

Left atrial appendage thrombus formation in a patient with atrial fibrillation on dabigatran therapy associated with CES1 and ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms

A case report

Tingting Wu, BS^{a,b}, Xiaotong Xia, BS^{a,b}, Jinglan Fu, BS^{a,b}, Wenjun Chen, BS^{a,b}, Jinhua Zhang, PhD^{a,b,*}

Abstract

Rationale: Dabigatran is a direct thrombin inhibitor that is widely used to prevent the formation of thrombus formation. Amiodarone can increase the plasma concentration of dabigatran. *CES1* (carboxylesterase 1) and *ABCB1* (ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1) genetic polymorphisms associate with the pharmacokinetics of dabigatran.

Patient concerns: A 62-year-old woman was admitted to the hospital due to chest tightness, fatigue, and discomfort despite long-term anticoagulation with dabigatran 110 mg twice daily for 6 months, with concomitant use of amiodarone.

Diagnoses: Left atrial appendage thrombus formation with a history of atrial fibrillation.

Interventions: The clinician changed dabigatran to warfarin. To explore the causes of insufficient anticoagulation using dabigatran in this patient, we examined the *ABCB1* and *CES1* genes. Results showed that she carried *ABCB1* variant alleles with 3 heterozygote single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs: rs4148738, rs1045642, rs2032582) and *CES1* variant alleles with 2 heterozygote SNPs (rs2244613, rs4580160).

Outcomes: The left atrial appendage thrombus disappeared.

Lessons: Multiple mutations in the *ABCB1* and *CES1* genes may influence the pharmacokinetics of dabigatran and could have contributed to the thrombus formation in the left atrial appendage.

Abbreviations: ABCB1 = ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1, AF = atrial fibrillation, CES1 = carboxylesterase 1, INR = international normalized ratio, LAAT = left atrial appendage thrombus, LAT = left atrial thrombus, NOACs = novel oral anticoagulants, P-gp = P-glycoprotein, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphisms, VKAs = vitamin K antagonists.

Keywords: ABCB1, CES1, dabigatran, genetic polymorphisms, left atrial appendage thrombus

Editor: Maya Saranathan.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (grant number 2018Y0037).

Informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report. Approval of the study by our hospitals ethics committee was not required because it was a case report.

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

^a Department of Pharmacy, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, ^b College of Pharmacy, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, China.

* Correspondence: Jinhua Zhang, Department of Pharmacy, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, #29 Xinquan Road, Fuzhou 350001, China (e-mail: pollyzhang2006@126.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wu T, Xia X, Fu J, Chen W, Zhang J. Left atrial appendage thrombus formation in a patient with atrial fibrillation on dabigatran therapy associated with CES1 and ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms: A case report. Medicine 2020;99:36(e22084).

Received: 17 November 2019 / Received in final form: 20 June 2020 / Accepted: 7 August 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000022084

1. Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia, with an incidence of approximately 2% to 3% in the general population,^[1] increasing the risk of ischemic stroke by about 5 times.^[2] In recent years, the safety and efficacy of catheter ablation for the treatment of symptomatic AF has been clinically verified and confirmed, especially for those patients who cannot tolerate antiarrhythmia drugs or have shown no efficacy after treatment with these drugs.^[3] However, left atrial thrombus (LAT)/left atrial appendage thrombus (LAAT) is a contraindication for catheter ablation. Effective anticoagulation should be performed for at least 3 weeks before catheter ablation, and the presence of LAT and LAAT should be excluded before catheter ablation.^[4]

Before catheter ablation, vitamin K antagonists (VKAs), or novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) can be selected for oral anticoagulant therapy. The advantages of NOACs include a wide therapeutic window,^[5,6] no food interactions, few drug interactions, predictable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles and no coagulation monitoring compared with VKAs. NOACs are comparable to or even better than VKAs, with reduced overall mortality and cardiovascular mortality, lower incidence of intracranial hemorrhage and total bleeding^[7] and are increasingly used to prevent thrombosis. Dabigatran etexilate,



Figure 1. Echocardiography showing a large thrombus in the left atrial appendage after 6 months of anticoagulation with dabigatran.

