



# The Prognostic Value of Whole-Blood PSMB5, CXCR4, POMP, and RPL5 mRNA Expression in Patients with Multiple Myeloma Treated with Bortezomib

Pawel Robak<sup>1</sup>, Dariusz Jarych<sup>2,3</sup>, Damian Mikulski<sup>4</sup>, Izabela Dróżdż<sup>5</sup>, Edyta Wegłowska<sup>2</sup>, Aleksandra Kotkowska<sup>6</sup>, Małgorzata Misiewicz<sup>6</sup>, Piotr Smolewski<sup>1</sup>, Konrad Stawiski<sup>4</sup>, Wojciech Fendler<sup>4</sup>, Janusz Szemraj<sup>7</sup> and Tadeusz Robak<sup>6,\*</sup>

- 1 Department of Experimental Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, 93-510 Lodz, Poland; pawel.robak@umed.lodz.pl (P.R.); piotr.smolewski@umed.lodz.pl (P.S.)
- 2 Laboratory of Personalized Medicine, Bionanopark, 93-465 Lodz, Poland; djarych@cbm.pan.pl (D.J.); e.weglowska@bionanopark.pl (E.W.) 3
- Laboratory of Virology, Institute of Medical Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, 93-232 Lodz, Poland
- 4 Department of Biostatistics and Translational Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, 92-215 Lodz, Poland; damian.mikulski@stud.umed.lodz.pl (D.M.); konrad.stawiski@umed.lodz.pl (K.S.); wojciech\_fendler@dfci.harvard.edu (W.F.)
- Department of Clinical Genetics, Medical University of Lodz, 92-213 Lodz, Poland; izabela.drozdz@umed.lodz.pl
- Department of Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, 93-510 Lodz, Poland;
- aleksandra.kotkowska@umed.lodz.pl (A.K.); malgorzata.misiewicz@umed.lodz.pl (M.M.)
- Department of Medical Biochemistry, Medical University of Lodz, 92-215 Lodz, Poland; janusz.szemraj@umed.lodz.pl
- Correspondence: robaktad@csk.umed.lodz.pl; Tel.: +48-42-689-51-91; Fax: +48-42-689-51-92

Simple Summary: The mRNA expression of nine previously described genes that may affect resistance to multiple myeloma (MM), viz., ABCB1, CXCR4, MAF, MARCKS, POMP, PSMB5, RPL5, TXN, and XBP1, was compared between bortezomib-refractory and bortezomib-sensitive patients. RPL5 was the only gene to be significantly down-regulated in MM patients compared with non-MM individuals, while POMP was significantly up-regulated in the bortezomib-refractory patients. Multivariate analysis found the best independent predictors of progression-free survival to be high PSMB5 and CXCR expression and autologous stem cell transplantation, and that high expression of POMP and RPL5 were associated with shorter survival.

Abstract: Proteasome inhibitors, like bortezomib, play a key role in the treatment of multiple myeloma (MM); however, most patients eventually relapse and eventually show multiple drug resistance, and the molecular mechanisms of this resistance remain unclear. The aim of our study is to assess the expression of previously described genes that may influence the resistance to bortezomib treatment at the mRNA level (ABCB1, CXCR4, MAF, MARCKS, POMP, PSMB5, RPL5, TXN, and XBP1) and prognosis of MM patients. mRNA expression was determined in 73 MM patients treated with bortezomib-based regimens (30 bortzomib-sensitive and 43 bortezomib-refractory patients) and 11 healthy controls. RPL5 was significantly down-regulated in multiple myeloma patients as compared with healthy controls. Moreover, POMP was significantly up-regulated in MM patients refractory to bortezomib-based treatment. In multivariate analysis, high expression of PSMB5 and CXCR and autologous stem cell transplantation were independent predictors of progression-free survival, and high expression of POMP and RPL5 was associated with shorter overall survival.

Keywords: bortezomib; CXCR4; gene expression; multiple myeloma; POMP; PSMB5; refractory; RPL5; TXN; XBP1



Citation: Robak, P.; Jarych, D.; Mikulski, D.; Dróżdż, I.; Węgłowska, E.; Kotkowska, A.; Misiewicz, M.; Smolewski, P.; Stawiski, K.; Fendler, W.; et al. The Prognostic Value of Whole-Blood PSMB5, CXCR4, POMP, and RPL5 mRNA Expression in Patients with Multiple Myeloma Treated with Bortezomib. Cancers 2021, 13, 951. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cancers13050951

6

Academic Editors: Hiroshi Handa and Ulrike Bacher

Received: 23 January 2021 Accepted: 16 February 2021 Published: 25 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stavs neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM, plasma cell myeloma) is a hematological malignancy characterized by the accumulation of malignant plasma cells (PC) in the bone marrow (BM), often resulting in bone lesions, hypercalcemia, infections, anemia, and production of monoclonal immunoglobulin [1]. The disease occurs mainly in older patients and accounts for 15% of all hematologic malignancies, with an annual incidence of 4.5–6 cases per 100,000 [2], with an estimated 32,270 new cases and 12,830 deaths in the United States in 2020 [3]. Proteasome inhibitors (PI) play a key role in the treatment of MM [4–6]. Three PIs, bortezomib, carfilzomib, and ixazomib, are currently approved for the treatment of MM and several others are undergoing clinical trials [7].

Bortezomib is the first-in-class selective and reversible inhibitor of the 26S proteasome. It demonstrates antiproliferative and antitumor activity, and its use has been a breakthrough in treating MM in the past 15 years [5]. It is a boronic acid-based compound, which inhibits  $\beta$ 5 chymotrypsin-like (CT-L) and to a lesser extent,  $\beta$ 1 caspase-like (C-L) of the proteasome; it has been approved for treatment both in front-line and in relapsed/refractory patients [7]. However, the development of resistance and side effects can limit its use in MM [8]. Most patients show resistance to bortezomib after several courses of treatment and most of them demonstrate multiple drug resistance. In addition, approximately 20% of patients exhibit primary resistance, which determines lack of response to treatment [8,9].

Although resistance to PIs appears to be acquired through a number of different mechanisms, genetic abnormalities play a key role for most anti-myeloma drugs [8,10–12]. Single-point mutations and modification of gene expression in neoplastic cells refractory to PI have been reported in previous studies [11,13–16]. Several genes associated with bortezomib resistance have been identified in MM cells, including *POMP*, *XBP1*, *PSMB5*, *MARCKS*, *ABCB1*, *CXCR4*, *MAF*, *TXN*, *TJP1*, *RPL5*, *CDK5*, and *CYP1A1* [16–23]; however, these genes have been examined individually, and usually only using commercially available MM cell lines. The aim of our study was to evaluate the prognostic value of nine previously described genes that may affect the prognosis in patients with a clinically detected loss of response to bortezomib treatment: *ABCB1*, *CXCR4*, *MAF*, *MARCKS*, *POMP*, *PSMB5*, *RPL5*, *TXN*, and *XBP1*. A better understanding of the genetic disorders involved in MM drug resistance can improve the prognosis and prognostication, and assist the development of new therapeutic options to improve the treatment of this disease.

#### 2. Results

The demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the MM patients enrolled for the study are presented in Table 1. Overall, 30 of the 73 patients were bortezomib sensitive, while the other 43 were refractory. No statistically significant differences were observed between bortezomib-sensitive and bortezomib-refractory MM patients with regard to bone involvement at diagnosis (p = 0.96), calcium > 2.75 mmol/L at diagnosis (p = 0.89), creatinine > 2 mg/dL at diagnosis (p = 0.31) or Hb < 10 g/dL at diagnosis (p = 0.73) and ISS (p = 0.86). The only statistically significant difference was observed in predominant paraprotein level (p = 0.02). In addition, light chain disease (LCD) was more common (36.7%) among the sensitive group than the refractory group (9.3%).

