

The Recent Trend in Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Low Back Pain

Shinichi Kikuchi

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukushima Medical University, Japan

Abstract:

Introduction: Development of evidence-based medicine has made a big change in diagnosis and treatment of chronic low back pain. The recent trend is assessed through a review of literature. **Methods:** The articles published in these 10 years are reviewed, and important points are examined. **Results:** In diagnosis, challenges for history taking and limit of imaging or clinical guidelines are revealed. In treatment, cognitive behavioral treatment and exercises are proved effective. Sleep disturbance has recently attracted attention as a factor associated with low back pain. Cost-effectiveness of diagnosis and treatment modalities has come to be emphasized. **Conclusions:** Diagnosis and treatment of chronic low back pain have been significantly changing. Multidisciplinary and multidimensional approach is essential. Chronic low back pain should be treated as a total pain, not a local pain.

Keywords:

chronic low back pain, diagnosis, treatment

Spine Surg Relat Res 2017; 1(1): 1-6
dx.doi.org/10.22603/ssrr.1.2016-0022

Development of evidence-based medicine (EBM) has made a lot of studies based on that, and it has caused a big change in diagnosis and treatment of chronic low back pain. This article presents the recent trend in diagnosis and treatment of chronic low back pain.

Diagnosis

Recent studies have pointed the importance of findings by interview and evaluation of physical examination. Definition of low back pain or chronicity varies from study to study. Hence, attention should be made on these definitions when evaluating studies.

Is pain a disease?

A disease should be defined first. According to the American Heritage Dictionary, it is an abnormal condition of the body or mind that causes discomfort or dysfunction, or an abnormal condition characterized by an identifiable group of signs or symptoms¹⁾.

Pain is a symptom. Some people suggest that persistent chronic pain should be redefined from a symptom to an isolated disease, because its pathology is complex and quite

different from acute pain²⁾. Others fear a negative effect on patients because of the ominous feeling of the word “disease”.³⁾ It should be carefully considered whether pain is treated as a disease or not.

The problem of definition is found also in a sciatic pain. The definition of a sciatic pain is different among studies, and the inconsistency prevents the evaluation of treatment⁴⁾.

Criticism on diagnostic label

There has been a criticism on diagnostic labels. For example, facet joint arthritis was reported to have no relationship with low back pain⁵⁾. According to this report, no form of imaging has proved to be capable of identifying painful facets. The recent study indicates that there is no validated diagnostic test to identify painful facet joints⁶⁾.

The label “lumbar degenerative disc disease (DDD)” has also been criticized. The label of DDD, applied with ambiguous definition, might be a cause of overuse of spinal fusion⁷⁾.

The label “muscle strain” is criticized because of its ambiguity. Critics insist that the validity of this diagnosis has not been proved and that it is only a guess⁸⁾.

Some studies suggest that asymptomatic cases frequently

Corresponding author: Shinichi Kikuchi, sinichk@fmu.ac.jp

Received: November 15, 2016, Accepted: December 13, 2016

Copyright © 2017 The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research

show morphological abnormalities, which might lead to overmedication. There, indeed, is no clear relationship between disc degeneration and low back pain. Forty-seven percent of all the subjects who had experienced low back pain had normal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings⁹.

The cause of low back pain

Chemical radiculitis was reported to be caused by inflammation of nucleus pulposus¹⁰. According to the report, the patient had low back pain but no disc herniation. There is a study showing that endplate lesions are associated with disc degeneration and low back pain¹¹. Another study suggests that endplate lesions are related to disc herniation¹².

Bacterial infection was also reported as a cause of low back pain, separately from the causes originated from spinal column¹³.

Diagnosis should be made considering geographical or cultural differences, and biopsychosocial factors, not only morphological abnormalities, as a cause of low back pain¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

The challenges in diagnosis

Diagnostic error is a failure to establish an accurate and timely explanation of the patient's health problems or communicate that explanation to the patient¹⁷. According to the study, diagnostic errors account for 6%-17% of hospital adverse events and approximately 10% of patient deaths. It is a serious problem in the medical world, especially in the clinical field of spine. Errors lead to unnecessary or harmful surgery.

Some problems in making diagnosis have been reported recently. One is poor validity of patient's self-reported history when presenting with persistent pain or injury¹⁸. Another is importance of observing patient's casual behaviors, such as sitting down, standing up, or walking, as well as listening to history¹⁹.

