

Review Article

Indian J Med Res 135, January 2012, pp 15-25

Stem cell therapy: A novel & futuristic treatment modality for disaster injuries

G.U. Gurudutta, Neeraj Kumar Satija, Vimal Kishor Singh, Yogesh Kumar Verma, Pallavi Gupta & R.P. Tripathi

Stem Cell & Gene Therapy Research Group, Institute of Nuclear Medicine & Allied Sciences, Delhi, India

Received February 26, 2010

Stem cell therapy hold the potential to meet the demand for transplant cells/tissues needed for treating damages resulting from both natural and man-made disasters. Pluripotency makes embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells ideal for use, but their teratogenic character is a major hindrance. Therapeutic benefits of bone marrow transplantation are well known but characterizing the potentialities of haematopoietic and mesenchymal cells is essential. Haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) have been used for treating both haematopoietic and non-haematopoietic disorders. Ease of isolation, *in vitro* expansion, and hypoimmunogenicity have brought mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) into limelight. Though differentiation of MSCs into tissue-specific cells has been reported, differentiation-independent mechanisms seem to play a more significant role in tissue repair which need to be addressed further. The safety and feasibility of MSCs have been demonstrated in clinical trials, and their use in combination with HSC for radiation injury treatment seems to have extended benefit. Therefore, using stem cells for treatment of disaster injuries along with the conventional medical practice would likely accelerate the repair process and improve the quality of life of the victim.

Key words Critical injuries - disasters - haematopoietic stem cells - mesenchymal stem cells - stem cell therapy

Introduction

Disasters whether natural (*e.g.* earthquake, volcanic eruption), man-made (like war, terrorism) or a result of human error/ignorance (such as nuclear reactor explosion, air and rail disasters) not only cause enormous loss of life and property, but also physical and psychological trauma to many people. Increasing terrorist activities and development of nuclear weapons of mass destruction demands the development of new treatment regimens to deal with victims of such events. In victims inflicted with critical injuries/disorders like cancer, burns, loss of immune cells, fractures and renal

failure¹⁻⁵, transplantation of healthy functional cells which can repair or replace the damage through the process of regeneration, is likely to provide a permanent cure.

Isolation of differentiated cells from an autologous source and transplanting them to the damaged area (as in skin and bone grafting and autologous chondrocyte transplantation) is a good option, but it is associated with drawbacks like donor site morbidity and limited availability of amount of donor tissue, and unsuitability of using autologous tissue under certain situations such as renal failure and whole body

radiation exposure. Thus, allogeneic cells/tissues have been used for transplant, but under circumstances of a disaster which affects hundreds of thousands of individuals, availability of sufficient graft tissue is a challenge. The inability of differentiated cells to proliferate *in vivo* or *in vitro* is a major setback in regenerative medicine. Therefore, generation of functional cells with necessary characteristics and the development of technologies for their successful expansion while retaining the desired functions is of utmost importance. Stem cells have the ability to self-renew and undergo differentiation, and stem cell therapy, which involves transplant of normal or genetically modified stem cells, offers hope for treating thousands of survivors of various disastrous events taking place around the world.

Stem cells

Stem cells are a special class of cells characterized by their ability to self-renew (*i.e.* multiply to generate same kind of cells) and produce progenitor cells which are committed to give rise to fully differentiated cells. The quest for these master cells led to their isolation from various tissues at different stages of organism development. Stem cells were first found to be present in the bone marrow of mouse about 45 years ago⁶. Then came the stem cells known as haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), which give rise to the cells of the haematopoietic lineage. Later, Friendstein *et al* found another population of cells with stem cell-like characteristics present in the marrow and called them colony forming unit-fibroblast [now known as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), stromal stem cells]⁷. Later on, such cells were found in almost every tissue of the body, and were broadly categorized into adult stem cells.

With the kind of potential these cells exhibited, the existence of such cells in the embryo was questioned. This led to the identification of mass of cells (inner cell mass) in the blastocyst, which exhibited indefinite self-renewal *in vitro* and differentiated into almost every cell type of the body (*i.e.* pluripotent). These cells are known as embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and first human ESCs were isolated in 1998 by Dr Thomson and colleagues from IVF clinic embryos⁸. Embryonic stem cells are best suited for the generation of any cell type by directed differentiation and in sufficient numbers but their use was hampered due to ethical issues, and the risk of teratoma formation and immune rejection upon transplantation.

Ethical issues associated with use of ESCs can be overcome by the technique known as somatic cell nuclear transfer⁹. This generates custom-made, patient-specific ESCs which can be induced to differentiate and then transplanted without immune rejection since they have the genetic material of the patient. However, the technique is very labour-intensive. Since it demonstrates the ability to reprogramme adult cells, Takahashi and colleagues identified four important transcription factors (Oct-4, Sox2, KLF4 and c-Myc) that could induce fibroblasts to become embryonic like-stem cells known as 'Induced pluripotent stem cells' (iPSCs)^{10,11}. These cells exhibit properties of embryonic stem cells such as pluripotency and *in vitro* expansion, and do not have immune problems. But one potential drawback with both these approaches is the likely presence of inherited or accumulated mutations in the genome from older adult cells that would predispose them to senescence or cancer.

Adult stem cells (ASCs) like cardiac, neural, intestinal, though used in animal studies, represent significant challenge in clinics due to constraints of their location (source) and low occurrence. Epithelial tissues such as intestine, skin, mammary gland, and cornea require continuous replenishment of new cells to maintain tissue homeostasis and are shown to possess stem cells^{12,13}. Limbal stem cells and keratinocyte stem cells grow well in culture and can be expanded *ex vivo*. However, these have not been demonstrated to exhibit multipotent character and therefore, have restricted application.