Twelve patients had received at least one prior therapy before bortezomib-based regimen initiation and 11 of them had become refractory to bortezomib. It was found that 41 patients displayed IgG paraprotein, 17 demonstrated IgA, and 15 had LCD. Most of the patients (79.5%) had received a bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, and dexamethasone (VCD) regimen, six (8.2%) VMP (bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisone), four (5.5%) VTD (bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone), another four VD (bortezomib and dexamethasone), and one received IsaVRd (isatuximab, lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone). Cytogenetics data were available for 41 patients (56.1%). Amp (1q) was the most common abnormality (53.7%), followed by IGH rearrangements (46.3%), t(4;14) (22.0%), and del(13q) (19.5%).

Bone involvement at diagnosis

Calcium > 2.75 mmol/L at diagnosis

HB < 10g/dL at diagnosis

Creatinine > 2 mg/dL at diagnosis

International Staging System (ISS) at diagnosis

CRP > 5 mg/L

Beta2-microglobuline increased (>3mg/L)

LDH > 240U/L

Cytogenetics (%)

t(4;14)

t(14;16)

t(14;20)

del(17p)

amp(1q) del(13q)

t(11; 14)

del(1p)

IGH rearrangements

Variable	MM Total	Refractory	Sensitive	Healthy Donors	p	
Number of patients	73	43	30	11	-	
Gender (%) N (%)	M: 43 (58.9) F: 30 (41.1)	M: 25 (58.1) F: 18 (41.9)	M: 18(60.0) F: 12(40.0)	M: 5 (45.5) F: 6 (54.5)	0.69	
Age + SD (range)	$61.9 \pm 10.8$ (38.2–83.7)	62.2 ± 11.5 (38.2–83.7)	$61.3 \pm 9.7$ (39.8–81.6)	$63.0 \pm 6.2$ (52.6–74.4)	0.73	
Bortezomib regimen:	-	-	-	-		
VCD	58 (79.5)	32 (74.4)	26 (86.7)	-		
VMP	6 (8.2)	5 (11.6)	1 (3.3)	-	- 0.19	
VTD	4 (5.5)	2 (4.7)	2 (6.7)	-		
VD	4 (5.5)	4 (9.3)	0	-		
IsaVRD	1 (1.4)	0	1 (6.7)	-		
Paraprotein–N (%)	-	-	-	-		
IgG	41 (56.2)	28 (65.1)	13 (43.3)		0.00	
IgA	17 (23.3)	11 (25.6)	6 (20.0)	-	0.02	
LCD	15 (20.5)	4 (9.3)	11 (36.7)	-		
Prior treatment	12 (16.4)	11 (25.6)	1 (3.3)	-	0.01	

23 (53.5)

7 (16.3)

14 (32.6)

4 (9.3)

I: 14 (32.6)

II: 10 (23.3)

III: 18(41.9)

16 (37.2)

31 (72.1)

5 (11.6)

N = 24

7 (29.2)

0

0

4 (16.7)

12 (50.0)

2 (8.3)

1 (4.2)

1 (4.2)

12 (50.0)

17 (56.6)

5 (16.7)

12 (40.0)

6 (20.0)

I:8 (26.7)

II: 7 (23.3)

III: 14(46.7)

17 (56.7)

20 (66.7)

4 (13.3)

N = 17

2 (11.8)

0

0

2 (11.8)

10 (58.8)

6 (35.3)

0

1 (5.9)

7 (41.2)

Table 1. The characteris presented as frequency, p

40 (54.8)

12 (16.4)

26 (35.6)

10 (13.7)

I: 22 (30.1)

II: 17 (23.3)

III:32(43.8)

33 (45.2)

51 (69.9)

9 (12.3)

N = 41

9 (22.0)

0

0

6 (14.6)

22 (53.7)

8 (19.5)

1 (2.4)

2 (4.9)

19 (46.3)

Abbreviations: CRP-c-reactive protein; IGH-immunoglobulin heavy chain; LCD-light chain disease; IsaVRD-isatuximab, lenalidomide, bortezomib, dexamethasone; LDH-lactate dehydrogenase; MM-multiple myeloma; VCD-bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone; VD—bortezomib and dexamethasone: VMP—bortezomib, melphalan and prednisone; VTD—bortezomib, thalidomide, dexamethasone.

0.96

0.89

0.73

0.31

0.86

0.06

0.36

0.85

0.26

-

\_

1.00

0.75

0.61

1.00

0.71

-

-

\_

-

\_

\_

A flowchart depicting the number of patients in all stages of the study, and giving reasons for exclusion, is presented in Figure 1. The expression of nine mRNAs (*ABCB1*, *CXCR4*, *MAF*, *MARCKS*, *POMP*, *PSMB5*, *RPL5*, *TXN*, and *XBP1*) was determined in all 73 MM patients treated with bortezomib-based regimens and the 11 non-MM controls. Differential expression analysis indicated that *RPL5* was significantly down-regulated in MM patients compared with controls (Table 2, Figure 2A). Moreover, *POMP* was significantly up-regulated in bortezomib-refractory MM patients (Table 3, Figure 2B). No statistically significant differences were found between the groups with regard to the expression of selected mRNAs and the quality of response to treatment (Tables S1 and S2).



**Figure 1.** Flowchart of study protocol and main analyses. Abbreviations: CR—complete response; MM—multiple myeloma; OS—overall survival; PFS—progression-free survival.

mRNA	$\Delta Ct MM$ (N = 73) mean $\pm$ SD	$\Delta$ Ct Healthy Donors (N = 11) Mean $\pm$ SD	FC	<i>p-</i> Value	FWER
ABCB1	$7.55\pm0.99$	$7.12\pm0.74$	0.74	0.1075	0.6451
CXCR4	$3.83\pm0.82$	$3.56\pm0.21$	0.82	0.0209	0.1669
MAF	$7.75 \pm 1.08$	$7.20\pm0.85$	0.68	0.0737	0.5159
MARCKS	$5.99 \pm 0.83$	$5.63 \pm 0.90$	0.78	0.2346	1.0000
POMP	$5.17\pm0.67$	$5.12\pm0.39$	0.97	0.7541	1.0000
PSMB5	$6.96\pm0.78$	$6.80\pm0.59$	0.90	0.4341	1.0000
RPL5	$2.73\pm0.81$	$2.02\pm0.46$	0.61	0.0004	0.0033
TXN	$3.43\pm0.74$	$3.69\pm0.66$	1.20	0.2508	1.0000
XBP1	$3.26\pm0.92$	$3.21\pm0.66$	0.96	0.8036	1.0000

**Table 2.** mRNA expression in multiple myeloma patients and healthy donors. The higher  $\Delta$ Ct value represents the lower expression of gene at mRNA level.

Abbreviations: MM—multiple myeloma; FC—fold change; FWER—family-wise error rate.

To provide a unified assessment of the prognostic impact of selected mRNA expression level at diagnosis, twelve patients who had received prior treatment before the bortezomib-based regimen were excluded from the outcome analysis. In the course of multiple myeloma, the duration of response decreases consistently with each successive regimen [24]. In this way, previous treatment itself is a factor that severely impacts PFS.

Data on PFS was available in 11/12 previously treated patients, and the impact of this factor is presented in Figure S1. In contrast, in the previously treated group, no statistically significant differences were observed in mRNA expression (Table S3); however, in order to increase the statistical power of the analysis, this group was not excluded from differential expression analyses.