Most physical examinations indicate poor performance when used in isolation, and better performance may be obtained when examinations are combined²⁰.

Clinical guidelines have been criticized. One study points that diagnostic procedures recommended in guidelines are not proved effective²¹. There is a study showing that red flags identifying serious conditions are not effective except for in the case of vertebral fracture²²⁻²⁴. Furthermore, red flags are not informative, and they should not be viewed as an absolute indication for imaging or more specialized examinations²⁵.

Considering these various problems in diagnosing, physicians should take enough time to be careful in diagnosis²⁶. The serious problem is that most physicians do not follow the adequate procedures²⁷. All the physicians should take enough time for listening to history and evaluating physical examinations. Skilled and careful assessment is important.

Imaging study

Diagnostic effects of imaging on degenerative diseases are

limited according to the recent studies. Practice of imaging or existence of imaging equipment might be a cause of overmedication. The first of "top 5 lists" unnecessary in primary care is imaging within 6 weeks after onset²⁸.

There have been various studies about imaging. Many advanced imaging have limited effects on treatments²⁹. There may be a relationship between inappropriate imaging and rising rates of surgical and injection procedures³⁰. Routine imaging for low back pain by X-ray or advanced imaging methods is not associated with a clinically meaningful effect on patient outcomes³¹. These reports show that development of imaging is not related to effective treatments.

Diagnostic value and problems of MRI

It has been proved that MRI has no major benefit for diagnosing low back pain in the patients with degenerative diseases. According to the recent studies, early routine imaging (x-p, CT, and MRI) has no apparent benefits³². MRI does not improve outcomes in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy referred for epidural steroid injections³³. There is an opposite view that the lack of major benefit should not stop the use of MRI³⁴. MRI can find a serious condition.

Researchers have a fear about MRI from a different view. There is a study showing that the physicians who own their own imaging units are more likely to refer patients for a scan³⁵. Another study shows that increases in MRI use appear to lead to increases in surgery receipt³⁶. And physician's self-referral for imaging is not associated with substantial benefits in treatment duration or cost³⁷. These studies indicate that having one's own imaging units is likely to lead to overmedication.

Treatment

Informed consent, a patient consents treatment after informed by a physician, is acknowledged by both patients and physicians. Now informed decision (choice) is recommended for treatment of low back pain. A patient discusses with a physician about treatment selection and decides himself. There is no gold standard in the treatment of low back pain, and treatment should depend on patients.

There are some warnings to physicians about decision making^{38,39}. According to them, patients are likely to overestimate benefits of the treatment and underestimate harms. Physicians are likely to be optimists unable to evaluate prognosis clearly. They suggest that physicians should provide the patients with sufficient information about benefits and harms of the treatment and get them clearly understand the risk assessment.

Rest

It has been proved by many studies since 1900s that rest is not a treatment for low back pain. And it is known to everyone. Sitting itself was reported to be a risk for health⁴⁰. And there are many similar studies. One study shows that reduced sitting time is associated with a delay of aging⁴¹.

Another study finds that prolonged sitting increases risk of serious illness and death regardless of exercise⁴². Another says that there is a positive association between sitting time and risk of anxiety⁴³. There is a study denying the association between sitting time and mortality risk⁴⁴.

All of these studies indicate that rest without any move is a risk for health. Further studies are required to clarify the effects of sitting on health including low back pain.

Pharmacological therapy

Medication is widely used for the treatment of low back pain. There is little evidence, however, supporting its effectiveness for chronic low back pain⁴⁵.

Opioid use in Japan has recently started, but it has not been related to improvement in disability or dysfunction⁴⁶. There is an evidence of short-term efficacy (moderate for pain and mild for function) of opioid to treat chronic low back pain compared with placebo⁴⁷. There have been no signs of substantial progress in developing safe and effective medication in these 35 years⁴⁸.

Evidence that NSAIDs are superior to other oral analgesics has not been found⁴⁹. Further research is required to identify the best analgesic.

Acetaminophen, which has been recommended as the first-line analgesic, is questioned about its efficacy. There is a study reporting constant recommendation of acetaminophen as the first-line analgesic should be changed⁵⁰. Other studies indicate that acetaminophen has a general blunting effect on individuals' emotional processing⁵¹ and that acetaminophen is not effective in the treatment of low back pain and provides minimal short-term benefit for people with osteoarthritis⁵².

