SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Check for updates

Direct detection of mRNA expression in microbial cells by fuorescence *in situ* **hybridization using RNase H-assisted rolling circle amplifcation OPEN**

HirokazuTakahashi 1,4 ✉**, Kyohei Horio2,4, Setsu Kato1,2, Toshiro Kobori 3, KenshiWatanabe1,2, TsunehiroAki 1,2, Yutaka Nakashimada1,2 & YoshikoOkamura1,2** ✉

Meta-analyses using next generation sequencing is a powerful strategy for studying microbiota; however, it cannot clarify the role of individual microbes within microbiota. To know which cell expresses what gene is important for elucidation of the individual cell's function in microbiota. In this report, we developed novel fuorescence *in situ* **hybridization (FISH) procedure using RNase-H-assisted rolling circle amplifcation to visualize mRNA of interest in microbial cells without reverse transcription. Our results show that this method is applicable to both Gram-negative and Gram-positive microbes without any noise from DNA, and it is possible to visualize the target mRNA expression directly at the single-cell level. Therefore, our procedure, when combined with data of meta-analyses, can help to understand the role of individual microbes in the microbiota.**

Microbiota consists of several bacterial species, including non-cultivable microbes, via interactions such as nutrients and growth promoting substance. One of the goals of microbiology is to understand the impact of microbiota on the environment, animals including human to marine sponges, and plants including rhizosphere. Thus, meta-analyses such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics using next generation sequencing (NGS) are powerful strategies for studying microbiota. In particular, high-throughput transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) data that contain amount and type of expressed mRNA are an important for understanding the behavior of the microbiota¹.

Meanwhile, RNA-seq data cannot clarify the role of individual microbes because complete reference genome of individual microbes is ofen not available even when metagenomic analysis is performed simultaneously. To clarify the role of individual microbes, single-cell genome sequencing of individual microbes in the microbiota has been a trend in recent years^{[2,](#page-6-1)[3](#page-6-2)}, however high cost restrict many researchers from performing these analysis. Terefore, fuorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) of mRNA is an important method for understanding 'who is doing what?' in the microbiota with low cost.

However, compared with the oligo-FISH for 16S rRNA, which is commonly used in microbiology^{[4](#page-6-3)}, mRNA is difcult to visualize by FISH because the mRNA of interest in a cell has an extremely low copy number compared to the rRNA. In fact, several high-sensitivity FISH methods for mRNA detection have been developed using enzymatic signal amplification such as catalyzed reporter deposition-FISH (CARD–FISH)⁵ and its derivative methods (e.g. two pass tyramide signal amplification $FISH^6$ $FISH^6$ and double CARD–FISH⁷). In recent years, hybridization chain reaction (HCR)^{8,[9](#page-6-8)} and RNAscope¹⁰ have been developed as enzyme-free signal-amplification methods that hybridize multiple fluorophore-labeled oligonucleotides to the probes used for mRNA detection. These reports used eukaryotic cells and succeeded in visualizing the fuorescence derived from target mRNAs. However, these

¹Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, 739-8530, Japan. ²Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima, 739-8530, Japan. ³Division of Food Biotechnology, Food Research Institute, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8642, Japan. 4These authors contributed equally: Hirokazu Takahashi and Kyohei Horio. ✉e-mail: ziphiro@hiroshima-u.ac.jp; okamuray@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Figure 1. Sheme and workflow for visualization of mRNA in microbial cells. by RNase H-assisted RNA-primed rolling circle amplifcation (RHa-RCA–FISH). (**A**) Schematic representation of RHa-RCA–FISH procedure. (**B**) Workflow of the procedure and required time for *in situ* visualization of mRNA expression.

methods cannot compare mRNA expression levels in bacteria because the signal obtained using these methods is distributed diffusely over the entire bacterial cell 11 11 11 .

