scientific reports



OPEN Genome-wide identification and in silico analysis of NPF, NRT2, CLC and SLAC1/SLAH nitrate transporters in hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum)

Aman Kumar, Nitika Sandhu[⊠], Pankaj Kumar, Gomsie Pruthi, Jasneet Singh, Satinder Kaur & Parveen Chhuneja

Nitrogen transport is one of the most important processes in plants mediated by specialized transmembrane proteins. Plants have two main systems for nitrogen uptake from soil and its transport within the system—a low-affinity transport system and a high-affinity transport system. Nitrate transporters are of special interest in cereal crops because large amount of money is spent on N fertilizers every year to enhance the crop productivity. Till date four gene families of nitrate transporter proteins; NPF (nitrate transporter 1/peptide transporter family), NRT2 (nitrate transporter 2 family), the CLC (chloride channel family), and the SLAC/SLAH (slow anion channel-associated homologues) have been reported in plants. In our study, in silico mining of nitrate transporter genes along with their detailed structure, phylogenetic and expression analysis was carried out. A total of 412 nitrate transporter genes were identified in hexaploid wheat genome using HMMER based homology searches in IWGSC Refseq v2.0. Out of those twenty genes were root specific, 11 leaf/shoot specific and 17 genes were grain/spike specific. The identification of nitrate transporter genes in the close proximity to the previously identified 67 marker-traits associations associated with the nitrogen use efficiency related traits in nested synthetic hexaploid wheat introgression library indicated the robustness of the reported transporter genes. The detailed crosstalk between the genome and proteome and the validation of identified putative candidate genes through expression and gene editing studies may lay down the foundation to improve nitrogen use efficiency of cereal crops.

Nitrogen is one of the essential elements required by plants. It is a constituent of nucleic acids, amino acids and proteins and therefore is of great importance in plant physiology and metabolic processes. Though N₂ is abundant in atmosphere, only legumes are able to fix atmospheric N_2 with the help of *Rhizobium* bacteria. All other plants mainly absorb N in the form of inorganic ions (ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-)) from soil. Nitrate is mostly absorbed in aerobic soils, while ammonium is mostly absorbed in acidic soils and wet lands. After uptake, NO_3^- and NH_4^+ are assimilated, transformed and mobilized through various processes within plant system.

The agricultural systems focussed on the high-yield crop production remove nitrogen from the soil and depends mostly on the application of large quantities of nitrogenous fertilizers such as urea for the sustained productivity over time. Unfortunately, a large fraction of the applied nitrogen is not directly absorbed by the plants and is lost by the leaching¹. Despite significant efforts made by the scientific community in the last 50 years, the nitrogen-use efficiency for the cereal crops has not been improved². Beyond this, the economic losses and detrimental environmental consequences caused by the use of large quantities of fertilizers in agriculture are critical issues to be considered^{3,4}. Unravelling the genomic regions or the putative candidate genes improving nitrogen-use efficiency will be the first step toward developing nutrient-efficient crop varieties.

To transport N from soil to roots and to other parts of plants, plasma membrane localized proteins known as transporters are essential. They are involved in regulation of N root uptake, root to shoot and leaf to sink transport^{5,6}. Plants have evolved two systems for N uptake to cope with changes in N availability. These two

School of Agricultural Biotechnology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. 🗠 email: nitikasandhu (a pau.edu

systems are the low-affinity transport system (LATS) and high-affinity transport system (HATS). A low-affinity transport system (LATS) is involved where adequate amounts of nitrogen levels are present. A high-affinity transport system (HATS) is involved where limited amounts of N are present. Plants have two low-affinity and two high affinity N transport systems, for nitrate (*NRT1*- low-affinity NO₃⁻ transporters and *NRT2*-high-affinity NO₃⁻ transporters) and ammonium (*AMT1*-low-affinity NH₄⁺ transporters and *AMT2*-high affinity NH₄⁺ transporters). Majority of N in cereal crops such as wheat is taken up in form of nitrate (NO₃⁻). Therefore, nitrate transporters are of great importance.

In plants four families of NO3⁻ transporters have been identified named NPF (NRT1/PTR), NRT2, CLC (chloride channel) and SLAC1/SLAH (slow type anion channel associated homologs)⁷. NRT1.1 was first NO₃⁻ transporter to be identified in Arabidopsis8. The NRT1 transporter family which has been renamed as NPF family is the largest family of nitrate transporters and can further be classified into eight subfamilies9. In Arabidopsis NPF transporters have been well characterized and contain 53 members divided into eight subfamilies⁹. In rice (Oryza sativa) NPF transporters contain 93 members¹⁰. The majority of NPF transporters are involved in LATS with few exceptions of NRT1.1/NPF6.3 in Arabidopsis and MtNRT1.3 in Medicago truncatula, which are involved in both HATS and LATS^{11,12}. Although majority of NPFs are involved in nitrate transport, several studies have suggested their role in transport of other substrates such as nitrite¹³, peptides¹⁴, amino acids¹⁵ and several plant hormones¹⁶⁻²⁰. The second family known as NRT2 contains high affinity nitrate transporters. A total of seven NRT2 transporters in Arabidopsis²¹ and five NRT2 transporters in rice have been reported^{22,23}. Most of NRT2 transporters require a partner protein—NAR2 (nitrate assimilation related protein) to function as high affinity nitrate transporters²²⁻²⁵. Third family of nitrate transporters, CLC (chloride channel) family is mainly associated with vacoular transport of NO_3^{-26} . In Arabidopsis, six CLC genes have been reported and are responsible for nitrate and chloride homoeostasis, thereby regulating stomatal movement and salt tolerance²⁶⁻²⁸. The fourth family—SLAC1/SLAH (slow type anion channel associated homologs) is anion channel family. In Arabidopsis this family contains four members-SLAC1, SLAH1, SLAH2 and SLAH3 which are involved in the nitrate transport in guard cells and roots and in chloride acquisition²⁹. Together these four transporter families are involved in efficient nitrate uptake and utilization in plants.

To the best of our knowledge, the nitrate transporters in hexaploid wheat have not been characterized and explored completely. There are some studies conducted to access the effect of different nitrogen conditions on some of *NPF* and *NRT2* genes³⁰. Most of the studies in wheat have been conducted on members of *TaNRT2* gene family. Overexpression of *TaNRT2.5* has been associated with increased grain nitrate uptake and yield³¹. *TaNRT2.1* has been associated with post flowering nitrate uptake in wheat³². Expression of *TaNRT2.1* can be induced by nitrogen starvation and abscisic acid (ABA)³³⁻³⁷. Some phylogenetic studies and expression-based studies have been conducted on NPF and *NRT2* genes recently^{34-36,38} but *CLC* and *SLAC1/SLAH* genes still remain uncharacterized. Structure of proteins play very important role in the functionality of transporter proteins but still no studies have been conducted on structure prediction of any of *NPF*, *NRT2*, *CLC* and *SLAC1/SLAH* genes in wheat. In our study we have identified and characterized genes belonging to all the four families of nitrate transporters. Our analysis includes gene composition, chromosomal location, phylogenetic relations with members of rice and *Arabidopsis* and expression analysis. We adopted a new nomenclature for identified genes as the earlier nomenclature systems do not include complete information about subgenome and homoeologs. We have classified the genes based on phylogeny and identified homoeologous pairs of the gene. Expression profiles of all the genes were studied for different developmental stages and different tissues. Further the structures of all the members of gene families were investigated.

Methodology

Sequence search and annotation of nitrate transporter genes. Two methods were used for the identification of NRT1, NRT2 genes in wheat. In the first method, the CDD IDs (conserved domain database IDs) specific to TaNPF, TaCLC, TaSLAC/TaSLAH and TaNRT2 genes (Table 1) were used as identifiers to retrieve genes from the wheat reference genome (IWGSC RefSeq V2.0) from the Ensembl Plants (https://plants.ensem bl.org/index.html). In the second method, protein sequences were downloaded from the NCBI database using Nitrate/Nitrogen transporters, and NRT as queries. Incomplete, partial sequences, hypothetical, and predicted protein sequences were filtered out. The downloaded sequences were manually curated to remove duplicate sequences and incomplete sequences. The remaining protein sequences (1687 genes) were aligned using Clustal Omega, and the output Stockholm file was used to create the HMMER profile. The HMMER profile was used to search similar protein sequences in the wheat protein database downloaded from IWGSC. A total of 403 high confidence and 38 low confidence proteins were obtained. Separate searches were performed for TaCLC and TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes using the same method. A total of 41 TaCLC and 43 TaSLAC1/TaSLAH high confidence genes and 10 TaCLC and 7 TaSLAC1/TaSLAH low confidence genes were obtained. The sequences from both the methods were combined, followed by the removal of low confidence proteins and duplicate sequences, and after manual curation, a final set of 412 genes belonging to all four nitrate transporter families were selected. The same methodology was used to identify sequences for Triticum dicoccoides (AABB), T. turgidum (AABB), T. urartu (AA), and Aegilops tauschii (DD) for comparative analysis.

Maximum likelihood phylogeny of nitrate transporter genes. The alignments of *TaNRT1/TaNPF*, *TaCLC*, *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* and *TaNRT2* sequences were created separately using wheat, rice, and *Arabidopsis* sequences by MAFT (E-INS-I algorithm). The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbour-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model and then selecting the topology having superior log-likelihood value. Evolutionary studies were con-

Conserved domain (CDD/ Pfam Id)	Gene Family	Triticum aestivum (AABBDD) (2n=42)	Arabidopsis thaliana (2n=10)	Oryza sativa (2n=24)	Triticum dicoccoides (AABB) (2n = 28)	Triticum turgidum (AABB) (2n = 28)	Triticum urartu (AA) (2n=14)	Aegilops tauchii (DD) (2n = 14)
cd17413	NPF6	22	3	6	15	15	7	7
cd17414	NPF4	33	7	12	23	22	9	9
cd17415	NPF3	12	1	5	8	8	3	3
cd17416	NPF1 &2	47	17	13	39	32	17	16
cd17417	NPF5	97	16	32	73	79	31	29
cd17418	NPF8	70	5	16	47	47	20	24
cd17419	NPF7	11	3	4	10	8	5	3
Cd17341	NRT2	46	7	4	20	20	15	18
PF00654	CLC	34	6	7	23	22	10	11
PF03595	SLAC1/ SLAH	40	5	8	21	21	12	10
Total		412	71	107	253	239	121	120

Table 1. Summary of nitrate transporter gene numbers in wheat, rice, Arabidopsis and wheat progenitors.

.....

ducted in MEGA X. The consistency of the phylogenetic estimate was evaluated by bootstraps (1000 replicates). The resulting tree was visualized using FIGTREE v.1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Gene structure prediction and identification of homoeologs. The genomic and CDS sequences of genes were downloaded from the Ensembl plants database. The sequence information was utilized to predict the intron/exon positions by using the GSDS server (Gene Structure Display Server, http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu. cn³⁹). Separate phylogenies were generated for members of each subfamily to resolve the relationship between them. The analysis was performed in MEGA X by the method described previously. Homoeologous genes were identified based on the phylogenetic relationship between the members of subfamilies. The information regarding physical positions of genes were obtained from Ensembl Plants database. Genome wide distribution map of nitrate transporter genes was developed by web based online visualization tool PhenoGram (http://visualization. ritchielab.org/phenograms/plot).

