CrossMark click for updates

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Guo L, Du X, Lu C, Zhang W-H (2015) Association between Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1 Gene rs12423791 or rs6214 Polymorphisms and High Myopia: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0129707. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707

Academic Editor: Margaret M DeAngelis, University of Utah, UNITED STATES

Received: October 19, 2014

Accepted: May 12, 2015

Published: June 15, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Guo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was supported by School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, People's Republic of China.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association between Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1 Gene rs12423791 or rs6214 Polymorphisms and High Myopia: A Meta-Analysis

Lan Guo¹, Xueying Du², Ciyong Lu¹*, Wei-Hong Zhang³

1 Department of Medical statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510080, People's Republic of China, 2 Department of laboratory, Center for the primary and secondary school health promotion, Guangzhou, 510080, People's Republic of China, 3 Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Clinical Research Centre, School of Public Health, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Belgium

* luciyong@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract

Objective

To evaluate the association of insulin-like growth factor 1 gene rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms with high myopia.

Methods

An electronic search was conducted on PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library and the Chinese Biological Abstract Database for articles published prior to May 6, 2014. A metaanalysis was performed using Revman 5.1 and Stata 12.0, and the odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were calculated in fixed or random effects models based on the results of the Q test. The subgroup analysis was conducted on the basis of the various regions, the sensitivity analysis was also performed to evaluate the stability of the results, and the publication bias was evaluated by a funnel plot and Egger's linear regression analysis.

Results

This comprehensive meta-analysis included 2808 high myopia patients and 2778 controls from five unrelated studies. The results demonstrated that the significant association was not present in any genetic models between IGF-1 rs12423791 or rs6214 and high myopia. However, subgroup analysis indicated that rs12423791 polymorphism was associated with high myopia in the Chinese populations in the allelic contrast model (C vs. G: OR=1.24, 95% Cl=1.04-1.48 in the fixed-effects model), the dominant model (CC+CG vs. GG: OR=1.40, 95% Cl=1.16-1.69 in the fixed-effects model), and the codominant model (CG vs. GG: OR=1.37, 95% Cl= 1.12-1.68 in the fixed-effects model). Additionally, none of the individual studies significantly affected the association between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia, according to sensitivity analysis.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis shows that IGF-1 rs12423791 or rs6214 gene polymorphism is not associated with high myopia.

Introduction

Myopia is a complex disease caused by multiple genetic and environmental factors and potentially the interaction of those two factors. [1] It affects both children and adults and has an average prevalence of 30% worldwide. [2,3] Myopia is a refractive error of the eye and is associated with vision impairments including glaucoma and retinal detachment. [4,5] High myopia is usually defined as a refractive error of -6.00 diopters (D) or less and without other known ocular or systemic diseases. [6] It has been reported that high myopia is a public health problem internationally, imposing an enormous economic and social burden on many countries, and China is no exception. [7,8,9] High myopia is now considered to be the fourth most common cause of irreversible blindness. [10] Thus, it is very important to identify the risk factors for high myopia and to establish preventive strategies for high myopia.

Previous studies have demonstrated that environmental exposure is a risk factor for myopia; for example, outdoor activities have been increasingly recognized as protective factors for myopia. [11,12] While the exact mechanism of myopia formation is still unclear, there is also genomic evidence from different ethnic populations, including twin studies, that demonstrate that genetics play an important role in the development of myopia, [13,14] especially high myopia. [15] Additionally, many genome-wide association studies for high myopia have also found or identified many candidate genes and loci for high myopia, [16,17,18] and a genome-wide meta-analysis of myopia also provides evidence in favor of replication of 11 loci involved in causation of myopia. [19]

Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) is a member of the human growth hormone-insulinlike growth factor pathway that plays a key role in growth and metabolism. It can also regulate scleral proteoglycan production, [20] potentially by upregulating gene transcription, translation, or the activation of sulfotransferases, which in turn increases the synthesis of sulfated proteoglycans. [21] Previous animal studies demonstrated that IGF-1 contributes to eye growth and myopia development. [22,23] In addition, the IGF-1 gene is located on chromosome 12q23.2 and is within the MYP3 interval that has been mapped for autosomal dominant high myopia. [24] Recently, many genetic studies showed that rs12423791 or rs6214 polymorphisms in IGF-1 were significantly associated with high or extreme myopia in Caucasian and Chinese populations; [25,26] however, another study demonstrated that IGF-1 gene rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms were not associated with high myopia, [27,28] even though a number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in IGF-1 have been reported. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to examine whether there is such an association and to produce a reliable estimate of the association between IGF-1 gene rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms and high myopia.

Methods

Search strategy

To assess the complete evidence of an association between the IGF-1 gene and high myopia, we performed the present comprehensive meta-analysis of published studies. An electronic search

was conducted on PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane library and the Chinese Biological Abstract Database for articles published prior to May 6, 2014. The search strategy was based on a combination of '(IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1) and (gene or variants or polymorphism or alleles or mutation) and (myopia, high myopia)' without language restrictions. The references of the retrieved articles were also screened.