There are some studies opposing the above opinions. One study insists that the content of guidelines should not be changed on the basis of a single trial⁵³. Another study shows anxiety among older patients who need analgesics⁵⁴. Further sophisticated research is required.

Evidence for combination of different analgesics is limited. According to small literature, combined therapy, including antinociceptive and antineuropathic agents, is more effective than monotherapy in patients with chronic low back pain⁵⁵. Methodological improvements in future translational research efforts are needed to maximize the potential of combination pharmacotherapy for pain⁵⁶. Rates of overdose death among those co-dispensed benzodiazepines and opioid analgesics are 10 times higher than opioid analgesics alone⁵⁷. There is urgent need for guidance about combined classes of medicines to facilitate a better balance between pain relief and overdose risk.

Many studies have been published concerning opioid use for noncancer pain. Opioid is a new light from the view of ensuring various options, but it has a lot of problems.

Older patients may have many drugs for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and so on. The benefit and harm in combination of these drugs and analgesics have not been reported.

Exercises

The importance of physical activities for maintaining a good health has been recognized⁵⁸. The belief that physical activities have therapeutic effects on chronic low back pain is widely accepted. Long-term efficacy, however, is not clear. And there is no evidence that one type of exercise is more effective than others⁵⁹.

The effect of walking has been increasingly published. It is recommended because of a low cost and high adherence^{60,61}.

Cognitive behavioral treatment

Cognitive behavioral treatment has attracted attention since it was recommended by European guidelines for management of chronic nonspecific low back pain⁶². It has been proved to be effective. The effectiveness sustains long with a low cost^{63,64}. Furthermore, it increases prefrontal cortex gray matter and dorsolateral prefrontal volume associated with reduced pain⁶⁵. Mindfulness-based stress reduction is reported to be effective for the treatment of chronic low back pain as well as cognitive behavioral treatment⁶⁶.

Physicians should master these techniques as treatment modalities for chronic low back pain.

Surgery

Carefully selected patients who underwent surgery for lumbar disc herniation achieved greater improvement than nonoperatively treated patients⁶⁷. In the systematic review, minimally invasive discectomy, which is the most popular now, was compared with open discectomy to evaluate outcomes of low back pain, duration of hospital stay, quality of life, and so on. Minimally invasive discectomy may be inferior in terms of relief of low back pain although high quality of evidence has not been found⁶⁸.

Patients with spinal stenosis improved more with surgery than with nonoperative treatment⁶⁹. Patients with symptomatic spinal stenosis are elderly persons, and benefits of surgery are diminishing⁷⁰. Many different methods of surgery have been reported, and each method has merit and demerit in effectiveness, safety, and reoperation rate⁷¹. Minimally invasive surgery has conflicting evidences⁷². The evidence supporting superiority of posterior decompression techniques is of low quality⁷³. The large cohort study suggests that addition of fusion to decompression is not associated with an improved outcome⁷⁴. Surgery should be limited to the less invasive procedure in elderly patients.

Fusion

Frequency of spinal fusion has rapidly increased since late 1990s, and the cost has drastically risen. Patient outcome, however, has not improved. Effectiveness of spinal fusion is reevaluated now^{75,76}. Furthermore, frequency of spinal fusion depends on physician's enthusiasm⁷⁷.

Many trials have been made to identify the prognostic patient factors and predictive tests for patient selection, but

there is no consensus⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰. Evidence does not support the use of current tests for patient selection⁸¹. There are some reports that psychological factors are effective indicator of prognosis, or that return to work after surgery is related to psychological factors and psychosocial aspect of work, regardless of MRI or clinical findings, or that fusion should be recommended to the patients without personality disorder^{82,83}. These reports suggest that psychological and social factors should be considered before performing fusions. Outcome of fusion is generally not so good, and patients with workers' compensation have worse outcomes⁸⁴.

Low back pain was a good indication for fusion, but many contrast studies have indicated that superiority of fusion is not proved compared with exercise or cognitive behavioral treatment. There is no difference in treatment effect between fusion and cognitive behavioral treatments. Therefore, physicians should carefully select patients and explain the patients about prognosis before performing fusion.

