# Comparative Genomics of Campylobacter fetus from **Reptiles and Mammals Reveals Divergent Evolution** in Host-Associated Lineages

Maarten J. Gilbert<sup>1</sup>, William G. Miller<sup>2</sup>, Emma Yee<sup>2</sup>, Aldert L. Zomer<sup>1,3</sup>, Linda van der Graaf-van Bloois<sup>1,3</sup>, Collette Fitzgerald<sup>4</sup>, Ken J. Forbes<sup>5</sup>, Guillaume Méric<sup>6</sup>, Samuel K. Sheppard<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Jaap A. Wagenaar<sup>1,3,9</sup>, and Birgitta Duim 1,3,\*

Accepted: June 2, 2016

## **Abstract**

Campylobacter fetus currently comprises three recognized subspecies, which display distinct host association. Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus and C. fetus subsp. venerealis are both associated with endothermic mammals, primarily ruminants, whereas C. fetus subsp. testudinum is primarily associated with ectothermic reptiles. Both C. fetus subsp. testudinum and C. fetus subsp. fetus have been associated with severe infections, often with a systemic component, in immunocompromised humans. To study the genetic factors associated with the distinct host dichotomy in C. fetus, whole-genome sequencing and comparison of mammal- and reptileassociated C. fetus was performed. The genomes of C. fetus subsp. testudinum isolated from either reptiles or humans were compared with elucidate the genetic factors associated with pathogenicity in humans. Genomic comparisons showed conservation of gene content and organization among C. fetus subspecies, but a clear distinction between mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus was observed. Several genomic regions appeared to be subspecies specific, including a putative tricarballylate catabolism pathway, exclusively present in C. fetus subsp. testudinum strains. Within C. fetus subsp. testudinum, sapA, sapB, and sapAB type strains were observed. The recombinant locus iamABC (mlaFED) was exclusively associated with invasive C. fetus subsp. testudinum strains isolated from humans. A phylogenetic reconstruction was consistent with divergent evolution in host-associated strains and the existence of a barrier to lateral gene transfer between mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus. Overall, this study shows that reptile-associated C. fetus subsp. testudinum is genetically divergent from mammal-associated C. fetus subspecies.

**Key words:** campylobacter fetus, reptile, mammal, comparative genomics, recombination, evolution.

# Introduction

Campylobacter fetus has been recognized as a significant veterinary pathogen. Until recently, two subspecies were described: Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus (Cff) and

Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis (Cfv). Both subspecies have been isolated from multiple vertebrate hosts, mainly mammals, but the primary reservoir is considered to be

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>US Department of Agriculture, Produce Safety and Microbiology Research Unit, Agricultural Research Service, Albany, California

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>WHO Collaborating Center for Campylobacter/OIE Reference Laboratory for Campylobacteriosis, Utrecht, the Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Biotechnology Core Facility Branch, Division of Scientific Resources, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>College of Medicine, Institute of Life Science, Swansea University, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>MRC Cloud-Based Infrastructure for Microbial Bioinformatics (CLIMB) Centre, Swansea University, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen UR, Lelystad, the Netherlands

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: b.duim@uu.nl.



ruminants. These subspecies display distinct host and niche preferences: Cff is often associated with the intestinal tract and aborted fetuses of ruminants, mainly sheep and cattle, whereas Cfv is almost exclusively associated with the genital tract of cattle (van Bergen et al. 2008). Next to the aforementioned subspecies, a genetically distinct variant of *C. fetus* has been isolated from reptiles, with a reported prevalence of 5.5–6.7%, and humans (Harvey and Greenwood 1985; Tu et al. 2004; Dingle et al. 2010 Patrick et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Gilbert, Kik, et al. 2014). This reptile-associated *C. fetus* has been described as *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (Cft) (Fitzgerald et al. 2014).

Human infections caused by Cft have been reported and a reptilian origin in these cases is suspected (Tu et al. 2004; Patrick et al. 2013). In contrast to *C. jejuni*, symptoms of *C. fetus*-associated gastrointestinal illness are rarely reported (Wagenaar et al. 2014). Although cases of human Cft infection can be considered rare and opportunistic, and occur mostly in immunocompromised people, the systemic component in the majority of infections makes it difficult to treat and these infections are often life-threatening.

DNA sequence-based typing, including multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis, have shown that reptile-associated Cft are genetically distinct from mammal-associated Cff and Cfv and that genetic diversity is higher in Cft (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014). This suggests host-associated evolutionary divergence between mammal-and reptile-associated *C. fetus* (Tu et al. 2001, 2005; Dingle et al. 2010; Kienesberger et al. 2014). Furthermore, the diversity among Cft isolates from reptiles is higher than the diversity among Cft isolates from humans (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014), which suggests that a subset of genotypes is able to colonize and potentially infect humans or that humans are selectively exposed to a subset of the population.

The genetic factors underlying host differentiation of mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* are poorly understood. Comparing the whole-genome sequences of mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* strains can provide valuable insights into this distinct host association, as well as further insights into speciation, taxonomy, and pathogenicity.

In this study, 20 genomes of reptile-associated Cft strains were compared with 39 genomes of mammal-associated Cff and Cfv. To determine features specific to *C. fetus*, genomes of the most closely related species *Campylobacter hyointestinalis* and *Campylobacter iguaniorum* were included in the analyses. A phylogenetic reconstruction provided insights into the distinct host association of *C. fetus*. Furthermore, detailed genome analyses characterized genomic regions specific to *C. fetus*, and to Cft in particular, and revealed multiple species- and subspecies-specific sequence variations, including a distinct putative tricarballylate catabolism locus, and a genomic region associated with human invasive strains.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Strains and Growth Conditions

A total of 61 strains were used for this study, including: 20 Cft strains of reptilian (n=13) and human (n=7) origin, 39 Cff and Cfv strains of bovine (n=33), ovine (n=1), human (n=2), and unknown (n=3) origin, one *C. hyointestinalis* strain of porcine origin, and one *C. iguaniorum* strain of reptilian origin. Characteristics of all strains used in this study are shown in table 1. Strains were grown on blood agar in a microaerobic atmosphere  $(83.3\% N_2, 7.1\% CO_2, 3.6\% H_2, and <math>6\% O_2)$  at  $37 \,^{\circ}$ C for  $48 \,^{\circ}$ h.

#### Genome Sequencing

In total, 61 genomes were included in this study (table 1). The whole-genome sequence data of 39 Cff and Cfv strains were from published studies (Stynen et al. 2011; Iraola et al. 2013; Barrero et al. 2014; Kienesberger et al. 2014; van der Graafvan Bloois et al. 2014, 2016; Iraola et al. 2015). For 18 Cft strains, Illumina MiSeq reads were generated in this study; an average of 2.18 million Illumina MiSeq reads per strain were assembled using Newbler (v2.6), resulting in draft genomes containing, on average, 31 large contigs (>5,000 bp; average contig size = 61 kb) and 338× coverage.