Selection criteria

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: all the studies that were included satisfied all of the following criteria: 1. the studies evaluated the rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms in the insulin-like growth factor 1 gene and high myopia; 2. the studies including normal individuals with spherical refraction ranged from -1.5 to 1.5 D and free from any complications as control subjects, and high myopia was defined as the axial length of 26 mm or higher and/or a refractive error of -8.0 D or less; 3. the studies contained sufficient published data to estimate an odds ratio (OR) and a 95% confidence interval (CI); 4. the studies provided genotype or allele distributions in both the case and control groups; 5. the studies were independent studies; 6. the studies presenting non-original data, such as reviews, editorials, opinion papers, or letters to the editor, were excluded; 7. the studies using nonhuman subjects or specimens were excluded; and 8. the studies with no extractable numerical data were excluded.

Data extraction

Two researchers independently applied the inclusion criteria to all identified studies and made decisions on which studies to include. Differences were resolved by discussion and consultation with a third author. The following data were extracted from each study: the first author, year of publication, study design, study population, definition of high myopia, number or frequency by different genotypes, number of cases and controls, minor allele frequency (MAF) of cases and controls, mean age, mean spherical equivalent and mean axial length of high myopia participants.

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the School of Public Health of Sun Yat-sen University. Then the researches were allowed to read and analyze the data.

Statistical analysis

The odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed to assess the strength of the association between IGF-1 gene polymorphisms and high myopia risk. The significance of the pooled ORs was determined by the Z-test, with a P < 0.05 considered statistically significant. We calculated the Q statistic to estimate the heterogeneity, and a $P \le 0.10$ was considered statistically significant for the Q-statistic test. The I² statistic was used to quantify heterogeneity, and an I²-value of 0% indicated no observed heterogeneity with larger values showing increased heterogeneity. [29] If heterogeneity existed among the studies, a random-effects model was used to compute the summary risk estimate; if there was no heterogeneity, a fixed-effects model was used. [30] In addition, we assessed whether the genotype frequencies of the controls in the individual studies were consistent with the expected distribution, that is, in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE); a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant in this test. Because the potential cause of heterogeneity among studies was geographic region, the subgroup analysis was conducted on the basis of the various regions. To test the stability of the association, we performed a one-way sensitivity analysis by excluding each study in the meta-

analysis in turn. The possibility of publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of a funnel plot in which the standard error of the log (OR) of each study was plotted against its corresponding log (OR); an asymmetric plot indicates possible publication bias. Additionally, Egger's linear regression test was used to evaluate asymmetry, and a P<0.05 was set as significant. All statistical analyses were performed using RevMan 5.1 (Revman; The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata 12.0 (StataCorp, The College Station, Texas, USA).

Results

Eligible studies and study characteristics

A total of thirteen potentially relevant studies were retrieved. Of these, five studies were excluded because they were animal studies, which were determined by reading the title and abstract. After a more detailed full-text review, three studies were excluded because two used a familybased design and the other failed to extract sufficient data. Some IGF-1 gene SNPs, such as rs10860861 and rs5742632, were described in only one or two studies. To ensure the validity of the results, any IGF-1 gene polymorphisms reported in fewer than four studies were not included. Thus, only rs12423791 and rs6214 were analyzed in our meta-analysis. Finally, five studies including rs12423791 and rs6214 were included in this meta-analysis. A detailed flow chart of the study selection is shown in Fig 1. In total, there were 2808 participants with high myopia and 2778 controls without high myopia or other ocular diseases. The years of publication ranged from 2011 to 2013, and the populations involved were Chinese and Japanese. The Hardy-Weinberg test (HWE) was performed on all of the included studies, and the results showed that the IGF-1 gene genotype frequencies of all five studies were in HWE in the controls. (Table 1)



Fig 1. Flow chart of the study selection process. Literature search flow.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g001

Authors	Ref. No.	Populations	Partio	cipants	Mean	age (y)	Mean SRE (D)	Mean Axial length (mm)		423791 MAF	rs62	14 MAF	Definiton of high myopia	HWE
			High myopia	Controls	High myopia	Controls	High myopia∗	High myopia*	Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls		
Mak, 2012	33	Chinese	300	300	27.6	24.6	-10.53 ±2.48	27.76 ±1.13	0.317	0.325	0.487	0.512	$_{\rm D}^{\rm SRE\le-8.00}$	yes
Zhuang, 2012	23	Chinese	421	401	38.29 ±16.57	68.77 ±10.65	-14.57 ±5.60	28.33 ±2.26	0.218	0.229	0.479	0.499	$_{\rm D}^{\rm SRE\le-8.00}$	yes
Miyake, 2013	24	Japanese	1339	1194	57.20 ±14.90	50.30 ±15.90	-12.69 ±4.54	29.18 ±1.85	0.265	0.267	0.463	0.469	$AL \ge 26 \text{ mm}$	yes
Yoshida, 2013	25	Japanese	446	481	37.9 ±11.90	39.3 ±11.0	-11.7 ±2.24	28.0±1.16	0.266	0.305	0.359	0.338	$_{\rm D}^{\rm SRE\le-9.00}$	yes
Zhao, 2013	34	Chinese	302	402	41.24 ±16.34	43.32 ±22.15	-16.54 ±5.26	28.98 ±2.27	0.296	0.229	0.465	0.499	$_{\rm D}^{\rm SRE\le-8.00}$	yes

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the included studies.

