Comparison of COVID-19 Health Risks With Other Viral Occupational Hazards

Jean-Pierre Unger¹

Abstract

International Journal of Health Services 2021, Vol. 51(1) 37–49 © The Author(s) 2020

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0020731420946590 journals.sagepub.com/home/joh



The European Commission periodically classifies viruses on their occupational hazards to define the level of protection that workers are entitled to claim. Viruses belonging to Groups 3 and 4 can cause severe human disease and hazard to workers, as well as a spreading risk to the community. However, there is no effective prophylaxis or treatment available for Group 4 viruses. European trade unions and the Commission are negotiating the classification of the COVID-19 virus along these 2 categories. This article weighs the reasons to classify it in Group 3 or 4 while comparing its risks to those of the most significant viruses classified in these 2 categories. COVID-19 characteristics justify its classification in Group 4. Contaminated workers in contact with the public play an important role in disseminating the virus. In hospitals and nursing homes, they increase the overall case fatality rate. By strongly protecting these workers and professionals, the European Union would not only improve health in work environments, but also activate a mechanism key to reducing the COVID-19 burden in the general population. Admittedly, the availability of a new vaccine or treatment would change this conclusion, which was reached in the middle of the first pandemic.

Keywords

COVID-19, occupational health, burden of disease, epidemiology

The European Commission periodically classifies viruses on their occupational hazards – that is, the risks they entail for workers. This classification defines the level of health and safety protection from exposure to viruses at work that workers are entitled to claim. Viruses belonging to Group 3 can cause severe human disease and hazard to workers, as well as a risk of spreading to the community, but there is usually effective prophylaxis or treatment available. Group 4 viruses are similarly defined, except there is usually no effective prophylaxis or treatment available.¹ The last classification dates October 31, 2019.² The European trade unions and the European Commission are negotiating the classification of the COVID-19 virus along these 2 categories. This article weighs the reasons to classify it in Group 3 or 4 while comparing COVID-19 risks to those of the most significant viruses classified in these 2 categories. Beyond the degree of protection that it justifies, the usefulness of the comparison stems from the fact that it makes it possible to weight various epidemiological and medical indicators from a public health perspective.

Methodology

We compared the mortality, morbidity, and transmission in the general population and in workers/professionals exposed to the COVID-19 virus to those of viruses belonging to Groups 3 and 4 and having sufficient public health importance to deserve a chapter in 2 well-known handbooks of infectious diseases³ and tropical medicine.⁴ We also examined the hypothesis that contaminated workers in contact with the public not only increase COVID-19 transmission and case fatality rate (CFR) in their work environment but also, importantly, in the community.

Effective treatment reduces suffering, sequellae, and CFR. Prophylaxis reduces incidence and particularly

Corresponding Author:

Jean-Pierre Unger, Visiting Professor, Institute of Population Health Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, United Kingdom.

Email: jeanpierre.unger@gmail.com

¹Institute of Population Health Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

 $R0^5$ in case of human-to-human transmission, and sometimes CFR as well.

Transmissibility is measured by the household secondary attack rate (SAR). The SAR is the probability that an exposed susceptible person develops the disease over the duration of infectiousness in a case of patient. The R0 basic reproduction number of an infection is the expected number of cases directly generated by 1 case in a population where all individuals are susceptible to infection.⁶ R0 is the product of virus transmissibility by the number of contacts over contagiousness period by the duration of this period. The proportion of population to be vaccinated to achieve herd immunity is given by the following formula:

$$P_T$$
 to be vaccinated = $(1 - 1/R0) \times 100$

R0 is the index at the start of the epidemic at time t0 when collective immunity is zero. Then we talk about R_e at time t. For example, for COVID, R0 was between 2 and 3 and is now between 0.5 and 0.7 in Belgium following confinement.⁷

The (population-based) disease-specific mortality is the product of the CFR by the incidence.

We aimed at assessing the public health importance of the considered diseases in Europe, particularly their direct importance for workers and professionals, and the indirect importance of their infection for community health while testing the hypothesis that workers in contact with the public increase the COVID-19 R0 and its CFR in specific milieux and/or the community.

The most important criterion is the existence of effective prophylaxis and treatment. The second is virus presence in Europe. The third is its population-based mortality, followed by the remaining criteria. Because all viruses can contaminate lab workers, their case is not discussed here.

Results of Epidemiological Comparison of COVID-19 With Other Viruses of European Commission Groups 3 and 4 of Significant Public Health Importance

For each disease, we examine the prevention, R0, incidence, CFR, general mortality, occupational concentration, and importance in Europe.

COVID-19

- No vaccine is available. Prevention relies on the use of masks,⁸ testing, tracing contacts, and isolation. With regard to treatment, Remdesivir would reduce the sickness episode duration, but its effect on the risk of dying was not demonstrated in 1 study⁹ and, according to another, this effect would be moderate.¹⁰ Hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 would not be effective,¹¹ but it is too early to hold definitive conclusions as it is a combined treatment (hydroxychloroquine + azythromycin) that would have yielded the results in Marseilles and, furthermore, it has been advocated for early uses.
- It is too early to determine the maximum R0 of the epidemic (Figure 1).
- As of May 10, 2020, there were 3,986,119 cases and 278,814 deaths; and 15,685,177 cases and 637,231 deaths as of July 24, 2020.¹²
- The CFR was quite variable according to country (Table 1).¹³ Notice that during an outbreak of a pandemic, the CFR is a poor measure of the mortality risk of the disease because the denominator tends to increase more rapidly as more people are tested. Intercountry comparisons are also misleading, especially between small and large countries, because in large countries the epidemic concentrates regionally¹⁴ and



Figure 1. Basic reproduction numbers of selected diseases. Source: Data obtained from Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.⁷

because of large differences in testing capabilities, leading to the impossibility of knowing the actual numbers of cases, most of them being asymptomatic. Excess deaths (as a percent above normal, established on the average of 5 previous years) is a better indicator.

