



Article Immune-Proteome Profiling in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Tumor Diagnostic Tissue

Alex Reza Gholiha ^{1,*}, Peter Hollander ², Liza Löf ³, Anders Larsson ⁴, Jamileh Hashemi ¹, Johan Mattsson Ulfstedt ¹, Daniel Molin ¹, Rose-Marie Amini ², Eva Freyhult ⁵, Masood Kamali-Moghaddam ³ and Gunilla Enblad ¹

- Experimental and Clinical Oncology, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden; jamileh.hashemi@igp.uu.se (J.H.); johan.mattsson-ulfstedt@igp.uu.se (J.M.U.); daniel.molin@igp.uu.se (D.M.); gunilla.enblad@igp.uu.se (G.E.)
- ² Clinical and Experimental Pathology, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden; peter.hollander@igp.uu.se (P.H.); rose-marie.amini@igp.uu.se (R.-M.A.)
- ³ Department of Immunology, Genetics & Pathology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, SE-751 08 Uppsala, Sweden; liza.lof@igp.uu.se (L.L.); masood.kamali@igp.uu.se (M.K.-M.)
- ⁴ Department of Medical Sciences, Clinical Chemistry, Uppsala University, SE-751 85 Uppsala, Sweden; anders.larsson@akademiska.se
- ⁵ Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden; eva.freyhult@medsci.uu.se
- Correspondence: Alex.gholiha@gmail.com

Simple Summary: The proximity extension assay (PEA) method enables the detection of proteins in tissue lysates and plasma with high specificity and sensitivity. Knowledge regarding the immune proteome profile in classical Hodgkin Lymphoma (cHL) tumor microenvironment (TME) is critical in an era of emerging immunotherapies and precision medicine. This study identifies several important immune markers that distinguish cHL tissue from reactive lymph nodes and introduces new potential therapeutic targets in an era of personalized medicine.

Abstract: In classical Hodgkin Lymphoma (cHL), immunoediting via protein signaling is key to evading tumor surveillance. We aimed to identify immune-related proteins that distinguish diagnostic cHL tissues (=diagnostic tumor lysates, n = 27) from control tissues (reactive lymph node lysates, n = 30). Further, we correlated our findings with the proteome plasma profile between cHL patients (n = 26) and healthy controls (n = 27). We used the proximity extension assay (PEA) with the OlinkTM multiplex Immuno-Oncology panel, consisting of 92 proteins. Univariate, multivariate-adjusted analysis and Benjamini–Hochberg's false discovery testing (=Padj) were performed to detect significant discrepancies. Proteins distinguishing cHL cases from controls were more numerous in plasma (30 proteins) than tissue (17 proteins), all Padj < 0.05. Eight of the identified proteins in cHL tissues (PD-L1, IL-6, CCL17, CCL3, IL-13, MMP12, TNFRS4, and LAG3) were elevated in both cHL tissues and cHL plasma compared with control samples. Six proteins distinguishing cHL tissue (IL-6, MCP-2, CCL3, CCL4, GZMB, and IFN-gamma, all $p \leq 0.05$). In conclusion, this study introduces a distinguishing proteomic profile in cHL tissue and potential immune-related markers of pathophysiological relevance.

Keywords: Hodgkin lymphoma; proteomics; proximity assays; tumor microenvironment; PD-L1; LAG3 CCL17; biomarkers; Immunology

1. Introduction

In the tumor microenvironment (TME) of classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL), sparsely distributed Hodgkin and Reed–Sternberg (HRS) cells are surrounded by an abundant number of leukocytes and stromal cells [1–3]. Immunoediting of the TME by HRS cells



Citation: Gholiha, A.R.; Hollander, P.; Löf, L.; Larsson, A.; Hashemi, J.; Ulfstedt, J.M.; Molin, D.; Amini, R.-M.; Freyhult, E.; Kamali-Moghaddam, M.; et al. Immune-Proteome Profiling in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Tumor Diagnostic Tissue. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14010009

Academic Editor: Paul Gerard Murray

Received: 14 November 2021 Accepted: 17 December 2021 Published: 21 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). is vital for escaping tumor immune surveillance [3]. With best-practice treatments, still a significant proportion of patients with cHL relapse with subsequently poor prognosis [4]. In an era of personalized medicine, tailored therapeutic management of malignant disease is highly biomarker-driven [5]. While gene expression profiling and mRNA quantification studies in cHL have been reported [6–10], high throughput proteome studies in cHL are restricted and based on commercial HRS cell lines [11–14]. Hence, there is an unmet need to explore the proteome profile of cHL to identify key biomarkers and new potential therapeutic targets in an era of precision medicine [15,16].

Methods for characterizing cancer proteome are rapidly evolving within cancer research [17]. The proximity extension assay (PEA) enables high throughput detection and quantification of a large number of biomarkers with high sensitivity and specificity [18,19]. The PEA technology has been widely used to analyze various body fluids including tissue lysates and plasma [18,19]. The technology is believed to complement mass spectrophotometry (MS) by a higher ability for sensitive detection of low abundance proteins in minute sample volumes [20].

To deepen our knowledge of key components of the proteome profile in cHL, this study aimed to identify distinguishing immune-related proteins in cHL tissues compared with reactive lymph nodes and their association to plasma proteome profile in cHL.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Cohort and Study Samples

This study included patients from the biobank program U-CAN (Uppsala Umeå Comprehensive Cancer Consortium). The U-CAN program has, since 2010, collected data and created a biobank with blood and tissue samples with various cancer diagnoses including lymphoma. The current cohort consisted of 88 patients included in U-CAN and was confined to cHL patients with available plasma samples and frozen diagnostic biopsies diagnosed between 2010 and 2019 (n = 27). One patient was included at relapse with a first diagnosis in 1989 (Figure 1). As controls, 30 healthy study subjects with lymph nodes classified histopathologically as reactive lymphadenopathy were used. The controls were matched for age and gender.



Figure 1. Flowchart of patients included in the current study: UCAN = Uppsala Umeå Comprehensive Cancer Consortium biobank program, cHL = classical Hodgkin lymphoma, NLPHL = nodular lymphocyte-predominant Hodgkin lymphoma, QC = quality control, PEA = proximity extension assay, and Immuno-ONC = Immuno-Oncology.

The diagnostic biopsies for patients with cHL (n = 27) were obtained from lymph nodes in the axilla (n = 2), neck (n = 21), and mediastinum (n = 2). Two patients had missing information on lymph node location. Biopsy location from non-malignant lymph nodes (n = 30) were neck (n = 18), groin (n = 5), axilla (n = 5), and mesenterium (n = 1). One patient had missing data on lymph node location. Of the cHL plasma samples (n = 26), 25 were from the same patients as the tissue samples from cHL patients. Plasma control samples (n = 27) were obtained after consent and matched for age and gender as the tissue controls. Clinical data were obtained from patient hospital records. Stage of disease was defined according to the Ann Arbor classification, and advanced stage was defined as IIB-IVB [21,22]. Analysis of EBV infection in HRS cells was performed using immunohistochemistry (IHC) for latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) and in situ hybridization (ISH) for Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)-encoded small RNAs (EBERs).

2.2. Tissue Lysates Preparation and Plasma Samples

The tissue samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C after surgery. Tissue samples were cut into thin slices and were lysed as previously described [23]. Tissue lysate preparation was performed at Uppsala Biomedical Center (BMC), Uppsala University, SciLifeLab. Tumor tissues and control lymph node tissues were mixed with 2 mm in diameter zirconium beads (Next Advance Inc., Troy, NY, USA) and lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, a protease inhibitor (Roche Complete Mini, Mannheim, Germany). The ratio of sliced tissue mass: volume of lysis buffer: zirconium beads weight was 1:4:2. The tissues were homogenized using Bullet Blender (BBX24B-CE, Next Advance, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's recommendation for lymphatic tissue. The mixtures were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatants were transferred to new tubes. The total protein concentration of tissue lysates was measured using PierceTM BCA Protein Assay kit (ThermoFisher, Rockford, IL, USA).

