97 AAA+ ATPase. Biomolecules 2019;9(12):876. <u>https:// doi.org/10.3390/biom9120876</u>.
- [40] Allen MD, Buchberger A, Bycroft M. The PUB domain functions as a p97 binding module in human peptide N-glycanase. J Biol Chem 2006;281 (35):25502-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M601173200</u>.
- [41] Kern M, Fernandez-Sáiz V, Schäfer Z, Buchberger A. UBXD1 binds p97 through two independent binding sites. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009;380 (2):303–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.076</u>.
- [42] Schaeffer V, Akutsu M, Olma MH, Gomes LC, Kawasaki M, Dikic I. Binding of OTULIN to the PUB domain of HOIP controls NF-kappaB signaling. Mol Cell 2014;54:349–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.016</u>.
- [43] HITT R, WOLF D. Der1p, a protein required for degradation of malfolded soluble proteins of the endoplasmic reticulum: topology and Der1-like proteins. FEMS Yeast Res 2004;4(7):721–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. femsyr.2004.02.003</u>.
- [44] Bruderer RM, Brasseur C, Meyer HH. The AAA ATPase p97/VCP interacts with its alternative co-factors, Ufd1-Npl4 and p47, through a common bipartite binding mechanism. J Biol Chem 2004;279(48):49609–16. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1074/ibc.M408695200</u>.
- [45] Uchiyama K, Jokitalo E, Kano F, Murata M, Zhang X, Canas B, Newman R, Rabouille C, Pappin D, Freemont P and Kondo H (2002) VCIP135, a novel essential factor for p97/p47-mediated membrane fusion, is required for Golgi and ER assembly in vivo. | Cell Biol 159:855-66. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200208112
- [46] Sato BK, Hampton RY. Yeast Derlin Dfm1 interacts with Cdc48 and functions in ER homeostasis. Yeast 2006;23(14-15):1053-64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ (ISSN)1097-006110.1002/yea.v23:14/1510.1002/yea.1407</u>.
- [47] Isaacson RL, Pye VE, Simpson P, Meyer HH, Zhang X, Freemont PS, et al. Detailed structural insights into the p97-Npl4-Ufd1 interface. J Biol Chem 2007;282(29):21361–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M610069200</u>.
- [48] Weith M, Seiler J, van den Boom J, Kracht M, Hülsmann J, Primorac I, et al. Ubiquitin-Independent Disassembly by a p97 AAA-ATPase Complex Drives PP1 Holoenzyme Formation. Mol Cell 2018;72(4):766–777.e6. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.09.020</u>.
- [49] Kracht M, van den Boom J, Seiler J, Kröning A, Kaschani F, Kaiser M, et al. Protein Phosphatase-1 Complex Disassembly by p97 is Initiated through Multivalent Recognition of Catalytic and Regulatory Subunits by the p97 SEPdomain Adapters. J Mol Biol 2020;432(23):6061–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jmb.2020.10.001</u>.
- [50] Hofmann K, Bucher P. The UBA domain: a sequence motif present in multiple enzyme classes of the ubiquitination pathway. Trends Biochem Sci 1996;21 (5):172–3.
- [51] Dieckmann T, Withers-Ward ES, Jarosinski MA, Liu C-F, Chen ISY, Feigon J. Structure of a human DNA repair protein UBA domain that interacts with HIV-1 Vpr. Nat Struct Biol 1998;5(12):1042–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/4220</u>.
- [52] Withers-Ward ES, Mueller TD, Chen ISY, Feigon J. Biochemical and structural analysis of the interaction between the UBA(2) domain of the DNA repair protein HHR23A and HIV-1 Vpr. Biochemistry 2000;39(46):14103–12. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1021/bi0017071</u>.
- [53] Buchberger A. From UBA to UBX: new words in the ubiquitin vocabulary. Trends Cell Biol 2002;12:216–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-8924(02)</u> 02269-9.
- [54] Park ES, Yoo YJ, Elangovan M. The opposite role of two UBA-UBX containing proteins, p47 and SAKS1 in the degradation of a single ERAD substrate, alpha-TCR. Mol Cell Biochem 2017;425:37–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-016-2860-5.</u>
- [55] Hartmann-Petersen R, Semple CAM, Ponting CP, Hendil KB, Gordon C. UBA domain containing proteins in fission yeast. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2003;35 (5):629–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1357-2725(02)00393-X</u>.
- [56] Bertolaet BL, Clarke DJ, Wolff M, Watson MH, Henze M, Divita G, et al. UBA domains of DNA damage-inducible proteins interact with ubiquitin. Nat Struct Biol 2001;8:417–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/87575</u>.
- [57] Marchler-Bauer A, Lu S, Anderson JB, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, DeWeese-Scott C, et al. CDD: a Conserved Domain Database for the functional annotation of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 2011;39(Database):D225–9. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkq1189</u>.
- [58] Hofmann K, Falquet L. A ubiquitin-interacting motif conserved in components of the proteasomal and lysosomal protein degradation systems. Trends Biochem Sci 2001;26(6):347–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(01)</u> 01835-7.
- [59] Young P, Deveraux Q, Beal RE, Pickart CM, Rechsteiner M. Characterization of two polyubiquitin binding sites in the 26 S protease subunit 5a. J Biol Chem 1998;273(10):5461–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.10.5461</u>.
- [60] Polo S, Sigismund S, Faretta M, Guidi M, Capua MR, Bossi G, et al. A single motif responsible for ubiquitin recognition and monoubiquitination in endocytic proteins. Nature 2002;416(6879):451–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/416451a</u>.
- [61] Shih SC, Katzmann DJ, Schnell JD, Sutanto M, Emr SD, Hicke L. Epsins and Vps27p/Hrs contain ubiquitin-binding domains that function in receptor

