



Duplication and Functional Divergence of Branched-Chain Amino Acid Biosynthesis Genes in *Aspergillus nidulans*

💿 Joel T. Steyer,ª 💿 Damien J. Downes,ª* 💿 Cameron C. Hunter,ª Pierre A. Migeon,ª 💿 Richard B. Toddª

^aDepartment of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

Joel T. Steyer and Damien J. Downes made equal contributions to the manuscript. Author order was determined at the suggestion of Damien J. Downes and by mutual agreement.

ABSTRACT Fungi, bacteria, and plants, but not animals, synthesize the branched-chain amino acids: leucine, isoleucine, and valine. While branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) biosynthesis has been well characterized in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, it is incompletely understood in filamentous fungi. The three BCAAs share several early biosynthesis steps before divergence into specific pathways. In Aspergillus nidulans, the genes for the first two dedicated steps in leucine biosynthesis have been characterized, but the final two have not. We used sequence searches of the A. nidulans genome to identify two genes encoding β -isopropylmalate dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the penultimate step of leucine biosynthesis, and six genes encoding BCAA aminotransferase, which catalyzes the final step in biosynthesis of all three BCAA. We have used combinations of gene knockouts to determine the relative contribution of each of these genes to BCAA biosynthesis. While both β -isopropylmalate dehydrogenase genes act in leucine biosynthesis, the two most highly expressed BCAA aminotransferases are responsible for BCAA biosynthesis. We have also characterized the expression of leucine biosynthesis genes using reverse transcriptase-quantitative PCR and found regulation in response to leucine availability is mediated through the Zn(II)₂Cys₆ transcription factor LeuB.

IMPORTANCE Branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) biosynthesis is important for pathogenic fungi to successfully cause disease in human and plant hosts. The enzymes for their production are absent from humans and, therefore, provide potential antifungal targets. While BCAA biosynthesis is well characterized in yeasts, it is poorly understood in filamentous fungal pathogens. Developing a thorough understanding of both the genes encoding the metabolic enzymes for BCAA biosynthesis and how their expression is regulated will inform target selection for antifungal drug development.

KEYWORDS LEU3, amino acid biosynthesis, branched-chain amino acid metabolism, filamentous fungi, gene regulation, isopropylmalate, leucine, primary metabolism, transcription factors, valine

The branched-chain amino acids (BCAA) leucine, isoleucine, and valine are essential dietary amino acids in mammals. Leucine levels provide an acute signal for nutrient availability to control the protein kinase mTORC1 (mammalian Target of Rapamycin Complex 1), which is a pleiotropic regulator of many cellular processes, including cell growth, protein biosynthesis, the response to nutrient availability, and autophagy (1, 2). Unlike mammals, fungi synthesize BCAA for use in protein biosynthesis and as precursors for secondary metabolites (3). BCAA biosynthesis genes also play important roles during infection for fungal pathogens. BCAA auxotrophs in the opportunistic human fungal pathogens *Cryptococcus neoformans, Candida albicans,* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* show decreased pathogenicity (4–9), and the plant pathogens *Magnaporthe oryzae* and *Fusarium graminearum* require BCAA biosynthesis genes for full virulence

Citation Steyer JT, Downes DJ, Hunter CC, Migeon PA, Todd RB. 2021. Duplication and functional divergence of branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis genes in *Aspergillus nidulans*. mBio 12:e00768-21. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.00768-21.

Editor Gustavo H. Goldman, Universidade de Sao Paulo

Copyright © 2021 Steyer et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Richard B. Todd, rbtodd@ksu.edu.

* Present address: Damien J. Downes, MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, MRC Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

This is contribution number 20-058-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Received 7 April 2021 **Accepted** 16 May 2021 **Published** 22 June 2021 (10–15). Therefore, the enzymes for BCAA biosynthesis are potential drug and antifungal agent targets.

Synthesis of the three BCAAs occurs via a dichotomous biochemical pathway and is well characterized in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (16). Studies of BCAA biosynthesis in *A. fumigatus, Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus nidulans* have revealed both divergence from and similarity to *S. cerevisiae* (5, 17–20). For example, both *A. nidulans* and *S. cerevisiae* encode a single α -isopropylmalate isomerase (19, 21). *A. fumigatus* encodes two functional dihydroxyacid dehydratases (5), whereas *S. cerevisiae* encodes only one (22), whereas the production of α -isopropylmalate (α -IPM) in *S. cerevisiae* is carried out by two α -IPM synthetases, encoded by *LEU4* and *LEU9* (23–26), but only a single gene in *A. nidulans, leuC*, encodes a functional *LEU4/LEU9* ortholog (27). The final two steps in leucine biosynthesis are catalyzed sequentially by β -isopropylmalate (β -IPM) dehydrogenase and the bidirectional BCAA aminotransferase (BAT), which also produces isoleucine and valine and catalyzes the first step in BCAA catabolism (28). Although the genes encoding these enzymes have been characterized in *S. cerevisiae*, their *A. nidulans* orthologs are unknown.

Leucine biosynthesis in *A. nidulans* is thought to be regulated by the Zn(II)₂Cys₆ transcription factor LeuB (19). LeuB regulates target genes through either consensus CCGN₄CGG DNA-binding sites, like its *S. cerevisiae* counterpart Leu3p, or a nonconsensus CCGN₅CGG motif, which is also the target of TamA (27, 29). Regulation by LeuB and Leu3p is controlled by feedback inhibition through intracellular levels of free leucine (19, 27, 30). When leucine is abundant, it interacts with the α -IPM synthetase Leu4p to inhibit its activity and decrease production of the leucine biosynthesis pathway intermediate α -IPM (31). Leu3p acts as a repressor when α -IPM levels are low but is converted to an activator by binding of α -IPM (32). *A. nidulans* leucine biosynthesis loss-of-function mutants *luA1* (affecting α -IPM isomerase) and *leuC* Δ (affecting α -IPM synthetase), which are predicted to have increased or decreased α -IPM levels, respectively, show that LeuB responds similarly to Leu3p (27). This mechanism is conserved in *A. fumigatus* LeuB, which regulates leucine biosynthesis and iron acquisition genes (9, 33).

In addition to regulating leucine biosynthesis genes, Leu3p and LeuB regulate expression of their respective NADP-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase (NADP-GDH)-encoding genes, *GDH1* and *gdhA* (9, 19, 33, 34). Consistent with feedback inhibition of leucine biosynthesis through LeuB, exogenous leucine also negatively affects *A. nidulans gdhAlacZ* reporter gene expression (27). NADP-GDH assimilates nitrogen nutrients producing glutamate, which is the amino donor in the final step of leucine biosynthesis. Coregulation of NADP-GDH production by the leucine pathway transcription factor is thought to ensure glutamate levels sufficient to sustain leucine production (16). It has been suggested that, through the feedback mechanisms provided by leucine levels and the coregulation of NADP-GDH expression, leucine, which is one of the most common protein-incorporated amino acids and one of the least abundant free cellular amino acids, acts as a general sensor for amino acid abundance (16).

The *A. nidulans* leucine biosynthesis pathway genes encoding α -IPM synthase (*leuC*) and α -IPM isomerase (*luA*) have been characterized previously (19, 27). In this study, we characterize the two genes encoding β -IPM dehydrogenases and six genes predicted to encode branched-chain amino acid aminotransferases, which together constitute the final two steps of the leucine biosynthesis pathway in *A. nidulans*. We demonstrate roles for both β -IPM dehydrogenase genes and reveal that only two of the six branched-chain amino acid aminotransforms to BCAA production. We have also investigated the regulation of these genes by LeuB and leucine.

RESULTS

Identification of the two *A. nidulans* β -isopropylmalate dehydrogenase genes. The penultimate step in leucine biosynthesis is catalyzed by β -IPM dehydrogenase (Fig. 1). A single gene in yeast, *LEU2*, encodes β -IPM dehydrogenase (35, 36), whereas in *A. niger*, two enzymes, Leu2A and Leu2B, encoded by separate genes, carry out this



FIG 1 Leucine biosynthesis in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Pathway of committed leucine (Leu) biosynthesis enzymes (rounded rectangles). The stages involving generation of α -isopropylmalate (α -IPM) from α -ketoisovalerate (KIV) by α -IPM synthetase (LeuC) and subsequent conversion to β -isopropylmalate (β -IPM) by α -IPM isomerase (LuA) have previously been characterized. The two β -IPM dehydrogenase enzymes (LeuD and LeuE), which generate α -ketoisocaproate (KIC), and two BCAA aminotransferases (BatA and BatB), which also function in isoleucine and valine biosynthesis and isoleucine, leucine, and valine (ILV) catabolism, were characterized in this work from eight candidate coding genes.

role (18). Two *A. nidulans* β -IPM dehydrogenase enzymes, encoded by AN0912 and AN2793, were identified in BLASTp searches with *S. cerevisiae* Leu2p as the query. AN0912 and AN2793 showed high levels of similarity and identity with Leu2p, Leu2A, and Leu2B, with AN0912 most similar to Leu2A and AN2793 most similar to Leu2B (Table 1). AN0912 and AN2793 showed 50.5% identity and 67.3% similarity with each other. Alignment of the five proteins revealed strong conservation throughout the protein, including in the substrate binding loop and NAD binding motif (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). We designated AN0912 *leuD* and AN2793 *leuE. leuD* is found on chromosome VIII in a region of highly conserved gene colinearity in all 27 *Aspergillus* species genomes available at FungiDB (Fig. S2A). In contrast, *leuE* is located on chromosome VI and lacks colinearity with its 24 orthologs in the 27 *Aspergillus* species (Fig. S2B).

We investigated the relationships of the two A. nidulans β -IPM dehydrogenase genes through construction of a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). LeuD and LeuE formed distinct clades with their respective Aspergillus orthologs. The LeuD clade is consistent with the position of A. nidulans in the fungal evolutionary tree (37), whereas the LeuE clade lies between the Ascomycota and the Basidiomycota clades.

leuD and *leuE* both function in leucine biosynthesis. To determine whether *leuD* and *leuE* are functional genes, we generated deletion mutants by gene replacement (Fig. S3A; see Materials and Methods). Deletion of genes required for leucine biosynthesis results in leucine auxotrophy (19, 27), yet neither *leuD* Δ nor *leuE* Δ strain conferred strict

TABLE 1 Pairwise protein sequence comparisons of β -IPM dehydrogenases

		Leu2p		Leu2A		Leu2B	
Protein ^a	Systematic name	% Identity	% Similarity	% Identity	% Similarity	% Identity	% Similarity
Leu2p	YCL018W	100	100	ND ^b	ND	ND	ND
LeuD	AN0912	62.8	79.6	87.7	94.5	50.7	66.3
LeuE	AN2793	50.1	64.8	53.3	67.7	84.9	92.2

^{*a*}A. *nidulans* LeuD and LeuE β -IPM dehydrogenase full-length protein sequences were aligned pairwise and compared with *S. cerevisiae* Leu2p and *A. niger* Leu2A and Leu2B.