To determine the optimal buffer condition and total protein concentrations for PEA analysis, one tumor and one healthy lymph node sample were lysed, and total protein concentrations were measured. For each sample, three different dilutions of 0.5, 0.125, and 0.031 μ g/ μ L total protein concentrations were prepared in PEA dilution buffer (Olink, Uppsala, Sweden) and analyzed with multiplex PEA, using Immuno-Oncology panel (Olink ProteomicsTM, Uppsala, Sweden), (Table S1), according to the manufacturer's instructions and as described by Shen et al. [24]. The optimal total protein concentration was determined to 0.125 μ g/ μ L. For the plasma samples, 1 μ L of sample was analyzed using the same Immuno-Oncology panel.

2.3. Proximity Extension Assay Overview, Plate Distribution, and Data Output

PEA relies on dual antigen recognition via matched antibodies linked with a unique DNA oligonucleotide that undergoes hybridization upon target recognition. In this way, the PEA method reduces antibody cross-reactivity similar to the proximity ligation assay (PLA) [25]. The hybridized DNA oligonucleotides are subsequently subjected to enzymatic DNA extension and real-time PCR (qPCR) to quantify the DNA amplicons [18]. The PEA steps include various internal controls and monitoring steps and allows quantification of 92 proteins and four controls in femtomolar range, using only one microliter of biofluids [18,24]. The workflow for normalization, quality controls, and the list of proteins included in the panel are available elsewhere [26].

In the current study, tissue lysates and plasma samples were randomized within the same but separate plates prior to the PEA analysis. Normalized Protein eXpression (NPX) is a log2 arbitrary scale unit that was used as data outcome. One NPX difference corresponds to a two-fold change in the concentration of the protein in the analyzed biosample. NPX is based on the cycle threshold (Ct) value that corresponds to the total of PCR cycles required for the fluorescent signal to surpass background levels for each protein, and normalization algorithms are based on a so-called inter-plate controls (IPCs) described

in detail elsewhere [18]. In PEA, the lower limit of detection (LOD) is determined by comparing protein NPX with so-called "background" NPX values calculated via linear regression and different algorithms [18]. Data values with levels under LOD were replaced with a fixed value (=LOD).

2.4. Statistics

R version 3.3.3.vas used for all analyses. Missing data were replaced with mean imputation for 4 of 2392 data point entries for plasma PEA analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used for dimension reduction and review of the associations between controls and cHL cases. Comparison between a continuous variable and two groups was analyzed using the two-sample Welch *t*-test and Wilcoxon rank–sum test. Adjustment for age and sex was further conducted with multivariate linear regression and propensity score matching, caliper = 0.2. Clinicopathological correlations were calculated based on NPX values of cHL tissues. Pearson correlation analysis with correlation coefficient (=r) and associated *p*-value was used to estimate the correlation between two continuous variables. Receiver operating curves (ROC) with associated area under curve (AUC) were used to estimate variable predictive values for cHL cases compared with controls. Benjamini-Hochberg's false discovery rate method was used for adjusting for multiple testing (=Padj). All proteins (n = 92), including those below LOD frequency, were accounted for, when adjusting for multiple testing. Padj-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

Patient characteristics for the analyzed cohort are shown in Table 1, with comparable demographics compared with the original cohort. All proteins and their abbreviations are described in Table S1. In tissues, proteins with a high frequency of values below LOD was IL-1 alpha (96%), ADGRG1 (95%), MUC-16 (81%), KIR3DL1 (79%), IL-10 (82%), TNFRSF12A (91%), ARG1 (88%), and CXCL12 (93%). The distribution of values <LOD frequency was evenly distributed between cHL tissues and control tissues in these cases (see Table S2). Since all values <LOD were replaced with LOD they automatically did not generate any significant differences between cHL and control cases when frequent values of <LOD were observed for both cHL tissues and control tissues.

	Entire Cohort (cHL) 2010–2019 <i>n</i> = 88	Patient Plasma PEA <i>n</i> = 26	Control: Plasma PEA n = 27	Patient: Tissue PEA n = 27	Controls: Tissue PEA <i>n</i> = 30
Age (y): Median (Range)	41 (12–85)	44 (21–85)	45 (20–78)	45 (21–85)	45.50 (22–83)
Age \geq 60 (<i>n</i>)	23 (26%)	7 (27%)	8 (30%)	8 (30%)	7 (23%)
Male Sex (<i>n</i>)	58 (66%)	17 (65%)	18 (67%)	18 (67%)	21 (70%)
Follow-up time (y); Median (range)	Follow-up time (y); 4.50 (0.36–26.00) Median (range)		NA	4.77 (0.66–26.00)	NA
5 year OS probability	85%	87%	NA	88%	NA
2 year OS probability	91%	92%	NA	93%	NA
2 year EFS 84% 84%		81%	NA	82%	NA
Advanced stage (n) (IIB-IVA)	vanced stage (<i>n</i>) (IIB-IVA) 56 (64%)		NA	12 (57%)	NA
WHO 0–1 (<i>n</i>)	74 (95%) Missing = 10	24 (92%)	NA	25 (100%) Missing = 2	NA

Table 1. Demographics.

	Entire Cohort (cHL) 2010–2019 <i>n</i> = 88	Patient Plasma PEA $n = 26$	Control: Plasma PEA n = 27	Patient: Tissue PEA n = 27	Controls: Tissue PEA <i>n</i> = 30
IPS ≥ 2 (<i>n</i>)	44 (56%) Missing = 10	17 (68%) Missing = 1	NA	11 (49) Missing = 4	NA
Treated with BEACOPP at first-line (<i>n</i>)	14 (16%) Missing = 1	n = 4 (15%)	NA	4 (15%) Missing = 1	NA
Treated with ABVD at first-line (<i>n</i>)	55 (63%) Missing = 1	n = 18 (69%)	NA	17 (65%) Missing = 1	NA

Table 1. Cont.

ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine); BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone); IPS = international prognostic index; EFS = event-free survival; OS = overall survival; WHO, performance status according to the World Health Organization (ECOG); Advanced stage = according to Ann Arbor; NA = not available.

3.1. Proteins Distinguishing Patients from Controls

After multivariate tests adjusting for age and gender, PS matched comparison, and for adjusting for multiple testing, 17 out of 92 proteins levels (Table 2) were identified to be significantly different in cHL tissues compared with control tissues (all Padj < 0.05). All 17 proteins showed high predictive accuracy (AUC between 0.82–0.92) to differentiate between cHL cases and controls. TIE2 and IL7 were significantly decreased in cHL tissues, while all other proteins (n = 14) were increased in cHL tissues compared with control tissues. PCA plot overview of the tissue protein pattern showed a modest separation between cHL cases and control study subjects (Figure 2A).

Table 2. Proteins with significant differences in cHL tumor tissue versus control tissues.