**Figure 2.** Dot plot representation of the  $\Delta$ Ct values of differentially expressed mRNA. The box plots depict the mean and SD. A higher  $\Delta$ Ct value represents the lower expression of the gene at the mRNA level: (**A**)  $\Delta$ Ct of *RPL5* in multiple myeloma patients healthy donors (*p* = 0.0033) and (**B**)  $\Delta$ Ct of *POMP* in sensitive and refractory to bortezomib MM patients (0.0062).

mRNA	$\Delta Ct$ Refractory (N = 43) Mean $\pm$ SD	$\Delta$ Ct Sensitive (N = 30) Mean $\pm$ SD	FC	<i>p</i> -Value	FWER
ABCB1	$7.58 \pm 1.02$	$7.50\pm0.98$	0.95	0.7384	1.0000
CXCR4	$3.75\pm0.70$	$3.95\pm0.96$	1.15	0.3438	1.0000
MAF	$7.70 \pm 1.12$	$7.82 \pm 1.03$	1.09	0.6516	1.0000
MARCKS	$5.79\pm0.70$	$6.27\pm0.92$	1.40	0.0190	0.1522
POMP	$4.94\pm0.57$	$5.48 \pm 0.67$	1.45	0.0007	0.0062
PSMB5	$6.84\pm0.70$	$7.12\pm0.87$	1.22	0.1421	0.8523
RPL5	$2.69\pm0.87$	$2.78\pm0.75$	1.06	0.6622	1.0000
TXN	$3.35\pm0.72$	$3.55\pm0.77$	1.15	0.2676	1.0000
XBP1	$3.08\pm0.84$	$3.51\pm0.97$	1.35	0.0537	0.3759

**Table 3.** mRNA expression in MM patients sensitive and refractory to bortezomib-based chemotherapy. The higher  $\Delta$ Ct value represents the lower expression of gene at mRNA level.

Abbreviations: MM-multiple myeloma; FC - fold change; FWER-family-wise error rate.

Overall, data on progression free survival (PFS) were available for 49 patients and data on overall survival (OS) for 56 patients. The median PFS was 14.4 months and the median OS was 29.0 months. Univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was conducted to determine the prognostic value of the quantified mRNA expression; the results indicated that in MM patients, higher expression of *CXCR4*, *MARCKS*, *POMP*, *PSMB5*, *TXN*, and *XBP1* was significantly correlated with shorter PFS (Table 4, Figure 3). Univariate analysis found higher expression of *POMP* and *RPL5* to be associated with shorter OS in MM patients (Figure 4). In addition, the only clinical variable that was related to PFS and OS was the use of autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) during the treatment schedule (Figure 5).

The PFS analyses included 12 cases of missing data. Therefore, to check its robustness, we repeated the univariate Cox regressions, including seven of the missing cases for which OS time was known. The analysis yielded similar hazard ratios and *p*-values as before, and the previously significant mRNAs maintained their significance (Table S4).

To further investigate the prognostic factors, multivariate analyses were carried out using Cox's proportional hazards regression model with a stepwise selection procedure. As ASCT was the only significant clinical variable in our univariate analyses with proven prognostic significance, it was entered as covariate in the multivariable model. The results found high expression of *PSMB5* and *CXCR* and the presence of ASCT to be the best independent predictors of PFS (Table 5). Multivariate analysis of OS found high expression of *POMP* and *RPL5* to be associated with shorter survival.

		]	PFS					OS		
Variables	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value	HR	95% CI					95% CI	
				Lower	Upper	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value	HR	Lower	Upper
ABCB1 expression (high vs. low)	-0.248	0.2716	0.609	0.252	1.474	-0.226	0.2950	0.637	0.273	1.482
CXCR4 expression (high vs. low)	0.571	0.0327	3.134	1.099	8.940	0.272	0.2865	1.722	0.634	4.679
MAF expression (high vs. low)	0.261	0.1348	1.685	0.850	3.336	0.390	0.2968	2.183	0.504	9.464
MARCKS expression (high vs. low)	0.594	0.0018	3.281	1.559	6.907	-0.343	0.1115	0.504	0.217	1.172
POMP expression (high vs. low)	0.409	0.0236	2.266	1.116	4.601	0.573	0.0108	3.144	1.303	7.585
PSMB5 expression (high vs. low)	0.476	0.0088	2.591	1.271	5.280	0.348	0.1497	2.004	0.778	5.158
RPL5 expression (high vs. low)	-0.137	0.4206	0.760	0.389	1.483	0.641	0.0035	3.607	1.526	8.524
TXN expression (high vs. low)	0.394	0.0290	2.198	1.084	4.456	0.298	0.1683	1.813	0.778	4.228
XBP1 expression (high vs. low)	0.479	0.0099	2.605	1.259	5.389	0.270	0.2091	1.715	0.739	3.981
Age	0.006	0.7070	1.006	0.975	1.038	0.037	0.1281	1.038	0.989	1.089
ASCT										
No Yes	Reference -0.487	0.0089	0.378	0.182	0.783	Reference -0.624	0.0157	0.287	0.104	0.790
Bone involvement at diagnosis										
No	Reference					Reference				
Yes	0.303	0.1043	1.832	0.882	3.805	0.309	0.1932	1.856	0.731	4.709
Calcium > 2.75 mmol/L at diagnosis										
No	Reference					Reference				
Yes	0.374	0.0929	2.112	0.883	5.052	-0.089	0.7501	0.837	0.281	2.495
CRP >5 mg/L										
No	Reference	0 (100	1 00 4	0 5 ( 2	2 ( ( 2	Reference	0.0/07	0.000	0.150	1.054
Yes	0.101	0.6100	1.224	0.563	2.663	-0.461	0.0637	0.398	0.150	1.054
HB < 10  g/dL at diagnosis	D (					D (				
No	Reference	0 (242	1 202	0.57(	2 505	Reference	0.0709	1 010	0.400	2 520
1es	0.092	0.6243	1.202	0.576	2.505	0.009	0.9698	1.018	0.409	2.530
ISS I	Reference	a a <b>-</b> aa				Reference	0.0000	4	0.470	= = < =
155 II 195 III	-0.682	0.0590	0.375	0.124	1.134	0.030	0.9389	1.828	0.460	7.267
135 111	0.383	0.1394	1.009	0.309	2.320	0.344	0.0004	3.030	1.055	9.021
Creatinine > 2 mg/dL at diagnosis	Defense					D . (				
INO Ves	_0 396	0 1952	0.453	0.136	1 502	_0 253	0 4984	0.603	0 140	2 606
	0.370	0.1752	0.455	0.150	1.502	0.233	0.1701	0.005	0.140	2.000
LDH >240U/L	Deferrer					Deferrer				
Yes	0.188	0.4221	1.457	0.581	3.651	0.411	0.1526	2,277	0.737	7.032
Condor										
F	Reference	0 1008	0 564	0 284	1 1 1 8	Reference				
M	-0.287	0.1000	0.001	0.201	1.110	0.352	0.1583	2.022	0.760	5.376

Table 4. Univariate Cox regression analyses for progression-free survival and overall survival.

Abbreviations: ASCT—autologous stem cell transplantation; CRP- c-reactive protein; CXCR-4—C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; FWER—family-wise error rate: HB—hemoglobin; ISS—International scoring system; LDH—lactate dehydrogenase; MM—multiple myeloma; OS—overall survival; PFS—progression free survival.



**Figure 3.** Kaplan–Meier plots for each of the significant mRNAs in the univariate analyses for PFS: (**A**) *CXCR4*, (**B**) *MARCKS*, (**C**) *POMP*, (**D**) *PSMB5*, (**E**) *TXN*, (**F**) *XBP1*.



Figure 4. Kaplan–Meier plots for each of the significant mRNAs in the univariate analyses for OS: (A) POMP, (B) RPL5.



Figure 5. Kaplan–Meier plots for ASCT in the univariate analyses for (A) PFS and (B) OS.

	PFS							
Variables	Coofficient	n-Vəluo	ЦD	95% CI				
	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	пк	Lower	Upper			
PSMB5 expression (high vs. low)	0.386	0.0451	2.164	1.017	4.603			
CXCR expression (high vs. low)	0.748	0.0073	4.465	1.496	13.320			
ASCT								
No	Reference							
Yes	-0.612	0.0024	0.294	0.133	0.649			
Variables			OS					
POMP expression (high vs. low)	0.523	0.0258	2.849	1.135	7.148			
RPL5 expression (high vs. low)	0.664	0.0026	3.777	1.591	8.963			

Table 5. Final multivariate Cox regression analyses for PFS and OS of MM patients.