the prodrug of dabigatran, is converted by carboxylesterase 1 (CES1) to the active form, dabigatran.^[8] In addition, dabigatran etexilate is the substrate for P-glycoprotein (P-gp), which is an efflux transporter. The ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1 (ABCB1) gene encodes P-gp.^[9] Some studies have shown that individual differences exist in clinical dabigatran etexilate anticoagulation therapy, which were associated with polymorphisms in the CES1- and ABCB1-related genes.^[10] A total of 80% of dabigatran is excreted by the kidneys, and its halflife is related to the patients renal function and creatinine clearance rate. Renal function can affect the elimination of dabigatran and, thus, affect its efficacy, therefore it is important to pay close attention to the renal function of patients.^[11] Dabigatran drug interactions are primarily mediated by P-gp rather than cytochrome P450,^[12] so caution should be exercised during the concomitant use of P-gp inducers, such as rifampicin (decreased concentration), and P-gp inhibitors, such as ketoconazole, quinidine, amiodarone, and verapamil (increased concentration).^[13,14] Herein, we report a patient with AF that was treated with dabigatran 110 mg twice daily for 6 months prior to catheter ablation. The patient developed LAAT due to insufficient anticoagulation; we analyzed the causes of LAAT formation under this antithrombotic condition.

2. Case report

The patient, a 62-year-old female, was admitted to the local hospital on May 23, 2016 due to chest tightness, palpitation and fatigue for 2 days. She was diagnosed with "AF, dilated cardiomyopathy, grade 2 cardiac function and thalassemia", and the symptoms improved after drug treatment, including warfarin anticoagulant treatment. The patient was regularly monitored for international normalized ratio (INR), which was basically stable within the target range. On November 8, 2016, the patient had a echocardiography examination, showing that there was no thrombosis. On April 20, 2017, it was changed to receive 110 mg of dabigatran twice daily to prevent stroke and

systemic embolism. Along with the administration of dabigatran, the patient received digoxin (0.125 mg qd), furosemide (10 mg qd), spironolactone (20 mg qd), bisoprolol (2.5 mg qd), amiodarone (100 mg qd), and perindopril (4 mg qd). The patient had good compliance. On October 26, 2017, the patient was readmitted to the hospital due to chest tightness, fatigue and discomfort. She decided to receive catheter ablation, and the echocardiography examination showed a large thrombus $(31 \text{ mm} \times 27 \text{ mm})$ in the left atrial appendage (Fig. 1), with an enlarged left atrium diameter (57mm) and normal cardiac ejection fraction (66%). The catheter ablation was cancelled because of the left atrial appendage thrombus. The clinician changed dabigatran to 2.5 mg warfarin daily and continued to give the patient bisoprolol to control the ventricular rate. The patient did not complain about heart palpitations, chest tightness, or other discomforts and was discharged. INR was monitored regularly after discharge to control INR within the target range. On December 14, 2017, the patient underwent another echocardiography, and the left atrial appendage thrombus had disappeared (Fig. 2).

The patients renal function was normal, with 51.5 µmol/L of creatinine. The creatinine clearance rate was 81 ml/minute, and the glomerular filtration rate was 136 ml/minute/1.73 m². The medications the patient was taking included perindopril, bisoprolol, digoxin and amiodarone, among which, amiodarone is a moderate P-gp inhibitor that can increase the plasma concentration of dabigatran.^[15] Other drugs have not been reported to have an effect on the metabolism of dabigatran. It has been reported that the ABCB1 and CES1 genes are involved in the pharmacokinetics of dabigatran. To explore the causes of insufficient anticoagulation using dabigatran in this patient, we examined the ABCB1 and CES1 genes. The polymorphisms of ABCB1 (rs 4148738, rs1045642, rs2032582), CES1 (rs 8192935, rs 2244613, rs71647871), and CES1P2 (rs 4580160) were determined by polymerase chain reaction product sequencing. The polymerase chain reaction primers are presented in Table 1. The results showed that the patient exhibited mutations at all 3 sites of ABCB1, but only 2 sites of CES1



Figure 2. Echocardiography showing no thrombus in the left atrial appendage after 50 days of anticoagulation with warfarin.

(rs2244613 and rs4580160). All site mutations were heterozygous mutations, as shown in Table 2.

3. Discussion

We have reported a case of AF with thrombus in the left atrial appendage despite receiving treatment with 110 mg of dabigatran twice daily for 6 months prior to catheter ablation. Our patient demonstrated normal renal function combined with the P-gp inhibitor, amiodarone. Amiodarone can increase the plasma concentration of dabigatran by 12% to 60% according to the 2018 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with AF.^[15] However, mutations in ABCB1 and CES1 alleles may affect dabigatran plasma concentration resulting in failure of dabigatran anticoagulation. Patients with AF carrying 1 or 2 A alleles showed 5% lower trough concentration compared with homozygotes for ABCB1 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) rs4148738 (G allele).^[9] Gouin-Thibault et al^[16] performed a haplotype combination analysis of ABCB1 SNP rs2032582 and rs1045642 and found that homozygous and heterozygous mutations increased the peak plasma concentration (C_{max}) of

Table 1

The polymerase chain reaction primers used for amplification of ABCB1 and CES1.