Although single-molecule FISH (smFISH) can detect a single mRNA molecule^{[12](#page-6-11)} even in microbial cells¹³, this procedure is more expensive than the other FISH method because it needs nearly 50 diferent detection probes carrying the same fluorophore against one transcript of interest to increase detection sensitivity¹⁴. In addition, RNA-seq data without a reference genome, especially from microbiota, are fragmented, and the mRNA sequence of interest is often too short when designing nearly 50 detection probes.

A click-amplifying FISH method, termed ClampFISH, was recently developed to amplify the fuorescence signal^{[15](#page-6-14)}. However, this method is time-consuming and confounded by background noise presumably due to nonspecific hybridization of the probe. Most recently available FISH methods, including RollFISH¹⁶, rely on simple hybridization of oligonucleotide probes to mRNA sequences. Therefore, these methods cannot clearly distinguish between fluorescent signals originating from mRNA and genomic DNA (gDNA), especially in microbes. The prokaryotic mRNA is an unspliced RNA and contains sequence identical to that of gDNA; therefore, the oligonucleotide probes cannot discriminate the sequence from mRNA or gDNA within the bacterial cell. Moreover, it is impossible to design the probe to be set at the exon–exon junction to distinguish between gDNA and mRNA, which is commonly used in reverse-transcription (RT)-PCR for detection of eukaryotic mRNA.

FISH using rolling circle amplifcation (RCA), which uses complementary DNA (cDNA) generated from mRNA by RT, has a potential to detect a single mRNA molecule in a eukaryotic cell¹⁷. This method makes it possible to distinguish between mRNA and gDNA with high probability, because it is difficult to initiate RCA reaction using the genomic DNA as a primer. The RCA product (RCP) contains a hyper tandem-repeat of a DNA sequence complementary to a padlock probe (PLP). The RCP eventually becomes a platform on which a sufficient amount of the detection probe can hybridize. Terefore, single fuorescent probe for detection allows this method to be available at approximately 50-fold lower cost per gene as compared with the conventional smFISH. However, for the detection of microbial mRNA, the exonuclease treatment of cDNA to produce initiation point of RCA reaction will be difcult to optimize for each individual mRNA considering the operon structure, especially using the fragmented sequence data from RNA-seq. For these reasons, a cost-efective and user-friendly FISH method that detects only the target mRNA in a microbial cell is needed.

Recently, we developed RNase H-assisted RCA (RHa-RCA)¹⁸. The PLP used in this procedure can be set without full-length sequence information of target RNA; therefore, PLP can be set even with a fragmented mRNA sequence such as RNA-seq data. In addition, this procedure can detect only RNA by the substrate specifcity of RNase H. Here we demonstrate a novel FISH method based on RHa-RCA for the visualization of mRNA expression level in microbial cells at a single-cell level.

Results and Discussion

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the scheme and workflow for our method of visualization of expressing mRNAs of interest in microbial cells. Afer cell fxation and permeabilization, RHa-RCA–FISH consists of fve reaction steps (Fig. [1A](#page-1-0)): (i) PLP hybridization to a sequence of interest in the target mRNA molecule; (ii) circularization of hybridized PLP by SplintR ligase; (iii) producing nick site in the hybridized mRNA by RNase H; (iv) RCA using phi29 DNA polymerase to create RCP from the nick site; and (v) fnally, detection probe hybridization to visualize RCP.

In this procedure, hybridization of the detection probe was performed without the addition of formamide, which is commonly used for identification of microbes using oligo-FISH for 16S rRNA^{19,20}. The procedure with-out addition of formamide is often used to verify the sequence of PCR products by Southern hybridization^{21-[23](#page-6-21)}. If it is not crucial to detect the exact same sequence, especially when using artifcial sequences, it is unnecessary to add formamide for hybridization under high-stringency conditions.

Using this method, it is possible to complete all steps except fuorescence microscopic observations in approximately 8 h (Fig. [1B](#page-1-0)). Typically, we perform up to step (iv) on the frst day and then store the samples at 4 °C, as RCPs are stable at this temperature. On the following day, step (v) and microscopy were performed.

