<u>LETTERS</u>

General Route for Preparing β -Nitrocarbonyl Compounds Using Copper Thermal Redox Catalysis

Amber A. S. Gietter, Peter G. Gildner, Andrew P. Cinderella, and Donald A. Watson*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States

(5) Supporting Information

(Scheme 1).⁵

ABSTRACT: Using a simple copper catalyst, the alkylation of nitroalkanes with α -bromocarbonyls is now possible. This method provides a general, functional group tolerant route to β -nitrocarbonyl compounds, including nitro amides, esters, ketones, and aldehydes. The highly sterically dense, functional group rich products from these reactions can be readily elaborated into a range of complex nitrogen-containing molecules, including highly substituted β -amino acids.



Selective C-alkylation 50 Examples with up to 93% yield Complex mixture without catalyst

N itroalkanes are extraordinarily useful intermediates in organic synthesis.¹ These compounds participate in a wide range of carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions (including Henry reactions,² conjugate additions,² allylations,³ and arylations⁴), serve as starting materials for the installation of numerous functional groups (including alkylamines, ketones, hydroxyl amines, alkanes),² and serve as radical precursors





Among nitroalkanes, nitrocarbonyl compounds are a particularly interesting class, as the two functional groups have widely orthogonal reactivity, making them highly versatile intermediates in complex molecule synthesis.¹ α -Nitrocarbonyls can be easily prepared by acylation of a nitronate anion⁶ or by nitration of a carbonyl.⁷ Similarly, γ -nitrocarbonyls can be prepared by conjugate addition of a nitronate anion to an α_{β} -unsaturated carbonyl,⁸ or by the addition of an enolate to a nitroalkene.⁹ In contrast, however, the synthesis of β -nitrocarbonyls is considerably more challenging. In 1970, Kornblum demonstrated that tertiary α -nitrocarbonyls can undergo coupling with nitronate anions to prepare β -nitroesters and ketones;¹⁰ however, these reactions, which likely proceed via radical intermediates, have not been widely adopted, possibly due to the required starting materials and/or need for light-promoted reaction conditions with many substrates. More recently, MacMillan reported the enantioselective α -nitroalkylation of aldehydes using silylnitronates and organo-SOMO catalysis. While this latter method is

extremely elegant and efficient, it is limited to preparation of β nitroaldehydes and cannot access highly substituted products.¹¹ While a few additional sundry methods exist,¹² to date, no general method has been reported for the preparation of β nitrocarbonyls that is general for a wide variety of carbonyl groups with varying substitution and proceeds under synthetically tractable conditions.

One potential entry to β -nitrocarbonyls involves the alkylation of a nitronate anion by a readily available α -bromocarbonyl compound. However, this reaction has been shown to lead to a complex mixture of products, presumably due to the strong preference for nitronate anions to undergo alkylation at oxygen in reactions involving alkyl halide electrophiles.^{10,13,14}

We recently reported a simple and inexpensive copper catalyst, prepared in situ from copper bromide and an easily synthesized 1,3-diketimine (nacnac) ligand, that successfully catalyzes the *C*-alkylation of nitroalkanes using benzyl bromides.^{15,16} We believe this reaction proceeds via a benzyl-stabilized radical,¹⁶ which suggests that other alkyl bromides bearing radical-stabilizing groups might be viable coupling partners for the reaction.

We now show that nitroalkanes can be alkylated with α bromocarbonyls using this copper-catalyzed strategy. This new protocol provides direct access to a wide range of β -nitrocarbonyl compounds, including nitro esters, amides, ketones, and aldehydes with excellent functional group compatibility. Importantly, this method also demonstrates remarkable steric tolerance, and allows the synthesis of β -nitrocarbonyls containing fully substituted vicinal carbons at both the α and β positions. This method can be used to access a range of downstream products, including complex, sterically encumbered β -amino acids.

We began by studying the reaction of ethyl 2-bromovalerate with 1-nitropropane to make β -nitroester 1 (Table 1). Starting with the optimized conditions for alkylation of nitroalkanes using benzyl bromides (20 mol % of CuBr, 20 mol % of diketimine 2,

 Received:
 May 17, 2014

 Published:
 May 28, 2014



^{*a*}1.2 equiv of 1-nitropropane. ^{*b*}Yield and diastereomeric ratio (dr) determined by NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene or mesitylene as an internal standard; parenthetical yields are isolated yields of pure material. ^{*c*}No copper, no ligand. ^{*d*}40 °C.

