BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care

Associations between changes in adipokines and exposure to fine and ultrafine particulate matter in ambient air in Beijing residents with and without pre-diabetes

Wu Chen,¹ Yiqun Han,^{1,2} Yanwen Wang,¹ Xi Chen,¹ Xinghua Qiu,¹ Weiju Li,³ Yuan Yao,¹ Tong Zhu ¹

ABSTRACT

Objective Exposure to particulate matter (PM) is a risk factor to diabetes, but the underlying mechanism is unclear. Adipokines play important roles in glucose metabolism. This study examined the associations between short-term exposure to ambient PM and adipokine levels and evaluated whether metabolic disorders could enhance susceptibility to PM-induced health effects.

Research design and methods In a panel study (SCOPE, Study Comparing the Cardiometabolic and Respiratory Effects of Air Pollution Exposure on Healthy and Pre-diabetic Individuals) in Beijing, China, 60 prediabetic individuals and 60 healthy controls completed two to seven clinical visits. The associations between serum adiponectin, leptin, and resistin levels and the moving average (MA) mass concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ and number concentrations of ultrafine particles (UFP) and accumulation-mode particles (AMP) during the 1–14 days prior to clinical visits, and the effects of metabolic disorders on any such associations, were evaluated using a linear mixed-effects model.

Results Short-term exposure to ambient UFP and AMP was inversely associated with adipokine levels at 1–14 days prior to clinical visits. For example, each IQR increment in 1 day MA UFP exposure $(6.0 \times 10^3/\text{cm}^3)$ was associated with –14.0% (95% Cl –20.9%, –6.4%), –6.6% (95% Cl –12.4%, –0.4%), and –8.5% (95% Cl –14.5%, –2.2%) changes in adiponectin, leptin, and resistin levels, respectively. There was no significant association between adipokine levels and PM_{2.5} exposure. UFP and AMP exposure was associated with a greater decrease in adiponectin level and a weaker change in leptin level among participants with high insulin resistance levels. Glucose status did not modify PM-induced changes in adipokine levels.

Conclusion High level of insulin resistance could aggravate the adverse metabolic impact of exposure to UFP and AMP.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic, progressive disease characterized by elevated blood glucose and can lead to serious damage to the heart,

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

- Exposure to particulate matter (PM) is a risk factor to diabetes, but the underlying mechanism is unclear.
- Some epidemiological studies have shown that exposure to PM might be associated with changes in adipokines that play important roles in glucose metabolism.

What are the new findings?

- We are the first to report that short-term exposure to small-sized particles, namely, ultrafine particles and accumulation-mode particles, was associated with decrease in the levels of adiponectin, leptin, and resistin.
- People with high-insulin resistance levels might have enhanced susceptibility to PM-induced adverse metabolic effects.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- PM-induced changes in adipokines should be considered when establishing the biological mechanisms linking PM exposure and the onset and development of diabetes.
- Exposure to small particles is important when assessing the health risk of air pollution, especially for vulnerable populations, such as those with metabolic disorders.

blood vessels, eyes, kidneys, and nerves. The prevalence of diabetes is increasing worldwide, and in 2016, it was the seventh leading cause of death.¹ According to a nationally representative cross-sectional survey, the estimated overall prevalence of diabetes in China was 10.9%. The prevalence in China of pre-diabetes, a state of high risk of diabetes with impaired glucose tolerance and fasting glucose, was 35.7%.²³ Epidemiological studies have shown that exposure to fine particulate

et al. Associations between changes in adipokines and exposure to fine and ultrafine particulate matter in ambient air in Beijing residents with and without pre-diabetes. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2020;**8**:e001215. doi:10.1136/ bmjdrc-2020-001215

To cite: Chen W, Han Y, Wang Y,

Supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjdrc-2020-001215).

WC and YH contributed equally.

Received 22 January 2020 Revised 16 September 2020 Accepted 4 December 2020

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

BMJ

Dr Tong Zhu; tzhu@pku.edu.cn



matter $(PM_{2.5})$ in ambient air is a risk factor for impaired glucose metabolism and the development of diabetes,⁴⁻⁶ but the underlying mechanisms are unclear.

Adipose tissue is an active endocrine organ that secretes multiple bioactive peptides and proteins. Such adipokines, including adiponectin, leptin, and resistin, reportedly play important roles in lipid and glucose metabolism, inflammation, atherosclerosis, hemostasis, and blood-pressure modulation, and are mediators of the links among insulin resistance, diabetes, and obesity.⁴ Changes in adipokine levels may explain the associations between exposure to air pollution and the onset and progression of diabetes. Despite this, few studies have investigated the effects of exposure to air pollution on adipokine levels. Several epidemiological studies have examined the effects of particulate matter (PM) on adiponectin, leptin, and resistin levels, but the findings were inconclusive.^{8–16} For example, in the Framingham Heart Study, the adiponectin level was positively associated with the prior 7-day moving average (MA) PM₂₅. However, Brook et al reported no significant association between the adiponectin level and short-term exposure to PM₉₅.¹¹

