311. Hepatitis C Screening Within a Large FQHC Network in Brooklyn, New York: How We Measure Across an Ethnically Diverse Population

Jesica Hayon, MD¹; Isaac Dapkins, MD²; George Shahin, MD, MBA³; Doreen Colella, MSN⁴; Morris Jrada, MD⁵; Dimpal Bhakta, MD⁶ and Neil Allen. Pasco, MD¹; ¹Family Health Center at NYU Langone, New York City, New York; ²NYU, Brooklyn, New York; ³Family Health Centers at NYU Langone, Brooklyn, New York; ⁴FHC at NYU Langone, Brooklyn, New York; ⁵Resident, Brooklyn, New York; ⁶NYU School of Medicine, New York City, New York

Session: 41. Hepatitis

Thursday, October 3, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. With over 100,000 unique lives and 600,000 visits in 2018, The Family Health Centers at NYU Langone (FHC) is one of the largest Federally Qualified Health Center network based primarily in Southwest Brooklyn New York. Within the catchment area 48% of the population report being born out of the United States, with 30% of the population describing themselves of Asian ethnicity and 42% as Latino [1]. Effective January 1, 2014 New York State law mandated hepatitis C screening to be offered to every individual born between 1945 and 1965 receiving health services. Now five years later, with the advancements in treatment options and increased access for patients where cost has become prohibitive we retrospectively reviewed how our performance has been prior to embarking on a goal of 60% screening compliance.

Methods. We performed a retrospective chart review looking at a denominator of patients born between 1945 and 1965 who were seen in the FHC for a visit in 2018. Patients who were previously screened since 2016, have a diagnosis of hepatitis C, history of hepatitis C documented in either past medical history, problem list or ICD code were excluded. Data abstraction for compliance in the numerator included patients who have a resulted hepatitis C antibody or have indicated current treatment (with a hepatitis C viral load).

Results. 51% of patients based on the aforementioned methodology have been screened in 2018. 11,577 patients were eligible with 650 patients having a documented refusal. 261 new diagnosis were made in 2018 and compliance for non-screened patients without any prior screening was 35%. Regarding racial/ethnic composition of the practice sites compared with patients screened, one practice site with an 87% Asian non-Hispanic population had a 35% compliance rate with screening where as the most predominate Hispanic population site (81% of total patients seen) had a 54% compliance rate.

Conclusion. Overall screening rates within the network are commendable, yet more work is being done to drive provider awareness on the need for compliance. Differences in racial/ethnic backgrounds and compliance of screening completion can be seen within the FHC network. Current efforts are focused on increasing culturally appropriate awareness amongst the patient population as well as the providers.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

312. Treatment of Hepatitis C After Identification of Infection During Universal Screening Approach in Pregnant Women

Michelle Rose, MBA¹; John Allen Myers, PhD²; Nicholas Ryan, MD¹; Alissa Prince, LPN¹; Morgan Talbot, BSN, RN¹ and Claudia M. Espinosa, MD, MSc²; ¹Norton Healthcare, Louisville, Kentucky; ²University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky

Session: 41. Hepatitis

Thursday, October 3, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. Identifying asymptomatic individuals with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is challenging. Pregnancy presents a unique opportunity to screen women for HCV and then link those positive to care. Universal screening in pregnant women, however, is not recommended by CDC or ACOG. Further, treatment with direct antiviral agents (DAAs) are not currently approved for pregnant women but are warranted following delivery and breastfeeding. We sought to compare treatment up-take before and after universal screening in pregnant women was implemented as the standard of care in our institution and then determine if universal screening leads to increased treatment after pregnancy.

Methods. A retrospective analysis of risk-based HCV screening in pregnant women was used for the first period (2014–2015) and a prospective design was used following 18 months of universal screening (2016–2017). Prenatal data were collected from all pregnant women that sought care at our institution in the prospective part of the study. We tested for differences in relevant outcomes (e.g., screening rates, rate of those eligible for treatment, and those who actually received treatment) between the two periods. Finally, we performed a cost-effective analysis of universal screening considering treatment rates.

Results. During the universal screening period, more women were screened for HCV and diagnosed with chronic infection. Universal screening was not associated with a significant increase in the odds of women receiving treatment after pregnancy. The increased cost for universal screening was \$1060 per patient, resulting in an ICER of \$219,391 per additional treatment received or \$57,734 per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained, which is below the willingness-to-pay threshold to be cost-effective. Universal screening, however, is cost-effective with an ICER well below the established willingness-to-pay threshold of \$100,000 per QALY gained, if all women eligible for treatment receive therapy.