NaOEt, benzene, 60 °C), we were pleased to observe a 75% yield of desired product 1 (entry 1). The nitroester was observed as a 58:42 mixture of diastereoisomers, which was later shown to favor the erythro-isomer, as shown (see below). In the absence of catalyst, none of the desired product was observed (entry 2). When NaOSiMe₃ was used as the base, 1 was observed in 92% yield (89% isolated yield) with a similar diastereomeric ratio as above (entry 3). Further studies revealed that the reaction was tolerant of a range of solvents (entries 4-8). Whereas nonpolar solvents generally provided the highest yields, moderate to good yields were observed in all but the most polar solvents investigated (entry 9). Particularly effective solvents include benzene, hexanes, and methylene chloride, all of which provide excellent yield in the model reaction. In subsequent studies, benzene proved to be the most general solvent and was therefore used most often. In many cases, however, hexanes could also be employed. For the sake of comparison, yields in both solvents are reported in some of the studied examples described below. In a few cases, often those involving more polar substrates, other solvents such as dioxane, cyclohexane, or methylene chloride provided superior yields. These cases are denoted in the tables.

The optimized reaction conditions are highly general for the preparation of β -nitrocarbonyl compounds. As shown in Scheme 2 (top), a broad range of α -bromoesters bearing diverse substitution and functional groups participate in the reaction. Branching and aromatic substitution at the α -position (3 and 4) do not adversely affect the yield of the reaction. Both primary and tertiary α -bromoesters are also effective substrates. With primary substrates (5 and 9), we have found that increased catalyst loading is required to achieve good yields. We assume this relates to the difficulty in forming a primary radical intermediate. However, given the cost of the catalyst, we do not believe this to be a serious impediment.

In contrast, tertiary α -bromo esters react very smoothly with standard catalyst loadings to provide highly substituted β -nitro esters (6 and 7). A variety of esters can also be used (11, 12, and 14). Finally, β -nitrolactones can also be prepared using this route (13).

 α -Bromo amides also serve as alkylating reagents in this transformation (Scheme 2, middle). *N*,*N*-Dialkylamides bearing a secondary α -bromide react in excellent yield under the optimized reaction conditions (21). As with the ester substrates,



Scheme 2. Scope with Respect to α -Bromocarbonyl

^{*a*}Conditions: 1 equiv of α -bromocarbonyl, 1.2–1.4 equiv of nitroalkane, 20 mol % of CuBr, 20 mol % of **2**, and 1.1–1.3 equiv of NaOSiMe₃; see the Supporting Information for exact conditions. Diastereomeric ratio determined from NMR of crude product using mesitylene as internal standard. ^{*b*}S0 mol % of CuBr and **2**. ^{*c*}48 h.

primary bromide substrates can also be used, but the yield is slightly attenuated and higher catalyst loading is required (22). With tertiary amides bearing a tertiary halogen, the facility of the reaction depends greatly on the nature of the nitrogen substituents.

With amides bearing two alkyl groups, a low yield of the desired product (23) was observed, even when forcing

conditions were employed. We attribute this to the extreme steric encumbrance imparted by the *s*-trans amide substituent in the putative radical intermediate.¹⁷ This hypothesis is supported by the fact that formation of pyrrolidine-derived product **24**, in which the *s*-trans substituent is constrained, is formed in much higher yield under the standard conditions. α -Bromoamides bearing other nitrogen substituents can also be used in the reaction. This includes protic primary (**25**) and secondary amides (**26**). Weinreb amide substrates are also very good substrates in the reaction; products derived from both secondary (**27**) and tertiary bromides (**28**) can be obtained in high yield. The versatility of the Weinreb amide products will allow a broad range of downstream synthetic manipulations.¹⁸

Finally, with respect to the scope of the α -bromocarbonyl substrate, both α -bromo ketones and aldehydes can be used (Scheme 2, bottom). Ketones both with (31) and without (32) enolizable protons at the adjacent α -center performed equally well. As with previous examples, reduced substitution at the bromide center of the starting material decreased the yield of the product (33). With aldehydes, the degree of substitution at the halogen center proved highly critical. Only tertiary α -bromoaldehydes provided useful yields in the reaction (34). In this way, the current reaction is highly complementary to the transformation reported by MacMillan described above.¹¹

The reaction is also highly robust with respect to the nitroalkane coupling partner (Scheme 3). Longer aliphatic nitroalkanes (35), as well as those with β -branching (36), are well tolerated. The alkylation of nitromethane proceeded