The inconsistent results may be due in part to the size fraction of PM, an important determinant of toxicity. Particles in small-size fractions, for example, ultrafine particles (UFP, aerodynamic diameter<100 nm) and accumulation-mode particles (AMP, 0.1-2.5 µm), may play important roles in the effects of PM on health.¹⁷ Small particles can penetrate deep into the lung, where they are readily deposited. The multiple-path particle dosimetry model developed by the International Commission on Radiological Protection to estimate the deposition of inhaled particles of various sizes in the head/nasopharyngeal, tracheobronchial, and pulmonary/alveolar regions of the respiratory tract indicated that for particles in the size range of 20-100 nm, the deposition in the alveoli increased with decreasing diameter.¹⁸ Also, smaller particles have a relatively larger surface area for absorption of toxic compounds.^{19 20} Although the epidemiological evidence is limited and inconsistent, some human-based studies have reported that, compared with PM₉₅, UFP and AMP might have greater adverse impacts on human health²¹⁻²³; however, their effects on adipokine levels are unclear.

Individuals with diabetes or pre-diabetes are more susceptible to the negative health impacts of air pollution, including oxidative stress and inflammation,^{24 25} endothelial dysfunction,²⁶ and autonomic nervous system imbalance.²⁷ However, few studies have investigated the susceptibility of such persons to the effects of air pollution on adipokine levels, which would enhance our understanding of the effects on health of air pollution and the underlying mechanisms.

To address the aforementioned limitations, we conducted this work based on a prospective study, Study Comparing the Cardiometabolic and Respiratory Effects of Air Pollution Exposure on Healthy and Pre-diabetic Individuals (SCOPE).²⁸ Participants with pre-diabetes and healthy participants were recruited simultaneously to estimate the associations of short-term exposure to PM with changes in adipokine levels and to examine whether the associations were modified by diabetic state, insulin resistance, and obesity. Particles of different size fractions, that is, PM_{2.5}, UFP, and AMP, were analyzed. Given the high prevalence of pre-diabetes and the severity of air pollution in China, our findings are of considerable importance for public health.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS Study design and population

The design and participant recruitment of SCOPE are described elsewhere.²⁸ Briefly, from August 2013 to February 2015, 120 participants were enrolled to complete two to seven clinical visits at Peking University Hospital, Beijing, China. The participants were screened from among individuals who underwent an annual physical examination at Peking University Hospital in 2013. According to the 1999 WHO diagnostic criteria for pre-diabetes,²⁹ we recruited 60 individuals with prediabetes who had fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels of 6.1–7.0 mmol/L during an annual physical examination within 1 year before the clinical visit, as well as 60 healthy controls with normal FBG levels (<6.1 mmol/L); age and gender distributions were comparable between the groups. Among 120 participants, 8 were current smokers, 99 were non-smokers, and 13 were past smokers who had quitted smoking for at least 3 years before enrollment in this study (detailed information of smoking status shown in online supplemental table S1). Regarding the clinical visit arrangement, the interval for consecutive visits for each participant was at least 1 month, and we limited to have only three or four participants on each visit day. During each clinical visit, participants completed questionnaires regarding their sleeping habits, alcohol and food intake, medication usage, secondhand smoke exposure (>0.5 hour), and clinical respiratory symptoms over the previous 3 days.

Exposure assessment

The ambient PM concentration was monitored continuously at a fixed monitoring station on the roof of a six-story building on the campus of Peking University.²⁸ Except for a main road about 150m away to the east of the monitoring station, there was no other significant pollution source nearby. Daily $PM_{2.5}$ samples were collected on teflon filters using an aerosol sampler (TH-16A, Wuhan Tianhong Instruments CO., Ltd., Hubei, China) with a flow rate of 16.7 L/min. Sampling started at 08:00 and ended 07:30 the following day (23.5 hours). A fast mobility particle sizer (FMPS; model 3091, TSI) was used to measure the particle number concentration in the size range of 5.6–560 nm, classified into 32 size channels. Accordingly, we used the size range of 5.6–93.1 nm and 93.1–560 nm to represent UFP and AMP in the study. The number concentration in each size channel was calculated using the following formula, and results were summed to yield the number concentration of UFP and AMP: $dN=NC\times(\log D_u -\log D_l)$, where NC is the normalized concentration determined by FMPS; D_u and D_l are the largest and smallest diameters of particles in the corresponding size channel; and dN is the number concentration expressed as the number of particles per cubic centimetre of air. Meteorological parameters, including temperature and relative humidity (RH), were measured hourly using TH-16A at the same site. All instruments were maintained and calibrated weekly according to the user's manuals.