Figure 2. FISH detection of GFP mRNA in *E*. *coli* cells. (**A**) Detection of GFP mRNA in non-induced *E*. *coli* cells carrying a GFP expression vector and (**B**) in GFP-induced cells. Scale bar, 10 µm. (**C**) Magnifed image of box in (**B**). Scale bar, 5 µm. An overlay of the phase contrast (grayscale) and Alexa-568 labeled probes (red) targeting the RCP from GFP mRNA is shown.

At frst, we have tested whether RHa-RCA–FISH detects mRNAs of green fuorescent protein (GFP) expressed in *Escherichia coli* cell. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformed with a plasmid carrying GFP gene (pET-AcGFP)²⁴ was cultured by inducing GFP expression through the addition of isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), whereas the *E. coli* without the addition of IPTG were maintained as negative control (non-induced cell). The non-induced cells were cultured with 2% glucose to inhibit leaky expression of GFP mRNA. The GFP expression was confrmed by fuorescence microscopy using the harvested cells 2 h afer the addition of IPTG **(**Supplementary Fig. 1**)**. Considering that mRNA expression was observed before protein expression, the cells harvested 1hour afer addition of IPTG were used for RHa-RCA–FISH.

No fuorescent signal was observed in non-induced cells, even though the cells were also transformed by pET-AcGFP (Fig. [2A\)](#page-2-0). In contrast, fuorescent signals were clearly observed in GFP-induced cells (Fig. [2B](#page-2-0)). Tis result clearly shows that RHa-RCA–FISH specifcally detected GFP mRNA molecules and not the GFP DNA in the vector. In addition, the fuorescence signals formed spot-like shapes (Fig. [2C](#page-2-0)), as observed in microbial cells using smFISH^{[13](#page-6-12),14}. Unfortunately, bacterial cells are too small to distinguish each RCP, so it was impossible to determine the exact number of spots in the constrained space of these cells. To count the exact number of spots in a microbial cell, the use of super-resolution microscopy such as Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (STORM) is required^{[25](#page-6-23)}.

Because *E. coli* is a Gram-negative bacteria, the utility of RHa-RCA–FISH in microbiota will be limited if this method cannot be performed using the same protocol for Gram-positive bacteria, which have a thick peptide glycan coat. Terefore, next we investigated whether RHa-RCA–FISH is applicable to *Brevibacillus choshinensis* as control of Gram-positive bacteria.

Using *B. choshinensis* harboring a plasmid carrying the DsRed gene under control of the native P2 promoter, we observed fluorescence from the DsRed protein starting at 12h after the start of the culture. The fluorescence of DsRed gradually increased, reaching a maximum at 72h (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)**, upper images**).

On the other hand, the fuorescent signal from DsRed mRNA by RHa-RCA–FISH was frst observed 12h afer culture initiation, reaching a maximum at 24h and then decreasing (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)**, middle images**). Tese results indicate that RHa-RCA–FISH can be performed following the same protocol even for Gram-positive bacteria.

Interestingly, the signal in *B*. *choshinensis* varied greatly between individual cells (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)**, middle images**), while the fluorescent signals from GFP mRNA in *E. coli* showed minimal variation between cells (Fig. [2B\)](#page-2-0). The reason the DsRed mRNA expression level varied between individual cells may be that mRNA expression from the P2 promoter occurs during cell wall synthesis²⁶, which varies with the cell cycle stage. In addition, it was likely that the number of signals could be counted in cells with low mRNA abundance (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)**, lower images**). These results indicate that RHa-RCA–FISH have a potential to compare the amount of mRNA expression at the single-cell level.