Apart from epithelial tissues, bone marrow is a rich source of stem cells in the adult. It harbours two stem cell populations, haematopoietic and mesenchymal. Haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) constitute the non-adherent cells and are responsible for replenishing the blood cells. These are isolated based on the expression of several identified surface molecules such as CD34, c-kit, Thy-1, *etc*¹⁴. Even though HSCs can be isolated in good number, their *in vitro* expansion has not been very successful and these cells encounter immune responses upon allogeneic transplantation¹⁵⁻¹⁷. However, HSC transplantation has been successfully used over the years for the treatment of haematopoietic disorders in humans¹⁵ due to ease of isolation.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) present in bone marrow overcome limitations imposed by ESCs and other tissue-specific stem cells. These constitute an adherent, fibroblast-like cells present in the

marrow, which are involved in maintenance of the mesenchymal tissues. Like ASCs, these occur at a very low frequency (0.001 - 0.01% in bone marrow mononuclear cells), but proliferate *in vitro* for about 30-40 population doublings while retaining their differentiation potential^{18,19}. These can also be isolated from other tissues such as peripheral blood, periosteum, umbilical cord blood, synovial membrane, trabecular bone and adipose tissue²⁰. Naturally differentiating into cells of bone, cartilage, skeletal muscle, tendons, fat and stroma, these have been demonstrated to give rise to cardiomyocytes, hepatocytes, and neural and epithelial cells *in vitro*²¹⁻²⁵. These multipotent cells do not express HLA class-II antigens and co-stimulatory molecules CD40, CD80 and CD86, making them immune privileged and hence, suitable for allogeneic transplantation^{26,27}. These features along with their ability to home to injury sites^{28,29}, modulate immune responses²⁷ and facilitate tissue regeneration^{30,31}, make them appropriate for stem cell-based therapy. But it is important to decipher and understand the signaling network regulating proliferation and differentiation of tissue-specific stem cells to fully exploit their potential and develop new efficient and effective strategies.

Stem cell therapy and its applications

Stem cell therapy involves the transplantation of stem cells (normal or genetically modified) or stem cell-generated grafts for the treatment of various damages/disorders. HSCs have been in clinical use for a long time. Several clinical studies have been performed in recent years documenting safe use of MSCs but lack sufficient evidence to support their therapeutic benefit.

Haematopoietic disorders

Haematopoietic system is particularly affected in case of radiation disasters due to radiosensitivity of haematopoietic cells^{32,33}. A dose of 2 or more Gy of ionizing radiation results in haematologic syndrome characterized by depletion in the lymphocyte, granulocyte and platelet counts, thus making the victims susceptible to infections. It also causes mutations leading to increased incidence of development of leukemia, as was observed among the survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb attacks³⁴. Thus rapid regeneration of the depleted myeloid cells is necessary to impart immune tolerance to cope with radiation-induced damage. Since the haematopoietic stem cell pool is also affected, transplantation of HSC has been suggested for victims severely affected with acute radiation syndrome (*i.e.* exposed to 7 to 10Gy)^{1,35}.

Due to damage to endogenous HSCs, allogeneic HSCs need to be transplanted raising the risk of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). The incidence and severity of GVHD can be reduced by transplantation of MSCs as demonstrated in preclinical studies³⁶. Human clinical trials using MSCs for treatment of severe, steroid-resistant GVHD have shown positive results^{37,38}. Also, intravenous infusion of MSCs in patients suffering from leukemia resulted in HSC engraftment, rapid platelet recovery and low incidence of GVHD^{39,40}. The modulation of immune cell responses by MSCs probably via secretion of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β), prostaglandin E2 and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase results in suppression of T-cell proliferation and activation^{27,41}, thereby reducing GVHD. Allogeneic MSCs have been used to reduce tissue toxicity in patients undergone allogeneic HSC transplantation⁴² due to their ability to modulate the immune responses.

Not only restricted to haematopoietic cells, the supportive stroma in the marrow is also irreversibly damaged by ionizing radiation^{43,44}. This results in reduction of the engraftment efficiency of transplanted HSCs⁴⁵. Recently, spindle-shaped, N-cadherin expressing osteoblasts (SNO) have been demonstrated to form part of the haematopoietic niche⁴⁶. Following a 4Gy dose of whole body irradiation to mice, a significant decrease in osteoblasts including SNO was observed, highlighting damage to the haematopoietic niche⁴⁷. Therefore, co-transplantation of MSCs and HSCs has been tested to facilitate HSC engraftment. Studies have shown improved HSC engraftment upon co-infusion⁴⁸⁻⁵¹, even in acute radiation syndrome (ARS) model⁵², which can be employed in clinics as well although the underlying mechanism needs to be elucidated.

Acute radiation syndrome

Exposure to penetrating ionizing radiation (particularly, doses >0.5Gy) leads to physiological derangements, collectively known as ARS or radiation sickness. The survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki attacks and Chernobyl nuclear reactor incident are sufferers of ARS. In the present scenario, soldiers are likely to be exposed to radiation during war, and developing radiation sickness. Depending on radiation dose and duration of exposure, different complications can develop requiring replacement of transformed and dead cells to prevent fatality. Almost all casualties receiving more than 4Gy die within 30 days without

any medical treatment⁵³. Stem cells are likely to offer hope for ARS victims.

HSC transplantation has been used for treating victims of nuclear accidents demonstrating bone marrow failure⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. For instance, of the 13 victims, exposed to dose between 5.6-13.4Gy during the Chernobyl nuclear accident, receiving bone marrow transplants only two survived more than 3 years after the accident⁵⁵. The damage to other organ systems such as skin burns, renal failure, respiratory distress syndrome, graft-versus-host disease resulted in mortality. HSC transplantation alone is not sufficient and improvement in current treatment regimes is warranted.

Studies in animal models subjected to lethal dose of irradiation have demonstrated the ability of MSCs to specifically home to sites of injury^{28,29,52}. NOD/SCID mice irradiated at a dose of 3.5Gy were infused with human MSCs. Total body irradiation increased engraftment levels of MSCs in the brain, heart, bone marrow and muscles^{28,29}. Moreover, localized irradiation of the abdomen and leg increased engraftment in the exposed areas. Such engraftment also contributes to reparative process either directly or indirectly. Though the exact mechanism of homing is not yet known, release of certain chemotactic factors by damaged cells is one possibility⁵⁷. Deciphering such signals will help in improving MSC-based treatment regimens, and fasten their progress from laboratory to the clinic for treating ARS victims. As already discussed, co-infusion of HSC and MSC seems a better option for treating radiation victims since it combines the haematopoietic reconstitution ability of HSCs and the paracrine effects of MSCs⁵⁸.

Musculoskeletal injuries

Injuries of the bone like fractures and cartilage are very common during earthquakes and wars. Critical fractures are of prime concern since these do not heal by themselves. Though bone grafting is used, it is not ideal due to insufficient graft material, donor site morbidity, inconsistent remodelling in the graft and risk of transmission of disease (in case of allograft)^{59,60}. MSCs being the precursors of osteoblasts have therefore, been used for treating fractures. Combined with biomaterials to provide support in the bone defect, MSCs have been successfully demonstrated to repair the critical size bone defects in animal models^{61,62}. Repair of nonunions and large bone defects have also been reported in clinical trials. Bone defects in patients were implanted with culture expanded MSCs seeded

on hydroxyapatite scaffold^{63,64}. All patients showed callus formation and integration of implant at 2 months and complete repair by 15 months with restoration of normal limb function.

