ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE



Risk of COVID-19 Diagnosis and Hospitalisation in Patients with Osteoarthritis or Back Pain Treated with Ibuprofen Compared to Other NSAIDs or Paracetamol: A Network Cohort Study

Junqing Xie¹ · James T. Brash² · Cigdem Turkmen³ · Stefan Driessen⁴ · Giustino Varrassi⁵ · George Argyriou² · Sarah Seager² · Christian Reich⁶ · Daniel Prieto-Alhambra^{1,7}

Accepted: 27 November 2022 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Objective We aimed to investigate whether ibuprofen use, compared with other non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (ns-NSAIDs), cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors (COX-2i) or paracetamol, increases the risk of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) diagnosis or hospitalisation.

Design A prevalent user and active comparator cohort study.

Setting Two US claims databases (Open Claims and PharMetrics Plus) mapped to the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership Common Data Model.

Participants Insured patients with a history of osteoarthritis or back pain and receiving ibuprofen, other ns-NSAIDs, COX-2i or paracetamol between 1 November, 2019 and 31 January, 2020 (study enrolment window 1) or between 1 February, 2020 and 31 October, 2020 (study enrolment window 2).

Main Outcome Measures Large-scale propensity score matching and empirical calibration were used to minimise confounding. Incidence and hazard ratios of COVID-19 diagnosis and hospitalisation according to drug/s use were estimated and pooled in the same study period across data sources using a fixed-effects meta-analysis. Index treatment episode was the primary risk evaluation window, censored at the time of discontinuation.

Results A total of 633,562 and 1,063,960 participants were included in periods 1 and 2, respectively, for the ibuprofen versus ns-NSAIDs comparison, 311,669 and 524,470 for ibuprofen versus COX-2i, and 492,002 and 878,598 for ibuprofen versus paracetamol. Meta-analyses of empirically calibrated hazard ratios revealed no significantly differential risk of COVID-19 outcomes in users of ibuprofen versus any of the other studied analgesic classes: hazard ratios were 1.13 (0.96–1.33) for the ibuprofen-ns-NSAIDs comparison, 1.03 (0.83–1.28) for the ibuprofen-COX-2i comparison and 1.13 (0.74–1.73) for ibuprofen-paracetamol comparison on COVID-19 diagnosis in the February 2020–October 2020 window. Similar hazard ratios were found on COVID-19 hospitalisation and across both study periods.

Conclusions In patients with osteoarthritis or back pain, we found no differential risks of incident COVID-19 diagnosis or COVID-19 hospitalisation for ibuprofen users compared with other ns-NSAIDs, COX-2i or paracetamol. Our findings support regulatory recommendations that NSAIDs, including ibuprofen, should be prescribed as indicated in the same way as before the COVID-19 pandemic, especially for those who rely on ibuprofen or NSAIDs to manage chronic arthritis or musculoskeletal pain symptoms.

Daniel Prieto-Alhambra daniel.prietoalhambra@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

- Pharmaco- and Device Epidemiology, Centre for Statistics in Medicine-Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Botnar Research Centre, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, OX37LD Oxford, UK
- ² IQVIA, Real World Solutions, Brighton, UK

- ³ Established Pharmaceuticals Division, Global Medical Affairs, Abbott Products Operations AG, Allschwil, Switzerland
- ⁴ Established Pharmaceuticals Division, Global Biometrics, Abbott Healthcare Products B.V, Weesp, The Netherlands
- ⁵ Department of Research, Paolo Procacci Foundation, Rome, Italy
- ⁶ IQVIA, Real World Solutions, Cambridge, MA, USA
- ⁷ Medical Informatics, Erasmus Medical Center University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Graphical abstract



Key Points

This study comprehensively evaluates the safety concern of ibuprofen use in the context of coronavirus disease 2019 by curating a near 10-million cohort of patients with osteoarthritis or back pain, comparing it with multiple alternative analgesics, and using state-of-the-art methods to control for residual confounding and bias.

Ibuprofen does not confer differential risks of coronavirus disease 2019 diagnosis or hospitalisation, compared with other non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors and paracetamol.

Patients with arthritis or musculoskeletal pain should use ibuprofen as indicated, and clinicians should re-evaluate recommendations and advice around using these medicines with the ongoing pandemic.

1 Introduction

In the early stages of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in 2020, a doctor in France reported four young patients with COVID-19 developing severe symptoms after using ibuprofen, a typical type of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) [1]. The French Health Minister and some UK experts immediately endorsed this case study, suggesting that patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection avoid using ibuprofen [2, 3]. This triggered a significant public health concern about prescribing NSAIDs in the COVID-19-naïve population, and presented a clinical dilemma for those who require NSAIDs for relieving symptoms caused by a spectrum of diseases [4]. On the one hand, there was speculation that regular use of NSAIDs might increase the expression of the angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 receptor through which severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 enters host cells [5, 6]. On the other hand, studies found that uncontrolled inflammation due to diseases such as active arthritis was associated with an increased COVID-19 infection risk [7]. Given the absence of reliable causal evidence linking NSAID usage with COVID-19 outcomes, health regulatory agencies and clinical societies stated that NSAID therapy should not be discontinued [8, 9]. However, as a precautionary approach, they recommended that alternative analgesics, such as paracetamol, might be preferable for managing the symptoms of COVID-19 [10].

Some observational studies have since emerged showing that NSAID use does not increase the risk of severe complications or death in patients with COVID-19, yet only two studies have examined susceptibility to COVID-19 for general NSAID users in outpatient settings [11, 12]. Despite suggestive, these studies are subject to methodological limitations, particularly the indication bias, by comparing NSAID users with either non-users or with users of opioidcontaining drugs. Numerous empirical studies have demonstrated that an inappropriate design of reference groups can result in entirely non-comparable participants, which is unlikely to be addressed by traditional statistical approaches based on a limited confounder adjustment.

Given the conundrum that persists for the public and medical professionals regarding the safety of ibuprofen in the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, we attempted to assess the causality between ibuprofen use and COVID-19 susceptibility and severity in the COVID-19-naive population by leveraging two large US claims databases, head-tohead comparisons and state-of-the-art statistical techniques to account for measured and unmeasured confounding. Specifically, we designed a prevalent user-active comparator cohort study, comparing ibuprofen users with other nonselective NSAID (ns-NSAID), cyclooxygenase (COX-2i) or paracetamol users in relation to developing a COVID-19 diagnosis or COVID-19 hospitalisation, and restricted to a pool of patients with osteoarthritis (OA) or back pain given that they are commonly prescribed for those analgesics. In addition, we explored the potential heterogeneity of the associations during different calendar periods considering there was a significant change in prescribing patterns for these drugs because of the pandemic.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Design

We conducted a prevalent user-active comparator cohort study using two US administrative claims databases that had previously been mapped to the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership Common Data Model (v5) [13]. Specifically, we used the IQVIA US Open Claims and IQVIA PharMetrics Plus databases, which contain pre-adjudicated and adjudicated health insurance data, respectively. Additional information on these data sources is detailed in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM). All data partners had previous institutional review board approval or exemption for their participation.

2.2 Cohort Eligibility and Enrolment Period

We included participants registered in either data source who were aged 18 years or older, with a history of OA or back pain (Table 1 of the ESM), and received at least one eligible prescription of any study drugs between 1 November, 2019 and 31 January, 2020 (the pre-pandemic observational period), or between 1 February, 2020 and 31 October, 2020 (the pandemic period). We used the last prescription of any study drugs as the index date in the pre-pandemic period to reduce exposure misclassification due to medication switching between drugs. However, during the pandemic period, we chose the first prescription to reduce reverse causality (people taking these same drugs to treat fever and other COVID-19-related symptoms). Patients with less than 180 days observable data, previous exposure to the comparator drug within the 180 days, or with a diagnosis of COVID-19 on or before the index date were excluded.

2.3 Exposures and Follow-Up

We classified patients into the target (ibuprofen) or the active comparator groups (ns-NSAIDs, COX-2i, paracetamol,) according to the prescription received on the index date. We excluded those who had both target and comparator drugs prescribed concomitantly on the index date. Specification of these medicines with RxNorm or ATC codes are listed in Tables 2–5 of the ESM. Participants were followed from the index date to the earliest of a study outcome, death, loss or deregistration from the database, date of last data collection (last possible drug prescription start in Open Claims: August 2021, PharMetrics Plus: March 2021), record of comparator drug or end of index treatment (on-treatment [OT] analysis). We additionally performed an intention-to-treat sensitivity analysis, wherein patients were followed for 6 months following index date.

2.4 Outcomes

We assessed two outcomes for COVID-19 susceptibility: (1) COVID-19 diagnosis and (2) COVID-19 hospitalisation (hospital admission with a COVID-19 diagnosis during or up to 3 weeks before admission). The COVID-19 status for both outcomes was identified by SNOMED COVID-19 diagnostic codes. The phenotyping process of these outcomes based on claims data has been previously described and validated [14, 15]. The concept IDs relating to COVID-19 are listed in Tables 6–9 of the ESM.

