

# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

## Plant phosphomannose isomerase as a selectable marker for rice transformation

Lei Hu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hao Li<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ruiying Qin<sup>1</sup>, Rongfang Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Juan Li<sup>1</sup>, Li Li<sup>1</sup>, Pengcheng Wei<sup>1</sup> & Jianbo Yang<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 03 February 2016

Accepted: 25 April 2016

Published: 13 May 2016

The *E. coli* phosphomannose isomerase (*EcPMI*) gene is widely used as a selectable marker gene (SMG) in mannose (Man) selection-based plant transformation. Although some plant species exhibit significant PMI activity and active PMIs were even identified in Man-sensitive plants, whether plant PMIs can be used as SMGs remains unclear. In this study, we isolated four novel PMI genes from *Chlorella variabilis* and *Oryza sativa*. Their isoenzymatic activities were examined *in vitro* and compared with that of *EcPMI*. The active plant PMIs were separately constructed into binary vectors as SMGs and then transformed into rice *via Agrobacterium*. In both *Indica* and *Japonica* subspecies, our results indicated that the plant PMIs could select and produce transgenic plants in a pattern similar to that of *EcPMI*. The transgenic plants exhibited an accumulation of plant PMI transcripts and enhancement of the *in vivo* PMI activity. Furthermore, a gene of interest was successfully transformed into rice using the plant PMIs as SMGs. Thus, novel SMGs for Man selection were isolated from plants, and our analysis suggested that PMIs encoding active enzymes might be common in plants and could potentially be used as appropriate genetic elements in cisgenesis engineering.

During plant transformation, transformed cells are identified from a matrix consisting primarily of untransformed cells using a selection system and then regenerated to transgenic plants. Numerous selection systems have been developed in the past 20 years; nevertheless, only a few of them have been practically used<sup>1,2</sup>. The most-used selection systems consist of an antibiotic or herbicide selective agent, which is toxic for the non-transformed cells, and the corresponding selectable marker gene (SMG) confers the tolerance to transformed cells<sup>3</sup>. These systems are stable and efficient in both scientific research and commercial breeding. However, relevant safety concerns have also been continually raised by the public and by regulatory committees in certain countries. One concern is that the use of toxic selection agents in factories and fields has potential risks to the environment. Another concern is the safety risks of SMGs. Because bacteria can import and integrate exogenous DNA<sup>4,5</sup>, the natural bacterial population may be exposed to recombinant DNA, including SMG fragments, during the cultivation and consumption of genetically modified (GM) crops and therefore lead to the possibility of unintended horizontal gene transfer (HGT)<sup>6,7</sup>. HGT may spread antibiotic resistance from the SMGs of GM plants to soil or gastrointestinal tract microbes, which may compromise the clinical effectiveness of antibiotic drugs. Another risk is caused by the gene flow between GM plants and their wild relatives<sup>8</sup>. Because SMGs do not naturally exist in plants, the spread of novel antibiotic and herbicide resistance traits may lead to the extinction of wild populations<sup>9</sup> or to increased fitness and persistence of wild relatives, potentially making them “super-weeds”<sup>10</sup>. Moreover, antibiotic or herbicide SMGs are generally isolated from bacteria<sup>3</sup> and thus are cited as “unnatural”. Although the biological safety of SMGs for human and animal health has been validated by several independent risk-assessment tests<sup>11–13</sup>, their bacterial origins are easily associated with potential danger, causing rejection by consumers.

Rice is the most preferred staple food in Asia and a major target for plant genetic engineering. It has been more than a quarter century since the successful genetic transformation of rice. Countless studies have revealed that transgenes can effectively improve the yield, development, nutrition, stress resistance and other agronomic traits of cultivated rice varieties. However, even under strict monitoring, few types of GM rice have been commercially released to date<sup>14</sup>. Safety concerns regarding SMGs are major deterrents to taking advantage of the

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Rice Genetic Breeding of Anhui Province, Rice Research Institute, Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hefei, 230031, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Agronomy, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, 230036, China. \*These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.W. (email: weipengcheng@gmail.com) or J.Y. (email: yjianbo@263.net)

advancement of biotechnology in rice breeding. Several approaches, including co-transformation systems, recombination-mediated site-specific excision or integration systems, and even CRISPR-based targeted degradation systems, have been designed and/or applied to generate marker-free transgenic plants<sup>15–18</sup>. However, these approaches normally require the screening of a large number of transformation events or extra transformation steps, which would greatly increase labor and time costs. One alternative strategy is the application of a non-antibiotic and non-herbicide selection system during transformation. A *phosphomannose isomerase (PMI)*/mannose (Man) combination is one of the most popular and highly used non-antibiotic systems in rice. Man itself is not toxic to plants; however, Man is phosphorylated to mannose-6-phosphate (M-6-P) by endogenous hexokinases. The accumulation of M-6-P in plants blocks glycolysis<sup>19</sup>, inhibits ATP production<sup>19,20</sup>, and represses photosynthetic gene transcription<sup>21</sup>. Consequently, plant cells cultivated in Man media grow much slower, and organogenesis and germination are strongly restrained<sup>22,23</sup>. PMI can convert M-6-P into fructose-6-phosphate (F-6-P), which is then metabolized *via* glycolysis in plants. Therefore, only cells expressing PMI can grow and be selected on medium that uses Man as a carbon source, which enables the use of PMI as a SMG in plant transgenes.

PMIs are widely found in bacteria, fungal and animal cells; however, PMIs were commonly considered nonexistent in higher plants, except in some soybean species<sup>24,25</sup>. Recently, a few active PMIs were identified in *Arabidopsis* and Chinese cabbage<sup>26,27</sup>, suggesting that functional PMI may exist in higher plants. The existence of PMI isoforms in plant genomes consequently raises a question: Are the higher plant-originated PMI genes sufficiently active to be SMGs for Man selection of plant transgenes? Here, we report that four novel PMIs were isolated from algae and rice. The corresponding proteins were expressed and purified from *E. coli*, and their enzymatic activities were identified. Furthermore, the active plant PMI genes were expressed in cells to select and regenerate transgenic rice under Man pressure. Our results suggested that plant PMIs could be applied as effective alternative SMGs in the genetic engineering of rice and other plants.