	Univariate			Multivariate	Predictive	
	Mean Difference. NPX		Padj	Padj	AUC	Pw (adj)
TIE2	-0.645	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.870	< 0.001
IL7	-0.585	< 0.001	0.001	0.002	0.833	< 0.001
IL6	2.879	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.922	< 0.001
MCP-1	0.985	< 0.001	0.001	0.002	0.825	0.001
MCP-4	2.089	< 0.001	0.006	0.002	0.821	0.001
MCP-2	1.688	< 0.001	0.001	0.001	0.823	0.001
CCL4	1.728	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.878	< 0.001
PD-L1	1.094	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.854	< 0.001
CD70	0.799	< 0.001	0.003	0.001	0.799	0.005
CCL3	1.291	< 0.001	0.003	0.001	0.805	0.003
TNFRSF4	1.260	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.835	< 0.001
CCL17	3.800	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.917	< 0.001
IFN-gamma	2.363	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.893	< 0.001
MMP12	1.891	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.007	0.817	0.001
LAG3	2.119	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.925	< 0.001
IL13	2.382	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.909	< 0.001
GZMB	0.952 0.001		0.049	0.042	0.762	0.040

Comparing 29 cHL cases with 30 controls. Mean normalized protein expression (NPX) difference = mean NPX in the cHL patients minus mean in the control group. One NPX in log2 difference corresponded to a two-fold difference in protein concentration in the tissue. In univariate analysis: P = p-value was calculated with two-sample Welch test; Padj = FDR-adjusted values corrected for multiple testing (all 92 proteins). In multivariate analysis = linear regression adjusting for age and gender. AUC (area under curve) = accuracy for predicting between cHL cases and controls. Pw (adjust) = p-value retrieved with Wilcoxon test and adjusted for multiple testing (FDR); CCL = C-C motif chemokine ligand; PD-L = programmed death ligand; IFN = Interferon; IL = Interleukin; MCP = monocyte chemotactic proteins; LAG = lymphocyte activating gene; Chl = classical Hodgkin lymphoma; GZMB = Granzyme-B; CD = cluster of differentiation; TIE2 = tyrosine-protein kinase receptor Tie-1, also called angiopoietin receptor 1; TNFRSF4 = TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 4; MMP12 = Matrix Metallopeptidase 12.



Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) and Venn diagram for the proteome profile: (**A**) PCA plot for tissue. Dots demonstrate individual sample distribution of 27 cHL tumor tissues analyzed with PEA (blue) and corresponding control (black) lymph nodes, n = 30. (**B**) PCA plots for plasma. Dots demonstrate individual sample distribution of 26 cHL patients (blue) and controls (black), n = 27; a total of 92 proteins were included. PC = principal component. (**C**) Schematic Venn diagram showing the number of proteins with significantly higher levels in cHL cases than controls in both tissue and plasma after univariate analysis and adjusted analysis.

The biological function annotation based on bioinformatics databases (Uniprot, Human Protein Atlas, Gene Ontology (GO) and DisGeNET) of the 17 identified proteins were in the category of Chemotaxis/Inflammation (IL-6, IL-13, MCP1, MCP2, MCP4, CCL3, CCL4, CCL17, IL-7, and IFN-gamma), and immune-suppression/promotion (PD-L1, LAG-3, CD70, and TNFRSF4), apoptosis (Granzyme B), and extracellular matrix remodeling (MMP12, TIE2).

Of all the identified 17 proteins, eight proteins (PD-L1, IL-6, CCL17, CCL3, IL-13, MMP12, TNFRS4, and LAG3) were also significantly increased in plasma samples from cHL patients compared with plasma from controls (Figure 2C). The obtained results and biological annotation for all 17 proteins are summarized in Table 3. The discrepancy between cHL patients and controls proteome profiles in plasma was apparent, with 30

out of 92 proteins identified to be expressed significantly differently in cHL patients than controls (Table S3). In addition, PCA plot overview of the protein pattern in plasma showed an apparent separation between cHL cases and controls (Figure 2B).

Protein	Tissue	Plasma	Biological Annotation *	Cellular Annotation *	Studies in cHL
LAG3	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Suppressed tumor immunity:	Membrane	Mainly upregulated in Tregs adjacent to HRS cells but also observed in macrophages [27–29]
CCL17	Increased in cHL cases	Increase in cHL cases	Chemotaxis: Produced by several leukocytes including M2 macrophages	Secretory	Confirmed in HRS cells, and monocytes in the TME [7,8,14]
IL6	Increased in cHL cases	Increase in cHL cases	Inflammation/cell Survival signaling. Produced by several leukocytes including macrophages	Secretory	Confirmed in HRS cells, and various leukocytes [8,30]
IL13	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Inflammation/cell survival signaling	Secretory	Confirmed in HRS cells, and various lymphocytes [31–34]
CCL4	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL cases	Chemotaxis. Recruit Tregs	Secretory	Higher levels in TAMs, HRS cells mainly negative [35]
IFN-gamma	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL	Inflammation, cell survival signaling	Secretory	Confirmed in HRS cells [8,9,36]
TIE2	Decreased in cHL	Non-significantly decreased in cHL	Vascular remolding. Migration/permeability	Membrane. and secretory	No data available
TNFRSF4	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Chemotaxis/Cell signal survival. Induced host antitumor immunity	Membrane and intracellular	Confirmed in T-cells in cHL. Status in HRS cells limited [37–39]
PD-L1	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Suppressed host tumor immunity	Cell membrane	Confirmed in HRS cells and surrounding leukocytes [40,41]
MCP-1	Increased in cHL cases	Non–significantly increase in cHL	Chemotaxis for monocytes	Secreted	Confirmed in Monocytes and HRS cells [42]
MCP-2	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL	Chemotaxis for various leukocytes	Secreted	Confirmed in HRS cells [6]
IL7	Decreased in cHL	Increased in cHL	Promoted immune host response	Secreted	Confirmed in HRS cells [43,44]
CD70	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL	Cell survival signaling primarily for T-cells	Plasma membrane	Confirmed in HRS cells [45,46]
CCL3	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Inflammation, cell survival signaling, and chemotaxis	Secreted	Confirmed in HRS cells [9]

Table 3. Summary of 17 proteins that distinguished cHL tissues from control tissues.

Protein	Tissue	Plasma	Biological Annotation *	Cellular Annotation *	Studies in cHL
MCP-4	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL	Chemotaxis and inflammation for monocytes and T-cells	Secreted	Confirmed in HRS cells [7]
MMP12	Increased in cHL cases	Increased in cHL cases	Modulating extracellular matrix. Produced by macrophages	Secreted, mainly extracellular matrix	No data available
GZMB	Increased in cHL cases	Non-significantly increase in cHL	Apoptosis/cytotoxic	Secreted	Confirmed in HRS cells and cytotoxic lymphocytes [47]

Table 3. Cont.

Functional and cellular annotation is based on a variety of public-access bioinformatic databases including UniProt (https://www.uniprot.org, accessed on 14 November 2021), Human Protein Atlas (http://www.proteinatlas.org, accessed on 14 November 2021), DisGeNET (http://geneontology.org, accessed on 14 November 2021), and cBioportal (https://www.cbioportal.org/, accessed on 14 November 2021). Cases with a significant congruent difference in tissue and plasma are marked in bold (n = 8). * HRS = Hodgkin Reed–Sternberg cells, cHL = classical Hodgkin lymphoma, TAM = tumor-associated macrophages.

3.2. Clinicopathological Correlations

The cohort was too small to generate significant correlations between protein NPX levels in patient tissues and clinical features after multiple testing (all Padj > 0.05), but the unadjusted *p*-values are presented in Table S4. CCL17 NPX levels in cHL tissues were higher in cHL nodular sclerosis subtype cases (p = 0.029). In cHL tissue, higher PD-L1 NPX levels correlated with EBV+ cases (p = 0.020) and male sex (p = 0.005). CCL3 NPX levels in cHL tissues were higher in EBV+ cases (p = 0.011). Unadjusted for multiple testing, correlations between proteins distinguishing cHL tissues are available in Table S5. PD-L1 NPX levels in cHL tissues correlated with NPX tissue levels of; CCL3 (r = 0.79 (p < 0.001)), CCL4 (r = 0.82 (p < 0.001)), IFN-gamma (r = 0.63 (p < 0.001)), MCP-2 (r = 0.67 (p < 0.001)), IL-6 (r = 0.38 (p = 0.05)), and GZMB (r = 0.061 (p = 0.001)).

4. Discussion

By implementing PEA analysis on tumor tissues and plasma, we identified 17 proteins in cHL tissues and 30 proteins in plasma, significantly distinguishing cHL cases from controls. Eight (IL-6, PD-L1, CCL17, MMP12, TNFRSF4, CCL3, IL-13, and LAG3) of the identified 17 proteins in cHL tissues were also significantly elevated in cHL plasma compared with controls. In addition, six of the 17 distinguishing proteins in cHL tissue were often positively correlated to PD-L1 expression in cHL tissue (IL-6, MCP-2, CCL3, CCL4, GZMB, and IFN-gamma).