2.5 Statistical Analyses

As prior knowledge was limited to estimate the minimal sample size, we instead provided a minimum detectable rate ratio that presents achieving a 5% type-I error rate and 80% statistical power for each target–comparator–outcome combination by using all patients who met the eligibility criteria from the specific data source and study window [16]. We used large-scale propensity score (PS) matching

to balance target and comparison cohorts and control for measured and potential unmeasured confounding. For instance, when comparing ibuprofen with paracetamol, we first derived a PS for each individual by building a regularised logistic regression model that includes the binary treatment assignment as the dependent variable and a large set of predefined baseline patient demographics, previous conditions, drug exposures, procedures and health service use behaviours as the explanatory variables [17]. Of note, we excluded baseline features that occurred in fewer than 0.1% of patients within the target and comparator cohorts before fitting the PS model but evaluated their balance between groups after PS matching. Details of patient characteristics included in the analysis are provided in the ESM. We then created 1:1 PS-matched patient cohorts and replicated the process to assemble 12 pairs of PS-matched cohorts (1 target * 3 comparators * 2 databases * 2 study windows).

We quantified the relative risk of outcome between the target and comparator treatments by hazard ratios (HRs) derived from the Cox proportional hazards model. To account for potential residual confounding, we included up to 217 negative control outcomes (NCOs) for each comparison, i.e. outcomes for which the null hypothesis was believed to be true. Negative control outcomes were identified through a data-rich algorithm [18, 19] and reviewed by clinicians. We used the empirical null distributions to calibrate HR estimates if more than 5% of negative experiments were rejected. All NCOs used in this study are listed in Table 10 of the ESM.

We reported study diagnostics, including preference score distributions (a transformation of PS that adjusts for prevalence differences between populations), to evaluate the empirical equipoise and population generalisability, absolute standardised mean differences of patient characteristics to evaluate the cohort balance before and after propensity score matching, negative control calibration plots to assess the likelihood of residual bias and Kaplan-Meier plots to examine the proportional hazard assumption of the Cox model. For each model, we defined the HR estimate as invalidated if any absolute standardised mean difference of baseline covariates after PS matching was greater than 0.1 or the Cox model failed because of a violation of the proportionality assumption. Using a fixed-effect model, we aggregated HRs and their 95% confidence interval (CI) estimates (without correcting for multiple testing) across the data sources.

We conducted this study using the open-source OHDSI CohortMethod R package (https://ohdsi.github.io/Cohor tMethod/) with large-scale analytics achieved through the Cyclops R package. We developed an interactive website to promote transparency and allow for sharing and exploration of the complete results online (https://dqdashboard. iqvia.com/ibucovid/).

2.6 Patient and Public Involvement

No patients or public members were directly involved in the design or analysis of the reported data. The independent scientific advisory committee responsible for the approval of our protocol involved patients in the evaluation of our data access application.

3 Results

3.1 Population

Study cohorts were created from a pool of patients with OA or back pain, and were designed to enable comparisons between ibuprofen users (target cohort) and ns-NSAID users, COX-2i users or paracetamol users (comparator cohorts). The number of patients eligible for each cohort varied by data source and enrolment window (Table 1). In the pre-pandemic enrolment window (November 2019-January 2020), 1,503,207 patients were eligible for the ibuprofen versus ns-NSAID user cohorts, 3,939,853 patients were eligible for ibuprofen versus COX-2i user cohorts and 3,793,598 patients were eligible for ibuprofen versus paracetamol user cohorts. In the pandemic enrolment window (February 2020–October 2020), the corresponding figures were 6,876,630 patients, 2,370,693 patients and 5,551,200 patients, respectively. Cohorts from the Open Claims database had more patients than equivalent cohorts in the Phar-Metrics Plus database, consistent with Open Claims being the larger database.

3.2 Patient Characteristics and PS Matching

The number of baseline patient characteristics used to construct a PS model ranged from 3174 to 3789 covariates for the ibuprofen versus ns-NSAID user cohorts, 3491-4090 covariates for the ibuprofen vs COX-2i user cohorts and 3639–4227 for the ibuprofen vs paracetamol user cohorts, with variation arising between data sources and study windows. A substantial overlap in PS distribution between unmatched ibuprofen and ns-NSAID user cohorts indicated a minimal violation of the positivity assumption for causal inference [20] (Fig. 1). Indeed, unmatched ibuprofen and ns-NSAID user cohorts were similar with respect to age, sex and prevalence of clinical conditions (Table 2). In contrast, a more polarised PS distribution existed between ibuprofen user cohorts and COX-2i or paracetamol user cohorts, suggesting that these patients had less comparable baseline characteristics before PS matching (Fig. 1). The COX-2i users tended to be older than ibuprofen users, with a higher prevalence of musculoskeletalrelated procedures and a lower prevalence of emergency

room visits (Table 3). Paracetamol users appeared generally less healthy than ibuprofen users, as indicated by higher mean clinical index scores (Table 4). Nevertheless, following PS matching, all measured covariates were adequately balanced between analysis cohort pairs (absolute standardised mean difference < 0.1, Tables 2, 3 and 4 for covariate balance; Table 5 for PS-matched cohort counts). Further information on details of each covariate balance is provided in an interactive web application (https://dqdas hboard.iqvia.com/ibucovid/).

3.3 Incidence Rates of COVID-19 Outcomes

On-treatment incidence of COVID-19 outcomes in PSmatched cohorts are reported in Table 5 (intention-to-treat incidence rates in Tables 11-12 of the ESM). In the Open Claims database, the OT incidence rates of COVID-19 diagnosis were 37.6 versus 40.0 (ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs), 38.6 versus 38.0 (ibuprofen vs COX-2i) and 39.3 versus 43.0 (ibuprofen vs paracetamol) per 1000 person-years for patients enrolled during the pandemic window. Within the same database and study window, the incidence rates of COVID-19 hospitalisation were 10.1 versus 9.9 (ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs), 11.2 versus 9.9 (ibuprofen vs COX-2i) and 10.3 versus 11.6 (ibuprofen vs paracetamol) per 1000 person-years. In general, the incidence rates of COVID-19 diagnosis were higher in PharMetrics Plus, but the incidence rates for COVID-19 hospitalisation were similar between the two databases. Furthermore, the incidence rates of both COVID-19 outcomes were higher for cohorts enrolled during the pandemic observation period.

3.4 Empirical Calibration and HRs

The proportional hazards assumption of Cox regression was held for all comparisons, except for one paracetamol comparison (Kaplan–Meier plots available at https://dqdas hboard.iqvia.com/ibucovid/). Prior to empirical calibration, all comparisons had a detectable systematic bias, defined here as > 5% significant NCOs in a comparison. After empirical calibration, most comparisons produced < 5% significant NCOs, and all comparison produced <8% NCOs (Table 13 of the ESM).

In the OT analyses, a meta-analysis of calibrated HRs revealed no significant differential risk of COVID-19 diagnosis or hospitalisation with COVID-19 in users of ibuprofen versus users of COX-2i, ns-NSAIDs or paracetamol (Table 6, Fig. 2, comparisons that violated the Cox proportional hazards assumption were excluded). For example, the aggregated HRs for COVID-19 diagnosis in the pre-pandemic cohorts were 1.00 (95% CI 0.83–1.22) for ibuprofen versus ns-NSAID users, 1.06 (95% CI 0.84–1.35) for ibuprofen versus COX-2i users and 0.97 (95% CI 0.71–1.33) for

ibuprofen versus paracetamol users. Further, the aggregated HRs for hospitalisation with COVID-19 in the pandemic enrolment window were 1.23 (95% CI 0.99–1.52), 1.26 (95% CI 0.96–1.65) and 1.01 (95% CI 0.65–1.58) accordingly. Although a single significant HR was observed in the Open Claims database when comparing ibuprofen users versus ns-NSAID users for the risk of hospitalisation with COVID-19 (pandemic period), no similarly significant HRs were observed in PharMetrics Plus, nor in either database during the pre-pandemic period.

The results of the 6-month ITT sensitivity analyses were largely consistent with the OT analysis, but two comparisons (Table 14 and Fig. 1 of the ESM). Specifically, a significantly increased risk of COVID-19 diagnosis was observed in an ibuprofen-paracetamol comparison (pre-pandemic enrolment, meta-analysis HR 1.27, 95% CI 1.06–1.52), and a significantly increased risk of hospitalisation with COVID-19 was observed in an ibuprofen-COX-2i comparison (pandemic enrolment window, HR 1.25, 95% CI 1.07–1.46). Neither result was replicated in the alternative study period, nor in the OT analysis. Notably, the median length of drug use in these cohorts was substantially less than 6 months, with

a large difference between median ibuprofen and COX-2i usage (e.g. Open Claims, median ibuprofen usage: 29 days, median COX-2i usage: 89 days; Tables 15–16 of the ESM).

4 Discussion

In this cohort study, including 6,707,247 and 10,154,597 distinct patients with OA or back pain during the two observation periods, we found no differential risks of incident COVID-19 diagnosis or COVID-19 hospitalisation among ibuprofen users compared with other ns-NSAIDs, COX-2i or paracetamol. Our findings support regulatory recommendations that NSAIDs, including ibuprofen, should be prescribed as indicated in the same way as before the COVID-19 pandemic, especially for those who rely on ibuprofen or NSAIDs to manage chronic arthritis or musculoskeletal pain symptoms.