## Results

**Cloning of the plant PMIs and characterization of the sequences.** The genomic sequence databases of *Chlorella variabilis* and *Oryza sativa* were searched to identify plant PMIs. Four putative PMIs were found, including a gene from *Chlorella* (*CHLNCDRAFT\_139231*, designated as CvPMI) and three genes from rice (*Os01g0127900*, *Os09g0389000* and *Os11g0600900*, designated as OsPMI1, OsPMI2 and OsPMI3, respectively). The coding sequences of the PMIs were cloned, and the predicted molecular masses for CvPMI, OsPMI1, OsPMI2 and OsPMI3 were 45.85, 46.93, 44.18 and 24.15 kDa, respectively. The alignment of amino acid sequences revealed that the sequences of these plant PMIs were highly similar to the classic type I PMIs of *C. albicans*, *E. coli* and humans (Fig. S1). As indicated in Fig. S1, all plant PMIs except OsPMI3 contained the eukaryotic PMI consensus sequence YXDXNHKPE in their primary structures<sup>28</sup>. Type I PMI is a zinc-dependent metalloenzyme. Four amino acids of *C. albicans* PMI (CaPMI) (Gln-111, Glu-138, His-113 and His-285) are essential for the ligand of zinc<sup>29</sup>. These residues were also conserved in the sequences of the plant PMIs except OsPMI3 (Fig. S1). Furthermore, the three-dimensional (3D) structures of the PMIs were predicted. Except for OsPMI3, these plant PMIs were likely to exhibit a structure highly similar to that of CaPMI (Fig. S2), suggesting that most plant PMIs may have the same biochemical activity as the typical type I PMI.

**Identification of plant PMI isoenzymatic activity.** To determine whether plant PMIs have the ability to isomerize M-6-P and to estimate whether their activities are sufficient to be used as potential SMGs, the four PMIs were fused with a glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag at the N-terminal and expressed in *E. coli* cells. Two previously identified PMIs, EcPMI from *E. coli* and AtPMI2 from *Arabidopsis*, were expressed as controls. Five GST-PMI fusion proteins were purified from the soluble fraction of bacterium to homogeneity using affinity purification. Although many expression conditions had been tested, GST-OsPMI2 was not soluble. Therefore, the protein was denatured in inclusion bodies and subsequently recovered and purified. The purity of the recombinant proteins was assessed *via* sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis (Fig. 1A).

To quantitatively evaluate the isoenzymatic activity *in vitro*, the values of  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  for the M-6-P to F-6-P isomerization reaction catalyzed by the expressed fusion proteins were measured by the phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI)/D-glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH)-coupled enzyme method<sup>26</sup>. As expected, the GST tag itself did not convert M-6-P (Table 1). Accordingly, the activity of the fusion proteins should be contributed by the corresponding PMIs. As shown in Fig. 1B and Table 1, GST-CvPMI had the highest  $V_{max}$  ( $68.03 \pm 2.22 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$  protein) among the plant PMIs, which was comparable with that of EcPMI ( $74.63 \pm 5.34 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$  protein). However, the  $K_m$  value of CvPMI was greater than that of EcPMI, suggesting lower substrate affinity of the recombinant CvPMI proteins. The  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  of GST-AtPMI2 were  $4104.67 \pm 53.47 \mu\text{M}$  and  $33.33 \pm 2.42 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$  protein, respectively. In a previous study, the  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  parameters of the histidine-tagged AtPMI2 were determined to be  $372 \pm 13 \mu\text{M}$  and  $22.5 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$  protein, respectively<sup>26</sup>. The differences between the kinetic parameters may be due to the different environments of the enzymatic assays and/or to interference from the protein tags. The parameters of OsPMI1 were similar to those of AtPMI2, implying that they may have similar biochemical activity. Moreover, the activities of OsPMI2 were much lower than were those of OsPMI1 possibly due to denaturation during the protein purification process. Furthermore, the activities of OsPMI3 were not detected, which is consistent with the lack of the conserved type I PMI motif in its sequence.


**Transformation of *Japonica* and *Indica* rice using three plant PMIs as SMGs.** To assess whether plant PMIs could potentially function as SMGs for rice transformation, the three highly active PMIs (CvPMI, OsPMI1 and AtPMI2) were inserted into a pCambia1381 binary vector under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter (35S) to replace the *hygromycin phosphotransferase (HPT)* SMG. A similar vector using EcPMI substituted



**Figure 1. Identification of isoenzymatic activities of PMIs *in vitro*.** (A) Purified GST-tagged recombinant PMI proteins. The recombinant proteins and GST tag were expressed in *E. coli*, purified by affinity chromatography, and verified using SDS-PAGE with Coomassie Blue staining. Each lane contains 2  $\mu\text{g}$  of the respective recombinant protein. (B) Affinities of recombinant PMI proteins for M-6-P. The isomerization reactions were monitored at 30  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  by a coupled enzyme method.

Proteins	$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$V_{max}$ ( $\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$ )
GST	<i>N.D.</i>	<i>N.D.</i>
GST-EcPMI	$999.18 \pm 7.38$	$74.63 \pm 5.34$
GST-AtPMI2	$4104.67 \pm 53.47$	$33.33 \pm 2.42$
GST-CvPMI	$1625.44 \pm 19.58$	$68.03 \pm 2.22$
GST-OsPMI1	$3808.98 \pm 29.65$	$24.94 \pm 3.17$
GST-OsPMI2	$6462.27 \pm 47.93$	$0.33 \pm 0.04$
GST-OsPMI3	<i>N.D.</i>	<i>N.D.</i>