^bND, not determined.



FIG 2 Phylogenetic analysis of β -IPM dehydrogenases. Unrooted phylogeny of β -IPM dehydrogenases is shown. Bootstrap support (100 replicates) greater than 40% is shown. Protein sequences for *A. nidulans* were downloaded from AspGD, sequences for *S. cerevisiae* were downloaded from SGD, and all other sequences came from Pfam or NCBI. *Archaea, Sulfolobus solfataricus* (Q9UXB2.1); *Bacteria, Corynebacterium ammoniagenes* (DSNZR1.1), *Klebsiella aerogenes* (WP_077203698.1), *Streptococcus mutans* (Q8DTG3.1), *Saccharomonospora cyanea* (H5XNC6.1); Basidiomycota (Basid), *Coprinopsis cinerea* (A8NYJ8.1), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Q5KP37.1), *Ustilago maydis* (1, XP_011387179.1; 2, XP_011391948.1); Ascomycota (Asc.), *A. fumigatus* Leu2A (Q4WRM6.1), Leu2B (Q4WLG7.1), *A. nidulans* LeuD (AN0912), LeuE (AN2793), *A. niger* Leu2A (P87256.1), Leu2B (P87257.1), *A. oryzae* (1, Q2TYA5.1; 2, Q877A9.1), *Botrytis cinerea* (XP_001546815.1) *Candida albicans* (C4YTB1.1), *Fusarium cyliskuroi* (C1L3C2.1), *M. oryzae* (1, G4N5B0.1; 2, G4NIK0.1), *Neurospora crassa* (P34738.2), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Leu2p (YCL018W), *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (P18869.1); *Planta, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (1, A817N4.1; 2, A817N8.1). The scale bar corresponds to the branch length for an expected number of 0.1 substitutions per site. The two distinct *Aspergillus* clades are boxed.

leucine auxotrophy (Fig. 3A). However, while the *leuE* Δ strain grew similarly to the wild type in the absence of leucine, the *leuD* Δ mutant showed reduced growth compared with the wild type unless supplemented with exogenous leucine. Transformation of the *leuD* gene into the *leuD* Δ mutant restored leucine prototrophy (Fig. S4A). To determine whether the leaky nature of the *leuD* Δ leucine auxotrophy resulted from LeuE activity, we constructed a *leuD* Δ *leuE* Δ double mutant by meiotic crossing and found that the double mutant was a strict auxotroph, showing growth only when supplemented with exogenous leucine (Fig. 3A). Leucine supplementation of a *C. neoformans* auxotroph lacking α -IPM isomerase is possible when glutamine or asparagine, but not ammonium, is the nitrogen source (6). In contrast, the *leuD* Δ *leuE* Δ leucine auxotrophy could be supplemented on the preferred nitrogen sources ammonium and glutamine and on the alternative nitrogen source nitrate (Fig. 3A). Therefore, regulation of leucine uptake in *A. nidulans* is not regulated by nitrogen metabolite repression.

To complement the tight leucine auxotrophy of the $leuD\Delta$ $leuE\Delta$ double mutant, we introduced a plasmid carrying the wild-type leuE gene and directly selected transformants in the absence of leucine (Fig. S4B to D). Single-copy integration conferred partial leucine auxotrophy that resembled the $leuD\Delta$ single mutant, whereas multicopy transformants showed stronger growth, indicating that additional copies of the leuEgene partially suppress the $leuD\Delta$ phenotype. We next considered whether levels of expression were the source of the different degrees of effect of $leuD\Delta$ and $leuE\Delta$. We



FIG 3 leuD encodes the major β -IPM dehydrogenase. (A) Wild-type (MH1), leuDA (RT411), leuEA (RT413), $leuD\Delta$ $leuE\Delta$ (RT444), $leuB\Delta$ (RT452), and $leuB\Delta$ $leuD\Delta$ (RT460) strains were grown at 37°C for 2 days on solid supplemented ANM with or without 2 mM leucine (Leu) and with 10 mM ammonium (NH_4) , glutamine (Gln), and nitrate (NO_3) as the nitrogen source. Note that the yellow colony color of RT460 is due to the yA1 conidial color mutation and is unrelated to the $leuB\Delta$ $leuD\Delta$ phenotype. (B) Mean reads per kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM) from RNA-seq of MH1 grown at 37°C for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium (NH₄), glutamine (Gln), and alanine (Ala). (C) RT-qPCR quantification of mean fold change in transcript expression in $leuD\Delta$ (RT411) strain compared to the wild type (MH1) grown at 37°C for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM-10 mM ammonium and 2 mM leucine. Bars indicate the mean fold change from three independent biological replicates (circles). *, $P \leq 0.05$. NS, not significant using two-tailed Student's t test with equal distribution. (D) LacZ specific activity for wild-type (MH12101), *leuB*A (MH12181), *leuD*A (RT458), and $leuB\Delta$ $leuD\Delta$ (RT460) strains, which contain the -753 bp gdhA-lacZ reporter construct. Strains were grown at 37°C for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium and 2 mM leucine (n = 3). *, $P \le 0.05$; **, $P \le 0.001$; ***, $P \le 0.0001$; NS, not significant; using one-way ANOVA. For panels B to D, error bars depict standard error of the mean (N = 3).

found, using reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), that *leuD* had ~64-fold higher expression than *leuE* after 16 h of growth in 10 mM ammonium-minimal medium. In transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) data from wild-type mycelia, *leuD* showed higher expression than *leuE* when grown on ammonium (35-fold), alanine (12fold), and glutamine (13-fold) (Fig. 3B). As leucine production is regulated by feedback inhibition, we examined the effect of the *leuD* Δ mutation on expression of *leuE* and two other leucine biosynthesis genes, *luA* and *leuC*, by RT-qPCR, and *gdhA*, which is coregulated with leucine biosynthesis, using enzyme activity of LacZ expressed from the *gdhA-lacZ* translational fusion reporter gene (19, 27). For all three leucine biosynthesis genes, and for *gdhA-lacZ*, we found that *leuD* Δ resulted in increased expression over wild-type levels (Fig. 3C and D). Therefore, reduced leucine production as a result of *leuD* Δ results in compensation by upregulation of *leuE* and the other leucine biosynthesis genes as well as *gdhA*.

As $leuE\Delta$ had no effect on growth and leuE upregulation in the $leuD\Delta$ deletion mutant is expected to be LeuB dependent, we constructed a $leuB\Delta$ $leuD\Delta$ double mutant (Fig. 3A). In contrast to the $leuB\Delta$ and $leuD\Delta$ single mutants, which are leaky leucine auxotrophs, the $leuB\Delta$ leuD\Delta double mutant is a strict leucine auxotroph, suggesting

TABLE 2 Pairwise protein sequence comparisons of BATs

		Bat1p		Bat2p	Bat2p	
Protein ^a	Systematic name	% Identity	% Similarity	% Identity	% Similarity	
Bat1p	YHR208W	100	100	73.5	81.2	
Bat2p	YJR148W	73.5	81.2	100	100	
BatA	AN4323	49.3	59.7	49.0	59.2	
BatB	AN5957	40.5	54.4	43.4	58.6	
BatC	AN7878	44.9	62.9	45.8	59.9	
BatD	AN7876	24.3	41.3	23.8	41.4	
BatE	AN0385	24.2	36.6	24.9	39.0	
BatF	AN8511	21.7	31.8	25.1	35.8	

^aA. nidulans BatA, BatB, BatC, BatD, BatE, and BatF branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase full-length protein sequences were aligned pairwise and compared with *S. cerevisiae* Bat1p and Bat2p.

that LeuB regulation of *leuE* is required for leucine biosynthesis in the absence of *leuD*. We assayed *gdhA-lacZ* reporter gene expression in the double mutant (Fig. 3D). Unlike the single *leuD* Δ mutant, there was no increase in expression above *leuB* Δ levels in the double mutant, consistent with the *leuD* Δ -induced upregulation of leucine biosynthesis genes occurring through LeuB.

Identification of six branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase genes. The final step in leucine biosynthesis, catalyzed by the BCAA aminotransferase (BAT), is common to isoleucine and valine biosynthesis (Fig. 1). In S. cerevisiae, BAT enzymes are encoded by two genes, BAT1 and BAT2 (38, 39). Six BAT enzymes predicted to catalyze this step have been previously identified in A. nidulans (20). We confirmed the identity of these six BATs, and their coding genes, using BLASTP analysis and designated them BatA (AN4323), BatB (AN5957), BatC (AN7878), BatD (AN7876), BatE (AN0385), and BatF (AN8511). Pairwise protein sequence comparisons with Bat1p and Bat2p revealed >21% identity and >31% similarity to both proteins (Table 2). Alignment of these eight proteins showed strong conservation of NAD cofactor binding residues and absolute conservation of the catalytic lysine residue (Fig. S5). The two S. cerevisiae BATs function in different subcellular compartments. Bat1p is primarily targeted to mitochondria, whereas Bat2p is cytoplasmic (39). To predict the subcellular location of the six A. nidulans BAT enzymes, we used DeepLoc-1.0, TargetP v1.1, and Predotar targeting signal predictions (40-43). For all three algorithms, BatA and BatC, like Bat1p, were predicted to be predominantly mitochondrial, and the remaining BAT enzymes were predicted by DeepLoc-1.0 to localize in the cytoplasm (Data Set S1). The BAT protein alignment revealed that Bat1p, BatA, and BatC have extended N termini containing a predicted mitochondrial targeting signal (Fig. S5).