Naming of TaNPF, TaNRT2, TaCLC and TaSLAC1/SLAH genes. We adopted the method proposed by Schilling et al.⁴⁰ for the naming of *NRT* genes. The genes were named based on their phylogenetic relationships and subgenome location (A, B, or D). Each gene name started with the abbreviation for the species name *Triticum aestivum (Ta)*, followed by the most closely related *Arabidopsis* gene name (i.e., *NPF1-NPF8, NRT2*), which was followed by the subgenome identifier (A, B, and D). Putative homoeologs were given identical gene names except for the subgenome identifier (*TaNPF4-A1, TaNPF4-B1* and *TaNPF4-D1*). The genes belonging to the same subfamily in the same subgenome were consecutively numbered (Table 2).

Structure prediction of nitrate transporter proteins. Due to the unavailability of crystal structures, gene homology modelling was carried out to predict their three-dimensional (3D) structure. The sequences of *TaNRT1, TaCLC, TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* and *TaNRT2* genes were submitted to web-based server Phyre2⁴¹. Briefly, Phyre2 used PSI-BLAST to detect sequence homologues which was followed by Psi-pred and Diso-pred to predict secondary structure and disorder. Then Hidden Markov models (HMM) of sequences were generated based on homologues detected before. HMMs of query proteins were scanned against library of HMMs of proteins with experimentally solved structures to construct 3D models of query proteins. Transmembrane helix and topology prediction was carried by memsat-svm⁴¹.

Expression analysis of nitrate transporter genes. The RNAseq data of *TaNPF, TaNRT2, TaCLC* and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes of various tissues (root, shoot/leaf, spike, grain) at three developmental stages (seedling, vegetative and reproductive) for Chinese spring and Azhurnaya (cv) was downloaded from the wheat expression database (www.wheat-expression.com). Expression levels were downloaded as $log_2(transcripts per million)$ (log_2tpm) for different tissues at different time points. Several tissue-specific (root, shoot, leaf, grain) genes were identified based on expression patterns. For triad expression analysis, a method described by Ramírez-González et al.⁴² was used. Briefly, the expression data from spring wheat (CS) and Azhurnaya was downloaded from the wheat expression below one tpm were excluded. Expression values were normalized, triads were assigned balanced, A/B/D suppressed or A/B/D dominant profiles. To elucidate the role of Nitrate transporter genes towards N starvation and N recovery, the gene expression data set³⁴⁻³⁶ from wheat omics 1.0 database (http://wheatomics.sdau.edu.cn/) was analysed. The dataset contained expression data in roots of 10-day old wheat plants (Chinese Spring) treated for N-starvation for 5 days and then subjected for N-recovery³⁴⁻³⁶.

Development of validation panel to check the efficacy of the identified nitrate transporter genes. The nested synthetic hexaploid wheat (N-SHW) introgression library constituting a set of 352 breed-

ing lines derived from four sub-populations (Pop1: 75 lines from PDW233/Ae. tauschii acc. pau 14,135 amphiploid //2*BWL4444; Pop2: 106 lines from PDW233/Ae. tauschii acc. pau 14,135 amphiploid //2*BWL3531; Pop3: 88 lines from PBW114/Ae. tauschii acc. pau 14,170 amphiploid //2*BWL4444; Pop4: 83 lines from PBW114/Ae. tauschii acc. pau 14,170 amphiploid //2*BWL3531) were developed⁴³. These N-SHW library, six parents and two synthetic hexaploid wheats were assessed over 2 years in 2018 and 2019 at 3 nitrogen levels [i.e., zero N (0 kg ha^{-1}) , half N (60 kg ha⁻¹) and full N (recommended, 120 kg ha⁻¹]. The detailed phenotyping of the N-SHW introgression libraries for the nitrogen-use efficiency related traits was carried out across years and treatments⁴³. High-density genotyping was performed using the 35 K Axiom® Wheat Breeder's Array (Affymetrix UK Ltd., United Kingdom). The population structure of the 352 N-SHW lines was assessed on the basis of 9,474 SNPs distributed across all 21 wheat chromosomes. The most appropriate K explaining the population structure was K = 3at MAF≥5% (Supplementary Fig. 4A). The kinship heatmap suggested a weak relatedness in the panel (Supplementary Fig. 4B). The first three principal components (PCs) were most informative gradually decreasing (Supplementary Fig. 4C,D) until the tenth PC. The kinship and PCs were considered during the GWAS analysis to correct for population structure. The appropriate number of sub-populations was determined from the largest delta K value of 3 (Supplementary Fig. 4E). The kinship and PCs were considered during the GWAS analysis to identify population structure. Significant marker-trait associations were identified using CMLM (compressed mixed linear model)/P3D (population parameters previously defined) in GAPIT (Genome Association and Prediction Integrated Tool) executed in R. Over 322 marker trait associations for NUE were compared to nitrate transporter genes.

Results

The wheat genome consists of 412 nitrate transporter genes belonging to four different families. A total of 412 nitrate transporter sequences excluding splice variants were identified in IWGSC wheat genome assembly (RefSeq V2.0). The wheat genome consists of 292 TaNPF genes, 34 TaCLC genes, 40 TaSLAC1/ TaSLAH genes and 46 TaNRT2 genes. The TaNPF genes could be divided into eight subgroups (TaNPF1 to TaNPF8) based on the presence of conserved domains (Table 1). TaNPF5 subgroup was the largest group consisting of 97 genes followed by TaNPF8 (70 genes), TaNPF2 (41 genes), TaNPF4 (33 genes), TaNPF6 (22 genes), TaNPF3 (12 genes) and TaNPF7 (11 genes). The NPF1 subgroup was the smallest one consisting of 6 genes present on homoeologous group chromosomes 3A, 3B and 3D. TaNRT1/TaNPF genes were present throughout the genome (Fig. 1). The location of genes across chromosomes varied according to the size of the subfamily. The genes belonging to larger subfamilies (e.g., TaNPF5, TaNPF5, TaNPF2) were predominantly located in tandem positions on the distal region of chromosomes. The genes belonging to smaller subfamilies (TaNPF1, TaNPHF7, TaNPF3) were located on proximal regions of chromosomes. The genes present near distal ends of chromosomes were found to be in the form of clusters in close vicinity to each other. The majority of TaNRT2 genes were present in the clusters on the distal end of homoeologous chromosomes 6A, 6B and 6D. TaCLC genes were distributed across the wheat genome. TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes were only distributed on homoeologous chromosomes 1A,1B, 1D, 2A, 2B, 2D, 3A, 3B and 3D. The predicted gene structures contained several intron regions (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c) for many genes in TaNPF, TaCLC and TaSLAC1/TaSLAH families. All the TaNRT2 genes were intron less. The size of predicted genes ranged between 1 and 25 Kb. Several truncated and duplicated genes were also predicted.

Phylogenetic relationships among nitrate transporter genes. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of all the nitrate transporter genes predicted that wheat contains all the major subfamilies present in *Arabidopsis* and rice (*Oryza sativa*) (Fig. 2a). The *TaNRT1/TaNPF* and *TaNRT2* genes could be classified into five subclades. The subclades in the phylogenetic tree followed species phylogeny with *Arabidopsis* genes displaying sister group relationship with wheat genes. Based on the phylogenetic relationship, *TaNRT1/TaNPF* genes fitted well into eight subfamilies (*TaNPF1* to *TaNPF8*) following the *Arabidopsis* model. The topology of larger subclades (*TaNPF5*, *TaNPF8*, *TaNPF2*) was more complex than smaller subclades as they were more expanded in wheat than *Arabidopsis* and rice (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2). *TaNRT2* genes were present as a separate subclade and were closely related to the *TaNPF2* subfamily. The phylogenetic analysis of *TaCLC* and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes was carried out separately. The results showed *TaCLC* genes could be classified into 6 groups according to phylogenetic relation with *Arabidopsis* and rice genes (Fig. 2b). *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes were divided into 4 subclades. The largest subclade in *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes showed close relationship with rice *SLAC1/SLAH* genes but not with *Arabidopsis* genes (Fig. 2c).

Homoeologs retention and gene duplication in nitrate transporter genes. The number of nitrate transporter genes in each family were significantly higher than those in *Arabidopsis* and rice (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). The comparison with *T. dicoccoides* (AABB), *T. turgidum* (AABB), *T. urartu* (AA) and *Ae. tauschii* (DD) suggested that most of the homoeologs in hexaploid wheat were retained during evolution (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 1). There was also evidence of gene duplications in tetraploids and hexaploid wheat, reflected in gene number and phylogenetic data (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 1a–c). Most duplicated genes were present in subfamilies with a larger number of genes (*TaNPF5, TaNPF8, TaNPF2* and *TaNRT2*). Nitrate transporters could be grouped into 13 triads, 26 diads, 2 tetrads and 48 singleton genes based on phylogeny (Table 3). Out of a total of 292 *TaNPF* genes, about 74% of *TaNPF* genes could be grouped into 72 triads of homoeologous genes (A, B, D) based on phylogenetic relationships. Similarly, 71% of *TaNRT2* genes, 97% of *TaCLC* genes and 80% of *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes could be grouped into homoeologous triads.