Health assessment

Participants were instructed to fast for at least 8 hours before each clinical visit. All blood samples were drawn into procoagulation tubes by nurses between 08:00 and 09:30. Blood samples were clotted at room temperature for 30 min and centrifuged to isolate serum. Serum was aliquoted into labeled cryotubes and immediately stored at -80°C until required. The levels of adipokines were measured using Milliplex Multi-Analyte Profiling Kits (Merck Millipore Co.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Adiponectin and resistin levels were measured using a human adipokine kit (HADK1MAG-61K) and the level of leptin was measured using a human angiogenesis/growth factor kit (HAGP1MAG-12K).

FBG and fast insulin (FINS) as biomarkers of glucose metabolism were measured immediately after blood collection using an Olympus AU2700 biochemical analyzer at Peking University Hospital. The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) score was calculated using the formula HOMA-IR score=FBG×FINS÷22.5.³⁰

Statistical analysis

The 120 participants completed a total of 589 clinical visits. Among them, 8 participants who reported being current smokers during the follow-up visits and 10 who completed only one clinical visit were excluded, leaving 102 participants with a total of 537 clinical visits. A linear mixed-effects model was used to evaluate the associations between the levels of adipokines and exposure to ambient air pollutants. The restricted maximumlikelihood method was used for estimation of random and fixed effects. Specifically, adipokine levels were logtransformed because of their right-skewed distribution and were treated as dependent variables in the model. The concentrations of UFP and AMP in the period from 08:00 on the day before a clinical visit to 07:59 on the day of the visit were converted to 24-hour averages. The MA concentrations of 1-14 days prior to clinical visits (hereafter 1-14 day MA) were calculated. A participant-specific random intercept was included to control for intraindividual variation among repeated clinical measurements. The model was adjusted for the following covariates:

temperature, RH, sex, age, body mass index (BMI), FBG, HOMA-IR score, medication usage, season, and day of the week. To account for non-linear effects of covariates with time trend, temperature, RH, and day of the week were adjusted using natural spline functions with four, four and three df, respectively. In susceptibility analyses of subpopulations, glucose status, insulin resistance, and obesity, which were determined by the average FBG, HOMA-IR, and BMI across repeated clinical measurements respectively, were added as first-order interaction terms to account for the modification by metabolic disorder of the associations between adipokine levels and exposure to air pollutants.

In sensitivity analyses, to investigate potential impact of exposure misclassification, the associations between PM exposure and adipokines were examined among the participants who lived within 5 km away from the fixed monitoring station. In addition, we restricted the analyses among participants who completed at least three, four, and five visits, respectively, to see whether the number of visits affected the results.

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%), as appropriate. Results are reported as percentage changes in adipokine levels per IQR increment of air pollutant concentrations. Statistical analysis was conducted using R statistical software V.3.6.2 (R Development Core Team). A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Participants' characteristics and exposure to air pollutants

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. With the concern of fluctuated FBG and HOMA-IR levels across the clinical visits, to represent the glucose metabolic status of each participant during the whole follow-up period properly, we reclassified the 102 participants into pre-diabetes group and healthy group based on the cut-off of 6.1 mmol/L for the average FBG across repeated clinical measurements (≤6.1 mmol/L for healthy group). The participants were also separated into high-insulin resistance (HIR) and low-insulin resistance (LIR) groups using a median HOMA-IR score of 1.67 as the cut-off (\leq 1.67 for LIR group). Additionally, participants with an average BMI of $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^3$ were categorized as overweight and all others as normal. Participants in the pre-diabetes, HIR, and overweight subgroups had higher levels of BMI, FBG, FINS, HOMA-IR, and leptin, were older, and were more likely to use medications (detailed information of medication usage shown in online supplemental table S1). The adiponectin level was lower and the resistin level was higher in participants with higher HOMA-IR scores. The sex distribution was similar among the subgroups; men accounted for $\sim 40\%$ of the participants.

Among the 102 participants, 62 (60.8%) resided within 5 km from the fixed monitoring station, and 8 (7.8%) lived more than 10 km away, and the rest (n=32) were in