We examined the colinearity of genes surrounding each of the six *A. nidulans* BATencoding genes to identify orthologous genes (Fig. S6). *batA* and *batB* orthologs are conserved in regions of high colinearity in all 27 species. *batE* orthologs are found in a region of moderate colinearity in 13 species. In contrast, *batC*, *batD*, and *batF* were located in regions lacking colinearity. *batD* only had orthologs in *A. niger* and *A. oryzae*, whereas *batC* and *batF* have no predicted ortholog. Interestingly, two of the BATencoding genes, *batC* and *batD*, are separated by just 2 kbp within the aspercryptins secondary metabolite gene cluster (44–47). The tight physical linkage of these two genes suggests that they arose from gene duplication by unequal crossover and, therefore, would show high sequence homology. However, the proteins encoded by these genes are highly diverged, showing only 28.9% protein sequence identity.

To determine the relationship of the six *A. nidulans* BATs, we performed phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 4). The BATs formed two distinct groups within the fungi. Group I, the larger group containing 37 out of 52 of the fungal BATs, included BatA, BatB, BatC, and *S. cerevisiae* Bat1p and Bat2p, as well as at least one protein from every other fungus examined. Group II was a smaller group, with only 15 of the 52 proteins, and was almost entirely composed of BAT enzymes from Pezizomycotina genera (*Aspergillus*,



FIG 4 Phylogeny of BCAA aminotransferases. Unrooted phylogeny of BCAA aminotransferases. Bootstrap support (100 replicates) greater than 40% is shown. Protein sequences for aspergilli were downloaded from AspGD, sequences for S. cerevisiae were downloaded from SGD, and all other sequences came from Pfam or NCBI. Archaea, Methanocaldococcus infernus (D5VSZ6.1); Bacteria, Bacillus subtilis (1, O31461.1; 2, P39576.5), Streptomyces clavuligerus (B5H0M8.1), S. cyanea (H5XQS6.1), Xanthomonas gardneri (F0C966.1); Basidiomycota (Basid.), C. cinerea (1, A8N0B4.2; 2, A8N0V2.2), C. neoformans (1, Q5K761.1; 2, Q5KD20.1), U. maydis (1, XP 011386074.1; 2, NC 026478.1:289079-290305; 3, CM003140.1:289079-290305); Ascomycota, A. funigatus (1, Afu4g06160; 2, Afu2g10420; 3, Afu1g01680), A. nidulans (BatA, AN4323; BatB, AN5957; BatC, AN7878; BatD, AN7876; BatE, AN0385; BatF, AN8511), A. niger (1, An04g00430; 2, An02g06150; 3, An09g01990; 4, An10g00620; 5, An01g06530; 6, An05g01100), A. oryzae (1, AO090023000977; 2, AO090011000598; 3, AO090023000123; 4, AO090011000044; 5, AO090005000936), C. albicans (1, Q59YS9.1; 2, Q5AHJ9.1; 3, Q5AHX4.1), Fusarium oxysporum (1, F9FH16.1; 2, F9FH71.1; 3, F9FL84.1; 4, F9FPH4.1), M. oryzae (1, G4MK83.1; 2, G4MNR9.1; 3, G4NDD5.1), N. crassa (1, Q9HEB7.2; 2, Q7SFT9.2; 3, Q7S699.1; 4, Q1K779.1), S. cerevisiae (Bat1p, YHR208W; Bat2p, YJR148), S. pombe (O14370.2), Kluyveromyces lactis (XP_451451.1), Saccharomyces kluyveri (1, CM000688.1:1070225-1071418; 2, CM000688.1:c1071418-1070225), and Talaromyces marneffei (1, XP_002144420.1; 2. XP_002147519.1; 3. XP 002148544.1; 4. XP 002148548.1; 5. XP 002152979.1). The scale bar corresponds to the branch length for an expected number of 0.1 substitutions per site. The two distinct fungal BAT clades are boxed.



FIG 5 Single and combinatorial deletion analysis of BAT genes. (A) Wild-type (MH1), $batA\Delta$ (RT415), $batB\Delta$ (RT440), $batC\Delta$ (RT475), $batD\Delta$ (RT419), $batE\Delta$ (RT417), and $batF\Delta$ (RT441) strains were grown on supplemented ANM solid media for 2 days with 10 mM nitrate as the predominant nitrogen source and combinations of 2 mM (each) isoleucine (I), leucine (L), and valine (V) to supplement potential auxotrophies. –, an omitted amino acid. (B) Wild-type (MH1), $batA\Delta$ $batB\Delta$ (RT457), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT648), $batA\Delta$ $batF\Delta$ (RT645), $batB\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT646), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT645), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT645), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT646), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT520), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batF\Delta$ (RT523), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT647), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT647), $batB\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT647), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT647), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ (RT647), $batA\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ $batE\Delta$ ba

Penicillium, Fusarium, Neurospora, Magnaporthe) and lacked any Saccharomycotina genera (*Saccharomyces, Candida*). Notably, BatC is in group I and BatD is in group II, consistent with separate recruitment to the aspercryptins cluster.

Genetic analysis of six *A. nidulans* **BATs.** The expansion of the number of BATencoding genes in *A. nidulans* indicates specialization for the production of isoleucine, leucine, or valine by specific BATs or the evolution of completely new roles. To determine which BAT-encoding genes were required for BCAA biosynthesis, we constructed individual knockout mutants of each of the six BATs (Fig. S3B; see Materials and Methods). Growth tests of the six individual *bat* knockout mutants showed none were BCAA auxotrophs (Fig. 5A). Therefore, each of the six BATs is dispensable for BCAA biosynthesis. During this study, the two BAT genes found in the aspercryptins gene cluster *batC* (AN7878) and *batD* (AN7876) were published by others as *atnH* and *atnJ*, respectively, and are thought to be involved in biosynthesis of 2-aminocaprylic acid, 2-aminododecanoic acid, and 2-aminodecanoic acid, three unusual BCAAs that are components of aspercryptins (46, 47).

Analysis of RNA-seq expression data from wild-type mycelia grown on ammonium, alanine, or glutamine (Fig. 6A) showed that *batA* has the highest expression under all three conditions. *batB* was the next most highly expressed and showed increased expression on alanine and glutamine compared to ammonium. *batC*, *batD*, and *batE* all showed intermediate expression levels, whereas *batF* was not expressed under these conditions. As *batC* and *batD* are involved in biosynthesis of unusual BCAAs (46, 47), we focused on the other four BAT genes. We measured expression of *batA*, *batB*, *batE*, and *batF* using RT-qPCR of RNA prepared from samples grown on ammonium, alanine, or nitrate. *batA*, *batB*, and *batE* expression did not substantially change under these conditions (Fig. 6B).



FIG 6 Expression analysis of BAT genes. (A) Mean reads per kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM) from RNA-seq of MH1 grown at 37°C for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium (NH₄), glutamine (Gln), and alanine (Ala). Error bars depict SEM (N=3). (B) RT-qPCR to measure expression levels of batA, batB, and batE under anabolic conditions compared with catabolic conditions. The wild type (MH1) was grown for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium (NH₄), nitrate (NO₃), or alanine (Ala) (anabolic conditions) or 3.3 mM (each) ILV (catabolic conditions). Mean fold change (bars) in expression is shown relative to the wild type on 10 mM ammonium for three independent replicates (circles). ***, $P \leq 0.0001$; NS, not significant, using a twotailed Student's t test with equal variance. batF was not detected by either RNA-seq or RT-qPCR. (C) RT-qPCR of *batA* and *batB* in the wild-type (MH1), *batA* Δ (RT415), or *batB* Δ (RT440) strains grown for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium. Mean fold change in expression (bars) relative to the wild type for three independent replicates (circles) is shown. *, $P \le 0.05$; NS, not significant, using a two-tailed Student's t test with equal variance. (D) Wild-type (MH1), $batA\Delta$ (RT415), $batB\Delta$ (RT440), $leuB\Delta$ (RT453), $leuB\Delta$ $batA\Delta$ (RT793), and $leuB\Delta$ $batB\Delta$ (RT794) strains were grown on supplemented ANM solid media for 2 days with 10 mM ammonium as the predominant nitrogen source with (ILV) or without (-) 2 mM (each) isoleucine, leucine, and valine or with 2 mM leucine (L).

batF was not expressed under these conditions, consistent with it being undetectable by RNA-seq. We constructed double, triple, and quadruple mutants combining *batA* Δ , *batB* Δ , *batE* Δ , and *batF* Δ by meiotic crossing. The *batA* Δ *batB* Δ double mutant, which combined deletions of the two most related and highly expressed genes, was a strict BCAA auxotroph and could only grow if supplemented with all three BCAAs (Fig. 5B). Therefore, BatA and BatB are the major BAT enzymes for isoleucine, leucine, and valine (ILV) biosynthesis. The *batA* Δ *batB* Δ *batE* Δ and *batA* Δ *batB* Δ *batE* Δ triple mutants and the *batA* Δ *batB* Δ *batE* Δ double mutant. In contrast, all of the other double and triple mutants constructed, which contained a wild-type copy of either *batA* or *batB*, were BCAA *batB* Δ *batB* Δ *batB* Δ mutant restored BCAA prototrophy (Fig. S4E). We investigated whether loss of either *batA* or *batB* would cause a compensatory increase in expression of *batB* or *batA*, respectively. However, on ammonium, *batA* expression was not upregulated in the *batB* Δ mutant and *batB* expression was not upregulated in the *batA* Δ mutant (Fig. 6C). This indicates that the expression levels of either one of the major *bat* genes for BCAA biosynthesis is sufficient for prototrophy. We constructed *leuB* Δ *batA* Δ and *leuB* Δ *batB* Δ double mutants. These two double mutants showed leaky leucine auxotrophy similar to that of the *leuB* Δ single mutant, indicating that *leuB* Δ is epistatic to *batA* Δ and *batB* Δ (Fig. 6D).