Reports reviewing and investigating gene expression profiling and mRNA quantification studies in cHL [6–10] and high throughput proteome studies in cHL [11–14] will be discussed next in regard to overlaps and similarities between our markers individually for each protein, focusing on our findings in cHL tissues.

4.1. Immunobiomarkers Elevated in cHL Tissues and Plasma Samples (n = 8)

IL-6 is a pluripotent cytokine produced by macrophages and lymphocytes with protumorigenic signaling abilities across different malignancies [48]. In cHL, IL-6 can be found in various cells, including HRS cells confirmed by IHC and ISH methods [8,30]. We have previously reported that the presence of >1% of IL-6 + non-malignant cells in the tumor microenvironment is associated with poor prognosis in cHL [30], hence aligned with current study result, identifying IL-6 as a distinguishing cHL protein increased in cHL tissues. The weak correlation between PD-L1 and IL-6 observed in the current study is further aligned with studies reporting that IL-6 can induce PD-L1 expression in tumor cells and immune cells [30,49,50]. CCL17 (also known as TARC) is produced by macrophages (M2 type), and dendritic cells in the TME, and is mainly believed to recruit CCL4+ regulatory T-cells, promoting an anergic TME [51]. CCL17 is a well-known biomarker in cHL and elevated in serum of pretreated cHL patients [52], with predominance in patients with nodular sclerosis [53]. In cHL, CCL17 is expressed by HRS cells [7,8,14]. Our findings show an increase in cHL tissue predominantly in patients with nodular sclerosis and a significant increase in plasma, congruent with previous studies [53] of CCL17 in cHL, supporting the central role of CCL17 in the pathophysiology of cHL.

PD-L1 is a vital checkpoint ligand in several malignancies, with extensive evidence regarding its expression on HRS cells and surrounding leukocytes in the TME of cHL [40,41]. Hence a critical finding in the current study was the increased PD-L1 levels in cHL tissues compared with control tissues. We did not observe any significant differences for other PD-L1 related proteins (PD-L2 and PDCD1) in plasma or tissues between cHL cases and controls. However, PD-L1 levels correlated with several identified proteins increased in cHL tissues, CCL4, CCL3, MCP-2, IL-6, GXMB, and IFN-gamma. Further, higher PD-L1 expression was observed in EBV+ cases, congruent with studies indicating that EBV can drive increased expression of PD-L1 in cHL via complex mechanisms based on EBV-associated proteins like LMP-1 [54,55]. The PD-L1 correlation with male sex found in the current study has also been seen in non-small cell lung cancer but, to our knowledge, lacks biological explanation [56].

LAG3 (Lymphocyte-activation gene 3) is a cell surface protein expressed on multiple immune cells, including macrophages and T cells [57]. LAG3 is a critical checkpoint inhibitor in the TME, described in various cancer forms, including cHL, with ongoing clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02061761). IHC studies show that HRS cells rarely express LAG-3 (5.2% of the cases). However, LAG-3+ leukocytes are frequently found in the proximity of HRS cells [27,28]. In addition, a study by Abro et al. [29] found that levels of LAG3 mRNA were 5–10-fold higher in cHL tissues compared with control tissues and correlated with infiltration of CD4+-, CD8 +T cells and macrophages. In the current study, we found an increase of LAG3 cHL tissues and plasma compared with controls, aligned with previous evidence [29].

CCL3 (also known as MIP-1 alpha) is a protein with inflammatory properties that recruit immunosuppressive phenotypes of macrophages to the TME [58,59]. In cHL, CCL3 mRNA is increased in cHL tissues compared with control tissues, especially in EBV + cases [9]. Further, CCL3 is upregulated in tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [35]. In the current study, we found increased levels of CCL3 in both cHL tissues and plasma compared with tissues and plasma from controls. Moreover, EBV+ cases had higher tissue CCL3 levels in line with previous findings elsewhere [9]. Furthermore, we observed a strong linear correlation between PD-L1 levels and CCL3, which has previously been observed in other malignancies [60,61]. This may reflect a phenotypical subpopulation in the TME of CCL3+, PD-L1+ immune cells or indicate that CCL3 mainly recruits immunosuppressive PD-L1+ immune cells in the TME of cHL.

In the current study, IL-13, TNFSF4, and MMP12 were also observed to be elevated in both cHL tissues and plasma compared with controls. However, studies on these proteins are limited in cancer and particularly cHL. IL-13 is elevated in HRS cells [32,33] and hypothesized to act as an autocrine growth factor for HRS cells [31,34]. TNFSF4 (also known as OX40L) is elevated and expressed by subtypes of T-helper cells in the TME of cHL [37,38], but HRS lack expression [39]. MMP12, (also known as macrophage metalloelastase, MME) is mainly involved in tissues remodeling and extracellular matrix organization and is primarily produced by macrophages but lacks studies in cHL [62].

4.2. Proteins with Decreased levels in cHL Tissues (n = 2)

TIE2 (also known as angiopoietin-1 receptor) was decreased in cHL tissues compared with control tissues. TIE2 interacts with ANPT1 and partially with ANPGT2 [63,64]. ANGPT2-TIE2 activation increases endothelial permeability and angiogenesis, favoring

tumor progression, while ANGPT-1-TIE2 maintains membrane permeability and quiescent vascular remodeling affecting tumor survival negatively [63,64]. Moreover, even if not significant in present study, we observed that ANGPT1 was decreased in cHL tissues, while ANGPT2 was increased (Table S2). To our knowledge, there is a lack of reports regarding TIE-2 expression in cHL tissues.

IL-7, which is known to be produced by stromal cells as well as dendritic cells, plays an essential role in the early stages of B-cell maturation [65] and T-cell maturation [66]. In cHL, IL-7 gene transcripts and proteins are elevated in HRS cells and in cHL patient plasma [43,44] postulated to act as an autocrine growth factors. However, IL-7 can promote survival of effector and memory T-cells [67], hence the role of IL-7 in cHL remains to be determined.

4.3. Immunobiomarkers Elevated in cHL Tissues but Not Plasma (n = 7)

CCL4 (also known as macrophage inflammatory protein beta (MIP-1 beta)) is a secretory protein with chemokine and inflammatory functions. Studies investigating CCL4 expression in cHL are limited [68]. CCL4 lacks expression in HRS cells but is upregulated in TAMs found in cHL [35]. We observed a strong correlation between CCL4 and PD-L1 levels, which may indicate that CCL4 plays an important role in recruiting PD-L1+ immune cells.

IFN-gamma is an inflammatory protein attributed to possessing antitumor effects like recruiting effector T-cells [69]. However, effects such as modulating STAT signaling systems and suppressing effector T-cells in the TME are also described, favoring tumor progression [69]. Elevated levels of IFN-gamma in cHL have been reported using various methods [8,9,36]. We observed that cHL tissues levels were higher in EBV+ cases. The association between IFN-gamma and EBV+ cases remains unexplained but has been seen in other malignancies as well [70]. Reports that IFN-gamma may induce PD-L1 expression are widely emerging in different malignancies and seen in various tumor cell lines and immune cells [49,71,72]. This is congruent with our observed correlation between IFN-gamma levels and PD-L1 in cHL. MCP1 (also known as CCL2) is a monocyte-attracting cytokine in cancer associated with TAMs [73]. The presence of MCP1 genome has been observed in cHL [42]; however, IHC studies are limited. MCP-2 (also known as CCL8) stimulates chemotactic activity for various immune cells. In cHL, MCP2 gene expression is upregulated in HRS cells [6]. In the current study, we observed an association between MCP2 and PD-L1 levels in cHL tissues suggesting that MCP-2 recruits PD-L1 immune cells. MCP-4 (also known as CCL13) is involved in several biological processes such as chemotactic activity for T-cells, monocytes, and eosinophils [74]. In cHL, MCP-4 is expressed by macrophages, dendritic cells, and HRS cells [7]. There are currently limited studies on MCP4 roles in cancer and particularly in cHL. GZMB [47] and CD70 [45,46] were further two proteins identified distinguishing cHL tissue from controls, their pathophysiological role in cHL is unknown and warrants further investigation.