Abbreviations: ASCT—autologous stem cell transplantation; HR—hazard ratio; MM—multiple myeloma; OS—overall survival; PFS—progression free survival.

We repeated our analyses with mRNA expression as a continuous variable (Table S5). In the univariate analyses, *PSMB5* and *CXCR4* lost their significance. In the next step, multivariate proportional hazard regression was performed with a stepwise selection procedure (Model 2, Table S6). An approach based on dichotomized variables yielded a model with a better fit to the data and with a lower AIC value.

#### 3. Discussion

The study comprehensively determined the mRNA expression of nine genes that may affect resistance in 73 MM patients treated with bortezomib-based regimens and 11 healthy volunteers: ABCB1, CXCR4, MAF, MARCKS, POMP, PSMB5, RPL5, TXN, and XBP1. The genes were selected on the basis of previous laboratory and clinical studies investigating the bortezomib resistance in MM patients [17–21,25]. For the present study, it was decided to evaluate the gene expression using whole-blood samples, as this is an easier procedure to perform in clinical practice than preliminary PC isolation. However, standardization of mRNA expression profiling after cytometric isolation of specific population of cells may be technically challenging because of the variability of material quality, cell number, and other factors important at this experimental scale (cell cycle, mutation profile, clonicity etc.). Moreover, designing a model based only on a selected population of cells could downplay the interactions between the cells and the immune system, as well as other unforeseeable effects. Restricting the analysis to a cell subset would therefore potentially result in a potentially, very accurate test if cells are isolated correctly, but not sufficiently robust to use in different settings, with different technical tools and in varying clinical scenarios. Although a functional analysis of how these genes change their activity within cells during different phases of treatment would be an exciting study to perform, it would likely require a different experimental model, cell cultures, and in-depth mechanistic evaluations far exceeding the scope of this survival-oriented analysis. A similar method but based only on leukocytes in peripheral blood, not whole blood, was recently used by Watanabe et al. in evaluating the novel biomarkers to predict bortezomib response in MM patients [26].

According to the differential expression analysis, *RPL5* gene was the only gene that was significantly down-regulated in MM patients compared to the normal individuals; however, higher *RPL5* expression correlated with shorter survival in MM patients. *RPL5* has also been found to be deleted in 20–40% of MM patients, and it is the only recurrently mutated ribosomal protein gene in MM [27,28].

In addition, *RPL5* mRNA expression level was proposed as a clinical biomarker for response to bortezomib in MM patients; Hofman et al. [28] reported significantly lower *RPL5* mRNA expression in patients with MM who initially responded to bortezomib and then relapsed, and both newly diagnosed and relapsed patients with low *RPL5* expression had better PFS when bortezomib was used in their treatment. In addition, they reported an

association between low *RPL5* mRNA levels and initial response to bortezomib in relapsed MM patients. *RPL5* expression has also been associated with shorter survival in newly diagnosed patients [28].

In our study, *POMP* gene was significantly up-regulated in MM patients refractory to bortezomib-based treatment in comparison with bortezomib-sensitive patients. Higher expression of *POMP* was found to be associated with shorter survival: POMP protein expression is essential for the biogenesis of proteasome de novo and its increased expression facilitates acquired resistance to PI [16]. An increase in POMP protein expression has also been noted in V10R, RPMI 8226, OPM-2, ANBL-6, and KAS-6/1 MM cells resistant to bortezomib [16,29]. Similarly to the present study, POMP protein suppression via shRNAs restored cell sensitivity, while over-expression favored resistance.

A protein-binding site for a suppressive factor, NRF2, has also been identified in the promoter region of the POMP protein. Although its increased expression should increase sensitivity to bortezomib, expression of POMP has been found to be increased in resistant cells, together with increased levels of POMP protein. The activation of both proteins varies according to cell line, and POMP appeared to have a greater effect on bortezomib sensitivity in the KAS-6/1 than OPM-2 line [30].

In the MM patients in the present study, univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis found the expression of six of the nine studies genes, viz. PSMB5, CXCR4, MARCKS, POMP, TXN, and XBP1, to significantly correlate with PFS. In addition, the multivariate analysis found high expression of *PSMB5*, *CXCR*, and *ASCT* to be the best independent predictors of PFS. Proteasome subunit  $\beta$  type 5 (PSMB5) is the target for bortezomib and other PI inhibitors that harbor chymotrypsin-like proteolytic activity [31]. Bortezomib occupies the PSMB5 substrate-binding pocket, interfering with the catalytic N-terminal threonine residue. Apart from β5 point mutations, the most frequent change observed in the bortezomib-resistant cell lines was overexpression of the  $\beta 5$  subunit [21,32–34]. A recent study by Barrio et al. identified somatic PSMB5 substitutions in an MM patient treated with bortezomib, suggesting that resistance acquired through PSMB5 point mutations is clinically relevant [21]. Recently, in KMS-18 and KMS-27 MM cells, the PSMB5 gene was found to harbor novel bortezomib resistance alleles which determine response to second-generation proteasome inhibitors in MM [35]. In addition, PSMB5 deletion resensitized drug-resistant, PSMB5-mutated cell lines to bortezomib, suggesting that PSMB5 mutation plays a role in drug resistance [36].

Our findings indicated that higher *CXCR4* expression correlated with shorter PFS. CXCR4 is a pleiotropic chemokine receptor which acts through its ligand (CXCL12) and influences proliferation, invasion, dissemination, and drug resistance in MM [37,38]. The current therapeutic focus is on disrupting the interaction of MM cells with their protective tumor microenvironment, in which the CXCR4 axis plays an essential role [39]. In contrast to our present study, reduced expression of CXCR4, a single biomarker in the Bcl-XL/Myc model system, has indicated poorer outcomes in MM patients treated with bortezomib [40]. In addition, low CXCR4 expression was associated with a worse outcome than high CXCR4 expression, and correlated with increased MM severity and aggressiveness in patients treated with bortezomib, either alone or in combination with other agents [18,40].

The univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis found that the higher expression of *MARCKS*, *TXN*, and *XBP1* significantly correlated with shorter PFS in MM patients. Another marker of PI resistance is MARCKS. This protein is important in cell adhesion and metastatic spread [41] and is involved in resistance to apoptosis in prostate cancer cells [16]. Its expression is significantly elevated in many types of cancer [42]. Micallef et al. reported overexpression of MARCKS in nine of 18 (50%) studied MM cell lines [43]; in addition, in line with our present findings, Yang et al. reported increased MARCKS expression in bortezomib-refractory MM patients, as well as increased bortezomib sensitivity in bortezomib-resistant MM cells following inhibition of MARCKS phosphorylation [44]. Similar effects were achieved in an MM xenograft model [45].

A key role in bortezomib resistance is played by the increased expression of proteasomes and proteins involved in providing protection from oxidative stress, such as thioredoxin (TXN) [46]. Our findings indicate that higher expression of TXN correlates with shorter PFS. Previous studies have also found TXN to be overexpressed in primary myeloma cells isolated from bortezomib-resistant MM patients, and that overexpression of TXN correlated with poor overall survival in patients with MM [46]. In bortezomibresistant myeloma cell lines, TXN inhibition overcomes adaptive bortezomib resistance [47]. In addition, higher TXN1 expression levels were found to correlate with myeloma cell survival and growth, and to protect MM cells against increased intrinsic oxidative stress [48]. Moreover, inhibition of TXN1 leads to apoptosis in drug-resistant MM.