Genetic modification of MSCs has also been employed to enhance their functionality. MSCs transduced with bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) and BMP4 have been shown to possess greater osteogenic potential than untransduced MSCs and repair bone defects in animals⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷. Apart from BMP family members which are potent inducers of osteoblast differentiation, overexpression of transcription factors like Runx2⁶⁸ and Osterix⁶⁹ has also been utilized. Runx2-modified bone marrow stromal cells loaded onto scaffold made of polycaprolactone and type I collagen were implanted into critical size segmental defects in rat⁷⁰. Overexpression of Runx2 accelerated the healing of critical sized defects, reducing the recovery time compared to rat implanted with unmodified cells. Combination of genes can also be used to aid in successful regeneration of defect. For instance, MSCs were genetically modified to overexpress BMP2 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and systemically transplanted in mouse model of segmental bone defect created in the tibia of athymic nude mice⁷¹. The group receiving BMP2/VEGF-overexpressing MSCs demonstrated enhanced bone formation and increased vascularity. Repair of femoral condyle and patella have also been demonstrated using MSCs in rabbits^{72,73}. Autologous MSCs dispersed in collagen type-I gel repaired full thickness defects on weight bearing surface of medial femoral condyles in rabbit⁷², while MSC transplantation enhanced repair of patellar defect⁷³.

Such approaches are likely to be useful in advent of nuclear warfare since radiation leads to deterioration of bone quality by destruction of type-I collagen⁷⁴ and suppression of osteoblast proliferation^{75,76}. A single dose of 2Gy has been shown to result in about 30 per cent loss of trabecular bone volume in mouse approximately 3 months post-irradiation⁷⁷. MSC pool in the marrow is shown to be reduced upon total body irradiation in mice and the surviving MSCs have decreased osteogenic differentiation ability⁴⁷, emphasizing the need for transplantation of MSCs possessing enhanced osteogenic ability.

Spinal cord injury

Damage to the spinal cord results due to being hit by falling debris during earthquakes⁷⁸. Spinal cord injury

can result in paralysis if the nerve cells are extensively damaged. Thus, regeneration of neurons and repair of fractured bone can only result in functional recovery. Neural progenitor cells are capable of differentiating into neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. In rat model of contusion injury, transplantation of murine green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing neural stem cells into spinal cords demonstrated integration of cells into the host tissue and expression of markers for neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes⁷⁹. The cells appeared to migrate to the lesion site but no functional recovery was observed. Studies using neural stem cells have been performed only in animal models and are less likely to find application in human due to limited availability and limited range of cell types generated⁸⁰.

Since MSCs have been shown to differentiate into neurons *in vitro*²², their ability to repair spinal cord damage and restore normal function has been tested in animal models⁸¹⁻⁸³. Results from animal model studies are controversial and all do not support differentiation of MSCs into neural cells. *Hofstetter et al*⁸² did not observe any differentiation of transplanted MSCs into neuron-like cells in rats rendered paraplegic due to spinal cord injury. However, they observed improved recovery as a result of formation of bundles bridging the lesion and acting as guiding strands for nerve growth following incorporation of astrocytes into MSC bundles.

The ability of MSCs to support host axonal growth was also reported by *Lu et al*⁸³. Increased local concentrations of nerve growth factor and brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the cellular matrix secreted by MSCs provided neuroprotective environment⁸³. Extensive axonal growth was observed upon transplantation of BDNF-overexpressing MSCs, demonstrating the feasibility of using MSCs as delivery vehicles to facilitate endogenous tissue repair by providing a suitable growth environment and stimulating host cells⁸³.

Autologous bone marrow stem cell transplantation in spinal cord injury patients has been documented to be safe and improve their quality of life⁸⁴. During the 2 year follow up, no tumour formation and infection or increased pain were observed. Transplantation of human cord blood-derived MSCs have been shown to be useful for a spinal cord injury patient, who was paraplegic for 16 yr⁸⁵. MSCs were injected into the subarachnoid space and diffuse into the intradural and extradural space of the injured spinal cord without any

immunosuppressive regimen. Improvement in motor activity (sensory perception) and movement in hips and thighs were observed in the patient within 41 days of transplantation, and no adverse immune responses were noticed. Though the mechanism of action of MSCs, mediated by released cytokines or by direct differentiation, is not clear, MSC transplantation led to expansion of the atrophied spinal cord⁸⁵.

Burns and skin injuries

Hot molten lava from volcanic eruption, forest fires and air and rail accidents result in skin burns. Exposure to high dose of radiation also causes radiation burn. Moreover, various skin injuries occur during wartime as a result of bullets, missile and landmine blasts. Treatment of these severe injuries requires grafting of skin to replace the damage.

*Fathke et al*⁸⁶ demonstrated using a chimeric mouse model in which bone marrow from enhanced GFP (EGFP) transgenic mice was transplanted into normal C57BL mice that 15-20 per cent of spindle shaped dermal fibroblasts were EGFP⁺ and two-third of these cells were CD45⁻. Both haematopoietic and mesenchymal populations provided long term reconstitution and produced collagen I and III. BM-MSCs and endothelial progenitor cells likely enhanced cutaneous repair and CD45⁺ fibrocytes caused fibrosis⁸⁷.

MSCs have been shown to undergo differentiation into keratinocyte *in vitro* and hence aid in regeneration of skin in cutaneous wounds⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰. Deep burn wounds in rats undergo accelerated formation of blood vessels and granulation tissue and decreased inflammation following transplantation of MSCs⁹¹. Even upon intravenous injection, MSCs were found to home to the wound site⁸⁹ and accelerate the ongoing repair process probably via secretion of chemotactic and angiogenic factors like VEGF- α , EGF, keratinocyte growth factor and angiopoietin-1^{31,90}, which attracted macrophages, keratinocytes and endothelial cells to the site. The studies emphasize the role of MSCs in reducing the inflammatory response and attracting accessory cells to site of damage rather themselves undergoing differentiation.

In a case report, a very severe buttock radiation burn (2000 Gy at the center of the lesion) of a 27 year old victim was treated using combination of physical techniques, surgical procedures and autologous culture expanded MSCs⁹². Injection of clinical-grade expanded MSCs following surgical excision of muscular fibrotic tissue and skin autografting, led to elimination of pain

and facilitated wound repair with no recurrence of radiation burn during 11 months follow up. The healing was complete without any functional impairment at 5.5 months post-irradiation (75 days post-cell therapy). Using conventional therapy (without using MSCs) it takes much longer time to heal or does not heal at all⁹². In another case report of a patient having extremely severe radiation burn caused due to exposure above 70Gy on the arm, conventional surgical therapy was ineffective and was followed by five local administration of autologous, culture-expanded MSCs in combination with skin autograft⁹³. During the 8 month follow up, the clinical evolution was favourable and no recurrence of lesion was observed even after three years. The ability of MSCs to modulate the inflammatory responses by secretion of various factors is likely the underlying mechanism⁹³. Thus, supplementing conventional regimen with MSC therapy provides a novel approach for treatment of severe radiation burn injuries.