| Lable 1 Descriptive results for demographic and clinical characteristics of participants | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Pre-DM* | Health | HIR | LIR | Overweight | Normal | | | | |
| Number of participants | 27 | 75 | 49 | 53 | 39 | 63 | | | | |
| Number of visits | 141 | 396 | 255 | 282 | 196 | 341 | | | | |
| Age (year) | 57.4±3.7 † | 56.6±4.3 | 57.3±4.5 | 56.4±3.8 | 57.4±4.4 | 56.5±3.9 | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25.5±3.6 | 23.8±3.0 | 25.9±3.3 | 22.8±2.4 | 27.5±2.8 | 22.4±1.7 | | | | |
| Male | 9 (33%) | 30 (40%) | 19 (39%) | 20 (38%) | 15 (38%) | 24 (38%) | | | | |
| Medication‡ | 68 (48%) | 87 (22%) | 104 (41%) | 51 (18%) | 75 (38%) | 80 (23%) | | | | |
| FBG (mmol/L) | 6.8±1.0 | 5.7±0.4 | 6.2±1.0 | 5.7±0.5 | 6.1±0.9 | 5.9±0.8 | | | | |
| FINS (µU/mL) | 8.4±5.2 | 6.6±3.7 | 9.9±4.4 | 4.5±1.5 | 9.5±4.8 | 5.7±3.1 | | | | |
| HOMA-IR | 2.6±2.0 | 1.7±1.0 | 2.8±1.6 | 1.1±0.4 | 2.7±1.7 | 1.5±0.9 | | | | |
| Adiponectin (µg/mL) | 38.5±48.1 | 37.2±47.4 | 25.9±28.9 | 48.6±58.0 | 32.8±33.9 | 40.4±53.9 | | | | |
| Leptin (ng/mL) | 19.9±16.1 | 15.8±13.8 | 22.6±16.9 | 11.7±9.4 | 25.0±17.8 | 12.2±9.4 | | | | |
| Resistin (ng/mL) | 38.6±20.7 | 46.1±39.1 | 47.7±43.5 | 40.9±25.3 | 47.0±28.9 | 42.5±38.5 | | | | |

Bold values denote values that are based on unpaired t-test or χ^2 test, p value<0.05.

*Participants were classified as pre-DM/health, HIR/LIR, and overweight/normal subgroups using average FBG=6.1 mmol/L, HOMA-IR=1.67, and BMI=25 kg/m³ as cut-off points, respectively.

†Gender and medication are presented as number (%). The number of medication usage refers to the number of person-time that participants took medications. Other indexes were presented as mean±SD.

[‡]Medication usage referred to that participants took any of the following: hypoglycemic drug, antihypertensive drug, lipid-lowering drug, or anticoagulant drug. The medication usage was unknown for one observation among all 537 observations.

BMI, body mass index; FBG, fast blood glucose; FINS, fast insulin; HIR, high insulin resistance; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LIR, low insulin resistance; Pre-DM, pre-diabetes mellitus.

between. Table 2 summarizes the MA levels of ambient PM and meteorological parameters of 1 and 14 days. The 1-day MA concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, UFP, and AMP was $65.5\pm58.6\,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, $15.5\pm4.4\times10^3/\text{cm}^3$, and $11.7\pm3.8\times10^3/\text{cm}^3$, respectively. The correlation of $PM_{2.5}$ with UFP and AMP concentrations was weak, where the UFP and AMP concentrations were highly positively correlated (Spearman coefficient was 0.85 and 0.78 for MA concentration of 1 and 14 days, respectively; p<0.05) (shown in online supplemental table S2).

Results of the linear mixed-effects model

The results of linear mixed-effects model for all participants are shown in figure 1 and online supplemental table S3. The number concentrations of UFP and AMP were negatively associated with the levels of adiponectin, leptin, and resistin in multiple exposure time windows. Specifically, an IQR increment in 1-day MA exposure to UFP (6.0×10^3 /cm³) was associated with significant decreases in the adiponectin and resistin levels of -14.0% (95% CI -20.9% to -6.4%) and -8.5% (95% CI -14.5% to -2.2%), respectively. Similarly, an IQR increase in 1-day MA exposure to AMP (4.5×10^3 /cm³) was associated with decreases in the adiponectin and resistin levels of -9.7% (95% CI -16.3% to -2.6%) and -5.6% (95% CI -11.1% to 0.2%), respectively. The leptin level changed maximally by -11.2% (95% CI -18.9% to -2.7%) and

| Table 2 MA levels (1 and 14 days) of ambient particulate matter and meteorological parameters | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|------|--------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Pollutant | Average day | Mean±SD | Min | Median | Max | IQR | | | | |
| PM _{2.5} (μg/m ³) | 1 | 65.5±58.6 | 4.2 | 48.3 | 261.2 | 60.6 | | | | |
| | 14 | 69.9±30.6 | 20.0 | 62.0 | 161.3 | 39.5 | | | | |
| UFP (10 ³ /cm ³) | 1 | 15.5±4.4 | 2.9 | 14.7 | 27.5 | 6.0 | | | | |
| | 14 | 15.3±2.2 | 8.2 | 15.4 | 20.5 | 2.1 | | | | |
| AMP (10 ³ /cm ³) | 1 | 11.7±3.8 | 1.4 | 11.7 | 21.5 | 4.5 | | | | |
| | 14 | 11.6±1.6 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 16.2 | 1.4 | | | | |
| Temprature (°C) | 1 | 13.5±10.0 | -3.9 | 13.2 | 33.7 | 17.7 | | | | |
| | 14 | 14.0±9.6 | -0.7 | 15.6 | 29.8 | 17.8 | | | | |
| RH (%) | 1 | 47.7±19.4 | 11.9 | 43.5 | 99.6 | 32.7 | | | | |
| | 14 | 49.0±12.2 | 26.2 | 51.0 | 68.9 | 22.4 | | | | |

AMP, accumulation-mode particle; MA, moving average; PM2.6, fine particulate matter; RH, relative humidity; UFP, ultrafine particle.