**Table 1. PMI activities of the GST-fused protein evaluated by the PGI/G6PDH-coupled method.** *N.D.*: not detected.



**Figure 2. The transformation of Nipponbare using different PMI/Man systems.** The resistant calli of Nipponbare were selected by Man after incubation with *Agrobacterium* vectors using *EcPMI* (A), *CvPMI* (B), *AtPMI2* (C) and *OsPMI1* (D) as SMGs. The shoots regenerated from the resistant calli generated by the vectors using *EcPMI* (E), *CvPMI* (F), *AtPMI2* (G) and *OsPMI1* (H) as SMGs. Then, roots were grown in the rooting medium (I). The transgenic Nipponbare harboring the PMI vectors grew and developed normally in the greenhouse. From left to right: the untransformed plant (WT), the transgenic plants harboring the derivative pCambia 1381 vector with HPT replaced with *EcPMI*, *CvPMI*, *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* and selected by Man.

for HPT was constructed in parallel and used as the positive control. Previously, we optimized a high-throughput *Agrobacterium*-mediated rice transformation system for *EcPMI* selection<sup>30</sup>. In this study, the selection abilities of the three plant PMIs were initially examined in the *Japonica* cultivar Nipponbare following the same protocol. Similar to the effect of *EcPMI*, resistant calli grew from the calli transformed with the *CvPMI*, *OsPMI1* and *AtPMI2* vectors under selection with 12.5 g/L Man plus 5 g/L sucrose (Fig. 2A–D). The selection rates of *CvPMI* were comparable with those of *EcPMI* and were slightly higher than the selection rates of *OsPMI1* and *AtPMI2* (Table 2). The selection of the PMIs was also tested in an *Indica* variety, Kasalath. Following the *Indica* transformation protocol of *EcPMI* constructs, 7.5 g/L Man plus 15 g/L maltose were added to the medium as selection pressure<sup>31</sup>. Similar to the results in Nipponbare, the resistant calli were developed after being transformed with the PMIs, and the selection rates of *CvPMI* were even higher compared with those of *EcPMI* (Table 2). These results preliminarily suggested that the plant PMIs have the ability to be used as SMGs in rice transformation.

Next, the resistant calli selected by the PMI SMGs were tested for regeneration. In both Nipponbare and Kasalath, plantlets were obtained (Fig. 2E–H), and the plant PMIs exhibit an efficiency comparable to that of *EcPMI* (Tables 2 and S1–S2). Then, a regenerated plantlet was transformed in rooting medium (Fig. 2I) and confirmed by sequence-specific PCR analyses. As indicated in Tables 2 and S1–S2, *CvPMI* provided transformation frequencies (TFs) similar to those of *EcPMI* in Nipponbare and much higher than those in Kasalath. Although *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* were less efficient than *EcPMI* in Nipponbare, their TFs were close to that of *EcPMI* in Kasalath. These results suggested that transgenic rice could be efficiently generated using the plant PMIs in a manner similar to *EcPMI*. Furthermore, the low-copy rates in transgenic events were investigated by a TaqMan assay of the 35S promoter. The construct with a reduced low-copy rate was believed to produce insufficient PMI activity and was not recommended for rice transformation<sup>31</sup>. However, low-copy rates varied significantly between independent experiments (Tables S1–S2), suggesting that the rates may also be related to reasons other than the constructs or SMG activity.

SMG <sup>a</sup>	Selection rate (%) <sup>b</sup>		Regeneration rate (%) <sup>c</sup>		Positive rate (%) <sup>d</sup>		Transformation frequency (%) <sup>e</sup>		Low-copy rate (%) <sup>f</sup>	
	Nip.	Kasa.	Nip.	Kasa.	Nip.	Kasa.	Nip.	Kasa.	Nip.	Kasa.
<i>EcPMI</i>	63.22 ± 1.22	43.71 ± 5.38	69.71 ± 1.32	83.66 ± 0.69	91.88 ± 1.32	92.44 ± 1.37	40.53 ± 2.13	33.71 ± 3.38	27.08 ± 2.08	27.39 ± 7.78
<i>CvPMI</i>	57.00 ± 6.33	59.23 ± 2.31	72.63 ± 3.16	81.13 ± 4.37	89.87 ± 0.28	94.08 ± 1.83	37.03 ± 2.63	45.38 ± 5.08	27.01 ± 9.96	43.02 ± 2.78
<i>AtPMI2</i>	48.72 ± 2.05	37.27 ± 2.73	68.45 ± 4.88	79.62 ± 5.94	86.94 ± 1.82	89.74 ± 1.17	29.01 ± 2.68	26.83 ± 4.28	17.01 ± 4.35	31.59 ± 8.73
<i>OsPMI1</i>	41.67 ± 1.67	36.36 ± 3.64	74.07 ± 1.76	76.81 ± 5.69	91.33 ± 1.22	91.52 ± 0.90	28.17 ± 0.83	25.80 ± 4.70	23.29 ± 7.20	20.87 ± 5.36

**Table 2. Comparison of rice transformation using *EcPMI* and plant *PMIs* as SMGs.** <sup>a</sup>*HPT* in the pCAMBIA 1381 vector was replaced by *EcPMI* or by plant *PMIs*. Then, the transformation of derivative constructs was examined individually. <sup>b</sup>The ratio of independent resistant calli (events) generated from agrobacteria-incubated calli. <sup>c</sup>The ratio of independent regenerated events to resistance events. <sup>d</sup>The ratio of PCR-positive events to total regenerated events. <sup>e</sup>The ratio of PCR-positive events to agrobacteria-incubated calli. <sup>f</sup>The ratio of low-copy PCR-positive events to total PCR-positive events; low-copy events (harboring one or two copies) were determined by real-time PCR using a TaqMan probe for the 35S promoter, as described previously. The resistant calli or plants that developed from the same *Agrobacterium* incubation were defined as the same event. All data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 2).


