

LETTER OPEN Characterization of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies in COVID-19 patients reveals highly potent neutralizing IgA

Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy (2021)6:35

; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00478-7

Dear Editor,

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is an ongoing threat to public health. Due to the presence of neutralizing antibodies in convalescent patients, convalescent plasma transfusion has been tested as a promising therapy for severe COVID-19 patients.^{1,2} We and others previously found a surprisingly early serum IgA antibody response in the COVID-19 patients.^{3,4} However, the relative contribution of the IgA antibody towards virus neutralization with respect to other antibody isotypes is unknown.

Highly purified SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain (RBD) and Nucleocapsid protein (NP) (Supplementary Fig. S1) were employed to develop affinity immobilized columns, respectively. Serum antibodies specific to RBD and NP were purified from 90 mL virus-inactivated sera collected from 50 COVID-19 convalescent patients using the columns prepared above, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S2a, e). NP or RBD-specific IgG was then purified by using a protein G column, which only binds to IgG (Supplementary Fig. S2b, f). The NP or RBD-specific IgM were purified by using an anti-IgM affinity column and IgA was further purified by pull-down using anti-IgA affinity beads (Supplementary Fig. S2c, g), respectively (see Supplemental Methods for details). The purified NP or RBD-IgA, -IgM, and -IgG were confirmed by western blotting with isotype-specific antibodies (Supplementary Fig. S3) and mass spectrometry (data not shown). The amount of RBD- or NP-specific antibodies is in the order of IgG > IgA > IgM (Supplementary Table S1).

Absolute concentrations of each antibody isotypes provide important humoral immune response information in COVID-19 patients. Using these highly purified antibodies as standards, we generated standard curves (Supplementary Fig. S4) to convert the relative light unit (RLU) measured with RBD and NP based chemiluminescence diagnostic kits into absolute antibody concentrations (µg per mL) for 216 serum samples from 87 COVID-19 patients. To simplify plots from a large number of samples, median values with an interquartile range of antibody concentrations were plotted vs. time windows. As shown in Fig. 1a-c, the median concentration of RBD-IgA reached the highest (8.84 µg/mL) from 16 to 20 days after illness onset, declining subsequently but maintaining at about 3.62 µg/mL until 41 days. The median concentration of RBD-IgG was the lowest in the early stages but rise after the 15th day after the illness onset. RBD-IgG concentration reached the peak on the 21st-25th days after illness onset at 16.47 µg/mL and stayed at a relatively high concentration (11.40 µg/mL) until the 41st day. The trend of RBD-lgM was very similar to that of RBD-IgA. As expected, the trend of NP-specific antibodies was roughly the same as that of RBD-specific antibodies (Fig. 1d-f) for each isotype. The median concentration of NP-IgA reached the highest (10.07 μ g/mL) level on the 11th-15th days after illness onset. The median concentration of NP-IgG reached the peak on the 21st–25th days after the illness onset as 149.37 μ g/mL. Unlike NP-IgA, the highest median concentration of NP-IgM (6.72 μ g/mL) appeared on the 16th–20th days after the illness onset. The absolute concentration of the three isotypes of antibody in 216 COVID-19 patients' sera provides a guide for convalescent serum therapy and vaccine development.

Biolayer interferometry (BLI) was performed to further characterize the binding properties of the purified antibodies. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S5d–f, the purified SARS-CoV-2 NP-IgA, -IgM, and -IgG exhibited a high cross-reactivity with the SARS-CoV-1 NP, probably due to the high identity between SARS-CoV-2 NP and SARS-CoV-1 NP. By contrast, RBD-IgA, -IgM, and -IgG specifically bound to SARS-CoV-2 RBD but not SARS-CoV-1 RBD (Supplementary Fig. S5a–c). These results indicate that RBD is more suitable than NP as an antigen for specific testing kits.

SARS-CoV-2 virus entry into host cells requires the interaction between RBD and Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on the cell surface, so the RBD antibodies may block virus infection. Competitive ELISA was performed to assess the RBD-ACE2 blocking abilities of the RBD-specific antibodies. As shown in Fig. 1g-i, the three isotypes of RBD-specific antibodies block the binding of ACE2 to the RBD immobilized on plate with different strengths. Among them, IgA exhibited the strongest competitive capacity with IC₅₀ of 4.68 \pm 0.46 μ g/mL, which was better than that of IgG (IC₅₀ = $9.36 \pm 1.001 \ \mu g/mL$) and IgM (IC₅₀ = $22.65 \pm 0.04 \ \mu g/mL$). Furthermore, the SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing assay was performed to evaluate the neutralizing potency of the RBD-specific antibodies. The sera pool of COVID-19 convalescent patients was tested first, which exhibited an 80% neutralizing ability of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection of Vero-E6 cells (Fig. 1j). Subsequently, the purified RBDspecific antibodies were tested separately. RBD-IgA, -IgM, and -IgG showed different SARS-CoV-2 infection neutralizing potency. Consistent with the results of competitive ELISA, IgA exhibited a higher neutralizing potency than IgM and IgG. The ND_{50} value of IgA was $2.42 \pm 0.89 \,\mu$ g/mL, while ND₅₀ values of IgM and IgG were nearly 20.31 µg/mL and 39.73 µg/mL, respectively (Fig. 1k-m). Even though IgA is only 2-fold stronger than IgG in competing ACE2 to bind with RBD, IgA is about 10-fold more potent than IgG in the neutralization assay. These results showed that the IgA antibodies present in convalescent patients' sera play nonneglectable roles in neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 in addition to the traditionally thought IgG.