Figure 1 Associations between short-term exposure to ambient PM and adipokines in all participants. All models were adjusted for temperature, relative humidity, sex, age, body mass index, fasting blood glucose, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, medication usage, season, and day of the week. The blue error bars indicate significant negative associations, and the black bars indicate non-significant associations. AMP, accumulation-mode particle; PM, particulate matter; $PM_{2,s}$, fine particulate matter; UFP, ultrafine particle.

-9.2% (95% CI -16.6% to -1.1%) after 11-day MA UFP exposure and 10-day MA AMP exposure, respectively. Exposure to ambient PM_{2.5} was not significantly associated with the levels of the three adipokines. In sensitivity analyses, the findings remained after excluding the 40 participants who lived more than 5 km away from the monitoring station or those who completed less than three (n=5), four (n=12) or five (n=25) visits (shown in online supplemental figure S1–S4).

Figure 2 shows the ambient PM-associated changes in adipokine levels stratified by the average HOMA-IR. The decrease in adiponectin level associated with each IQR increment in UFP and AMP concentrations was higher in the HIR group than in the LIR group. For example, in the HIR group, an IQR increase in the 14-day MA exposure to UFP was associated with a change of -37.9%(95% CI - 46.5% to - 28.0%) in the adiponectin level, which was significantly lower than that for the LIR group (-30.1%, 95% CI -39.6% to -19.1%). Also, the decrease in the leptin level was greater in the LIR group than in the HIR group. The association between the leptin level and MA exposure to UFP and AMP of 1-14 days was not significant in the HIR group. The association between the resistin level and PM exposure was not modified by the level of insulin resistance. Additionally, the decrease in adiponectin level associated with exposure to UFP and AMP was greater in overweight than normal-weight participants, although the differences were non-significant (shown in online supplemental figure S5). The associations between exposure to PM and adipokine levels were not modified by the average FBG level (shown in online supplemental figure S6).

DISCUSSION

We determined the associations between short-term exposure to ambient PM of a variety of size fractions and the levels of adipokines in healthy individuals and individuals with pre-diabetes and examined whether these associations were modified by diabetic state, insulin resistance, and obesity. We were the first to find that exposure to small-sized particles, namely, UFP and AMP, was associated with decreased levels of adiponectin, leptin, and resistin. Exposure to PM_{2.5} was not associated with significant changes in adipokine levels. Also, the decrease in adiponectin levels associated with UFP and AMP exposure was of greater magnitude in participants with a high level of insulin resistance, whereas the decrease in leptin levels associated with UFP and AMP exposure in participants with low insulin resistance levels.

Consistent with our findings, a panel study conducted in Beijing under extreme air pollution conditions found 30

10 0

 $PM_{2.5}$

Adiponectin





Figure 2 Associations between short-term exposure to ambient PM and adipokines modified by insulin resistance. All models were adjusted for temperature, relative humidity, sex, age, body mass index, fasting blood glucose, HOMA-IR, medication usage, season, and day of the week. Participants were classified as HIR/LIR subgroups using average HOMA-IR=1.67 as the cut-off. The blue error bars indicate significant negative associations, and the black bars indicate non-significant associations. Gray background denotes significant (p value<0.05) differences between subgroups. AMP, accumulation-mode particle; HIR, high insulin resistance; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LIR, low insulin resistance; PM, particulate matter; PM_{2,6}, fine particulate matter; UFP, ultrafine particle.

no significant association between short-term exposure to ambient PM₉₅ and adiponectin and leptin levels in non-smoking adults with metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance.¹¹ However, the Heinz-Nixdorf Recall cohort study reported negative associations⁸ and the Framingham Heart Study reported positive associations⁹ between short-term PM₉₅ exposure and adiponectin levels. This may be due in part to differences in air pollution parameters and the demographic characteristics of the participants. For example, the mean 1-day PM_{95} concentration in the current study was $65.5 \,\mu g/$ m³, more than threefold higher than that in previous studies $(17.8 \,\mu\text{g/m}^{38} \text{ and } 9.7 \,\mu\text{g/m}^{3},^{9} \text{ respectively})$. The inclusion of current smokers in previous studies⁸⁹ might have biased the exposure assessment, particularly when examining the acute effects. Further studies are needed to reach a conclusion on this issue.

Compared with PM_{2.5}, exposure to UFP and AMP was associated with greater and significant changes in adipokine levels. This is in line with reports that smaller-size fraction particles have higher lung deposition efficiency and a larger surface area for absorption

of toxic compounds.^{18–20} To our knowledge, no other study has investigated the association of UFP exposure with adipokine levels. The prospective populationbased Heinz-Nixdorf Recall cohort study, conducted in the highly urbanized Ruhr area of Germany, reported negative associations between AMP exposure and adiponectin levels among 4052 non-diabetic elderly participants,⁸ in agreement with our findings. Additionally, this study observed that the magnitude of PM-induced changes in adiponectin increased in an exposure time-dependent manner in the range of 1-91 days,⁸ which is similar to our results, even though the potential mechanisms remain unclear. More studies are needed to verify our results and to investigate the effects of particles of other size fractions.