Abbreviations: Ref: reference; SRE: spherical refractive errors; y: year; D: diopter; MAF: minor allele frequency; AL: axial length; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

*The measurement data was of the right eye.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.t001

Association between IGF-1 gene rs12423791 and high myopia

Allele frequencies of rs12423791 were determined in the cases and controls. As shown in Figs 2 and 3, the Q test suggested that there was significant between-study heterogeneity in the allelic contrast model (C vs. G, $I^2 = 74\%$, P = 0.004), the dominant model (CC+CG vs. GG, $I^2 = 76\%$, P = 0.002), and the codominant model (CG vs. GG, $I^2 = 69\%$, P = 0.01), then the random-effect models were used to calculate the pooled ORs in these models. The fixed-effect models were used in the codominant model (CC vs. GG, $I^2 = 33\%$, P = 0.20) and recessive model (CC vs. CG+GG, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.41). The results demonstrated that no significant associations between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia were present in the allelic model (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.96–1.14, P>0.05 in the random-effects model), the codominant model (CC vs. GG: OR = 1.11, 95% CI = 0.91–1.36, P>0.05 in the fixed-effects model; CG vs. GG: OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.87–1.38, P>0.05, in the random-effects model), the dominant model (CC+CG vs. GG: OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.86–1.41, P>0.05 in the random-effects model), the dominant model (CC+CG vs. CG +GG: OR = 1.08, 95% CI = 0.91–1.29, P>0.05 in the fixed-effects model). (Table 2)

Further subgroup analysis by study population indicated that rs12423791 polymorphism was associated with high myopia in the Chinese population in the allelic contrast model (C vs. G: OR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.04–1.48 in the fixed-effects model), the dominant model (CC+CG

	Case		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Mak 2012	410	600	405	600	12.1%	1.04 [0.82, 1.32	2) +
Miyake 2013	646	2442	638	2388	44.9%	0.99 [0.87, 1.12	2) 📫
Yoshida 2013	237	892	293	962	19.6%	0.83 [0.67, 1.01	j •
Zhao 2013	179	604	184	802	10.5%	1.41 [1.11, 1.80	nj 🖛
Zhuang 2012	234	842	184	802	12.9%	1.29 [1.03, 1.62	2)
Total (95% CI)		5380		5554	100.0%	1.05 [0.96, 1.14	a 🕴
Total events	1706		1704				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	15.57, df	= 4 (P =	= 0.004);	2 = 749			
Test for overall effect	Z=1.05	(P = 0.3	:0)			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours experimental Favours control	

Fig 2. Forest plots of the pooled ORs with 95% Cls for associations between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia in allelic contrast model (C vs. G). Events: the number of individuals carrying the C allele.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g002



Fig 3. Forest plots of the pooled ORs with 95% Cls for associations between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia in codominant model, dominant model, and recessive model. (a) Codominant model (CC vs. GG); events: the number of CC genotype in a. (b) Codominant model (CG vs. GG); events: the number of CG genotype in b. (c) Dominant model (CC+CG vs. GG); events: the number of CC+CG genotype in c. (d) Recessive model (CC vs. CG+GG); events: the number of CC genotype in d.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g003

Table 2. Main results of the pooled ORs in the meta-analysis.

SNPs	Inheritance	Model	OR	95% CI	Р	P (Q test)*
rs12423791						
	Allelic contrast model	C vs. G	1.05	0.96-1.14	0.30	0.004
	Codominant model	CC vs. GG	1.11	0.91–1.36	0.30	0.20
		CG vs. GG	1.10	0.87–1.38	0.42	0.01
	Dominant model	CC+CG vs. GG	1.10	0.86-1.41	0.45	0.002
	Recessive model	CC vs. CG+GG	1.08	0.91-1.29	0.38	0.41
rs6241						
	Allelic contrast model	A vs. G	1.02	0.94-1.10	0.68	0.42
	Codominant model	AA vs. GG	1.04	0.90-1.21	0.59	0.51
		AG vs. GG	1.04	0.90-1.19	0.59	0.99
	Dominant model	AA+AG vs. GG	1.04	0.91-1.18	0.55	0.88
	Recessive model	AA vs. AG+GG	1.01	0.90-1.13	0.87	0.39

*The Q statistic was used to estimate heterogeneity, and a $P \le 0.10$ was considered statistically significant for the Q-statistic test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.t002