Whole-genome sequence data of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were obtained using a Roche 454 genome analyzer and was assembled into contigs using the Newbler assembler (v2.6). In this study, the genome of Cft strain SP3 was completed using methodology described previously for Cft strain 03-427 (Gilbert et al. 2013). In short, 221,254 Roche 454 reads were assembled into a single scaffold of 24 contigs using the Newbler assembler (v2.6). All Roche 454 base calls were validated using 1,852,600 Illumina MiSeq reads, providing a total coverage of 156×. Sequences across the contig junctions and the S-layer (sap) locus were confirmed with Sanger sequencing. Assembly was confirmed using Pacific Biosystems long reads. PacBio RS reads were assembled into contigs using Quiver (Pacific Bioscience, Menlo Park, CA).

Campylobacter iguaniorum strain 1485E was sequenced as described previously (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014). The wholegenome sequence of *C. hyointestinalis* strain DSM 19053 was obtained from GenBank.

The completed genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3, Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608 were used as reference genomes.

#### Genome Analysis

The genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were annotated as described previously (Gilbert et al. 2013). Homopolymeric GC tracts were characterized using the high-depth MiSeq reads. CRISPR regions were identified using CRISPRFinder (Grissa et al. 2007). Genes were assigned a functional category using the RAST subsystem annotation approach as

**Table 1** Features of the *Campylobacter Strains Used in This Study* 

940-144         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1044         1045         1044         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045         1045	o is a	C 4 1 to	11-11						17	P. C	(animan) land	200 Hourston	and and an alternation
949554         Bovine         Fetus         AR         5         3         8         4         454, Illumina, PacBio         Complete         orn der Graaf-van Bloods           98240         Human         Blood         US         6         4         A         -         Sanger         Umpdbibled           980445         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         ver al. 2014           980445         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         ver al. 2016           980476         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         ver al. 2016           980129         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         ver al. 2016           980120         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         ver al. 2016           980121         Bovine         Frees         UK         3         1         8	species	Strain	Host	source	Origin	- 1			WCDK	sequence Metnod	Level (contigs)	Kererences	Accession Number
89.0445         Blood         UK         3         1         A         A Sanger         Complete         Uptoblished coarly and Bloois Blood           99.0445         Bovine         Freese         UK         3         1         8         4         454         Draft (192)         van der Graaf-van Bloois War d	₽	04/554	Bovine	Fetus	AR	2	m		+	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois	CP008808-CP008809
84.40         Human         Blood         US         6         A         A         A Sanger         Complete         Ornality and etric frast-van Bloods           99.445         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         4 454         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2014           90.042         Bowine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2016           80.047         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2016           80.05         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2016           80.13         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2016           80.13         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloos et al. 2016           80.13         Bovine         Freeze         UK         3         1         B + Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-va												et al. 2014	
800445         Bovine         Prepare         UK         3         1         4 454         Death (192)         cet al 2014 or et al	∄	82-40	Human	Blood	NS	9	4	⋖	ı	Sanger	Complete	Unpublished	CP000487
80047         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         4         Illumina         Draft (15)         art al. 2016 and all 2	₽	98/v445	Bovine	Prepuce	¥	m	_		+	454	Draft (192)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LMBH00000000
B0042         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         And der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0047         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0059         Bovine         Feces         UK         2         2         A         -         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0129         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0130         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0131         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0151         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												et al. 2014	
BOM   Bovine   Feces   UK   S   S   H   Humina   Deft (16)   Act Graek-an Bloos   Et al. 2016   Act Graek-an Bloos   Et al. 2016   Act Graek-an Bloos   Et al. 2016   Act Graek-an Bloos   Act Graek-an Bloos   Et al. 2016   Act Graek-an Bloos   Et al. 2016   Act Graek-an Bloos   Ac	Ħ	B0042	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (25)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419595
B0047         Bovine         Fecas         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (36)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0056         Bovine         Fecas         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0073         Bovine         Fecas         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0130         Bovine         Fecas         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0131         Bovine         Fecas         UK         6         4         A         -         Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0152         Bovine         Fecas         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (43)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0152         Bovine         Fecas         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (43)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												et al. 2016	
BOOK   Bovine   Feces	₽	B0047	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (16)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419600
80066         Bowine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (23)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80130         Bowine         Feces         UK         2         A         —         Illumina         Draft (23)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80130         Bowine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (23)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80131         Bowine         Feces         UK         6         4         A         —         Illumina         Draft (23)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80131         Bowine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (23)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80167         Bowine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80168         Bowine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												et al. 2016	
Boyine   Faces   UK   3   1   8   4   Illumina   Draft (25)   Van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016	₽	B0066	Bovine	Feces	¥	m	_		+	Illumina	Draft (29)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419610
80097         Bovine         Feces         UK         2         A         — Illumina         Draft (25)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80129         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         + Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80131         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         + Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80131         Bovine         Feces         UK         6         4         A         — Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80151         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80163         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80164         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3												et al. 2016	
B0130   Bovine   Feces   UK   3   1   B   + Illumina   Draft (26)   Van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016   et al	₽	B0097	Bovine	Feces	¥	7	7	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (25)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419623
B0129         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (32)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0130         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         4         A         -         Illumina         Draft (26)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0131         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0151         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0157         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B11098         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (12)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												et al. 2016	
B0130         Bowine         Feces         UK         6         4         A         — Illumina         Draft (26)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0131         Bowine         Feces         UK         6         4         A         — Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0151         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B11098         Govine         Ukrown         UK         2         3         B         + Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           S0693A         Bowine         Feces         UK <t< td=""><td>₩</td><td>B0129</td><td>Bovine</td><td>Feces</td><td>¥</td><td>m</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>+</td><td>Illumina</td><td>Draft (32)</td><td>van der Graaf-van Bloois</td><td>ERR419637</td></t<>	₩	B0129	Bovine	Feces	¥	m	_		+	Illumina	Draft (32)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419637
80130         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (26)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80131         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80478D         Bovine         Blood         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 <td></td> <td>et al. 2016</td> <td></td>												et al. 2016	
BO131   Bovine   Feces   UK   5   3   6   4   M   1   Illumina   Draft (28)   An off craat-van Bloois et al. 2016   An off craat-van Bloois et al. 2018   An off craat-van Bloois et a	£	B0130	Bovine	Feces	¥	٣	_		+	Illumina	Draft (26)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419638
80131         Bovine         Feces         UK         6         4         A         —         Illumina         Draft (28)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80151         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (29)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80168         Bovine         Ukrown         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (34)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           80693A         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         8         +         Illumina         Draft (34)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												et al. 2016	
B0151   Bovine   Feces   UK   5   3   8   + Illumina   Draft (19)   van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016   et al	₽	B0131	Bovine	Feces	š	9	4	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (28)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419639
B0151   Bovine   Feces   UK   5   3   B +												et al. 2016	
B015Z         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B016Z         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 1098         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 1098         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (44)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 1098         Ovine         Unknown         UK         4         1         A*         -         454         Draft (44)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           S0693A         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (20)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           S0478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (20)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 </td <td>₽</td> <td>B0151</td> <td>Bovine</td> <td>Feces</td> <td>¥</td> <td>2</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>Illumina</td> <td>Draft (19)</td> <td>van der Graaf-van Bloois</td> <td>ERR419648</td>	₽	B0151	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (19)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419648
B0152         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (18)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 3016           B0167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/98         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/1-W         Human         Blood         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           S043BA         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 <td></td> <td>et al. 2016</td> <td></td>												et al. 2016	
B0167   Bovine   Feces   UK   5   3   B   + Illumina   Draft (19)   Van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016   Augustia   A	₩	B0152	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (18)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419649
B0167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B11098         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         A         A         A54         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           H1-UY         Human         Blood         UY         4         1         A³         A         Illumina         Draft (34)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015           50693A         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015           50478D         Bowine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           3478B         Human         Blood         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015												et al. van der Graaf-	
B0167         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (19)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B0168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (44)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (43)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           B1-U-V         Human         Blood         UY         4         1         A³         -         Illumina         Draft (20)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015           S0478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           S0478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. van der Graa												van Bloois et al. 2016	
B0168 Bovine Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + Illumina Draft (27) van Bloois et al. 2016 BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 5 2 A - 454 Draft (34) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014 H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A <sup>a</sup> - Illumina Draft (34) raola et al. 2014 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Pac8io Complete Sonder Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Blood US 15 6 A - 454 Illumina, Pac8io Gomplete Gilbert et al. 2013	₽	B0167	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (19)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR460866
80168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           BT 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (44)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           H1-UY         Human         Blood         UY         4         1         A³         -         Illumina         Draft (34)         Iraola et al. 2014           50693A         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (20)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015           50478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           50478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           03-427         Human         Blood         US         5         A         -         454, Illumina, PacBio         Complete et al. 2013												et al. van der Graaf-	
B0168         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (27)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           BT 10/98         Ovine         Unknown         UK         2         2         A         -         454         Draft (44)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           H1-UY         Human         Blood         UY         4         1         A³         -         Illumina         Draft (34)         Iraola et al. 2015           50693A         Bovine         Feces         UK         5         3         B         +         Illumina         Draft (20)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015           50478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           50478D         Bovine         Feces         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016           603478D         Human         Blood         UK         3         1         B         +         Illumina         Draft (24)         van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016												van Bloois et al. 2016	
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - 454 Draft (44) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A - Illumina Draft (34) Iraola et al. 2015 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Blood US 15 6 A - 454 Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013	₽	B0168	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (27)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR460867
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - 454 Draft (44) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A³ - Illumina Draft (34) Iraola et al. 2015 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Blood US 15 6 A - 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013												et al. van der Graaf-	
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A – 454 Draft (44) van der Graaf-van Bloois Et al. 2014 H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A³ – Illumina Draft (34) Iraola et al. 2015 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2015 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2016												van Bloois et al. 2016	
H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A <sup>a</sup> – Illumina Draft (34) Iraola et al. 2015 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 van Bloois et al. 2016 van Bloois et al. 2016 van Bloois et al. 2016	₽	BT 10/98	Ovine	Unknown	¥	7	7	⋖	ı	454	Draft (44)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRAL00000000
H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A³ – Illumina Draft (34) Iraola et al. 2015 S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 S0478D Bovine Blood US 15 6 A - 454 Illumina, PacBio Complete Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2013												et al. 2014	
So693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + Illumina Draft (20) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 SO478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 stal. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016 van Bloois et al. 2016	₽	H1-UY	Human	Blood	'n	4	_	$A^{a}$	ı	Illumina	Draft (34)	Iraola et al. 2015	JYCP00000000
SO478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  SO478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  Set al. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  Nan Bloois et al. 2016  O3-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A - 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013	₽	S0693A	Bovine	Feces	¥	2	m		+	Illumina	Draft (20)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419284
source Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  st al. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  O3-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A - 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013												et al. van der Graaf-	
S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + Illumina Draft (24) van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016  03-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A – 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013												van Bloois et al. 2016	
et al. van der Graaf- van Bloois et al. 2016 03-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A – 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013	₽	S0478D	Bovine	Feces	¥	m	_		+	Illumina	Draft (24)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419653
van Bloois et al. 2016 03-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A – 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013												et al. van der Graaf-	
03-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A – 454, Illumina, PacBio Complete Gilbert et al. 2013												van Bloois et al. 2016	
	£	03-427	Human	Blood	NS	15	9		ı	454, Illumina, PacBio		Gilbert et al. 2013	CP006833