In addition to their role in BCAA biosynthesis, BATs also form the first step in ILV catabolism (28). We examined expression of batA, batB, batE, and batF with ILV as the sole nitrogen source to determine their expression pattern during catabolic conditions (Fig. 6B). For both batA and batE, expression levels were similar under anabolic and catabolic conditions. However, batB levels were elevated substantially during ILV catabolism compared with biosynthetic growth conditions, suggesting that BatB is the predominant catabolic enzyme. batF expression was undetectable. During BCAA catabolic growth, neither *batA* nor *batB* expression showed compensatory upregulation in the *batB* Δ or $batA\Delta$ strain, respectively (Fig. 7A). We assessed whether mutants carrying single or multiple BAT gene deletions could utilize each BCAA as the predominant nitrogen source in the presence of lower levels of the other two BCAAs to supplement the auxotrophy (Fig. 7B). All six single BAT mutants could utilize the three BCAAs. Mutants lacking batB but not *batA* showed slightly reduced colony morphology compared with *batB*⁺ strains. Notably, mutants lacking both *batA* and *batB* showed severely reduced growth on each of the BCAAs as a predominant nitrogen source, and the reduction in growth was greater on isoleucine and valine than on leucine. We also examined growth of the *batA* Δ and *batB* Δ single and double mutants on increasing concentrations of equimolar ILV and found that $batB\Delta$ shows reduced colony morphology compared with both wild-type and $batA\Delta$ strains but stronger growth than the $batA\Delta$ $batB\Delta$ double mutant (Fig. 7C). Therefore, BatA and BatB are the major BAT enzymes in A. nidulans for both BCAA biosynthesis and utilization. We did not observe a phenotype for $batE\Delta$ or $batF\Delta$ mutant in BCAA catabolism. Transformation analysis of the *batA* or *batB* gene into the *batA* Δ *batB* Δ recipient repaired BCAA utilization to the wild-type phenotype (Fig. S4F).

Regulation of leucine biosynthesis pathway gene expression by LeuB. The transcription factor LeuB is thought to regulate leucine biosynthesis genes because the $leuB\Delta$ mutant is a leaky leucine auxotroph (19). To determine whether LeuB regulates these genes in response to leucine levels, we performed RT-qPCR on RNA isolated from mycelia grown with exogenous leucine, which represses LeuB activation (27), and in a $leuB\Delta$ strain (Fig. 8). *leuB* expression was not altered in response to leucine. The six genes we demonstrated to function in leucine biosynthesis, *leuC*, *luA*, *leuD*, *leuE*, *batA*, and *batB*, as well as *batE*, showed decreased expression in response to exogenous leucine and/or in the *leuB*\Delta mutant compared to the wild type.

DISCUSSION

We have completed annotation of the *A. nidulans* leucine biosynthesis pathway and characterized the genes encoding enzymes for the final two steps. Our analysis has revealed divergence between aspergilli and yeast in the number of genes encoding the enzymes for each step. In *S. cerevisiae*, ketoisovalerate is converted to α -IPM by two α -IPM synthetases, Leu4p and Leu9p, which form homodimers and heterodimers that show differential sensitivity to leucine feedback inhibition (23–26, 31). In contrast, a single α -IPM synthetase gene exists in *A. nidulans* (27). α -IPM is converted to β -IPM by the isopropylmalate isomerase, which is encoded by a single gene in both *S. cerevisiae* (*LEU1*) and *A. nidulans* (*luA*) (19, 21). β -IPM is then converted to ketoisocaproate by a single β -IPM dehydrogenase in *S. cerevisiae*, Leu2p (35, 36), but two enzymes, LeuD and LeuE, in *A. nidulans*. The final step in BCAA biosynthesis is catalyzed by BCAA aminotransferase (BAT). *S. cerevisiae* has two BAT genes (38, 39). *A. nidulans* carries six BAT



FIG 7 Combinatorial analysis of BAT genes during catabolic growth. (A) RT-qPCR of *batA* and *batB* in the wild-type (MH1), *batA*Δ (RT415), or *batB*Δ (RT440) strains grown for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 3.3 mM (each) isoleucine (I), leucine (L), and valine (V), i.e., catabolic conditions. Mean fold change in expression (bars) relative to the wild type for three independent replicates (circles) is shown. NS, not significant using two-tailed Student's *t* test with equal variance. (B) Wild-type (MH1), *batA*Δ (RT415), *batB*Δ (RT440), *batC*Δ (RT475), *batD*Δ (RT419), *batE*Δ (RT417), *batF*Δ (RT441), *batA*Δ *batB*Δ (RT457), *batA*Δ *batE*Δ (RT648), *batA*Δ *batF*Δ (RT648), *batA*Δ *batF*Δ (RT645), *batB*Δ *batE*Δ (RT526), *batE*Δ *batF*Δ (RT466), *batA*Δ *batE*Δ (RT526), *batA*Δ *batE*Δ *batF*Δ (RT647), *batB*Δ *batE*Δ (RT531), and *batA*Δ *batB*Δ *batE*Δ (RT523), *batA*Δ *batE*Δ *batF*Δ (RT647), *batB*Δ batEΔ (RT531), and *batA*Δ *batB*Δ *batE*Δ (RT642) strains were grown on supplemented ANM solid media for 2 days with 10 mM isoleucine (IIe), leucine (L), and valine (V) to supplement auxotrophies. –, an omitted amino acid. (C) Wild-type (MH1), *batA*Δ (RT415), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batA*Δ *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT447), *batB*Δ (RT457) strains were grown on supplemented ANM solid media for 2 days at 37°C with increasing equimolar concentrations of isoleucine (I), leucine (L), and valine (V).

genes; however, primarily two, BatA and BatB, play major roles in ILV biosynthesis. Interestingly, the genes encoding the dimeric enzymes in the pathway, α -IPM synthetase (31), β -IPM dehydrogenase (48, 49), and BAT (50), differ in copy number, whereas the nonduplicated gene for α -IPM isomerase is monomeric (51). The *LEU4/LEU9* and *BAT1/BAT2* gene duplications resulted from the ancestral whole-genome duplication (WGD) and exhibit functional diversification associated with the acquisition of fermentative metabolism (52).

The Aspergillus lineage did not experience an ancestral WGD, but alternative mechanisms have mediated gene duplication within the leucine biosynthesis pathway. The acquisition of additional copies of genes often leads to robustness via the evolution of new functions but in some cases confers fragility (52–54). We found that both *leuD* and *leuE* function in leucine biosynthesis, although *leuE* plays a lesser role based upon its low expression, the prototrophy of the *leuE* Δ mutant, and the leaky leucine auxotrophy conferred by deletion of *leuD*. This gene duplication provides robustness in the form of redundancy, as perturbation of leucine biosynthesis by deletion of *leuD* resulted in LeuB-dependent upregulation of *leuE* and partial compensation of the leucine auxotrophy. Our functional analysis showed



FIG 8 LeuB regulation of the leucine biosynthesis genes. RT-qPCR of BCAA biosynthesis genes from wild-type (MH1) and *leuB* Δ (MH12609) strains grown for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM-10 mM ammonium with or without 2 mM leucine (Leu). Expression is relative to the wild type. The means (bars) and individual results from three independent replicates (circles) are shown. *, $P \le 0.05$; **, $P \le 0.001$; ***, $P \le 0.001$; NS, not significant, using two-tailed Student's t test with equal variance.

that each of the six *A. nidulans* BATs are dispensable. Combining BAT gene deletions, however, revealed that BatA and BatB are the major enzymes in both BCAA biosynthesis and utilization. BatA contains a mitochondrial targeting signal and shows higher biosynthetic expression, while the likely cytoplasmic BatB shows higher catabolic expression. Therefore, BatA and BatB are equivalent to mitochondrial and predominantly biosynthetic Bat1p and cytoplasmic and predominantly catabolic Bat2p in *S. cerevisiae* (50, 55, 56). BatA and BatB show redundancy in both biosynthesis and catabolism. BAT function is also distributed between two paralogs in *Lachancea kluyveri*, with one major biosynthetic BAT and both involved in aerobic metabolism (57). In contrast, *Kluyveromyces lactis* has just one BAT gene, which encodes a bifunctional enzyme for BCAA biosynthesis and degradation, and this is thought to be the ancestral type prior to the WGD and subfunctionalization of Bat1p and Bat2p in *S. cerevisiae* (50).

The dispensability of batC, batD, batE, and batF for BCAA biosynthesis and catabolism suggests evolution of novel roles. We showed that *batE* is regulated by leucine and LeuB, similar to other leucine biosynthesis genes, but expression levels are low and we did not observe a phenotype for the $batE\Delta$ mutant. However, batE expression is induced during hypoxia in the absence of glucose-to-ethanol fermentation, in association with elevated BCAA biosynthesis that occurs as a mechanism to generate NAD+ and survive anaerobic stress (20, 58). BatE does not appear to contribute to BCAA metabolism under our normoxic growth conditions but may play a role during anaerobic stress. batC (atnH) and batD (atnJ) are members of the aspercryptin biosynthetic gene cluster, with presumed roles in transamination of the unusual BCAAs 2-aminocaprylic acid, 2-aminodecanoic acid, and 2-aminododecanoic acid (46, 47). Aspercryptins contain three BCAAs (isoleucine or valine, 2-aminocaprylic acid, and 2-aminododecanoic or 2-aminodecanoic acid). Expression of batF was undetectable under our growth conditions or growth conditions used for RNA-seq by others (59). batF is adjacent to the terriquinone A (tdi) biosynthetic gene cluster and may also be associated with secondary metabolism (45, 60-62).

Regulation of leucine biosynthesis is best understood in *S. cerevisiae* where both activation and repression are mediated by the $Zn(II)_2Cys_6$ transcription factor Leu3p (16). When leucine is abundant, it interacts with α -IPM synthetase, inhibiting its function, which decreases cellular α -IPM levels and leads to Leu3p acting as a repressor (16, 24). When leucine levels decrease, α -IPM synthetase is not inhibited, and α -IPM interacts with Leu3p, causing a conformational change and resulting in Leu3p switching to an activator (16, 30). We observed repression by exogenous leucine in wild-type cells of all six genes that function in leucine biosynthesis, *luA*, *leuC*, *leuD*, *leuE*, *batA*, and *batB*, as well as *batE*, indicating this feedback mechanism operates in *A. nidulans*. Deletion of the *A. nidulans* LEU3 ortholog *leuB* confers leaky leucine auxotrophy (19), which we have now shown is due to decreased expression of the leucine biosynthesis

genes. The *leuD* Δ mutant shows leaky leucine auxotrophy and increased expression of other leucine biosynthesis genes, which likely results from reduced cellular levels of the negative feedback mediator leucine and increased α -IPM inducer levels due to increased β -IPM levels increasing the reverse reaction rate carried out by the bidirectional α -IPM isomerase encoded by *luA*.