A few laboratory-based studies have proposed possible biological mechanisms linking ibuprofen or NSAID exposure and COVID-19 complications. For example, in vitro experiments found that NSAIDs could disrupt the resolution

Table 1 Population size

Comparisons	mparisons Database		Comparator cohort	All patients
Pre-pandemic enrolment (Nov 20	19–Jan 2020)			
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	118,841	60,347	179,188
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	Open Claims	930,231	393,788	1,324,019
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	Combined	1,049,072	454,135	1,503,207
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	82,742	508,085	590,827
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	Open Claims	640,574	2,708,452	3,349,026
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	Combined	723,316	3,216,537	3,939,853
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	87,305	338,249	425,554
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	Open Claims	611,173	2,756,871	3,368,044
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	Combined	698,478	3,095,120	3,793,598
Pandemic enrolment (Feb 2020-C	Det 2020)			
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	211,088	98,905	309,993
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	Open Claims	1,574,159	649,594	2,223,753
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	Combined	1,785,247	748,499	2,533,746
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	150,744	869,877	1,020,621
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	Open Claims	1,105,008	4,391,839	5,496,847
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	Combined	1,255,752	5,261,716	6,517,468
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	163,801	536,024	699,825
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	Open Claims	1,102,235	4,173,434	5,275,669
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	Combined	1,266,036	4,709,458	5,975,494

Number of patients in the PharMetrics Plus and Open Claims databases that satisfied the cohort inclusion criteria of this study. Cohorts included patients with a history of osteoarthritis or back pain who are prescribed ibuprofen (target cohort) or a COX-2i, ns-NSAID or paracetamol (comparator cohorts). For each comparison pair, persons were excluded from a cohort if they had a recent record of the alternative drug. Cohorts were split into two enrolment periods; a pre-pandemic period where the index event occurred between November 2019 and January 2020, and a pandemic period where the index event occurred between February 2020 and October 2020

COX-2i cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, ns-NSAIDs non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs



Fig. 1 Preference score distribution in ibuprofen versus comparator cohorts. Illustrative preference score distributions for ibuprofen versus comparator user cohorts from the February to October 2020 enrolment window, Open Claims database. Preference scores are

a transformation of propensity scores, and a propensity score is the probability a patient received the target drug over the comparator drug, given the patient's baseline covariates. *ns-NSAIDs* non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

of the inflammatory process by inhibiting prostanoid biosynthesis, which theoretically might weaken immune responses against pathogens [21, 22]. In diabetic rats, ibuprofen has the potential to upregulate the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor, to which the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 virus binds before entering host cells [23]. However, it remains unknown whether these results can be generalised to humans.

Based on patients hospitalised with COVID-19, several studies have consistently shown that the previous use of NSAIDs did not exacerbate the severity of COVID-19 or dying from the disease [24-27]. Nevertheless, data are limited on the susceptibility to COVID-19 associated with NSAIDs indicated for other health conditions. Among a few studies, Wong et al. found no differential risk of COVID-19-related deaths among NSAID users (536,423 users from the general community and 175,495 users from the rheumatoid arthritis/OA population) compared to nonusers [12]. This study exploited clinical knowledge with an informed directed acyclic graph approach to control a potential confounding bias. However, the quantitative bias analysis in this study showed that even a moderate unmeasured confounder could fully explain the observed associations, which is likely to occur given the known systematic difference between NSAID users and non-users driven by the drug indications. Another community-based cohort study, in contrast, used an active comparator design by including 13,202 users of NSAIDs and 12,457 users of co-codamol or co-dydramol [11]. Although the observed association with COVID-19 infection was statistical nosignificance [HR, 0.79 (95% CI 0.57-1.11)], it is questionable that opioid-containing drugs acted as a meaningful active comparator given that they are likely prescribed for patients with moderate or severe acute pain with different susceptibility to COVID-19.

Our study extended existing knowledge and produced more reliable causal findings in the following ways. First, for the first time, we specifically studied the effect of ibuprofen, the type of drug under the spotlight among the NSAID class, compared with other similar analgesics on COVID-19-related outcomes. It is of special importance for clinicians and patients to balance the risks associated with ibuprofen over its alternative medications because of the very large consumption of this drug in primary care and over-the-counter settings. Second, previous studies that used general practitioners' prescription records as the source of drug exposure were likely subject to considerable medication non-compliance. Instead, we based our analyses on claim data, and, therefore, the concern of non-compliance between the drug prescription and drug dispensation can be vastly dismissed. Third, COVID-19 is a novel infectious disease, and current knowledge of its risk factors is relatively limited. The conventional approach of pre-specifying potential confounders based on clinical experience might be insufficient and defective, especially during the pandemic's lockdown periods when doctors' routine drug prescribing practices have been seriously disrupted. Even though we applied a large-scale propensity score approach to balance thousands of baseline covariates that maximally rules out the confounding, evidence from NCOs existed for residual confounding in our study, highlighting the importance of empirically calibrating estimates in observational healthcare database studies.

However, several limitations warrant attention in our study. First, ibuprofen, other ns-NSAIDs and paracetamol were commonly used through the over-the-counter self-medication [28], which inevitably misclassified groups of exposures and
 Table 2
 Covariate balance before and after PS matching ibuprofen-ns-NSAID cohorts