Species	Strain	Host	Source	Origin	MLST	Adte	des	wcbK	Sequence Method	Level (contigs)	References	Accession Number
しま	11802557-2	Chelonian	Feces	Į	28	. 2	. ⊲		Illumina	Draft (62)	This study	LFMJ00000000
		(Mauremys annamensis)										
C#	11505168-1	Snake ( <i>Python</i>	Feces	N	29	2	∢	I	Illumina	Draft (109)	This study	LELD00000000
#5	12500416-3	Chelonian (Ge <i>ochelone</i>	Feces	N	09	2	ω	+	Illumina	Draft (52)	This study	LFL100000000
đ	י זייייטטרו	elegans)	i i	2	5	L				4		000000
5	5-07770071	Lizard (Tiilqua ruqosa)	reces	Z	0	n	< <	_ 	iiiumina	Draft (34 <i>)</i>	i nis study	LFLNUOUUUUU
£	12502263-3	Chelonian	Feces	Ŋ	62	2	⋖	_ 	Illumina	Draft (116)	This study	LFLL00000000
£	12502842-30	(rseddernys sp.) Chelonian	Feces	Ŋ	63	2	В	+	Illumina	Draft (104)	This study	LFLM00000000
		(Aldabrachelys										
‡	1 71900301	gigantea) دعادی	2000	Z	79	Ц	9	_	c di wi	Droft (1.18)	This state	
5	1-74070571	constrictor)	s cons	2	5	n			<u> </u>	Diait (140)	illis study	
£	12502855-1	Snake (Orthriophis	Feces	¥	92	2	AB .	+	Illumina	Draft (118)	This study	LFLO00000000
		taeniurus)										
£	12504217-1	Chelonian ( <i>Cuora</i> <i>mouhotii</i> )	Feces	Z	99	2	ω	+	Illumina	Draft (147)	This study	LFLP00000000
£	13500388-15	Chelonian	Feces	Ŋ	29	2	⋖	_	Illumina	Draft (223)	This study	LFLQ00000000
		(Chelonoidis										
		denticulata)										
£	85-387	Chelonian	Feces	NS	16	2	AB	+	Illumina	Draft (81)	This study	LFXC00000000
		(Terrapene										
ŧ	CE78.3	Lizard (Tiliana	Foros	1	36	ц	<		ومنعتااا	Draft (50)	This study	
j	200	nigrolutea)		Ś	0	n			2	Clair (22)	3,500,0	
£	D4335	Human	Feces	SN	31	2	⋖	_	Illumina	Draft (56)	This study	LFXE00000000
£	D6659	Human	Pleural fluid	SN	15	9	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (54)	This study	LFXF00000000
£	D6683	Human	Hematoma	NS	15	9	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (74)	This study	LFXG00000000
£	D6990	Human	Blood	NS	15	9	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (137)	This study	LMBF00000000
£	D6783	Human	Feces	NS	30	2	⋖	ı	Illumina	Draft (65)	This study	LMBE00000000
£	D6856	Human	Bile	NS	15	9		_	Illumina	Draft (169)	This study	LMBG00000000
£	SP3	Snake ( <i>Heterodon</i>	Feces	ž	27	2	⋖	· 	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	This study	CP010953
,		nasicus)										
Ç	02/298	Bovine	Fetus	AR	4	-	⋖	· 	454	Draft (233)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LRVK00000000
$CfV^p$	03/293	Bovine	Unknown	AR	4	-	<	1	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	CP0006999-CP007002