Another gene whose high expression significantly correlated with shorter PFS in MM patients is *XBP1*, coding for X-box-binding protein 1. The XBP1 protein is an important transcription factor necessary for differentiation of B cells into plasma cells, being responsible for the final maturation of plasmablasts to plasmocytes and the induction of immunoglobulin secretion [49]. XBP1 is also a particularly important regulator in the UPR mechanism. It is spliced into two isoforms. One isoform, XBPs1s, activates the genes necessary to reduce ER stress and UPR activation after penetration into the cell nucleus. XBP1 may have a significant impact on resistance to bortezomib in MM cells. Low expression of *XBPS1* has been associated with a lack of sensitivity to PI treatment [50]. Two point mutations in the *XBP1* gene have been identified to date [49,51]: the first, XBP1-L167I, is located within the splice site of the *XBP1* gene, and has been shown to prevent the XBP1 mRNA splicing process needed to form the active XBP1s protein, while the second, *XBP1s*-P326R, is located within the transactivation domain of the XBP1s molecule and has no effect on the splicing process. Cells displaying one of the described mutations lose their sensitivity to bortezomib, inducing disease resistance [52].

In conclusion, our results suggest that high expression of *PSMB5* and *CXCR* may serve as predictors of PFS in MM patients treated with bortezomib-based regimens. In addition, high expression of *POMP* and *RPL5* can be useful to predict shorter survival of these patients. However, further studies are needed to determine the role of these factors in effective strategy for improving anti-myeloma therapy.

## 4. Materials and Methods

## 4.1. Patients

The patients were recruited prospectively in our institution (Department of Hematology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Lodz, Poland) as a part of a planned marker study. The main exclusion criterion was using bortezomib-based therapy prior to the study. The main inclusion criteria were diagnosis of multiple myeloma according to International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) criteria and planned treatment with a bortezomib-based regimen [53]. A total of 73 MM patients (43 men and 30 women) treated were included. The mean age of the group was  $61.9 \pm 10.8$  years (range: 38.2 to 83.7 years). Their demographic, clinical, and laboratory details are shown in Table 1. All of the patients received bortezomib treatment as first-line treatment or in progression after previous therapy. The participants were classified as either bortezomib-sensitive or bortezomib-refractory, as previously reported, according to their response to bortezomib-based therapy [12,54]. Response to treatment and relapse/progression events were classified according to the IMWG [55,56].

The bortezomib-sensitive patients demonstrated CR, VGPR, or PR lasting longer than six months following discontinuation of bortezomib-based therapies [56–58]. In total, 30 patients were bortezomib refractory and 43 were bortezomib sensitive with no progression for at least six months of treatment discontinuation. The control group consisted of 11 healthy volunteers (six women and five men; mean age  $61.9 \pm 10.8$  years; range: 38.2–83.7 years). The study was conducted according to good clinical and laboratory practice. The experimental protocol was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures were approved by the local ethical committee (The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz, No RNN/103/16/KE). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

## 4.2. Blood Collection

Peripheral blood was collected in PAXgene Blood RNA Tubes (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) from 73 multiple myeloma patients and 11 healthy volunteers and stored frozen at -80 °C. Venous blood samples were collected from MM patients, before treatment with bortezomib-based regimens, most commonly on the first day of the bortezomib administration. In previously treated MM patients, blood was collected at the time of progression, during the qualification process for commencement of a new therapy line.

#### 4.3. The Analysis of Gene Expression Using Real-Time PCR

## 4.3.1. Isolation of Total RNA

Frozen blood samples were thawed on ice and total RNA was isolated from 1.5 mL of blood using the QIAamp RNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The final elution of total RNA was performed using 50  $\mu$ L of RNase-free water. Total RNA quality was determined using the High Sensitivity RNA Screen Tape on a 2200 TapeStation bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The degradation rate of RNA was determined using RNA integrity number (RIN). Only the samples with RIN > 7 were further analyzed. The quantity of RNA was measured using NanoVue Plus Spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, USA. Directly after isolation, RNA was used for the reverse transcription process.

#### 4.3.2. Reverse Transcription Reaction

The reverse transcription was performed using the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA,) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The total volume of reverse transcription mix was 20  $\mu$ L per reaction, containing 2  $\mu$ L RT buffer (10X), 0.8  $\mu$ L dNTP mixture (100 mM of each dNTP), 2  $\mu$ L random primers (10X), 1  $\mu$ L RNase inhibitor (20 U/ $\mu$ L), 1  $\mu$ L MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (50 U/ $\mu$ L), and 10  $\mu$ L RNA template, whereby the reagent mix was prepared on ice. The thermal profile of the reverse transcriptase inactivation at 85 °C, and cooling down to 4 °C. Total amount of 100 ng of RNA was used as a sample input per 20  $\mu$ L of reverse transcription reaction. All reactions were performed in a 96-well SureCycler 8800 thermal cycler (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The resulting cDNA was stored at -20 °C.

#### 4.3.3. Selection of Reference Genes

A reference gene provides the internal control of the reaction and allows to determine the absolute and reliable value of the studied gene expression using real-time PCR. In order to normalize the variations in sample input for relative quantitation of gene expression, the selection of endogenous control genes was performed using the TaqMan<sup>™</sup> Array Human Endogenous Control (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

The analysis was performed for six total RNA samples isolated from whole blood of MM patients, according to the manufacturer's protocol.

The stability of mRNAs was measured by NormiRazor [59]. This is an integrative tool which implements existing normalization algorithms (geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper) in a parallel manner. Three reference genes were selected by NormiRazor and TaqMan<sup>™</sup> probes ((Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) ACTB (Assay ID: Hs99999903\_m1), RPLP0 (Assay ID: Hs99999902\_m1), MT-ATP6 (Assay ID: Hs02596862\_g1) and their average expression was used as reference.

## 4.3.4. Real-Time PCR

The expression of nine genes was analyzed in all samples: *ABCB1*, *CXCR4*, *MAF*, *MARCKS*, *POMP*, *PSMB5*, *RPL5*, *TXN*, and *XBP1*. The analysis was performed using commercially available ready-to-use TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Assays (Applied Biosystems- Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). These were preloaded with a probe labeled with 6-FAM<sup>™</sup> dye (emission spectra at ~517 nm) and forward and reverse primers for the amplification of the following genes: *ABCB1* (Assay ID: Hs00184500\_m1), *CXCR4* (Assay ID: Hs00976734\_m1), *MAF* (Assay ID: Hs00193519\_m1), *MARCKS* (Assay ID: Hs00158993\_m1), *POMP* (Assay ID: Hs01106088\_m1), *PSMB5* (Assay ID: Hs00605652\_m1, *RPL5* (Assay ID: Hs00851991\_u1), *TXN* (Hs00828652\_m1), *XBP1* (Assay ID: Hs00231936\_m1).

The PCR mixture consisted of 10  $\mu$ L of 2X TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> Genotyping Master Mix (Aplaied Biosystems-Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 1  $\mu$ L of appropriate 20X TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Assay, and 1  $\mu$ L of cDNA template. The mixture was filled up with a distilled, DNase-and RNase-free water (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) to a final volume of 20  $\mu$ L. The analysis was carried out using the TOptical thermal cycler (Analytik, Jena, Germany). The reactions were performed under the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 amplification cycles of denaturation (95 °C, 15 s), a single annealing and extension step (60 °C for 1 min). Fluorescence signal detection was performed after each cycle. Gene expression analysis was performed for each sample in duplicates. Absolute quantification analysis was performed using qPCR Soft 3.1.15.0 (Analytik, Jena, Germany).

## 4.4. Statistical Analysis

## 4.4.1. Data Preparation

Data were normalized based on the mean expression of three mRNAs in a given sample (ACTB, RPLP0, MT-ATP6); this has proved to be the most stable normalization factor (according to NormiRazor). The normalized Ct values were calculated as:

Normalized  $\Delta Ct = Ct mRNA - (mean Ct of ACTB, RPLP0 and MT-ATP6)$ 

Normalized  $\Delta$ Ct values for all samples and with class assignments were provided as Table S7.

#### 4.4.2. Analysis

Nominal variables were expressed as percentages and analyzed using the Chi-square test with appropriate corrections if needed: the Yates correction for continuity or Fisher's exact test.