4.4. General Comments Regarding the Identified Proteome Profile

Since plasma protein patterns are more prone to be affected by multiple organs and are unclear in their implication in the TME, the focus of this study has been centralized regarding the proteome profile in cHL tissue. However, the study failed to identify an apparent correlation of why some proteins were expressed differently in both tissue and plasma while others were not (see Table 3).

However, the discrepancy in the numbers of identified proteins in plasma compared with tissue could be explained by the tissue controls, which were lymph nodes diagnosed with reactive lymphadenopathy. A reactive inflammation in these lymph nodes may increase several inflammatory proteins that overlap with the pathophysiology in the TME of malignancies and contribute to the reduced differences in protein levels compared with cHL tissue. This particularly resonates with the fact that most pre-selected proteins in the current study have known functions in the natural immune response. In addition, plasma protein levels could be affected by other sources in the body, as discussed above, and hence not completely reflect the protein composition of the tissues.

Most of the identified proteins in the current study have been studied across different malignancies and to a certain degree in cHL, but we also identified several markers with limited studies in cHL (MMP12, CD70, IL-7, MCP-4, TIE2, IL-13, TNFSF4, and GZMB, Table 3). In addition, several proteins identified distinguishing cHL tissues from control tissues are known to be associated with macrophages in either their source of production or ability to recruit macrophages (MCP-1, MCP-2, MCP-4, IL-6, MMP12, CCL3, and CCL4). This could be expected since macrophages are a source for chemokines and cytokines, and several studies reports an adverse prognostic outcome for high proportions of TAMs in the TME of cHL [75–77]. Moreover, six proteins (CCL3, MCP-2, IFN-gamma, Granzyme B, IL-6, and CCL-4) were correlated with PD-L1 levels in cHL tissues, which is congruent with the extensive evidence regarding the role of PD-L1 in cHL [40,41].

4.5. Study Limitations and Strengths

Most of the 17 identified distinguishing proteins in cHL tissues have been investigated to different degrees in cHL, while others have been studied to a lesser extent (see Table 3). Adding additional IHC analysis to the current study would help re-validate many of these proteins and shed light on their spatial distribution in the TME. Another limitation is that we used lymph nodes diagnosed with reactive lymphadenopathy, hence similar biological mechanisms could be involved in reactive lymph node and malignant processes, and as mentioned before, would explain why plasma discrepancies between cHL and controls were more apparent (30/92) compared with tissues (17/92). On the other hand, this could also be a strength since it would identify the most specific biomarkers for malignant processes compared with benign inflammation processes. However, it would be of additional value to include healthy lymph nodes.

Moreover, the limited number of patient biofluids, n = 27 (tissues) and n = 26 (plasma) make the study results vulnerable. The unique character of this study is the usage of multiplex PEA, with several advantages as described previously [21], including its accuracy to detect low abundance proteins. Moreover, a strength of the study is the usage of reactive lymph nodes as controls. The handling of values below LOD can be addressed in different ways, replacing values below LOD with LOD, LOD/SQRT2, or using actual data, even though below LOD [78]. All three methods were used in the current study (data only shown for the first method) and did not show any difference in the outcome of study results.

5. Conclusions

We found 17 proteins that separate cHL tissues from control tissues, eght of these proteins (PD-L1, IL-6, CCL17, IL-13, CCL3, TFNSF4, MMP12, and LAG3) were also increased in plasma. In addition, several identified immune biomarkers (IL-6, MCP-2, CCL3, CCL4, GZMB, and IFN-gamma) correlated with PD-L1 levels in cHL tissues. Our results thus deepen our insights regarding proteome profile of immune-markers in cHL and introduce new potential targets in an era of personalized medicine.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/cancers14010009/s1, Table S1: Abbreviations for biomarkers in the Olink Immuno-Oncology panel; Table S2: Comparing proteome profiles in tissue; Table S3: Comparing proteome profiles in plasma; Table S4: Clinical correlations; Table S5: Correlations among cHL tissue distinguishing proteins.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.R.G., P.H., R.-M.A., M.K.-M. and G.E.; Data curation, A.R.G., E.F. and G.E.; Formal analysis, A.R.G., P.H., E.F. and G.E.; Funding acquisition, P.H., R.-M.A., M.K.-M. and G.E.; Investigation, A.R.G. and L.L.; Methodology, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., R.-M.A., E.F. and M.K.-M.; Project administration, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., J.H. and M.K.-M.; Resources, P.H., A.L., J.M.U., R.-M.A., M.K.-M. and G.E.; Software, A.R.G. and E.F.; Supervision, D.M., R.-M.A., M.K.-M. and G.E.; Validation, P.H., L.L., E.F., M.K.-M. and G.E.; Visualization, A.R.G.; Writing—original draft, A.R.G. and G.E.; Writing—review and editing, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., A.L., J.H., J.M.U., D.M., R.-M.A., E.F., M.K.-M. and G.E.; M.K.-M. and G.E.; Visualization, A.R.G.; Writing—review and editing, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., A.L., J.H., J.M.U., D.M., R.-M.A., E.F., M.K.-M. and G.E.; M.K.-M. and G.E.; Writing—review and editing, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., A.L., J.H., J.M.U., D.M., R.-M.A., E.F., M.K.-M. and G.E. and E.F.; M.K.-M. and G.E.; Writing—review and editing, A.R.G., P.H., L.L., A.L., J.H., J.M.U., D.M., R.-M.A., E.F., M.K.-M. and G.E. and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Swedish Cancer Society (Cancerfonden) (grant number: 201147PjF) and the Swedish Research Council (grant number: 2020-02258).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by the regional ethical committee, according to the Dseclaration of Helsinki: Dnr 2014/020, Dnr 2014/233, and Dnr 01-367.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author. The raw data are not publicly available due to the fact of central ethical issues and privacy restrictions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Vardhana, S.; Younes, A. The Immune Microenvironment in Hodgkin Lymphoma: T Cells, B Cells, and Immune Checkpoints. *Haematologica* **2016**, 101, 794–802. [CrossRef]
- Aldinucci, D.; Gloghini, A.; Pinto, A.; De Filippi, R.; Carbone, A. The Classical Hodgkin's Lymphoma Microenvironment and Its Role in Promoting Tumour Growth and Immune Escape. J. Pathol. 2010, 221, 248–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De la Cruz-Merino, L.; Lejeune, M.; Nogales Fernández, E.; Henao Carrasco, F.; Grueso López, A.; Illescas Vacas, A.; Pulla, M.P.; Callau, C.; Álvaro, T. Role of Immune Escape Mechanisms in Hodgkin's Lymphoma Development and Progression: A Whole New World with Therapeutic Implications. *Clin. Dev. Immunol.* 2012, 2012, 756353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Evens, A.M.; Hutchings, M.; Diehl, V. Treatment of Hodgkin Lymphoma: The Past, Present, and Future. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Oncol.* 2008, 5, 543–556. [CrossRef]
- 5. Collins, F.S.; Varmus, H. A New Initiative on Precision Medicine. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 372, 793–795. [CrossRef]
- Steidl, C.; Diepstra, A.; Lee, T.; Chan, F.C.; Farinha, P.; Tan, K.; Telenius, A.; Barclay, L.; Shah, S.P.; Connors, J.M.; et al. Gene Expression Profiling of Microdissected Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg Cells Correlates with Treatment Outcome in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Blood* 2012, *120*, 3530–3540. [CrossRef]
- Maggio, E.M.; Van den Berg, A.; Visser, L.; Diepstra, A.; Kluiver, J.; Emmens, R.; Poppema, S. Common and Differential Chemokine Expression Patterns in Rs Cells of NLP, EBV Positive and Negative Classical Hodgkin Lymphomas. *Int. J. Cancer* 2002, 99, 665–672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Skinnider, B.F.; Mak, T.W. The Role of Cytokines in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. Blood 2002, 99, 4283–4297. [CrossRef]
- Teruya-Feldstein, J.; Jaffe, E.S.; Burd, P.R.; Kingma, D.W.; Setsuda, J.E.; Tosato, G. Differential Chemokine Expression in Tissues Involved by Hodgkin's Disease: Direct Correlation of Eotaxin Expression and Tissue Eosinophilia: Presented in Part at the 39th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology, Held in San Diego, CA, December 5-9, 1997. *Blood* 1999, 93, 2463–2470. [CrossRef]
- 10. Maggio, E.; van den Berg, A.; Diepstra, A.; Kluiver, J.; Visser, L.; Poppema, S. Chemokines, Cytokines and Their Receptors in Hodgkin's Lymphoma Cell Lines and Tissues. *Ann. Oncol.* **2002**, *13* (Suppl. 1), 52–56. [CrossRef]
- 11. Fujii, K.; Kondo, T.; Yokoo, H.; Yamada, T.; Matsuno, Y.; Iwatsuki, K.; Hirohashi, S. Protein Expression Pattern Distinguishes Different Lymphoid Neoplasms. *Proteomics* **2005**, *5*, 4274–4286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wallentine, J.C.; Kim, K.K.; Seiler, C.E.; Vaughn, C.P.; Crockett, D.K.; Tripp, S.R.; Elenitoba-Johnson, K.S.J.; Lim, M.S. Comprehensive Identification of Proteins in Hodgkin Lymphoma-Derived Reed–Sternberg Cells by LC-MS/MS. *Lab. Investig.* 2007, *87*, 1113–1124. [CrossRef]
- 13. Vergara, D.; Simeone, P.; De Matteis, S.; Carloni, S.; Lanuti, P.; Marchisio, M.; Miscia, S.; Rizzello, A.; Napolitano, R.; Agostinelli, C.; et al. Comparative Proteomic Profiling of Hodgkin Lymphoma Cell Lines. *Mol. Biosyst.* **2016**, *12*, 219–232. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Y.; Visser, L.; Roelofsen, H.; de Vries, M.; Diepstra, A.; van Imhoff, G.; van der Wal, T.; Luinge, M.; Alvarez-Llamas, G.; Vos, H.; et al. Proteomics Analysis of Hodgkin Lymphoma: Identification of New Players Involved in the Cross-Talk between HRS Cells and Infiltrating Lymphocytes. *Blood* 2008, 111, 2339–2346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- LaCasce, A.S. Treating Hodgkin Lymphoma in the New Millennium: Relapsed and Refractory Disease. *Hematol. Oncol.* 2019, 37 (Suppl. 1), 87–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Verma, M. Personalized Medicine and Cancer. J. Pers. Med. 2012, 2, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Shruthi, B.S.; Vinodhkumar, P. Selvamani Proteomics: A New Perspective for Cancer. Adv. Biomed. Res. 2016, 5, 67. [CrossRef]
- Assarsson, E.; Lundberg, M.; Holmquist, G.; Björkesten, J.; Thorsen, S.B.; Ekman, D.; Eriksson, A.; Rennel Dickens, E.; Ohlsson, S.; Edfeldt, G.; et al. Homogenous 96-Plex PEA Immunoassay Exhibiting High Sensitivity, Specificity, and Excellent Scalability. *PLoS* ONE 2014, 9, e95192. [CrossRef]
- 19. Fredriksson, S.; Gullberg, M.; Jarvius, J.; Olsson, C.; Pietras, K.; Gústafsdóttir, S.M.; Ostman, A.; Landegren, U. Protein Detection Using Proximity-Dependent DNA Ligation Assays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2002**, *20*, 473–477. [CrossRef]