The absence of the leucine biosynthesis pathway in animals and the reduced virulence of leucine auxotrophs (4–6, 9, 33, 97) render leucine biosynthesis enzymes strong candidate targets for antifungals. Our studies of the genes in this pathway indicate that the feedback mechanisms and gene duplications present in the aspergilli must be considered in target selection to avoid increased LeuB-dependent expression of other leucine biosynthesis genes in response to an antifungal agent targeting this pathway. The strongest target would be α -IPM synthetase (LeuC), as reduced activity of this enzyme leads to decreased α -IPM and repression of leucine biosynthesis genes by LeuB (27). The benefit of targeting this step would be in cross regulation of nitrogen assimilation by reduced expression of *gdhA* and potentially reduced cellular glutamate and glutamine levels.

Overall, this study has completed the annotation of the genes required for leucine biosynthesis in *A. nidulans* and demonstrated regulation of the pathway genes by LeuB. We have found roles for *leuD* and *leuE* in leucine biosynthesis and for *batA* and *batB* in BCAA biosynthesis and catabolism. Roles for *batC* (*atnH*) and *batD* (*atnJ*) in aspercryptins production have now been reported (46, 47), but the roles of *batE* and *batF* remain to be determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. nidulans strains, media, and genetic analysis. *A. nidulans* strains and genotypes are listed in Table 3 using conventional nomenclature (98). *A. nidulans* growth conditions and media were as described previously (63, 64). *Aspergillus* nitrogen-free minimal medium (ANM), pH 6.5, containing 1% (wt/vol) glucose as the sole carbon source, was supplemented for auxotrophies and nitrogen sources (10 mM final concentration), unless otherwise stated. *A. nidulans* growth testing and genetic analysis were as described previously (64).

Standard molecular techniques. Escherichia coli NM522 cells [F' pro A^+B^+ lacl^q Δ (lacZ)M15/ Δ (lacproAB) glnV thi-1 (hsdS-mcrB)5] (65) were employed for molecular cloning (66). Plasmid DNA was isolated using the Wizard Plus SV miniprep DNA purification kit (Promega). A. nidulans genomic DNA was isolated according to reference 67. PCR products and DNA fragments isolated from agarose gels were cleaned with the Wizard SV gel and PCR clean-up system (Promega). Restriction enzyme digestions (Promega, New England Biolabs), dephosphorylation with Arctic shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Promega), and ligations using T4 DNA ligase (Promega) followed the manufacturers' instructions. DNA was separated on 1 to 2% agarose gels by electrophoresis in 1× Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. PCRs used Ex Taq (TaKaRa), Phusion (Finnzymes), or AccuStart II Geltrack PCR supermix (Quanta Biosciences) DNA polymerases according to the manufacturers' instructions, with 1 ng plasmid or 100 ng A. nidulans genomic DNA templates. All reactions followed recommended denaturing and annealing conditions with 33 to 36 amplification cycles. Oligonucleotide PCR primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) are described in Table S1 in the supplemental material. DNA sequencing to confirm correct amplifications and cloning was performed at the Kansas State University DNA Sequencing and Genotyping Facility. Southern hybridizations used either Hybond N+ or Hybond XL membranes (GE Healthcare) and the DIG (digoxigenin) high prime DNA labeling and detection starter kit II (Roche) by following the manufacturer's instructions.

Strain construction. A. nidulans transformation was performed as described previously (27) using the $nkuA\Delta$ nonhomologous integration-defective mutant for targeted integration (68). Knockout constructs, generated by the A. nidulans whole-genome gene deletion constructs program (69), were sourced from the Fungal Genetics Stock Center, Manhattan, KS (70), and were transformed into MH11068 (pyrG89 *nkuA* Δ ::*Bar*) and selected for uracil and uridine prototrophy to generate *leuD* Δ (AN0912 Δ ; RT411, Δ -7 to +1,431 bp), $leuE\Delta$ (AN2793 Δ ; RT413, Δ -6 to +1,233 bp), $batA\Delta$ (AN4323; RT415, Δ +65 to +1,722 bp), $batB\Delta$ (AN5957 Δ ; RT440, Δ +25 to +1,395 bp), $batC\Delta$ (AN7878 Δ ; RT475, Δ -10 to +1,222 bp), $batD\Delta$ (AN7876 Δ ; RT419, Δ -7 to +1,297 bp), *batE* Δ (AN0385 Δ ; RT417, Δ +27 bp to 1,302 bp), and *batF* Δ (AN8511; RT441, Δ –9 to +1,230 bp) strains. Selection media for *leuD* Δ and *leuE* Δ transformants were supplemented with 2 mM leucine, and BAT gene deletion transformants were supplemented with ILV (2 mM each). The Aspergillus fumigatus pyrG (AfpyrG) marker showed position effect in the $batC\Delta$ mutant, incompletely complementing the pyrimidine auxotrophy of the pyrG89 mutation. Full complementation of pyrG89 by AfpyrG was observed in the other deletion mutants generated in this study. Pyrimidine supplementation was used in all growth tests. All deletion mutants were confirmed by Southern blotting as a single homologous double-crossover integration at the correct locus by probing with the 982-bp Kpnl-Sspl fragment of AfpyrG⁺ (data not shown). Meiotic crossing was used to generate double, triple, and quadruple mutants. The presence of each deletion in the progeny of crosses was confirmed by diagnostic Southern blotting or diagnostic PCR. The $leuD\Delta$ mutant was repaired by introduction of a wild-type leuDPCR product (-960 to +3216) amplified from MH1, with direct selection for simultaneous resistance to 1 mg ml⁻¹ 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) in the absence of exogenous leucine. The *leuD* Δ *leuE* Δ mutant was

TABLE 3 Strains used in this study

mBio

Strain	Origin	Genotype ^a
MH1	M. J. Hynes	biA1
MH10865	R. B. Todd	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 argB::fmdS-lacZ areA Δ (5')::riboB
MH11068	M. J. Hynes	pyrG89 pyroA4 nkuA∆::Bar
MH12609	M. A. Davis	yA1 pabaA1 leuB∆::riboB pyroA4 nkuA∆::Bar niiA4
MH12181	Downes et al. (27)	leuBΔ::riboB amdS::AfpyroA-gdhA(-753 bp)-lacZ pyroA4 niiA4
RT411	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar leuD Δ ::AfpyrG
RT412	RT411 × MH10865	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 leuD Δ ::AfpyrG
RT413	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar leuE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT414	RT413 × MH10865	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 leuE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT415	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 batAΔ::AfpyrG pyroA4 nkuAΔ::Bar
RT416	RT415 × MH10865	yA1 pyrG89 pabaA1 batA Δ ::AfpyrG
RT417	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT418	RT417 × MH10865	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT419	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 batD Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar
RT440	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 batBA::AfpyrG pyroA4 nkuAA::Bar
RT441	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar batF Δ ::AfpyrG
RT444	$RT411 \times RT414$	pyrG89 pyroA4 leuEA::AfpyrG leuDA::AfpyrG
RT452	MH12181 × MH11068	pyrG89 leuB Δ ::riboB amdS::AfpyroA-gdhA(-753 bp)-lacZ pyroA4
RT453	MH12181 × MH11068	pyrG89 leuB Δ ::riboB amdS::AfpyroA-gdhA(-753 bp)-lacZ pyroA4 niiA4
RT454	RT418 imes RT419	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 batD Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT457	$RT416 \times RT440$	pyrG89 batB Δ ::AfpyrG batA Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4
RT458	$RT412 \times RT453$	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 amdS::AfpyrOA-qdhA(-753 bp)-lacZ leuD Δ ::AfpyrG
RT460	$RT412 \times RT453$	yA1 pabaA1 pyrG89 leuB Δ ::riboB amdS::AfpyroA-qdhA(-753 bp)-lacZ leuD Δ ::AfpyrG
RT462	$RT412 \times RT453$	$IeuB\Delta::riboB amdS::AfpyroA-gdhA(-753 bp)-IacZ IeuD\Delta::AfpyrG niiA4$
RT466	$RT441 \times RT454$	pabaA1 pyrG89 batF Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT475	Transformant of MH11068	pyrG89 batC Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar
RT520	$RT457 \times RT466$	batB Δ ::AfpyrG batA Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT523	$RT457 \times RT466$	pabaA1 batB Δ ::AfpyrG batA Δ ::AfpyrG batF Δ ::AfpyrG
RT524	RT457 imes RT466	pabaA1 batB Δ ::AfpyrG batA Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT525	$RT457 \times RT466$	batB Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4 batF Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT526	RT457 imes RT466	$batB\Delta$::AfpyrG batF Δ ::AfpyrG
RT531	$RT457 \times RT466$	$batB\Delta$::AfpyrG batF Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT636	$RT525 \times RT524$	$batB\Delta$::AfpyrG batE\Delta::AfpyrG
RT642	RT525 × RT523	$batB\Delta$::AfpyrG batA\Delta::AfpyrG pyroA4 batF Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT645	$RT415 \times RT466$	pabaA1 batA Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4 batF Δ ::AfpyrG
RT647	$RT415 \times RT466$	pabaA1 batA Δ ::AfpyrG batF Δ ::AfpyrG batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT648	RT415 imes RT466	batA Δ ::AfpyrG pyroA4 batE Δ ::AfpyrG
RT793	RT453 imes RT415	pyrG89 batA Δ ::AfpyrG leuB Δ ::riboB pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar niiA4
RT794	RT453 imes RT440	pyrG89 batB Δ ::AfpyrG leuB Δ ::riboB pyroA4 nkuA Δ ::Bar niiA4

^aAll strains carry veA1.

complemented with the plasmid pJS249, which carries *leuE* (-913 to +2877) PCR amplified from MH1 and cloned into pGEMTeasy by transformation with direct selection for leucine prototrophy. The *batA* Δ *batB* Δ double mutant was complemented with the wild-type *batA* (-717 to +2558) or *batB* (-725 to +2187) gene using plasmids (pJS244 and pJS255, respectively) containing PCR-amplified DNA from MH1 cloned into pGEMTeasy. Transformants were directly selected for growth in the absence of exogenous ILV.

β-Galactosidase assays. β-Galactosidase assays were performed as described previously (71) using soluble protein extracts. β-Galactosidase specific activity is defined as $A_{420} \times 10^3 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ of soluble protein. Protein concentrations were determined using Bio-Rad assay reagent (Bio-Rad).