(her initing

Table 1 Continued

Table	Table 1 Continued											
Species	Strain	Host	Source	Origin	MLST	atpA	sap	wcbK	Sequence Method	Level (contigs)	References	Accession Number
Cfv	03/296	Bovine	Fetus	AR	4	-	∢	ı	454	Draft (103)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LRAM00000000
₽ S	642-21	Bovine	Uterus	AU	4	_	$A^{a}$	I	Illumina	Draft (126)	Barrero et al. 2014	AJSG000000000
Ç	84-112	Bovine	Genital secretion	SN	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Complete	Kienesberger et al. 2014	HG004426-HG004427
Cfv	92/203	Bovine	Placenta	AR	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (133)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRVL000000000
											et al. 2014	
Cf.	97/532	Bovine	Vagina	AR	4	_	∢	ı	454	Draft (125)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LRER00000000
Ç	809/26	Bovine	Placenta	AR	4	_	⋖	ı	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois	CP008810-CP008812
											et al. 2014	
Ç	98/25	Bovine	Fetus	AR	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (100)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRES00000000
G.	99/541	Bovine	Prepuce	AR	4	_	Þa	ı	Illumina	Draft (218)	et al. 2014 Iraola et al. 2013	ASTK00000000
Cfv	ADRI 513	Unknown	Unknown	AU	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (103)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRFA00000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv <sup>b</sup>	ADRI 1362	Bovine	Unknown	AR	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (98)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREX00000000
											et al. 2014	
<del>Ş</del>	B6	Bovine	Vagina	AU	4	_	Ρa	ı	Illumina	Draft (81)	Barrero et al. 2014	AJMC00000000
<del>S</del>	B10	Bovine	Unknown	NS	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (133)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRET00000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv	CCUG 33872	Unknown	Abortion	FR	4	<b>—</b>	⋖	ı	454	Draft (101)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREU000000000
											et al. 2014	
Cو	CCUG 33900	Bovine	Abortion	Ŧ	4	<del>-</del>	⋖	ı	454	Draft (53)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREV00000000
											et al. 2014	
Ş	LMG 6570	Bovine	Unknown	BE	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (63)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREVV000000000
											et al. 2014	
£	NCTC 10354	Bovine	Vagina	¥	4	_	⋖	1	454	Draft (45)	Stynen et al. 2011	AFGH000000000
£	WBT 011/09	Unknown	Unknown	¥	4	_	⋖	1	454	Draft (77)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LMBI000000000
											et al. 2014	
Ç	Zaf 3	Bovine	Fetus	SA	4	_	⋖	1	454	Draft (59)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREZ00000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv <sup>b</sup>	Zaf 65	Bovine	Unknown	SA	4	_	⋖	ı	454	Draft (90)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREY00000000
											et al. 2014	
Chy	DSM 19053	Porcine	Intestine	NS	n/a	n/a	n/a	ı	lonTorrent	Draft (26)	Unpublished	JHQP00000000
Cig	1485E	Lizard ( <i>Pogona</i> vitticeps)	Feces	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	+	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014	CP009043-CP009044
		1545										

Nore.—Cig. C. iguaniorum; Chy, C. hyointestinalis. AR, Argentina; AU, Australia; BE, Belgium; CZ, Czech Republic; FR, France; NL, Netherlands; SA, South Africa; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States; UY, Uruguay. MLST, multilocus sequence type); atpA, ATP synthase alpha subunit (MLST allele number); sap, sap type (A, B, or AB); wcbK, GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase (4, present; -, absent); n/a, not applicable.

\*Predicted sap type based on sequence type and the absence of wcbK.

\*\*Bubspecies designation inconclusive; subspecies fetus or venerealis based on phenotype, subspecies venerealis based on genotype (van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014).



described (Overbeek et al. 2014). In the completed genomes, by comparison with a close relative, genes which were truncated due to a premature stop codon, frame-shifted, fragmented or had a missing start codon were defined as pseudogenes.

A local BLASTP, including all strains listed in table 1, was performed, based on the predicted proteomes of all genomes, and the results were screened for *C. fetus* speciesand subspecies-specific features. To visualize genomic regions specific for *C. fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* in particular, the BLAST ring image generator (BRIG) (Alikhan et al. 2011) was used at default settings, based on BLASTN v2.2.26. For this, the contigs of all Cft strains, Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608 were selected and the complete genome of Cft strain 03-427 was used as a reference.

The sap locus contains a high number of repeated sequences and its assembly can prove difficult. The sap type of the draft Cft genomes was identified by mapping the sequence reads to the primer sequences of SAF01, SAR01, SBF01, and SBR01 (Tu et al. 2001) using PASS (Campagna et al. 2009). Only exact matches to the primer sequences were considered.

#### Phylogeny and Recombination Analysis

Recombination analysis of C. fetus was performed using Gubbins (Croucher et al. 2014). Briefly, open reading frames were predicted and annotated using Prokka (Seemann 2014) and all versus all BLAST was performed for all predicted proteins of the genomes (table 1) at an E-value cutoff of 1E-6. To determine the orthologous relationships of all proteins, the BLAST output was parsed by Orthagogue (Ekseth et al. 2014). Proteins were considered for orthology clustering if the proteins had at least 50% identity and at least 50% overlap. To determine the orthologous groups (OGs), Markov clustering (MCL) was performed using MCL-edge (Enright et al. 2002). Genes encoding the proteins were aligned with each other within their respective OGs using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). A super alignment was created by concatenating the aligned genes according to their position in Cft strain 03-427 if they were present in all isolates. Gaps were removed using Gblocks (Castresana 2000). Recombination events were detected in this super alignment using Gubbins (Croucher et al. 2014) with the default settings. Phylogenetic dendrograms were created using Fasttree (Price et al. 2009).