		Before PS mat	tching		After PS matc	hing	
Demographics permale $6.3.3$ 62.7 0.01 62.1 62.8 -0.01 $3e_5$, yars 3.7 1.5 0.09 1.1 0.9 0.01 $20-24$ 3.7 1.5 0.14 2.8 2.6 0.01 $30-34$ 6.2 3.1 0.15 3.7 3.5 0.01 $33-39$ 7 4.1 0.12 6.4 6.5 0.01 $35-39$ 7 4.1 0.15 3.1 3.4 0.6 $45-49$ 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 $50-54$ 12.7 12.3 0.01 13.4 13.8 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.9 13.1 -0.1 10.6 10.5 0 $75-79$ 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions $a_{ancorrhe, any time prior$ 6.1 3.4 0.13		Ibuprofen cohort (%)	ns-NSAID cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	ns-NSAID cohort (%)	ASMD
Female 63.3 62.7 0.01 62.1 62.8 -0.01 Age, yars	Demographics						
Age. years IB-19 1.3 0.5 0.09 1.1 0.9 0.01 20-24 3.7 1.5 0.14 2.8 2.6 0.01 25-29 4.9 2.1 0.15 3.7 3.5 0.01 30-34 6.2 3.1 0.15 5.1 4.9 0.01 35-39 7 4.1 0.12 6.4 6.5 0 45-49 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 50-54 10.7 9.5 0.04 11.2 11.1 0 55-59 12.7 12.3 0.01 13.4 13.8 -0.01 60-64 12.2 13.4 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 70-74 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 72-79 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 Conditions Conditions 5 5.2 -0.01 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Hyperkension, my time prior 17 22 -0.13	Female	63.3	62.7	0.01	62.1	62.8	- 0.01
18-19 1.3 0.5 0.09 1.1 0.9 0.01 20-24 3.7 1.5 0.14 2.8 2.6 0.01 35-39 4.9 2.1 0.15 5.7 3.5 0.01 35-39 7 4.1 0.12 6.4 6.5 0 40-44 7.6 5.3 0.09 7.5 7.6 0 45-49 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 55-59 12.7 12.3 0.01 13.4 13.8 -0.01 65-69 9.9 13.1 -0.1 10.6 10.5 0 75-79 4.1 7.8 -0.1 10.6 10.5 0 75-79 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 0 Conditions	Age, years						
20-243.71.50.142.82.60.0125-294.92.10.153.73.50.0130-346.23.10.155.14.90.0135-3974.10.126.46.5045-498.76.90.078.98.8055-5912.712.30.0113.413.8-0.0160-6412.213.4-0.031313.4-0.0165-699.913.1-0.110.610.5070-746.911.4-0.167.57.6075-794.17.8-0.164.44.50Conditions07.72.3-0.25.45.6-0.01Hypertension, any time prior52.762.3-0.25.45.5-0.01Hypertension, any time prior1722-0.1317.618.1-0.01Hypertension, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8-0.02Hip pain, any time prior7.912.1-0.148.58.6-0.02Okcoporsis, any time prior7.912.1-0.148.58.6-0.01Kip OA, any time prior7.912.1-0.148.58.6-0.01Kip OA, any time prior7.912.3-0.158.48.50Lip OA, any time prior7.912.3-0.1617.2-0.01Ki	18–19	1.3	0.5	0.09	1.1	0.9	0.01
25-29 4.9 2.1 0.15 3.7 3.5 0.01 30-34 6.2 3.1 0.15 5.1 4.9 0.01 35-39 7 4.1 0.12 6.4 6.5 0 40-44 7.6 5.3 0.09 7.5 7.6 0 45-49 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 50-54 10.7 9.5 0.04 11.2 11.1 0 65-69 9.9 13.1 -0.1 10.6 10.5 0 65-69 9.9 13.1 -0.1 10.6 10.5 0 75-79 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 80-84 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions	20–24	3.7	1.5	0.14	2.8	2.6	0.01
30-34 6.2 3.1 0.15 5.1 4.9 0.01 $33-39$ 7 4.1 0.12 6.4 6.5 0 $40-44$ 7.6 5.3 0.09 7.5 7.6 0 $45-49$ 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 $50-54$ 10.7 9.5 0.04 11.2 11.1 0 $60-64$ 12.2 13.4 -0.03 13 13.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.9 15.1 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $70-74$ 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $70-74$ 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $80-84$ 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.5 0 Conditions -0.2 4.4 4.5 0 0 Amenorthea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior 17.2 22.1 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.02 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Undota spine stenosis, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 La	25–29	4.9	2.1	0.15	3.7	3.5	0.01
35-3974.10.126.46.50 $40-44$ 7.65.30.097.57.60 $45-49$ 8.76.90.078.98.80 $50-54$ 10.79.50.0411.211.10 $55-59$ 12.712.30.0113.413.8-0.01 $60-64$ 12.213.4-0.031313.4-0.01 $65-69$ 9.913.1-0.167.57.60 $75-79$ 4.17.8-0.164.44.50Renorthea, any time prior6.13.40.1355.2-0.01Hypertension, any time prior1722-0.1317.618.1-0.01Hypertension, any time prior1722-0.1317.618.1-0.01Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8-0.02Hip pain, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8-0.02Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8-0.02Nicotine dependence, any time prior7.912.1-0.148.56.6-0.01Nicotine dependence, any time prior7.912.3-0.158.48.50Lumber spine stensosi, any time prior7.912.3-0.158.48.50Lumber spine stensosi, any time prior7.912.3-0.158.48.50 <td>30–34</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>5.1</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>0.01</td>	30–34	6.2	3.1	0.15	5.1	4.9	0.01
40-447.65.30.097.57.60 $45-49$ 8.76.90.078.98.80 $50-54$ 10.79.50.0411.211.10 $55-59$ 12.712.30.0113.413.8 -0.01 $66-64$ 12.213.4 -0.03 1313.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.913.1 -0.1 10.610.50 $70-74$ 6.911.4 -0.16 7.57.60 $75-79$ 4.17.8 -0.16 4.44.50ConditionsAmenorhea, any time prior5.76.23 -0.2 5.485.6 -0.02 Hypertension, any time prior1722 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 -0.8 -0.02 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 -0.8 -0.02 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior7.912.1 -0.19 13.914.1 0 OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.19 13.914.1 0 Characts, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.5 6 -0.01 Kice OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.5 0 Lumbar spine schosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.15 8.6 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.910.5 -0.01 <td>35–39</td> <td>7</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>6.4</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>0</td>	35–39	7	4.1	0.12	6.4	6.5	0
45-49 8.7 6.9 0.07 8.9 8.8 0 $50-54$ 10.7 9.5 0.04 11.2 11.1 0 $55-59$ 12.7 12.3 0.01 13.4 13.8 -0.01 $60-64$ 12.2 13.4 -0.03 13 13.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.9 13.1 -0.11 10.6 10.5 0 $70-74$ 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $75-79$ 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 Conditions -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 0.02 Amenorhea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior 17.7 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Hypertipidemini, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.03 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Lawe back pain, 6 months prior 15.7 20.3	40–44	7.6	5.3	0.09	7.5	7.6	0
$50-54$ 10.7 9.5 0.04 11.2 11.1 0 $55-59$ 12.7 12.3 0.01 13.4 13.8 -0.01 $60-64$ 12.2 13.4 -0.03 13 13.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.9 13.1 -0.11 10.6 10.5 0 $70-74$ 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $80-84$ 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Amenorthea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior 17 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.03 Catracts, any time prior 13 20.1 -0.19 13.9 14.1 0 OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 6 -0.01 Lip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stensis, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stensis, any time prior 15.7 20.3 -0.26 28.9 28.8 -0.02 Nace ΔA in onths prior 15.7 20.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stensis, any time prior 15.7 20.3 $-$	45–49	8.7	6.9	0.07	8.9	8.8	0
55-5912.712.30.0113.413.8 -0.01 $60-64$ 12.213.4 -0.03 1313.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.913.1 -0.1 10.610.50 $70-74$ 6.911.4 -0.16 7.57.60 $80-84$ 4.19.1 -0.2 4.44.50 $conditions$ -0.2 4.44.400Conditions -0.2 4.85.56 -0.02 Amenorihea, any time prior6.13.40.1355.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior172.2 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hyperlipidaemia, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 16.817.8 -0.02 Vice dependence, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 16.817.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 16.817.8 -0.02 OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.70.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.70.3 -0.14 <t< td=""><td>50-54</td><td>10.7</td><td>9.5</td><td>0.04</td><td>11.2</td><td>11.1</td><td>0</td></t<>	50-54	10.7	9.5	0.04	11.2	11.1	0
60-6412.213.4 -0.03 1313.4 -0.01 $65-69$ 9.913.1 -0.1 10.610.50 $70-74$ 6.911.4 -0.16 7.57.60 $80-84$ 4.19.1 -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.16 5.2 -0.01 0.24.44.4Amenorihea, any time prior6.13.40.1355.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior1722 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hypertinyidaemia, any time prior10.549.8 -0.19 42.442.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8 -0.02 Any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.56.6 -0.02 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior15.720.3 -0.22 23.3 -0.01 <t< td=""><td>55–59</td><td>12.7</td><td>12.3</td><td>0.01</td><td>13.4</td><td>13.8</td><td>- 0.01</td></t<>	55–59	12.7	12.3	0.01	13.4	13.8	- 0.01
65-699.913.1 -0.1 10.610.50 $70-74$ 6.911.4 -0.16 7.57.60 $75-79$ 4.17.8 -0.16 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 4.44.40Conditions -0.2 54.855.6 -0.02 Hip pain, any time prior6.13.40.1355.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior1722 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 6.817.8 -0.03 Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 -0.19 13.914.1 0 OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.5 0 Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.7 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain 6month prior3.6 6.1 -0.11 3.9 4.1 0 Knee OA, 6month prior12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.1 13.6 -0.02 Cotechorosaccular disease0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	60–64	12.2	13.4	-0.03	13	13.4	- 0.01
70-74 6.9 11.4 -0.16 7.5 7.6 0 $75-79$ 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 $80-84$ 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions -0.2 54.8 55.6 -0.02 Amenorhea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertransion, any time prior 17 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Hypertransion, any time prior 17 22 -0.19 42.4 42.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior 20.1 28 -0.19 21.3 22.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Lumbar spine stensois, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 43.1 35.8 0.15 41.7 41.8 0 Knee OA, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 15.5 10.5 -0.18 5.9 6.2 -0.01 <	65–69	9.9	13.1	-0.1	10.6	10.5	0
75-79 4.1 7.8 -0.16 4.4 4.5 0 80-84 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions	70–74	6.9	11.4	-0.16	7.5	7.6	0
80-84 4.1 9.1 -0.2 4.4 4.4 0 Conditions - - - - - - - 0 - - 0.13 5 5.2 - - 0.01 Hypertension, any time prior 12.7 62.3 -0.2 54.8 55.6 - 0.02 Hip pain, any time prior 17 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 - 0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 - 0.03 Cataracts, any time prior 13 20.1 -0.19 13.9 14.1 0 OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.012 Steeo Oxis, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior 15.7 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior	75–79	4.1	7.8	-0.16	4.4	4.5	0
Conditions Amenorrhea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertrension, any time prior 17 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Hypertripidaemia, any time prior 17.5 49.8 -0.19 42.4 42.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 13 20.1 -0.19 13.9 14.1 0 OA, any time prior 20.1 28 -0.19 21.3 22.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior 15.7 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Hip OA, 6 months prior 3.6 6.1 -0.11 3.9 4.3 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 5.5 10.5 -0.18 5.9 6.2 -0.01	80-84	4.1	9.1	-0.2	4.4	4.4	0
Amenorrhea, any time prior 6.1 3.4 0.13 5 5.2 -0.01 Hypertension, any time prior 52.7 62.3 -0.2 54.8 55.6 -0.02 Hip pain, any time prior 17 22 -0.13 17.6 18.1 -0.01 Hypertipidaemia, any time prior 40.5 49.8 -0.19 42.4 42.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior 17.8 13.8 0.11 16.8 17.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior 20.1 28 -0.19 21.3 22.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior 7.9 12.1 -0.14 8.5 8.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior 7.9 12.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 3.6 6.1 -0.11 3.9 4 0 Knee OA, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 12.9 20.3 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Creebrovascular disease 2.1 3 -0.06 2.2 2.3 -0.01 Creebrovascu	Conditions						