- Petrera, A.; von Toerne, C.; Behler, J.; Huth, C.; Thorand, B.; Hilgendorff, A.; Hauck, S.M. Multi-Platforms Approach for Plasma Proteomics: Complementarity of Olink PEA Technology to Mass Spectrometry-Based Protein Profiling. *J. Proteome Res.* 2021, 20, 751–762. [CrossRef]
- Carbone, P.P.; Kaplan, H.S.; Musshoff, K.; Smithers, D.W.; Tubiana, M. Report of the Committee on Hodgkin's Disease Staging Classification. *Cancer Res.* 1971, 31, 1860–1861.
- Gobbi, P.G.; Cavalli, C.; Gendarini, A.; Crema, A.; Ricevuti, G.; Federico, M.; Di Prisco, U.; Ascari, E. Reevaluation of Prognostic Significance of Symptoms in Hodgkin's Disease. *Cancer* 1985, *56*, 2874–2880. [CrossRef]
- De Oliveira, F.M.S.; Mereiter, S.; Lönn, P.; Siart, B.; Shen, Q.; Heldin, J.; Raykova, D.; Karlsson, N.G.; Polom, K.; Roviello, F.; et al. Detection of Post-Translational Modifications Using Solid-Phase Proximity Ligation Assay. N. Biotechnol. 2018, 45, 51–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, Q.; Polom, K.; Williams, C.; de Oliveira, F.M.S.; Guergova-Kuras, M.; Lisacek, F.; Karlsson, N.G.; Roviello, F.; Kamali-Moghaddam, M. A Targeted Proteomics Approach Reveals a Serum Protein Signature as Diagnostic Biomarker for Resectable Gastric Cancer. *EBioMedicine* 2019, 44, 322–333. [CrossRef]
- Pla-Roca, M.; Leulmi, R.F.; Tourekhanova, S.; Bergeron, S.; Laforte, V.; Moreau, E.; Gosline, S.J.C.; Bertos, N.; Hallett, M.; Park, M.; et al. Antibody Colocalization Microarray: A Scalable Technology for Multiplex Protein Analysis in Complex Samples. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2012, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olink-Article Number 95311. Available online: Https://Www.Olink.Com/Content/Uploads/2019/06/Olink-Immuno-Oncology-Validation-Data-v2.1.Pdf (accessed on 11 October 2021).
- El Halabi, L.; Adam, J.; Gravelle, P.; Marty, V.; Danu, A.; Lazarovici, J.; Ribrag, V.; Bosq, J.; Camara-Clayette, V.; Laurent, C.; et al. Expression of the Immune Checkpoint Regulators LAG-3 and TIM-3 in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2021, 21, 257–266. [CrossRef]
- Gandhi, M.K.; Lambley, E.; Duraiswamy, J.; Dua, U.; Smith, C.; Elliott, S.; Gill, D.; Marlton, P.; Seymour, J.; Khanna, R. Expression of LAG-3 by Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Is Coincident with the Suppression of Latent Membrane Antigen-Specific CD8+ T-Cell Function in Hodgkin Lymphoma Patients. *Blood* 2006, 108, 2280–2289. [CrossRef]
- Abro, E.U.; Law, S.C.; Keane, C.; Birch, S.; Sabdia, M.B.; Tobin, J.W.D.; Johnson, P.; Trotman, J.; Berkahn, L.; Fulham, M.; et al. A Critical Role for Intratumoral and Circulating LAG3 in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma: Analysis from the Rathl Prospective Phase III International Clinical Trial. *Blood* 2018, 132, 1621. [CrossRef]
- Gholiha, A.R.; Hollander, P.; Glimelius, I.; Hedstrom, G.; Molin, D.; Hjalgrim, H.; Smedby, K.E.; Hashemi, J.; Amini, R.-M.; Enblad, G. Revisiting IL-6 Expression in the Tumor Microenvironment of Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Blood Adv.* 2021, 5, 1671–1681. [CrossRef]
- 31. Skinnider, B.F.; Kapp, U.; Mak, T.W. The Role of Interleukin 13 in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2002**, *43*, 1203–1210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skinnider, B.F.; Elia, A.J.; Gascoyne, R.D.; Trümper, L.H.; von Bonin, F.; Kapp, U.; Patterson, B.; Snow, B.E.; Mak, T.W. Interleukin 13 and Interleukin 13 Receptor Are Frequently Expressed by Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg Cells of Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Blood* 2001, 97, 250–255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kapp, U.; Yeh, W.C.; Patterson, B.; Elia, A.J.; Kägi, D.; Ho, A.; Hessel, A.; Tipsword, M.; Williams, A.; Mirtsos, C.; et al. Interleukin 13 Is Secreted by and Stimulates the Growth of Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg Cells. J. Exp. Med. 1999, 189, 1939–1946. [CrossRef]
- 34. Ohshima, K.; Akaiwa, M.; Umeshita, R.; Suzumiya, J.; Izuhara, K.; Kikuchi, M. Interleukin-13 and Interleukin-13 Receptor in Hodgkin's Disease: Possible Autocrine Mechanism and Involvement in Fibrosis. *Histopathology* **2001**, *38*, 368–375. [CrossRef]
- Buri, C.; Körner, M.; Schärli, P.; Cefai, D.; Uguccioni, M.; Mueller, C.; Laissue, J.A.; Mazzucchelli, L. CC Chemokines and the Receptors CCR3 and CCR5 Are Differentially Expressed in the Nonneoplastic Leukocytic Infiltrates of Hodgkin Disease. *Blood* 2001, 97, 1543–1548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Merz, H.; Fliedner, A.; Orscheschek, K.; Binder, T.; Sebald, W.; Müller-Hermelink, H.K.; Feller, A.C. Cytokine Expression in T-Cell Lymphomas and Hodgkin's Disease. Its Possible Implication in Autocrine or Paracrine Production as a Potential Basis for Neoplastic Growth. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1991, 139, 1173–1180. [PubMed]
- Greaves, P.; Clear, A.; Owen, A.; Iqbal, S.; Lee, A.; Matthews, J.; Wilson, A.; Calaminici, M.; Gribben, J.G. Defining Characteristics of Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Microenvironment T-Helper Cells. *Blood* 2013, 122, 2856–2863. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Y.; Visser, L.; Blokzijl, T.; Harms, G.; Atayar, C.; Poppema, S.; van den Berg, A. CD4+CD26-T Cell Population in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Displays a Distinctive Regulatory T Cell Population. *Blood* 2007, 110, 384. [CrossRef]
- Buglio, D.; Khaskhely, N.M.; Voo, K.S.; Martinez-Valdez, H.; Liu, Y.-J.; Younes, A. HDAC11 Plays an Essential Role in Regulating OX40 Ligand Expression in Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Blood* 2011, 117, 2910–2917. [CrossRef]
- Green, M.R.; Monti, S.; Rodig, S.J.; Juszczynski, P.; Currie, T.; O'Donnell, E.; Chapuy, B.; Takeyama, K.; Neuberg, D.; Golub, T.R.; et al. Integrative Analysis Reveals Selective 9p24.1 Amplification, Increased PD-1 Ligand Expression, and Further Induction via JAK2 in Nodular Sclerosing Hodgkin Lymphoma and Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *Blood* 2010, *116*, 3268–3277. [CrossRef]
- Hollander, P.; Kamper, P.; Smedby, K.E.; Enblad, G.; Ludvigsen, M.; Mortensen, J.; Amini, R.-M.; Hamilton-Dutoit, S.; d'Amore, F.; Molin, D.; et al. High Proportions of PD-1+ and PD-L1+ Leukocytes in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Microenvironment Are Associated with Inferior Outcome. *Blood Adv.* 2017, *1*, 1427–1439. [CrossRef]