Study population	SNPs	Inheritance Model	OR	95% CI	Р	P(Q test)*
Chinese						
	rs12423791					
		C vs. G	1.24	1.04-1.48	0.02	0.19
		CC vs. GG	1.39	1.00–1.93	0.05	0.48
		CG vs. GG	1.37	1.12-1.68	0.002	0.48
		CC+CG vs. GG	1.40	1.16-1.69	<.001	0.45
		CC vs. CG+GG	1.17	0.91-1.50	0.21	0.56
	rs6241					
		A vs. G	1.05	0.92-1.19	0.50	0.30
		AA vs. GG	1.10	0.87-1.40	0.43	0.37
		AG vs. GG	1.05	0.85-1.29	0.68	0.99
		AA+AG vs. GG	1.06	0.87-1.30	0.54	0.80
		AA vs. AG+GG	1.07	0.88-1.30	0.48	0.24
Japanese						
	rs12423791					
		C vs. G	0.92	0.78-1.09	0.33	0.15
		CC vs. GG	0.97	0.75-1.26	0.83	0.20
		CG vs. GG	0.91	0.79–1.04	0.17	0.71
		CC+CG vs. GG	0.89	0.76-1.05	0.18	0.25
		CC vs. CG+GG	1.00	0.78-1.29	0.99	0.15
	rs6241					
		A vs. G	0.99	0.90-1.10	0.91	0.29
		AA vs. GG	1.01	0.83-1.22	0.96	0.33
		AG vs. GG	1.03	0.86-1.24	0.73	0.56
		AA+AG vs. GG	1.02	0.86-1.21	0.79	0.41
		AA vs. AG+GG	0.98	0.84-1.13	0.74	0.41

Table 3. Results of the subgroup analysis by study population.

*The Q statistic was used to estimate heterogeneity, and a P ≤ 0.10 was considered statistically significant for the Q-statistic test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.t003

vs. GG: OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.16–1.69 in the fixed-effects model), the codominantmodel (CG vs. GG: OR = 1.37, 95% CI = 1.12-1.68 in the fixed-effects model). (Table 3)

Association between IGF-1 gene rs6214 and high myopia

Allele frequencies of rs6214 were determined in the cases and controls. As shown in Figs $\underline{4}$ and $\underline{5}$, the Q test did not show significant between-study heterogeneity in all of the comparison

	Case		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Rando	m, 95% CI		
Mak 2012	292	600	307	600	11.1%	0.90 [0.72, 1.13	B] -			
Miyake 2013	1387	2582	1267	2388	45.6%	1.03 [0.92, 1.15	i]			
Yoshida 2013	572	892	637	962	15.5%	0.91 (0.75, 1.10	ı) 🔫			
Zhao 2013	323	604	402	802	12.7%	1.14 [0.93, 1.41	i t	-		
Zhuang 2012	439	842	402	802	15.1%	1.08 [0.89, 1.32	2]	-		
Total (95% CI)		5520		5554	100.0%	1.02 [0.94, 1.10	g			
Total events	3013		3015							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	i ² = 3.9	0, df = 4 (P = 0.4	6	0.01 0.1 1	10	100		
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.42	(P = 0.6	(8)				0.01 0.1 1 Favours experimental	10 Favours cont		

Fig 4. Forest plots of the pooled ORs with 95% Cls for associations between IGF-1 rs6214 and high myopia in allelic contrast model (A vs. G). Events: the number of individuals carrying the A allele.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g004

	Cas	9	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Mak 2012	74	154	85	163	13.1%	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]	
Miyake 2013	373	650	341	609	45.9%	1.06 (0.85, 1.32)	+
Yoshida 2013	183	240	211	266	14.5%	0.84 [0.55, 1.27]	
Zhao 2013	89	157	101	201	11.7%	1.30 [0.85, 1.97]	
Zhuang 2012	117	216	101	201	14.7%	1.17 [0.80, 1.72]	
21100119 2012		2		201	14.1 22		
Total (95% CI)		1417		1440	100.0%	1.04 [0.90, 1.21]	♦
Total events	836		839				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =		4 (P =		= 0%			
Test for overall effect				- • ~			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
restion overall ellect	2-0.54	. – 0	/3/			F	Favours experimental Favours control
a							
Mak 2012	146	226	137	215	12.5%	1.04 [0.70, 1.53]	+
Miyake 2013	641	918	585	853	46.1%	1.06 [0.87, 1.30]	—
Yoshida 2013	206	263	215	270	11.6%	0.92 [0.61, 1.40]	-+-
Zhao 2013	145	213	200	300	13.3%	1.07 (0.73, 1.55)	-+-
Zhuang 2012	205	304	200	300	16.5%	1.04 [0.74, 1.45]	+
T- (-) (0.5% OF							
Total (95% CI)		1924		1938	100.0%	1.04 [0.90, 1.19]	Ť
Total events	1343		1337				
Heterogeneity: Chi* =				:0%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.54 (P = 0.5	9)			Fa	avours experimental Favours control
b							
Mak 2012	220	300	222	300	13.2%	0.97 [0.67, 1.39]	-
Miyake 2013	1014			1194	45.9%	1.06 [0.88, 1.28]	+
Yoshida 2013	388	446	425	481	11.8%	0.88 [0.60, 1.30]	-
Zhao 2013	234	302	301	401	13.0%	1.14 [0.80, 1.63]	
Zhuang 2012	322	421	301	401	16.1%	1.08 [0.79, 1.49]	<u>+</u>
2100192012	~~~			401	10.172	1.00 [0.10, 1.10]	
Total (95% CI)		2760		2777	100.0%	1.04 [0.91, 1.18]	t
Total events	2178		2175				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.21, df=	4 (P =	0.88); F	= 0%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.60 (P = 0.5	5)			5	avours experimental Favours control
<u> </u>							arours experimental in arours control
C Mak 2012	74	300	85	300	11.2%	0.83 [0.58, 1.19]	
Miyake 2013		1291		1194		1.02 [0.85, 1.21]	
Yoshida 2013	183	446	211	481	20.9%	0.89 [0.69, 1.16]	
Zhao 2013	89	302	101	401	10.7%	1.24 [0.89, 1.73]	
		421	101		13.1%		1
Zhuang 2012	117	421	101	401	13,1%	1.14 [0.84, 1.56]	
Total (95% CI)		2760		2777	100.0%	1.01 [0.90, 1.13]	+
Total events	836		839				
Heterogeneity: Chi2=	4.12, df=	4 (P =	0.39); P	= 3%			
Test for overall effect							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
			-			1	Favours experimental Favours control
d							