Hypertension, any time prior52.762.3 -0.2 54.855.6 -0.02 Hip pain, any time prior1722 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hypertipidaemia, any time prior17.813.8 0.11 16.817.8 -0.03 Nicotine dependence, any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior13.03.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.22 13.914.3 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Schaemic heart disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.	Amenorrhea, any time prior	6.1	3.4	0.13	5	5.2	- 0.01
Hip pain, any time prior1722 -0.13 17.618.1 -0.01 Hyperlipidaemia, any time prior40.549.8 -0.19 42.442.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 6 months prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Diabetes mellitus0.70.20.200Diabetes mellitus0.70.70.10.20.20Diabetes mellitus0.70.70.1414.2 -0.01 Diabetes mellitus </td <td>Hypertension, any time prior</td> <td>52.7</td> <td>62.3</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>54.8</td> <td>55.6</td> <td>- 0.02</td>	Hypertension, any time prior	52.7	62.3	-0.2	54.8	55.6	- 0.02
Hyperlipidamia, any time prior40.549.8 -0.19 42.442.9 -0.01 Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 6 months prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Caterat disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.70.8 -0.01 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.2 -0.2 0.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 <td>Hip pain, any time prior</td> <td>17</td> <td>22</td> <td>-0.13</td> <td>17.6</td> <td>18.1</td> <td>- 0.01</td>	Hip pain, any time prior	17	22	-0.13	17.6	18.1	- 0.01
Nicotine dependence, any time prior17.813.80.1116.817.8 -0.03 Cataracts, any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior7.912.3 -0.16 8.48.50Cumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior12.510.5 -0.18 5.9 6.2 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 DX scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 DX scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 DX scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 14 <td>Hyperlipidaemia, any time prior</td> <td>40.5</td> <td>49.8</td> <td>-0.19</td> <td>42.4</td> <td>42.9</td> <td>- 0.01</td>	Hyperlipidaemia, any time prior	40.5	49.8	-0.19	42.4	42.9	- 0.01
Cataracts, any time prior1320.1 -0.19 13.914.10OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Kaee OA, any time prior2738.9 -0.26 28.929.8 -0.02 Osteoporosis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Creebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Diabetes mellitus0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visitTT20.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2	Nicotine dependence, any time prior	17.8	13.8	0.11	16.8	17.8	- 0.03
OA, any time prior20.128 -0.19 21.322.1 -0.02 Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1 -0.14 8.58.6 -0.01 Knee OA, any time prior2738.9 -0.26 28.929.8 -0.02 Osteoporosis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior43.135.80.1541.741.80Hip OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 6 months prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 <td>Cataracts, any time prior</td> <td>13</td> <td>20.1</td> <td>-0.19</td> <td>13.9</td> <td>14.1</td> <td>0</td>	Cataracts, any time prior	13	20.1	-0.19	13.9	14.1	0
Hip OA, any time prior7.912.1-0.148.58.6-0.01Knee OA, any time prior2738.9-0.2628.929.8-0.02Osteoporosis, any time prior7.912.3-0.158.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3-0.1216.617.2-0.01Low back pain, 6 months prior43.135.80.1541.741.80Hip OA, 6 months prior12.920.3-0.213.914.3-0.01Knee OA, 6 months prior5.510.5-0.185.96.2-0.01Cerebrovascular disease2.13-0.062.22.3-0.01Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3-0.0513.113.6-0.02Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8-0.01Ischaemic heart disease0.20.2-0.010.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3-0.01DXA scan, any time prior35.6-0.133.23.5-0.01DXA scan, any time prior1320.3-0.21414.2-0.01ECG, any time prior1520.4-0.1438.939.2-0.01DXA scan, any time prior1520.4-0.1415.616.5-0.02Manual/ physiotherapy, any time prior3744.4-0.1538.238.6-0.01Total knee	OA, any time prior	20.1	28	-0.19	21.3	22.1	-0.02
Knee OA, any time prior27 38.9 -0.26 28.9 29.8 -0.02 Osteoporosis, any time prior7.9 12.3 -0.15 8.4 8.5 0 Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior 15.7 20.3 -0.12 16.6 17.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior 43.1 35.8 0.15 41.7 41.8 0 Hip OA, 6 months prior 3.6 6.1 -0.11 3.9 4 0 Knee OA, 6 months prior 5.5 10.5 -0.2 13.9 14.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior 5.5 10.5 -0.18 5.9 6.2 -0.01 Carebrovascular disease 2.1 3 -0.06 2.2 2.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease 12.5 14.3 -0.05 13.1 13.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus 0.7 0.7 0 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease 0.2 0.3 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0 Obesity 25.8 25.3 0.01 25.6 26.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease 0.2 0.2 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 </td <td>Hip OA, any time prior</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>12.1</td> <td>-0.14</td> <td>8.5</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>- 0.01</td>	Hip OA, any time prior	7.9	12.1	-0.14	8.5	8.6	- 0.01
Osteoprovis, any time prior7.912.3 -0.15 8.48.50Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior43.135.80.1541.741.80Hip OA, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.20.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visit -0.2 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 IXA scan, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy, any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.61.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8	Knee OA, any time prior	27	38.9	-0.26	28.9	29.8	- 0.02
Lumbar spine stends, any time prior15.720.3 -0.12 16.617.2 -0.01 Low back pain, 6 months prior43.135.80.1541.741.80Hip OA, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visit -0.2 -0.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior5.38.8 -0.14	Osteoporosis, any time prior	7.9	12.3	-0.15	8.4	8.5	0
Low back pain, 6 months prior43.135.80.1541.741.80Hip OA, 6 months prior3.66.1 -0.11 3.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visitTT320.3 -0.21 1414.2 -0.01 Knee arthroscopy, any time prior1320.3 -0.21 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior37.644.3 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior5.38.8 -0.14 5.85.9 0	Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior	15.7	20.3	-0.12	16.6	17.2	- 0.01
Hip OA, 6 months prior3.66.1-0.113.940Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3-0.213.914.3-0.01Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5-0.185.96.2-0.01Cerebrovascular disease2.13-0.062.22.3-0.01Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3-0.0513.113.6-0.02Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8-0.01Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3-0.010.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3-0.01Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2-0.010.20.20Procedures and visitTTTT14.2-0.01Knee arthroscopy, any time prior35.6-0.133.23.5-0.01ECG, any time prior1520.4-0.1438.939.2-0.01Knee X-ray, any time prior1520.4-0.1415.616.5-0.02Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4-0.1538.238.6-0.01Total knee replacement, any time prior0.61.4-0.080.70.8-0.01Arthroscentesis, 6 months prior5.38.8-0.145.85.90	Low back pain, 6 months prior	43.1	35.8	0.15	41.7	41.8	0
Knee OA, 6 months prior12.920.3 -0.2 13.914.3 -0.01 Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visit K K K K K K K Knee arthroscopy, any time prior35.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior5.38.8 -0.14 5.85.9 0.01	Hip OA, 6 months prior	3.6	6.1	-0.11	3.9	4	0
Knee OA, 1 month prior5.510.5 -0.18 5.96.2 -0.01 Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visit -0.13 3.23.5 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1320.3 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior37.744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.61.4 -0.08 0.70.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis. 6 months prior5.38.8 -0.14 5.85.90	Knee OA, 6 months prior	12.9	20.3	-0.2	13.9	14.3	- 0.01
Cerebrovascular disease2.13 -0.06 2.22.3 -0.01 Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Procedures and visit K V V V V V V Knee arthroscopy, any time prior35.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Knee OA, 1 month prior	5.5	10.5	-0.18	5.9	6.2	- 0.01
Chronic obstructive lung disease12.514.3 -0.05 13.113.6 -0.02 Diabetes mellitus0.70.700.70.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease0.20.3 -0.01 0.20.20Obesity25.825.30.0125.626.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease0.20.2 -0.01 0.20.20Procedures and visitKnee arthroscopy, any time priorSta scan, any time prior35.6 -0.13 3.23.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.61.4 -0.08 0.70.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior5.38.8 -0.14 5.85.90	Cerebrovascular disease	2.1	3	-0.06	2.2	2.3	- 0.01
Diabetes mellitus 0.7 0.7 0.7 0 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Ischaemic heart disease 0.2 0.3 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0 Obesity 25.8 25.3 0.01 25.6 26.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease 0.2 0.2 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0 Procedures and visit $Knee$ arthroscopy, any time prior 3 5.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior 37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Chronic obstructive lung disease	12.5	14.3	-0.05	13.1	13.6	- 0.02
Ischaemic heart disease 0.2 0.3 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0.2 0 Obesity 25.8 25.3 0.01 25.6 26.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease 0.2 0.2 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0 Procedures and visit -0.01 0.2 0.2 0.2 0 Procedures and visit -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior 37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Diabetes mellitus	0.7	0.7	0	0.7	0.8	- 0.01
Obesity 25.8 25.3 0.01 25.6 26.3 -0.01 Malignant neoplastic disease 0.2 0.2 0.2 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0 Procedures and visit K -0.01 0.2 0.2 0.2 0 Procedures and visit K -0.01 0.2 0.2 0.2 DXA scan, any time prior 3 5.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior 37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Ischaemic heart disease	0.2	0.3	-0.01	0.2	0.2	0
Malignant neoplastic disease 0.2 0.2 0.2 -0.01 0.2 0.2 0.2 Procedures and visitKnee arthroscopy, any time prior 3 5.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior 13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior 37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Obesity	25.8	25.3	0.01	25.6	26.3	- 0.01
Intermediation of the first	Malignant neoplastic disease	0.2	0.2	-0.01	0.2	0.2	0
Knee arthroscopy, any time prior3 5.6 -0.13 3.2 3.5 -0.01 DXA scan, any time prior13 20.3 -0.2 14 14.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Procedures and visit						
DXA scan, any time prior1320.3 -0.2 1414.2 -0.01 ECG, any time prior37.644.3 -0.14 38.939.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior1520.4 -0.14 15.616.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior3744.4 -0.15 38.238.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.61.4 -0.08 0.70.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior5.38.8 -0.14 5.85.90	Knee arthroscopy, any time prior	3	5.6	- 0.13	3.2	3.5	- 0.01
ECG, any time prior 37.6 44.3 -0.14 38.9 39.2 -0.01 Knee X-ray, any time prior15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	DXA scan, any time prior	13	20.3	- 0.2	14	14.2	- 0.01
Locs, any time prior 15 20.4 -0.14 15.6 16.5 -0.02 Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	ECG any time prior	37.6	44.3	- 0.14	38.9	39.2	- 0.01
Manual/ physiotherapy , any time prior 37 44.4 -0.15 38.2 38.6 -0.01 Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Knee X-ray, any time prior	15	20.4	- 0.14	15.6	16.5	- 0.02
Total knee replacement, any time prior 0.6 1.4 -0.08 0.7 0.8 -0.01 Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Manual/ physiotherapy any time prior	37	44.4	- 0.15	38.2	38.6	- 0.01
Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior 5.3 8.8 -0.14 5.8 5.9 0	Total knee replacement any time prior	0.6	1.4	- 0.08	0.7	0.8	- 0.01
	Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior	5.3	8.8	- 0.14	5.8	5.9	0