^{*a*}Conditions: 1 equiv α -bromocarbonyl, 1.2–1.6 equiv nitroalkane, 20 mol % CuBr, 20 mol % **2**, and 1.1–1.7 equiv NaOSiMe₃, see Supporting Information for exact conditions. ^{*b*}48 h. ^{*c*}50 mol % CuBr and **2**. ^{*d*}30 mol % CuBr and **2**, 48 h. ^{*e*}40 mol % CuBr and **2**.

without incident (41). Most strikingly, secondary nitroalkanes could also be alkylated using this protocol. This includes the use of secondary (43 and 44) as well as tertiary (45–51) α bromocarbonyls. In the latter case, both simple secondary nitroalkanes, such as 2-nitropropane and nitrocyclohexane, as well as more complex nitroalkanes participated in the reaction with equal facility (49 and 51). The products from these reactions lead to fully substituted vicinal carbons bearing a nitrogen center, which are highly challenging to prepare by other means.¹⁹ There does, however, appear to be a steric limit in these reactions (see 47 and 50); very highly encumbered products are formed in only limited yield.

Finally, more complex nitroalkanes bearing additional functional groups were also well tolerated in the reaction (37-40 and)49-51). These examples, as well as the additional examples in Scheme 2, demonstrate the broad functional group compatibility observed with this transformation. In total, compatible functional groups include aromatic chlorides (30), bromides (11 and 12), and iodides (14), trifluoromethyl arenes (29), alkenes (15), internal alkynes (16), silyl ethers (17), esters (37), and amides (38) located away from the reaction center, acyl-protected alcohols (39), and secondary Boc-protected amines (40). In addition, a variety of heterocyclic substrates are tolerated in the reaction, including lactones (mentioned above, 13), furans (18), thiophenes (19), and pyridines (20). Finally, it is notable that the preparation of 18 was accomplished on multigram scale, demonstrating the scalability of these reactions, even on more complex substrates.

Only modest levels of diastereoselectivity were observed in cases where stereoisomers were possible. In most cases, however, the stereoisomers were readily separated by simple chromatography, and in several cases we were able to characterize one of the isomers via X-ray crystallography (see Scheme 2). Correlation of these structures to their ¹H NMR spectra revealed that the erythro isomer consistently displayed downfield shifts at the hydrogen atom α to the nitro group compared to the threo isomer.²⁰ Based upon this analysis, we were able to determine that the erythro isomer was the predominant product in all but two cases (the exceptions were for aromatic product 4 and lactone 13).²¹

The products from the alkylation reaction are highly useful intermediates for further synthetic manipulations. For example, the products can be elaborated by C–C bond-forming reactions. This includes traditional reactions, such as their use as nucleophiles in conjugate addition reactions (e.g., Scheme 4, top)²² or our previously reported copper-catalyzed benzylation reaction (e.g., Scheme 4, bottom).¹⁵ Notably, both of these reactions form congested, nitrogen-bearing, fully substituted carbons. The ability to functionalize further α to the nitro group highlights the importance of this transformation compared to

Scheme 4. Subsequent C–C Bond-Forming Reactions of Alkylation Products



other protocols for preparing β -azacarbonyl compounds, such as the β -aminocarbonyls that result from Mannich reactions.¹⁹

Moreover, β -nitrocarbonyls are excellent precursors for β amino acids and their derivatives.²³ For example, Zn/AcOH provides a high-yielding, mild reagent for the selective reduction of the nitro group to the corresponding amine (Scheme 5, top). Alternatively, Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of benzyl ester derivatives leads cleanly to the unprotected β -amino acids in very high yield (Scheme 5, bottom).

Scheme 5. Reduction of Alkylation Products



It is particularly notable that this latter reaction works efficiently to prepare a range of highly substituted β -amino acids, including those bearing additional functional groups.

In summary, using copper-catalyzed thermal redox catalysis, we have developed a general and high-yielding route for the preparation of β -nitrocarbonyl compounds from readily available α -bromocarbonyls. The method is applicable to the synthesis of nitro esters, amides, ketones, and aldehydes, and the mild reaction conditions are compatible with a vast range of functional groups. The versatile products from the reaction offer a range of options for additional synthetic manipulations, including ready access to highly substituted β -amino acids and their derivatives.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures; crystallographic and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dawatson@udel.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mr. Di Cui (University of Delaware) is acknowledged for preliminary experiments in this area. Dr. Glenn Yap (University of Delaware) is thanked for crystallography. The University of Delaware, the University of Delaware Research Foundation, the Research Corporation (Cottrell Scholars Program), and the NIGMS (R01GM102358) are gratefully acknowledged for support. Data was acquired at UD on instruments obtained with the assistance of NSF and NIH funding (NSF CHE0421224, CHE1229234, CHE0840401, and CHE1048367; NIH P20 GM103541 and S10 RR02692).