Fig 5. Forest plots of the pooled ORs with 95% Cls for associations between IGF-1 rs6241 and high myopia in codominant model, dominant model, and recessive model. (a) Codominant model (AA vs. GG); events: the number of AA genotype in a. (b) Codominant model (AG vs. GG); events: the number of AA genotype in b. (c) Dominant model (AA+AG vs. GG); events: the number of AA+AG genotype in c. (d) Recessive model (AA vs. AG+GG); events: the number of AA genotype in c. (d) Recessive model (AA vs. AG+GG); events: the number of AA genotype in d.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g005

models (*P*>0.10). The fixed-effects models were used to calculate the pooled ORs, and the results suggested that there were not significant associations in the allelic contrast model (A vs. G: OR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.94–1.10, *P*>0.05 in the fixed-effects model), the codominant model (AA vs. GG: OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.90–1.21, *P*>0.05 and AG vs. GG: OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.90–1.21, *P*>0.05 and AG vs. GG: OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.91–1.18, *P*>0.05 in the fixed-effects model), and the recessive model (AA vs. AG+GG: OR = 1.01, 95% CI = 0.90–1.13, *P*>0.05 in the fixed-effects model). (Table 2) Further subgroup analysis by study population also could not find any associations between rs6214 and high myopia. (Table 3)

Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was conducted to explore the source of this heterogeneity. In our study, we only performed sensitivity analyses to assess the influence of each study on the IGF-1



Fig 6. Funnel plot analysis for publication bias. (a) rs12423791 allelic contrast model (C vs. G). (b) rs6214 allelic contrast model (A vs. G).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.g006

gene allelic contrast model (C vs. G), dominant model (CC+CG vs. GG), and codominant model (CG vs. GG), because there was not significant heterogeneity in the IGF-1 gene rs12423791 recessive model (CC vs. CG+GG), codominant model (CC vs. GG), and all rs6215 comparison models. (Table 2) By the sequential omission of individual studies in these models (C vs. G, CC+CG vs. GG, CG vs. GG), we found that none of the individual studies significantly affected the pooled ORs, and the association between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia did not change, suggesting the high stability of the meta-analysis. The data are not shown but are available upon request.

Publication Bias

The shape of the funnel plot did not suggest any obvious asymmetry in allelic contrast models between the rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms and high myopia. (Fig 6) In addition, Egger's linear regression test on the natural logarithm scale of the OR found no evidence of publication bias for the allelic contrast model (*P*rs12423791 = 0.36, *P*rs6214 = 0.94), the dominant model (*P*rs12423791 = 0.31, *P*rs6214 = 0.84), the recessive model (*P*rs12423791 = 0.71, *P*rs6214 = 0.89), the codominant model of rs12423791 (*P*rs12423791 = 0.45 for CC vs. GG and *P*rs12423791 = 0.29 for CG vs. GG), and the codominant model of rs6214 (*P*rs6214 = 0.95 for AA vs. GG and *P*rs6214 = 0.54 for AG vs. GG). (Table 4)

PLOS ONE

SNPs	Inherited N	lodel	Coefficient	SE	t	<i>P</i> > t	
rs12423791							
	Allelic contrast model	G vs. C	3.04	2.80	1.09	0.36	
	Codominant model	CC vs. GG	1.70	1.95	0.87	0.45	
		CG vs. GG	2.23	1.75	1.27	0.29	
	Dominant model	CC+CG vs. GG	2.62	2.16	1.21	0.31	
	Recessive model	CC vs. CG+GG	0.67	1.62	0.41	0.71	
rs6241							
	Allelic contrast model	A vs. G	-0.08	1.00	-0.09	0.94	
	Codominant model	AA vs. GG	0.06	0.88	0.07	0.95	
		AG vs. GG	-0.14	0.20	-0.69	0.54	
	Dominant model	AA+AG vs. GG	-0.076	0.34	-0.22	0.84	
	Recessive model	AA vs. AG+GG	0.21	1.37	0.15	0.89	

Table 4. Evaluation of publication bias by Egger's linear regression test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129707.t004