- Early testing, tracking, contact tracing, isolation, and quarantine explain part of the impact in Germany (3% excess deaths against 72% and 56% in the United Kingdom and Spain, respectively; see Table 1), as do the number of intensive care unit beds per 100,000 population (33.9 in Germany, 15.9 in Belgium, 12.5 in Italy, and 9.7 in Spain).¹⁵ Hypothetically, this difference could explain why nursing home residents were more easily hospitalized in Germany: The proportion of COVID-19 deaths in nursing homes would increase when the capacity of intensive care units is reduced.
- As with CFR, it is too early to compute the diseasespecific mortality rate. However, it can already be observed that mortality in the countries where it is the highest is explained by poorer planning of epidemic control (tracing, testing, isolation, masks) and by a hospital system and nursing homes whose resources have been cut by austerity policies or that are

Table 1. Country-Specific COVID-19 Case Fatality Rate Among Confirmed Cases (as of June 6, 2020) and Excess Deaths Compared to the Same Periods in Some European Countries.^a

	CFR	Excess Deaths
France	19.02	34% (March 16–April 26)
Belgium	16.24	51% (March 16–May 10)
Italy	14.4	49% (in March)
United Kingdom	14.21	56% (March 14–May 15)
Spain	11.24	72% (March 16–May 10)
Germany	4.71	3% (March 16–April 26)

Abbreviation: CFR, case fatality rate.

^aData obtained from Our World in Data²⁶ and Wu et al.²⁷

insufficiently accessible because of the commercial financing of health systems¹⁶ – good planning possibly compensating partially for the other factors. Geographical, demographical, social, economic, political, and cultural variations from country to country can also explain part of the differences in the epidemic transmission (R0), thereby affecting incidence and mortality.

What are the reasons to believe that workplaces are important to the dissemination of COVID-19 in the general population? Health care¹⁷ and nursing home workers are well-known disseminators in high-risk populations. Sixty-one percent of people with COVID-19 investigated in New Zealand clusters were in work environment clusters (Table 2).¹⁸ In Belgium, as of May 5, 53% of deaths occurred in residential homes for the elderly, against 47% in hospitals.¹⁹ Moreover, the CFR in residential homes for the elderly was 54.7%. (In order to be as complete as possible in reporting the pandemic, the Belgian government includes suspected cases in its deaths count. By April 15, the total number of reported deaths since the beginning of the pandemic was 4,440. The confirmed cases were almost exclusively those in the hospitals and reached 2,264 so far.) While the government restricted parents' access to these homes, it allowed positive-tested workers and professionals to continue working there. The distribution of cases in Germany (Figure 2) shows a higher incidence in the working-age population than in the 60-80 age group and a steep increase in the age groups at retirement homes. The moderately higher incidence in females could mirror their higher presence in social services. Occupational risks for COVID-19 were recognized early on.²⁰ Finally, a large array of publications suggest that there is a disproportionate COVID-19 burden on working classes in every country where it has been investigated – for example, in $France^{21}$ and Korea.²²

Table 2. Significant Clusters Being Investigated in New Zealand as of May 5, 2020.^a

Non-Work Environment		Work Environment	
Wedding	98	Marist College	94
Private function	39	Hospitality venue	76
Community	30	Residential care facility for the elderly	55
Ruby Princess Cruise ship cluster	23	Residential care facility for the elderly	43
Group travel to United States	16	World Hereford Conference	38
Group travel to United States	16	Residential care facility for the elderly	20
Wedding	13	Residential care facility for the elderly	15
-		Residential care facility for the elderly	13
		Workplace	10
Total	235		364

^aData from obtained from New Zealand Ministry of Health.¹⁸



Figure 2. Electronically reported COVID-19 cases/100,000 population in Germany by age group and sex (n = 154,565) for cases with information available as of April 27, 2020, 12:00 a.m., from the "Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Daily Situation Report" of the Robert Koch Institute. Source: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19).²³

• With 1,534,605 cases and 151,429 deaths at May 10, Europe had the world's highest burden of COVID-19.

Rift Valley Fever

- Rift Valley Fever²⁸ (RVF) surveillance, tracking, contact tracing, and isolation are effective.
- R0 is low, the human-to-human transmission being quite limited.
- The biggest epidemics were comparatively modest (Sudan, 2007, 738 cases) because epidemics tend to be self-limiting.
- CFR was 4% in Sudan.
- RVF mortality is low.
- RVF-specific mortality primarily concerns workers in contact with dead animals.
- RVF does not occur in Europe. Although currently confined to Africa and the near East, this disease causes concern in countries in temperate climates where both hosts and potential vectors are present, such as the Netherlands.²⁹

Rabies

- Rabies^{30,31}post-exposure vaccination and immunoglobulin are effective. Prevention with dog immunization is effective.
- There is practically no human-to-human rabies transmission.
- Worldwide, the disease burden is significant, with 15 million cases per year.
- Without treatment, rabies is always fatal (CFR = 100%).
- Worldwide, mortality was 17,400 per year in 2015.

- There are no occupational clusters.
- In 2013, no cases were reported in the European Union, but 3 were reported in the European Economic Area (Russian Federation).³²

SARS

- No vaccine and treatment exist for SARS.^{33,34} However, tracing, tracking, and quarantine are effective in limiting epidemics.
- The R0 is 3.5^5 (2–4 then R_e became 0.4 in 2003).
- During the 2003–2005 epidemic, there were 8,422 cases, all in Asia.
- The CFR is 11% for all age groups, but 55% over age 65.
- During the pandemic, 925 deaths occurred. The CFR was 9.6%.³⁵
- Occupational concentration is probable in health and social services.
- No cases occurred in Europe.