Recent topics

Pain and sleep

Recent studies suggest that there is a strong association between low back pain and sleep problems⁸⁵. Sleep disturbance was found in approximately 60% of patients with back pain⁸⁶. Poor sleep reduces pain tolerance⁸⁷. Insomnia treatment and encouragement of social participation are possibly effective in elderly patients with low back pain⁸⁸. Cognitive behavioral treatment is effective in the treatment of insomnia and insomnia with comorbid back pain⁸⁹. These reports suggest that existence of sleep disturbance should be checked when diagnosing low back pain and that physicians need to be trained in cognitive behavioral treatment techniques.

Cost-effectiveness

Spine surgery has become hugely expensive, and it is a serious social problem in the United States. Same trend can be seen in Japan, and spine surgery will be targeted for reduction of healthcare cost in the near future.

The total cost of spine surgery in the United States may exceed \$40 billion per year⁹⁰. There is a geographical variation in the prevalence of surgery. Rapid increase of complicated spine surgery has led to serious complications and excessive costs⁹¹. Physicians providing treatment of low back pain should consider cost-effectiveness when deciding treatment.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language [internet]. Burlingame (CA). Love To Know Corp. [cited 2016 October 31]. Available from: URL: <http://americanheritage.yourdictionary.com/>
2. International Association for the Study of Pain, European Federation of IASP Chapters, 2006. Unrelieved pain is a major global healthcare problem. Washington, DC.
3. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012; 380(9859): 2163-96.
4. Genevay S, Atlas SJ, Katz JN. Variation in eligibility criteria from studies of radiculopathy due to a herniated disc and of neurogenic claudication due to lumbar spinal stenosis: a structured literature review. *Spine*. 2010; 35(7): 803-11.
5. Kalichman L, Kim DH, Li L, et al. Computed tomography-evaluated features of spinal degeneration: prevalence, intercorrelation, and association with self-reported low back pain. *Spine J*. 2010; 10(3): 200-8.
6. Gellhorn AC, Katz JN, Suri P. Osteoarthritis of the spine: the facet joints. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2013; 9(4): 216-24.
7. Madigan L, Vaccaro AR, Spector LR, Milam RA. Management of symptomatic lumbar degenerative disk disease. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2009; 17(2): 102-11.
8. Goodman DM, Burke AE, Livingston EH. Low Back Pain. *JAMA*. 2013; 309(16): 1738.
9. Savage RA, Whitehouse GH, Roberts N. The relationship between the magnetic resonance imaging appearance of the lumbar spine and low back pain, age and occupation in males. *Eur Spine J*. 1997; 6(2): 106-14.
10. Peng B, Wu W, Li Z, Guo J, Wang X. Chemical radiculitis. *Pain*. 2007; 127(1-2): 11-6.
11. Wang Y, Videman T, Battié MC. Lumbar vertebral endplate lesions: associations with disc degeneration and back pain history. *Spine*. 2012; 37(17):1490-6.
12. Rajasekaran S, Bajaj N, Tubaki V, et al. The anatomy of failure in lumbar disc herniation: an in vivo, multimodal, prospective study of 181 subjects. *Spine*. 2013; 38(17): 1491-500.
13. Albert HB, Lambert P, Rollason J, et al. Does nuclear tissue infected with bacteria following disc herniations lead to Modic changes in the adjacent vertebrae? *Eur Spine J*. 2013; 22(4): 690-6.
14. Schulz PJ, Hartung U, Riva S. Causes, coping, and culture: a comparative survey study on representation of back pain in three Swiss language regions. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(11): e78029.
15. Kikuchi S. International collaboration beyond the culture gap. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007; 32(13): 1369-74.
16. Kikuchi S. New concept for backache: biopsychosocial pain syndrome. *Eur Spine J*. 2008; 17(Suppl 4): 421-7.
17. Balogh EP, Miller BT, Ball JR. Improving diagnosis in health care. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2015. SUMMARY; 1-18.
18. Don AS, Carragee EJ. Is the self-reported history accurate in patients with persistent axial pain after a motor vehicle accident? *Spine J*. 2009; 9(1): 4-12.
19. Ziswiler HR, Caliezi G, Villiger PM. Assessment of musculoskeletal pain. *Ther Umsch*. 2011; 68(9): 487-94. (in German).
20. van der Windt DA, Simons E, Riphagen II, et al. Physical examination for lumbar radiculopathy due to disc herniation in patients with low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2010; 2: CD007431.
21. Underwood M. Diagnosing acute nonspecific low back pain: time to lower the red flags? *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009; 60(10): 2855-7.
22. Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM. Screening for malignancy in low back pain patients: a systematic review. *Eur Spine J*. 2007; 16(10): 1673-9.

23. Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM. A systematic review identifies five “red flags” to screen for vertebral fracture in patients with low back pain. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2008; 61(2): 110-8.
24. Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, et al. Prevalence of and screening for serious spinal pathology in patients presenting to primary care settings with acute low back pain. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009; 60(10): 3072-80.
25. Downie A, Williams CM, Henschke N, et al. Red flags to screen for malignancy and fracture in patients with low back pain: systematic review. *BMJ.* 2013; 347: f7095.
26. Caldwell G. What is the main cause of avoidable harm to patients? *BMJ.* 2010; 341: c4593.
27. McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, et al. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2003; 348(26): 2635-45.
28. Good Stewardship Working Group. The “top 5” lists in primary care: meeting the responsibility of professionalism. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011; 171(15): 1385-90.
29. Hillman BJ, Goldsmith J. Imaging: the self-referral boom and the ongoing search for effective policies to contain it. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2010; 29(12): 2231-6.
30. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Martin BI. Overtreating chronic back pain: time to back off? *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2009; 22(1): 62-8.
31. Chou R, Qaseem A, Owens DK, Shekelle P; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Diagnostic imaging for low back pain: advice for high-value health care from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011; 154(3):181-9.
32. Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, Deyo RA. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2009; 373(9662): 463-72.
33. Cohen SP, Gupta A, Strassels SA, et al. Effect of MRI on treatment results or decision making in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy referred for epidural steroid injections: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012; 172(2): 134-42.
34. Friedly J, Deyo RA. Imaging and uncertainty in the use of lumbar epidural steroid injections: comment on “effect of MRI on treatment results or decision making in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy referred for epidural steroid injections”. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012; 172(2): 142-3.
35. Baker LC. Acquisition of MRI equipment by doctors drives up imaging use and spending. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2010; 29(12): 2252-9.
36. Shreibati JB, Baker LC. The relationship between low back magnetic resonance imaging, surgery, and spending: impact of physician self-referral status. *Health Serv Res.* 2011; 46(5): 1362-81.
37. Hughes DR, Bhargavan M, Sunshine JH. Imaging self-referral associated with higher costs and limited impact on duration of illness. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2010; 29(12): 2244-51.
38. Korenstein D. Patient perception of benefits and harms: the Achilles heel of high-value care. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015; 175(2): 287-8.
39. Stacey D, Légaré F, Col NF, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014; 10: CD001431.
40. Stamatakis E, Chau JY, Pedisic Z, et al. Are sitting occupations associated with increased all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality risk? A pooled analysis of seven British population cohorts. *PLoS One.* 2013; 8(9): e73753.
41. Sjögren P, Fisher R, Kallings L, Svenson U, Roos G, Hellénus ML. Stand up for health--avoiding sedentary behaviour might lengthen your telomeres: secondary outcomes from a physical activity RCT in older people. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014; 48(19): 1407-9.
42. Biswas A, Oh PI, Faulkner GE, Bajaj RR, Silver MA, Mitchell MS. Sedentary time and its association with risk for disease incidence, mortality, and hospitalization in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015; 162(2): 123-32.
43. Teychenne M, Costigan SA, Parker K. The association between sedentary behaviour and risk of anxiety: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2015; 15: 513.
44. Pulsford RM, Stamatakis E, Britton AR, Brunner EJ, Hillsdon M. Associations of sitting behaviours with all-cause mortality over a 16-year follow-up: the Whitehall II study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2015; 44(6): 1909-16.
45. Kuijpers T, van Middelkoop M, Rubinstein SM, et al. A systematic review on the effectiveness of pharmacological interventions for chronic non-specific low-back pain. *Eur Spine J.* 2011; 20(1): 40-50.
46. Ashworth J, Green DJ, Dunn KM, et al. Opioid use among low back pain patients in primary care: Is opioid prescription associated with disability at 6-month follow-up? *Pain.* 2013; 154(7): 1038-44.
47. Chaparro LE, Furlan AD, Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Atlas S, Turk DC. Opioids compared with placebo or other treatments for chronic low back pain: an update of the Cochrane Review. *Spine.* 2014; 39(7): 556-63.
48. Kissin I. Scientometric assessment of drugs for chronic pain, 1979-2013: rapid growth of publications, paucity of successful drugs. *J Pain Res.* 2014; 7: 505-14.
49. Jones P, Dalziel SR, Lamdin R, Miles-Chan JL, Frampton C. Oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs versus other oral analgesic agents for acute soft tissue injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015; 7: CD007789.
50. Williams CM, Maher CG, Latimer J, et al. Efficacy of paracetamol for acute low-back pain: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2014; 384(9954): 1586-96.
51. Durso GR, Luttrell A, Way BM. Over-the-Counter Relief From Pains and Pleasures Alike: Acetaminophen Blunts Evaluation Sensitivity to Both Negative and Positive Stimuli. *Psychol Sci.* 2015; 26(6): 750-8.
52. Machado GC, Maher CG, Ferreira PH, et al. Efficacy and safety of paracetamol for spinal pain and osteoarthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled trials. *BMJ.* 2015; 350: h1225.
53. Koes BW, Enthoven WT. Do patients with acute low-back pain need paracetamol? *Lancet.* 2014; 384(9954): 1556-7.
54. Enthoven WT, Scheele J, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, et al. Analgesic use in older adults with back pain: the BACE study. *Pain Med.* 2014; 15(10): 1704-14.
55. Romanò CL, Romanò D, Lacerenza M. Antineuropathic and antinociceptive drugs combination in patients with chronic low back pain: a systematic review. *Pain Res Treat.* 2012; 2012: 154781.
56. Gilon I, Jensen TS, Dickenson AH. Combination pharmacotherapy for management of chronic pain: from bench to bedside. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013; 12(11): 1084-95.
57. Dasgupta N, Funk MJ, Proescholdbell S, Hirsch A, Ribisl KM, Marshall S. Cohort Study of the Impact of High-Dose Opioid Analgesics on Overdose Mortality. *Pain Med.* 2016; 17(1): 85-98.
58. de Souto Barreto P. Global health agenda on non-communicable diseases: has WHO set a smart goal for physical activity? *BMJ.* 2015; 350: h23.