Discussion

Main finding

High myopia is associated with various ocular complications, [31] and it is highly heritable. [32] A genome-wide meta-analysis has provided evidence that several loci that have been shown to be associated with myopia, while the results did not illustrate the influence of IGF-1 gene polymorphisim. [19] To our knowledge, insulin-like growth factor 1 is similar to insulin in function and structure and is a member of a protein family involved in mediating growth and development. [33] The gene encoding insulin-like growth factor 1 is highly conserved between species, and the polypeptide it encodes is insulin-like growth factor 1. [34] As mentioned in the introduction, previous animal studies have demonstrated that insulin-like growth factor 1 contributes to eve growth and myopia development. [22,23] In addition, one study stated that myopia may be related to impaired metabolic control, and the authors observed that enhanced scleral growth may result from increased levels of insulin and insulin-like growth hormones. [35] Recently, genetic studies have indicated that the association between IGF-1 gene rs12423791, rs6214 polymorphisms and the risk of high myopia are not clear. [25,26,27,36,37] Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to estimate the association between the IGF-1 gene rs12423791 and rs6214 polymorphisms and high myopia. Of the studies included in this metaanalysis, Zhuang et al. [26] demonstrated that IGF-1 rs12423791, but not rs6214, was significantly associated with high myopia in a Chinese population. Mak et al. [36] also reported that a haplotype including rs12423791 was associated with high myopia in another Chinese population. Zhao et al. [37] also reported that rs12423791 but not rs6214 was associated with high myopia in a Chinese population. However, Yoshida et al. [28] reported that IGF-1 rs12423791 was not significantly associated with high myopia in a Japanese population. Similarly, the study by Miyake indicated that none of the tagging SNPs in IGF-1, including rs12423791, were associated with high myopia in a Japanese population. [27] Furthermore, a study in Caucasians which reported that rs6214 in IGF-1 exhibited a significant association with high myopia was not included in this meta-analysis, because it was a family-based design and lacked available data; [25] a cohort study in polish families not finding any significant associations between IGF-1 SNPs (including rs6214) and high myopia, was also excluded in this meta-analysis for its family-based design and only including information about IGF-1 rs6214. [38] The final results of this meta-analysis indicated that rs12423791 or rs6214 was not associated with high myopia

in any genetic models. These results were consistent with the recent genome-wide meta-analysis of the high myopia reports of the Consortium for Refractive Error and Myopia (CREAM) which included many ethnicities studies and did not find an association of IGF-1 polymorphisms with myopia. [39,40]

However, our subgroup analysis by study population showed that Chinese populations with the rs12423791 variants may have somewhat higher risks of developing high myopia. Prior researches in China also illustrated that IGF-1 rs12423791 was significantly associated with high myopia in Chinese populations. [26,36,37] As the prevalence of myopia, even high myopia was high in both China and Japan, and the definitions of high myopia and controls in the included studies are similar. [26,27,28,36,37] To reach a definitive conclusion, the results of the study population subgroup analysis should be interpreted cautiously, and more well-designed larger trials using standardized unbiased methods and more ethnic groups should be considered in order to further clarify the association.

To our knowledge, heterogeneity may restrict the interpretation of pooled estimates; therefore, sensitivity meta-analyses were performed in this meta-analysis. We found that none of the individual studies significantly affected the pooled ORs by excluding each study in turn in every comparison, and the association between IGF-1 rs12423791 and high myopia did not change in any genetic models, suggesting the high stability of the meta-analysis. Furthermore, we performed publication bias analyses to validate the reliability of the meta-analysis. In this study, we used a funnel plot and Egger's linear regression analysis to evaluate for publication bias, and the results showed that there was no evidence of publication bias among the included studies. Therefore, our results suggest that the IGF-1 rs12423791 or rs6214 gene polymorphism was not associated with high myopia susceptibility.

Limitation

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to assess the association between rs12423791 and rs6214 of the IGF-1 gene and high myopia. This meta-analysis increases the power to detect and quantify an effect and provides a control for publication differences. Additionally, it must be stressed that there are several limitations to this meta-analysis. First, the study populations were mainly from China and Japan, and study populations from other countries were rare or did not exist. Thus, more studies should be conducted in other ethnic groups to increase the statistical power. Second, the IGF-1 gene is just one of a host of genetic risk factors for high myopia; other genes, such as transforming growth factor β 1, may also participate in the pathogenesis of high myopia. Third, our results were based on unadjusted estimates; a more precise analysis should be conducted if individual data are available to allow for adjustment by other covariates, such as age, gender, environmental factors and so on. Finally, this meta-analysis only used the single-marker analysis but not the haplotype analysis for the available data. Despite these limitations, this study also has some merits. First, we did not use the language limitation opinion when searching the literature database to minimize the bias within our research. Additionally, the sensitivity analysis indicated the results of the meta-analysis were stable, and we found no evidence of publication bias among the included studies.

Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis provides evidence that IGF-1 rs12423791 or rs6214 gene polymorphism is not associated with high myopia. Therefore, in view of the fact that myopia is a polygenetic disease, IGF-1 would not play independently important roles in the development of high myopia. Moreover, gene-gene and gene-environment interactions should be considered.

Supporting Information

S1 Checklist. Meta-analysis-on-genetic-association-studies. Meta-analysis on Genetic Association Studies Checklist. (DOCX)

S2 Checklist. PRISMA 2009 Checklist. The PRISMA Checklist for our meta-analysis. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the support of School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CYL. Performed the experiments: LG CYL WHZ. Analyzed the data: LG XYD WHZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LG XYD. Wrote the paper: LG. LG WHZ.