Table 2 (continued)

	Before PS mat	ching		After PS matching			
	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	ns-NSAID cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	ns-NSAID cohort (%)	ASMD	
Emergency visit, 6 months prior	14.5	12.3	0.06	12	12.5	- 0.02	
Clinical index scores ^a							
CHADS2VASc	2.06	2.54	- 0.29	2.12	2.15	- 0.02	
Diabetes Comorbidity Severity Index	1.52	1.95	- 0.18	1.58	1.63	- 0.02	
Charlson Index, Romano adaptation	2.1	2.55	- 0.16	2.17	2.23	- 0.02	

Select characteristics before and after PS matching, showing the (weighted) percentage of subjects with the characteristics in the ibuprofen versus comparator cohorts, as well as the absolute standardised mean difference. Data shown from the OpenClaims, on treatment, February to October 2020 analysis. A complete covariate balance list can be found in the accompanying supplementary files, including drug covariates that were balanced at the ingredient level

ASMD absolute standardised mean difference, DXA dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, ECG electrocardiogram, ns-NSAIDs non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, OA osteoarthritis, PS propensity score

^aData for these covariates are given as a mean score for the cohort, not % of the cohort

Table 3 Covariate balance before and after PS-matching ibuprofen-COX-2i cohorts

	Before PS ma	tching		After PS matching			
	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	COX-2i cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	COX-2i cohort (%)	ASMD	
Demographics							
Female	64.5	63.6	0.02	63.8	63.1	0.02	
Age, years 8–19	1.1	0.1	0.12	0.2	0.2	0	
20–24	3.3	0.4	0.21	0.5	0.6	- 0.01	
25–29	4.5	0.7	0.24	0.8	0.9	- 0.01	
30–34	5.9	1.2	0.26	1.5	1.6	- 0.01	
35–39	6.9	2	0.24	2.5	2.7	- 0.01	
40–44	7.9	3.2	0.2	4.1	4.1	0	
45–49	9.1	5	0.16	6.1	6.3	- 0.01	
50–54	11.3	8.1	0.11	9.7	9.7	0	
55–59	13.3	11.9	0.04	13.9	13.4	0.01	
60–64	12.4	14.7	- 0.07	15.8	15.6	0.01	
65–69	9.8	16.3	- 0.19	15.5	15.5	0	
70–74	6.7	14.9	- 0.26	12.7	12.7	0	
75–79	3.9	10.4	- 0.25	8.1	8.3	- 0.01	
80–84	3.9	11	- 0.27	8.5	8.4	0	
Conditions							
Amenorrhea, any time prior	6.3	2.2	0.2	2.7	2.6	0	
Hypertension, any time prior	55	66.4	- 0.23	65.5	64	0.03	
Hip pain, any time prior	18.6	27.2	- 0.21	24.7	23.9	0.02	
Hyperlipidaemia, any time prior	41.9	54.5	- 0.25	52.5	51.6	0.02	
Nicotine dependence, any time prior	19.3	9.8	0.27	12	11.5	0.01	
Cataracts, any time prior	13.5	24.2	- 0.28	21.3	20.9	0.01	
OA, any time prior	21.8	35	- 0.3	32.3	31.7	0.01	
Hip OA, any time prior	8.4	19.4	- 0.32	15	14.8	0	
Knee OA, any time prior	29.1	51.1	- 0.46	45.7	44.6	0.02	
Osteoporosis, any time prior	8.2	15.2	- 0.22	13.7	13.2	0.01	
Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior	16.5	26.4	- 0.24	24.6	23.8	0.02	

Table 3 (continued)

	Before PS ma	tching		After PS matching			
	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	COX-2i cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	COX-2i cohort (%)	ASMD	
Low back pain, 6 months prior	43.5	27.3	0.34	30.7	31	- 0.01	
Hip OA, 6 months prior	3.8	11.6	- 0.29	7.7	8	- 0.01	
Knee OA, 6 months prior	14.3	28.6	- 0.36	24	23.9	0	
Knee OA, 1 month prior	5.9	16.3	- 0.34	11.5	11.7	0	
Cerebrovascular disease	2.2	3	- 0.05	3	2.8	0.01	
Chronic obstructive lung disease	13.4	13.8	- 0.01	15.5	14.2	0.04	
Diabetes mellitus	0.8	0.6	0.02	0.7	0.7	0	
Ischaemic heart disease	0.2	0.3	- 0.01	0.3	0.2	0.01	
Obesity	27.7	25	0.06	26.1	25.2	0.02	
Malignant neoplastic disease	0.2	0.3	- 0.01	0.3	0.3	0	
Procedures and visit							
Knee arthroscopy, any time prior	3.1	11.1	- 0.32	6.8	6.9	0	
DXA scan, any time prior	13.5	25.4	- 0.3	22.6	21.8	0.02	
ECG, any time prior	39	49.2	- 0.21	47.5	46.1	0.03	
Knee X-ray, any time prior	16.5	26	- 0.23	23	22.1	0.02	
Manual therapy/physiotherapy, any time prior	38.6	53.3	- 0.3	49.5	48.6	0.02	
Total knee replacement, any time prior	0.6	4.6	- 0.25	1.8	1.9	- 0.01	
Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior	6.1	11.8	- 0.2	10.5	10.2	0.01	
Emergency visit, 6 months prior	17.6	6.2	0.36	7.5	7.1	0.02	
Clinical index scores ^a							
CHADS2VASc	2.12	2.74	- 0.38	2.62	2.57	0.03	
Diabetes Comorbidity Severity Index	1.62	1.95	- 0.14	1.95	1.87	0.04	
Charlson Index, Romano adaptation	2.22	2.57	- 0.13	2.6	2.48	0.04	

Select characteristics before and after PS matching, showing the (weighted) percentage of subjects with the characteristics in the ibuprofen vs comparator cohorts, as well as the ASMD. Data shown from the OpenClaims, on treatment, February to October 2020 analysis. A complete covariate balance list can be found in the accompanying supplementary files, including drug covariates that were balanced at the ingredient level *ASMD* absolute standardised mean difference, *COX-2i* cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, *DXA* dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, *ECG* electrocardiogram, *OA* osteoarthritis

^aData for these covariates are given as a mean score for the cohort, not % of the cohort

Table 4 Covariate balance before and after PS matching ibuprofen-paracetamol cohorts

	Before PS ma	tching		After PS mate		
	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	Paracetamol cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	Paracetamol cohort (%)	ASMD
Demographics		·				
Female	64	60.6	0.07	62.3	62.8	- 0.01
Age, years						
18–19	1.4	0.3	0.11	0.8	0.7	0.01
20–24	3.8	1.1	0.18	2.3	2.1	0.01
25–29	5	1.6	0.19	3.4	3.2	0.01
30–34	6.4	2.5	0.19	4.9	4.8	0
35–39	7.1	3.5	0.16	6.1	6.2	0
40–44	7.8	4.6	0.13	7.3	7.5	0
45–49	8.8	6.1	0.1	8.8	8.8	0
50–54	10.8	8.6	0.07	11.2	11.2	0
55–59	12.5	11.8	0.02	13.5	13.6	0

Table 4 (continued)