Gastrointestinal damages

Abdominal injuries due to gunshots and bomb blasts during wartimes are quite prevalent. War victims with intestinal injuries have a higher mortality rate as a result of haemorrhage and septicaemia⁹⁴. Also, radiation overexposure (>6 Gy) causes death of the intestinal mucosal stem cells, haemorrhagic shock and enterocolitis, thereby being fatal for the victim^{32,33}. MSC infusion has been shown to be beneficial for treating radiation-induced intestinal injury in mice⁹⁵. PCR analysis confirmed low levels of MSC implantation (0.17%) in small intestine as well as other sites of local irradiation (stomach, kidney and spleen). Structural recovery was observed within 3 days following irradiation and was accompanied with increase in villus height⁹⁵. Infused MSCs homed to sites of damage and stimulated repair by proliferation of epithelial cells, suggesting the possibility of using MSC for treatment of radiation-induced intestinal injury.

A study was undertaken in experimental colitis rat model to compare the population and repair ability of HSC and MSC following allogenic stem cell transplantation⁹⁶. Rats receiving only MSC or HSC exhibited similar population ability in the colons on histological analysis. Combination of HSC and MSC resulted in improved gross morphology 21 days post-transplant, slightly better than HSC and MSC alone, thus highlighting the therapeutic relevance of co-transplanting MSCs.

Severe intestinal damage during wartime can lead to sepsis due to entry of bacteria into the bloodstream. Since no cure for sepsis exists, it is a life-threatening condition. However, MSCs have been demonstrated to attenuate sepsis in a murine model as a result of their immunomodulatory functions⁹⁷. Binding of prostaglandin E2 released from MSCs to prostaglandin EP2 and EP4 receptors on macrophages stimulated the production and release of interleukin (IL)-10, which prevents neutrophils from migrating into tissues and causing oxidative damage. The increased neutrophils levels in blood help fight bacteria more efficiently. As MSCs have been used safely in humans so far, these are likely to provide a treatment for sepsis as well, which needs to be evaluated.

Liver damage

Abdominal injuries resulting in damage to the liver are common during wartimes⁹⁸. Animal model studies have documented the generation of donor-derived hepatocytes following HSC transplantation⁹⁹. However, the issue of true differentiation remains controversial, since cell fusion events have also been reported¹⁰⁰. Comparison of different HSC phenotypes has revealed that human BM CD34⁺ Lin⁻ CD38⁻ cells generated more hepatocytes *in vivo*¹⁰¹.

MSCs can be differentiated into hepatocytes in culture^{24,102}, however *in vivo* trans-differentiation potential is controversial. Major therapeutic beneficial effects of MSCs in treating damaged liver are mediated by secretion of factors that stimulate regeneration of endogenous parenchymal cells^{103,104}. Colletti *et al*¹⁰⁵ demonstrated true differentiation of MSCs into hepatocytes within liver expressing hepatic markers as early as 24-48 h post-transplantation.

Clinical trials using HSC and MSC have been performed on small scale as feasibility studies. Autologous transplantation of BM-derived CD133⁺ cells in patients undergone partial hepatectomy for liver cancer showed 2.5-fold higher proliferation rate¹⁰⁶. In a small study of 5 patients suffering from cirrhosis, infusion of autologous CD34⁺ cells resulted in improvement of serum bilirubin in 4 of 5 patients for upto 6 months¹⁰⁷. There was marginal increase in serum bilirubin in three patients at 12 months. The study demonstrated absence of focal lesion and no tumour formation till 12 months post-transplantation. In a recent study enrolling 30 liver cirrhosis patients, transplantation of autologous bone marrow (BM) mononuclear cells resulted in improvement in albumin

and bilirubin levels¹⁰⁸. However, the improved liver function persisted only for 90 days post-transplant.

Use of MSCs has also been tried in liver cirrhosis patients. In a study of 8 patients, infusion of autologous MSCs improved liver function as assessed by Model for End-stage liver disease score and serum creatinine, albumin and bilirubin levels during 6 months follow up¹⁰⁹. No adverse effects were noted. All these studies involving HSC and MSC have documented safety and transient improvement. However, long-term studies enrolling more number of patients have to be performed to assess long term effects. It would be of interest to evaluate the possibility of multiple injections to improve the therapeutic benefit.

Kidney damage

Loss of kidney function has been reported among severely injured casualties during war^{110,111} and earthquake¹¹². Recovery of renal function following MSC infusion in mice model for acute renal failure has been documented¹¹³. MSC engraft in the kidney and differentiate into tubular epithelial cells. In another study performed in rat model, the kidney recovery rate was higher in rat transplanted with MSCs than the control group and the injured renal tissue was observed to induce differentiation of MSCs¹¹⁴. However, in other studies utilizing rat model of glomerulonephritis¹¹⁵ and ischaemia-reperfusion model of acute renal failure¹¹⁶, paracrine factors secreted by MSCs were reported to mediate recovery. Radiation-induced nephropathy is very likely upon accidental radiation exposure due to radiosensitivity of renal tubular epithelial cells and damage to blood vessels¹¹⁷. Based on animal studies, use of MSC transplant can be of help for treating radiation nephropathy, though no study has been reported.

Transfusing HSCs or mobilizing them using granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) in animal models of renal dysfunction has shown beneficial effects as determined by improvement in structure, function and animal survival^{118,119}. However, MSCs appear to be more promising than HSCs in kidney regeneration¹²⁰. Clinical trials for assessing the safety and efficacy of MSCs in renal diseases are in progress¹²¹.

Conclusions

Stem cell therapy, particularly employing MSCs, holds tremendous potential to stimulate or accelerate reparative processes and provides sufficient graftable cells for treating disaster victims suffering from critical

injuries. Use of MSCs in combination of other ASC transplantation or conventional treatment is likely to have enhanced therapeutic benefit. However, certain problems need to be resolved before it becomes a routine clinical practice. Culture conditions, dose of cell infusion, number of infusions and route of cell delivery need to be optimized. Long-term studies to assess the survival and fate of transplanted cells are warranted. An understanding of the immune response and paracrine mechanism is essential to assess feasibility of allogeneic transplantation.

Acknowledgment

We are thankful to Dr R.P. Tripathi, Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences, DRDO, Delhi for providing us necessary facilities and support. Shri Neeraj Kumar Satija in particular thanks CSIR (India) for the award of Senior Research Fellowship.