For continuous variables, normally distributed data were tested using a two-sided independent Student's *t*-test. Continuous variables were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or medians with 25% to 75% values according to the data distribution. Survival analysis was conducted using a Kaplan–Meier estimate with univariate and multivariate Cox's proportional hazards models, as well as the log-rank test. Cutoff Finder was used to determine the optimal cutpoint for gene expression dichotomization based on the log-rank test minimum *p*-value approach [60]. A procedure based on stratification of a continuous biomarker variable into two groups seems appropriate for use in clinics where most of the decisions are binary. Although such cutoffs are usually based on the mean or median value of the diagnostic factor, they can also be set based on the distribution of the variable or by optimizing the correlation with response to a treatment or outcome. A common problem in biomarker research is overestimating the actual effect when multiple cutoff points are investigated with no correction for multiple testing. The advantage of Cutoff Finder is that it determines the robustness of particular cutoff points and estimates the effect size with confidence intervals [60].

All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica Version 13.1 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and R programming language (version 4.0.2). *p* values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. To control the family-wise error rate (FWER), the

significant genes were chosen at 5% using Holm's step-down method. FWER was used to insure a low probability of any false positives among the differentially expressed mRNA.

## 5. Conclusions

The present study examined the mRNA expression of nine genes with a possible influence on bortezomib sensitivity and refractoriness in MM, viz., *ABCB1*, *CXCR4*, *MAF*, *MARCKS*, *POMP*, *PSMB5*, *RPL5*, *TXN*, and *XBP1*. Of these, *RPL5* was down-regulated in MM patients as compared with the normal individuals. *POMP* was significantly upregulated in MM patients refractory to bortezomib-based treatment. Multivariate analysis found that high expression of *PSMB5* and *CXCR* and autologous stem cell transplantation were the best independent predictors of PFS, and that high expression of *POMP* and *RPL5* were associated with shorter survival. The clinical and biological importance of these findings need further investigation.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/2072-669 4/13/5/951/s1. Supplementary Table S1: ABCB1, CXCR4, MAF, MARCKS, POMP, PSMB5, RPL5, TXN, and XBP1 mRNA expression in MM patients with complete remission (CR) to bortezomibbased chemotherapy and those without. Supplementary Table S2: ABCB1, CXCR4, MAF, MARCKS, POMP, PSMB5, RPL5, TXN, and XBP1 mRNA expression in MM patients with at least very good partial response (VGPR), partial response, stable disease, or disease progression (<VGPR) after bortezomib-based treatment. No difference was found between the two groups. Supplementary Table S3: mRNA expression in treatment-naive and previously treated MM patients. A higher  $\Delta$ Ct value represents lower expression of the gene at the mRNA level. Supplementary Table S4: Univariate Cox regression analyses for progression-free survival with missing data (n = 7) replaced by overall survival. Supplementary Table S5: Univariate Cox regression analyses for progression-free survival and overall survival- mRNAs expression as continuous variables. Supplementary Table S6: Comparison of final Cox regression of multivariate models based on dichotomized variables (model 1) and continuous variables (model 2). Supplementary Table S7: Normalized  $\Delta$ Ct of mRNA expression for all samples and with class assignments. Supplementary Figure S1: Kaplan-Meier plots for previous treatment and treatment-naïve groups in the univariate analysis for PFS.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.R., I.D., J.S. and T.R.; methodology, P.R., I.D., D.J., D.M., A.K., M.M., K.S., W.F., J.S., P.S. and T.R.; software, P.R., D.J., D.M., A.K., W.F., J.S., P.S.; validation P.R., D.M. and T.R.; formal analysis, P.R., D.M., K.S.; W.F. D.J. and T.R.; investigation P.R., D.J., M.M.; E.W.; A.K. and I.D.; resources, T.R.; P.R. and J.S; data curation, P.R., I.D., D.M.; writing— P.R., I.D., D.J., D.M. and T.R.; writing—review and editing, P.R., I.D., D.J., D.M. P.S., J.S. E.W. and T.R.; visualization, P.R., D.J., D.M. and T.R.; supervision, T.R.; project administration, T.R.; P.R. and I.D.; funding investigation, T.R.; P.R. and I.D.; resources, P.R.; I.D. and T.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the NCN (2016/23/B/NZ5/02529).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The experimental protocol was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures were approved by the local ethical committee (The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz, No RNN/103/16/KE).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data is contained within the article or supplementary material are available according to "MDPI Research Data Policies" at https://www.mdpi.com/journal/cancers/ instructions#suppmaterials. Normalized  $\Delta$ Ct of mRNA expression for all samples with class assignments are provided in Supplementary Table S7.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Edward Lowczowski from the Medical University of Lodz for editorial assistance and Andrzej Berut from Bionanopark, Lodz for administrative support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## Abbreviations

ABCB1	Adenosine-triphosphate-binding cassette sub-family B member 1
ACTB	beta-actin gene
ASCT	autologous stem cell transplantation
BM	bone marrow
CXCR-4	C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4
DLBCL	diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
ECM	extracellular matrix
FWER	family-wise error rate
IPO8	Importin 8 gene
IsaRVD	isatuximab, lenalidomide, bortezomib, dexamethasone
MAF	musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma
MARCKS	myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate
MM	multiple myeloma
MT-ATP6	mitochondrially Encoded ATP Synthase Membrane Subunit 6 gene
NRF2	nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2
NF-ĸB	nuclear factor kappa B
OS	overall survival
PC	plasma cells
POMP	proteasome maturation protein
PFS	progression free survival
PI	proteasome inhibitor
PSMB5	proteasome subunit β type 5
RPLP0	Ribosomal Protein Lateral Stalk Subunit P0 gene
RPL5	ribosomal protein L5
UPR	unfolded protein response
TXN	thioredoxin
VCD	bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone
VD	bortezomib and dexamethasone
VMP	bortezomib, melphalan and prednisone.
VTD	bortezomib, thalidomide, dexamethasone
TXN	thioredoxin
XBP1	X-box binding protein 1

#### References

- 1. International Myeloma Working Group. Criteria for the classification of monoclonal gammopathies, multiple myeloma and related disorders: A report of the International Myeloma Working Group. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2003**, 121, 749–757. [CrossRef]
- 2. Palumbo, A.; Anderson, K. Multiple Myeloma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2011, 364, 1046–1060. [CrossRef]
- 3. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2020, 70, 7–30. [CrossRef]
- 4. Gandolfi, S.; Laubach, J.P.; Hideshima, T.; Chauhan, D.; Anderson, K.C.; Richardson, P.G. The proteasome and proteasome inhibitors in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **2017**, *36*, 561–584. [CrossRef]
- 5. Robak, P.; Robak, T. Bortezomib for the treatment of hematologic malignancies: 15 years later. *Drugs R D* 2019, *19*, 73–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mofers, A.; Selvaraju, K.; Gubat, J.; D'Arcy, P.; Linder, S. Identification of proteasome inhibitors using analysis of gene expression profiles. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2020, 889, 173709. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Okazuka, K.; Ishida, T. Proteasome inhibitors for multiple myeloma. Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 48, 785–793. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Robak, P.; Drozdz, I.; Szemraj, J.; Robak, T. Drug resistance in multiple myeloma. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2018, 70, 199–208. [CrossRef]
- San Miguel, J.F.; Schlag, R.; Khuageva, N.K.; Dimopoulos, M.A.; Shpilberg, O.; Kropff, M.; Spicka, I.; Petrucci, M.T.; Palumbo, A.; Samoilova, O.S.; et al. Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 359, 906–917. [CrossRef]
- 10. Niewert, D.; Jansen, G.; Assaraf, Y.G.; Zweegman, S.; Kaspers, G.J.; Cloos, J. Molecular basis of resistance to proteasome inhibitors in hematological malignancies. *Drug Resist Updat.* **2015**, *18*, 18–35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, S.; Yang, J.; Song, X.; Gong, S.; Zhou, H.; Guo, L.; Song, N.; Bao, X.; Chen, P.; Wang, J. Point mutation of the proteasome beta5 subunit gene is an important mechanism of bortezomib resistance in bortezomib-selected variants of Jurkat T cell lymphoblastic lymphoma/leukemia line. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2008, 326, 423–431. [CrossRef]