SNP [*]	Forward	Reverse
rs4148738	AGCAGGTTGGCAAACATTGTC	CTGAAGATTAACACTCACTGTCCT
rs2032582	AGCAAATCTTGGGACAGGAATAAT	AAAGATTGCTTTGAGGAATGGTTAT
rs1045642	TCTTGTTTTCAGCTGCTTGATGG	ATCAAACTATAGGCCAGAGAGGC
rs8192935	AGCAGCCAGGTGTTCG	TTATGGTTCAATACCCAATG
rs2244613	CTGTGGAAGTCCTATCCCCTTG	ACCAAACATCACATCTGCTATCAA
rs71647871	GCATGTCACAGAGGCTGAGT	AGGGTGATGGGAGTGTCCTC
rs4580160	GTCCAAGGAGGGATTCCAGAGAT	AATTGGCTCATGTCACAGTTCCAG

Single nucleotide polymorphism.

dabigatran by 33% and 13%, respectively, and the AUC by 28% and 25%, respectively. The CES1 SNP rs2244613 is associated with a 15% decrease in adjusted trough concentration of dabigatran per minor allele.^[17] Gouin-Thibault et al^[16] indicated that heterozygous and homozygous CES1 rs2244613 mutated groups showed 14% and 26% lower AUC values, respectively, as well as 13% and 43% lower C_{max} values, respectively, compared with the wild-type groups. However, no correlation has been reported between CES1P2 SNP rs4580160 and plasma concentration or anticoagulant efficacy of dabigatran. The association between gene polymorphisms and ischemic events in patients taking dabigatran has not been reported. The patient we reported here had multiple gene site mutations in ABCB1 and CES1. According to a previous study by Dimatteo et al,^[9] an ABCB1 rs4148738 from GG to GA mutation may reduce the plasma concentration of dabigatran by 5%. According to the studies of Pare and Gouin-Thibault et al, $^{[16,17]}$ a heterozygous mutation in CES1 rs2244613 may reduce the trough concentration of dabigatran by 15% and the peak concentration by 13%, whereas the heterozygous mutation of ABCB1 rs2032582 and rs1045642 may increase the peak concentration of dabigatran by

Table 2

The results of ABCB1 and CES1 genotyping and the effects on the concentration of dabigatran according to previous studies.

SNP [*]	Locus	Function	Results	Concentration
rs4148738	ABCB1 [†]	Intron	GA	-5% (peak) ^[9]
rs1045642	ABCB1	Intron	TC	+13%(peak, rs1045642
rs2032582	ABCB1	Intron	TG	and rs2032582) ^[16]
rs2244613	CES1 [‡]	Intron	CA	—15% (trough) ^[17]
				-13% (peak) ^[16]
rs4580160	CES1P2	Intron	AG	unknown

* single nucleotide polymorphism.

[†] ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1.

* carboxylesterase 1.

13%. We suspect that the ability of heterozygous mutations (*ABCB1* rs4148738 and *CES1* rs2244613) to down-regulate the plasma concentration of dabigatran is stronger than to upregulate the plasma concentration of dabigatran due to additional factors, including *ABCB1* rs2032582 and rs1045642 heterozygous mutations and the combined use of amiodarone. The overall plasma concentration of dabigatran in the patient decreased, eventually leading to the formation of the left atrial appendage thrombus.

Currently, there have been very few cases of left atrial appendage thrombi forming in patients with AF treated with dabigatran. Zhi-Chun Gu et al^[18] reported a case of left atrial appendage thrombosis in a patient with persistent AF after anticoagulant treatment with 110 mg dabigatran twice daily for 31 months. The possible reason given by the authors was that the patient had multiple gene locus mutations in the ABCB1 and CES1 genes, and combined treatment with atorvastatin. In contrast, amiodarone, a moderate P-gp inhibitor, was administered in our patient reported here, which increased the plasma concentration of dabigatran by 12% to 60%,^[15] increasing the risk of bleeding. In addition, the patient we reported demonstrated heterozygous mutations, including ABCB1 rs2032582 and rs1045642. However, the polymorphisms ABCB1 rs2032582 and rs1045642 were not observed in the case report by Zhi-Chun Gu et al.