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19 (2021) 3125-3132

endocytosis. Nat Cell Biol 2002;4(5):389-93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> ncb790.

- [62] Chandran D, Tai YC, Hather G, Dewdney J, Denoux C, Burgess DG, Ausubel FM, Speed TP and Wildermuth MC (2009) Temporal global expression data reveal known and novel salicylate-impacted processes and regulators mediating powdery mildew growth and reproduction on Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 149:1435-51. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.132985
- [63] Rizzo AA, Salerno PE, Bezsonova I, Korzhnev DM. NMR structure of the human Rad18 zinc finger in complex with ubiquitin defines a class of UBZ domains in proteins linked to the DNA damage response. Biochemistry 2014;53 (37):5895–906. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi500823h</u>.
- [64] Hu Qi, Botuyan MV, Cui G, Zhao D, Mer G. Mechanisms of Ubiquitin-Nucleosome Recognition and Regulation of 53BP1 Chromatin Recruitment by RNF168/169 and RAD18. Mol Cell 2017;66(4):473–487.e9. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.009</u>.
- [65] Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science 1991;252(5009):1162–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science:252.5009.1162</u>.
- [66] de la Fuente van Bentem S, Anrather D, Dohnal I, Roitinger E, Csaszar E, Joore J, et al. Site-specific phosphorylation profiling of Arabidopsis proteins by mass spectrometry and peptide chip analysis. J Proteome Res 2008;7(6):2458–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/pr8000173</u>.
- [67] Reiland S, Messerli G, Baerenfaller K, Gerrits B, Endler A, Grossmann J, Gruissem W and Baginsky S (2009) Large-scale Arabidopsis phosphoproteome profiling reveals novel chloroplast kinase substrates and phosphorylation networks. Plant Physiol 150:889-903. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138677.

- [68] Park S, Rancour DM, Bednarek SY. Protein domain-domain interactions and requirements for the negative regulation of Arabidopsis CDC48/p97 by the plant ubiquitin regulatory X (UBX) domain-containing protein, PUX1. J Biol Chem 2007;282(8):5217–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M609042200</u>.
- [69] Chandran D, Rickert J, Cherk C, Dotson BR, Wildermuth MC. Host cell ploidy underlying the fungal feeding site is a determinant of powdery mildew growth and reproduction. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2013;26(5):537–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-10-12-0254-R</u>.
- [70] Liu Y, Li J. Endoplasmic reticulum-mediated protein quality control in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 2014;5:162. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00162</u>.
- [71] Ling Q, Broad W, Trösch R, Töpel M, Demiral Sert T, Lymperopoulos P, et al. Ubiquitin-dependent chloroplast-associated protein degradation in plants. Science 2019;363(6429):eaav4467. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science:aav4467</u>.
- [72] Meusser B, Hirsch C, Jarosch E, Sommer T. ERAD: the long road to destruction. Nat Cell Biol 2005;7(8):766–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb0805-766</u>.
- [73] Astier J, Besson-Bard A, Lamotte O, Bertoldo J, Bourque S, Terenzi H and Wendehenne D (2012) Nitric oxide inhibits the ATPase activity of the chaperone-like AAA+ ATPase CDC48, a target for S-nitrosylation in cryptogein signalling in tobacco cells. Biochem J 447:249-60. doi: 10.1042/ BJ20120257.
- [74] Hänzelmann P, Buchberger A, Schindelin H. Hierarchical binding of cofactors to the AAA ATPase p97. Structure 2011;19(6):833–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.str.2011.03.018</u>.