	IWGSC RefSeq ID					Name			
Triad/Tetrad/ Diad/ Singleton	A	В	D	Un	A	В	D	Un	
TaNPF1-T1	TraesCS3A02G304400	TraesCS3B02G332100	TraesC- S3D02G297600		TaNPF1-3A1	TaNPF1-3B1	TaNPF1-3D1		
TaNPF1-T2	TraesCS3A02G304500	TraesCS3B02G332000	TraesC- S3D02G297400		TaNPF1-3A2	TaNPF1-3B2	TaNPF1-3D2		
TaNPF2-T1	TraesCS2A02G045500	TraesCS2B02G057700	TraesC- S2D02G044200		TaNPF2-2A1	TaNPF2-2B1	TaNPF2-2D1		
TaNPF2-T2	TraesCS3A02G418700	TraesCS3B02G454000	TraesC- S3D02G414300		TaNPF2-3A1	TaNPF2-3B1	TaNPF2-3D1		
TaNPF2-T3	TraesCS3A02G418800	TraesCS3B02G454100	TraesC- S3D02G414400		TaNPF2-3A2	TaNPF2-3B2	TaNPF2-3D2		
TaNPF2-T4	TraesCS4A02G283900	TraesCS4B02G029600	TraesC- S4D02G026800		TaNPF2-4A1	TaNPF2-4B1	TaNPF2-4D1		
TaNPF2-S1	TraesCS4A02G440300				TaNPF2-4A2				
TaNPF2-S2	TraesCS4A02G440400				TaNPF2-4A3				
TaNPF2-S3	TraesCS4A02G440500				TaNPF2-4A4				
TaNPF2-S4	TraesCS4A02G440600				TaNPF2-4A5				
TaNPF2-S5	TraesCS4A02G440700				TaNPF2-4A6				
TaNPF2-T5	TraesCS5A02G004400	TraesCS5B02G001100	TraesC- S5D02G012500		TaNPF2-5A1	TaNPF2-5B1	TaNPF2-5D1		
TaNPF2-T6	TraesCS5A02G037900	TraesCS5B02G039100	TraesC- S5D02G045300		TaNPF2-5A2	TaNPF2-5B2	TaNPF2-5D2		
TaNPF2-T7	TraesCS5A02G153200	TraesCS5B02G152000	TraesC- S5D02G158500		TaNPF2-5A3	TaNPF2-5B3	TaNPF2-5D3		
TaNPF2-D1	TraesCS7A02G054000		TraesC- S7D02G049300		TaNPF2-7A1		TaNPF2-7D1		
TaNPF2-D2	TraesCS7A02G054100		TraesC- S7D02G049400		TaNPF2-7A2		TaNPF2-7D2		
TaNPF2-T8	TraesCS7A02G121600	TraesCS7B02G020200	TraesC- S7D02G119800		TaNPF2-7A3	TaNPF2-7B3	TaNPF2-7D3		
TaNPF2-T9	TraesCS7A02G121700	TraesCS7B02G020500	TraesC- S7D02G120200		TaNPF2-7A4	TaNPF2-7B4	TaNPF2-7D4		
TaNPF2-D3		TraesCS2B02G057600	TraesC- S2D02G044000			TaNPF2-7B5	TaNPF2-7D5		
TaNPF2-D4		TraesCS7B02G020300	TraesC- S7D02G119900			TaNPF2-7B6	TaNPF2-7D6		
TaNPF2-S6			TraesC- S7D02G076900				TaNPF2-7D7		
TaNPF3-T1	TraesCS1A02G257400	TraesCS1B02G267900	TraesC- S1D02G256700		TaNPF3-1A1	TaNPF3-1B1	TaNPF3-1D1		
TaNPF3-T2	TraesCS1A02G257800	TraesCS1B02G268200	TraesC- S1D02G257100		TaNPF3-1A2	TaNPF3-1B2	TaNPF3-1D2		
TaNPF3-T3	TraesCS1A02G257900	TraesCS1B02G268300	TraesC- S1D02G257200		TaNPF3-1A3	TaNPF3-1B3	TaNPF3-1D3		
TaNPF3-T4	TraesCS7A02G206400	TraesCS7B02G113600	TraesC- S7D02G209200		TaNPF3-7A1	TaNPF3-7B1	TaNPF3-7D1		
TaNPF4-T1	TraesCS2A02G264500	TraesCS2B02G277600	TraesC- S2D02G259400		TaNPF4-2A1	TaNPF4-2B1	TaNPF4-2D1		
TaNPF4-T2	TraesCS2A02G309100	TraesCS2B02G326200	TraesC- S2D02G307400		TaNPF4-2A2	TaNPF4-2B2	TaNPF4-2D2		
TaNPF4-T3	TraesCS2A02G350000	TraesCS2B02G368500	TraesC- S2D02G348400		TaNPF4-2A3	TaNPF4-2B3	TaNPF4-2D3		
TaNPF4-T4	TraesCS2A02G350100	TraesCS2B02G368600	TraesC- S2D02G348500		TaNPF4-2A4	TaNPF4-2B4	TaNPF4-2D4		
TaNPF4-D1	TraesCS2A02G350200	TraesCS2B02G368400			TaNPF4-2A5	TaNPF4-2B5			
TaNPF4-T5	TraesCS2A02G350300	TraesCS2B02G368700	TraesC- S2D02G348600		TaNPF4-2A6	TaNPF4-2B6	TaNPF4-2D6		
TaNPF4-S1	TraesCS3A02G272600				TaNPF4-3A1				
TaNPF4-T6	TraesCS4A02G225400	TraesCS4B02G090800	TraesC- S4D02G087900		TaNPF4-4A1	TaNPF4-4B1	TaNPF4-4D1		
TaNPF4-T7	TraesCS5A02G056100	TraesCS5B02G060800	TraesC- S5D02G067100		TaNPF4-5A1	TaNPF4-5B1	TaNPF4-5D1		
TaNPF4-T8	TraesCS5A02G056200	TraesCS5B02G060500	TraesC- S5D02G067400		TaNPF4-5A2	TaNPF4-5B2	TaNPF4-5D2		
TaNPF4-T9	TraesCS5A02G388000	TraesCS5B02G393100	TraesC- S5D02G398000		TaNPF4-5A3	TaNPF4-5B3	TaNPF4-5D3		
Continued									

	IWGSC RefSeq ID				Name				
Triad/Tetrad/ Diad/ Singleton	Α	В	D	Un	A	В	D	Un	
TaNPF4-T10	TraesCS7A02G365100	TraesCS7B02G262200	TraesC- S7D02G357300		TaNPF4-7A1	TaNPF4-7B1	TaNPF4-7D1		
TaNPF5-T1	TraesCS1A02G150200	TraesCS1B02G168000	TraesC- S1D02G147200		TaNPF5-1A1	TaNPF5-1B1	TaNPF5-1D1		
TaNPF5-T2	TraesCS1A02G150400	TraesCS1B02G168100	TraesC- S1D02G147400		TaNPF5-1A2	TaNPF5-1B2	TaNPF5-1D2		
TaNPF5-T3	TraesCS1A02G269400	TraesCS1B02G279900	TraesC- S1D02G269500		TaNPF5-1A3	TaNPF5-1B3	TaNPF5-1D3		
TaNPF5-T4	TraesCS1A02G269500	TraesCS1B02G280000	TraesC- S1D02G269600		TaNPF5-1A4	TaNPF5-1B4	TaNPF5-1D4		
TaNPF5-T5	TraesCS1A02G269600	TraesCS1B02G280100	TraesC- S1D02G269700		TaNPF5-1A5	TaNPF5-1B5	TaNPF5-1D5		
TaNPF5-T6	TraesCS2A02G565600	TraesCS2B02G626000	TraesC- S2D02G576000		TaNPF5-2A1	TaNPF5-2B1	TaNPF5-2D1		
TaNPF5-D1	TraesCS2A02G571800		TraesC- S2D02G583300		TaNPF5-2A2		TaNPF5-2D2		
TaNPF5-T7	TraesCS2A02G571900	TraesCS2B02G615500	TraesC- S2D02G583400		TaNPF5-2A3	TaNPF5-2B3	TaNPF5-2D3		
TaNPF5-D2	TraesCS2A02G572000	TraesCS2B02G615400			TaNPF5-2A4	TaNPF5-2B4			
TaNPF5-S1	TraesCS2A02G572100				TaNPF5-2A5				
TaNPF5-T8	TraesCS2A02G572200	TraesCS2B02G615300	TraesC- S2D02G583500		TaNPF5-2A6	TaNPF5-2B6	TaNPF5-2D6		
TaNPF5-T9	TraesCS2A02G572300	TraesCS2B02G615200	TraesC- S2D02G583600		TaNPF5-2A7	TaNPF5-2B7	TaNPF5-2D7		
TaNPF5-T10	TraesCS3A02G185600	TraesCS3B02G215200	TraesC- S3D02G189500		TaNPF5-3A1	TaNPF5-3B1	TaNPF5-3D1		
TaNPF5-T11	TraesCS3A02G382100	TraesCS3B02G414800	TraesC- S3D02G375900		TaNPF5-3A2	TaNPF5-3B2	TaNPF5-3D2		
TaNPF5-T12	TraesCS3A02G382200	TraesCS3B02G414900	TraesC- S3D02G375800		TaNPF5-3A3	TaNPF5-3B3	TaNPF5-3D3		
TaNPF5-T13	TraesCS3A02G382300	TraesCS3B02G415200	TraesC- S3D02G375700		TaNPF5-3A4	TaNPF5-3B4	TaNPF5-3D4		
TaNPF5-T14	TraesCS3A02G382400	TraesCS3B02G415300	TraesC- S3D02G375600		TaNPF5-3A5	TaNPF5-3B5	TaNPF5-3D5		
TaNPF5-D3	TraesCS3A02G382600		TraesC- S3D02G375500		TaNPF5-3A6		TaNPF5-3D6		
TaNPF5-D4	TraesCS3A02G382700		TraesC- S3D02G375400		TaNPF5-3A7		TaNPF5-3D7		
TaNPF5-D5	TraesCS3A02G382800		TraesC- S3D02G375300		TaNPF5-3A8		TaNPF5-3D8		
TaNPF5-D6	TraesCS3A02G382900		TraesC- S3D02G375200		TaNPF5-3A9		TaNPF5-3D9		
TaNPF5-T15	TraesCS3A02G383200	TraesCS3B02G415600	TraesC- S3D02G376200		TaNPF5-3A10	TaNPF5-3B10	TaNPF5-3D10		
TaNPF5-T16	TraesCS3A02G383300	TraesCS3B02G415700	TraesC- S3D02G376300		TaNPF5-3A11	TaNPF5-3B11	TaNPF5-3D11		
TaNPF5-T17	TraesCS5A02G485000	TraesCS5B02G498400	TraesC- S5D02G498500		TaNPF5-5A1	TaNPF5-5B1	TaNPF5-5D1		
TaNPF5-T18	TraesCS5A02G485200	TraesCS5B02G498500	TraesC- S5D02G498700		TaNPF5-5A2	TaNPF5-5B2	TaNPF5-5D2		
TaNPF5-T19	TraesCS5A02G485300	TraesCS5B02G498700	TraesC- S5D02G498800		TaNPF5-5A3	TaNPF5-5B3	TaNPF5-5D3		
TaNPF5-S2	TraesCS5A02G508500				TaNPF5-5A4				
TaNPF5-T20	TraesCS6A02G041300	TraesCS6B02G056500	TraesC- S6D02G047600		TaNPF5-6A1	TaNPF5-6B1	TaNPF5-6D1		
TaNPF5-T21	TraesCS7A02G196100	TraesCS7B02G101800	TraesC- S7D02G197600		TaNPF5-7A1	TaNPF5-7B1	TaNPF5-7D1		
TaNPF5-T22	TraesCS7A02G461200	TraesCS7B02G362700	TraesC- S7D02G449400		TaNPF5-7A2	TaNPF5-7B2	TaNPF5-7D2		
TaNPF5-D7	TraesCS7A02G504300		TraesC- S7D02G491400		TaNPF5-7A3		TaNPF5-7D3		
TaNPF5-S3		TraesCS2B02G013000				TaNPF5-2B8			
TaNPF5-S4		TraesCS2B02G248000				TaNPF5-2B9			
TaNPF5-S5		TraesCS2B02G401000				TaNPF5-2B10			
TaNPF5-S6		TraesCS2B02G626100				TaNPF5-2B11			
Continued	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	