REFERENCES

 Seebach, D.; Colvin, E. W.; Lehr, F.; Weller, T. Chimia 1979, 33, 1.
 Ono, N. The Nitro Group In Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2001.

(3) (a) Aleksandrowicz, P.; Piotrowska, H.; Sas, W. *Tetrahedron* **1982**, 38, 1321. (b) Maki, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, 63, 4250. (c) Trost, B. M.; Surivet, J.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, 39, 3122. (d) Tsuji, J.; Yamada, T.; Minami, I.; Yuhara, M.; Nisar, M.; Shimizu, I. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 2988. (e) Wade, P. A.; Morrow, S. D.; Hardinger, S. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, 47, 365.

(4) Vogl, E. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 67, 106.

(5) (a) Kornblum, N.; Carlson, S. C.; Smith, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 647. (b) Ono, N.; Miyake, H.; Kaji, A. Chem. Lett. 1985, 14, 635. (c) Tormo, J.; Hays, D. S.; Fu, G. C. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5296. (6) (a) Bachman, G. B.; Hokama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 4882. (b) Baker, D. C.; Putt, S. R. Synthesis 1978, 1978, 478. (c) Crumbie, R. L.; Nimitz, J. S.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4040. (d) Katritzky, A. R.; Abdel-Fattah, A. A. A.; Gromova, A. V.; Witek, R.; Steel, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9211. (e) Ono, N.; Fujii, M.; Kaji, A. Synthesis 1987, 1987, 532. (f) Nakamura, K.; Kitayama, T.; Inoue, Y.; Ohno, A. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 7471.

(7) (a) Fischer, R. H.; Weitz, H. M. Synthesis 1980, 1980, 261.
(b) Kornblum, N.; Chalmers, M. E.; Daniels, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 6654.
(c) Laikhter, A. L.; Kislyi, V. P.; Semenov, V. V. Mendeleev Commun. 1993, 3, 20.

(8) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; Fiorini, D.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 933.

(9) Roca-Lopez, D.; Sadaba, D.; Delso, I.; Herrera, R. P.; Tejero, T.; Merino, P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2010**, *21*, 2561.

(10) Kornblum, N.; Boyd, S. D.; Stuchal, F. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1970**, 92, 5783.

(11) Wilson, J. E.; Casarez, A. D.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11332.

(12) (a) Miyakoshi, T.; Saito, S.; Kumanotani, J. Chem. Lett. 1981, 10, 1677. (b) Russell, G. A.; Ros, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7349.
(c) Kunetsky, R. A.; Dilman, A. D.; Tsvaygboym, K. P.; Ioffe, S. L.; Strelenko, Y. A.; Tartakovsky, V. A. Synthesis 2003, 2003, 1339.

(13) (a) Kornblum, N.; Boyd, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5784.
(b) Hass, H. B.; Bender, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 1767.

(14) For an isolated case involving a specific substrate class, see: Easton, C. J.; Roselt, P. D.; Tiekink, E. R. T. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 7809. (15) Gildner, P. G.; Gietter, A. A. S.; Cui, D.; Watson, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 9942.

(16) For conceptually related Heck-type reactions, see: (a) Liu, C.; Tang, S.; Liu, D.; Yuan, J.; Zheng, L.; Meng, L.; Lei, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3638. (b) Nishikata, T.; Noda, Y.; Fujimoto, R.; Sakashita, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16372.

(17) Hoffmann, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1841.

(18) Balasubramaniam, S.; Aidhen, I. S. Synthesis 2008, 3707.

(19) (a) Arend, M.; Westermann, B.; Risch, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1044. (b) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471. (c) Tramontini, M.; Angiolini, L. Mannich

Bases, Chemistry and Uses; CRC Press Inc.: Boca Raton, FL, 1994. (20) See the Supporting Information for further details regarding this analysis.

(21) With the possible exceptions of **4** and **13**, we believe this product mixture to be kinetic in origin. See the Supporting Information.

(22) Interestingly, this reaction is highly diastereoselective. A 63:37 mixture of diastereomers of starting material affords a single diastereomer of product (NMR). The relative stereochemistry was determined by X-ray crystallography, after reduction to the amine. See the Supporting Information.

(23) (a) Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4290. (b) Juaristi, E.; Soloshonok, V. A Enantioselective Synthesis of β -Amino Acids, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2005;. (c) Weiner, B.; Szymanski, W.; Janssen, D. B.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1656.