Sugar composition in medium <sup>a</sup>	Regeneration rate (%)				Escape rate (%) <sup>b</sup>			
	<i>EcPMI</i>	<i>CvPMI</i>	<i>AtPMI2</i>	<i>OsPMI1</i>	<i>EcPMI</i>	<i>CvPMI</i>	<i>AtPMI2</i>	<i>OsPMI1</i>
30 Suc	69.17 ± 4.17	72.50 ± 5.83	65.00 ± 5.00	56.67 ± 3.33	10.81 ± 0.55	10.13 ± 2.63	10.12 ± 1.79	8.68 ± 2.43
10 Man + 20 Suc	17.50 ± 2.50	40.00 ± 6.67	5.00 ± 3.33	5.00 ± 1.67	4.17 ± 4.17	1.79 ± 1.79	0	0
15 Man + 15 Suc	3.33	30.83 ± 5.83	0	0	0	0	–	–
20 Man + 10 Suc	0	17.50 ± 0.83	0	0	–	0	–	–
30 Man	0.83 ± 0.83	12.50 ± 2.50	0	0	0	0	–	–

**Table 3. Regeneration efficiency and escape rate of *EcPMI* and plant *PMIs* under different Man pressures.** <sup>a</sup>Suc, sucrose. The numbers preceding Suc and Man indicate the respective sugar concentrations in grams per liter in the regeneration medium. For each *PMI* vector, 60 independent resistance events selected by Man (12.5 g/L Man and 5 g/L Suc) were used to regenerate plants. To compare the effects of sugar compositions, an aliquot of each selection-resistant event (containing 3 well-grown callus nodules) was tested in parallel in the different regeneration media. <sup>b</sup>The ratio of PCR-negative events to total regenerated events. “–”: not tested. All data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 2).

The low-copy transgenic plants were transferred into soil, and no significant abnormality in growth or development was observed in the transgenic population of Nipponbare or Kasalath (Figs 2J and S3). The seeds of the T<sub>0</sub> plants were germinated on Man selection medium to further examine the selection effect of the plant *PMIs*. The germination of untransformed rice seeds was completely inhibited on the MS medium plus 12.5 g/L Man. By contrast, most seeds of the plant *PMI* transgenic lines could germinate and grow into plants (Fig. S4). These data further confirmed that the plant *PMIs* could be used to select the positive transgenic lines in rice transformation.

**Optimization of regeneration medium for plant *PMIs*.** The escape of untransformed cells under Man selection frequently occurs when using the *EcPMI* SMG, as noted in previous reports, as well as when using the plant *PMI* SMGs in this study. One possible reason might be reduced Man pressure in the regeneration medium. Therefore, we tested the escape rate and regeneration rate of the three plant *PMIs* and *EcPMI* under different Man concentrations in the corresponding Nipponbare transformation. In general, the regeneration rates decreased as Man pressure increased, and escape was remarkably avoided by Man supplementation of the regeneration medium, as expected (Tables 3 and S3). In particular, the regeneration rates of the *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* transformants were similar to or slightly lower than that of *EcPMI*. Their transformants produced few plants or did not produce any plant under additional selection pressure in the regeneration medium (Table 3). However, the regeneration rate of the *CvPMI* transformants was much higher under Man pressure compared with *EcPMI*, and approximately 12.5% of plants could still be produced even in medium using Man as sole carbon source (Table 3).

**Expression of *PMIs* in the corresponding transgenic plants.** To further verify the transgenic plants selected by the *PMI*/Man system, reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) was performed to examine the expression of the *PMIs* in the corresponding transgenic lines of Nipponbare. According to the semi-quantitative RT-PCR results, the exogenous *CvPMI* and *AtPMI2* genes were expressed in the corresponding regenerated plants, and the abundance of the *OsPMI1* transcript greatly increased (Fig. 3A). In addition, the *PMI* activities of the plants were examined in six independent low-copy transgenic lines of each construct and untransformed plants. As expected, the *PMI* activities of the proteins isolated from transgenic plants were enhanced (Fig. 3B). In total, 14.70- to 42.44-fold, 39.60- to 70.98-fold, 7.82- to 19.00-fold and 6.27- to 30.02-fold increases in the *PMI* activities of the *EcPMI*, *CvPMI*, *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* transgenic plants, respectively, were noted compared with untransformed



**Figure 3. Identification of the expression of PMIs in transgenic plants.** (A) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the expression of the *PMIs*. Total RNA was prepared from seedlings of untransformed rice (WT) and independent low-copy transgenic lines at 10 days after germination (DAG) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA. RT-PCR was carried out using sequence-specific primers for respective *PMIs*, and *OsACTIN1* was used as an internal control. (B) Quantitative analysis of total PMI activity of rice leaves. The activity was determined in 10-DAG rice leaves of untransformed rice (WT) plants as a control. For transgenic plants, six independent low-copy  $T_1$  lines of each *PMI* construct were randomly selected and examined. The plants were germinated under Man selection, and the leaves of 10-DAG resistant plants were collected to extract total proteins and to determine the isoenzymatic activity. The presented values are the mean  $\pm$  SD of three technical replicates.

rice. These data suggest that the overexpression of *CvPMI*, *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* should enhance the PMI activity of rice cells; therefore, these genes are effective as SMGs for Man selection.

**Transformation of a gene of interest (GOI) in rice using the plant *PMI/Man* system.** To assess whether plant *PMIs* could be used as SMGs for GOI transformation, three binary vectors that contained both the maize ubiquitin 1 promoter (*Ubiq*)-driven individual plant *PMI* cassette (the SMG) and the 35S-driven *HPT* cassette (GOI) were constructed. The transformation of the corresponding *PMI-HPT* vectors into Nipponbare was similar to that of the above-described vectors containing each SMG alone. As listed in Table 4, no obvious difference in the selection and regeneration efficiency of the sole SMG vector and the corresponding SMG-GOI vector was observed. GOI transformations in the regenerated plants were molecularly identified by sequence-specific PCR of the *PMI* cassette and the *HPT* cassette (the *Ubiq* promoter and *HPT* gene, respectively), and almost all *PMI*-positive plants had an *HPT* fragment (Table S4). We noticed that plants lacking *HPT* also lacked a *PMI* cassette, suggesting that the regenerated plants lacking the GOI may be caused by the escape of cells under Man pressure. Furthermore, six  $T_0$  lines of each construct were randomly selected, and their seeds were collected and germinated under Man and hygromycin selection separately. As expected, the  $T_1$  population could resist both selection agents, and the progeny segregation of Man resistance was similar to that of hygromycin resistance (Table S5). Taken together, these data suggested that the GOI could be reliably and efficiently transformed into rice by the plant *PMI/Man* system.