	IWGSC RefSeq ID				Name			
Triad/Tetrad/ Diad/ Singleton	A	В	D	Un	A	В	D	Un
TaNPF5-S7		TraesCS2B02G626600				TaNPF5-2B12		
TaNPF5-S8		TraesCS2B02G626700				TaNPF5-2B13		
TaNPF5-S9		TraesCS3B02G304500				TaNPF5-3B12		
TaNPF5-S10		TraesCS3B02G415000				TaNPF5-3B13		
TaNPF5-S11		TraesCS3B02G415100				TaNPF5-3B14		
TaNPF5-S12		TraesCS4B02G057000				TaNPF5-4B1		
TaNPF5-S13		TraesCS4B02G338600				TaNPF5-4B2		
TaNPF5-S14			TraesC- S4D02G335100				TaNPF5-4D1	
TaNPF5-D8		TraesCS7B02G040100	TraesC- S7D02G139600			TaNPF5-7B4	TaNPF5-7D4	
TaNPF5-S15		TraesCS7B02G312500				TaNPF5-7B5		
TaNPF6-T1	TraesCS1A02G031300	TraesCS1B02G038700	TraesC- S1D02G032700		TaNPF6-1A1	TaNPF6-1B1	TaNPF6-1D1	
TaNPF6-T2	TraesCS1A02G210900	TraesCS1B02G224900	TraesC- S1D02G214200		TaNPF6-1A2	TaNPF6-1B2	TaNPF6-1D2	
TaNPF6-T3	TraesCS1A02G211000	TraesCS1B02G225000	TraesC- S1D02G214300		TaNPF6-1A3	TaNPF6-1B3	TaNPF6-1D3	
TaNPF6-T4	TraesCS2A02G335800	TraesCS2B02G346100	TraesC- S2D02G327000		TaNPF6-2A1	TaNPF6-2B1	TaNPF6-2D1	
TaNPF6-S1		TraesCS4B02G371000				TaNPF6-4B1		
TaNPF6-S2		TraesCS4B02G375800				TaNPF6-4B2		
TaNPF6-S3			TraesC- S4D02G361500				TaNPF6-4D3	
TaNPF6-T5	TraesCS5A02G409600	TraesCS5B02G414000	TraesC- S5D02G419200		TaNPF6-5A1	TaNPF6-5B1	TaNPF6-5D1	
TaNPF6-S4	TraesCS5A02G537100				TaNPF6-5A2			
TaNPF6-T6	TraesCS7A02G301700	TraesCS7B02G201900	TraesC- S7D02G297000		TaNPF6-7A1	TaNPF6-7B1	TaNPF6-7D1	
TaNPF7-S1	TraesCS4A02G284300				TaNPF7-4A1			
TaNPF7-S2	TraesCS5A02G546200				TaNPF7-5A1			
TaNPF7-T1	TraesCS6A02G263500	TraesCS6B02G290500	TraesC- S6D02G251500		TaNPF7-6A1	TaNPF7-6B1	TaNPF7-6D1	
TaNPF7-T2	TraesCS6A02G280200	TraesCS6B02G309200	TraesC- S6D02G260500		TaNPF7-6A2	TaNPF7-6B2	TaNPF7-6D2	
TaNPF7-S3	TraesCS7A02G413200				TaNPF7-7A1			
TaNPF7-S4		TraesCS4B02G380000				TaNPF7-4B2		
TaNPF7-S5				TraesC- SU02G130200				TaNPF7-Un1
TaNPF8-T1	TraesCS2A02G416800	TraesCS2B02G000500	TraesC- S2D02G413900		TaNPF8-2A1	TaNPF8-2B1	TaNPF8-2D1	
TaNPF8-T2	TraesCS3A02G056400	TraesCS3B02G069100	TraesC- S3D02G056300		TaNPF8-3A1	TaNPF8-3B1	TaNPF8-3D1	
TaNPF8-T3	TraesCS3A02G057000	TraesCS3B02G070200	TraesC- S3D02G056700		TaNPF8-3A2	TaNPF8-3B2	TaNPF8-3D2	
TaNPF8-T4	TraesCS3A02G392800	TraesCS3B02G424700	TraesC- S3D02G385600		TaNPF8-3A3	TaNPF8-3B3	TaNPF8-3D3	
TaNPF8-T5	TraesCS3A02G392900	TraesCS3B02G424800	TraesC- S3D02G385700		TaNPF8-3A4	TaNPF8-3B4	TaNPF8-3D4	
TaNPF8-T6	TraesCS4A02G075700	TraesCS4B02G231500	TraesC- S4D02G232900		TaNPF8-4A1	TaNPF8-4B1	TaNPF8-4D1	
TaNPF8-T7	TraesCS4A02G075900	TraesCS4B02G231700	TraesC- S4D02G233000		TaNPF8-4A2	TaNPF8-4B2	TaNPF8-4D2	
TaNPF8-T8	TraesCS4A02G076000	TraesCS4B02G231800	TraesC- S4D02G233100		TaNPF8-4A3	TaNPF8-4B3	TaNPF8-4D3	
TaNPF8-T9	TraesCS4A02G076100	TraesCS4B02G232000	TraesC- S4D02G233000		TaNPF8-4A4	TaNPF8-4B4	TaNPF8-4D4	
TaNPF8-T10	TraesCS4A02G076200	TraesCS4B02G232100	TraesC- S4D02G233400		TaNPF8-4A5	TaNPF8-4B5	TaNPF8-4D5	
TaNPF8-T11	TraesCS4A02G262700	TraesCS4B02G052200	TraesC- S4D02G052400		TaNPF8-4A6	TaNPF8-4B6	TaNPF8-4D6	
TaNPF8-S1	TraesCS4A02G287300				TaNPF8-4A7			
TaNPF8-S2	TraesCS4A02G287900				TaNPF8-4A8			
Continued								

	IWGSC RefSeq ID		Name					
Triad/Tetrad/ Diad/ Singleton	A	В	D	Un	A	В	D	Un
TaNPF8-T12	TraesCS6A02G142600	TraesCS6B02G171000	TraesC- S6D02G132100		TaNPF8-6A1	TaNPF8-6B1	TaNPF8-6D1	
TaNPF8-D1	TraesCS7A02G095200		TraesC- S7D02G091600		TaNPF8-7A1		TaNPF8-7D1	
TaNPF8-T13	TraesCS7A02G381500	TraesCS7B02G283400	TraesC- S7D02G377800		TaNPF8-7A2	TaNPF8-7B2	TaNPF8-7D2	
TaNPF8-S3	TraesCS7A02G381600				TaNPF8-7A3			
TaNPF8-T14	TraesCS7A02G381700	TraesCS7B02G283800	TraesC- S7D02G377900		TaNPF8-7A4	TaNPF8-7B4	TaNPF8-7D4	
TaNPF8-T15	TraesCS7A02G381800	TraesCS7B02G284300	TraesC- S7D02G378300		TaNPF8-7A5	TaNPF8-7B5	TaNPF8-7D5	
TaNPF8-D2	TraesCS7A02G412100	TraesCS7B02G311400			TaNPF8-7A6	TaNPF8-7B6		
TaNPF8-T16	TraesCS7A02G413100	TraesCS7B02G312600	TraesC- S7D02G406200		TaNPF8-7A7	TaNPF8-7B7	TaNPF8-7D7	
TaNPF8-T17	TraesCS7A02G413300	TraesCS7B02G312700	TraesC- S7D02G406400		TaNPF8-7A8	TaNPF8-7B8	TaNPF8-7D8	
TaNPF8-S4	TraesCS7A02G531000				TaNPF8-7A9			
TaNPF8-D3		TraesCS3B02G069900	TraesC- S3D02G057000			TaNPF8-3B5	TaNPF8-3D5	
TaNPF8-D4		TraesCS4B02G026700	TraesC- S4D02G024400			TaNPF8-4B9	TaNPF8-4D9	
TaNPF8-S5		TraesCS4B02G398100				TaNPF8-4B10		
TaNPF8-S6		TraesCS5B02G245300				TaNPF8-5B1		
TaNPF8-D5		TraesCS6B02G406100	TraesC- S6D02G353500			TaNPF8-6B2	TaNPF8-6D2	
TaNPF8-S7			TraesC- S7D02G518900				TaNPF8-7D9	
TaNPF8-S8				TraesC- SU02G207500				TaNPF8-Un1
TaNPF8-S9				TraesC- SU02G115500				TaNPF8-Un2
TaNRT2-D1	TraesCS2A02G074800		TraesC- S2D02G073500		TaNRT2-2A1		TaNRT2-2D1	
TaNRT2-T1	TraesCS3A02G254000	TraesCS3B02G285900	TraesC- S3D02G254900		TaNRT2-3A1	TaNRT2-3B1	TaNRT2-3D1	
TaNRT2-D2	TraesCS6A02G030700	TraesCS6B02G044100			TaNRT2-6A1	TaNRT2-6B1		
TaNRT2-T2	TraesCS6A02G030800	TraesCS6B02G044400	TraesC- S6D02G035900		TaNRT2-6A2	TaNRT2-6B2	TaNRT2-6D2	
TaNRT2-T3	TraesCS6A02G030900	TraesCS6B02G044300	TraesC- S6D02G035800		TaNRT2-6A3	TaNRT2-6B3	TaNRT2-6D3	
TaNRT2-T4	TraesCS6A02G031000	TraesCS6B02G044200	TraesC- S6D02G035700		TaNRT2-6A4	TaNRT2-6B4	TaNRT2-6D4	
TaNRT2-D3	TraesCS6A02G031100	TraesCS6B02G044500			TaNRT2-6A5	TaNRT2-6B5		
TaNRT2-T5	TraesCS6A02G031200	TraesCS6B02G044000	TraesC- S6D02G035600		TaNRT2-6A6	TaNRT2-6B6	TaNRT2-6D6	
TaNRT2-T6	TraesCS6A02G032400	TraesCS6B02G045600	TraesC- S6D02G037200		TaNRT2-6A7	TaNRT2-6B7	TaNRT2-6D7	
TaNRT2-T7	TraesCS6A02G032500	TraesCS6B02G045700	TraesC- S6D02G037300		TaNRT2-6A8	TaNRT2-6B8	TaNRT2-6D8	
TaNRT2-T8	TraesCS6A02G032800	TraesCS6B02G046500	TraesC- S6D02G037800		TaNRT2-6A9	TaNRT2-6B9	TaNRT2-6D9	
TaNRT2-D4	TraesCS6A02G032900		TraesC- S6D02G037900		TaNRT2-6A10		TaNRT2-6D10	
TaNRT2-TT1	TraesCS6A02G033000	TraesCS6B02G046600	TraesC- S6D02G038100	TraesC- S6D02G038000	TaNRT2-6A11	TaNRT2-6B11	TaNRT2-6D11x	TaNRT2- 6D11y
TaNRT2-D5	TraesCS6A02G033100		TraesC- S6D02G038300		TaNRT2-6A12		TaNRT2-6D12	
TaNRT2-T9	TraesCS6A02G033200	TraesCS6B02G046700	TraesC- S6D02G038200		TaNRT2-6A13	TaNRT2-6B13	TaNRT2-6D13	
TaNRT2-T10	TraesCS7A02G428500	TraesCS7B02G328700	TraesC- S7D02G420900		TaNRT2-7A1	TaNRT2-7B1	TaNRT2-7D1	
TaNRT2-D6			TraesC- S1D02G035700	TraesC- SU02G002800			TaNRT2-1D1	TaNRT2-Un1
TaCLC-T1	TraesCS2A02G309900	TraesCS2B02G326900	TraesC- S2D02G308100		TaCLC-2A1	TaCLC-2B1	TaCLC-2D1	
Continued								