## **Results and Discussion**

General Features of the *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* Genomes

The circular genome size of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 is 1.78 and 1.82 Mb, respectively, which is within the known size range of *Campylobacter* (1.53–1.97 Mb) and similar to Cff strain 82-40 (1.77 Mb) (Miller 2008) (supplementary table

S1, Supplementary Material online). The average G+C content of both strains is 33.1%. The Cft strain 03-427 genome is predicted to contain 1,695 putative protein-coding genes, 43 tRNA genes, and three rRNA operons. Cft strain SP3 is predicted to contain 1,767 putative protein-coding genes, 40 tRNA genes, and three rRNA operons. No obvious mobile elements or plasmids were identified in either strain. Cft strain 03-427 contained 29 variable homopolymeric GC tracts (≥8 bp; 34 total GC tracts) and strain SP3 contained 24 variable homopolymeric GC tracts (≥8 bp; 29 total GC tracts).

#### Genetic Features Specific to C. fetus subsp. testudinum

Several genetic features specifically present or absent from Cft were identified (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). A total of 33 genes was present in all Cft strains, but absent from all Cff and Cfv strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). The most prominent difference identified between the C. fetus subspecies was a putative tcuRABC locus (CFT03427\_0075-0078), present in all Cft strains, but absent from Cff and Cfv (fig. 1). Proteins encoded by the tcuRABC locus have been shown to function in the catabolism of tricarballylate (a citrate analog) (Lewis et al. 2004). It has been shown that Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 can use tricarballylate as a carbon and energy source, which feeds directly into the citric acid cycle (Gutnick et al. 1969; Lewis et al. 2004). These results suggest that tricarballylate could potentially be used as a carbon and energy source by Cft. A BLASTP analysis revealed that this pathway was also present in Campylobacter coli, Campylobacter cuniculorum, C. hyointestinalis subsp. lawsonii, C. iguaniorum, and C. jejuni. In C. hyointestinalis subsp. lawsonii, tcuR was absent, whereas in both Cft and C. iquaniorum isolated from reptiles tcuRABC was complete. Noteworthy, tcuRABC appears present mainly in Campylobacter taxa associated with hindgut fermenting vertebrates. This suggests that the pathway is conserved in Campylobacter taxa inhabiting a potentially similar intestinal niche where tricarballylate is available as a carbon and energy source.

A total of 23 genes was present in all Cff and Cfv strains, but absent from all Cft strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, an aspartate racemase-encoding gene (CFF8240\_1412) was present in all of the Cff and Cfv strains, but absent from Cft strains. As aspartate racemase catalyzes the conversion of L-aspartate to D-aspartate, Cft is predicted to be unable to convert L-aspartate to D-aspartate. As this was a pseudogene due to a premature stop codon in Cff 04/554, but not in the other completed Cff and Cfv genomes, and this gene is located in lipooligosaccharide (LOS) biosynthesis the locus (CFF8240\_1399-1414) bound by waa genes and containing multiple glycosyltransferases (Gilbert et al. 2008), it is likely related to LOS structure, and one might expect expression to vary depending on serotype in Cff and Cfv.




Fig. 1.—BRIG plot of C. fetus. BLASTN-based genomic comparison of all Cft strains, including Cft strain 03-427 (reference genome), Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608. Cft strains isolated from humans are shown in blue; Cft strains isolated from reptiles are shown in green, with predicted Cft sapA strains shown in dark green and predicted Cft sapB and sapAB strains shown in light green; Cff is shown in yellow; and Cfv is shown in red. Characteristic genomic features of C. fetus and Cft in particular have been highlighted. Features containing only hypothetical proteins are not indicated.



#### Genetic Features Specific to C. fetus

Several genetic features were identified in *C. fetus*, but were absent from the related species *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum* (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). In total, 65 genes were present in all *C. fetus* strains, but absent from both *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum* strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online).

Genes encoding succinyl-CoA synthetase alpha and beta subunits (*sucDC*; CFT03427\_0903-0904), proline dehydrogenase (*putA*; CFT03427\_1218) and sodium/proline symporter (*putP*; CFT03427\_1219), hemin ABC transporter system (*chuABCD*; CFT03427\_1703-1706), and serine protease (CFT03427\_1733) were present in all *C. fetus* strains examined, but absent from its closest relatives.

In addition to catalase (CFT03427\_1038), another catalase-like protein encoding region (CFT03427\_1708) was present in all *C. fetus* strains examined. In Cff 82-40 and Cfv 97/608 however, this was a probable pseudogene. In parallel with catalase, which catalyzes the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen and is present in many *Campylobacter* species, this catalase-like protein might be involved in protection against oxidative damage by reactive oxygen species.

The L-fucose permease-encoding gene fucP and the surrounding coding region (CFT03427 1042-1047) was present in all C. fetus strains examined, but was absent from its closest relatives C. iguaniorum and C. hyointestinalis. Low homology orthologs (57-65% identity) were identified in C. coli and C. jejuni by an online BLASTP search against the nonredundant database. In some C. jejuni strains, fucP and the surrounding coding region (cj0480c-cj0490) are implicated in the uptake of the sugar L-fucose, which is released from the host's mucin glycoproteins and has been shown to be important in colonizing hosts (Muraoka and Zhang 2011; Stahl et al. 2011). Noteworthy, fucP and the surrounding coding region were present in C. coli showing introgression by C. jejuni genes, suggesting that the presence of this region provides C. coli an advantage in the gastrointestinal tract (Sheppard et al. 2013). Fermentation of carbohydrates is considered uncommon in Campylobacter, which might be related to the absence of 6-phosphofructokinase needed for glycolysis and key enzymes in the Entner-Doudoroff pathway (Parkhill et al. 2000; Kelly 2008). However, the presence of fucP and the surrounding coding region in C. fetus predicts that, besides amino acids and organic acids, L-fucose can be metabolized by C. fetus. In parallel with C. jejuni, this region might be involved in colonization of the host's gastrointestinal tract.

## Prophage and Foreign DNA Defense Mechanisms

In Cft strain SP3, a 35,178 bp putative prophage was present between a leucyl tRNA and the cas genes of the CRISPR/Cas system. No known toxins or virulence factors were identified

within this putative prophage. In Cft strain 03-427, no prophages were identified, although a region encoding hypothetical proteins with unknown function was identified in the same CRISPR/Cas region, between the leucyl tRNA and the cas genes. In the complete genomes of the Cff and Cfv strains examined, this location contained phage-like elements in Cff strains 82-40 and 04/554, but not in Cfv.