RNA preparation. Total RNA was isolated by grinding mycelia under liquid nitrogen and subsequent addition to RNA extraction buffer (7.0 M urea, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1.0% sodium dodecyl sulfate) followed by two phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extractions and one chloroform extraction (66). RNA was precipitated in 3 M ammonium acetate and 50% isopropanol, resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate-H₂O, and reprecipitated overnight in 4 M lithium chloride at -20° C. RNA quality was determined by visualization after electrophoretic separation in a 1.2% agarose gel containing 1.1% formaldehyde run in 1× morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer (20 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA). RQ1 DNase (Promega) treatment of RNA followed the manufacturer's instructions.

RT-qPCR. For reverse transcriptase-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), cDNA was produced using the reverse transcriptase system (Promega) or qScript cDNA supermix (Quanta Biosciences). RT-qPCR used a MyiQ thermocycler (Bio-Rad) with iTAQ universal SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad), and results were analyzed with iQ5 v2.1 (Bio-Rad). Fold change was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_{\tau}$ method with β -tubulin-encoding *benA* as the reference gene (72–74). Primers (IDT) were designed to specifically amplify cDNA by overlapping a splice junction. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR, target regions, and efficiencies are listed in Table S2.

RNA-seq. PolyA⁺ RNA, isolated from three independent biological replicates of wild-type (MH1) mycelia grown for 16 h in supplemented liquid ANM with 10 mM ammonium, glutamine, or alanine, was fragmented to 180 bp and indexed using the TruSeq stranded total RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina). Multiplexed libraries were sequenced using 50-bp single-end reads on the Illumina Hi-Seq 2500 system (Kansas University Medical Center Genome Sequencing Facility, Kansas City, KS). RNA-seq analysis was conducted using Galaxy (www.galaxyproject.org) (75–77). Reads were processed with FASTQ Groomer (78) and FastQC and aligned to the *A. nidulans* FGSC_A4 genome (79, 80) using TopHat (v2.0.6) (81) default settings, with exceptions (minimum intron length, 10; maximum intron length, 4,000; maximum alignments, 40; minimum read length, 20). Strand-specific reads were separated using SAMtools view (v1.1) (82). Strand-specific transcripts were identified using AspGD annotations (s10_m03_r15) and Cufflinks (v2.1.1.7) (83, 84) default settings, with exceptions (max intron length, 4,000; bias correction, yes; multiread correction, yes). Identified transcripts from all growth conditions were combined into a single annotation using Cuffmerge guided by the reference annotation. Differential expression was determined using CuffDiff (84) and cummeRbund (v2.8.2) (85).

Bioinformatics and in silico analyses. DNA and protein sequences were downloaded from the Aspergillus Genome Database, AspGD (www.aspgd.org [83]), the Saccharomyces Genome Database, SGD (www.yeastgenome.org [86]), the Broad Institute genomes database (www.broadinstitute.org), the NCBI protein database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/), and the EMBL-EBI Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam .org [87]). Protein sequence database searches used BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Protein conserved domains were identified using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (88). Pairwise protein sequence comparisons, and percent identity and similarity were calculated using EMBOSS Needle (EMBL-EBI) with default parameters. Sequences were analyzed in Geneious version 5.3.5, created by Biomatters (www.geneious.com). Multiple sequence alignments were made using ClustalW2 (89) or Clustal Omega (90) on the EMBL-EBI server (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa) and shaded using online BoxShade 3.2 (K. Hofmann and M. D. Baron) at ExPASy (https://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/BOX_form .html). Predicted subcellular localization of proteins was determined using Predotar 1.03 (https://urgi .versailles.inra.fr/predotar/ [42]), TargetP v1.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/ [40, 41]), and DeepLoc-1.0 (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?DeepLoc-1.0 [43]). Colinearity of syntenic regions was illustrated using the GBrowse genome browser of FungiDB with genomes clustered based on whole-genome phylogenies (91-93, 99).

Phylogenetic analyses. The Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam.org/ [87]) was used to identify orthologs in the isocitrate/isopropylmalate dehydrogenase family (PF00180) and the aminotransferase class IV family (PF01063). Protein sequences were aligned and phylogenies were constructed using MAFFT (94). The neighbor-joining method with 100 bootstraps was used to generate consensus unrooted phylogenetic trees of β -IPM dehydrogenases and BATs. Tree visualization and label editing used Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) (95).

Data availability. RNA-seq fastq files and bigwigs have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (96) and are accessible through GEO Series accession number (GSE145035).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. DATA SET S1, XLSX file, 0.04 MB. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.6 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 2 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S4, PDF file, 2.8 MB. FIG S5, PDF file, 0.9 MB. FIG S6, PDF file, 0.8 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.03 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Kansas State University (KSU) Johnson Cancer Research Center, the KSU Plant Biotechnology Center, a Kansas State University Research Foundation KSURF Predoctoral Fellowship to D.J.D., and KSU Genetics Doctoral Fellowships to J.T.S. and P.A.M.

REFERENCES

- Saxton RA, Knockenhauer KE, Wolfson RL, Chantranupong L, Pacold ME, Wang T, Schwartz TU, Sabatini DM. 2016. Structural basis for leucine sensing by the Sestrin2-mTORC1 pathway. Science 351:53–58. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aad2087.
- Wolfson RL, Chantranupong L, Saxton RA, Shen K, Scaria SM, Cantor JR, Sabatini DM. 2016. Sestrin2 is a leucine sensor for the mTORC1 pathway. Science 351:43–48. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab2674.
- Yamamoto K, Tsuchisaka A, Yukawa H. 2017. Branched-chain amino acids, p 103–128. In Yokota A, Ikeda M (ed), Amino acid fermentation. Springer, Tokyo, Japan. https://doi.org/10.1007/10_2016_28.
- Kingsbury JM, McCusker JH. 2010. Cytocidal amino acid starvation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida albicans acetolactate synthase (ilv2Δ) mutants is influenced by the carbon source and rapamycin. Microbiology 156:929–939. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.034348-0.

- Oliver JD, Kaye SJ, Tuckwell D, Johns AE, Macdonald DA, Livermore J, Warn PA, Birch M, Bromley MJ. 2012. The Aspergillus fumigatus dihydroxyacid dehydratase Ilv3A/IlvC is required for full virulence. PLoS One 7: e43559. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043559.
- Do E, Hu G, Caza M, Oliveira D, Kronstad JW, Jung WH. 2015. Leu1 plays a role in iron metabolism and is required for virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Fungal Genet Biol 75:11–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2014 .12.006.
- Richie DL, Thompson KV, Studer C, Prindle VC, Aust T, Riedl R, Estoppey D, Tao J, Sexton JA, Zabawa T, Drumm J, Cotesta S, Eichenberger J, Schuierer S, Hartmann N, Movva NR, Tallarico JA, Ryder NS, Hoepfner D. 2013. Identification and evaluation of novel acetolactate synthase inhibitors as antifungal agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:2272–2280. https://doi .org/10.1128/AAC.01809-12.
- Garcia MD, Chua SMH, Low YS, Lee YT, Agnew-Francis K, Wang JG, Nouwens A, Lonhienne T, Williams CM, Fraser JA, Guddat LW. 2018. Commercial AHAS-inhibiting herbicides are promising drug leads for the treatment of human fungal pathogenic infections. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E9649–E9658. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809422115.
- Orasch T, Dietl AM, Shadkchan Y, Binder U, Bauer I, Lass-Florl C, Osherov N, Haas H. 2019. The leucine biosynthetic pathway is crucial for adaptation to iron starvation and virulence in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Virulence 10:925–934. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2019.1682760.
- Du Y, Hong L, Tang W, Li L, Wang X, Ma H, Wang Z, Zhang H, Zheng X, Zhang Z. 2014. Threonine deaminase Mollv1 is important for conidiogenesis and pathogenesis in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Fungal Genet Biol 73:53–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2014.10.001.
- Du Y, Zhang H, Hong L, Wang J, Zheng X, Zhang Z. 2013. Acetolactate synthases Mollv2 and Mollv6 are required for infection-related morphogenesis in *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Mol Plant Pathol 14:870–884. https://doi .org/10.1111/mpp.12053.
- Liu X, Wang J, Xu J, Shi J. 2014. *Fgllv5* is required for branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis and full virulence in *Fusarium graminearum*. Microbiology 160:692–702. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.075333-0.
- Liu X, Han Q, Xu J, Wang J, Shi J. 2015. Acetohydroxyacid synthase Fgllv2 and Fgllv6 are involved in BCAA biosynthesis, mycelial and conidial morphogenesis, and full virulence in *Fusarium graminearum*. Sci Rep 5:16315. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16315.
- Liu X, Han Q, Wang J, Wang X, Xu J, Shi J. 2016. Two FgLEU2 genes with different roles in leucine biosynthesis and infection-related morphogenesis in Fusarium graminearum. PLoS One 11:e0165927. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0165927.
- Que Y, Yue X, Yang N, Xu Z, Tang S, Wang C, Lv W, Xu L, Talbot NJ, Wang Z. 2020. Leucine biosynthesis is required for infection-related morphogenesis and pathogenicity in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Curr Genet 66:155–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-019-01009-2.
- Kohlhaw GB. 2003. Leucine biosynthesis in fungi: entering metabolism through the back door. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:1–15. https://doi.org/10 .1128/MMBR.67.1.1-15.2003.
- MacDonald DW, Arst HN, Jr, Cove DJ. 1974. The threonine dehydratase structural gene in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Biochim Biophys Acta 362:60–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4165(74)90026-9.
- Williams BA, Sillaots S, Tsang A, Storms R. 1996. Isolation by genetic complementation of two differentially expressed genes for beta-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase from *Aspergillus niger*. Curr Genet 30:305–311. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002940050137.
- Polotnianka R, Monahan BJ, Hynes MJ, Davis MA. 2004. TamA interacts with LeuB, the homologue of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Leu3p, to regulate *gdhA* expression in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Mol Genet Genomics 272:452–459. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00438-004-1073-x.
- Shimizu M, Fujii T, Masuo S, Takaya N. 2010. Mechanism of de novo branched-chain amino acid synthesis as an alternative electron sink in hypoxic Aspergillus nidulans cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:1507–1515. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02135-09.
- Skala J, Capieaux E, Balzi E, Chen WN, Goffeau A. 1991. Complete sequence of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae LEU1 gene encoding isopropylmalate isomerase. Yeast 7:281–285. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.320070310.
- Velasco JA, Cansado J, Pena MC, Kawakami T, Laborda J, Notario V. 1993. Cloning of the dihydroxyacid dehydratase-encoding gene (*ILV3*) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Gene 137:179–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0378-1119(93)90004-M.
- Baichwal VR, Cunningham TS, Gatzek PR, Kohlhaw GB. 1983. Leucine biosynthesis in yeast. Identification of two genes (*LEU4*, *LEU5*) that affect alpha-isopropylmalate synthase activity and evidence that *LEU1* and *LEU2* gene

expression is controlled by alpha-isopropylmalate and the product of a regulatory gene. Curr Genet 7:369–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00445877.

