

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Unveiling Nuances in Revascularization:
A Call for Focused Exploration in
Peritoneal Dialysis Patients**

To the Editor:

We appreciate the comprehensive study conducted by Pan et al¹ comparing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with a drug-eluting stent versus coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) in patients receiving dialysis. The authors presented compelling data suggesting that CABG may not be superior and could pose potential harm compared with PCI.

Although we acknowledge the limitations highlighted by Tuttle and Weiner², we wish to emphasize a notable strength of the study, namely, having the highest number of patients who received peritoneal dialysis (PD) in the CABG versus PCI literature. This aspect is particularly significant because revascularization debates predominantly focus on patients receiving hemodialysis. The scarcity of PD data in revascularization studies necessitates further exploration, because meta-analyses often exclude PD because of insufficient data.³

The authors' conclusion on the similarity of hemodialysis and PD subgroups warrants additional attention. Despite statistically nonsignificant P values, the unique characteristics of patients receiving PD, as evident in Figure S3 (wide confidence intervals), suggest potential insights into in-hospital mortality. A dedicated subgroup analysis or a subsequent paper with expanded individuals focusing solely on patients receiving PD could unveil valuable nuances.

In light of the limited revascularization data in PD literature, such an endeavor would contribute significantly. We express our gratitude to Pan et al¹ and anticipate further details on their patients who received PD, hoping for a swift response.

Özant Helvacı, MD

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Author's Affiliations: Department of Nephrology, Gazi University Medical School, Beşevler, Ankara, Turkey.

Financial Disclosure: The author declares that he has no relevant financial interests.

Peer Review: Received January 23, 2024. Accepted January 31, 2024 after editorial review by the Editor-in-Chief.

Publication Information: © 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). Published online July 14, 2024 with doi 10.1016/j.xkme.2024.100870

REFERENCES

1. Pan S-Y, Yang J-Y, Teng N-C, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention with a drug-eluting stent versus coronary artery bypass grafting in patients receiving dialysis: a national study From Taiwan. *Kidney Med.* 2024;6(2):100768.
2. Tuttle ML, Weiner DE. Coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients receiving dialysis: Is CABG worth the risk? *Kidney Med.* 2024;6(2):100787.
3. Li Y, Hou X, Liu T, et al. Comparison of coronary artery bypass grafting and drug-eluting stent implantation in patients with chronic kidney disease: a propensity score matching study. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2022;9:802181.