	IWGSC RefSeq ID			Name				
Triad/Tetrad/ Diad/ Singleton	A	В	D	Un	A	В	D	Un
TaCLC-T2	TraesCS2A02G517500	TraesCS2B02G546000	TraesC- S2D02G519000		TaCLC-2A2	TaCLC-2B2	TaCLC-2D2	
TaCLC-T3	TraesCS3A02G253600	TraesCS3B02G285500	TraesC- S3D02G254500		TaCLC-3A1	TaCLC-3B1	TaCLC-3D1	
TaCLC-TT1	TraesCS3A02G125300	TraesCS3B02G144700	TraesC- S3D02G126700	TraesC- S3D02G126600	TaCLC-3A2	TaCLC-3B2	TaCLC-3D2x	TaCLC-3D2y
TaCLC-T4	TraesCS3A02G390100	TraesCS3B02G418700	TraesC- S3D02G379600		TaCLC-3A3	TaCLC-3B3	TaCLC-3D3	
TaCLC-T5	TraesCS4A02G277600	TraesCS4B02G035500	TraesC- S4D02G033500		TaCLC-4A1	TaCLC-4B1	TaCLC-4D1	
TaCLC-T6	TraesCS5A02G449500	TraesCS5B02G457100	TraesC- S5D02G456000		TaCLC-5A1	TaCLC-5B1	TaCLC-5D1	
TaCLC-T7	TraesCS6A02G098500	TraesCS6B02G126400	TraesC- S6D02G084300		TaCLC-6A1	TaCLC-6B1	TaCLC-6D1	
TaCLC-T8	TraesCS6A02G098600	TraesCS6B02G126800	TraesC- S6D02G084000		TaCLC-6A2	TaCLC-6B2	TaCLC-6D2	
TaCLC-T9	TraesCS6A02G283600	TraesCS6B02G312100	TraesC- S6D02G264100		TaCLC-6A3	TaCLC-6B3	TaCLC-6D3	
TaCLC-T10	TraesCS7A02G240700	TraesCS7B02G136300	TraesC- S7D02G239700		TaCLC-7A1	TaCLC-7B1	TaCLC-7D1	
TaSLAC-T1	TraesCS1A02G127500	TraesCS1B02G147400	TraesC- S1D02G126500		TaSLAC-1A1	TaSLAC-1B1	TaSLAC-1D1	
TaSLAC-D1	TraesCS1A02G423000	TraesCS1B02G455100			TaSLAC-1A2	TaSLAC-1B2		
TaSLAC-T2	TraesCS1A02G423900	TraesCS1B02G456000	TraesC- S1D02G432500		TaSLAC-1A3	TaSLAC-1B3	TaSLAC-1D3	
TaSLAC-D2	TraesCS1A02G424400			TraesC- SU02G204200	TaSLAC-1A4			TaSLAC-Un1
TaSLAC-T3	TraesCS1A02G424500	TraesCS1B02G456500	TraesC- \$1D02G433100		TaSLAC-1A5	TaSLAC-1B5	TaSLAC-1D5	
TaSLAC-T4	TraesCS2A02G398000	TraesCS2B02G416100	TraesC- S2D02G395700		TaSLAC-2A1	TaSLAC-2B1	TaSLAC-2D1	
TaSLAC-T5	TraesCS3A02G028100	TraesCS3B02G018300	TraesC- S3D02G017800		TaSLAC-3A1	TaSLAC-3B1	TaSLAC-3D1	
TaSLAC-T6	TraesCS3A02G151400	TraesCS3B02G178600	TraesC- S3D02G159600		TaSLAC-3A2	TaSLAC-3B2	TaSLAC-3D2	
TaSLAC-T7	TraesCS3A02G167000	TraesCS3B02G199200	TraesC- S3D02G174800		TaSLAC-3A3	TaSLAC-3B3	TaSLAC-3D3	
TaSLAC-T8	TraesCS3A02G225100	TraesCS3B02G254700	TraesC- S3D02G228400		TaSLAC-3A4	TaSLAC-3B4	TaSLAC-3D4	
TaSLAC-D3		TraesCS1B02G456100	TraesC- S1D02G432700			TaSLAC-1B6	TaSLAC-1D6	
TaSLAC-S1		TraesCS1B02G388600				TaSLAC-1B7		
TaSLAC-T9		TraesCS1B02G456200	TraesC- S1D02G432900	TraesC- SU02G001500		TaSLAC-1B8	TaSLAC-1D8	TaSLAC-Un2
TaSLAC-T10		TraesCS1B02G456400	TraesC- S1D02G432800	TraesC- SU02G001400		TaSLAC-1B9	TaSLAC-1D9	TaSLAC-Un3
TaSLAC-T11		TraesCS1B02G456300	TraesC- S1D02G433200	TraesC- SU02G001600		TaSLAC-1B10	TaSLAC-1D10	TaSLAC-Un4

Table 2. Grouping and Naming of nitrate transporter genes identified in wheat genome Refeq v2.0.

.....

Nitrate transporter proteins contain multiple transmembrane helices. To study the structural features of nitrate transporters, we predicted the 3D structures of all 412 protein sequences. All nitrogen transporters were predicted to be transmembrane proteins containing multiple transmembrane segments (Fig. 4i). The majority of proteins comprised of 12–14 transmembrane helices (TMs) with some variation. The basic structure of *TaNRT/TaNPF* proteins included N and C terminal segments followed by multiple transmembrane helices (TMs). The transmembrane helices were connected by alternating cytoplasmic and extracellular loop segments (Fig. 4ii). In *TaNRT1/TaNPF* family, approximately 67% of the proteins contained 14 TMs, 21% contained 13 TMs, 7% of proteins contained 12 TMs while 4% of proteins contained less than 12 TMs (Supplementary Table 2). Subfamily wise studies showed *TaNPF1* proteins contained only 13 TMs and *TaNPF7* contained only 14 TMs. In rest of subfamilies (*TaNPF2-6, TaNPF8*) majority of proteins contained 14 TMs but variation existed. Proteins with even number of TMs had both C and N terminals in cytoplasmic side of membrane. Proteins with odd number of TMs had one end in cytoplasmic side and other in extracellular side (Fig. 4ii). All *TaNRT2* family members contained only 12 TMs (Supplementary Table 2) (Fig. 4ii). Both C and N terminals of *TaNRT2* proteins were present in cytoplasmic side of the membrane. Both *TaCLC* and *TaSLAC1/SLAH* proteins contained 10 TMs



Figure 1. Genome wide distribution of *TaNPF*, *TaNRT2*, *TaCLC* and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes in hexaploid wheat. Figure was generated by web-based software tool-Phenogram from Ritchie Lab⁴⁴ (http://visualization.ritchielab.org/phenograms/plot).

with both N and C terminals in cytoplasmic side of membrane. *TaCLC* genes were characterized by presence of a 30–40 amino acids long re-entrant helix in cytoplasmic side (Fig. 4 ii) which was not observed in the proteins of other nitrate transporter gene families.

Expression patterns of nitrate transporter genes in development stages of wheat. To elucidate the expression patterns of nitrate transporter genes, we studied and compared the expression data of Chinese spring and Azhurnaya for different developmental stages. Approximately 77% of TaNPF genes, 30% of TaNRT2, 85% of TaCLC genes and 36% of TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes were expressed at least at one developmental stage in wheat with a wide expression range of 1-103 tpm (Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 3). The remaining genes showed very low or no expression (tpm < 1). Overall, we identified 20 triads in which 48 genes were showing tissue specific expression, out of which 8 triads were root specific, 5 triads were leaf/shoot specific and 7 triads were showing grain/ spike specific expression (Supplementary table 4). Tissue and developmental stage-specific expression were observed in TaNPF1 genes, which were only expressed in spike and grain at the reproductive stage (Fig. 5A). Similarly, TaNRT2 genes were predominantly expressed in roots in both vegetative and reproductive stages (Fig. 5A). TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes were predominately expressed in roots and leaves with some genes showing expression in spikes also (Fig. 5B). TaCLC genes showed mostly ubiquitous expression (Fig. 5B). For the rest of the subfamilies, the genes within one subfamily differed considerably in their expression patterns. In TaNPF2 genes, spike/grain specific (3 genes), leaf, spike and grain specific (5 genes) and ubiquitous expression (6 genes) were observed (Fig. 5A). TaNPF3 genes showed spike/grain, leaf specific expression, TaNPF4 genes showed leaf/root-specific (4 genes) and ubiquitous expression (10 genes) (Fig. 5A). TaNPF5 and TaNPF8 genes mostly showed ubiquitous expression though the root-specific expression was observed in a few genes (Fig. 5A). TaNPF6 showed ubiquitous (6 genes), leaf and root-specific (6 genes), spike specific (3



Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree depicting relationship between (**a**) *TaNPF* and *TaNRT2* genes in hexaploid wheat and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (**b**) *TaCLC* genes in wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (**c**) *TaSLAC1/SLAH* genes in hexaploid wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Phylogenetic analysis was performed by MEGA X software⁴⁵ and the results were edited and visualized by FIGTREE software v1.4.4. (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) to generate final images.

genes) and root-specific expression (Fig. 5A). *TaNPF7* showed ubiquitous expression in three genes, grain specific expression in two genes and root-specific expression in one gene (Fig. 5A).

To find out up to what extent homoeologs differ in the expression patterns, triad expression analysis was performed. Most of the triads showed balanced expression ranging from 55.6 to 65.2% in all the tissues (Fig. 6A). In roots, a total of 54 triads were showing expression out of total 83 triads. Out of which 55.6% showed balanced expression, 18.5% showed A suppressed, 11.1% showed D suppressed, 9.3% showed B suppressed expression. Three triads showed A, B and D dominant expression (1 each) (Fig. 6B). In leaf/shoot out of 51 triads, 64.7% showed balanced expression, 5.8% triads showed A suppressed and B suppressed each, 3.9% triads showed D suppressed expression in sobserved (Fig. 6B). In spikes, 61.9% triads out of 42 triads showed balanced expression. Only D dominant expression was observed in 9.5% of triads while A suppressed, B suppressed, and D suppressed expressions were in about 16.7, 7.1% 4.7% triads (Fig. 6B). Only 23 triads were expressing in grains at the reproductive stage, out of which 65.2% showed balanced expression, 8.7% triads showed A, B, and D dominant expression and D dominant expressing in grains at the reproductive stage, out of which 65.2% showed balanced expression, 8.7% triads showed A, B, and D suppressed each and 4.3% triads showed B and D dominant expression (Fig. 6B).