Then, we performed our protocol for simultaneous detection of both GFP and DsRed mRNA in suspension containing *E. coli* and *B*. *choshinensis* cells. As a result, red fuorescence (Alexa -568) and green fuorescence (Alexa -488) were observed from separate cells (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-1). Although both the strains have similar cell shapes that cannot be discriminated under the microscopy we were able to distinguish between the two strains based on the species-specific fluorescence from labeled probes. This result indicates that RHa-RCA–FISH can simultaneously detect multiple specifc mRNAs, even in microbiota containing both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

Finally, we assessed whether intrinsic mRNA expression could be confirmed by FISH using RHa-RCA. The yogurt used here was fermented with two Gram-positive bacteria, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp*. Bulgaricus* 2038 (*L. bulgaricus* 2038) and *Streptococcus thermophilus* 1131 (*S*. *thermophilus* 1131). A mixed culture of the two microorganisms provided transcriptome data, which was obtained by microarray analysis²⁷. However, we were not able to recover these microorganisms adequately, except during the early phase (3.5h) of fermentation, because casein in the milk used as the medium aggregates and inhibits cell collection. Terefore, we selected

Figure 3. FISH detection of DsRed mRNA in *B*. *choshinensis* as a Gram-positive bacterium. Upper images show fuorescence of DsRed protein expressed in *B*. *choshinensis*; middle images show the signal of detection probes using FISH; lower images show the magnified image of the square box in middle images. The cells in the upper and middle images are not from the same sample because the protein is denatured by the FISH procedure. Overlays of the phase contrast (grayscale), DeRed protein (red), and Alexa-488 labeled probes (green) targeting the RCP from DsRed mRNA are shown. Scale bar, 10 um.

Figure 4. Simultaneous detection of GFP and DsRed mRNA in a mixture of *E. coli* and *B*. *choshinensis* cells. An overlay of the phase contrast (grayscale) and Alexa-568 labeled probes (red) targeting the RCP from GFP mRNA and Alexa-488 labeled probes (green) targeting the RCP from DsRed mRNA are shown. Scale bar, 10µm.

one gene as the target mRNA, i.e., the pyruvate-formate lyase activating enzyme (*pfA*) gene of *S*. *thermophilus* 1131, because the expression of *pfA* exclusively in the early phase has been confrme[d27.](#page-6-25) As a result, red fuorescence (Alexa-568) was observed in small cocci, i.e., *S*. *thermophilus* 1131, whereas no fuorescence was observed

Figure 5. Detection of the *S*. *thermophilus pfA* mRNA from a mixed culture of yogurt. An overlay of the phase contrast (grayscale) and Alexa-568-labeled probes (red) targeting the RCP from the *pfA* mRNA are shown. Scale bar, 10 μ m. The images on the right (a', b', and c') show the magnified images of the square boxes (a, b, and c) depicted in the left image.

in rod-shaped bacteria, i.e., *L. bulgaricus* 2038 (Fig. [5](#page-4-0)). Tis result indicates that specifc and intrinsic mRNA expression can be confrmed by FISH using RHa-RCA.

Here we report that our method for *in situ* analysis of mRNA expression level in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria has potential to detect diferences in amount of the mRNA between individual microbial cells without background noise from genomic or plasmid DNA. In this report, we performed RHa-RCA–FISH using both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in liquid culture; thus, the samples used for RHa-RCA– FISH contain almost no impurities other than bacterial cells. In contrast, the samples that many researchers want to study, such as feces, soil, and symbiont, contain impurities other than bacterial cells. Indeed, we have little knowledge about the recovery of mixed bacteria from fermented yogurt; therefore, we can only recover bacteria during the early phase of fermentation. However, we believe that RHa-RCA–FISH can be perform for samples containing impurities because oligo-FISH for 16 S rRNA has been performed on many samples including feces²⁸, soil²⁹ and symbiont³⁰. However, it is important to examine the method of fixing and permeabilization according to the state of the sample to be observed.

In this report, we have demonstrated that RHa-RCA–FISH will be able to compare the amount of mRNA expression in rod-shaped bacterial cells. However, whether the expression levels of mRNA can be compared greatly depends on the physical size and shape of the microbial cells. It is difcult to compare the expression level in the cells of small cocci with a commonly used fuorescence microscope. Indeed, the FISH signal and the cells were almost the same size, therefore, the mRNA expression level was not revealed in *S*. *thermophilus*. Tus, we believe that super-resolution microscopes such as STORM^{[25](#page-6-23)} will become essential in microbiology.