Adiponectin is an anti-inflammatory and insulinsensitizing hormone.⁷ Animal and human studies have reported that reductions in adiponectin levels play a central role in obesity-associated diseases, including insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Restoration of adiponectin secretion by adipose tissue is a therapeutic approach for these diseases.³¹ Exposure to ambient

PM was associated with the incidence and prevalence of insulin resistance and diabetes.^{4–6} Also, short-term exposure to PM was associated with elevations in glucose and insulin levels and HOMA-IR scores.^{11 15 25} Therefore, our finding of inverse associations between short-term exposure to UFP and AMP and adiponectin levels supports the hypothesis that some fractions of PM adversely impact metabolic health. This finding also sheds light on the mechanisms linking PM exposure with the onset and development of diabetes.

Leptin mainly acts in the brain (eg, hypothalamus, cortex, and limbic areas) to promote growth, energy expenditure, glycemic control, and reproduction. Leptin also suppresses appetite and the production of adrenal corticosteroids.³² Insulin stimulates both leptin biosynthesis and secretion from adipose tissue, and leptin, in turn, inhibits insulin secretion to form an endocrine adipoinsular feedback loop, the so-called adipoinsular axis.³³ Toxicological evidence shows that decreased leptin results in disruption of glucose homeostasis³⁴; therefore, our finding of inverse associations between short-term exposure to UFP and AMP and leptin levels may help to explain the imbalance of glucose metabolism after PM exposure.^{11 15 25} The only two studies of the associations between short-term PM exposure and changes in leptin levels, namely, the Framingham Heart Study⁹ and the panel study conducted by Brook *et al* in Beijing,¹¹ reported non-significant results. In humans, resistin is mainly secreted by peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Resistin promotes insulin resistance and inflammation in animal models, but its effects in humans are unclear.⁷ The sole human study of the effects of PM exposure on resistin found that resistin was negatively associated with long-term PM_{9.5} exposure and positively associated with short-term $SO_4^{2^-}$ exposure.⁹ In contrast, we also observed negative associations between the changes in resistin and shortterm exposure to UFP and AMP. The result is hard to explain with the existing findings on its physiological mechanisms in the glucose metabolism. Because the data on PM-induced changes in leptin and resistin levels are insufficient, further research on different air pollution settings and on a variety of demographic groups is needed. Also, more evidence on the potential mechanisms of adipokines in the glucose metabolism might be helpful to understand the unexplained results.

Several other studies have also suggested that metabolic disorders increase the adverse effect of ambient PM exposure on adipokine levels.^{13 35} One toxicological study reported that in high-fructose diet-fed rats, expression of the adiponectin gene was downregulated and that of the leptin gene was upregulated after exposure to concentrated air particles, whereas only mild or no changes were observed in normal diet-fed rats.³⁵ The sole human study by Wolf *et al* showed enhanced susceptibility of pre-diabetes to PM-induced changes in the leptin level,¹³ although they observed an elevation in leptin; this is unlike our findings and other toxicological evidence.³⁴ The discrepancy might be because Wolf *et al*'s study was based on long-term exposure, whereas we focused on exposure over 1–14 days. Further studies should examine the susceptibility to particle-induced changes in adipokine levels among individuals with metabolic disorders.

Because adipokines play important roles in glucose metabolism, our findings help to understand the greater changes in glucose metabolism after exposure to air pollution among individuals with metabolic disorders^{25'36} and those who are overweight or obese.^{37'38} For example, in a population-based retrospective cohort study, IQR increases in 3-month average PM₁₀ and PM₂₅ concentrations were associated with greater elevations in serum glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels among participants with diabetes compared with those without.³⁶ Chen *et al* reported that each 1% increase in body fat percentage resulted in an 8% increase in the negative association between $PM_{_{25}}$ and insulin sensitivity, suggesting that $PM_{2.5}$ exerted a greater effect on individuals stressed by increased body fat levels.³⁸ Although the mechanisms underlying the modification of insulin resistance and obesity on particle-associated effects are unclear, systemic inflammation and metabolic dysfunction have emerged as candidates. Inflammatory and metabolic processes are involved in the pathogenesis of both insulin resistance and obesity,³⁹ as well as in the impact of air pollution on health.⁴⁰ In another word, the adverse effect of PM exposure among individuals with higher insulin resistance and higher BMI levels might be strengthened through a shared pathway to exert a marked effect on the levels of adipokines and other metabolic biomarkers. However, these responses were not affected by FBG level, possibly because the level of glucose can fluctuate drastically due to several factors, such as exercise.⁴¹ The effects of PM might be confounded by such factors. Also, the leptin response was unexpectedly weaker among participants with higher insulin resistance. Because evidence on this is scarce, future studies of susceptibility are needed to corroborate our findings and to identify the underlying mechanisms.