References

- Chen YP, Hocking PM, Wang L, Povazay B, Prashar A, To CH, et al. Selective breeding for susceptibility to myopia reveals a gene-environment interaction. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011; 52:4003–4011. doi: 10.1167/iovs.10-7044 PMID: 21436268
- Vitale S, Ellwein L, Cotch MF, Ferris FR, Sperduto R. Prevalence of refractive error in the United States, 1999–2004. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008; 126:1111–1119. doi: <u>10.1001/archopht.126.8.1111</u> PMID: <u>18695106</u>
- Sun J, Zhou J, Zhao P, Lian J, Zhu H, Zhou Y, et al. High prevalence of myopia and high myopia in 5060 Chinese university students in Shanghai. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012; 53:7504–7509. doi: 10.1167/iovs.11-8343 PMID: 23060137
- Kita Y, Kita R, Takeyama A, Tomita G, Goldberg I. Effect of high myopia on glaucoma diagnostic parameters measured with optical coherence tomography. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol. 2014; 42:722–728. doi: <u>10.1111/ceo.12318</u> PMID: <u>24617978</u>
- Tsujikawa A, Kikuchi M, Ishida K, Nonaka A, Yamashiro K, Kurimoto Y. Fellow eye of patients with retinal detachment associated with macular hole and bilateral high myopia. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol. 2006; 34:430–433. PMID: <u>16872338</u>
- Saw SM, Gazzard G, Shih-Yen EC, Chua WH. Myopia and associated pathological complications. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2005; 25:381–391. PMID: <u>16101943</u>
- Seet B, Wong TY, Tan DT, Saw SM, Balakrishnan V, Lee LK, et al. Myopia in Singapore: taking a public health approach. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001; 85:521–526. PMID: <u>11316705</u>
- He M, Zheng Y, Xiang F. Prevalence of myopia in urban and rural children in mainland China. Optom Vis Sci. 2009; 86:40–44. doi: <u>10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181940719</u> PMID: <u>19104465</u>
- Shimizu N, Nomura H, Ando F, Niino N, Miyake Y, Shimokata H. Refractive errors and factors associated with myopia in an adult Japanese population. Jpn J Ophthalmol. 2003; 47:6–12. PMID: <u>12586171</u>
- Paluru P, Ronan SM, Heon E, Devoto M, Wildenberg SC, Scavello G, et al. New locus for autosomal dominant high myopia maps to the long arm of chromosome 17. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003; 44:1830–1836. PMID: <u>12714612</u>
- Rose KA, Morgan IG, Smith W, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P, Saw SM. Myopia, lifestyle, and schooling in students of Chinese ethnicity in Singapore and Sydney. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008; 126:527–530. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1001/archopht.126.4.527</u> PMID: <u>18413523</u>
- Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Moeschberger ML, Jones LA, Zadnik K. Parental myopia, near work, school achievement, and children's refractive error. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002; 43:3633–3640. PMID: <u>12454029</u>
- Saw SM, Chua WH, Wu HM, Yap E, Chia KS, Stone RA. Myopia: gene-environment interaction. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2000; 29:290–297. PMID: <u>10976381</u>