- 42. Luciani, M.G.; Stoppacciaro, A.; Peri, G.; Mantovani, A.; Ruco, L.P. The Monocyte Chemotactic Protein a (MCP-1) and Interleukin 8 (IL-8) in Hodgkin's Disease and in Solid Tumours. *Mol. Pathol.* **1998**, *51*, 273–276. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Foss, H.D.; Hummel, M.; Gottstein, S.; Ziemann, K.; Falini, B.; Herbst, H.; Stein, H. Frequent Expression of IL-7 Gene Transcripts in Tumor Cells of Classical Hodgkin's Disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1995**, *146*, 33–39.
- Cattaruzza, L.; Gloghini, A.; Olivo, K.; Di Francia, R.; Lorenzon, D.; De Filippi, R.; Carbone, A.; Colombatti, A.; Pinto, A.; Aldinucci, D. Functional Coexpression of Interleukin (IL)-7 and Its Receptor (IL-7R) on Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg Cells: Involvement of IL-7 in Tumor Cell Growth and Microenvironmental Interactions of Hodgkin's Lymphoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2009, 125, 1092–1101. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gruss, H.J.; Kadin, M.E. Pathophysiology of Hodgkin's Disease: Functional and Molecular Aspects. *Baillieres Clin. Haematol.* **1996**, 9, 417–446. [CrossRef]
- McEarchern, J.A.; Smith, L.M.; McDonagh, C.F.; Klussman, K.; Gordon, K.A.; Morris-Tilden, C.A.; Duniho, S.; Ryan, M.; Boursalian, T.E.; Carter, P.J.; et al. Preclinical Characterization of SGN-70, a Humanized Antibody Directed against CD70. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008, 14, 7763–7772. [CrossRef]
- 47. Oudejans, J.J.; Kummer, J.A.; Jiwa, M.; van der Valk, P.; Ossenkoppele, G.J.; Kluin, P.M.; Kluin-Nelemans, J.C.; Meijer, C.J. Granzyme B Expression in Reed-Sternberg Cells of Hodgkin's Disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1996**, *148*, 233–240.
- Guo, Y.; Xu, F.; Lu, T.; Duan, Z.; Zhang, Z. Interleukin-6 Signaling Pathway in Targeted Therapy for Cancer. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2012, 38, 904–910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Chen, S.; Crabill, G.A.; Pritchard, T.S.; McMiller, T.L.; Wei, P.; Pardoll, D.M.; Pan, F.; Topalian, S.L. Mechanisms Regulating PD-L1 Expression on Tumor and Immune Cells. *J. Immunother. Cancer* **2019**, *7*, 305. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, W.; Liu, Y.; Yan, Z.; Yang, H.; Sun, W.; Yao, Y.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, R. IL-6 Promotes PD-L1 Expression in Monocytes and Macrophages by Decreasing Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase Receptor Type O Expression in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 2020, *8*, e000285. [CrossRef]
- Gorbachev, A.V.; Fairchild, R.L. Regulation of Chemokine Expression in the Tumor Microenvironment. Crit. Rev. Immunol. 2014, 34, 103–120. [CrossRef]
- Sauer, M.; Plütschow, A.; Jachimowicz, R.D.; Kleefisch, D.; Reiners, K.S.; Ponader, S.; Engert, A.; von Strandmann, E.P. Baseline Serum TARC Levels Predict Therapy Outcome in Patients with Hodgkin Lymphoma. Am. J. Hematol. 2013, 88, 113–115. [CrossRef]
- 53. Niens, M.; Visser, L.; Nolte, I.M.; van der Steege, G.; Diepstra, A.; Cordano, P.; Jarrett, R.F.; Te Meerman, G.J.; Poppema, S.; van den Berg, A. Serum Chemokine Levels in Hodgkin Lymphoma Patients: Highly Increased Levels of CCL17 and CCL22. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2008**, *140*, 527–536. [CrossRef]
- Green, M.R.; Rodig, S.; Juszczynski, P.; Ouyang, J.; Sinha, P.; O'Donnell, E.; Neuberg, D.; Shipp, M.A. Constitutive AP-1 Activity and EBV Infection Induce PD-L1 in Hodgkin Lymphomas and Posttransplant Lymphoproliferative Disorders: Implications for Targeted Therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2012, *18*, 1611–1618. [CrossRef]
- 55. Anastasiadou, E.; Stroopinsky, D.; Alimperti, S.; Jiao, A.L.; Pyzer, A.R.; Cippitelli, C.; Pepe, G.; Severa, M.; Rosenblatt, J.; Etna, M.P.; et al. Epstein-Barr Virus-Encoded EBNA2 Alters Immune Checkpoint PD-L1 Expression by Downregulating MiR-34a in B-Cell Lymphomas. *Leukemia* 2019, 33, 132–147. [CrossRef]
- Petrelli, F.; Maltese, M.; Tomasello, G.; Conti, B.; Borgonovo, K.; Cabiddu, M.; Ghilardi, M.; Ghidini, M.; Passalacqua, R.; Barni, S.; et al. Clinical and Molecular Predictors of PD-L1 Expression in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin. Lung Cancer* 2018, 19, 315–322. [CrossRef]
- 57. Huard, B.; Gaulard, P.; Faure, F.; Hercend, T.; Triebel, F. Cellular Expression and Tissue Distribution of the Human LAG-3-Encoded Protein, an MHC Class II Ligand. *Immunogenetics* **1994**, *39*, 213–217. [CrossRef]
- Nagarsheth, N.; Wicha, M.S.; Zou, W. Chemokines in the Cancer Microenvironment and Their Relevance in Cancer Immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2017, 17, 559–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Fotiou, D.; Terpos, E. CCL3 Signaling in the Tumor Microenvironment. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2020, 1231, 13–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kubon, J.; Sikic, D.; Eckstein, M.; Weyerer, V.; Stöhr, R.; Neumann, A.; Keck, B.; Wullich, B.; Hartmann, A.; Wirtz, R.M.; et al. Analysis of CXCL9, PD1 and PD-L1 MRNA in Stage T1 Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer and Their Association with Prognosis. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 2794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Huang, Y.; Zhang, S.-D.; McCrudden, C.; Chan, K.-W.; Lin, Y.; Kwok, H.-F. The Prognostic Significance of PD-L1 in Bladder Cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* **2015**, *33*, 3075–3084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Lyu, J.; Joo, C.-K. Wnt-7a up-Regulates Matrix Metalloproteinase-12 Expression and Promotes Cell Proliferation in Corneal Epithelial Cells during Wound Healing. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 21653–21660. [CrossRef]
- Davis, S.; Aldrich, T.H.; Jones, P.F.; Acheson, A.; Compton, D.L.; Jain, V.; Ryan, T.E.; Bruno, J.; Radziejewski, C.; Maisonpierre, P.C.; et al. Isolation of Angiopoietin-1, a Ligand for the TIE2 Receptor, by Secretion-Trap Expression Cloning. *Cell* 1996, 87, 1161–1169. [CrossRef]
- 64. Martin, V.; Liu, D.; Fueyo, J.; Gomez-Manzano, C. Tie2: A Journey from Normal Angiogenesis to Cancer and Beyond. *Histol. Histopathol.* **2008**, *23*, 773–780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goodwin, R.G.; Lupton, S.; Schmierer, A.; Hjerrild, K.J.; Jerzy, R.; Clevenger, W.; Gillis, S.; Cosman, D.; Namen, A.E. Human Interleukin 7: Molecular Cloning and Growth Factor Activity on Human and Murine B-Lineage Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1989, *86*, 302–306. [CrossRef]