	Before PS ma	tching		After PS mate	ching	
	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	Paracetamol cohort (%)	ASMD	Ibuprofen cohort (%)	Paracetamol cohort (%)	ASMD
60–64	11.8	13.5	- 0.05	13.2	13.4	- 0.01
65–69	9.6	13.7	- 0.13	10.9	11.1	0
70–74	6.9	12.3	- 0.19	8	7.9	0
75–79	4.1	8.9	- 0.2	4.8	4.8	0
80–84	4	11.5	- 0.28	4.8	4.8	0
Conditions						
Amenorrhea, any time prior	6.2	3	0.15	4.9	4.9	0
Hypertension, any time prior	51.4	68.3	- 0.35	55.6	56.4	- 0.02
Hip pain, any time prior	16.5	24.2	- 0.19	17.6	18.3	- 0.02
Hyperlipidemia, any time prior	39.9	53.7	-0.28	42.9	43.9	-0.02
Nicotine dependence, any time prior	16.6	16.3	0.01	16.2	16.9	-0.02
Cataracts, any time prior	13.2	21.5	- 0.22	14.6	15.3	- 0.02
OA, any time prior	19.9	29.1	- 0.22	21.8	23	- 0.03
Hip OA, any time prior	7.6	13.5	- 0.19	8.6	8.8	- 0.01
Knee OA, any time prior	27.3	39	- 0.25	29.9	30.7	- 0.02
Osteoporosis, any time prior	7.9	13.5	- 0.18	8.9	9.1	- 0.01
Lumbar spine stenosis, any time prior	13.5	26.9	- 0.34	15.4	16.3	- 0.02
Low back pain, 6 months prior	43	36.6	0.13	41.3	41.3	0
Hip OA, 6 months prior	3.6	6.5	- 0.13	4.1	4.1	0
Knee OA, 6 months prior	13.9	18	- 0.11	15.1	15.1	0
Knee OA, 1 month prior	5.9	9.3	- 0.13	6.5	6.4	0
Cerebrovascular disease	1.9	4.1	- 0.13	2.1	2.3	- 0.01
Chronic obstructive lung disease	10.9	19.9	- 0.25	12.4	13	- 0.02
Diabetes mellitus	0.7	0.9	- 0.03	0.7	0.8	- 0.01
Ischaemic heart disease	0.2	0.3	- 0.03	0.2	0.2	0
Obesity	25.9	26.1	0	25.6	26.1	- 0.01
Malignant neoplastic disease	0.2	0.3	- 0.03	0.2	0.2	0
Procedures and visits						
Knee arthroscopy, any time prior	2.6	6.7	- 0.2	3	3.2	- 0.01
DXA scan, any time prior	13.3	20.5	- 0.19	14.7	15.2	- 0.01
ECG, any time prior	37.6	46.7	- 0.18	39.1	40.1	- 0.02
Knee X-ray, any time prior	14.9	21.7	- 0.18	15.8	16.5	- 0.02
Manual/ physiotherapy, any time prior	36.8	45.7	- 0.18	38.1	39.1	- 0.02
Total knee replacement, any time prior	0.4	2.1	- 0.16	0.4	0.5	- 0.01
Arthrocentesis, 6 months prior	5.8	8.2	- 0.09	6.4	6.6	- 0.01
Emergency visit, 6 months prior	15.4	13.5	0.05	12.8	13.4	- 0.02
CHADSONAS	2.02	2.95	0.40	2.15	2.10	0.02
CHADS2VASC	2.02	2.85	- 0.48	2.15	2.19	- 0.03
Charles Comorbidity Severity Index	1.43	2.49	- 0.41	1.57	1.65	- 0.03
Charlson index - Romano adaptation	2	3.19	- 0.41	2.14	2.27	- 0.05

Select characteristics before and after PS matching, showing the (weighted) percentage of subjects with the characteristics in the Ibuprofen versus comparator cohorts, as well as the ASMD. Data shown from the OpenClaims, on treatment, February to October 2020 analysis. A complete covariate balance list can be found in the accompanying supplementary files, including drug covariates that were balanced at the ingredient level

ASMD absolute standardised mean difference, DXA dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, ECG electrocardiogram, OA osteoarthritis, PS propensity score

^aData for these covariates are given as a mean score for the cohort, not % of the cohort

Table 5 Incidence of COVID-19 outcomes in PS-matched Ibu and Comp cohorts

Comparisons	Database	Persons each cohort ^a	Cases		Follow-up (years)		Incidence (%)		Incidence (per 1k person- years)	
			Ibu	Comp	Ibu	Comp	Ibu	Comp	Ibu	Comp
COVID-19 diagnosis (Nov 2	019–Jan 2020)									
Ibu vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	39,572	156	480	6931	14,539	0.39	1.21	22.5	33.0
Ibu vs COX-2i	OpenClaims	272,097	1407	3432	62,849	138,466	0.52	1.26	22.4	24.8
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	69,723	174	449	9038	16,081	0.25	0.64	19.3	27.9
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	OpenClaims	563,839	1885	4100	98,946	168,055	0.33	0.73	19.1	24.4
Ibu vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	54,074	119	414	6830	10,454	0.22	0.77	17.4	39.6
Ibu vs paracetamol	OpenClaims	437,928	1334	4523	71,973	141,905	0.30	1.03	18.5	31.9
COVID-19 diagnosis (Feb 20	020–Oct 2020)									
Ibu vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	67,190	532	1247	10,894	21,898	0.79	1.86	48.8	57.0
Ibu vs COX-2i	OpenClaims	457,280	3551	7370	92,004	193,931	0.78	1.61	38.6	38.0
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	120,954	689	1334	14,424	24,796	0.57	1.10	47.8	53.8
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	OpenClaims	943,006	5382	9682	143,309	241,999	0.57	1.03	37.6	40.0
Ibu vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	101,635	575	834	11,617	15,820	0.57	0.82	49.5	52.7
Ibu vs paracetamol	OpenClaims	776,963	4325	8106	110,110	188,659	0.56	1.04	39.3	43.0
Hospitalised with COVID-19	9 (Nov 2019–Jan 2020	0)								
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	39,572	29	89	6963	14,660	0.07	0.22	4.2	6.1
Ibuprofen vs COX-2i	OpenClaims	272,097	446	961	63,317	139,848	0.16	0.35	7.0	6.9
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	69,723	24	91	9073	16,183	0.03	0.13	2.7	5.6
Ibuprofen vs ns-NSAIDs	OpenClaims	563,839	561	1171	99,526	169,551	0.10	0.21	5.6	6.9
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	54,074	18	82	6854	10,565	0.03	0.15	2.6	7.8
Ibuprofen vs paracetamol	OpenClaims	437,928	386	1274	72,392	143,803	0.09	0.29	5.3	8.9
Hospitalised with COVID-19	9 (Feb 2020–Oct 2020))								
Ibu vs COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	67,190	112	211	10,979	22,153	0.17	0.31	10.2	9.5
Ibu vs COX-2i	OpenClaims	457,280	1044	1948	92,847	196,320	0.23	0.43	11.2	9.9
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	120,954	128	262	14,506	25,020	0.11	0.22	8.8	10.5
Ibu vs ns-NSAIDs	OpenClaims	943,006	1456	2432	144,396	244,609	0.15	0.26	10.1	9.9
Ibu vs paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	101,635	120	185	11,687	16,004	0.12	0.18	10.3	11.6
Ibu vs paracetamol	OpenClaims	776,963	1143	2228	110,941	191,379	0.15	0.29	10.3	11.6

On-treatment incidence rates of COVID-19 diagnosis or hospitalisation with COVID-19 in Ibu vs Comp user cohorts. For each pairwise comparison, we report the PS-matched cohort size, follow-up years, the number of events and incidences

Comp comparator, COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019, COX-2i cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, Ibu ibuprofen, ns-NSAIDs non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, PS propensity sore

^aIbu and Comp cohorts are matched 1:1 on the PS and are therefore the same size

controls by only using those claim based data. Although the extect to which the misclassification could be similar in terms of ascertaning target or comparator drugs, it would likely distort associations toward the null. Second, owing to the limited availability of testing resources at the earlier pandemic stage and the fact that many infected patients are asymptomatic, under-diagnosis of people with COVID-19 infection was possible. To address this limitation inherent in identifying asymptomatic COVID-19 cases, we defined a hospital admission-based COVID-19 hospitalisation cases. Additionally, as the COVID-19 test capacity had been gradually improved

스 Adis

in the US community, the underestimation of COVID-19 should be primarily mitigated in the second observational window up to 31 October, 2020. Third, when a data-driven model was applied in the context of the prevalent-user design, there was always a potential risk of inclusion of mediators in the covariate adjustment. In this specific case, all study analgesic medications were commonly prescribed for symptom relief, the pathology of underlying diseases that causes painful conditions was unlikely to be modified by these drugs. Therefore, the likelihood of over-adjustment seemed minimal. Fourth, indication bias could not be ruled out, even though the target and comparison drugs had a significant degree of

Comparisons	Database	COVID-19 diagnosis	HR (95% CI)	Hospitalisation with COVID-19 HR (95% CI)		
		Nov 2019–Jan 2020	Feb 2020–Oct 2020	Nov 2019–Jan 2020	Feb 2020–Oct 2020	
On treatment						
Ibuprofen-ns-NSAIDs	Open Claims	1.01 (0.82–1.24)	1.13 (0.91–1.41)	1.04 (0.77–1.41)	1.29 (1.01–1.65)	
Ibuprofen-ns-NSAIDs	PharMetrics Plus	0.96 (0.57-1.64)	1.13 (0.89–1.44)	0.39 (0.10-1.55)	1.07 (0.72–1.61)	
Ibuprofen-ns-NSAIDs	Meta-analysis	1.00 (0.83-1.22)	1.13 (0.96–1.33)	0.81 (0.35-1.88)	1.23 (0.99–1.52)	
Ibuprofen—COX-2i	Open Claims	1.11 (0.85–1.45)	1.11 (0.82–1.50)	1.22 (0.87–1.72)	1.16 (0.84–1.60)	
Ibuprofen—COX-2i	PharMetrics Plus	0.89 (0.52–1.54)	0.95 (0.69–1.30)	1.71 (0.55–5.36)	1.53 (0.94–2.51)	
Ibuprofen—COX-2i	Meta-analysis	1.06 (0.84–1.35)	1.03 (0.83–1.28)	1.26 (0.91–1.74)	1.26 (0.96–1.65)	
Ibuprofen—paracetamol	Open Claims	1.02 (0.71–1.46)	NA	1.00 (0.66–1.53)	0.94 (0.54–1.62)	
Ibuprofen—paracetamol	PharMetrics Plus	0.82 (0.42-1.62)	1.41 (0.70–2.82)	0.35 (0.09–1.44)	1.18 (0.54–2.55)	
Ibuprofen—paracetamol	Meta-analysis	0.97 (0.71-1.33)	NA	0.74 (0.29–1.88)	1.01 (0.65–1.58)	