We used RNase H to digest RNA/DNA-hybrid but not DNA/DNA-hybrid molecules, therefore, our method can clearly distinguish between mRNA and DNA even prokaryotic mRNA remains unspliced. In addition, because the PLP used in this method can be set anywhere in the mRNA sequence¹⁸, the PLP can be set even in the RNA-seq data which may contain short sequence. Tus, we believe that our method for visualizing RNA molecules directly within cells could help understand the role of individual microbes in the microbiota when combined with the data of meta-analyses.

Material and Methods

Padlock probe and detection probe. Each PLP position is shown in Supplementary Figs. 3–5. The PLP and detection probe sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Because whole genome sequence of *S*. *thermophilus* 1131 has not been registered in the database (GenBank), the sequence of PLP was set at the sequence without single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) after aligning the *pflA* sequences of the five registered strains. All PLP and PCR primers were purchased from Eurofns genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). Alexa-labeled detection probes were purchased from Japan Bio Services Co., LTD. (Asaka, Saitama, Japan).

Expression of GFP mRNA in *E***.** *coli***.** The *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) carrying pET-AcGFP was grown in LB medium (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1.0% NaCl, and 50 µg/mL ampicillin) for 14-16h at 30 °C with shaking. The cultures were diluted 1:1000 in fresh LB medium and incubated at 30 °C with shaking. Growth was monitored by the measurement of the optical density at 660 nm (OD₆₆₀). When the OD₆₆₀ was approximately 0.6, GFP expression was induced by the addition of IPTG (final concentration, 0.5 mM). The GFP-induced cells for RHa-RCA–FISH were harvested 1 h after IPTG addition. GFP-induced and non-induced cells were collected in 1.5 mL tubes by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 2 min at 4 °C. Cells were immediately suspended in saturated ammonium sulfate (SAS) solution to inhibit RNase activity and store at 4 °C before use. Tis treatment would keep the total RNA in the cells stable without degradation for at least 2 weeks (Supplementary Fig. 2**)**.

Construction of expression vector for *B***.** *choshinensis* **and** *in vitro* **transcription.** Te coding sequence of DsRed was isolated from the pDsRed-monomer vector (Clontech/TaKaRa Bio, Ohtsu, Shiga, Japan) by digestion with the appropriate restriction enzymes. The resulting fragment was cell-free cloned into pNI-His (Takara Bio) for expression in *B*. *choshinensis* cells as pNI-DsRed or pET-21d (Novagen) for *in vitro* transcription as pET-DsRed. Detailed procedures for cell-free cloning are described in the **Supplementary Material and Methods**.

Expression of DsRed in *B***.** *choshinensis***.** The *B. choshinensis* strain HPD31-SP3 (Takara Bio) carrying pNI-DsRed was grown in 2SYF medium (20.0 g/L fructose, 40.0 g/L Phytone Peptone (Becton, Dickinson, and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ), 5.0 g/L Ehrlich bonito extract (Kyokuto Pharmaceutical Co.LTD, Tokyo, Japan), and $0.15 g/L$ CaCl₂·2H₂O) containing 50 µg/mL neomycin for 12, 24, 48, or 72 h at 30 °C with shaking. DsRed protein expression was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy. The cells were harvested in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes by centrifugation at 10,000 × *g* for 2min at 4 °C. Cells were suspended in SAS and stored at 4 °C before use.