## Discussion

All current *PMI/Man* systems use *EcPMI* as the SMG, except for a few examples that take advantage of the yeast *PMI*. Apparently, there are significant differences in the gene expression between prokaryotes or yeast and higher plants. In a previous study, we showed that the utilization of the plant codon-optimized *EcPMI* exhibited higher selection efficiency in rice transformation, which suggested that the expression of the original *EcPMI* may be

SMG <sup>a</sup>	Selection rate (%)	Regeneration rate (%)	Positive rate (%)		Transformation frequency (%)
			SMG <sup>b</sup>	GOI <sup>c</sup>	
<i>CvPMI</i>	62.08 ± 4.58	67.98 ± 1.02	90.53 ± 2.22	89.08 ± 0.77	37.67 ± 3.67
<i>AtPMI2</i>	52.22 ± 5.56	60.38 ± 10.38	93.68 ± 3.46	93.68 ± 3.46	29.78 ± 7.11
<i>OsPMI1</i>	42.86 ± 5.71	61.81 ± 6.43	93.25 ± 1.58	91.86 ± 2.97	24.86 ± 6.57

**Table 4. GOI (*HPT* cassette) transformation using plant *PMIs* as SMGs in rice.** <sup>a</sup>*Ubg*-driven plant *PMIs* were inserted into a *HPT*-containing pCambia1300 vector individually. Then, the Man-selected transformations of derivative constructs were examined. <sup>b</sup>The ratio of PCR-positive events for the *Ubg* promoter. <sup>c</sup>The ratio of PCR-positive events for the *HPT* gene. All data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 2).

partially inhibited in plants<sup>32</sup>. Successful applications of the *PMI* system are dependent on the plant's inability to utilize Man. The disappearance of *PMI* activity in plants was long presumed to stem from the absence of the *PMI* gene in the genome<sup>33</sup>. However, genome research has revealed that the homologous genes of type I *PMI* are universally observed in higher plants<sup>27</sup>. One conventional explanation was that the plant homologs of *PMI* might be deficient in isoenzymatic activity. In contrast to this hypothesis, biochemical assay of the histidine-tagged *AtPMI1* and *AtPMI2* proteins produced in *E. coli* indicated that these enzymes are activated *in vitro*<sup>26</sup>. The function of *AtPMI2* was also examined in our study. The purified GST-*AtPMI2* protein exhibited obvious M-6-P catalytic activity *in vitro*. In addition, *AtPMI2* overexpression significantly enhanced the *in vivo* *PMI* activity in rice. Both of these results further confirmed that the *AtPMI2* protein should be an active isoenzyme. Rice is a typical plant that is sensitive to Man accumulation<sup>34,35</sup>. *OsPMI1* has a conserved sequence motif of the typical *PMIs*. Similar to *AtPMI2*, GST-*OsPMI1* clearly displayed *PMI* activity. Although the activities of *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* were lower than that of *EcPMI* *in vitro*, their selection efficiencies of rice transformation were comparable. Additionally, the proteins of the transgenic plants expressing *AtPMI2*, *OsPMI1* and *EcPMI* exhibited the same levels of biochemical activity regarding M-6-P conversion. These data imply that the *in vivo* activities of *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* may be similar to that of *EcPMI*. Because *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* also provide sufficient ability to metabolize Man in plants, we hypothesize that these two *PMIs* can be used as potential substitutes of *EcPMI* in the existing *PMI*/Man selection system. In addition, our results indicate that rice overexpressing *OsPMI1* can grow under Man pressure, which suggests that the absence of *PMI* activity in rice should be caused by extremely low *OsPMIs* expression. Notably, background *PMI* activity can be detected in many Man-sensitive plants, such as *Arabidopsis*, papaya, sugarcane and Chinese cabbage<sup>36–38</sup>, and putative type I *PMIs* do exist in the genomes of plants. Therefore, we hypothesize that the overexpression of *PMIs* of Man-sensitive plants may also enhance the *PMI* activity of cells and thereby may be utilized as alternative SMGs in plant genetic engineering.

Earlier reports suggested that most plants lack *PMI* or have very low *PMI* activity<sup>39</sup>, whereas a few species with high activity were noted in subsequent studies. Man is deeply involved in the metabolism of mannitol<sup>40</sup>. In some legumes and umbelliferous plants (such as *Cassia coluteoides* and celery), mannitol is the major photosynthetic product and/or translocated carbohydrate. Unsurprisingly, these plants have high levels of *PMI*<sup>41,42</sup>. Other plants, including certain algae as well as some varieties of grape and sweet potato, can easily overcome the growth toxic effect of high concentrations of exogenous Man and can even use Man as an exclusive carbon source during growth<sup>43–45</sup>. Although direct evidence is lacking, it is reasonable to presume that their *PMIs* should be highly active. In this study, *CvPMI*, which was encoded by the only copy of *PMI* in the genome of the Man-tolerant *Chlorella*, exhibited a strong activity *in vitro*. The *PMI* activities in most *CvPMI*-overexpressing plants were higher than were those in transgenic plants carrying *EcPMI*, *AtPMI2* and *OsPMI1* overexpression constructs. Although the protein levels of *PMIs* might differ in these plants, we could still infer that *CvPMI* was more active *in vivo* than *EcPMI* or the *PMIs* isolated from Man-sensitive plants because they were driven by the same promoter. In addition, rice cells carrying *CvPMI* regenerated more effectively than the other *PMIs* under a high concentration of Man, implying that *CvPMI* could confer stronger Man metabolism than *EcPMI* and the other plant *PMIs*. Taken together, our results suggest that the *PMI* homologs from Man-tolerant plants might be used as better SMGs than *EcPMI* in transformation systems of rice and other plants.