Nitrate transporter genes are located in close proximity to the NUE associated SNPs. In a parallel study in our laboratory, the nested synthetic wheat introgression (N-SHW) libraries capturing novel genetic variation from wild wheat for the nitrogen use efficiency related traits were developed and genotyped using a high-density SNP array⁴³. These libraries were phenotypically assessed for the root traits and agronomic performance under three nitrogen input conditions (N: 0 kg ha⁻¹; N: 60 kg ha⁻¹ and N:120 kg ha⁻¹) in the field over two years in 2018 and 2019. Genome-wide association mapping was used to identify marker-trait associations for the root and agronomic traits to identify the marker-trait associations for traits improving nitrogen use efficiency in wheat (Supplementary Table 5). We compared 322 marker trait associations for NUE identified in this study⁴³ to nitrate transporter genes identified during genome wide analysis. We identified 67 SNPs, which were in close proximity to nitrate transporter genes in the wheat genome. A total of 93 nitrate transporter genes could be located near NUE linked SNPs, out of which, 63 genes belonged to *TaNPF* family, 15 genes belonged to *TaNRT2* family, 11 genes belonged to *TaCLC* and 4 genes belonged to TaSLAC1/TaSLAH family (Table 4, Supplementary Fig. 5).



Figure 3. Synteny relationships of wheat nitrate transporter genes orthologous with (**A**) *A. thaliana,* (**B**) *O. sativa,* (**C**) *T. urartu,* (**D**) *Ae. tauschii,* (**E**) *T. dicoccoides* and, (**F**) *T. turgidum.* Circos plots were generated by web-based application- shinyCircos (https://venyao.xyz/shinycircos/)⁴⁶.

Response of nitrate transporter genes during N-starvation and N-recovery. The response of all N transporter genes towards N starvation and N recovery was analysed from WheatOmics database^{34–36,47,48}. The results suggested that the expression of N transporter genes towards N starvation and N recovery was variable. We specifically identified the genes whose expression patterns changed significantly in response to N starvation or N recovery. The expression values of TaNPF1 and TaNPF3 genes were not significant (Fig. 7A,C). Three genes in TaNPF2 showed increased expression in N starvation and their expression values returned to normal during N recovery (Fig. 7B). The expression values of most of TaNPF5 genes were slightly reduced during N starvation and increased significantly during N recovery (Fig. 7E,F). TaNPF6 genes expression reduced during both N starvation and N recovery (1 h) but their expression returned to normal 24 h after recovery (Fig. 7G). The expression of most of TaNPF7 genes was upregulated during N starvation and N recovery (1 h) and downregulated after 24 h of N recovery (Fig. 7H). The expression of TaNPF4 and TaNPF8 genes was variable (Fig. 7D,I,J). The expression of most of TaNRT2 and TaCLC genes was upregulated during N recovery (1 h) phase (Fig. 7K,L,M,N). The expression values of some TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes were reduced in response to N starvation and increased during N recovery (24 h) (Fig. 70,P). Specifically looking into the expression pattern of 93 genes in close proximity of NUE associated SNPs, we could identify 32 genes whose expression pattern changed in response to N starvation and N recovery (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 6). These genes can serve as candidate genes and may be further utilized in genomics-assisted breeding programs targeting improved nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat.

Discussion

The main aim of this study was to identify and analyse nitrate transporters belonging to all the four families and study their dynamics in wheat. The number of nitrate transporter genes detected in wheat was higher as compared to other plant species. This could be explained by a large genome (~18 Gb) and hexaploid nature of wheat. Presence of three homoeologous sub-genomes in wheat could allow multiple copies of nitrate transporters resulting in higher number of transporter genes. When comparing with diploid progenitors (Ae. tauschii and T. urartu) and tetraploid wheats (T. dicoccoides and T. turgidum) the number of genes in each subfamily were approximately proportional (Table 1). The genes were distributed randomly in the genome except for TaNRT2 genes which were predominantly present on group 6 homoeologous chromosome. Many genes were present in form of clusters and showed high percentage of similarity indicating gene-duplication events. There were genes with deleted segments present in the genome. The phylogenetic relationships with orthologues in other plants could be used to classify the genes in subfamilies. All the major subclades were conserved in wheat in comparison to other plant species indicating biological importance of the subfamilies. Based on phylogeny the genes could be grouped in homoeologous triads. Almost 73% of the genes could be assigned to 1:1:1 homoeologous groups which is very much above the average homoeologous retention rate (35.8%) in wheat (IWGSC 2018). Many genes were also grouped into tetrads and diads based on homology indicating gene duplication and deletion events in the genome. The overall results revealed that wheat nitrogen transporter families are much more complex than in other plant species. This complexity arises mostly due to presence of three sub-genomes (A B D) and gene duplication and deletion events.

The complexity of wheat genome also affects the expression patterns of genes. Due to presence of multiple sets of homoeologs on A, B and D genomes the buffering effects are observed in expression of genes. To study up to what extent these interactions affect the expression of nitrate transporters, triad expression analysis was performed. More than 55% of genes showed balanced expression in all the tissues which is comparable to genome-wide assessment of all transcripts in wheat⁴². The expression profiles of the genes identified in this study were in accordance to the previous studies in other plants. The expression patterns of nitrate transporter genes were similar to expression patterns of close orthologs in rice and *Arabidopsis* indicating the conservation of gene functions. *CLC* genes in previous studies in *Arabidopsis* showed ubiquitous expression which was observed in this study for wheat as well^{27,28}. Several tissue specific nitrate transporter genes were identified which can be targeted for gene manipulation for wheat improvement. Several *TaNRT2* and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes showed root specific expression suggesting their role in root nitrate uptake. Root specific expression of *NRT2* and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes and some *TaSLAC1/SLAH* genes showed grain and spike specific expression suggesting their role in rice and *Arabidopsis^{29,49}*. *TaNPF1* genes and some *TaSLAC1/SLAH* genes showed grain and spike specific expression suggesting their role in nitrate transfer in developing seeds.

Structure plays a very important role in the function of transporter proteins. X-ray crystallographic structures of eukaryotic nitrate transporters have been elucidated⁵⁰. All the nitrate transporter families belong to a much larger major facilitator superfamily (MFS) according to transporter classification database⁵¹. All the nitrate transporter proteins were predicted to have a typical MFS protein structure with multiple TMs. To the best of our knowledge our study is the first one to report homology-based models of nitrate transporter proteins belonging to all four families in wheat. The number of transmembrane segments play very important role in the optimal functioning MFS transporter proteins⁵². For an MFS transporter protein to have optimal transport properties pseudosymmetry is important which is provided by even number of TMs⁵⁰. According to previous studies most of MFS proteins required 12 TMs to have optimal function⁵³. In our study we predicted nitrate transporter families having variation in the number of TMs. TaNPF family being the largest of all showed most variation in the number of TMs with number ranging from 12 to 14. Several proteins with odd number of TMs were also observed. For example, all the members of TaNPF1 subfamily contain 13 TMs. All TaNRT2 proteins were highly conserved and contained 12 TMs. Most of the TaCLC and TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes contained only 10 TMs. The variation in number of TMs between and within subfamilies and presence of odd number of TMs could not be corelated with expression data suggesting that a much more flexible criteria exists for the function of nitrate transporter proteins. The structural information presented in this study offer foundation for future work to identify molecular mechanisms responsible for functioning of nitrate transporters in wheat.

Family/	No. of Triads	No. of diads			No. of Tetrads			Singletons			
Subfamily	A:B:D=(1:1:1)	A:B:D=(1:1:0)	A:B:D=(1:0:1)	A:B:D=(0:1:1)	A:B:D = (1:1:2)	A:B:D=(1:2:1)	A:B:D=(2:1:1)	A:B:D=(1:0:0)	A:B:D = (0:0:1)	A:B:D=(0:1:0)	Un
TaNPF1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TaNPF2	9	0	2	2	0	0	0	5	1	0	0
TaNPF3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TaNPF4	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
TaNPF5	22	1	1	6				2	12	1	0
TaNPF6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
TaNPF7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	1
TaNPF8	17	1	1	3				4	2	1	2
TaNRT2	10	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TaCLC	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TaSLAC1/ TaSLAH	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Total	103	6	8	12	2	0	0	16	18	5	9

Table 3. Number of triads, tetrads, diads and singletons detected in nitrate transporter families in hexaploid wheat genome.



Figure 4. Protein structure prediction: (i) representative structures of *TaNPF* genes (**A**–**H**), *TaNRT2* genes (**I**) *TaCLC* genes (**J**) and *TaSLAC1/TaSLAH* genes (**K**). (ii) Representative TMs structures of nitrate transporters containing (**A**) 14 TMs, (**B**) 13 TMs (**C**) 12 TMs and (**D**) CLC proteins containing 10 TMs and a re-entrant helix. Figures were developed by homology-based modelling by Phyre2 server⁴¹.

Previously in many studies overexpression of nitrate transporter genes has been linked to improved nitrogen use efficiency and yield in many plants^{54–57} and⁵⁸. Overexpression of OsNRT2.1, OsNRT2.3b, OsNPF6.3 in rice and ZmNRT1.1A in maize has resulted in increased grain yield^{25,34–36,57,57,59}. In wheat TaNRT2.1 is reported to be involved in post-flowering N uptake³² and is an important gene for improvement of nitrogen use efficiency. The CLC genes have been reported to be involved in nitrate accumulation in plants²⁶ and many CLC genes have been reported to have role in stress responses. SLAC1 is a key player in regulation of stomatal closure. SLAH genes are involved in root nitrate and chloride acquisition and translocation to shoot. SLAC1/SLAH genes have also been reported to have important role in drought responses⁴⁹. The genome wide analysis of TaCLC and TaSLAC1/TaSLAH genes in this study is the first reported study of these genes in wheat to the best of our knowledge. Nitrate transporters identified in this study can be promising candidates for gene manipulation to enhance productivity and nitrogen use efficiency in wheat. The identification of nitrate transporter genes in the close proximity to the marker-traits associations indicated the robustness of genome wide association mapping studies and the reliability of the reported transporter genes. The identified nitrate transporters could deepen the



Figure 5. Expression patterns of nitrate transporter gene triads in wheat (**a**) Tissue and development stage specific expression profiles of *TaNPF* and *TaNRT2* genes (**b**) Tissue and development stage specific expression profiles of *TaCLC* and *TaSLAC1/SLAH* genes. The heat maps were generated by heatmap tool from wheat expression database⁴² (http://wheat-expression.com/).



Figure 6. Triad expression of nitrate transporters in wheat (**A**) Overall triad expression of all nitrate transporter genes (**B**) Tissue specific triad expression of nitrate transporter genes. Normalized expression values were used to generate ternary plots using online web-based tool (https://www.ternaryplot.com/).