MERS

- There is no treatment and no vaccine.³⁶
- The R0 is $2.5-7.^{37}$
- During the 2012–2013 epidemic, 75 severe cases were recorded. The number of mild cases was unknown.
- The CFR was 65%.
- There were 49 deaths during the 2012–2013 epidemic.³⁵
- Occupational concentration in health and social services could be expected.
- There have never been MERS cases in Europe.

Poliomyelitis Type 1, 2, 3

- The vaccine is effective.³⁸
- Poliomyelitis is a highly contagious disease (R0 = 6).⁵
- Type 2 was declared eradicated in 1999. There have been no Type 3 cases since 2012. In 2019, 175 cases of Type 1 were reported. One per 200 cases leads to irreversible paralysis.
- Of those with paralysis, 5%–10% die.
- There are no occupational polio clusters.
- Europe was declared polio-free in 2002.³⁹

Hepatitis B

- The vaccine and Tenofovir treatment are effective.⁴⁰
- Hepatitis B (HBV) is highly contagious. Its R0 is 5 when there is no intervention.
- In 2015, 257 million were infected worldwide, of which 27 million were diagnosed and 4.5 million treated.
- 4,2/°° of acute viral hepatitis cases in Italy died between 1995 and 2000.⁴¹
- Worldwide, 887,000 die yearly. Between 1993 and 2012, age-standardized, HBV-related liver mortality rates declined from 0.2 to 0.1 per 100 person-years (P < .001).⁴²
- Incidence is higher in health care workers than in general population because of exposure to blood products.
- In Europe, 1.6% of the population is infected with HBV. In 2017, 30 European Union/European Economic Area member states reported 26,907 cases. The crude incidence rate was 6.7 cases per 100,000 population. R_e is below 1 as the number of new cases continues to decline. In Europe, it causes 56,000 deaths per year.⁴³

Hepatitis C

- "Increased access to highly effective direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) for the treatment of infection with the hepatitis C virus (HCV) is revolutionizing the prospect of ending HCV epidemics," the World Health Organization (WHO) stated in March 2018. However, there is no vaccine.^{44,45}
- Transmission is primarily transmitted during injections, in health care settings, and during transfusion of unscreened blood, but some sexual transmission occurs and it can be passed from infected mothers to infants. HCV becomes chronic in 50% of cases.⁴⁶
- 71 million people have been infected with HCV. Chronic hepatitis results in at least 75% of patients.

- Today, CFR is low. From 2003 to 2013, CFR ranged from 0.3% over 5.7 years to 9.2% over 8.2 years of follow-up in community samples. Among treated patients achieving sustained viral response, liver-specific case fatality was low: up to 1.4% over 11.5 years of follow-up.⁴⁷ In the United States, the mortality rate began to decline in 2014.⁴⁸ New treatments can cure more than 95%.
- Each year, 399,000 die. This number is likely to be largely underestimated.
- Health care workers are especially at risk, due to needle sticks involving HCV-positive blood.
- In Europe, 14 million are chronically infected, and 112,500 die per year from HCV-related cancer and cirrhosis.

Dengue

- A vaccine is available for 9- to 45-year-old people with a previous episode.⁴⁹
- Aedes Egypti, a mosquito, transmits the disease.
- There are 390 million cases worldwide yearly, of which 96 million are symptomatic. Fewer than 1% are considered severe. 70% of cases are in Asia.
- The CFR is below 1%.
- Worldwide, 4,032 cases died in 2015.
- There is no occupational concentration.
- In Europe, the disease is exceptional. In 2018, 14 autochthonous dengue cases were reported from continental Europe (8 cases in France and 6 cases in Spain).⁵⁰

Japanese Encephalitis

- Immunization is effective.⁵¹
- Children are the main transmitters.
- Worldwide, there are 68,000 cases per year.
- The CFR is 30% of severe cases.
- Mortality is 13,600–20,400 per year.
- There is no occupational concentration.
- The disease is not present in Europe.

West Nile Virus

- Physical protection of workers is effective. There is no treatment (although supportive treatment is available) and no vaccine.^{52,53}
- Dead birds transmit the disease. There is no humanto-human transmission.
- One per 150 cases is severe.^{54,25}
- CFR varies from 4% to 14% (14% in severe cases among the elderly).

- Regarding mortality, 50 deaths were reported in Europe between January 1 and November 30, 2019.
- Workers in contact with dead animals are the main victims of the virus.
- In 2018, 1,548 cases were reported in Europe.

Yellow Fever

- The vaccine is effective. No antiviral therapy is available, but supportive treatment is relatively effective. Aedes Egypti control is effective but difficult.⁵⁵
- There is no human-to-human transmission. Epidemics are related to mosquitoes.
- Worldwide, there were 84,000–170,000 severe cases in 2013.
- CFR is 15%-50%.⁵⁶
- Mortality is 29,000–60,000 deaths per year.
- Yellow Fever shows no occupational concentration.
- The disease is exceptional in Europe. For 2017, European Union/European Economic Area countries reported 1 travel-related case of Yellow Fever. The case was reported by the Netherlands with exposure in Suriname.⁵⁷

Influenza H7N7

- Prevention consists of surveillance. There is no vaccine and the only available treatment is supportive.⁵⁸
- The risk of transmission to the community is low.⁵⁹

- Incidence is extremely low: 89 cases were reported in the Netherlands in 2003.
- CFR was 1 per 89 in 2003.
- Mortality is very low.
- Occupational concentration occurs among poultry workers, farmers, and veterinarians.
- Three cases were declared in Europe in 2013.