- Chang LF, Cunningham TS, Gatzek PR, Chen WJ, Kohlhaw GB. 1984. Cloning and characterization of yeast Leu4, one of two genes responsible for alpha-isopropylmalate synthesis. Genetics 108:91–106. https://doi.org/10 .1093/genetics/108.1.91.
- Chang LF, Gatzek PR, Kohlhaw GB. 1985. Total deletion of yeast *LEU4*: further evidence for a second alpha-isopropylmalate synthase and evidence for tight *LEU4-MET4* linkage. Gene 33:333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(85)90241-0.
- 26. Casalone E, Barberio C, Cavalieri D, Polsinelli M. 2000. Identification by functional analysis of the gene encoding alpha-isopropylmalate synthase II (*LEU9*) in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 16:539–545. https://doi.org/10 .1002/(SICI)1097-0061(200004)16:6<539::AID-YEA547>3.0.CO;2-K.
- Downes DJ, Davis MA, Kreutzberger SD, Taig BL, Todd RB. 2013. Regulation of the NADP-glutamate dehydrogenase gene *gdhA* in *Aspergillus nidulans* by the Zn(II)2Cys6 transcription factor LeuB. Microbiology 159:2467–2480. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.071514-0.
- Dickinson JR, Norte V. 1993. A study of branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase and isolation of mutations affecting the catabolism of branchedchain amino acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEBS Lett 326:29–32. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(93)81754-n.
- Downes DJ, Davis MA, Wong KH, Kreutzberger SD, Hynes MJ, Todd RB. 2014. Dual DNA binding and coactivator functions of *Aspergillus nidulans* TamA, a Zn(II)2Cys6 transcription factor. Mol Microbiol 92:1198–1211. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/mmi.12620.
- Brisco PR, Kohlhaw GB. 1990. Regulation of yeast *LEU2*. Total deletion of regulatory gene *LEU3* unmasks GCN4-dependent basal level expression of *LEU2*. J Biol Chem 265:11667–11675. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021 -9258(19)38449-2.
- Lopez G, Quezada H, Duhne M, Gonzalez J, Lezama M, El-Hafidi M, Colon M, Martinez de la Escalera X, Flores-Villegas MC, Scazzocchio C, DeLuna A, Gonzalez A. 2015. Diversification of paralogous alpha-isopropylmalate synthases by modulation of feedback control and hetero-oligomerization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eukaryot Cell 14:564–577. https://doi.org/10 .1128/EC.00033-15.
- Sze JY, Woontner M, Jaehning JA, Kohlhaw GB. 1992. In vitro transcriptional activation by a metabolic intermediate: activation by Leu3 depends on alpha-isopropylmalate. Science 258:1143–1145. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.1439822.
- 33. Long N, Orasch T, Zhang S, Gao L, Xu X, Hortschansky P, Ye J, Zhang F, Xu K, Gsaller F, Straßburger M, Binder U, Heinekamp T, Brakhage AA, Haas H, Lu L. 2018. The Zn2Cys6-type transcription factor LeuB cross-links regulation of leucine biosynthesis and iron acquisition in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. PLoS Genet 14:e1007762. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007762.
- Hu Y, Cooper TG, Kohlhaw GB. 1995. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Leu3 protein activates expression of GDH1, a key gene in nitrogen assimilation. Mol Cell Biol 15:52–57. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.15.1.52.
- Toh-e A, Guerry-Kopecko P, Wickner RB. 1980. A stable plasmid carrying the yeast Leu2 gene and containing only yeast deoxyribonucleic acid. J Bacteriol 141:413–416. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.141.1.413-416.1980.
- Andreadis A, Hsu YP, Hermodson M, Kohlhaw G, Schimmel P. 1984. Yeast LEU2. Repression of mRNA levels by leucine and primary structure of the gene product. J Biol Chem 259:8059–8062. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021 -9258(17)39688-6.
- Galagan JE, Henn MR, Ma LJ, Cuomo CA, Birren B. 2005. Genomics of the fungal kingdom: insights into eukaryotic biology. Genome Res 15:1620–1631. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.3767105.
- Eden A, Simchen G, Benvenisty N. 1996. Two yeast homologs of ECA39, a target for c-Myc regulation, code for cytosolic and mitochondrial branchedchain amino acid aminotransferases. J Biol Chem 271:20242–20245. https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.34.20242.
- Kispal G, Steiner H, Court DA, Rolinski B, Lill R. 1996. Mitochondrial and cytosolic branched-chain amino acid transaminases from yeast, homologs of the myc oncogene-regulated Eca39 protein. J Biol Chem 271:24458– 24464. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.40.24458.
- Nielsen H, Engelbrecht J, Brunak S, von Heijne G. 1997. Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Eng 10:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/10.1.1.
- Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, von Heijne G. 2000. Predicting subcellular localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. J Mol Biol 300:1005–1016. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3903.

- Small I, Peeters N, Legeai F, Lurin C. 2004. Predotar: a tool for rapidly screening proteomes for N-terminal targeting sequences. Proteomics 4:1581–1590. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200300776.
- Almagro Armenteros JJ, Sonderby CK, Sonderby SK, Nielsen H, Winther O. 2017. DeepLoc: prediction of protein subcellular localization using deep learning. Bioinformatics 33:3387–3395. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btx431.
- 44. Andersen MR, Nielsen JB, Klitgaard A, Petersen LM, Zachariasen M, Hansen TJ, Blicher LH, Gotfredsen CH, Larsen TO, Nielsen KF, Mortensen UH. 2013. Accurate prediction of secondary metabolite gene clusters in filamentous fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E99–E107. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1205532110.
- 45. Inglis DO, Binkley J, Skrzypek MS, Arnaud MB, Cerqueira GC, Shah P, Wymore F, Wortman JR, Sherlock G. 2013. Comprehensive annotation of secondary metabolite biosynthetic genes and gene clusters of Aspergillus nidulans, A. fumigatus, A. niger and A. oryzae. BMC Microbiol 13:91. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-13-91.
- 46. Chiang YM, Ahuja M, Oakley CE, Entwistle R, Asokan A, Zutz C, Wang CC, Oakley BR. 2016. Development of genetic dereplication strains in *Aspergillus nidulans* results in the discovery of aspercryptin. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 55:1662–1665. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201507097.
- Henke MT, Soukup AA, Goering AW, McClure RA, Thomson RJ, Keller NP, Kelleher NL. 2016. New aspercryptins, lipopeptide natural products, revealed by HDAC inhibition in *Aspergillus nidulans*. ACS Chem Biol 11:2117–2123. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00398.
- Hsu YP, Kohlhaw GB. 1980. Leucine biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Purification and characterization of beta-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase. J Biol Chem 255:7255–7260. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(20)79695-X.
- Parsons SJ, Burns RO. 1969. Purification and properties of beta-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase. J Biol Chem 244:996–1003. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S0021-9258(18)91884-3.
- Colon M, Hernandez F, Lopez K, Quezada H, Gonzalez J, Lopez G, Aranda C, Gonzalez A. 2011. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Bat1 and Bat2 aminotransferases have functionally diverged from the ancestral-like Kluyveromyces lactis orthologous enzyme. PLoS One 6:e16099. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0016099.
- Bigelis R, Umbarger HE. 1976. Yeast alpha-isopropylmalate isomerase. Factors affecting stability and enzyme activity. J Biol Chem 251:3545–3552. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)33378-1.
- Escalera-Fanjul X, Quezada H, Riego-Ruiz L, Gonzalez A. 2019. Whole-genome duplication and yeast's fruitful way of life. Trends Genet 35:42–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.09.008.
- Wapinski I, Pfeffer A, Friedman N, Regev A. 2007. Natural history and evolutionary principles of gene duplication in fungi. Nature 449:54–61. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06107.
- Diss G, Gagnon-Arsenault I, Dion-Cote AM, Vignaud H, Ascencio DI, Berger CM, Landry CR. 2017. Gene duplication can impart fragility, not robustness, in the yeast protein interaction network. Science 355:630–634. https://doi .org/10.1126/science.aai7685.
- 55. Gonzalez J, Lopez G, Argueta S, Escalera-Fanjul X, El Hafidi M, Campero-Basaldua C, Strauss J, Riego-Ruiz L, Gonzalez A. 2017. Diversification of transcriptional regulation determines subfunctionalization of paralogous branched chain aminotransferases in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 207:975–991. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300290.
- Takpho N, Watanabe D, Takagi H. 2018. Valine biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is regulated by the mitochondrial branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase Bat1. Microb Cell 5:293–299. https://doi.org/10 .15698/mic2018.06.637.
- 57. Montalvo-Arredondo J, Jimenez-Benitez A, Colon-Gonzalez M, Gonzalez-Flores J, Flores-Villegas M, Gonzalez A, Riego-Ruiz L. 2015. Functional roles of a predicted branched chain aminotransferase encoded by the *LkBAT1* gene of the yeast *Lachancea kluyveri*. Fungal Genet Biol 85:71–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.11.004.
- Shimizu M, Masuo S, Itoh E, Zhou S, Kato M, Takaya N. 2016. Thiamine synthesis regulates the fermentation mechanisms in the fungus *Aspergillus nidulans*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 80:1768–1775. https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2016.1158631.
- Sibthorp C, Wu H, Cowley G, Wong PW, Palaima P, Morozov IY, Weedall GD, Caddick MX. 2013. Transcriptome analysis of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus nidulans* directed to the global identification of promoters. BMC Genomics 14:847. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-847.
- Balibar CJ, Howard-Jones AR, Walsh CT. 2007. Terrequinone A biosynthesis through L-tryptophan oxidation, dimerization and bisprenylation. Nat Chem Biol 3:584–592. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2007.20.