- Robak, P.; Dróżdż, I.; Jarych, D.; Mikulski, D.; Węgłowska, E.; Siemieniuk-Ryś, M.; Misiewicz, M.; Stawiski, K.; Fendler, W.; Szemraj, J.; et al. The value of serum microRNA expression signature in predicting refractoriness to bortezomib-based therapy in multiple myeloma patients. *Cancers* 2020, *12*, 2569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Lü, S.; Yang, J.; Chen, Z.; Gong, S.; Zhou, H.; Xu, X.; Wang, J. Different mutants of PSMB5 confer varying bortezomib resistance in T lymphoblastic lymphoma/leukemia cells derived from the Jurkat cell line. *Exp. Hematol.* **2009**, *37*, 831–837. [CrossRef]
- 14. Brünnert, D.; Kraus, M.; Stühmer, T.; Kirner, S.; Heiden, R.; Goyal, P.; Driessen, C.; Bargou, R.C.; Chatterjee, M. Novel cell line models to study mechanisms and overcoming strategies of proteasome inhibitor resistance in multiple myeloma. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* **2019**, *1865*, 1666–1676. [CrossRef]
- Ikeda, H.; Ishiguro, K.; Igarashi, T.; Aoki, Y.; Hayashi, T.; Ishida, T.; Sasaki, Y.; Tokino, T.; Shinomura, Y. Molecular diagnostics of a single drug-resistant multiple myeloma case using targeted next-generation sequencing. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2015, *8*, 2805–2815. [CrossRef]
- 16. Murray, M.Y.; Auger, M.J.; Bowles, K.M. Overcoming bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2014**, *42*, 804–808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Besse, A.; Stolze, S.C.; Rasche, L.; Weinhold, N.; Morgan, G.J.; Kraus, M.; Bader, J.; Overkleeft, H.S.; Besse, L.; Driessen, C. Carfilzomib resistance due to ABCB1/MDR1 overexpression is overcome by nelfinavir and lopinavir in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* **2018**, *32*, 391–401. [CrossRef]
- Mulligan, G.; Mitsiades, C.; Bryant, B.; Zhan, F.; Chng, W.J.; Roels, S.; Koenig, E.; Fergus, A.; Huang, Y.; Richardson, P.; et al. Gene expression profiling and correlation with outcome in clinical trials of the proteasomeinhibitor bortezomib. *Blood* 2007, 109, 3177–3188. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nian, F.; Zhu, J.; Chang, H. Long non-coding RNA ANGPTL1-3 promotes multiple myeloma bortezomib resistance by sponging miR-30a-3p to activate c-Maf expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2019**, *514*, 1140–1146. [CrossRef]
- Oerlemans, R.; Franke, N.E.; Assaraf, Y.G.; Cloos, J.; Van Zantwijk, I.; Berkers, C.R.; Scheffer, G.L.; Debipersad, K.; Vojtekova, K.; Lemos, C.; et al. Molecular basis of bortezomib resistance: Proteasome subunit beta5 (PSMB5) gene mutation and overexpression of PSMB5 protein. *Blood* 2008, 112, 2489–2499. [CrossRef]
- 21. Barrio, S.; Stühmer, T.; Da-Viá, M.; Barrio-Garcia, C.; Lehners, N.; Besse, A.; Cuenca, I.; Garitano-Trojaola, A.; Fink, S.; Leich, E.; et al. Spectrum and functional validation of PSMB5 mutations in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 2019, *33*, 447–456. [CrossRef]
- Tang, H.; Xu, L.; Cen, X.; Yang, L.; Feng, J.; Li, G.; Zhu, H.; Gao, S.; Yu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; et al. CDK5 inhibition in vitro and in vivo induces cell death in myeloma and overcomes the obstacle of bortezomib resistance. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 2020, 45, 1661–1672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, D.; Hu, J.; De Bruyne, E.; Menu, E.; Schots, R.; Vanderkerken, K.; Van Valckenborgh, E. Dll1/Notch activation contributes to bortezomib resistance by upregulating CYP1A1 in multiple myeloma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2012, 428, 518–524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kumar, S.K.; Therneau, T.M.; Gertz, M.A.; Lacy, M.Q.; Dispenzieri, A.; Rajkumar, S.V.; Fonseca, R.; Witzig, T.E.; Lust, J.A.; Larson, D.R.; et al. Clinical course of patients with relapsed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **2004**, *79*, 867–874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hawley, T.S.; Riz, I.; Yang, W.; Wakabayashi, Y.; Depalma, L.; Chang, Y.T.; Peng, W.; Zhu, J.; Hawley, R.G. Identification of an ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein)-positive carfilzomib-resistant myeloma subpopula-tion by the pluripotent stem cell fluorescent dye CDy1. *Am. J. Hematol.* 2013, *88*, 265–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Watanabe, T.; Mitsuhashi, M.; Sagawa, M.; Ri, M.; Suzuki, K.; Abe, M.; Ohmachi, K.; Nakagawa, Y.; Nakamura, S.; Chosa, M.; et al. Lipopolysaccharide-Induced CXCL10 mRNA Level and Six Stimulant-mRNA Combinations in Whole Blood: Novel Biomarkers for Bortezomib Responses Obtained from a Prospective Multicenter Trial for Patients with Multiple Myeloma. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0128662. [CrossRef]
- Lohr, J.G.; Stojanov, P.; Carter, S.L.; Cruz-Gordillo, P.; Lawrence, M.S.; Auclair, D.; Sougnez, C.; Knoechel, B.; Gould, J.; Saksena, G.; et al. Widespread Genetic Heterogeneity in Multiple Myeloma: Implications for targeted therapy. *Cancer Cell* 2014, 25, 91–101. [CrossRef]
- Hofman, I.J.F.; Patchett, S.; Van Duin, M.; Geerdens, E.; Verbeeck, J.; Michaux, L.; Delforge, M.; Sonneveld, P.; Johnson, A.W.; De Keersmaecker, K. Low frequency mutations in ribosomal proteins RPL10 and RPL5 in multiple myeloma. *Haematologica* 2017, 102, e317–e320. [CrossRef]
- Li, B.; Wang, H.; Orlowski, R.Z. Proteasome maturation protein POMP is associated with proteasome inhibitor resistance in myeloma, and its suppression enhances the activity of bortezomib and carfilzomib. American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting Abstracts. *Blood* 2013, 122 (Suppl. 1), 280. [CrossRef]
- Li, B.; Fu, J.; Chen, P.; Ge, X.; Li, Y.; Kuiatse, I.; Wang, H.; Wang, H.; Zhang, X.; Orlowski, R.Z. The nuclear factor (Erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 and proteasome maturation protein axis mediate bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2015, 290, 29854–29868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Unno, M.; Mizushima, T.; Morimoto, Y.; Tomisugi, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Yasuoka, N.; Tsukihara, T. The structure of the mammalian 20S proteasome at 2.75 A resolution. *Structure* **2002**, *10*, 609–618. [CrossRef]
- 32. Balsas, P.; Galan-Malo, P.; Marzo, I.; Naval, J. Bortezomib resistance in a myeloma cell line is associated to PSM \_5 overexpression and polyploidy. *Leuk. Res.* 2012, *36*, 212–218. [CrossRef]