AIDS

- Prevention is effective. Diagnosis, treatment, and supportive care are available.⁶⁰
- R0 was 4.6 in Africa in 2014.⁶¹ In 2008 in Albania, the R0 was 3 for HIV-1A and 1.5 for HIV-1B with, respectively, an average duration of infection of 3 years, while it was 8 and 2.1 with a duration of 10 years.⁶² R0 is 0 when the patient is treated and uses condoms. The 90–90–90 target in Europe aims at 90% of people living with HIV knowing their HIV status, 90% of diagnosed people living with HIV receiving treatment, and 90% of people on treatment achieving viral suppression. R0 in Europe is below 1 since incidence is going down year after year (Figure 3).
- In 2018, there were 1.7 million newly infected, twothirds of which were in Africa. New infections fell by 45% between 2000 and 2018. In Europe, there were 141,552 new infections in 2018.⁶³
- CFR varies according to access to care and care continuity.



Data from Sweden and Belgium excluded due to inconsistent reporting during the period

Figure 3. AIDS diagnoses, by transmission mode, European Union/European Economic Area, 2009–2018 (data from Sweden and Belgium excluded). Sources: HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe 2019 (2018 data), WHO Regional Office for Europe, and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.²⁴

- Worldwide, by the end of 2018, 37.9 million had died since the 1980s. In 2018, 770,000 died. Deaths fell by 45% between 2000 and 2018. "3,235 diagnoses of AIDS were reported by 30 [European Union/ European Economic Area] countries in 2018 a crude rate of 0.6 cases per 100,000 population." In 2018, 822 deaths due to AIDS-related causes were declared in 28 European Union/European Economic Area countries (all but Denmark, Italy, and Sweden).
- Sex workers are the primary occupational victims. In low- and middle-income countries, prevalence was lower among health care workers than in the general population. Only 58 cases of confirmed occupational HIV transmission to health care personnel had been reported in the United States until 2019. An additional 150 possible transmissions have been reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.⁶³
- "In 2018, 141,552 newly diagnosed HIV infections were reported in 50 of the 53 countries in the WHO European Region, including 26,164 from the [European Union/European Economic Area]. This corresponds to a crude rate of 16.2 newly diagnosed infections per 100,000 population. HIV prevalence was highest in the East of the Region (44.8 per 100,000 population), lower in the West and in the [European Union/European Economic Area] (6.0 and 5.6 per 100,000, respectively) and lowest in the Centre (3.3 per 100,000). The number of people newly diagnosed with HIV in the WHO European Region has increased by 22% over the last decade, while the number of new diagnoses among countries in the [European Union/European Economic Area] has declined by 17% since 2009. In 2018, just over half (53%) of those diagnosed with HIV in the European Region were diagnosed at a late stage of infection."⁶³

Influenza H2N2 (Singapore Influenza)

- A vaccine was discovered in 1957.⁶⁴
- R0 was 1.68 (27) and would be below 1.2 in the case of an epidemic today.⁶⁵
- The incidence of 1957–1959 epidemics and related mortality are speculative.
- The 1957 H2N2 influenza pandemic infection-fatalityratio would be a quarter of COVID-19 (roughly 9.4 per 1,000), albeit with broad uncertainty.⁶⁶
- The estimated number of deaths was 1.1 million worldwide and 116,000 in the United States.⁶⁷ On average, during 1957–1959, the pandemic-associated excess respiratory mortality rate was 1.9 per 10,000 population (95% confidence interval, 1.2–2.6 cases/ 10,000 population).⁶⁸

- Health care and social workers are probably the highrisk categories.
- The pandemic mortality rate was the lowest in Europe.

Influenza H5N1 (Avian Flu)

- If there is no available vaccine, the oseltamivir treatment is effective, as is surveillance.^{69,70} Mortality remains high because delayed initiation of treatment appears to be a key factor, as exemplified by the most recent analysis of data from Indonesia, the experience of Egyptian patients, and pooling of results for the years 2004–2006 from Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, and Turkey.⁷¹
- R0 is very low.
- As far as incidence is concerned, only 861 cases were recorded worldwide between 2003 and 2020.
- The CFR is 60%.
- Between 2003 and 2020, mortality reached only 455.
- Workers in contact with birds (e.g., farmers, workers in poultries, veterinarians) are the most susceptible to being contaminated.
- In Europe, no cases were declared between 2003 and 2020.

Chikungunya

- There is neither prevention nor treatment available.⁷²
- The disease is transmitted by an Aedes-type mosquito. There is no human-to-human transmission.
- Overall, 1.9 million cases have been declared in Asia since 2005.
- The CFR is 0, but the disease is a death cofactor in the elderly.
- Mortality is almost zero.
- The disease has no occupational concentration.
- The disease is not present in Europe.⁷³

Ebola

- As far as prevention is concerned, the rVSV-ZEBOV vaccine was successfully used in the Democratic Republic of the Congo 2018–2019 outbreak. Surveillance, contact tracing, and quarantine are effective. A multidrug therapy is being tested. Supportive treatment is moderately effective.⁷⁴
- R0 has been 1 to 1.3^{75} and even $1.5.^{76,77}$
- With regard to incidence, 29,000 cases occurred in Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Guinea during the 2014–2016 epidemic. Of these, 27% of infections were asymptomatic.⁷⁸
- CFR is 50% (25%–90%).