References

1. Herodin F, Mayol J-F, Mourcin F, Drouet M. Which place for stem cell therapy in the treatment of acute radiation syndrome? *Folia Histochemica Et Cytobiologica* 2005; 43 : 223-7.
2. Chin FKC. Scenario of a dirty bomb in an urban environment and acute management of radiation poisoning and injuries. *Singapore Med J* 2007; 48 : 950-6.
3. Behbehani A, Hasaniya N, Abu-Zidan F, Merei J. War injuries during the Gulf War: experience of a teaching hospital in Kuwait. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1994; 76 : 407-11.
4. Peek-Asa C, Kraus JF, Bourque LB, Vimalachandra D, Yu J, Abrams J. Fatal and hospitalized injuries resulting from the 1994 Northridge earthquake. *Int J Epidemiol* 1998; 27 : 459-65.
5. Salama OMM. Craniofacial war injuries. *Eastern Mediterranean Health J* 2006; 12 : 919-22.
6. Siminovitch L, McCulloch EA, Till JE. The distribution of colony-forming cells among spleen colonies. *J Cellular Comp Physiol* 1963; 62 : 327-36.
7. Friendenstein AJ, Gorskaja U, Kulagina NN. Fibroblast precursors in normal and irradiated mouse hematopoietic organs. *Exp Hematol* 1976; 4 : 267-74.
8. Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS, *et al.* Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* 1998; 282 : 1145-7.
9. Wilmut I, Beaujean N, de Sousa PA, Dinnyes A, King TJ, Paterson LA, *et al.* Somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Nature* 2002; 419 : 583-6.
10. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 2006; 126 : 663-76.
11. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 2007; 131 : 861-72.

12. Blanpain C, Horsley V, Fuchs E. Epithelial stem cells: turning over new leaves. *Cell* 2007; 128 : 445-58.
13. Stingl J, Eirew P, Ricketson I, Shackleton M, Vaillant F, Choi D, *et al.* Purification and unique properties of mammary epithelial stem cells. *Nature* 2006; 439 : 993-7.
14. Szilvassy SJ. The biology of hematopoietic stem cells. *Arch Med Res* 2003; 34 : 446-60.
15. Bordignon C. Stem-cell therapies for blood diseases. *Nature* 2006; 441 : 1100-2.
16. Hess DC, Borlongan CV. Stem cells and neurological diseases. *Cell Prolif* 2008; 41 (Suppl 1) : 94-114.
17. Segers VFM, Lee RT. Stem-cell therapy for cardiac disease. *Nature* 2008; 451 : 937-42.
18. Bruder SP, Jaiswal N, Haynesworth SE. Growth kinetics, self-renewal and the osteogenic potential of purified human mesenchymal stem cells during extensive subcultivation and following cryopreservation. *J Cell Biochem* 1997; 64 : 278-94.
19. Mohyeddin-Bonab M, Alimoghaddam K, Talebian F, Ghaffari SH, Ghavamzadeh A, Nikbin B. Aging of mesenchymal stem cells *in vitro*. *BMC Cell Biol* 2006; 7 : 14.
20. Satija NK, Gurudutta GU, Sharma S, Afrin F, Gupta P, Verma YK, *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells: Molecular targets for tissue engineering. *Stem Cells Dev* 2007; 16 : 7-23.
21. Anjos-Afonso F, Bonnet D. Nonhematopoietic/endothelial SSEA-1⁺ cells define the most primitive progenitors in the adult murine bone marrow mesenchymal compartment. *Blood* 2007; 109 : 1298-306.
22. Hung SC, Chen H, Pan CY, Tsai MJ, Kao LS, Ma HL. *In vitro* differentiation of size-sieved stem cells into electrically active neural cells. *Stem Cells* 2002; 20 : 522-9.
23. Kadivar M, Khatami S, Mortazavi Y, Shokrgozar MA, Taghikhani M, Soleimani M. *In vitro* cardiomyogenic potential of human umbilical vein-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006; 340 : 639-47.
24. Kang XQ, Zang WJ, Bao LJ, Li DL, Song TS, Xu XL, *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor-4 and hepatocyte growth factor induce differentiation of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells into hepatocytes. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11 : 7461-5.
25. Păunescu V, Deak E, Herman D, Siska IR, Tănăsie G, Bunu C, *et al.* *In vitro* differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells to epithelial lineage. *J Cell Mol Med* 2007; 11 : 502-8.
26. Le Blanc K, Tammik C, Rosendahl K, Zetterberg E, Ringden O. HLA expression and immunologic properties of differentiated and undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells. *Exp Hematol* 2003; 31 : 890-6.
27. Nauta AJ, Fibbe WE. Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Blood* 2007; 110 : 3499-506.
28. François S, Bensidhoum M, Mouiseddine M, Mazurier C, Allenet B, Semont A, *et al.* Local irradiation not only induces homing of human mesenchymal stem cells at exposed sites but promotes their widespread engraftment to multiple organs: A study of their quantitative distribution after irradiation damage. *Stem Cells* 2006; 24 : 1020-9.
29. Mouiseddine M, François S, Semont A, Sache A, Allenet B, Mathieu N, *et al.* Human mesenchymal stem cells home specifically to radiation-injured tissues in a non-obese diabetes/severe combined immunodeficiency mouse model. *Br J Radiol* 2007; 80 (Spec No 1) : S49-55.
30. Kinnaird T, Stabile E, Burnett MS, Shou M, Lee CW, Barr S, *et al.* Local delivery of marrow-derived stromal cells augments collateral perfusion through paracrine mechanisms. *Circulation* 2004; 109 : 1543-9.
31. Chen L, Tredget EE, Wu PY, Wu Y. Paracrine factors of mesenchymal stem cells recruit macrophages and endothelial lineage cells and enhance wound healing. *PLoS One* 2008; 3 : e1886.
32. Koenig KL, Goans RE, Hatchett RJ, Mettler FA Jr, Schumacher TA, Noji EK, *et al.* Medical treatment of radiological casualties: current concepts. *Ann Emerg Med* 2005; 45 : 643-52.
33. Chambers JA, Purdue GF. Radiation injury and the surgeon. *J Am Coll Surg* 2007; 204 : 128-39.
34. Schull WJ. The somatic effects of exposure to atomic radiation: The Japanese experience, 1947-1997. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998; 95 : 5437-41.
35. Flidner TM, Tibken B, Hofer EP, Paul W. Stem cell responses after radiation exposure: A key to the evaluation and prediction of its effects. *Health Phys* 1996; 70 : 787-97.
36. Yanez R, Lamana ML, Garcia-Castro J, Colmenero I, Ramirez M, Bueren JA. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells have *in vivo* immunosuppressive properties applicable for the control of graft-versus-host disease. *Stem Cells* 2006; 24 : 2582-91.
37. Le Blanc K, Rasmusson I, Sundberg B, Götherström C, Hassan M, Uzunel M, *et al.* Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells. *Lancet* 2004; 363 : 1439-41.
38. Ringden O, Uzunel M, Rasmusson I, Remberger M, Sundberg B, Lonnies H, *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of therapy-resistant graft-versus-host disease. *Transplant* 2006; 81 : 1390-7.
39. Lazarus HM, Koc ON, Devine SM, Curtin P, Maziarz RT, Holland HK, *et al.* Cotransplantation of HLA-identical sibling culture-expanded mesenchymal stem cells and hematopoietic stem cells in hematologic malignancy patients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2005; 11 : 389-98.
40. Lee ST, Jang JH, Cheong JW, Kim JS, Maeng HY, Hahn JS, *et al.* Treatment of high-risk acute myelogenous leukemia by myeloablative chemotherapy followed by coinfusion of T cell-depleted haematopoietic stem cells and culture-expanded marrow mesenchymal stem cells from a related donor with one fully mismatched human leucocyte antigen haplotype. *Br J Haematol* 2002; 118 : 1128-31.
41. Aggarwal S, Pittenger MF. Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune cell responses. *Blood* 2005; 105 : 1815-22.
42. Ringden O, Uzunel M, Sundberg B, Lonnies L, Nava S, Gustafsson J, *et al.* Tissue repair using allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells for hemorrhagic cystitis, pneumomediastinum and perforated colon. *Leukemia* 2007; 21 : 2271-76.