- Lyhne N, Sjolie AK, Kyvik KO, Green A. The importance of genes and environment for ocular refraction and its determiners: a population based study among 20–45 year old twins. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001; 85:1470–1476. PMID: <u>11734523</u>
- Young TL, Metlapally R, Shay AE. Complex trait genetics of refractive error. Arch Ophthalmol. 2007; 125:38–48. PMID: <u>17210850</u>
- Li YJ, Goh L, Khor CC, Fan Q, Yu M, Han S, et al. Genome-wide association studies reveal genetic variants in CTNND2 for high myopia in Singapore Chinese. Ophthalmology. 2011; 118:368–375. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.ophtha.2010.06.016</u> PMID: <u>21095009</u>
- Li Z, Qu J, Xu X, Zhou X, Zou H, Wang N, et al. A genome-wide association study reveals association between common variants in an intergenic region of 4q25 and high-grade myopia in the Chinese Han population. Hum Mol Genet. 2011; 20:2861–2868. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddr169 PMID: 21505071
- Meng W, Butterworth J, Bradley DT, Hughes AE, Soler V, Calvas P, et al. A genome-wide association study provides evidence for association of chromosome 8p23 (MYP10) and 10q21.1 (MYP15) with high myopia in the French Population. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012; 53:7983–7988. doi: <u>10.1167/</u> <u>iovs.12-10409</u> PMID: <u>23049088</u>
- Simpson CL, Wojciechowski R, Oexle K, Murgia F, Portas L, Li X, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of myopia and hyperopia provides evidence for replication of 11 Loci. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e107110. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0107110</u> PMID: <u>25233373</u>
- 20. Rada JA, Shelton S, Norton TT. The sclera and myopia. Exp Eye Res. 2006; 82:185–200. PMID: 16202407
- Watanabe Y, Liu ZZ, Kumar A, Wallner EI, Kashihara N, Kanwar YS. Influence of hypophysectomy on renal proteoglycans and their modulation by insulin-like growth factor-I and its receptor. Endocrinology. 1994; 134:358–370. PMID: <u>8275951</u>
- Ritchey ER, Zelinka CP, Tang J, Liu J, Fischer AJ. The combination of IGF1 and FGF2 and the induction of excessive ocular growth and extreme myopia. Exp Eye Res. 2012; 99:1–16. doi: <u>10.1016/j.exer.</u> 2012.03.019 PMID: <u>22695224</u>
- Penha AM, Schaeffel F, Feldkaemper M. Insulin, insulin-like growth factor-1, insulin receptor, and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor expression in the chick eye and their regulation with imposed myopic or hyperopic defocus. Mol Vis. 2011; 17:1436–1448. PMID: 21655358
- Young TL, Ronan SM, Alvear AB, Wildenberg SC, Oetting WS, Atwood LD, et al. A second locus for familial high myopia maps to chromosome 12q. Am J Hum Genet. 1998; 63:1419–1424. PMID: <u>9792869</u>
- Metlapally R, Ki CS, Li YJ, Tran-Viet KN, Abbott D, Malecaze F, et al. Genetic association of insulin-like growth factor-1 polymorphisms with high-grade myopia in an international family cohort. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010; 51:4476–4479. doi: 10.1167/iovs.09-4912 PMID: 20435602
- Zhuang W, Yang P, Li Z, Sheng X, Zhao J, Li S, et al. Association of insulin-like growth factor-1 polymorphisms with high myopia in the Chinese population. Mol Vis. 2012; 18:634–644. PMID: 22509095
- Miyake M, Yamashiro K, Nakanishi H, Nakata I, Akagi-Kurashige Y, Tsujikawa A, et al. Insulin-like growth factor 1 is not associated with high myopia in a large Japanese cohort. Mol Vis. 2013; 19:1074– 1081. PMID: <u>23734076</u>
- Yoshida M, Meguro A, Yoshino A, Nomura N, Okada E, Mizuki N. Association study of IGF1 polymorphisms with susceptibility to high myopia in a Japanese population. Clin Ophthalmol. 2013; 7:2057–2062. doi: <u>10.2147/OPTH.S52726</u> PMID: <u>24204106</u>
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003; 327:557–560. PMID: <u>12958120</u>
- Nikolakopoulou A, Mavridis D, Salanti G. How to interpret meta-analysis models: fixed effect and random effects meta-analyses. Evid Based Ment Health. 2014; 17:64. doi: <u>10.1136/eb-2014-101794</u> PMID: <u>24778439</u>
- Jacobi FK, Zrenner E, Broghammer M, Pusch CM. A genetic perspective on myopia. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2005; 62:800–808. PMID: <u>15868405</u>
- Hammond CJ, Snieder H, Gilbert CE, Spector TD. Genes and environment in refractive error: the twin eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2001; 42:1232–1236. PMID: <u>11328732</u>
- Subramani R, Lopez-Valdez R, Arumugam A, Nandy S, Boopalan T, Lakshmanaswamy R. Targeting insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor inhibits pancreatic cancer growth and metastasis. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e97016. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097016 PMID: 24809702
- Wu J, O'Donnell M, Gitler AD, Klein PS. Kermit 2/XGIPC, an IGF1 receptor interacting protein, is required for IGF signaling in Xenopus eye development. Development. 2006; 133:3651–3660. PMID: 16914488
- Cordain L, Eaton SB, Brand MJ, Lindeberg S, Jensen C. An evolutionary analysis of the aetiology and pathogenesis of juvenile-onset myopia. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 2002; 80:125–135. PMID: <u>11952477</u>

- Mak JY, Yap MK, Fung WY, Ng PW, Yip SP. Association of IGF1 gene haplotypes with high myopia in Chinese adults. Arch Ophthalmol. 2012; 130:209–216. doi: <u>10.1001/archophthalmol.2011.365</u> PMID: <u>22332214</u>
- Zhao JJ, Yang XQ, Li SS, Li ZL, Zhuang WJ. [Association study of insulin-like growth factor-1 polymorphisms with extreme high myopia]. Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi. 2013; 49:334–339. PMID: 23900093
- Rydzanicz M, Nowak DM, Karolak JA, Frajdenberg A, Podfigurna-Musielak M, Mrugacz M, et al. IGF-1 gene polymorphisms in Polish families with high-grade myopia. Mol Vis. 2011; 17:2428–2439. PMID: 21976954
- Verhoeven VJ, Hysi PG, Wojciechowski R, Fan Q, Guggenheim JA, Hohn R, et al. Genome-wide metaanalyses of multiancestry cohorts identify multiple new susceptibility loci for refractive error and myopia. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:314–318. doi: <u>10.1038/ng.2554</u> PMID: <u>23396134</u>
- **40.** Cheng CY, Schache M, Ikram MK, Young TL, Guggenheim JA, Vitart V, et al. Nine loci for ocular axial length identified through genome-wide association studies, including shared loci with refractive error. Am J Hum Genet. 2013; 93:264–277. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.016</u> PMID: <u>24144296</u>