- Mazzucchelli, R.I.; Riva, A.; Durum, S.K. The Human IL-7 Receptor Gene: Deletions, Polymorphisms and Mutations. *Semin. Immunol.* 2012, 24, 225–230. [CrossRef]
- Gao, J.; Zhao, L.; Wan, Y.Y.; Zhu, B. Mechanism of Action of IL-7 and Its Potential Applications and Limitations in Cancer Immunotherapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2015, 16, 10267–10280. [CrossRef]
- Goncharova, O.; Flinner, N.; Bein, J.; Döring, C.; Donnadieu, E.; Rikirsch, S.; Herling, M.; Küppers, R.; Hansmann, M.-L.; Hartmann, S. Migration Properties Distinguish Tumor Cells of Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma from Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma Cells. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 1484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jorgovanovic, D.; Song, M.; Wang, L.; Zhang, Y. Roles of IFN-γ in Tumor Progression and Regression: A Review. *Biomark. Res.* 2020, *8*, 49. [CrossRef]
- 70. Cárdenas-Mondragón, M.G.; Torres, J.; Sánchez-Zauco, N.; Gómez-Delgado, A.; Camorlinga-Ponce, M.; Maldonado-Bernal, C.; Fuentes-Pananá, E.M. Elevated Levels of Interferon-γ Are Associated with High Levels of Epstein-Barr Virus Reactivation in Patients with the Intestinal Type of Gastric Cancer. J. Immunol. Res. 2017, 2017, 7069242. [CrossRef]
- 71. Mimura, K.; Teh, J.L.; Okayama, H.; Shiraishi, K.; Kua, L.-F.; Koh, V.; Smoot, D.T.; Ashktorab, H.; Oike, T.; Suzuki, Y.; et al. PD-L1 Expression Is Mainly Regulated by Interferon Gamma Associated with JAK-STAT Pathway in Gastric Cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2018, 109, 43–53. [CrossRef]
- Garcia-Diaz, A.; Shin, D.S.; Moreno, B.H.; Saco, J.; Escuin-Ordinas, H.; Rodriguez, G.A.; Zaretsky, J.M.; Sun, L.; Hugo, W.; Wang, X.; et al. Interferon Receptor Signaling Pathways Regulating PD-L1 and PD-L2 Expression. *Cell Rep.* 2017, 19, 1189–1201. [CrossRef]
- 73. Yoshimura, T. The Chemokine MCP-1 (CCL2) in the Host Interaction with Cancer: A Foe or Ally? *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **2018**, *15*, 335–345. [CrossRef]
- Sabroe, I.; Hartnell, A.; Jopling, L.A.; Bel, S.; Ponath, P.D.; Pease, J.E.; Collins, P.D.; Williams, T.J. Differential Regulation of Eosinophil Chemokine Signaling via CCR3 and Non-CCR3 Pathways. J. Immunol. 1999, 162, 2946–2955.
- 75. Steidl, C.; Lee, T.; Shah, S.P.; Farinha, P.; Han, G.; Nayar, T.; Delaney, A.; Jones, S.J.; Iqbal, J.; Weisenburger, D.D.; et al. Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Survival in Classic Hodgkin's Lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2010**, *362*, 875–885. [CrossRef]
- 76. Tan, K.L.; Scott, D.W.; Hong, F.; Kahl, B.S.; Fisher, R.I.; Bartlett, N.L.; Advani, R.H.; Buckstein, R.; Rimsza, L.M.; Connors, J.M.; et al. Tumor-Associated Macrophages Predict Inferior Outcomes in Classic Hodgkin Lymphoma: A Correlative Study from the E2496 Intergroup Trial. *Blood* 2012, 120, 3280–3287. [CrossRef]
- 77. Touati, M.; Delage-Corre, M.; Monteil, J.; Abraham, J.; Moreau, S.; Remenieras, L.; Gourin, M.-P.; Dmytruk, N.; Olivrie, A.; Turlure, P.; et al. CD68-Positive Tumor-Associated Macrophages Predict Unfavorable Treatment Outcomes in Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma in Correlation with Interim Fluorodeoxyglucose-Positron Emission Tomography Assessment. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 2015, 56, 332–341. [CrossRef]
- 78. Hughes, M.D. Analysis and Design Issues for Studies Using Censored Biomarker Measurements with an Example of Viral Load Measurements in HIV Clinical Trials. *Stat. Med.* **2000**, *19*, 3171–3191. [CrossRef]