Conclusion. Universal screening may not lead to a significant increase in the odds that pregnant women receive DAAs therapy after pregnancy. Barriers to linkage to care should be addressed in an effort to increase antiviral therapy for these women and universal screening should be implemented within this patient population.

Testing Practice	Risk-based screening N=10420 (%)	Universal screening N=9033 (%)	OR [95% CI]
Pregnant women screened	1867 (17.9)	9033 (100)	
HCV antibody AB+	81 (4.3)	483 (4.9)	1.1 [0.9-1.4]
HCV RNA performed	44 (54.3)	483 (100)	1.8 [1.5-2.3]
HCV RNA AB+	31 (1.7)	306 (3.4)	2.1 (1.4-3.0]
(active intection)			
DAAs Uptake	n=16, 0.8%	n=92, 1.0%	1.180.69-2.01, p=0.548

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

313. Bringing Hepatitis C into FOCUS: Evaluating the Efficiency of a Linkage to Care Program in Improving the Hepatitis C Care Cascade

Brittany M. Battle, MHA¹; Michael Gierlach, MPH¹; Brian Allen, MA¹; Melissa O. Jenkins, MD² and Ann Avery, MD³; ¹MetroHealth Medical Center, Richmond Hts, Ohio; ²Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio; ³MetroHealth Medical Center/ Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio

Session: 41. Hepatitis

Thursday, October 3, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. In 2016, The MetroHealth System began enhanced hepatitis C (HCV) screening through decision support, coupled with linkage of newly diagnosed patients to specialty care by a Linkage to Care coordinator (LTCC). Prior to this, patients were notified of their HCV diagnosis by a provider and given a referral to a specialist. We hypothesized that an LTCC would shorten time from HCV diagnosis to HCV treatment.

Methods. Patients newly diagnosed with HCV between June 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015 (Pre-LTCC), were compared with patients newly diagnosed between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2017 (Post-LTCC). Patients who were HCV RNA negative were excluded. The time between diagnosis and linkage, linkage and treatment start date, and diagnosis and treatment start date for each population were compared using unpaired *t*-tests.

Results. 125 newly diagnosed patients were identified in the Pre-LTCC population. 83 (66%) were linked to specialty care and 27 (22%) received HCV treatment. 446 newly diagnosed patients were identified in the Post-LTCC population. 211 (47%) were linked to specialty care and 70 (16%) HCV positive persons were treated. No significant difference was noted between the groups in days between diagnosis and specialty care linkage (Pre-LTCC mean = 120 days; Post-LTCC mean = 102 days). The time from linkage to treatment was significantly longer in Pre-LTCC (260 days) than Post-LTCC persons (152 days), P < 0.05. The average time from diagnosis to treatment in pre-LTCC persons was also significantly longer than in Post-LTCC (332 days vs. 237 days, P < 0.05) (See Table).

Conclusion. We demonstrate that post-implementation of an LTCC program for HCV, the time between diagnosis and treatment of HCV was significantly reduced. This reduction, however, did not seem to be due to faster linkage to specialty care. A limitation of the study is that differences in HCV care (insurance restrictions, availability of new regimens) between the pre-LTCC and post-LTCC period were not considered. Although the linkage rate in the post-LTCC period was lower, some patients in post-LTCC may still be awaiting linkage. While there was an observed reduction in time between linkage and treatment, further research is needed to determine the causes of this reduction.

Time Period	Pre-LTCC		Post-LTCC		T-Test
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	P value
Diagnosis to Linkage	120	237	102	102	0.36
Linkage to Treatment	260	310	152	147	0.02
Diagnosis to Treatmemt	332	303	237	155	0.04

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

314. Healthcare Providers' Views on HCV Testing and Counseling Among Sexual Partners of Hepatitis C-Infected Persons

Katherine Dunham¹; Jessica McDonald¹; Anna Yousaf, MD²; Joshua A. Barocas, MD³; Marguerite A. Neill, MD⁴; Amanda J. Noska, MD, MPH³ and Timothy P. Flanigan, MD⁶; ¹Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island; ²Brown University/ Warren Alpert Medical School, Providence, Rhode Island; ³Boston Medical Center and Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; ⁴Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island; ⁵Essentia Health/ St. Mary's Medical Center, Duluth, Minnesota; ⁶The Miriam Hospital and Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island

Session: 41. Hepatitis

Thursday, October 3, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. Current hepatitis C virus (HCV) counseling guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) do not recommend that HCV-infected-patients notify their partners or encourage them to get tested. Because there is a small but present risk of HCV acquisition through sex, sexual partners should be encouraged to receive HCV counseling and testing. We aimed to assess healthcare professionals' knowledge of and attitudes toward current counseling and testing recommendations for HCV-infected patients.