Preparation of a mixed culture of *L. burgarisis* **and** *S. thermophilas***.** A mixed culture of *L. bulgaricus* 2038 and *S. thermophilas* 1131 was prepared by fermentation of pasteurized milk (Takanashi Milk Products Co., Ltd, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan) using commercial drinkable yogurt (Meiji Bulgaria yogurt, Meiji Holdings Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) as a starter. Five hundred microliter of commercial yogurt was inoculated into 50mL of milk that was prewarmed at 42 °C, followed by fermentation for 2.5h at 42 °C without shaking. Afer the addition of approximately 1 mg of rennet, the mixed culture was further fermented for 1 h at 42° C, to aggregate the proteins in the milk. Subsequently, the cells in the mixed culture were harvested by centrifugation at $5,000 \times g$ for 10min at 25 °C, afer fltration through a 105-µm polyester mesh cloth, to remove the aggregated milk proteins. The harvested cells were then suspended in a saturated ammonium sulfate solution and stored at 4 °C before use.

Cell fixation and permeabilization. The stored cells in SAS solution (600µL) were transferred to a 1.5 mL tube and centrifuged at 20,000 \times *g* for 1 min at 4 °C to remove the SAS solution. The cell pellet was resuspended in 300 µL of 4% paraformaldehyde and incubated at room temperature for 15min to fx the cells. Afer centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended with 300µL of 70% ethanol and incubated at room temperature for 60min to dehydrate the cells. Afer centrifugation under the same conditions to remove 70% EtOH, the cell pellet was resuspended with 262.5μ L of $1 \times$ TE buffer. Then, 37.5 μ L of lysozyme solution (200 μ g/mL in 50% glycerol) was added to the cell suspension solution and incubated at room temperature for 10 min to digest the cell wall. Afer centrifugation at same conditions to remove the lysozyme solution, the cells were washed twice with 300 µL of $1\times$ phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 8.1 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2.68 mM KCl, 1.47 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4). Afer centrifugation, the cells were resuspended with 14 µL of µDW and transferred to 0.2 mL of PCR tube to prepare the RCA reaction.

FISH using RHa-RCA. The cells were mixed with 20 pmol of PLP in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5), 10mM magnesium acetate (MgAc), and 50mM potassium glutamate (KGlu) in a fnal volume of 20µL. The PLP was hybridized by incubation at 95 °C for 1 min, slowly cooling to 30 °C over 30 min, and incubation for 10 min at 30 °C. To the cells was added 10 µL of ligation mixture (20 mM Tris-acetate [pH 7.5], 10 mM MgAc, 1.2mM ATP, 50mM KGlu, 10mM dithiothreitol, and 25 units of SplintR ligase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA)), followed by incubation at 37 °C for 10 min to seal the PLP. In our previous report¹⁸, the ligase was inactivated by heating, but this step was omitted in this study to shorten the reaction time and simplify the procedure. The detection sensitivity was not affected (data not shown). The RHa-RCA reaction was started by mixing 30 µL of the ligated mixture with 20 μ L of a reaction mixture containing 20 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgAc, 80mM ammonium sulfate, 10mM KGlu, 2.0mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 0.004 units of pyrophosphatase (New England BioLabs), 0.06 units of RNase H (BioAcademia, Osaka, Japan), and 500 ng of DNA-free phi29 DNA polymerase (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan). The mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 2h followed by enzyme inactivation at 65 °C for 10min.

The RCA reaction mixture containing the cells was transferred to a 1.5mL tube. After centrifugation at 20,000 \times *g* for 1 min at 4 °C, the cells were washed with 1 \times PBS at room temperature, suspended in 2 \times saline sodium citrate (SSC, 300mM NaCl, 30mM trisodium citrate, pH 7.0), and transferred to a 1.5mL black tube. Afer addition of the Alexa-labeled oligonucleotide, the cell suspension was incubated at 37 °C for 3h to hybridize the oligonucleotide to RCP. After centrifugation at 20,000 \times *g* for 1 min at 4 °C, the cells were washed twice with 1 \times PBS at 37 °C for 15 min. The cells were suspended in $1\times$ PBS and stored at 4 °C in 1.5 mL black tubes before observation by microscopy.

RCA and hybridization were performed in bench-top cleanroom^{[31](#page-6-29)} to prevent contamination that could cause nonspecifc hybridization.