CRISPRs were identified in the complete genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3. Six genes coding for the CRISPR-associated proteins Cas1-6 (CFT03427\_0656-0663) were conserved in all 20 Cft strains examined. However, these cas genes were identified in only 20.5% (8/39) of the Cff and Cfv genomes (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Noteworthy, all Cfv strains were lacking the cas genes, while eight Cff strains, including strains 82-40, B0131, and JYCP01, which are most closely related to Cfv, did contain these genes. Although the cas genes were absent from Cfv strain 97/608, this strain did contain CRISPRs. The presence of CRISPRs suggests that cas genes may have been present, but have been lost in Cfv strain 97/608. Notably, the cas genes identified in C. fetus were not homologous with cas genes found in other Campylobacter species and showed highest homology with Sulfurospirillum and Sulfurovum species.

Interestingly, four additional CRISPR/Cas system-associated RAMP superfamily protein coding loci (CFT03427\_1628-CFT03427\_1633) were conserved in 93.2% (55/59) of the *C. fetus* strains (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). These genes are largely confined to *C. fetus*, although orthologs were identified with low homology in *C. concisus* and *C. rectus*. The exact function of these proteins is unknown. No CRISPRs were identified surrounding these genes, suggesting that this cluster of genes is unlike currently known CRISPR/Cas systems.

#### Virulence Determinants and Surface Structures

Most known and predicted virulence determinants, such as cadF, ciaB, and cytolethal distending toxins cdtABC, identified in C. fetus previously (Ali et al. 2012), were also identified in Cft. Two adjacent genes encoding a hemagglutinin/haemolysin-related protein (CFT03427\_0734) and a haemolysin secretion/activation protein (CFT03427\_0735) were identified in all C. fetus strains examined, but not in C. hyointestinalis and C. iguaniorum. Additionally, a patatin-like phospholipase (CFT03427\_1717) was exclusively found in C. fetus. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a patatin-like protein has been linked to the development of lung injury, sepsis, and bacterial dissemination in animal models and human infections (Banerji and Flieger 2004). Type IV secretion system related gene clusters, such as virB genes, were commonly present in Cfv and in Cff strain 98/445 (van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016), but absent from Cft (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).

One of the most prominent and distinguishing surface structures in C. fetus is the S-layer, which is associated with resistance to complement-mediated killing and is considered to be an important virulence factor (Blaser et al. 2008). The C. fetus S-layer is encoded by the sap locus, which contains the conserved sapCDEF locus and multiple copies of either sapA or sapB, and occasional sapAB recombinants. The completed genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were predicted to contain eight sapA copies. Of all Cft strains examined, 70% (14/ 20) were sapA type, 15% (3/20) were sapB type, and 15% (3/ 20) were sapAB type (table 1). Remarkably, the sapCDEF locus was not observed in Cft strain D6683, Cff strains B0047 and S0478D, and Cfv strains 642-21, CCUG 33900, and ADRI 513 (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Considering the high variability of this region and the draft nature of the genomes, the sequence reads of these particular strains were searched for parts of these genes and were found absent in the reads as well. As these genes are essential in formation of the S-layer (Blaser et al. 2008), these particular strains may be unable to form an S-layer. The inability to form an S-layer, associated with an 8-9 kb deletion in the sap locus, has been shown before in spontaneous C. fetus mutants (Dworkin et al. 1995).

Adjacent to the sap region, 14 Cft strains contained a conglycosylation region associated with (CFT03427\_0495-0499), whereas the conserved glycosylation region characteristic for sapB (CFF04554\_0484-0487), includ-GDP-D-mannose dehydratase-encoding (Kienesberger et al. 2014), was identified in six Cft strains isolated from reptiles, which were sapB and sapAB type strains (table 1). These latter strains were also missing another glycosylation region (CFT03427\_1352-1354), which was also absent from all mammal-associated sapB type C. fetus strains, but was present in all sapA type C. fetus strains examined, suggesting it is associated with glycosylation in sapA type C. fetus (supplementary figs. S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). The two different conserved glycosylation regions adjacent to the sap locus are likely involved in LPSbiosynthesis and Sap binding, as has been shown for wcbK in sapB type C. fetus (Kienesberger et al. 2014).

In seven of the Cft strains isolated from reptiles, including all predicted sapB and sapAB type strains, the region containing flaAB and the motility accessory factor maf (CFT03427\_1587-1590) was highly divergent from all other C. fetus strains examined, and flaAB and maf showed highest homology with the related species C. hyointestinalis and C. iguaniorum (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014), suggesting recombination between Cft and a strain closely related to these taxa, was supported by recombination analysis. Recombination of *flaAB*, possibly due to selective pressure of the host immune response, has been shown in C. jejuni (Wassenaar et al. 1995).

Remarkably, a large region (~49 kb) adjacent to flaAB, encoding many O-linked glycosylation-related proteins (CFT03427 1543-1586), was conserved in all Cff/Cfv and most Cft strains examined, but was absent from the seven flaAB recombinant Cft strains (fig. 1). Within this region, genes encoding multiple glycosyltransferases and two asparagine synthases were identified. Of the 29 variable homopolymeric GC tracts identified within the Cft strain 03-427 genome, 12 hypervariable GC tracts (41%) were located within this glycosylation region. In C. jejuni, O-linked glycosylation is associated with flagellar assembly and function (Guerry 2007), and genes in the pseudaminic acid biosynthetic pathway (pseB and pseH) are found in and adjacent to this region. The strong association with flaAB in the nonrecombinant strains suggests that this region is involved in the Olinked glycosylation of flagellin. Like in the flaAB recombinant Cft strains, this region was missing in C. iguaniorum (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014). No other comparable glycosylation region was found conserved in all recombinant flaAB Cft strains, suggesting differential glycosylation of flagellin in these strains.

Interestingly, a region encoding ABC-transporters iamABC (also annotated as mlaFED; CFT03427\_0480-0484), and showing higher than expected amino acid homology (99-100%) between all Cff and Cfv strains and human clinical Cft strains, but not reptilian Cft strains (93-97%), was observed. Recombination analysis indicated a recombination event between Cff and Cft isolated from humans. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic dendrogram based on iamA (mlaF) illustrated this recombination event (fig. 2). This recombination was identified in 71.4% (5/7) of the Cft strains isolated from humans, but was not identified in strains isolated from reptiles. Although from different geographical origins (Dingle et al. 2010; Patrick et al. 2013), all strains with this potential recombination were closely related and belonged to the same sequence type (ST15). Notably, all these Cft strains were atpA (uncA) MLST allele type 6, whereas all other Cft strains known are MLST allele type 5 (Dingle et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2013; Gilbert, Kik, et al. 2014), which corresponds to one point mutation. A closer inspection of the clinical background of the Cft strains isolated from humans revealed that all of the recombinant strains were isolated from bile, blood, a hematoma, or pleural fluid, whereas the nonrecombinant strains were isolated from stool samples (table 1), suggesting that the recombinant strains may be invasive. Orthologs of iamABC are found across the Campylobacter genus. Notably, in all C. fetus strains examined, the iamABC region is conserved amidst the highly recombining sap region. The ABC-transporter encoded by *iamA* is considered a virulence factor associated with invasion in C. jejuni (Carvalho et al. 2001). Most C. fetus infections in humans are systemic or have a systemic component and the ratio of systemic infection to diarrheal illnesses for C. fetus is much higher than for C. jejuni, indicating a propensity for invasive disease compared with C. jejuni (Blaser et al. 2008; Patrick et al. 2013; Wagenaar et al. 2014). The mechanisms of invasion in C. fetus are poorly understood; however, considering both mammal-






Fig. 2.—Single-gene phylogeny of iamA (mlaF) for all strains examined. The recombinant invasive Cft strains isolated from humans are shown in bold.

and reptile-associated *C. fetus* with recombinant *iamABC* show invasion, the *iamABC* region may have a similar function in invasive *C. fetus* strains.