	Prev. Treat.	RO	Incidence	CFR	Mortality	Occupational Concentration	Cases in Europe
COVID-19	I	2.5->0.3	3,623,803 cases worldwide; I ,429,897 cases in Europe	4.24–13.76	256,880 deaths world- wide; 143,898 deaths in Europe	Social services; other work clusters	+
Rift Valley Fever	Ι	0	Max 738/epidemic	4%		Farmers, veterinarians	I
Rabies	+	0	I 5M/year	100%	17,400/year (2015)	I	- in EU
SARS	·	3.5->0.4	8,422 in 2002–2004	11% overall; 55% > 65	925 in 2002–2004	Unknown	I
MERS	I	$\overline{\vee}$	epidemic 75 severe cases in	years old 65%	epidemic 49 in 2012–2013;	1	I
-			2012-2013		MR = 34%?		
Polio I, 2, 3	+	6	1/5 cases P1 in 2019 (1/200)	5_10% of paral.	0	I	I
Hepatitis B	+	5	275M 2015	0.1/100 PY	887,000/year; 56,000 deaths/vear in Europe	HCW	+
Hepatitis C	+	~	71M worldwide; 14M	0.3–9.2% (2003–	399,000/year worldwide;	HCW	+
			in Europe	2013); today, under treat-	112,500 in Europe		
				ment, <l.5%< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></l.5%<>			
Dengue	-/+	0	96M/year symptom.	< <u>1%</u>	4,032 (2015)	I	exceptional
Japanese Encephalitis	+		68,000/y	30% of severe cases	13,600–20,400/year	I	Ι
West Nile Fever	I	0	~	4%-14%	50 deaths in Europe 2019	Contact with dead	1,508 (2018)
Yellow Fever	+	c	84 000-170 000	I 5%–50%	29 000-60 000/vear	animais -	Excentional
	-	•	severe cases 2013				
Influenza H7N7	Ι	$\overline{\vee}$	89 cases in Holland 2003	1.12% Holland 2003	I death in Holland	Farmers, poultry workers	Exceptional
AIDS	+		1.7M 2018; 2/3 Africa; 141.552	Variable	770,000 deaths 2018; 2.176 deaths 2014	Sex workers	+
			European Union				
Influenza H2N2	+	1.2–1.68	1,957 epidemic. $N = ?$	۷.	I.IM deaths worldwide; 19/100,000	1	+
Influenza H5NI	+	0		60%	455 deaths 2003–2020	Contact with birds	I
Chikungunya	Ι	0	I.9M in Asia since 2005	0	0	I	I
Ebola ^c	+	I–I.5	29,000 in 2014–2016 epidemic	50%	11,500 in 2014–2016 enidemic	HCW	I
Marburg Hem. Fever ^c	Ι	I.59	374 cases Angola	80%	329 deaths Angola 2005	I	I
Smallpox ^c	+	4.5		65% in unvaccinated	I	I	I
Lassa Fever ^c	+	1.06–1.62	100,000–300,000/year	I% and	5,000/year	HCW	Ι
	.						

Abbreviation: CFR, case fatality rate; HCW, health care workers. Incidence data: Plain numbers express the worldwide number of cases in 1 year unless indicated otherwise. Treatment prevention is noted (-) when only surveillance, detection, contact tracing, and isolation are effective, but no vaccine or treatment is. ^aAll diseases in Group 3 except as indicated. ^bM = millions. ^cThis disease is in Group 4.

- There were 11,500 deaths during the Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Guinea epidemic in 2014–2016.
- The greatest risk of secondary attack is in nursing care and health care services in general.
- Only a few imported cases occurred in Europe (primarily health professionals contaminated in Africa and treated in Europe).

Marburg Hemorrhagic Fever

- There is no prevention and no treatment.⁷⁹
- The R0 is 1.59.⁸⁰ Primarily bats transmit the disease, but there is some human-to-human transmission.
- In 1967, 29 cases occurred in Germany, initially as a result of laboratory contamination. During the Angola epidemic, 374 cases were declared in 2005. Epidemics in humans are rare because of the relatively small reproduction number and long generation time.
- CFR is 80%.
- Mortality rate is uncertain: 1 death in Germany in 1967, 329 deaths in Angola in 2005.
- Occupational concentration occurs primarily among health care workers.
- In Europe, there has been no case in more than half a century.

Smallpox

- The vaccine is effective.⁸¹
- The disease had a primarily inter-human transmission, but it could also be spread through direct contact with infected bodily fluids or contaminated objects, such as bedding or clothing. R0 was 4.5.
- With regard to incidence, the last case succeeded in Somalia in 1977. The disease was declared eradicated in 1980.
- CFR was 4.5.
- There was no occupational concentration.

Lassa Fever

- There is no vaccine. Ribavirin is an effective treatment if taken early.⁸²
- Infection is acquired through contact with an infected rodent, but person-to-person transmission is possible (R0 was 1.06–1.62 in Nigeria, 2018).⁸³ Lab infections exist.
- The virus is endemic in West Africa, with 100,000–300,000 cases per year,⁸⁴ of which 15% are severe (of these, 25% of cases are deaf if they survive).
- CFR is 1%.
- With respect to mortality, 5,000 deaths are recorded each year worldwide.⁸³

- Occupational concentration occurs in health care workers.
- The disease is not present in Europe.

Discussion

The list of studied viral pathologies may be incomplete from a public health significance perspective. The provided statistics only give an order of magnitude, and this is how we used them to draw our conclusions, especially since it is too early to estimate the R0 and CFR of COVID-19. In addition, countries declare the disease heterogeneously. Some demand a test, others suggestive symptoms, while others do not count deaths in nursing homes. Data on the period-to-period difference in mortality between 2020 and previous years are only beginning to emerge; to enable inter-country comparisons, the COVID-19 mortality will have to be assessed through excess mortality rates, by comparing overall countryspecific, monthly mortality rates to the previous 5-year average mortality rate of the same month.

Pooled mortality estimates from the EuroMOMO network continue to show a markedly increased level of excess all-cause mortality overall for participating European countries, coinciding with the current COVID-19 pandemic. This overall excess mortality is driven by a very substantial excess mortality in some countries, while other countries have had no excess mortality. The mortality excess is primarily seen in the age group of ≥ 65 years, but also in the age group of 15–64 years. For the EuroMOMO network as a whole, from Week 10 in 2020 and as of Week 18, there were 149,447 excess deaths estimated in total, including 137,524 in the age group ≥ 65 years and 11,573 in the 15–64 years age group. This time period includes part of the influenza season as well as the start of the COVID-19 pandemic.⁸⁵

Comparisons between mortality of epidemic diseases (such as COVID-19) and chronic ones (such as HCB or HCV) can be misleading, especially because we do not know yet if COVID-19 will provoke new waves or possibly mutate and be periodically recurrent.