This study, for the first time, reported that short-term exposure to UFP and AMP, but not PM₉₅, was associated with decreased serum levels of adiponectin, leptin, and resistin. The adverse metabolic effects on adipokines were enhanced in the participants with higher insulin resistance levels. This represents an important public health concern because a large number of people suffer from metabolic disorders, and exposure to severe air pollution could increase their risk of detrimental health effects. This study has several limitations. First, exposure to ambient PM was measured at a fixed monitoring site, possibly leading to inaccurate estimation of exposure. Although more than 90% of the participants lived within 10 km of the monitoring station and the ambient concentrations could, to some extent, reflect their exposure trend, we should be cautious

Cardiovascular and metabolic risk

about exposure error, especially for smaller particles. Personal monitors for UFP and AMP should be used in future studies. Second, as we did not consider gaseous pollutant exposure in the linear mix-effects model, the potential confounding effects of gaseous pollutants could not be excluded. Third, the participants were relatively highly educated and of high socioeconomic status, so caution is needed when generalizing our findings to other demographic groups.

In conclusion, based on a panel study conducted among both pre-diabetic participants and healthy individuals, we found that decreased serum levels of adiponectin, leptin, and resistin were associated with short-term exposure to UFP and AMP, whereas exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was not associated with changes in adipokine levels. Additionally, insulin resistance might aggravate the adverse metabolic impact of PM.

Author affiliations

¹BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Center for Environment and Health, Peking University, Beijing, China ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC Center for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK ³Peking University Hospital, Peking University, Beijing, China

Acknowledgements We are greatly thankful to all the members in our research group and Peking University Hospital who helped to accomplish the study.

Contributors WC participated in health measurement of the Study Comparing the Cardiometabolic and Respiratory Effects of Air Pollution Exposure on Healthy and Pre-diabetic Individuals (SCOPE), performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscript. YH participated in study design, exposure assessment, health measurement, and manuscript preparation. YW and XC participated in exposure assessment and health measurement. XQ participated in study design. WL offered help at the clinical site. YY participated in health measurement. TZ designed and organized the SCOPE study, discussed the data analysis, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Committee of China (Grants 21190051, 41421064, and 41121004), and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant 2015CB553401).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The Study Comparing the Cardiometabolic and Respiratory Effects of Air Pollution Exposure on Healthy and Pre-diabetic Individuals study was approved by the ethics committee of Peking University Health Sciences Center (IRB00001052-13024).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Tong Zhu http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2752-7924

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. Global report on diabetes [Internet], 2016. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/ 204871/9789241565257_eng.pdf;sequence=1 [Accessed 10 Aug 2019].
- 2 Wang L, Gao P, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence and ethnic pattern of diabetes and prediabetes in China in 2013. JAMA 2017;317:2515–23.
- 3 Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, et al. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. Lancet 2012;379:2279–90.
- 4 Eze IC, Hemkens LG, Bucher HC, et al. Association between ambient air pollution and diabetes mellitus in Europe and North America: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015;123:381–9.
- 5 Coogan PF, White LF, Jerrett M, et al. Air pollution and incidence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus in black women living in Los Angeles. *Circulation* 2012;125:767–72.
- 6 Pearson JF, Bachireddy C, Shyamprasad S, *et al.* Association between fine particulate matter and diabetes prevalence in the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2196–201.
- 7 Rabe K, Lehrke M, Parhofer KG, et al. Adipokines and insulin resistance. *Mol Med* 2008;14:741–51.
- 8 Lucht S, Hennig F, Moebus S, *et al.* Air pollution and diabetesrelated biomarkers in non-diabetic adults: a pathway to impaired glucose metabolism? *Environ Int* 2019;124:370–92.
- 9 Li W, Dorans KS, Wilker EH, et al. Ambient air pollution, adipokines, and glucose homeostasis: the Framingham heart study. Environ Int 2018;111:14–22.
- Alderete TL, Song AY, Bastain T, et al. Prenatal traffic-related air pollution exposures, cord blood adipokines and infant weight. *Pediatr Obes* 2018;13:348–56.
- 11 Brook RD, Sun Z, Brook JR, et al. Extreme air pollution conditions adversely affect blood pressure and insulin resistance: the air pollution and cardiometabolic disease study. *Hypertension* 2016;67:77–85.
- 12 Lavigne E, Ashley-Martin J, Dodds L, et al. Air pollution exposure during pregnancy and fetal markers of metabolic function: the MIREC study. Am J Epidemiol 2016;183:842–51.
- 13 Wolf K, Popp A, Schneider A, et al. Association between longterm exposure to air pollution and biomarkers related to insulin resistance, subclinical inflammation, and adipokines. *Diabetes* 2016;65:3314–26.
- 14 Wang Y, Eliot MN, Kuchel GA, et al. Long-Term exposure to ambient air pollution and serum leptin in older adults. J Occup Environ Med 2014;56:e73–7.
- 15 Brook RD, Xu X, Bard RL, et al. Reduced metabolic insulin sensitivity following sub-acute exposures to low levels of ambient fine particulate matter air pollution. Sci Total Environ 2013;448:66–71.
- 16 Teichert T, Vossoughi M, Vierkötter A, et al. Association between traffic-related air pollution, subclinical inflammation and impaired glucose metabolism: results from the SALIA study. PLoS One 2013;8:e83042.
- 17 Health Effects Institute. Understanding the health effects of components of the particulate matter mix: progress and next step [Internet], 2002. Available: https://www.healtheffects.org/system/files/Perspectives2-April2002.pdf [Accessed 27 Aug 2019].
- 18 Kreyling WG, Semmler-Behnke M, Möller W. Health implications of nanoparticles. J Nanopart Res 2006;8:543–62.
- 19 Valavanidis A, Fiotakis K, Vlachogianni T. Airborne particulate matter and human health: toxicological assessment and importance of size and composition of particles for oxidative damage and carcinogenic mechanisms. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 2008;26:339–62.
- 20 Kelly FJ, Fussell JC, Size FJC. Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of toxicity attributable to ambient particulate matter. *Atmos Environ* 2012;60:504–26.
- 21 Han Y, Zhu T, Guan T, et al. Association between size-segregated particles in ambient air and acute respiratory inflammation. *Sci Total Environ* 2016;565:412–9.
- 22 Yin G, Liu C, Hao L, *et al.* Associations between size-fractionated particle number concentrations and COPD mortality in Shanghai, China. *Atmos Environ* 2019;214:116875.
- 23 Zhang X, Staimer N, Gillen DL, et al. Associations of oxidative stress and inflammatory biomarkers with chemically-characterized air pollutant exposures in an elderly cohort. *Environ Res* 2016;150:306–19.