....

understanding of genetic and molecular mechanism behind improving nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat crop. The nutrient efficient improved breeding lines/accessions possessing identified potential nitrate transporters in the present study may have an effective and strong coordinated signal transduction network involving nitrate transceptor, nitrate response regulator and the master response regulator.

The *in-silico* mining of nitrate transporter genes along with their detailed structure, phylogenetic and expression studies reported a total of 412 nitrate transporter genes including 20 root specific, 11 leaf/shoot specific and

SNP related to NUE	Chromosome	SNP Position	Nearby nitrate transporters	Nitrate transporter Position	Distance (in Mb)
AX94950355	1A	12918698	TaNPF6-1A1	14519757	1.601059
AX94815202	1A	14468156	TaNPF6-1A1	14519757	0.051601
AX94665912	1B	624080881	TaSLAC-1B10	622365197	1.715684
AX94923560	2A	729858424	TaCLC-2A2	740847366	10.988942
AX94906008	2A	737049474	TaCLC-2A2	740847366	3.797892
AX95162328	2A	745066946	TaCLC-2A2	740847366	4.21958
AX94601746	2B	745715147	TaCLC-2B2	742813858	2.901289
AX95203088	2B	748700718	TaCLC-2B2	742813858	5.88686
AX95190948	2B	752830609	TaCLC-2B2	742813858	10.016751
AX95189671	2D	394797805	TaNPF4-2D2, TaCLC-2D1	394118961 395130092	0.332287, 0.678844
AX94829391	2D	601212191	TaCLC-2D2	608915455	7.703264
AX95142803	2D	601600533	TaCLC-2D2	608915455	7.314922
AX94799671	2D	608756380	TaCLC-2D2	608915455	0.159075
AX95142189	2D	609577225	TaCLC-2D2	608915455	0.66177
AX94786006	2D	610277424	TaCLC-2D2	608915455	1.361969
AX95148777	2D	641963392	TaNPF5-2D1-TaNPF5-2D5	639677529-643761743	1.798351-2.285863
AX95238274	3A	429463868	TaSLAC-3A4	421719078	7.74479
AX94593608	3A	671144035	TaNPF2-3A1, TaNPF2-3A2	660436466 660507764	10.636271, 10.707569
AX95237615	3B	6378879	TaSLAC-3B1	7598907	1.220028
AX95259763	3B	229302401	TaSLAC-3B3	227663976	1.638425
AX95136655	3B	235865416	TaSLAC-3B3	227663976	8.20144
AX94723497	3B	236511642	TaSLAC-3B3, TaNPF3B1	227663976	8.847666
AX94561045	3B	642481079	TaNPF5-3B3-TaNPF5-3B10. TaCLC-3B3	651425224-655435367	8.944145-12.954288
A X04520420	20	(550,450,40	TaCLC-3B3, TaNPF5-3B3-TaNPF5-3B10, TaNPF-3B4, TaNPF-	(51425224 ((270504(2 511002 6 522025
AX94539428	38	65/94/249		651425224-662795946	2.511882-6.522025
AX94386613	3B	658604225	1aCLC-3B3, 1aNPF5-3B3-1aNPF5-3B10, 1aNPF-3B4, 1aNPF- 3B5	651425224-662795946	3.168858-7.179001
AX94418180	3B	659275308	<i>TaCLC-3B3, TaNPF5-3B3–TaNPF5-3B10, TaNPF-3B4, TaNPF-3B5</i>	651425224-662795946	3.839941-7.850084
AX94429243	3B	659787974	TaCLC-3B3, TaNPF5-3B3-TaNPF5-3B10, TaNPF-3B4, TaNPF- 3B5	651425224-662795946	4.352607-8.36275
AX94910184	3D	352948426	TaCLC-3D1, TaNRT2-3D1	355885478 356623041	2.937052, 3.674615
AX94514369	4A	544201715	TaNPF4-4A1	533257983	10.943732
AX94926692	4A	544202284	TaNPF4-4A1	533257983	10.944301
AX94766675	4A	575009572	TaNPF8-4A6	575006132	0.00344
AX94400142	4A	581754986	TaCLC-4A1, TaNPF2-4A1, TaNPF7-4A1, TaNPF8-4A7, TaNPF8-4A8	585431883-593113134	3.676897-11.358148
AX94414780	4B	25929732	TaCLC-4B1, TaNPF2-4B1, TaNPF4B1	20278828-25842359	5.650904
AX94478236	4B	28716503	TaCLC-4B1, TaNPF2-4B1, TaNPF4B1	20278828-25842359	2.874144-8.437675
AX94997694	4B	34789538	TaCLC-4B1, TaNPF2-4B1, TaNPF4B1	20278828-25842359	8.947179-14.51071
AX94517352	4D	21886662	TaCLC-4D1, TaNPF2-4D1, TaNPF8-4D1	10764927-15356868	6.529794-11.121735
AX94586364	4D	22947854	TaCLC-4D1, TaNPF2-4D1, TaNPF8-4D1	10764927-15356868	7.590986-12.182927
AX94914919	4D	28974006	TaNPF8-4D2	28481269	0.492737
AX94738199	5D	10899555	TaNPF2-5D1	6820550	4.079005
AX95110067	5D	467774783	TaNPF4-5D3	464415850	3.358933
AX95002541	5D	468689841	TaNPF4-5D3	464415850	4.273991
AX95132327	5D	472234562	TaNPF4-5D3	464415850	7.818712
AX94631745	5D	528728651	TaNPF5-5D1—TaNPF5-5D3	528294425-528587054	0.141597-0.43423
AX94803288	6A	14353974	TaNRT2-6A1- TaNRT2-6A13	15727844-16408185	1.37387-2.054211
AX95017906	6A	23433182	TaNRT2-6A14	21634811	1.798371
AX94983341	6A	28412753	TaNRT2-6A14	21634811	6.777942
AX95210745	6A	29967076	TaNRT2-6A14	21634811	8.332265
AX94510892	6A	112585030	TaNPF8-6A1	117412062	4.827032
AX94534539	6A	497462168	TaNPF7-6A1	486547388	10.91478
AX94573487	6D	27978202	TaNPF5-6D1	22172543	5.805659
AX94415776	6D	28700804	TaNPF5-6D1	22172543	6.528261
AX94978974	6D	29876083	TaNPF5-6D1	22172543	7 70354
AX94737868	6D	29876631	TaNPF5-6D1	22172543	7 704088
Continued	-				

SNP related to NUE	Chromosome	SNP Position	Nearby nitrate transporters	Nitrate transporter Position	Distance (in Mb)
AX95250225	6D	29928065	TaNPF5-6D1	22172543	7.755522
AX94461279	6D	451183032	TaNPF8-6D2	449226044	1.956988
AX94665619	7A	222939896	TaCLC-7A1	216343576	6.59632
AX94566038	7A	683488235	TaNPF5-7A3	692626752	9.138517
AX95178548	7B	112337703	TaNPF5-7B2	116046396	3.708693
AX94532247	7B	524619772	TaNPF8-7B1- TaNPF8-7B3	517623485-518338133	6.281639-6.996287
AX94424632	7B	562740463	TaNPF8-7B4-TaNPF8-7B6	556686657- 558639959	4.100504-6.053806
AX94880654	7B	592345313	TaNRT2-7B1	583923053	8.42226
AX94553632	7B	633318727	TaNPF5-7B4	624468356	8.850371
AX94781629	7D	206695834	TaCLC-7D1	204246408	2.449426
AX94678472	7D	487753483	TaNPF8-7D2-TaNPF8-7D4	489153269-489673028	1.39978- 1.91954
AX95080011	7D	592191388	TaNPF5-7D4	600836846	8.645458

 Table 4. Proximity of nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) linked SNPs⁴³ to nitrate transporters detected in present study.



Figure 7. Expression profiles of nitrate transporter genes in response to Nitrogen starvation and Nitrogen recovery. The graphs were generated by GeneExpression tool from WheatOmics 1.0 database^{47,48}.

17 grain/spike specific putative candidate genes. The identification of nitrate transporter genes in the close proximity to the previously identified 67 marker-traits associations associated with the nitrogen use efficiency related traits in nested synthetic hexaploid wheat introgression library⁴³ indicated the robustness of the reported transporter genes. The detailed crosstalk between the genome and proteome and the validation of identified putative candidate genes through expression and gene editing studies may lay down the foundation to improve nitrogen use efficiency of cereal crops. The existing genetic variability for 48 tissue specific genes and 93 genes in close proximity to NUE associated SNPs identified in the present study in different wild and cultivated wheat accessions/varieties may be further utilized in genomics-assisted breeding programs targeting improved nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat. A total of 32 genes out of these 93 genes show significant changes in expression patterns in response to N starvation and/ or N recovery suggesting their involvement in N uptake and assimilation. These genes can serve as initial candidates for targeting N use efficiency in wheat. The identification of improved breed-ing lines or the wild accessions possessing the potential nitrate transporters may serve as novel donors to be used in genomics-assisted introgression program developing nitrogen-efficient wheat varieties. The identified nitrate transporters may have potential for efficient nitrogen uptake and its transport from source to sink.

Once validated, the candidate genes may further be deployed in genomics-assisted breeding program to develop nutrient efficient wheat varieties. The present study provides important information on potential nitrate transporters that may lay foundation to develop a new breeding strategy for the sustainable agricultural development of cereal crops with less input—more output and the environmental protection. The identified nitrate transports may be of great significance both in the theory and in the genomics-assisted breeding application^{39–26}.

Data availability

All data used in this research are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Received: 15 September 2021; Accepted: 20 June 2022 Published online: 03 July 2022