Our report has a few potential limitations. First, we were unable to determine the actual plasma concentration of dabigatran in the patient at the time, and the speculation of plasma concentration caused by gene mutation was based on the reported studies related to the pharmacogenomics of dabigatran. Secondly, the pharmacogenomics of dabigatran is a relatively new field; there are still many gene polymorphisms to be examine, therefore it is possible that other potential unknown gene polymorphisms have influenced the plasma concentration of dabigatran.

4. Conclusion

In the present case, we suggest that mutations in the ABCB1 and CES1 genes may be the main cause of dabigatran anticoagulation failure. Under the combined use of amiodarone, we suspect that the ability of heterozygous mutations (ABCB1 rs4148738 and CES1 rs2244613) to down-regulate the plasma concentration of dabigatran is stronger than to up-regulate the plasma concentration of dabigatran due to additional factors, including ABCB1 rs2032582 and rs1045642 heterozygous mutations and the combined use of amiodarone. The overall plasma concentration of dabigatran decreased in the patient, eventually leading to thrombus formation in the left atrial appendage. In addition, although no related studies have been reported that show the relationship between CES1P2 rs4580160 and dabigatran plasma concentration, the heterozygous mutation of this gene locus may affect dabigatran plasma concentration. Therefore, more clinical studies are needed to clarify the effects of ABCB1 and CES1 gene polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetics of dabigatran and thrombus formation in the left atrial appendage.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Tingting Wu, Jinhua Zhang.

- Data curation: Jinglan Fu, Wenjun Chen.
- Formal analysis: Xiaotong Xia, Tingting Wu.
- Project administration: Jinhua Zhang, Tingting Wu.
- Writing original draft: Tingting Wu.
- Writing review & editing: Jinhua Zhang, Xiaotong Xia, Jinglan Fu, Wenjun Chen.

References

- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J 2016;37:2893–962.
- [2] Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;365:883–91.
- [3] Phan K, Wang N, Pison L, et al. Meta-analysis of dabigatran vs warfarin in patients undergoing catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. Int J Cardiol 2015;189:199–203.
- [4] Huang CX, Zhang S, Huang JD, et al. Atrial fibrillation: current understanding and treatment recommendations. Chin J Cardiac Pacing Electrophysiol 2018;32:315–68.
- [5] Vazquez S, Rondina MT. Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs). Vasc Med 2015;20:575–7.
- [6] Nathwani S, Wanis C. Novel oral anticoagulants and exodontia: the evidence. Br Dent J 2017;222:623–8.
- [7] Douketis J, Bell AD, Eikelboom J, et al. Approach to the new oral anticoagulants in family practice: Part 2: addressing frequently asked questions. Can Fam Physician 2014;60:997–1001.
- [8] Stangier J, Clemens A. Pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of dabigatran etexilate, an oral direct thrombin inhibitor. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2009;15:9S–16S.
- [9] Dimatteo C, D'Andrea G, Vecchione G, et al. Pharmacogenetics of dabigatran etexilate interindividual variability. Thromb Res 2016;144:1–5.
- [10] Kanuri SH, Kreutz RP. Pharmacogenomics of novel direct oral anticoagulants: newly identified genes and genetic variants. J Pers Med 2019;9.
- [11] Knauf F, Chaknos CM, Berns JS, et al. Dabigatran and kidney disease: a bad combination. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2013;8:1591–7.
- [12] Hellwig T, Gulseth M. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions with new oral anticoagulants: what do they mean for patients with atrial fibrillation. Ann Pharmacother 2013;47:1478–87.
- [13] Nagarakanti R, Ellis CR. Dabigatran in clinical practice. Clin Ther 2012;34:2051-60.
- [14] Wessler J, Grip LT, Mendell J, et al. The P-glycoprotein transport system and cardiovascular drugs. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:2495–502.
- [15] Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, et al. The 2018 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2018;39:1330–93.
- [16] Gouin-Thibault I, Delavenne X, Blanchard A, et al. Interindividual variability in dabigatran and rivaroxaban exposure: contribution of ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms and interaction with clarithromycin. J Thromb Haemost 2016;15:273–83.
- [17] Paré G, Eriksson N, Lehr T, et al. Genetic determinants of dabigatran plasma levels and their relation to bleeding. Circulation 2013;127:1404–12.
- [18] Gu ZC, Ma XW, Zheng XY, et al. Left atrial appendage thrombus formation in a patient on dabigatran therapy associated with ABCB1 and CES-1 genetic defect. Front Pharmacol 2018;9:491.