- Kuhn, D.J.; Berkova, Z.; Jones, R.J.; Woessner, R.; Bjorklund, C.C.; Ma, W.; Davis, R.E.; Lin, P.; Wang, H.; Madden, T.L.; et al. Targeting the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor to overcome bortezomib resistance in preclinical models of multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2012, 120, 3260–3270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Verbrugge, S.E.; Assaraf, Y.G.; Dijkmans, B.A.; Scheffer, G.L.; Al, M.; Den Uyl, D.; Oerlemans, R.; Chan, E.T.; Kirk, C.J.; Peters, G.J.; et al. Inactivating PSMB5 mutations and P-glycoprotein (multidrug resistance-associated protein/ATP-binding cassette B1) mediate resistance to proteasome inhibitors: Ex vivo efficacy of (immuno)proteasome inhibitors in mononuclear blood cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2012, 341, 174–182.
- 35. Allmeroth, K.; Horn, M.; Kroef, V.; Miethe, S.; Müller, R.U.; Denzel, M.S. Bortezomib resistance mutations in PSMB5 determine response to second-generation proteasome inhibitors in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Shi, C.X.; Zhu, Y.X.; Bruins, L.A.; Bonolo de Campos, C.; Stewart, W.; Braggio, E.; Stewart, A.K. Proteasome subunits differentially control myeloma cell viability and proteasome inhibitor sensitivity. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **2020**, *18*, 1453–1464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Ullah, T.R. The role of CXCR4 in multiple myeloma: Cells' journey from bone marrow to beyond. *J. Bone Oncol.* **2019**, *17*, 100253. [CrossRef]
- Stessman, H.A.; Mansoor, A.; Zhan, F.; Janz, S.; Linden, M.A.; Baughn, L.B.; Van Ness, B. Reduced CXCR4 expression is associated with extramedullary disease in a mouse model of myeloma and predicts poor survival in multiple myeloma patients treated with bortezomib. *Leukemia* 2013, 27, 2075–2077. [CrossRef]
- Shaughnessy, J.D., Jr.; Qu, P.; Usmani, S.; Heuck, C.J.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Tian, E.; Hanamura, I.; van Rhee, F.; Anaissie, E.; et al. Pharmacogenomics of bortezomib test-dosing identifies hyperexpression ofproteasome genes, especially PSMD4, as novel high-risk feature in myelomatreated with total therapy. *Blood* 2011, *118*, 3512–3524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Finlayson, A.E.; Freeman, K.W. A cell motility screen reveals role for MARCKS-related protein in adherens junction formation and tumorigenesis. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e7833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Li, T.; Li, D.; Sha, J.; Sun, P.; Huang, Y. MicroRNA-21 directly targets MARCKS and promotes apoptosis resistance and invasion in prostate cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2009**, *383*, 280–285. [CrossRef]
- Niewerth, D.; Jansen, G.; Riethoff, L.F.; Van Meerloo, J.; Kale, A.J.; Moore, B.S.; Assaraf, Y.G.; Anderl, J.L.; Zweegman, S.; Kaspers, G.J.; et al. Antileukemic activity and mechanism of drug resistance to the marine Salinispora tropica proteasome inhibitor salinosporamide (Marizomib). *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2014, *86*, 12–19. [CrossRef]
- Micallef, J.; Taccone, M.; Mukherjee, J.; Croul, S.; Busby, J.; Moran, M.F.; Guha, A. Epidermal growth factor receptor variant III-induced glioma invasion is mediated through myristoylated alanine-rich protein kinase C substrate over-expression. *Cancer Res.* 2009, *69*, 7548–7556. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Hendrix, A.; Hernot, S.; Lemaire, M.; De Bruyne, E.; Van Valckenborgh, E.; Lahoutte, T.; De Wever, O.; Vanderkerken, K.; Menu, E. Bone marrow stromal cell-derived exosomes as communicators in drug resistance in multiple myeloma cells. *Blood* 2014, 124, 555–566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Saha, M.N.; Chen, J.; Evans, K.; Qiu, L.; Reece, D.; Chen, G.A.; Chang, H. Targeting phospho-MARCKS overcomes drug resistance and induces antitumor activity in preclinical models of multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 2015, 29, 715–726. [CrossRef]
- Dytfeld, D.; Luczak, M.; Wrobel, T.; Usnarska-Zubkiewicz, L.; Brzezniakiewicz, K.; Jamroziak, K.; Giannopoulos, K.; Przybylowicz-Chalecka, A.; Ratajczak, B.; Czerwinska-Rybak, J. Comparative proteomic profiling of refractory/relapsed multiple myeloma reveals biomarkers involved in resistance to bortezomib-based therapy. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 56726–56736. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zheng, Z.; Fan, S.; Zheng, J.; Huang, W.; Gasparetto, C.; Chao, N.J.; Hu, J.; Kang, Y. Inhibition of thioredoxin activates mitophagy and overcomes adaptive bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2018**, *11*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Raninga, P.V.; Di Trapani, G.; Vuckovic, S.; Bhatia, M.; Tonissen, K.F. Inhibition of thioredoxin 1 leads to apoptosis in drug-resistant multiple myeloma. *Oncotarget* 2015, *6*, 15410–15424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leung-Hagesteijn, C.; Erdmann, N.; Cheung, G.; Keats, J.J.; Stewart, A.K.; Reece, D.E.; Chung, K.C.; Tiedemann, R.E. Xbp1snegative tumor B cells and pre-plasmablasts mediate therapeutic proteasome inhibitor resistance in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Cell* 2013, 24, 289–304. [CrossRef]
- 50. Liu, N.; Liu, C.; Li, X.; Liao, S.; Song, W.; Yang, C.; Zhao, C.; Huang, H.; Guan, L.; Zhang, P.; et al. A novel proteasome inhibitor suppresses tumor growth via targeting both 19S proteasome deubiquitinases and 20S proteolytic peptidases. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 5240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Hong, S.Y.; Hagen, T. Multiple myeloma Leu167Ile (c.499C>A) mutation prevents XBP1 mRNA splicing. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2013, 161, 898–901. [CrossRef]
- 52. Nikesitch, N.; Silvia, C.; Ling, W. Molecular mechanisms in multiple myeloma drug resistance. J. Clin. Pathol. 2016, 69, 97–101. [CrossRef]
- Rajkumar, S.V.; Dimopoulos, M.A.; Palumbo, A.; Blade, J.; Merlini, G.; Mateos, M.V.; Kumar, S.; Hillengass, J.; Kastritis, E.; Richardson, P.; et al. International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014, 15, e538–e548. [CrossRef]
- Robak, P.; Węgłowska, E.; Dróżdż, I.; Mikulski, D.; Jarych, D.; Ferlińska, M.; Wawrzyniak, E.; Misiewicz, M.; Smolewski, P.; Fendler, W.; et al. Cytokine and chemokine profile in patients with multiple myeloma treated with bortezomib. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2020, 2020, 1835836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 55. Durie, B.G.; Harousseau, J.L.; Miguel, J.S.; Bladé, J.; Barlogie, B.; Anderson, K.; Gertz, M.; Dimopoulos, M.; Westin, J.; Sonneveld, P.; et al. International uniform response criteria for multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* **2006**, *20*, 1467–1473. [CrossRef]
- 56. Kyle, R.A.; Rajkumar, S.V. Criteria for diagnosis, staging, risk stratification and response assessment of multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* **2009**, *23*, 3–9. [CrossRef]
- Anderson, K.C.; Kyle, R.A.; Rajkumar, S.V.; Stewart, A.K.; Weber, D.; Richardson, P. ASH/FDA Panel on Clinical Endpoints in Multiple Myeloma. Clinically relevant end points and new drug approvals for myeloma. *Leukemia* 2008, 22, 231–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Laubach, J.P.; Mitsiades, C.S.; Mahindra, A.; Luskin, M.R.; Rosenblatt, J.; Ghobrial, I.M.; Schlossman, R.L.; Avigan, D.; Raje, N.; Munshi, N.C.; et al. Management of relapsed and relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw.* 2011, 9, 1209–1216. [CrossRef]
- 59. Grabia, S.; Smyczynska, U.; Pagacz, K.; Fendler, W. NormiRazor: Tool applying GPU-accelerated computing for determination of internal references in microRNA transcription studies. *BMC Bioinform.* **2020**, *21*, 425. [CrossRef]
- Budczies, J.; Klauschen, F.; Sinn, B.V.; Győrffy, B.; Schmitt, W.D.; Darb-Esfahani, S.; Denkert, C. Cutoff Finder: A comprehensive and straightforward Web application enabling rapid biomarker cutoff optimization. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e51862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]