Because Man itself does not kill non-transformed cells, the positive selection of Man normally has a higher efficiency than the negative selection of antibiotic or herbicide systems. We showed that three plant *PMIs* all exhibited high selection efficiencies in *Indica* and *Japonica* in this study. Using the same binary vectors containing the plant *PMI* expression cassette and the *HPT* cassette, Man selection is much more efficient than hygromycin selection (*HPT* selection data are indicated in Table S6). The escape issue is much more serious in *PMI*/Man systems. Given that Man seriously affects plant organogenesis, the regeneration medium of the conventional rice transformation protocol using *EcPMI* normally contains no or a low concentration of Man. Generally, approximately 5% to 10% of regenerated plants (depending on constructs, transformation protocols and rice varieties) produced by *EcPMI*/Man systems are non-transgenic plants<sup>30,31,34,46</sup>. Our data indicate that *CvPMI* provided more efficient regeneration compared with *EcPMI* under a high concentration of Man and that the escape rates were greatly reduced with the increase in Man. These data suggest that the combination of optimized Man medium and *CvPMI* or other highly active plant *PMIs* may overcome the escape issue of *PMI*/Man systems.

The social concern of consuming GM crops is partly attributed to the notion of eating “unnatural” DNA and its products. The safety of *EcPMI* has been well evaluated by a series of risk assessments, and no adverse effects on the health of mammals or on the environment have been demonstrated<sup>47,48</sup>. However, this protein remains a “foreign” element in transgenic plants. We showed that at least three plant *PMIs* were sufficiently powerful SMGs for rice transformation, suggesting that plant *PMIs* can be used as substitutes of *EcPMI* in plant genetic

engineering. According to the principle of cisgenesis<sup>49–51</sup>, we believe that plant transformation systems using their own *PMI* or *PMIs* from closely related varieties could potentially alleviate the skepticism of the public regarding transgenic technology in plants.

## Methods

**Gene cloning and vector construction.** To clone the plant *PMIs*, total RNA was isolated from a culture of *Chlorella variabilis* NC64A, mature leaves of *Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare and seedlings of *Arabidopsis thaliana* using an RNAPrep pure Plant Kit (Tiangen, China). Then, RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA, which served as the PCR template. The coding sequences (CDSs) of the *PMIs* were amplified by a high-fidelity FastPfu Fly DNA polymerase (TransGen Biotech, China) and confirmed by sequencing. To construct the vectors for recombinant protein expression, the CDSs of *PMIs* were inserted into the pGEM-4 T-1 vector by double enzymatic digestion. To construct the binary vectors for rice transformation, the *PMIs* replaced the region of *HPT* in the pCAMBIA 1381 by *Xho* I digestion. All primers used in this study are listed in Table S7.

**PMI expression and GST affinity purification.** The empty and *PMI*-inserted pGEM-4 T-1 vectors were transformed into the *E. coli* strain *Rosetta (DE3) plysS* to express recombinant proteins. A 5-mL overnight liquid culture was used to inoculate 500 mL of lysogeny broth (LB) in 1-L Erlenmeyer flasks, and the cultures were grown at 37 °C until  $OD_{600} = 0.6 \sim 0.8$ . Then, induction with isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was performed at a final concentration of 1 mM. The cultures were then grown for an additional 20 h at 18 °C before harvesting. The cell pellet was suspended, washed and re-suspended with 10 mL of PBS buffer (pH 7.4) containing 1 mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mg/mL lysozyme and 1% protease inhibitor cocktails (AMRESCO, USA). Cell disruption was achieved by sonic vibration in an ultrasonic processor and centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 min to remove debris. The supernatants were then incubated with GST resin (GE Healthcare, USA) for 2 h, loaded onto a purification column and sequentially eluted with PBS buffer, low salt buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM reduced glutathione, pH 8.0) and high salt buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 20 mM reduced glutathione, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) according to the standard protocol of the manufacturer. The recombinant proteins were desalted and concentrated by centrifugal filter devices (Millipore, USA).

**PMI *in vitro* assay.** The *in vitro* activity of *PMI* was measured at 30 °C using a coupled enzymatic assay based on a modified protocol<sup>52</sup>. The 300  $\mu$ L total volume reaction mixture contained 257  $\mu$ L of Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5), 25  $\mu$ L of D-M6P (over 10 concentrations ranging from 4  $\mu$ M to 48000  $\mu$ M, Sigma), 10  $\mu$ L of NADP (13.5 mM, Sigma), 2  $\mu$ L of phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI, 1 kU/mL, Sigma) and 1  $\mu$ L of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH, 1 kU/mL, Sigma). The mixture was equilibrated to 30 °C, and the absorbance was monitored at 340 nm ( $A_{340}$ ) until constant. Then, 5  $\mu$ L of diluted extract was added to initiate the reaction. The increase in  $A_{340}$  was recorded for approximately 10 min. Enzyme activities were calculated from the initial linear rates of cofactor reduction after the subtraction of endogenous activities (which were measured in assays without substrate) in a Lineweaver-Burk assay. The protein concentration of extracts was estimated by the Bradford method, with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the protein standard. Enzyme assays were performed on freshly prepared extracts, and each value was an average of three experiments. One unit of enzymatic activity converted 1  $\mu$ mol of D-M6P to D-F6P per min.

**PMI *in vivo* assay.** The specific activity of *PMI in vivo* was determined according to the coupled enzymatic assay procedure, with a slight modification<sup>53</sup>. Young leaf tissues (500 mg) were extracted in 400  $\mu$ L of ice-cold Tris-HCl (50 mM, pH 7.5) containing 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 1 mM polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). One hundred microliters of crude protein extract was added to the substrate solution consisting of 75  $\mu$ L of NADP (13.5 mM), 1  $\mu$ L of PGI (1 kU/mL), and 0.5  $\mu$ L of G6PDH (1 kU/mL) and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C to remove any endogenous D-M6P. The reaction was initiated by adding 20  $\mu$ L of D-M6P (50 mM), and the  $\Delta A_{340}$  was recorded for 60 min to calculate the activities.