Imaging and analysis. A drop of cell suspension hybridized with the detection probe was placed on 1% agarose pads containing $1 \times$ PBS. Images of each sample were taken on a fluorescence microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE) E600 for *E*. *coli* and Nikon ECLIPSE Ti2-E for *B*. *choshinensis*, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a phase-contrast objective CFI PlanApo DM 100×(Nikon) and an ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 camera (Hamamatsu Co., Shizuoka, Japan). Typically, the RHa-RCA–FISH, GFP, and DsRed fuorescence images were taken using an exposure time of 100 msec. The images were analyzed using ImageJ software (v 1.52a,<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html>NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Received: 21 November 2019; Accepted: 4 May 2020; Published online: 15 June 2020

References

- 1. Jansson, J. K., Neufeld, J. D., Moran, M. A. & Gilbert, J. A. Omics for understanding microbial functional dynamics. *Environ Microbiol* **14**, 1–3 (2012).
- 2. Mason, O. U. *et al*. Metagenome, metatranscriptome and single-cell sequencing reveal microbial response to Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME J* **6**, 1715–1727 (2012).
- 3. Bowers, R. M. *et al*. Minimum information about a single amplifed genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 725–731 (2017).
- 4. Amann, R. & Fuchs, B. M. Single-cell identifcation in microbial communities by improved fuorescence *in situ* hybridization techniques. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 339–348 (2008).
- 5. Schonhuber, W., Fuchs, B., Juretschko, S. & Amann, R. Improved sensitivity of whole-cell hybridization by the combination of horseradish peroxidase-labeled oligonucleotides and tyramide signal amplifcation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **63**, 3268–3273 (1997).
- 6. Kubota, K. *et al*. Evaluation of enzymatic cell treatments for application of CARD-FISH to methanogens. *J Microbiol Methods* **72**, 54–59 (2008).
- 7. Neuenschwander, S. M., Salcher, M. M. & Pernthaler, J. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization and sequential catalyzed reporter deposition (2C-FISH) for the fow cytometric sorting of freshwater ultramicrobacteria. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 247 (2015).
- 8. Choi, H. M. *et al*. Mapping a multiplexed zoo of mRNA expression. *Development* **143**, 3632–3637 (2016).
- 9. Choi, H. M. T. *et al*. Tird-generation *in situ* hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. *Development* **145** (2018).
- 10. Wang, F. *et al*. RNAscope: a novel *in situ* RNA analysis platform for formalin-fxed, parafn-embedded tissues. *J Mol Diagn* **14**, 22–29 (2012).
- 11. Jorth, P., Spero, M. A., Livingston, J. & Newman, D. K. Quantitative Visualization of Gene Expression in Mucoid and Nonmucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa Aggregates Reveals Localized Peak Expression of Alginate in the Hypoxic Zone. *mBio* **10** (2019).
- 12. Raj, A., van den Bogaard, P., Rifkin, S. A., van Oudenaarden, A. & Tyagi, S. Imaging individual mRNA molecules using multiple singly labeled probes. *Nat Methods* **5**, 877–879 (2008).
- 13. So, L. H. *et al*. General properties of transcriptional time series in Escherichia coli. *Nat Genet* **43**, 554–560 (2011).
- 14. Skinner, S. O., Sepulveda, L. A., Xu, H. & Golding, I. Measuring mRNA copy number in individual Escherichia coli cells using single-molecule fuorescent *in situ* hybridization. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1100–1113 (2013).
- 15. Rouhanifard, S. H. *et al*. ClampFISH detects individual nucleic acid molecules using click chemistry-based amplifcation. *Nat Biotechnol* (2018).
- 16. Wu, C. *et al*. RollFISH achieves robust quantifcation of single-molecule RNA biomarkers in parafn-embedded tumor tissue samples. *Communications Biology* **1**, 209 (2018).
- 17. Larsson, C. *et al*. *In situ* genotyping individual DNA molecules by target-primed rolling-circle amplifcation of padlock probes. *Nat. Methods* **1**, 227–232 (2004).