In general, data quality is much lower in low- to middle-income countries, as are CFRs, because of limited access to high-quality health care. Some diseases, such as HVB and HVC, are known to be heavily underdiagnosed. With regard to COVID-19, several countries are reluctant, for political reasons, to publish honest data that allows for comparison.

As we are still in the middle of the first pandemic of COVID-19, the comparison should be made with caution.

Conclusion

Table 3 summarizes our findings.

Among the studied diseases in Group 3, HVB and HVC (Hepatitis B and C), H2N2, and HIV (AIDS) represent a public health risk to E.U. citizens. The others are either absent or exceptional in Europe. HBV, HBC, H2N2, and HIV, however, have an effective treatment and/or prophylaxis – unlike COVID-19. On this criterion, the key one that distinguishes Groups 3 and 4, COVID-19 should be classified in Group 4.

Another key reason is that it represents a bigger public health threat to E.U. workers, professionals, and population: In Europe, COVID-19 has killed in 3 months more than 65 times the number of people killed in 1 year by AIDS, 3 times more than HBV, and 2.3 times more than HBC. H2N2 has been silent for 60 years. All Group 3 viruses, present in Europe or not, have a total mortality inferior to COVID-19. Poliomyelitis, HBV, and AIDS have a higher R0 in the rest of the world but not in Europe. Untreated, 5 type 3 viruses have a CFR higher than COVID-19.

By contrast with COVID-19, 3 of the 4 diseases belonging to Group 4 have an effective treatment and/ or vaccine. On this criterion alone, COVID should be classified within Group 4. Furthermore, the COVID-19 R0 exceeds the Ebola R0 in early epidemics – that is, in situations unaffected by prophylactic interventions.

What would be the consequences on COVID classification if Ebola, Lassa, and Marburg fevers were actually found in Europe? Basically, our conclusion would remain valid because the COVID-19 R0 is superior to the R0 of these pathologies and their incidence is so low that although their CFR is superior, the mortality of these 3 diseases would remain vastly inferior to the COVID-19 mortality.

While most of the studied viruses concentrate on health care workers and workers in contact with animals, COVID-19 has a wide distribution that concerns jobs in essential sectors (nurses, midwives, physicians, caregivers, child minders, home helpers, paramedical professions, social work and guidance professionals, army, police, firefighters, cashiers, etc.). Many more, particularly precarious workers, are at risk in nonessential, recreational sectors, such as restaurants, gyms, and shopping malls.

In conclusion, COVID-19 characteristics justify its classification in Group 4. Furthermore, and importantly, with effective protection of workers and professionals (particularly in health care services, nursing homes, and social services, although not limited to these sectors), the European Union would not only improve health in work environments, but also activate a mechanism key to reducing COVID-19 transmission, morbidity, and mortality in the general population. In public health terms, the strongest worker protections would be a highly cost-effective intervention. In economic terms, it would probably be a highly cost-beneficial intervention.

Admittedly, the availability of a new vaccine or treatment would imply a change in the proposed classification.

Author's Note

Having worked in an emergency, the literature review has been superficial. We were unable to present the bibliography in a scientific manner. Online articles were accessed between May 1–10, 2020. We apologize to our readers for possible errors in the document.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Raphael Lagasse, Professor of Epidemiology Em., Université Libre de Bruxelles, provided useful comments and insights. No error can be attributed to him.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and publication of this article: This work was supported by the European Trade Unions Institute.

ORCID iD

Jean-Pierre Unger (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5152-6545

References

- 1. Directive 2000/54/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 September 2000 on the protection of workers from risks related to exposure to biological agents at work (seventh individual directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC).
- COMMISSION DIRECTIVE (EU) 2019/1833 of 24 October 2019 amending Annexes I, III, V and VI to Directive 2000/54/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards purely technical adjustments.
- Cohen J, Powderly W, Opa S. *Infectious Diseases*. 4th ed., Vol. 2. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier; 2016.
- Farrar J, Hotez PJ, Junghanss T, Kang G, Lalloo D, White NJ. *Manson's Tropical Diseases*. 23rd ed. Nottingham, England: Saunders Ltd.; 2011.
- 5. Van den Driessche P. Reproduction numbers of infectious disease models. *Infect Dis Model*. 2017;2(3):288–303.
- Fraser C, Donnelly CA, Cauchemez S, et al. Pandemic potential of a strain of influenza A (H1N1): early findings. *Science*. 2009;324(5934):1557–1561.
- Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. "When will it be over?": an introduction to viral reproduction numbers, R0 and Re. https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/when-will-itbe-over-an-introduction-to-viral-reproduction-numbersr0-and-re/
- Eldad E. 'Stealth Transmission' of COVID-19 demands widespread mask usage. *Medscape Family Medicine*. March 29, 2020. https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/

927723?src = wnl_tp10n_200508_mscpedit&uac = 9820CV &impID = 2373560&faf = 1. Accessed July 20, 2020.