**Rice transformations.** The transformations followed previously described protocols<sup>30,31</sup> with modifications. The embryos were isolated from mature rice (Nipponbare and Kasalath) seeds, and explants were induced at 30 °C for two weeks. The *Agrobacterium*-incubated calli were transferred to the recovery medium containing 250 mg/L carbenicillin for five days and then selected on Man-containing media for three weeks. Calli on the selection media were counted, and the resistant calli that emerged from each callus were regarded as one event. Then, two to three callus nodules from one resistance event were transformed in the regeneration medium for three weeks. Only one shoot from each event was transferred to the rooting medium. Then, the plants grew and produced seeds in a greenhouse. The medium compositions were identical to previously reported recipes<sup>30,31</sup>. All chemical agents used in the rice transformation were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co., USA.

## References

- Manimaran, P. *et al.* Suitability of non-lethal marker and marker-free systems for development of transgenic crop plants: Present status and future prospects. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **29**, 703–714 (2011).
- Tuteja, N., Verma, S., Sahoo, R. K., Raveendar, S. & Reddy, I. N. B. L. Recent advances in development of marker-free transgenic plants: Regulation and biosafety concern. *J. Biosci.* **37**, 167–197 (2012).
- Miki, B. & McHugh, S. Selectable marker genes in transgenic plants: applications, alternatives and biosafety. *J. Biotechnol.* **107**, 193–232 (2004).
- Nakamura, Y., Itoh, T., Matsuda, H. & Gojobori, T. Biased biological functions of horizontally transferred genes in prokaryotic genomes. *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 760–766 (2004).
- Didelot, X. & Maiden, M. C. J. Impact of recombination on bacterial evolution. *Trends Microbiol.* **18**, 315–322 (2010).



6. Pontiroli, A., Simonet, P., Frostegard, A., Vogel, T. M. & Monier, J.-M. Fate of transgenic plant DNA in the environment. *Environ. Biosafety Res.* **6**, 15–35 (2007).
7. Rizzi, A. *et al.* The stability and degradation of dietary DNA in the gastrointestinal tract of mammals: implications for horizontal gene transfer and the biosafety of GMOs. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* **52**, 142–161 (2011).
8. Stewart, C. N., Halfhill, M. D. & Warwick, S. I. Transgene introgression from genetically modified crops to their wild relatives. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **4**, 806–817 (2003).
9. Kwit, C., Moon, H. S., Warwick, S. I. & Stewart, C. N. J. Transgene introgression in crop relatives: molecular evidence and mitigation strategies. *Trends Biotechnol.* **29**, 284–293 (2011).
10. da Silva Dias, J. C. Plant breeding for harmony between modern agriculture production and the environment. *Agr. Sci.* **6**, 30 (2015).
11. Kuiper, H. A., Kleter, G. A., Noteborn, H. P. J. M. & Kok, E. J. Assessment of the food safety issues related to genetically modified foods. *Plant J.* **27**, 503–528 (2001).
12. Nicolia, A., Manzo, A., Veronesi, F. & Rosellini, D. An overview of the last 10 years of genetically engineered crop safety research. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **34**, 77–88 (2014).
13. Ramessar, K. *et al.* Biosafety and risk assessment framework for selectable marker genes in transgenic crop plants: a case of the science not supporting the politics. *Transgenic Res.* **16**, 261–280 (2007).
14. James, C. *Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2014* (International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA), Ithaca, NY, USA, 2014).
15. Srivastava, V. & Ow, D. W. Marker-free site-specific gene integration in plants. *Trends Biotechnol.* **22**, 627–629 (2004).
16. Yau, Y.-Y. & Stewart, C. N. Less is more: strategies to remove marker genes from transgenic plants. *BMC Biotechnol.* **13**, 36 (2013).
17. Caliendo, B. J. & Voigt, C. A. Targeted DNA degradation using a CRISPR device stably carried in the host genome. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6989 (2015).
18. Sticklen, M. Transgenic, cisgenic, intragenic and subgenic crops. *Adv. Crop Sci. Tech.* **3**, e123 (2015).
19. Goldsworthy, A. & Street, H. E. The carbohydrate nutrition of tomato roots: VIII. The mechanism of the inhibition by D-Mannose of the respiration of excised roots. *Ann. Bot.-London.* **29**, 45–58 (1965).
20. Loughman, B. C. The mechanism of absorption and utilization of phosphate by barley plants in relation to subsequent transport to the shoot. *New Phytol.* **65**, 388–397 (1966).
21. Jang, J. C. & Sheen, J. Sugar sensing in higher plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2**, 208–214 (1997).
22. Malca, I., Endo, R. M. & Long, M. R. Mechanism of glucose counteraction of inhibition of root elongation by galactose, mannose and glucosamine. *Phytopathology.* **57**, 272–& (1967).
23. Pego, J. V., Weisbeek, P. J. & Smeekens, S. C. M. Mannose inhibits arabidopsis germination via a hexokinase-mediated step. *Plant Physiol.* **119**, 1017–1024 (1999).
24. Chiang, Y. C. & Kiang, Y. T. Genetic analysis of mannose-6-phosphate isomerase in soybeans. *Genome.* **30**, 808–811 (1988).
25. Proudfoot, A. E. I., Payton, M. A. & Wells, T. N. C. Purification and characterization of fungal and mammalian phosphomannose isomerases. *J. Protein Chem.* **13**, 619–627 (1994).
26. Maruta, T. *et al.* Arabidopsis phosphomannose isomerase 1, but not phosphomannose isomerase 2, is essential for ascorbic acid biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 28842–28851 (2008).
27. Wang, X. *et al.* BcPMI2, isolated from non-heading Chinese cabbage encoding phosphomannose isomerase, improves stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **41**, 2207–2216 (2014).
28. Coulin, F. *et al.* Identification of Cys-150 in the active site of phosphomannose isomerase from *Candida albicans*. *Biochemistry.* **32**, 14139–14144 (1993).
29. Cleasby, A. *et al.* The X-ray crystal structure of phosphomannose isomerase from *Candida albicans* at 1.7 Å resolution. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **3**, 470–479 (1996).
30. Duan, Y. *et al.* An efficient and high-throughput protocol for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation based on phosphomannose isomerase positive selection in Japonica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* **31**, 1611–1624 (2012).
31. Gui, H., Li, X., Liu, Y., Han, K. & Li, X. The relationship between PMI (manA) gene expression and optimal selection pressure in Indica rice transformation. *Plant Cell Rep.* **33**, 1081–1090 (2014).
32. Qiu, C. *et al.* A novel plant code optimization phosphomannose isomerase (pPMI) and its application in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) transformation as selective marker. *Plant Omics.* **8**, 30 (2015).
33. Stoykova, P. & Stoeva-Popova, P. PMI (manA) as a nonantibiotic selectable marker gene in plant biotechnology. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org.* **105**, 141–148 (2011).
34. Lucca, P., Ye, X. & Potrykus, I. Effective selection and regeneration of transgenic rice plants with mannose as selective agent. *Mol. Breeding.* **7**, 43–49 (2001).
35. He, Z. *et al.* Phosphomannose-isomerase (pmi) gene as a selectable marker for rice transformation via *Agrobacterium*. *Plant Sci.* **166**, 17–22 (2004).
36. Todd, R. & Tague, B. W. Phosphomannose isomerase: A versatile selectable marker for *Arabidopsis thaliana* germ-line transformation. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **19**, 307–319 (2001).
37. Zhu, Y. J., Agbayani, R., McCafferty, H., Albert, H. H. & Moore, P. H. Effective selection of transgenic papaya plants with the PMI/Man selection system. *Plant Cell Rep.* **24**, 426–432 (2005).
38. Jain, M., Chengalrayan, K., Abouzid, A. & Gallo, M. Prospecting the utility of a PMI/mannose selection system for the recovery of transgenic sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrid) plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* **26**, 581–590 (2007).
39. Herold, A. S. & Lewis, D. H. Mannose and green plants: occurrence, physiology and metabolism, and use as a tool to study the role of orthophosphate. *New Phytol.* **1977**, 1–40 (1977).
40. Stoop, J. M. H., Williamson, J. D. & Mason Pharr, D. Mannitol metabolism in plants: a method for coping with stress. *Trends Plant Sci.* **1**, 139–144 (1996).
41. Rumpho, M. E., Edwards, G. E. & Loescher, W. H. A pathway for photosynthetic carbon flow to mannitol in celery leaves activity and localization of key enzymes. *Plant Physiol.* **73**, 869–873 (1983).
42. Lee, B. T. & Matheson, N. K. Phosphomannoisomerase and phosphoglucoisomerase in seeds of *Cassia coluteoides* and some other legumes that synthesize galactomannan. *Phytochemistry.* **23**, 983–987 (1984).
43. Vitrac, X. *et al.* Sugar sensing and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-calmodulin requirement in *Vitis vinifera* cells producing anthocyanins. *Phytochemistry.* **53**, 659–665 (2000).
44. Sun, N., Wang, Y., Li, Y.-T., Huang, J.-C. & Chen, F. Sugar-based growth, astaxanthin accumulation and carotenogenic transcription of heterotrophic *Chlorella zofingiensis* (Chlorophyta). *Process Biochem.* **43**, 1288–1292 (2008).
45. Mbinda, W. *et al.* Evaluation of the phosphomannose isomerase-based selection system for genetic transformation of sweetpotato. *Int. J. Life Sci.* **9**, 46–53 (2015).
46. Datta, K. *et al.* Bioengineered ‘golden’ indica rice cultivars with  $\beta$ -carotene metabolism in the endosperm with hygromycin and mannose selection systems. *Plant Biotech. J.* **1**, 81–90 (2003).
47. Reed, J. *et al.* Phosphomannose isomerase: an efficient selectable marker for plant transformation. *In Vitro Cell. Dev.-Pl.* **37**, 127–132 (2001).
48. Privalle, L. S. Phosphomannose isomerase, a novel plant selection system. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **964**, 129–138 (2002).
49. Jacobsen, E. & Schouten, H. J. Cisgenesis strongly improves introgression breeding and induced translocation breeding of plants. *Trends Biotechnol.* **25**, 219–223 (2007).