43. Fliedner TM, Nothdurft W, Calvo W. The development of radiation late effects to the bone marrow after single and chronic exposure. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med* 1986; 49 : 35-46.
44. Galotto M, Berisso G, Delfino L, Podesta M, Ottaggio L, Dallorso S, *et al.* Stromal damage as consequence of high-dose chemo/radiotherapy in bone marrow transplant recipients. *Exp Hematol* 1999; 27 : 1460-6.
45. Madhusudhan T, Majumdar SS, Mukhopadhyay A. Degeneration of stroma reduces retention of homed cells in bone marrow of lethally irradiated mice. *Stem Cells Dev* 2004; 13 : 173-82.
46. Zhang J, Niu CH, Ye L, Huang H, He X, Tong WG, *et al.* Identification of the hematopoietic stem cell niche and control of the niche size. *Nature* 2003; 425 : 836-41.
47. Ma J, Shi M, Li J, Chen B, Wang H, Li B, *et al.* Senescence-unrelated impediment of osteogenesis from Flk1⁺ bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells induced by total body irradiation and its contribution to long-term bone and hematopoietic injury. *Haematologica* 2007; 92 : 889-96.
48. Noort WA, Kruisselbrink AB, in't Anker PS, Kruger M, van Bezooijen RL, de Paus RA, *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells promote engraftment of human umbilical cord blood-derived CD34(+) cells in NOD/SCID mice. *Exp Hematol* 2002; 30 : 870-8.
49. Park SK, Won JH, Kim HJ, Bae SB, Kim CK, Lee KT, *et al.* Co-transplantation of human mesenchymal stem cells promotes human CD34+ cells engraftment in a dose-dependent fashion in NOD/SCID Mice. *J Korean Med Sci* 2007; 22 : 412-9.
50. Maitra B, Szekely E, Gjini K, Laughlin MJ, Dennis J, Haynesworth SE, *et al.* Human mesenchymal stem cells support unrelated donor hematopoietic stem cells and suppress T-cell activation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2004; 33 : 597-604.
51. Le Blanc K, Ringden O. Immunobiology of human mesenchymal stem cells and future use in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2005; 11 : 321-34.
52. Thierry D, Bertho JM, Chapel A, Gourmelon P. Cell therapy for the treatment of accidental radiation overexposure. *Br J Radiol* 2005; 27 (Suppl) : 175-9.
53. Anno GH, Baum SJ, Withers HR, Young RW. Symptomatology of acute radiation effects in humans after exposure to doses of 0.5-30 Gy. *Health Phys* 1986; 56 : 821-38.
54. Bishop MR. Potential use of hematopoietic stem cells after radiation injury. *Stem Cells* 1997; 15 (Suppl 2) : 305-10.
55. Baranov A, Gale RP, Guskova A, Piatkin E, Selidovkin G, Muravyova L, *et al.* Bone marrow transplantation after the Chernobyl nuclear accident. *New Engl J Med* 1989; 321 : 205-12.
56. Asano S. Multi-organ involvement: lessons from the experience of one victim of the Tokai-mura criticality accident. *Br J Radiol* 2005; 27 (Suppl) : 9-12.
57. Chamberlain G, Fox J, Ashton B, Middleton J. Concise review: Mesenchymal stem cells: Their phenotype, differentiation capacity, immunological features, and potential for homing. *Stem Cells* 2007; 25 : 2739-49.
58. Chapel A, Bertho JM, Bensidhoum M, Fouillard L, Young RG, Frick J, *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells home to injured tissues when co-infused with hematopoietic cells to treat a radiation-induced multi-organ failure syndrome. *J Gene Med* 2003; 5 : 1028-38.
59. Gazdag AR, Lane JM, Glaser D, Forster RA. Alternatives to autogenous bone graft: efficacy and indications. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 1995; 3 : 1-8.
60. Li XQ, Stevenson S, Klein L, Davy DT, Shaffer JW, Goldberg VM. Differential patterns of incorporation and remodeling among various types of bone grafts. *Acta Anat (Basel)* 1991; 140 : 236-44.
61. Arinze TL, Peter SJ, Archambault MP, van den Bos C, Gordon S, Kraus K, *et al.* Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells regenerate bone in a critical-sized canine segmental defect. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2003; 85-A : 1927-35.
62. van der Dolder J, Farber E, Spauwen PH, Jansen JA. Bone tissue reconstruction using titanium fiber mesh combined with rat bone marrow stromal cells. *Biomaterials* 2003; 24 : 1745-50.
63. Quarto R, Mastrogiacomo M, Cancedda R, Kutepov SM, Mukhachev V, Lavroukov A, *et al.* Repair of large bone defects with the use of autologous bone marrow stromal cells. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 344 : 385-6.
64. Cancedda R, Quarto R, Giannoni P, Mastrogiacomo M, Muraglia A. Cell therapy and bone repair. *Eur Cells Materials* 2003; 5 : 2-3.
65. Chang SC, Chuang HL, Chen YR, Chen JK, Chung HY, Lu YL, *et al.* Ex vivo gene therapy in autologous bone marrow stromal stem cells for tissue-engineered maxillofacial bone regeneration. *Gene Ther* 2003; 10 : 2013-9.
66. Gugala Z, Olmsted-Davis EA, Gannon FH, Lindsey RW, Davis AR. Osteoinduction by *ex vivo* adenovirus-mediated BMP2 delivery is independent of cell type. *Gene Ther* 2003; 10 : 1289-96.
67. Zhang XS, Linkhart TA, Chen ST, Peng H, Wergedal JE, Gutierrez GG, *et al.* Local *ex vivo* gene therapy with bone marrow stromal cells expressing human BMP4 promotes endosteal bone formation in mice. *J Gene Med* 2004; 6 : 4-15.
68. Zhao Z, Zhao M, Xiao G, Franceschi RT. Gene transfer of the Runx2 transcription factor enhances osteogenic activity of bone marrow stromal cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Mol Ther* 2005; 12 : 247-53.
69. Tu Q, Valverde P, Li S, Zhang J, Yang P, Chen J. Osterix overexpression in mesenchymal stem cells stimulates healing of critical-sized defects in murine calvarial bone. *Tissue Eng* 2007; 13 : 2431-40.
70. Wojtowicz AM, Templeman KL, Hutmacher DW, Guldborg RE, Garcia AJ. Runx2 overexpression in bone marrow stromal cells accelerates bone formation in critical-sized femoral defects. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010; 16 : 2795-808.
71. Kumar S, Wan C, Ramaswamy G, Clemens TL, Ponnazhagan S. Mesenchymal stem cells expressing osteogenic and angiogenic factors synergistically enhance bone formation in a mouse model of segmental bone defect. *Mol Ther* 2010; 18 : 1026-34.