- Grein J, Ohmagari N, Shin D et al. Compassionate Use of Remdesivir for Patients with Severe Covid-19. *NEJM*. April 10, 2020. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2007016. https:// www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2007016. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. NIH Clinical Trial Shows Remdesivir Accelerates Recovery From Advanced COVID-19. https://www.niaid.nih.gov/ news-events/nih-clinical-trial-shows-remdesivir-acceler ates-recovery-advanced-covid-19. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:2411–2418.
- ECDC. COVID-19 situation update worldwide, as of 10 May 2020. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographicaldistribution-2019-ncov-cases. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/coronavirus-cfr?coun try = ITA~KOR~OWID_WRL~DEU~ISL~ESP~GBR ~BEL~FRA~USA. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://www.lalibre.be/debats/opinions/non-la-belgique-nest-pas-en-tete-des-classements-pour-la-mortalite-et-les-ch iffres-le-prouvent-5e99bb52d8ad58632c73ff76. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- List of countries by hospital beds (this Wikipedia article provides country specific references) https://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_hospital_beds. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Unger, JP, De Paepe P. Commercial health care financing: the cause of U.S., Dutch, and Swiss health systems inefficiency? *Int J Health Serv.* 2019;49(3):431–456.
- Black JRM, Bailey C, Przewrocka J, Dijkstra KK, Swanton C. Universal weekly testing as the UK COVID-19 lockdown exit strategy [published online ahead of print April 17, 2020]. *Lancet*. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)30936-3
- New Zealand Ministry of Health. Covid 19 significant clusters. *Episurv*. May 8, 2020. https://www.health.govt.nz/ our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-corona virus/covid-19-current-situation/covid-19-current-cases/ covid-19-significant-clusters. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Sciensano. COVID-19 BULLETIN EPIDEMIOLOGIQUE HEBDOMADAIRE DU 8 MAI 2020. https://covid-19.scien sano.be/sites/default/files/Covid19/Dernière% 20mise% 20à% 20jour% 20de% 20la% 20situation% 20épidémiologique.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Koh D. Occupational risks for COVID-19 infection. Occup Med (Lond). 2020;70(1):3–5.
- Counil E, Khlat M. Covid 19: is there a disproportionate burden on working classes in France? The conversation. https://theconversation.com/covid-19-is-there-a-dispropor tionate-burden-on-working-classes-in-france-137533. Published May 5, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 22. Park SY, Kim YM, Yi S, et al. Coronavirus disease outbreak in call center, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020;26(8):1666–1670.
- 23. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Daily Situation Report of the Robert Koch Institute. Updated Status

for Germany. https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/InfAZ/ N/Neuartiges_Coronavirus/Situationsberichte/2020-04-27-en.pdf?__blob=publicationFile. Published April 27, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.

- ECDC and WHO. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/docu ments/hiv-surveillance-report-2019.pdf. Published 2019 (2018 data). Accessed July 20, 2020.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Weekly updates: 2019 West Nile virus transmission season. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/west-nile-fever/surveillanceand-disease-data/disease-data-ecdc. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 26. Case Fatality Rate of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Our World in Data. June 7, 2020. https://ourworldindata. org/grapher/coronavirus-cfr?country=ITA~KOR~ OWID_WRL~DEU~ISL~ESP~GBR~BEL~FRA~ USA. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Wu J, McCann A, Katz J, Peltier E. 87,000 Missing Deaths: Tracking the True Toll of the Coronavirus Outbreak. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/ 2020/04/21/world/coronavirus-missing-deaths.html. Updated May 28, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Rift Valley Fever Fact sheet. https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/rift-valley-fever. Published February 19, 2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 29. Fischer EAJ, Boender GJ, Nodelijk G. The transmission potential of Rift Valley fever virus among livestock in the Netherlands: a modelling study. *Vet Res.* 2013;44(1):58.
- WHO. Rabies fact sheet. https://www.who.int/news-room/ fact-sheets/detail/rabies. Published April 21, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 31. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rabies. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Rabies Bulletin Europe, 37, 2, quarter 2. https://rbe.fli.de/ sites/default/files/resource/Journal/Archive/Bulletin_2013_ 2.pdf. Published 2013. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome; fact sheet. https://www.who.int/csr/sars/en/. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Severe_acute_respiratory_ syndrome. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirusdeath-rate/#comparison. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) summary and literature update – as of 9 July 2013 https://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_ infections/update_20130709/en/. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://www.businessinsider.fr/us/coronavirus-contagious-rnaught-average-patient-spread-2020-3. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO Poliomyelitis Fact sheet. https://www.who.int/h ealth-topics/poliomyelitis#tab=tab_1. Published July 22, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- ECDC Disease fact sheet about poliomyelitis. https:// www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/poliomyelitis/facts. Updated July 11, 2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO Hepatitis B fact sheet. https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b. Updated July 18, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Bianco E, Stroffolini T, Spada E, et al. Case fatality rate of acute viral hepatitis in Italy: 1995–2000. An update. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2003;35(6):404–408.

- 42. Alavi, M, Grebely, J, Hajarizadeh, B et al. Mortality trends among people with hepatitis B and C: a population-based linkage study, 1993–2012. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18;215.
- WHO. Hepatitis B in the WHO European Region. Fact sheet. http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0007/ 377251/Fact-Sheet-Hepatitis-B_2019-ENG.pdf?ua = 1. Published July 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 44. WHO Progress Report on Access to Hepatitis C Treatment. https://www.who.int/hepatitis/topics/hepati tisc/en/. Published March 2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 45. WHO Hepatitis C Fact Sheet. https://www.who.int/news room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-c. Updated July 9, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Center for Disease Control. Hepatitis C Questions and Answers for Health Professionals. https://www.cdc.gov/h epatitis/hcv/hcvfaq.htm. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 47. Szabo SM, Samp JC, Walker DR. Liver-specific case fatality due to chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a systematic review. *Ann Hepatol.* 2015;14(5):618–630.
- CDC. National Progress Report 2020 Goal: Reduce the rate of hepatitis C-related deaths to 4.17 per 100,000 population. https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/policy/National ProgressReport-HepC-ReduceDeaths.htm. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Dengue and severe dengue. Key facts. https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severedengue. Published March 2, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/dengueannual-epidemiological-report-2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO Japanese Encephalitis Fact Sheet. https://www.who. int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/japanese-encephalitis. Published May 9, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO West Nile Virus Fact Sheet. https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/west-nile-virus. Published October 3, 2017. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 53. West Nile Virus in the WHO European Region. http:// www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0020/246170/ Fact-sheet-West-Nile-virus-Eng.pdf?ua = 1. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- ECDC Epidemiological update: West Nile virus transmission season in Europe. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/ news-events/epidemiological-update-west-nile-virus-trans mission-season-europe-2018. Published December 14, 2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO Yellow Fever Fact Sheet. https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/yellow-fever. Published May 7, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO Report on Global Surveillance of Epidemic-prone Infectious Diseases – Yellow fever https://www.who.int/ csr/resources/publications/yellowfev/CSR_ISR_2000_1/ en/. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- ECDC. Yellow fever Annual Epidemiological Report for 2017. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/ yellow-fever-annual-epidemiological-report-2017. Published June 11, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 58. WHO. Avian influenza in the Netherlands. Disease Outbreak Reported. https://www.who.int/csr/don/2003_