<u></u>

Cardiovascular and metabolic risk

- 24 Dubowsky SD, Suh H, Schwartz J, *et al.* Diabetes, obesity, and hypertension may enhance associations between air pollution and markers of systemic inflammation. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114:992–8.
- 25 Han Y, Wang Y, Li W, *et al.* Susceptibility of prediabetes to the health effect of air pollution: a community-based panel study with a nested case-control design. *Environ Health* 2019;18:65.
- 26 O'Neill MS, Veves A, Zanobetti A, et al. Diabetes enhances vulnerability to particulate air pollution-associated impairment in vascular reactivity and endothelial function. *Circulation* 2005;111:2913–20.
- 27 Park SK, O'Neill MS, Vokonas PS, et al. Effects of air pollution on heart rate variability: the Va normative aging study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005;113:304–9.
- 28 Wang Y, Han Y, Zhu T, et al. A prospective study (scope) comparing the cardiometabolic and respiratory effects of air pollution exposure on healthy and pre-diabetic individuals. Sci China Life Sci 2018;61:46–56.
- 29 World Health Organization. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications : report of a WHO consultation. Part 1, Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus [Internet], 1999. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/ 10665/66040/WHO_NCD_NCS_99.2.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y [Accessed 27 Aug 2019].
- 30 Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985;28:412–9.

- 31 Achari AE, Jain SK, Adiponectin JSK. Adiponectin, a therapeutic target for obesity, diabetes, and endothelial dysfunction. *Int J Mol Sci* 2017;18:1321.
- 32 Pan WW, Myers MG. Leptin and the maintenance of elevated body weight. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2018;19:95–105.
- 33 Kieffer TJ, Habener JF. The adipoinsular axis: effects of leptin on pancreatic beta-cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2000;278:E1–14.
- 34 Flak JN, Myers MG. Minireview: CNS mechanisms of leptin action. *Mol Endocrinol* 2016;30:3–12.
- 35 Sun L, Liu C, Xu X, et al. Ambient fine particulate matter and ozone exposures induce inflammation in epicardial and perirenal adipose tissues in rats fed a high fructose diet. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 2013;10:43.
- 36 Yitshak Sade M, Kloog I, Liberty IF, et al. The association between air pollution exposure and glucose and lipids levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2016;101:2460–7.
- 37 Yang B-Y, Qian ZM, Li S, et al. Ambient air pollution in relation to diabetes and glucose-homoeostasis markers in China: a crosssectional study with findings from the 33 communities Chinese Health study. Lancet Planet Health 2018;2:e64–73.
- 38 Chen Z, Salam MT, Toledo-Corral C, et al. Ambient air pollutants have adverse effects on insulin and glucose homeostasis in Mexican Americans. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39:547–54.
- 39 Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature 2006;444:860–7.
- 40 Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2010;121:2331–78.
- 41 Thompson PD, Crouse SF, Goodpaster B, *et al.* The acute versus the chronic response to exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33:S438–45.