72. Wakitani S, Goto T, Pineda SJ, Young RG, Mansour JM, Caplan AI, *et al.* Mesenchymal cell-based repair of large, full thickness defects of articular cartilage. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1994; 76 : 579-92.
73. Im GI, Kim DY, Shin JH, Hyun CW, Cho WH. Repair of cartilage defect in the rabbit with cultured mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2001; 83 : 289-94.
74. Niehoff P, Wiltfang J, Springer IN, Weppner N, Kimmig B, Acil Y. Increased excretion of collagen crosslinks in irradiated patients indicates destruction of collagen. *Int J Radiat Biol* 2006; 82 : 503-9.
75. Dare A, Hachisu R, Yamaguchi A, Yokose S, Yoshiki S, Okano T. Effects of ionizing radiation on proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast-like cells. *J Dent Res* 1997; 76 : 658-64.
76. Gevorgyan AM, La Scala GC, Sukhu B, Leung IT, Ashrafpour H, Yeung I, *et al.* Radiation-induced craniofacial bone growth inhibition: *in vitro* cytoprotection in the rabbit orbitozygomatic complex periosteum-derived cell culture. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2008; 121 : 763-71.
77. Hamilton SA, Pecaut MJ, Gridley DS, Travis ND, Bandstra ER, Willey JS, *et al.* A murine model for bone loss from therapeutic and space-relevant sources of radiation. *J Appl Physiol* 2006; 101 : 789-93.
78. Priebe MM. Spinal cord injuries as a result of earthquakes: lessons from Iran and Pakistan. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2007; 30 : 367-8.
79. McMohan SS, Albermann S, Rooney GE, Shaw G, Garcia Y, Sweeney E, *et al.* Engraftment, migration and differentiation of neural stem cells in the rat spinal cord following contusion injury. *Cytotherapy* 2010; 12 : 313-25.
80. Sahni V, Kessler JA. Stem cell therapies for spinal cord injury. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2010; 6 : 363-72.
81. Chopp M, Zhang XH, Li Y, Wang L, Chen J, Lu D, *et al.* Spinal cord injury in rat: treatment with bone marrow stromal cell transplantation. *Neuro Report* 2000; 11 : 3001-5.
82. Hofstetter CP, Schwarz EJ, Hess D, Widenfalk J, El Manira A, Prockop DJ, *et al.* Marrow stromal cells form guiding strands in the injured spinal cord and promote recovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99 : 2199-204.
83. Lu P, Jones LL, Tsuzynski MH. BDNF-expressing marrow stromal cells support extensive axonal growth at sites of spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol* 2005; 191 : 344-60.
84. Geffner LF, Santacruz P, Izurieta M, Flor L, Maldonado B, Auad AH, *et al.* Administration of autologous bone marrow stem cells into spinal cord injury patients via multiple routes is safe and improves their quality of life: comprehensive case studies. *Cell transplant* 2008; 17 : 1277-93.
85. Kang KS, Kim SW, Oh YH, Yu JW, Kim KY, Park HK, *et al.* A 37-year-old spinal cord-injured female patient, transplanted of multipotent stem cells from human UC blood, with improved sensory perception and mobility, both functionally and morphologically: a case study. *Cytotherapy* 2005; 7 : 368-73.
86. Fathke C, Wilson L, Hutter J, Kapoor V, Smith A, Hocking A, *et al.* Contribution of bone marrow -derived cells to skin: collagen deposition and wound repair. *Stem Cells* 2004; 22 : 812-22.
87. Wu Y, Zhao RCH, Tredget EE. Concise review: Bone marrow-derived stem/progenitor cells in cutaneous repair and regeneration. *Stem Cells* 2010; 28 : 905-15.
88. Li HH, Fu XB, Ouyang YS, Cai C, Wang J, Sun T. Adult bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells contribute to wound healing of skin appendages. *Cell Tissue Res* 2006; 14 : 325-35.
89. Sasaki M, Abe R, Fujita Y, Ando S, Inokuma D, Shimizu H. Mesenchymal stem cells are recruited into wounded skin and contribute to wound repair by transdifferentiation into multiple skin cell type. *J Immunol* 2008; 180 : 2581-7.
90. Wu Y, Chen L, Scott PG, Tredget EE. Mesenchymal stem cells enhance wound healing through differentiation and angiogenesis. *Stem Cells* 2007; 25 : 2648-59.
91. Shumakov VI, Onishchenko NA, Rasulov MF, Krasheninnikov ME, Zaidenov VA. Mesenchymal bone marrow stem cells more effectively stimulate regeneration of deep burn wounds than embryonic fibroblasts. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 2003; 136 : 192-5.
92. Lataillade JJ, Doucet C, Bey E, Carsin H, Huet C, Clairand I, *et al.* New approach to radiation burn treatment by dosimetry-guided surgery combined with autologous mesenchymal stem cell therapy. *Regen Med* 2007; 2 : 785-94.
93. Bey E, Prat M, Duhamel P, Benderitter M, Brachet M, Trompier F, *et al.* Emerging therapy for improving wound repair of severe radiation burns using local bone marrow-derived stem cell administrations. *Wound Rep Reg* 2010; 18 : 50-8.
94. Ogwang DM. Penetrating abdominal war injuries among the war victims at Lacor Hospital in Gulu, Northern Uganda. *East Central Afr J Surg* 2001; 6 : 71-4.
95. Sémont A, François S, Mouiseddine M, François A, Sache A, Frick J, *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells increase self-renewal of small intestinal epithelium and accelerate structural recovery after radiation injury. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2006; 585 : 19-30.
96. Wei Y, Nie Y, Lai J, Wan YJY, Li Y. Comparison of the population capacity of hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells in experimental colitis rat model. *Transplant* 2009; 88 : 42-8.
97. Nemeth K, Leelahavanichkul A, Yuen PST, Mayer B, Parmelee A, Doi K, *et al.* Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate sepsis via prostaglandin E2-dependent reprogramming of host macrophages to increase their interleukin-10 production. *Nat Med* 2009; 15 : 42-9.
98. Zangana AM. Penetrating liver war injury: A report on 676 cases, after Baghdad invasion and Iraqi Civilian war April 2003. *Adv Med Dent Sci* 2007; 1 : 10-4.
99. Almeida-Porada G, Zanjani ED, Porada CD. Bone marrow stem cells and liver regeneration. *Exp Hematol* 2010; 38 : 574-80.
100. Wang X, Willenbring H, Akkari Y, Torimaru Y, Foster M, Al-Dhalimy M, *et al.* Cell fusion is the principal source of bone marrow-derived hepatocytes. *Nature* 2003; 422 : 897-901.
101. Almeida-Porada G, Porada CD, Chamberlain J, Torabi A, Zanjani ED. Formation of human hepatocytes by human hematopoietic stem cells in sheep. *Blood* 2004; 104 : 2582-90.