04_24/en/. Published April 24, 2003. Accessed July 20, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.

- 59. ECDC. Epidemiological update: Highly pathogenic influenza A(H7N7) in poultry and transmission to three human poultry workers in Emilia-Romagna, Italy. https://www. ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/epidemiological-update-high ly-pathogenic-influenza-ah7n7-poultry-and-transmission-th ree. Published September 2013. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. HIV/AIDS fact sheet. https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-aids. Published November 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Williams BG, Gouws E. R0 and the elimination of HIV in Africa: will 90-90-90 be sufficient? *Quantitative Biology*. arXiv:1304.3720 [q-bio.QM]. https://arxiv.org/abs/1304. 3720. Published July 14, 2014. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Salemi M, de Oliveira T, Ciccozzi M, Rezza G, Goodenow MM. High-resolution molecular epidemiology and evolutionary history of HIV-1 subtypes in Albania. *PLoS One*. 2008;3(1):e1390.
- ECDC and WHO. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe. 2019 (2018 data) https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ documents/hiv-surveillance-report-2019.pdf
- ECDC and WHO. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe. 2019 (2018 data) https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ documents/hiv-surveillance-report-2019.pdf
- Babu TM, Perera RAPM, Wu JT, et al. Population serologic immunity to human and avian H2N2 viruses in the United States and Hong Kong for pandemic risk assessment. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(7):1054–1060.
- 66. Famulare M. 2019-nCoV: Preliminary Estimates of the Confirmed-Case-Fatality-Ratio and Infection-Fatality-Ratio, and Initial Pandemic Risk Assessment. Bellevue, WA: Institute for Disease Modeling. (v1.1 February 4; v1.0 January 31). https://institutefordiseasemodeling.gith ub.io/nCoV-public/analyses/first_adjusted_mortality_esti mates_and_risk_assessment/2019-nCoV-preliminary_age_ and_time_adjusted_mortality_rates_and_pandemic_risk_ assessment.html. Updated February 19, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- CDC. 1957-1958 Pandemic (H2N2 virus). https://www. cdc.gov/flu/pandemic-resources/1957-1958-pandemic. html. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Viboud C, Simonsen L, Fuentes R, et al. Global mortality impact of the 1957-1959 influenza pandemic. *J Infect Dis.* 2016;213(5):738–745.
- WHO. Cumulative number of confirmed human cases of avian influenza A(H5N1) reported to WHO. https://www. who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/H5N1_cumula tive_table_archives/en/. Published February 28, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Frequently asked questions: H5N1 influenza. https://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/ avian_influenza/h5n1_research/faqs/en/. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 71. Smith JR. Oseltamivir in human avian influenza infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(Suppl 2):ii25–ii33.
- WHO. Chikungunya fact sheet. https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/chikungunya. Published April 12, 2017. Accessed July 20, 2020.

- ECDC. Chikungunya virus disease. https://www.ecdc. europa.eu/en/chikungunya-virus-disease. Published February 21, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Ebola fact sheet. https://www.who.int/news-room/ fact-sheets/detail/ebola-virus-disease. Published February 10, 2020. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 75. House T. Epidemiological dynamics of Ebola outbreaks. *eLife*. 2014;3:e03908.
- 76. Althaus CL. Ebola superspreading. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15(5):P507–P508.
- 77. Althaus CL. Letter to The Lancet. https://www.thelancet. com/action/showPdf?pii=S1473-3099%2815%2970135-0. Published May 19, 2015. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Dean NE ,Halloran ME, Yang Y, Longini IM. Transmissibility and pathogenicity of Ebola virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of household secondary attack rate and asymptomatic infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;62(10):1277–1286.
- 79. WHO Marburg Virus Disease. Fact sheet. https://www.wh o.int/health-topics/marburg-virus-disease/#tab=tab_1. Published December 8, 2018. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- Ajelli M, Merler S. Transmission potential and design of adequate control measures for Marburg hemorrhagic fever. *PLoS One*. December 10, 2012. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050948. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/arti cle?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0050948. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Smallpox. Health topics. https://www.who.int/csr/ disease/smallpox/en/. Accessed July 20, 2020.

- WHO. Lassa fever fact sheets. https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/lassa-fever. Published July 31, 2017. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- WHO. Lassa fever fact sheets. https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/lassa-fever. Published July 31, 2017. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- CDC. Lassa Fever. https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/lassa/index. html. Published January 31, 2019. Accessed July 20, 2020.
- 85. https://www.euromomo.eu/. Accessed July 20, 2020.

Author Biography

Jean-Pierre Unger is a public health physician by training, a visiting professor at the University of Newcastle, and associate professor emeritus at the Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp. He practiced, researched, and taught health care management and policy in Europe, South and Central America, North and Sub-Saharan Africa, and the Middle East and Far-East Asia for nearly 40 years. His rationale was both social and professional, and his preferred research methodology was participatory action-research. He is currently exploring the present and future of medical professionalism. For insight into his health policy research, see International Health and Aid Policies: The Need for Alternatives (Cambridge University Press).