- Bouhired S, Weber M, Kempf-Sontag A, Keller NP, Hoffmeister D. 2007. Accurate prediction of the *Aspergillus nidulans* terrequinone gene cluster boundaries using the transcriptional regulator LaeA. Fungal Genet Biol 44:1134–1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2006.12.010.
- Kelly DE, Krasevec N, Mullins J, Nelson DR. 2009. The CYPome (cytochrome P450 complement) of *Aspergillus nidulans*. Fungal Genet Biol 46 (Suppl 1):S53–S61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2008.08.010.
- 63. Cove DJ. 1966. The induction and repression of nitrate reductase in the fungus *Aspergillus nidulans*. Biochim Biophys Acta 113:51–56. https://doi .org/10.1016/s0926-6593(66)80120-0.
- 64. Todd RB, Davis MA, Hynes MJ. 2007. Genetic manipulation of *Aspergillus nidulans*: meiotic progeny for genetic analysis and strain construction. Nat Protoc 2:811–821. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.112.
- 65. Gough JA, Murray NE. 1983. Sequence diversity among related genes for recognition of specific targets in DNA molecules. J Mol Biol 166:1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(83)80047-3.
- 66. Sambrook J, Russell DW. 2001. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 67. Lee SB, Taylor JW. 1990. Isolation of DNA from fungal mycelia and single spores, p 282–287. In Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JS, White TJ (ed), PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Nayak T, Szewczyk E, Oakley CE, Osmani A, Ukil L, Murray SL, Hynes MJ, Osmani SA, Oakley BR. 2006. A versatile and efficient gene-targeting system for *Aspergillus nidulans*. Genetics 172:1557–1566. https://doi.org/10 .1534/genetics.105.052563.
- De Souza CP, Hashmi SB, Osmani AH, Andrews P, Ringelberg CS, Dunlap JC, Osmani SA. 2013. Functional analysis of the *Aspergillus nidulans* kinome. PLoS One 8:e58008. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058008.
- McCluskey K, Wiest A, Plamann M. 2010. The Fungal Genetics Stock Center: a repository for 50 years of fungal genetics research. J Biosci 35:119–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-010-0014-6.
- Davis MA, Cobbett CS, Hynes MJ. 1988. An *amdS-lacZ* fusion for studying gene regulation in *Aspergillus*. Gene 63:199–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0378-1119(88)90525-2.
- 72. May GS, Tsang ML, Smith H, Fidel S, Morris NR. 1987. Aspergillus nidulans beta-tubulin genes are unusually divergent. Gene 55:231–243. https://doi .org/10.1016/0378-1119(87)90283-6.
- Pfaffl MW. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 29:e45. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ 29.9.e45.
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat Protoc 3:1101–1108. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nprot.2008.73.
- Giardine B, Riemer C, Hardison RC, Burhans R, Elnitski L, Shah P, Zhang Y, Blankenberg D, Albert I, Taylor J, Miller W, Kent WJ, Nekrutenko A. 2005. Galaxy: a platform for interactive large-scale genome analysis. Genome Res 15:1451–1455. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.4086505.
- 76. Blankenberg D, Von KG, Coraor N, Ananda G, Lazarus R, Mangan M, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J. 2010. Galaxy: a web-based genome analysis tool for experimentalists. Curr Protoc Mol Biol Chapter 19:Unit.19.10.1-21. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb1910s89.
- 77. Goecks J, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J, Galaxy Team. 2010. Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. Genome Biol 11:R86. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r86.
- Blankenberg D, Gordon A, Von Kuster G, Coraor N, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Galaxy Team. 2010. Manipulation of FASTQ data with Galaxy. Bioinformatics 26:1783–1785. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq281.
- 79. Galagan JE, Calvo SE, Cuomo C, Ma L-J, Wortman JR, Batzoglou S, Lee S-I, Baştürkmen M, Spevak CC, Clutterbuck J, Kapitonov V, Jurka J, Scazzocchio C, Farman M, Butler J, Purcell S, Harris S, Braus GH, Draht O, Busch S, D'Enfert C, Bouchier C, Goldman GH, Bell-Pedersen D, Griffiths-Jones S, Doonan JH, Yu J, Vienken K, Pain A, Freitag M, Selker EU, Archer DB, Peñalva MÁ, Oakley BR, Momany M, Tanaka T, Kumagai T, Asai K, Machida M, Nierman WC, Denning DW, Caddick M, Hynes M, Paoletti M, Fischer R, Miller B, Dyer P, Sachs MS, Osmani SA, Birren BW. 2005. Sequencing of *Aspergillus nidulans* and comparative analysis with *A. fumigatus* and *A. oryzae*. Nature 438:1105–1115. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04341.
- Cerqueira GC, Arnaud MB, Inglis DO, Skrzypek MS, Binkley G, Simison M, Miyasato SR, Binkley J, Orvis J, Shah P, Wymore F, Sherlock G, Wortman JR. 2014. The Aspergillus Genome Database: multispecies curation and incorporation of RNA-Seq data to improve structural gene annotations. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D705–D710. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1029.

- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol 14:R36. https://doi.org/10 .1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Arnaud MB, Cerqueira GC, Inglis DO, Skrzypek MS, Binkley J, Chibucos MC, Crabtree J, Howarth C, Orvis J, Shah P, Wymore F, Binkley G, Miyasato SR, Simison M, Sherlock G, Wortman JR. 2012. The *Aspergillus* Genome Database (AspGD): recent developments in comprehensive multispecies curation, comparative genomics and community resources. Nucleic Acids Res 40:D653–D659. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr875.
- Trapnell C, Hendrickson DG, Sauvageau M, Goff L, Rinn JL, Pachter L. 2013. Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat Biotechnol 31:46–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2450.
- Goff L, Trapnell C, Kelley D. 2013. cummeRbund: analysis, exploration, manipulation, and visualization of Cufflinks high-throughput sequencing data. R package version 2.8.2.
- Cherry JM, Hong EL, Amundsen C, Balakrishnan R, Binkley G, Chan ET, Christie KR, Costanzo MC, Dwight SS, Engel SR, Fisk DG, Hirschman JE, Hitz BC, Karra K, Krieger CJ, Miyasato SR, Nash RS, Park J, Skrzypek MS, Simison M, Weng S, Wong ED. 2012. Saccharomyces Genome Database: the genomics resource of budding yeast. Nucleic Acids Res 40:D700–D705. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkr1029.
- Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A, Hetherington K, Holm L, Mistry J, Sonnhammer EL, Tate J, Punta M. 2014. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D222–D230. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223.
- Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY, Geer RC, He J, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Lanczycki CJ, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Wang Z, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zheng C, Bryant SH. 2015. CDD: NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic Acids Res 43:D222–D226. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1221.
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG. 2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23:2947–2948. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404.
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Soding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol 7:539. https://doi.org/10.1038/ msb.2011.75.
- 91. de Vries RP, Riley R, Wiebenga A, Aguilar-Osorio G, Amillis S, Uchima CA, Anderluh G, Asadollahi M, Askin M, Barry K, Battaglia E, Bayram Ö,

Benocci T, Braus-Stromeyer SA, Caldana C, Cánovas D, Cerqueira GC, Chen F, Chen W, Choi C, Clum A, Dos Santos RAC, Damásio A. R d L, Diallinas G, Emri T, Fekete E, Flipphi M, Freyberg S, Gallo A, Gournas C, Habgood R, Hainaut M, Harispe ML, Henrissat B, Hildén KS, Hope R, Hossain A, Karabika E, Karaffa L, Karányi Z, Kraševec N, Kuo A, Kusch H, LaButti K, Lagendijk EL, Lapidus A, Levasseur A, Lindquist E, Lipzen A, Logrieco AF, et al. 2017. Comparative genomics reveals high biological diversity and specific adaptations in the industrially and medically important fungal genus *Aspergillus*. Genome Biol 18:28. https://doi.org/10 .1186/s13059-017-1151-0.

- 92. Kjærbølling I, Vesth TC, Frisvad JC, Nybo JL, Theobald S, Kuo A, Bowyer P, Matsuda Y, Mondo S, Lyhne EK, Kogle ME, Clum A, Lipzen A, Salamov A, Ngan CY, Daum C, Chiniquy J, Barry K, LaButti K, Haridas S, Simmons BA, Magnuson JK, Mortensen UH, Larsen TO, Grigoriev IV, Baker SE, Andersen MR. 2018. Linking secondary metabolites to gene clusters through genome sequencing of six diverse *Aspergillus* species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E753–E761. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715954115.
- 93. Vesth TC, Nybo JL, Theobald S, Frisvad JC, Larsen TO, Nielsen KF, Hoof JB, Brandl J, Salamov A, Riley R, Gladden JM, Phatale P, Nielsen MT, Lyhne EK, Kogle ME, Strasser K, McDonnell E, Barry K, Clum A, Chen C, LaButti K, Haridas S, Nolan M, Sandor L, Kuo A, Lipzen A, Hainaut M, Drula E, Tsang A, Magnuson JK, Henrissat B, Wiebenga A, Simmons BA, Makela MR, de Vries RP, Grigoriev IV, Mortensen UH, Baker SE, Andersen MR. 2018. Investigation of inter- and intraspecies variation through genome sequencing of *Aspergillus* section Nigri. Nat Genet 50:1688–1695. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0246-1.
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2017. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief Bioinform 20:1160–1166. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108:1-7.
- Letunic I, Bork P. 2007. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Bioinformatics 23:127–128. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529.
- Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. 2002. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res 30:207–210. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.207.
- Kingsbury JM, Yang Z, Ganous TM, Cox GM, McCusker JH. 2004. *Cryptococcus neoformans* Ilv2p confers resistance to sulfometuron methyl and is required for survival at 37 degrees C and in vivo. Microbiology 150:1547–1558. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26928-0.
- 98. Clutterbuck AJ. 1973. Gene symbols in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Genet Res 21:291–296. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016672300013483.
- Basenko EY, Pulman JA, Shanmugasundram A, Harb OS, Crouch K, Starns D, Warrenfeltz S, Aurrecoechea C, Stoeckert CJ Jr., Kissinger JC, Roos DS, Hertz-Fowler C. 2018. FungiDB: an integrated bioinformatic resource for fungi and oomycetes. J Fungi 4:39. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof4010039.