## Campylobacter fetus Phylogeny

Campylobacter fetus is generally considered a genetically coherent species with low genetic diversity compared with some other Campylobacter species (van Bergen et al. 2005). A phylogenetic reconstruction accounting for the effects of

homologous recombination was performed for *C. fetus* and the most closely related species, based on a 781,293 nt gapless alignment (fig. 3). Mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* formed two clearly separated clades. This is in line with earlier observations that mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* form two distinct clades with an average amino acid identity of 95–96% and supports the description of *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* as a novel subspecies (Fitzgerald et al. 2014). The core genome phylogeny confirmed that genetic

GBE

diversity was higher among Cft than among Cff and Cfv. A shorter branch length suggests that Cft is more closely related to the last common ancestor. Genetic diversity was higher in Cft isolated from reptiles, which may be related to a larger diversity among the sampled reptile population. All invasive Cft strains isolated from humans showed little genetic diversity among each other and formed a separate lineage together with Cft strain D6783, distinct from the Cft strains isolated from reptiles, confirming earlier observations based on MLST and AFLP (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014).

The number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected inside recombinations was higher in Cft, whereas the number of SNPs outside recombinations was lower in Cft, compared with Cff and Cfv (table 2). Also the ratio

of base substitutions predicted to have been imported through recombination to those occurring through point mutation (r/m) and the ratio of the number of recombination events to point mutations (rho/theta) were higher in Cft. This indicates that mutation through recombination is more important than mutation through point mutation in Cft, compared with Cff and Cfv, based on the strains in this study. Predicted recombinant regions were subdivided into regions shared by two or more strains, indicated by red blocks, or unique regions, indicated by blue blocks (fig. 3). More shared recombination events were detected in Cff/Cfv than in Cft. In contrast, more unique recombination events were detected in Cft strains isolated from reptiles. However, the invasive Cft strains isolated from humans and Cft strain




Fig. 3.—Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *C. fetus* clade based on Gubbins. Recombination regions within the 781,293 nt gapless core genome alignment are indicated in red (similar recombination region in multiple strains) or blue (unique recombination region). Species and subspecies from top to bottom: *C. iguaniorum*, purple; *C. hyointestinalis*, dark blue; *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (human strains), light blue; *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (reptilian strains), dark green (*sapA* strains) or light green (*sapB* and *sapAB* strains); *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (based on genotype), yellow (*sapB* strains) or orange (*sapA* strains); *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (based on genotype), red. For *C. fetus*, the *sap* type of the corresponding strains is indicated with A, B, or AB. To increase the intraspecies resolution for *C. fetus*, the branches of the dendrogram are truncated for *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum*. Recombination regions of interest have been highlighted.



 Table 2

 Summary of the Recombination Analysis for Cft and Cff and Cfv

	Cft	Cff and Cfv
Total SNPs	25,562	62,228
Number of SNPs inside recombinations	7,970	3,103
Number of SNPs outside recombinations	17,592	59,125
Number of recombination blocks	107	56
Bases in recombinations	497,384	820,273
r/m	0.453	0.052
rho/theta	0.006	0.001
Genome length	781,293	781,293

Note.—The ratio of base substitutions predicted to have been imported through recombination to those occurring through point mutation is indicated by r/m. The ratio of the number of recombination events to point mutations is indicated by rho/theta.

D6783 showed no unique recombination events, which supports the close genetic relationship of these strains.

A clear association between phylogeny and sap type was observed in Cff and Cfv, with sapA and sapB type strains forming separate clusters (fig. 3). This confirms the previously shown correlation between MLST sequence types and sap types (van Bergen et al. 2005). However, in Cft no association between phylogeny and sap type was observed, which may be explained by the larger influence of recombination in Cft. The presence of sapAB recombinant Cft strains also suggests that the sap locus is less conserved in Cft. Reptile-associated Cft can be sapA, sapB, or sapAB, which is in contrast to previous studies (Tu et al. 2005; Dingle et al. 2010). The identification of these sap types in genetically diverse Cft strains shows that these sap types are widespread in Cft and are present in both mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus. In contrast to previous studies (Tu et al. 2005; Kienesberger et al. 2014), this suggests that both sap types were likely present before the mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus lineages diverged, although it cannot be excluded that sap types recombined between mammal and reptile-associated C. fetus at a later stage. The presence of sapAB chimeras confirms that recombination of these different sap types occurs. As such, the diversity of the sap locus, which encodes a surface antigen under diversifying selection imposed by the host immune response, may hamper evolutionary assumptions.

Despite the different, well-separated niches, and genome-wide genetic divergence, mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* are similar in overall gene content and synteny. In this study, only a few recombination events between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* were observed, suggesting that recombination between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* can be considered rare and that effective barriers to recombination exist, likely due to the separate host reservoirs, although other factors, such as genetic divergence and other intrinsic factors inhibiting recombination cannot be excluded. This is consistent with allopatric speciation. In contrast, Cff and Cfv show a nearly identical core

proteome (van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014), and different niche preferences are potentially associated with laterally acquired elements in Cfv (Ali et al. 2012; Kienesberger et al. 2014). Indeed, within C. fetus, Cfv showed the largest (accessory) genomes. Based on the high genetic similarity between Cff and Cfv, and the lack of a clear boundary between these subspecies, the validity of these subspecies has been questioned (van Bergen et al. 2008; van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014). Nevertheless, Cff and Cfv do show small but consistent genetic differences based on phylogenetic analyses, indicating genetic divergence of these two lineages, which could be explained by an ecological barrier in which Cff and Cfv do not or barely recombine despite a high degree of niche overlap, as has been demonstrated for C. jejuni (Sheppard et al. 2014). Alternatively, the low genetic diversity of C. fetus may be explained by a lack of natural competence, as observed in vitro (Tu et al. 2003; Kienesberger et al. 2007, 2014), or, conversely, by a high rate of homologous recombination within the different C. fetus lineages, maintaining lineage coherence. With the advent of whole-genome sequencing, recombination is considered more common than previously assumed and observed clonality does often not exclude recombination (Feil et al. 2001), as was identified in this study for C. fetus.