102. Aurich H, Sgodda M, Kaltwasser P, Vetter M, Weise A, Liehr T, *et al.* Hepatocyte differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from human adipose tissue *in vitro* promotes hepatic integration *in vivo*. *Gut* 2009; 58 : 570-81.
103. Kuo TK, Hung SP, Chuang CH, Chen CT, Shih YR, Fang SC, *et al.* Stem cell therapy for liver disease: parameters governing the success of using bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Gastroenterology* 2008; 134 : 2111-21.
104. Banas A, Teratani T, Yamamoto Y, Tokuhara M, Takeshita F, Osaki M, *et al.* IFATS collection: *in vivo* therapeutic potential of human adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells after transplantation into mice with liver injury. *Stem Cells* 2008; 26 : 2705-12.
105. Colletti E, Airey JA, Liu W, Simmons PJ, Zanjani ED, Porada CD, *et al.* Generation of tissue-specific cells by MSC does not require fusion or donor to host mitochondrial/membrane transfer. *Stem Cell Res* 2009; 2 : 125-38.
106. am Esch JS 2nd, Knoefel WT, Klein M, Ghodsizad A, Fuerst G, Poll LW, *et al.* Portal application of autologous CD133+ bone marrow cells to the liver: a novel concept to support hepatic regeneration. *Stem Cells* 2005; 23 : 463-70.
107. Levicar N, Pai M, Habib NA, Tait P, Jiao LR, Marley SB, *et al.* Long-term clinical results of autologous infusion of mobilized adult bone marrow derived CD34+ cells in patients with chronic liver disease. *Cell Prolif* 2008; 41 (Suppl1) : 115-25.
108. Lyra AC, Soares MBP, da Silva LFM, Braga EL, Oliveira SA, Fortes MF, *et al.* Infusion of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells through hepatic artery results in a short-term improvement of liver function in patients with chronic liver disease: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; 22 : 33-42.
109. Kharaziha P, Hellstrom PM, Noorinayer B, Farzaneh F, Aghajani K, Jafari F, *et al.* Improvement of liver function in liver cirrhosis patients after autologous mesenchymal stem cell injection: a phase I-II clinical trial. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 21 : 1199-205.
110. Butkus DE. Post-traumatic acute renal failure in combat casualties: a historical review. *Mil Med* 1984; 149 : 117-24.
111. Bywaters EG, Beall D. Crush injuries with impairment of renal function. *Br Med J* 1941; 1 : 427-32.
112. Kantarci G, Vanholder R, Tuglular S, Akin H, Koç M, Özener Ç, *et al.* Acute renal failure due to crush syndrome during Marmara earthquake. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 40 : 682-9.
113. Herrera MB, Bussolati B, Bruno S, Fonsato V, Romanazzi GM, Camussi G. Mesenchymal stem cells contribute to the renal repair of acute tubular epithelial injury. *Int J Mol Med* 2004; 14 : 1035-41.
114. Qian H, Yang H, Xu W, Yan Y, Chen Q, Zhu W, *et al.* Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate rat acute renal failure by differentiating into renal tubular epithelial-like cells. *Int J Mol Med* 2008; 22 : 325-32.
115. Kunter U, Rong S, Djuric Z, Boor P, Müller-Newen G, Yu D, *et al.* Transplanted mesenchymal stem cells accelerate glomerular healing in experimental glomerulonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17 : 2202-12.
116. Togel F, Hu Z, Weiss K, Isaac J, Lange C, Westenfelder C. Administered mesenchymal stem cells protect against ischemic acute renal failure through differentiation-independent mechanism. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2005; 289 : F31-F42.
117. Cohen EP. Radiation nephropathy after bone marrow transplantation. *Kidney Int* 2000; 58 : 903-18.
118. Lin F, Cordes K, Li L, Hood L, Couser WG, Shankland SJ *et al.* Hematopoietic cells contribute to the regeneration of renal tubules after ischemia-reperfusion injury in mice. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 14 : 1188-99.
119. Fang TC, Alison MR, Cook HT, Jeffery R, Wright NA, Poulosom R. Proliferation of bone marrow-derived cells contributes to regeneration after folic acid-induced acute tubular injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16 : 1723-32.
120. Imai N, Kaur T, Rosenberg ME, Gupta S. Cellular therapy for kidney diseases. *Semin Dial* 2009; 22 : 629-35.
121. Reinders MEJ, Fibbe WE, Rabelink TJ. Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in renal disease and kidney transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2010; 25 : 17-24.

Reprint requests: Dr G.U. Gurudutta, Stem Cell & Gene Therapy Research Group, Institute of Nuclear Medicine & Allied Sciences, Lucknow Road, Timarpur, Delhi 110 054, India
e-mail: gugdutta@rediffmail.com