References

- Hirel, B., Tétu, T., Lea, P. J. & Dubois, F. Improving nitrogen use efficiency in crops for sustainable agriculture. Sustainability 3(9), 1452–1485. https://doi.org/10.3390/su3091452 (2011).
- Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A. & Walters, D. T. Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency, and nitrogen management. AMBIO: A J. Human Environ. 31(2), 132–140. https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.2.132 (2002).
- Galloway, J. N. et al. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. Science 320(5878), 889–892. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674 (2008).
- Hirel, B., Le Gouis, J., Ney, B. & Gallais, A. The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: Towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. J. Exp. Bot. 58(9), 2369–2387. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm097 (2007).
- Fan, X. et al. Plant nitrate transporters: From gene function to application. J. Exp. Bot. 68(10), 2463–2475. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jxb/erx011 (2017).
- 6. Tegeder, M. Transporters involved in source to sink partitioning of amino acids and ureides: Opportunities for crop improvement. *J. Exp. Bot.* **65**(7), 1865–1878. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru012 (2014).
- Wang, Y., Hsu, P. & Tsay, Y. Uptake, allocation and signaling of nitrate. Trends Plant Sci. 17(8), 458–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2012.04.006 (2012).
- Tsay, Y., Schroeder, J. I., Feldmann, K. A. & Crawford, N. M. The herbicide sensitivity gene CHL1 of *Arabidopsis* encodes a nitrateinducible nitrate transporter. *Cell* 72(5), 705–713. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90399-b (1993).
- Léran, S. et al. A unified nomenclature of nitrate transporter 1/Peptide transporter family members in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 19(1), 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.08.008 (2014).
- Von Wittgenstein, N. J., Le, C. H., Hawkins, B. J. & Ehlting, J. Evolutionary classification of ammonium, nitrate, and peptide transporters in land plants. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-14-11 (2014).
- Liu, K. & Tsay, Y. Switching between the two action modes of the dual-affinity nitrate transporter CHL1 by phosphorylation. EMBO J. 22(5), 1005–1013. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg118 (2003).
- Morere-Le Paven, M. et al. Characterization of a dual-affinity nitrate transporter MtNRT1.3 in the model legume Medicago truncatula. J. Exp. Bot. 62(15), 5595–5605. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err243 (2011).
- Sugiura, M., Georgescu, M. N. & Takahashi, M. A nitrite transporter associated with nitrite uptake by higher plant chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 48(7), 1022–1035. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcm073 (2007).
- Komarova, N. Y. et al. AtPTR1 and AtPTR5 transport dipeptides in planta. Plant Physiol. 148(2), 856–869. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.108.123844 (2008).
- Zhou, J., Theodoulou, F. L., Muldin, I., Ingemarsson, B. & Miller, A. J. Cloning and functional characterization of a *Brassica napus* transporter that is able to transport nitrate and histidine. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273(20), 12017–12023. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273. 20.12017 (1998).
- Chiba, Y. et al. Identification of Arabidopsis thaliana NRT1/PTR family (NPF) proteins capable of transporting plant hormones. J. Plant. Res. 128(4), 679–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-015-0710-2 (2015).
- David, L. C. et al. N availability modulates the role of NPF3.1, a gibberellin transporter, in GA-mediated phenotypes in Arabidopsis. Planta 244(6), 1315–1328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2588-1 (2016).
- Krouk, G. et al. Nitrate-regulated auxin transport by NRT1.1 defines a mechanism for nutrient sensing in plants. Dev. Cell 18(6), 927–937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.05.008 (2010).
- Saito, H. et al. The jasmonate-responsive GTR1 transporter is required for gibberellin-mediated stamen development in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7095 (2015).
- 20. Tal, I. et al. The Arabidopsis NPF3 protein is a GA transporter. Nat. Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11486 (2016).
- Miller, A., Fan, X., Shen, Q. & Smith, S. Expression and functional analysis of rice NRT2 nitrate transporters. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A: Mol. Integr. Physiol. 146(4), S241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.01.558 (2007).
- Feng, H. et al. Multiple roles of nitrate transport accessory protein NAR2 in plants. Plant Signal. Behav. 6(9), 1286–1289. https:// doi.org/10.4161/psb.6.9.16377 (2011).
- Feng, H. *et al.* Spatial expression and regulation of rice high-affinity nitrate transporters by nitrogen and carbon status. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62(7), 2319. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq403 (2011).
- Kotur, Z. et al. Nitrate transport capacity of the Arabidopsis thaliana NRT2 family members and their interactions with AtNAR2.1. New Phytol. 194(3), 724–731. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04094.x (2012).
- Yan, M. et al. Rice OsNAR2.1 interacts with OsNRT2.1, OsNRT2.2 and OsNRT2.3a nitrate transporters to provide uptake over high and low concentration ranges. Plant, Cell Environ. 34(8), 1360–1372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02335.x (2011).
- Zifarelli, G. & Pusch, M. CLC transport proteins in plants. FEBS Lett. 584(10), 2122–2127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009. 12.042 (2009).
- Harada, H., Kuromori, T., Hirayama, T., Shinozaki, K. & Leigh, R. H. Quantitative trait loci analysis of nitrate storage in *Arabi*dopsis leading to an investigation of the contribution of the anion channel gene, *AtCLC-C*, to variation in nitrate levels. J. Exp. Bot. 55(405), 2005–2014. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erh224 (2004).
- Jossier, M. *et al.* The Arabidopsis vacuolar anion transporter, AtCLCc, is involved in the regulation of stomatal movements and contributes to salt tolerance. Plant J. 64(4), 563–576. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2010.04352.x (2010).

- Hedrich, R. & Geiger, D. Biology of SLAC 1-type anion channels from nutrient uptake to stomatal closure. New Phytol. 216(1), 46–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14685 (2017).
- Buchner, P. & Hawkesford, M. J. Complex phylogeny and gene expression patterns of members of the nitrate transporter 1/Peptide transporter family (NPF) in wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 65(19), 5697–5710. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru231 (2014).
- Li, W. *et al.* A wheat transcription factor positively sets seed vigour by regulating the grain nitrate signal. New Phytol. 225(4), 1667–1680. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16234 (2019).
- Taulemesse, F., Le Gouis, J., Gouache, D., Gibon, Y. & Allard, V. Post-flowering nitrate uptake in wheat is controlled by N status at flowering, with a putative major role of root nitrate transporter NRT21. *PLoS ONE* 10(3), e0120291. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0120291 (2015).
- Guo, T. *et al.* Transcription analysis of genes encoding the wheat root transporter NRT1 and NRT2 families during nitrogen starvation. J. Plant Growth Regul. 33(4), 837–848. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-014-9435-z (2014).
- Wang, H. et al. Phylogeny and gene expression of the complete nitrate transporter 1/Peptide transporter family in Triticum aestivum. J. Exp. Bot. 71(15), 4531–4546. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa210 (2020).
- Wang, M. et al. TaANR1-TaBG1 and TaWABI5-TaNRT2s/NARs link ABA metabolism and nitrate acquisition in wheat roots. Plant Physiol. 182(3), 1440–1453. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.01482 (2020).
- 36. Wang, W., Hu, B., Li, A. & Chu, C. NRT1.1s in plants: Functions beyond nitrate transport. J. Exp. Bot. 71(15), 4373-4379 (2020).
- Yin, L., Li, P., Wen, B., Taylor, D. & Berry, J. O. Characterization and expression of a high-affinity nitrate system transporter gene (TaNRT2.1) from wheat roots, and its evolutionary relationship to other NRT2 genes. *Plant Sci.* 172(3), 621–631. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.plantsci.2006.11.014 (2007).
- Bajgain, P., Russell, B. & Mohammadi, M. Phylogenetic analyses and in-seedling expression of ammonium and nitrate transporters in wheat. Sci. Rep. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25430-8 (2018).
- Hu, B. et al. GSDS 2.0: An upgraded gene feature visualization server. Bioinformatics 31(8), 1296–1297. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu817 (2014).
- Schilling, S., Kennedy, A., Pan, S., Jermiin, L. S. & Melzer, R. Genome-wide analysis of MIKC -type MADS -box genes in wheat: Pervasive duplications, functional conservation and putative neofunctionalization. *New Phytol.* 225(1), 511–529. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/nph.16122 (2020).
- Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. The Phyre2 web Portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 10(6), 845–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053 (2015).
- Ramírez-González, R. H. et al. The transcriptional landscape of polyploid wheat. Science 361(6403), eaar6089. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.aar6089 (2018).
- 43. Sandhu, N., Kaur, A., Sethi, M., Kaur, S., Singh, V., Sharma, A., Bentley, A. R., Barsby, T., & Chhuneja, P. Genetic dissection uncovers genome wide marker trait associations for plant growth, yield and yield related traits under varying nitrogen levels in nested synthetic wheat introgression libraries. *Front. Plant Sci.* https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-582649/v1(2021).
- Wolfe, D., Dudek, S., Ritchie, M. D. & Pendergrass, S. A. Visualizing genomic information across chromosomes with PhenoGram. BioData Min. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0381-6-18 (2013).
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35(6), 1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096 (2018).
- Yu, Y., Ouyang, Y. & Yao, W. ShinyCircos: An R/Shiny application for interactive creation of Circos plot. *Bioinformatics* 34(7), 1229–1231. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx763 (2017).
- Ma, S. et al. WheatOmics: A platform combining multiple omics data to accelerate functional genomics studies in wheat. Mol. Plant 14(12), 1965–1968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.10.006 (2021).
- Ma, S. *et al.* WheatOmics: A platform combining multiple omics data to accelerate functional genomics studies in wheat. *Mol. Plant* 14, 1965–1968 (2021).
- Zhang, A. *et al.* S-type anion channels SLAC1 and SLAH3 function as essential negative regulators of inward K+ channels and stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 28(4), 949–965. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.01050 (2016).
- 50. Yan, H. et al. Structure and mechanism of a nitrate transporter. Cell Rep. 3(3), 716-723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.03. 007 (2013).
- Saier, M. H., Reddy, V. S., Tamang, D. G. & Västermark, Å. The transporter classification database. Nucl. Acids Res. 42(D1), D251– D258. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1097 (2013).
- Perland, E., Bagchi, S., Klaesson, A. & Fredriksson, R. Characteristics of 29 novel atypical solute carriers of major facilitator superfamily type: Evolutionary conservation, predicted structure and neuronal Co-expression. Open Biol. 7(9), 170142. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rsob.170142 (2017).
- Reddy, V. S., Shlykov, M. A., Castillo, R., Sun, E. I. & Saier, M. H. The major facilitator superfamily (*MFS*) revisited. *FEBS J.* 279(11), 2022–2035. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08588.x (2012).
- Allen, S., Guo, m., Loussaert, D., Rupe, M., & Wang, H. (2016). Enhanced nitrate uptake and nitrate translocation by overexpressing maize functional low-affinity nitrate transporters in transgenic maize. US Patent 20160010101 A1.
- Chen, J. et al. Agronomic nitrogen-use efficiency of rice can be increased by driving OsNRT2.1 expression with the OsNAR2.1 promoter. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 14(8), 1705–1715. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12531 (2016).
- Fan, X. et al. Overexpression of a pH-sensitive nitrate transporter in rice increases crop yields. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113(26), 7118–7123. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525184113 (2016).
- Wang, W. et al. Expression of the nitrate transporter gene OsNRT1.1A/OsNPF6.3 confers high yield and early maturation in rice. Plant Cell 30(3), 638-651. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00809 (2018).
- Fu, Y., Yi, H., Bao, J. & Gong, J. LeNRT2.3 functions in nitrate acquisition and long-distance transport in tomato. FEBS Lett. 589(10), 1072–1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2015.03.016 (2015).
- Luo, B. *et al.* Overexpression of a high-affinity nitrate transporter OsNRT2.1 increases yield and manganese accumulation in rice under alternating wet and dry condition. *Front. Plant Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01192 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to IWGSC and Wheat-OMICS database for the data availability. We are thankful to the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India for providing DBT RA fellowship.

Author contributions

N.S. and A.K. designed this study; A.K. conducted the *in-silico* studies and drafted the manuscript; N.S. conducted the field and genome wide association mapping studies and contributed to manuscript draft; P.K. helped in the *in-silico* analysis; G.P. and J.S. helped in visualisation; N.S., S.K. and P.C. provided resources and contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript.

Funding

The work was compiled under projects funded by the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India (Grant No. BT/IN/UK-VNC/42/RG/2015–16 and BT/PR30871/BIC/101/1159/2018).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-15202-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022