



VIEWPOINT

Gender-Affirming Surgery

BRCA Mutations and the Implications in Transgender Individuals Undergoing Top Surgery: An Operative Dilemma

Camaleigh Jaber, MD*; Oliver Ralph, MD*; Alireza Hamidian Jahromi, MD, MRCS†

Transgender patients with BRCA mutations undergoing gender-affirming mastectomies pose a great dilemma in regard to surgical decision-making. Although the clinical implications of BRCA mutations are well known, there remains a paucity of specific recommendations and guidelines in the transgender population. The unanswered questions include appropriate screening, surgical approach, and postoperative surveillance.

A bilateral prophylactic mastectomy has been shown to decrease cancer risk by 90% in patients with BRCA mutations. The traditional prophylactic and oncologic mastectomy aims to remove all mammary tissue, yet chest contouring surgery for transgender individuals does not use the same anatomical boundaries. The typical utilization of the subdermal plane to ensure adequate tissue removal often results in suboptimal aesthetic outcomes. Electing for an oncologic bilateral mastectomy is at the discretion of the patient and raises clear safety concerns for BRCA positive transgender patients undergoing gender mastectomies.

Preoperative management for high-risk transgender individuals falls under the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommendations for annual breast MRI screening for women aged 20-29 years, with an additional annual mammogram in women aged 30-75 years.² Some authors have recommended a routine mammogram before top surgery in BRCA+ individuals, patients with a family history of cancer, and those aged 35 years or older.3 Intraoperative and postoperative management of high-risk transgender men, however, is not as well defined. The intraoperative management strongly depends on the open communication between the patient and physician in the preoperative setting. Having a clear understanding of patient expectations and wishes while simultaneously articulating what is safe and attainable is crucial for intraoperative decision-making.

There is currently minimal literature (if any) to address the question of surveillance in terms of necessity, modality,

From the *Department of General Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Ill.; and †Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Temple University Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pa. Received for publication October 21, 2021; accepted October 25,

2021.

Copyright © 2022 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The American Society of Plastic Surgeons. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2022;10:e4012; doi: 10.1097/GOX.00000000000000012; Published online 10 January 2022.

and frequency in this patient population. The NCCN does not recommend routine imaging following a full mastectomy in the setting of BRCA+ cisgender women. However, because chest contouring surgery leaves behind breast tissue, current surveillance guidelines cannot be generalized to the transgender population. It is unclear if yearly surveillance as recommended in high-risk individuals premastectomy is warranted. Due to the significant removal of breast tissue, the associated cancer risk is likely decreased. However, this risk is clearly not zero and, as such, some form of surveillance may be beneficial and should be the topic of future research.

The impact of supplemental androgen hormones on the future risk of breast cancer is still not fully stratified. Studies looking at breast cancer incidence in transgender men have noted a decreased risk of breast cancer when compared with cis-women. 4.5 It is unclear if this is due to hormonal supplementation, breast tissue reduction, or a combination of both. However, these studies did not specifically look at patients with high-risk genetic mutations. Furthermore, these studies did not take into consideration nonbinary patients where hormonal supplementation is not utilized. In a time where gender-affirmation surgery is becoming more accessible, determining appropriate standard of care is pivotal. Guidelines regarding preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative management in high-risk transgender patients are of utmost importance.

Alireza Hamidian Jahromi, MD, MRCS
Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery
Temple University Medical Center
Philadelphia, PA 19103
E-mail: alirezahamidian@yahoo.com

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

REFERENCES

- Grann VR, Jacobson JS, Whang W, et al. Prevention with tamoxifen or other hormones versus prophylactic surgery in BRCA1/2positive women: a decision analysis. *Cancer J Sci Am.* 2000;6:13–20.
- Daly MB, Pilarski R, Yurgelun MB, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: genetic/familial high-risk assessment: breast, ovarian, and pancreatic, version 1.2020. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18:380–391.
- 3. Fledderus AC, Gout HA, Ogilvie AC, et al. Breast malignancy in female-to-male transsexuals: systematic review, case report, and recommendations for screening. *Breast.* 2020;53:92–100.
- Brown GR, Jones KT. Incidence of breast cancer in a cohort of 5,135 transgender veterans. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2015;149: 191–198.
- Gooren LJ, van Trotsenburg MA, Giltay EJ, et al. Breast cancer development in transsexual subjects receiving cross-sex hormone treatment. J Sex Med. 2013;10:3129–3134.