- 18. Takahashi, H. *et al*. RNase H-assisted RNA-primed rolling circle amplifcation for targeted RNA sequence detection. *Sci Rep* **8**, 7770 (2018)
- 19. Moter, A. & Gobel, U. B. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) for direct visualization of microorganisms. *J Microbiol Methods* **41**, 85–112 (2000).
- 20. Frickmann, H. *et al*. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) in the microbiological diagnostic routine laboratory: a review. *Crit Rev Microbiol* **43**, 263–293 (2017).
- 21. Ando, T. *et al*. Detection and diferentiation of antigenically distinct small round-structured viruses (Norwalk-like viruses) by reverse transcription-PCR and southern hybridization. *J Clin Microbiol* **33**, 64–71 (1995).
- 22. Chevrier, D., Rasmussen, S. R. & Guesdon, J. L. PCR product quantifcation by non-radioactive hybridization procedures using an oligonucleotide covalently bound to microwells. *Mol Cell Probes* **7**, 187–197 (1993).
- 23. Fujiwara, S. *et al*. Microplate hybridization for Borna disease virus RNA in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* **4**, 387–391 (1997).
- 24. Takahashi, H., Matsumoto, A., Sugiyama, S. & Kobori, T. Direct detection of green fuorescent protein messenger RNA expressed in Escherichia coli by rolling circle amplifcation. *Anal Biochem* **401**, 242–249 (2010).
- 25. Moftt, J. R., Pandey, S., Boettiger, A. N., Wang, S. & Zhuang, X. Spatial organization shapes the turnover of a bacterial transcriptome. *Elife* **5** (2016).
- 26. Adachi, T., Yamagata, H., Tsukagoshi, N. & Udaka, S. Multiple and tandemly arranged promoters of the cell wall protein gene operon in Bacillus brevis 47. *J Bacteriol* **171**, 1010–1016 (1989).
- 27. Sieuwerts, S. *et al*. Mixed-culture transcriptome analysis reveals the molecular basis of mixed-culture growth in Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 7775–7784 (2010).
- 28. Franks, A. H. *et al*. Variations of bacterial populations in human feces measured by fuorescent *in situ* hybridization with groupspecifc 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**, 3336–3345 (1998).
- 29. Christensen, H., Hansen, M. & Sorensen, J. Counting and size classification of active soil bacteria by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with an rRNA oligonucleotide probe. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**, 1753–1761 (1999).
- 30. Pernthaler, A. & Amann, R. Simultaneous fuorescence *in situ* hybridization of mRNA and rRNA in environmental bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**, 5426–5433 (2004).
- 31. Takahashi, H. *et al*. Development of a bench-top extra-cleanroom for DNA amplifcation. *Biotechniques* **61**, 42–46 (2016).

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Okamura lab for helpful discussions. This study was supported in part by the Step-Up Support Program for KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research) of Hiroshima University and Adaptable and Seamless Technology transfer program through target-driven R&D (A-STEP) of JST [No. AS2311331E].

Author contributions

H.T., T.K., Y.N. and Y.O. conceived of this study and designed the experiments. K.H. performed nearly all of the experiments. K.H. and S.K. collected and analyzed the FISH images. T.K and Y.O obtained the necessary fnancial support. H.T., K.H. and Y.O. prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. T.K., S.K., T.A., K.W. and Y.N. revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the fnal manuscript.

Competing interests

Hiroshima University has fled patent applications related to the technology described in this work to the Japan Patent Office. H. Takahashi, T. Aki, Y. Nakashimada, and Y. Okamura. are listed as inventors on the patents. No one received personal or institutional revenue associated with the patent applications. The JST as the funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. K. Horio, S. Kato, K. Watanabe, and T. Kobori declare no potential confict of interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65864-7>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.T. or Y.O.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 \circ The Author(s) 2020