Table 6	On-treatment	calibrated	HRs for	COVID-	19 (outcomes in use	rs of ib	uprofen	versus com	parator a	analgesics
---------	--------------	------------	---------	--------	------	-----------------	----------	---------	------------	-----------	------------

CI confidence interval, *COVID-19* coronavirus disease 2019, *COX-2i* cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, *HR* hazard ratios, *NA* not available because of the failure of a negative control diagnosis, *ns-NSAIDs* non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs



Fig. 2 On-treatment calibrated hazard ratio (HR) estimates for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outcomes in users of ibuprofen versus comparator analgesics. *CI* confidence interval, *COX-2i*

cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, *ns-NSAIDs* non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

overlap for their indications. Fifth, we cannot differentiate short-term or long-term users as information on indications is not readily available. By restricting to patients with OA or back pain, we expected most participants to be regular users of NSAIDs. Sixth, a proportion of people initiating any study drugs to relieve COVID-19 symptoms might be falsely included. However, this is unlikely to occur during the first enrolment window before the pandemic, showing consistent results. In summary, our findings reassured that using ibuprofen in the community, compared to other ns-NSAIDs, COX-2i or paracetamol, was not associated with an increased risk of susceptibility and severity of COVID-19.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-022-01822-z.

Declarations

Funding Abbott contracted IQVIA for the conduct of this study. Prof. Prieto-Alhambra receives funding from the UK National Institute for Health Research in the form of a Senior Research Fellowship, and as part of the Oxford National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre. Prof. Giustino Varrassi had a contract with Abbott International, as a consultant for scientific projects. He is also a consultant for scientific projects with Dompé Farmaceutici and Menarini Group.

Conflicts of Interest/Competing Interests All authors have completed the ICMJE disclosure form at http://www.icmje.org/disclosure-of-inter est/ and declare the following interests: Dani Prieto-Alhambra receives funding from the UK National Institute for Health Research in the form of a senior research fellowship and from the Oxford National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre. Junqing Xie receives the Clarendon Fund and Jardine scholarship (University of Oxford) to support her DPhil study. Dani Prieto-Alhambra's research group has received research grants from the European Medicines Agency, the Innovative Medicines Initiative, Amgen, Chiesi and UCB Biopharma; and consultancy or speaker fees from Astellas, Amgen, AstraZeneca and UCB Biopharma.

Ethics Approval These assets are de-identified, commercially available data products that could be purchased and licensed by any researcher. The collection and de-identification of these data assets is a process that is commercial intellectual property and not privileged to the data licensees and the co-authors on this study. Licensees of these data have signed data use agreements with the data vendors that detail the usage protocols for running retrospective research on these databases. All analyses performed in this study were in accordance with data use agreement terms as specified by the data owners. As these data are deemed commercial assets, there is no institutional review board applicable to the usage and dissemination of these result sets or required registration of the protocol with additional ethics oversight. Compliance with data use agreement terms, which stipulate how these data can be used and for what purpose, is sufficient for the licensing commercial entities.

Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Material Patient-level data cannot be shared without approval from data custodians owing to local information governance and data protection regulations. Additional summary data, analytical code and detailed definitions of algorithms for identifying the events are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request to access the GitHub repository.

Code Availability Not applicable.

Authors' Contributors JQ-X, DP-A, SS, CT and GV conceived the study and contributed to the study design. JQ-X, JB and JA conducted the statistical analyses. JQ-X and DP-A interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript, approved the final version and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. The lead authors (JQ-X and JB)

affirm that this manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study being reported, that no important aspects of the study have been omitted and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained. DP-A is the guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

References

- Day M. Covid-19: ibuprofen should not be used for managing symptoms, say doctors and scientists. BMJ. 2020;368: m1086. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1086.
- Torjesen I. Covid-19: ibuprofen can be used for symptoms, says UK agency, but reasons for change in advice are unclear. BMJ. 2020;369: m1555. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1555.
- Roy S. Coronavirus: alerte sur l'ibuprofène et autres anti-inflammatoires. Le Figaro. Updated March 14, 2020. 2022. https://www. lefigaro.fr/sciences/coronavirus-alerte-sur-l-ibuprofene-et-autresanti-inflammatoires-20200314. Accessed 28 Feb 2022.
- Fernandez-Gutierrez B, Leon L, Madrid A, et al. Hospital admissions in inflammatory rheumatic diseases during the peak of COVID-19 pandemic: incidence and role of disease-modifying agents. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis. 2021. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1759720X20962692.
- Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(4): e21. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(20)30116-8.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell. 2020;181(2):271-80.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052.
- Au K, Reed G, Curtis JR, et al. High disease activity is associated with an increased risk of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70(5):785–91. https://doi.org/10. 1136/ard.2010.128637.
- European Medicines Agency. EMA gives advice on the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatories for COVID-19. 2020. https:// www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/ema-gives-advice-use-non-stero idal-anti-inflammatories-covid-19. Accessed 5 Jul 2020.
- Monti S, Montecucco C. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory treatment during COVID-19: friend or foe? Response to: 'Coronavirus disease 19 (Covid-19) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID)' by Giollo et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021;80(2):e13.https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217638
- 10. NICE. Key messages. COVID-19 rapid evidence summary: acute use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for people

with or at risk of COVID-19. 2020. https://www.nice.org.uk/ advice/es23/chapter/Key-messages. Accessed 5 July 2020.

- Chandan JS, Zemedikun DT, Thayakaran R, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and susceptibility to COVID-19. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2021;73(5):731–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.41593.
- 12. Wong AY, MacKenna B, Morton CE, et al. Use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of death from COVID-19: an OpenSAFELY cohort analysis based on two cohorts. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021;80(7):943–51. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrh eumdis-2020-219517.
- OHDSI. OMOP Common Data Model. 2021. https://www.ohdsi. org/data-standardization/the-common-data-model/. Accessed 7 Oct 2021.
- 14. Prieto-Alhambra D, Kostka K, Duarte-Salles T, et al. Unraveling COVID-19: a large-scale characterization of 4.5 million COVID-19 cases using CHARYBDIS. Clin Epidemiol. 2022;14:369–84. https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S323292.
- Prats-Uribe A, Sena AG, Lai LYH, et al. Use of repurposed and adjuvant drugs in hospital patients with COVID-19: multinational network cohort study. BMJ. 2021;373: n1038. https://doi.org/10. 1136/bmj.n1038.
- Woodward M. Formulae for sample size, power and minimum detectable relative risk in medical studies. J R Stat Soc D. 1992;41(2):185. https://doi.org/10.2307/2348252.
- Tian Y, Schuemie MJ, Suchard MA. Evaluating large-scale propensity score performance through real-world and synthetic data experiments. Int J Epidemiol. 2018;47(6):2005–14. https://doi. org/10.1093/ije/dyy120.
- Schuemie MJ, Hripcsak G, Ryan PB, Madigan D, Suchard MA. Robust empirical calibration of p-values using observational data. Stat Med. 2016;35(22):3883–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6977.
- Schuemie MJ, Hripcsak G, Ryan PB, Madigan D, Suchard MA. Empirical confidence interval calibration for population-level effect estimation studies in observational healthcare data. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115(11):2571–7. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1708282114.

- Petersen ML, Porter KE, Gruber S, Wang Y, van der Laan MJ. Diagnosing and responding to violations in the positivity assumption. Stat Methods Med Res. 2012;21(1):31–54. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0962280210386207.
- Serhan CN. Pro-resolving lipid mediators are leads for resolution physiology. Nature. 2014;510(7503):92–101. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature13479.
- Levy BD, Clish CB, Schmidt B, Gronert K, Serhan CN. Lipid mediator class switching during acute inflammation: signals in resolution. Nat Immunol. 2001;2(7):612–9. https://doi.org/10. 1038/89759.
- Qiao W, Wang C, Chen B, et al. Ibuprofen attenuates cardiac fibrosis in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Cardiology. 2015;131(2):97–106. https://doi.org/10.1159/000375362.
- Abu Esba LC, Alqahtani RA, Thomas A, Shamas N, Alswaidan L, Mardawi G. Ibuprofen and NSAID use in COVID-19 infected patients is not associated with worse outcomes: a prospective cohort study. Infect Dis Ther. 2021;10(1):253–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-020-00363-w.
- Drake TM, Fairfield CJ, Pius R, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and outcomes of COVID-19 in the ISARIC Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK cohort: a matched, prospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(21)00104-1.
- Kow CS, Hasan SS. The risk of mortality in patients with COVID-19 with pre-diagnosis use of NSAIDs: a meta-analysis. Inflammopharmacology. 2021;29(3):641–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10787-021-00810-1.
- Park J, Lee S-H, You SC, Kim J, Yang K. Non-steroidal antiinflammatory agent use may not be associated with mortality of coronavirus disease 19. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):5087. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-84539-5.
- Moore N, Pollack C, Butkerait P. Adverse drug reactions and drug-drug interactions with over-the-counter NSAIDs. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015;11:1061–75. https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM. S79135.