50. Schouten, H. J. & Jacobsen, E. Cisgenesis and intragenesis, sisters in innovative plant breeding. *Trends Plant Sci.* **13**, 260–261 (2008).
51. Holme, I. B., Wendt, T. & Holm, P. B. Intragenesis and cisgenesis as alternatives to transgenic crop development. *Plant Biotech. J.* **11**, 395–407 (2013).
52. Feramisco, J. R., Tilley, B. E., Conn, W. R., Gracy, R. W. & Noltmann, E. A. Some homogeneity studies on commercial preparations of allegedly crystalline phosphomannose isomerase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Co.* **55**, 636–641 (1973).
53. Wang, A. S. *et al.* A mannose selection system for production of fertile transgenic maize plants from protoplasts. *Plant Cell Rep.* **19**, 654–660 (2000).

### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 31501239 and 31401454), the Genetically Modified Breeding Major Projects of China (2016ZX08010-002-008) and the Creative Foundation of Anhui Agricultural Academy of Sciences (Nos 13C0101, 15B0101, 15B0128 and 15A0115).

### Author Contributions

L.H., R.Q. and R.X. cloned genes, constructed vectors and determined biochemical activities; H.L., R.Q., J.L. and L.L. performed rice transformations; L.H., H.L. and R.Q. collected and analyzed the data; L.H. and P.W. drew illustrations; and P.W. and J.Y. designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript.

### Additional Information

**Accession numbers:** CHLNCDRAFT\_139231 (CvPMI); Os01g0127900 (OsPMI1); Os09g0389000 (OsPMI2); Os11g0600900 (OsPMI3); At1g67070 (AtPMI2); NP\_416130.3 (EcPMI).

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article:** Hu, L. *et al.* Plant phosphomannose isomerase as a selectable marker for rice transformation. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 25921; doi: 10.1038/srep25921 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>