59. Taylor NF, Dodd KJ, Shields N, Bruder A. Therapeutic exercise in physiotherapy practice is beneficial: a summary of systematic reviews 2002-2005. *Aust J Physiother.* 2007; 53(1): 7-16.
60. Hendrick P, Te Wake AM, Tikkietty AS, Wulff L, Yap C, Milosavljevic S. The effectiveness of walking as an intervention for low back pain: a systematic review. *Eur Spine J.* 2010; 19(10): 1613-20.
61. Hurlley DA, Tully MA, Lonsdale C, et al. Supervised walking in comparison with fitness training for chronic back pain in physiotherapy: results of the SWIFT single-blinded randomized controlled trial (ISRCTN17592092). *Pain.* 2015; 156(1): 131-47.
62. Airaksinen O, Brox JI, Cedraschi C, et al. Chapter 4. European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. *Eur Spine J.* 2006; 15(Suppl 2): S192-S300.
63. Lamb SE, Hansen Z, Lall R, et al. Group cognitive behavioural treatment for low-back pain in primary care: a randomised controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Lancet.* 2010; 375(9718): 916-23.
64. Lamb SE, Mistry D, Lall R, et al. Group cognitive behavioural interventions for low back pain in primary care: extended follow-up of the Back Skills Training Trial (ISRCTN54717854). *Pain.* 2012; 153(2): 494-501.
65. Seminowicz DA, Shpaner M, Keaser ML, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy increases prefrontal cortex gray matter in patients with chronic pain. *J Pain.* 2013; 14(12): 1573-84.
66. Cherkin DC, Sherman KJ, Balderson BH, et al. Effect of mindfulness-based stress reduction vs cognitive behavioral therapy or usual care on back pain and functional limitations in adults with chronic low back pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2016; 315(12): 1240-9.
67. Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Tosteson AN, et al. Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar disc herniation: eight-year results for the spine patient outcomes research trial. *Spine.* 2014; 39(1): 3-16.
68. Rasouli MR, Rahimi-Movaghar V, Shokraneh F, Moradi-Lakeh M, Chou R. Minimally invasive discectomy versus microdiscectomy/open discectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014; 9: CD010328.
69. Pearson A, Lurie J, Tosteson T, Zhao W, Abdu W, Weinstein J. Who should have surgery for spinal stenosis? Treatment effect predictors in SPORT. *Spine.* 2012; 37(21): 1791-802.
70. Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Tosteson A, et al. Long-term outcomes of lumbar spinal stenosis: eight-year results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *Spine.* 2015; 40(2): 63-76.
71. Deyo RA, Martin BI, Ching A, et al. Interspinous spacers compared with decompression or fusion for lumbar stenosis: complications and repeat operations in the Medicare population. *Spine.* 2013; 38(10): 865-72.
72. Strömqvist BH, Berg S, Gerdhem P, et al. X-stop versus decompressive surgery for lumbar neurogenic intermittent claudication: randomized controlled trial with 2-year follow-up. *Spine.* 2013; 38(17): 1436-42.
73. Overvest GM, Jacobs W, Vleggeert-Lankamp C, et al. Effectiveness of posterior decompression techniques compared with conventional laminectomy for lumbar stenosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015; 3: CD010036.
74. Försth P, Michaëlsson K, Sandén B. Does fusion improve the outcome after decompressive surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis? A two-year follow-up study involving 5390 patients. *Bone Joint J.* 2013; 95-B(7): 960-5.
75. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Martin BI, Kreuter W, Goodman DC, Jarvik JG. Trends, major medical complications, and charges associated with surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in older adults. *JAMA.* 2010; 303(13): 1259-65.
76. Rajae SS, Bae HW, Kanim LE, Delamarter RB. Spinal fusion in the United States: analysis of trends from 1998 to 2008. *Spine.* 2012; 37(1): 67-76.
77. Bederman SS, Coyte PC, Kreder HJ, Mahomed NN, McIsaac WJ, Wright JG. Who's in the driver's seat? The influence of patient and physician enthusiasm on regional variation in degenerative lumbar spinal surgery: a population-based study. *Spine.* 2011; 36(6): 481-9.
78. Chou R, Shekelle P. Will this patient develop persistent disabling low back pain? *JAMA.* 2010; 303(13): 1295-302.
79. Willems P, de Bie R, Oner C, et al. Clinical decision making in spinal fusion for chronic low back pain. Results of a nationwide survey among spine surgeons. *BMJ Open.* 2011; 1(2): e000391.
80. Willems PC, Staal JB, Walenkamp GH, de Bie RA. Spinal fusion for chronic low back pain: systematic review on the accuracy of tests for patient selection. *Spine J.* 2013; 13(2): 99-109.
81. Chou R. Commentary: Successful spinal fusion surgery: can we improve the odds? *Spine J.* 2013; 13(2): 110-2.
82. Schade V, Semmer N, Main CJ, Hora J, Boos N. The impact of clinical, morphological, psychosocial and work-related factors on the outcome of lumbar discectomy. *Pain.* 1999; 80(1-2): 239-49.
83. Daubs MD, Norvell DC, McGuire R, et al. Fusion versus nonoperative care for chronic low back pain: do psychological factors affect outcomes? *Spine.* 2011; 36(21 Suppl): S96-109.
84. Anderson JT, Haas AR, Percy R, Woods ST, Ahn UM, Ahn NU. Single-level lumbar fusion for degenerative disc disease is associated with worse outcomes compared with fusion for spondylolisthesis in a workers' compensation setting. *Spine.* 2015; 40(5): 323-31.
85. Alsaadi SM, McAuley JH, Hush JM, Maher CG. Prevalence of sleep disturbance in patients with low back pain. *Eur Spine J.* 2011; 20(5): 737-43.
86. Sivertsen B, Lallukka T, Petrie KJ, Steingrimsdóttir ÓA, Stubhaug A, Nielsen CS. Sleep and pain sensitivity in adults. *Pain.* 2015; 156(8): 1433-9.
87. Tang NK, McBeth J, Jordan KP, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Croft P, Wilkie R. Impact of musculoskeletal pain on insomnia onset: a prospective cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2015; 54(2): 248-56.
88. Trauer JM, Qian MY, Doyle JS, Rajaratnam SM, Cunnington D. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Chronic Insomnia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015; 163(3): 191-204.
89. Morin CM. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Chronic Insomnia: State of the Science Versus Current Clinical Practices. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015; 163(3): 236-7.
90. Deyo RA. Fusion surgery for lumbar degenerative disc disease: still more questions than answers. *Spine J.* 2015; 15(2): 272-4.
91. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Martin BI, Kreuter W, Goodman DC, Jarvik JG. Trends, major medical complications, and charges associated with surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in older adults. *JAMA.* 2010; 303(13): 1259-65.