Studies on humoral responses to infections mediated by IgA are receiving increasing attention. It was proposed that secretory IgA might be a therapeutic strategy against SARS-CoV-2.⁵ However, there is no experimental data on anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy by IgA in serum. Here, we purified NP- and RBD-specific antibodies directly from convalescent patients' serum pool, and determined the absolute mass concentration of all types of serum antibodies in COVID-19 patients. RBD-specific antibodies are able to block ACE2 binding to RBD and neutralize live SARS-CoV-2. RBD-IgA

Received: 19 October 2020 Revised: 4 December 2020 Accepted: 22 December 2020 Published online: 29 January 2021

Letter



Fig. 1 Characterization of serum IgA, IgM, and IgG in COVID-19 patients. **a**–**c** The kinetics of RBD-specific IgA (**a**), IgM (**b**), and IgG (**c**) levels in sera of COVID-19 patients at different time windows. **d**–**f** The kinetics of NP-specific IgA (**d**), IgM (**e**), and IgG (**f**) levels in sera of COVID-19 patients at different time windows. The median values of RLU or calculated antibody mass concentrations were plotted for each isotype of three antibodies. Bars indicate interquartile ranges. **g**, **h** The efficiency of RBD-IgA (**g**), IgM (**h**), and IgG (**i**) competing with hACE2 in binding SARS-CoV-2 RBD were determined by ELISA. The IC₅₀ was measured by GraphPad Prism 5.0. **j**–**m** COVID-19 convalescent patients' serum pool (**j**) and RBD-specific IgA (**k**)/IgM (**I**)/IgG (**m**)-mediated neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Vero-E6 cells. The ND₅₀ were fitted by GraphPad Prism 5.0

exhibited an impressive neutralizing potency, with an ND₅₀ value of 2.42 \pm 0.89 µg/mL, which is 10-fold better than that of RBD-lgG. This study provides direct evidence for the potent antiviral activity of serum-derived RBD-lgA. Furthermore, our results show that the serum pool from COVID-19 convalescent is more potent than individual purified RBD antibodies in terms of antiviral activities, suggesting the presence of other antibody blocking sites on the virus and additive effect of different antibodies, which offering a theoretic basis for convalescent serum therapy and combination antibody treatment strategy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB29030104), National Natural Science Fund (31870731, 31971129 and U1732109), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the new medical science fund of USTC, COVID-19 special task grants supported by Chinese Academy of Science Clinical Research Hospital (Hefei) with Grant Nos. YD2070002017 and YD2070002001, respectively.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T.J., Y.G., and H.M. provided the funding, designed the study, participated in data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript. W.Z., H.M., and C.D. designed the study,

2

3

performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. Other authors participated in the experiments and reviewed the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00478-7.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics: This study was reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of First Affiliated Hospital of USTC (approval number 2020-XG(H)-019).

Weihong Zeng 1^{,2}, Huan Ma^{2,3}, Chengchao Ding⁴, Yunru Yang², Yong Sun⁵, Xiaoxue Huang², Weihuang He⁶, Yan Xiang Yong Gao⁴ and Tengchuan Jin^{1,2,8} ¹Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; ²Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale, CAS Key Laboratory of Innate Immunity and Chronic Disease, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, School of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; ³Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; ⁴Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, Department of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; ⁵Anhui Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Anhui CDC), Hefei, Anhui, China; ⁶Kangrun Biotech LTD, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; ⁷Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA and ⁸CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai, China These authors contributed equally: Weihong Zeng, Huan Ma, Chengchao Ding Correspondence: Yong Gao (ygao87@ustc.edu.cn) or

Tengchuan Jin (jint@ustc.edu.cn)

REFERENCES

- Shen, C. et al. Treatment of 5 critically ill patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. JAMA 323, 1582–1589 (2020).
- Zeng, H. et al. The efficacy assessment of convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19 patients: a multi-center case series. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 5, 219 (2020).
- Ma, H. et al. Serum IgA, IgM, and IgG responses in COVID-19. *Cell Mol. Immunol.* 17, 773–775 (2020).
- Guo, L. et al. Profiling early humoral response to diagnose novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **71**, 778–785 (2020).
- Chao, Y. X., Rötzschke, O. & Tan, E. K. The role of IgA in COVID-19. Brain Behav. Immun. 87, 182–183 (2020).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021