#### **Conclusions**

The genomes of *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* in this study show high conservation in gene content and synteny compared with other *C. fetus* subspecies. Although the similarity between reptile- and mammal-associated *C. fetus* is high, the genomes are clearly divergent in overall sequence identity and in gene content.

Most notable features shared by the majority of *C. fetus* strains in this study are the S-layer, a CRISPR/Cas system and CRISPR/Cas system-associated RAMP superfamily proteins unique for *Campylobacter*. Several genomic differences were observed between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus*, of which the presence of a putative tricarballylate catabolism pathway in Cft is most notable. In contrast to earlier observations, *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* can contain *sapA*, *sapB*, or *sapAB*. In seven Cft strains isolated from reptiles the region containing *flaAB* and *maf* was highly divergent. These strains were lacking a large adjacent glycosylation region conserved in all other *C. fetus* strains.

Recombination between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* seems rare, indicating effective barriers to recombination between these two divergent lineages, likely due to the separate host reservoirs, consistent with allopatric speciation. Nevertheless, a recombination event of *iamABC* between mammal-associated *C. fetus* and Cft strains isolated from humans was observed, which is associated with invasion in humans.

The whole-genome sequences of reptile-associated C. fetus subsp. testudinum provide a better understanding of C. fetus as a species and genomic features associated with subspecies, host type, and virulence, and provide further insights in C. fetus biology and evolution.

# **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary figures S1 and S2 and tables S1-S3 are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www. gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors like to thank Brian Brooks and John Devenish (Canadian Food Inspection Agency) for providing strains and valuable suggestions.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Ali A, et al. 2012. Campylobacter fetus subspecies: comparative genomics and prediction of potential virulence targets. Gene 508:145-156.
- Alikhan N, Petty NK, Zakour NLB, Beatson SA. 2011. BLAST ring image generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12:402
- Banerji S, Flieger A. 2004. Patatin-like proteins: a new family of lipolytic enzymes present in bacteria? Microbiology 150:522-525.
- Barrero RA, et al. 2014. Draft genome sequences of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis bv. venerealis strain B6 and bv. intermedius strain 642-21. Genome Announc 2:e00943-e00914.
- Blaser MJ, Newell DG, Thompson SA, Zechner EL. 2008. Pathogenesis of Campylobacter fetus. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C: ASM Press. p. 401-428.
- Campagna D, et al. 2009. PASS: a program to align short sequences. Bioinformatics 25:967-968.
- Carvalho AC, et al. 2001. Molecular characterization of invasive and noninvasive Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolates. J Clin Microbiol 39:1353-1359
- Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol. 17:540-552.
- Croucher NJ, et al. 2014. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res 43:e15
- Dingle KE, et al. 2010. Genetic relationships among reptilian and mammalian Campylobacter fetus strains determined by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol. 48:977-980.
- Dworkin J, Tummuru MK, Blaser MJ. 1995. A lipopolysaccharide-binding domain of the Campylobacter fetus S-layer protein resides within the conserved N terminus of a family of silent and divergent homologs. J Bacteriol. 177:1734-1741.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792-1797.
- Ekseth OK, Kuiper M, Mironov V. 2014. orthAgogue: an agile tool for the rapid prediction of orthology relations. Bioinformatics 30:734–736.
- Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA. 2002. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res. 30:1575-1584
- Feil EJ, et al. 2001. Recombination within natural populations of pathogenic bacteria: short-term empirical estimates and long-term phylogenetic consequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 98:182-187.

- Fitzgerald C, et al. 2014. Description of Campylobacter fetus subsp. testudinum subsp. nov., isolated from humans and reptiles. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 64:2944-2948.
- Gilbert M, Parker CT, Moran AP. 2008. Campylobacter jejuni lipooligosaccharides: structures and biosynthesis. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 483-504.
- Gilbert MJ, et al. 2013. Complete genome sequence of Campylobacter fetus subsp. testudinum strain 03-427<sup>T.</sup> Genome Announc. 1:e01002-e01013.
- Gilbert MJ, Kik M, et al. 2014. Occurrence, diversity, and host association of intestinal Campylobacter, Arcobacter, and Helicobacter in reptiles. PLoS One 9:e101599.
- Gilbert MJ, Miller WG, et al. 2014. Complete genome sequence of Campylobacter iguaniorum strain 1485E<sup>T</sup>, isolated from a bearded dragon (Pogona vitticeps). Genome Announc. 2:e00844-
- Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:W52-W57.
- Guerry P. 2007. Campylobacter flagella: not just for motility. Trends Microbiol. 15:456-461.
- Gutnick D, Calvo JM, Klopotowski T, Ames BN. 1969. Compounds which serve as the sole source of carbon or nitrogen for Salmonella typhimurium LT-2. J Bacteriol. 100:215-219.
- Harvey S, Greenwood JR. 1985. Isolation of Campylobacter fetus from a pet turtle. J Clin Microbiol. 21:260-261.
- Iraola G, et al. 2013. Complete genome sequence of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis biovar intermedius, isolated from the prepuce of a bull. Genome Announc. 1:e00526-e00513.
- Iraola G, et al. 2015. A rural worker infected with a bovine-prevalent genotype of Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus supports zoonotic transmission and inconsistency of MLST and whole-genome typing. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 8:1593-1596.
- Kelly DJ. 2008. Complexity and versatility in the physiology and metabolism of Campylobacter jejuni. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 41–61.
- Kienesberger S, et al. 2007. Development of experimental genetic tools for Campylobacter fetus. Appl Environ Microbiol. 73:4619–4630.
- Kienesberger S, et al. 2014. Comparative genome analysis of Campylobacter fetus subspecies revealed horizontally acquired genetic elements important for virulence and niche specificity. PloS One 9:e85491.
- Lewis JA, Horswill AR, Schwem BE, Escalante-Semerena JC. 2004. The tricarballylate utilization (tcuRABC) genes of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium LT2. J Bacteriol. 186:1629-1637.
- Miller WG. 2008. Comparative genomics of Campylobacter species other than Campylobacter jejuni. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p.
- Muraoka WT, Zhang Q. 2011. Phenotypic and genotypic evidence for Lfucose utilization by Campylobacter jejuni. J Bacteriol. 193:1065-
- Overbeek R, et al. 2014. The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res. 42:D206-D214.
- Parkhill J, et al. 2000. The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen Campylobacter jejuni reveals hypervariable sequences. Nature 403:665-668.
- Patrick ME, et al. 2013. Human infections with new subspecies of Campylobacter fetus. Emerg Infect Dis. 19:1678–1680.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2009. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol Biol Evol. 26:1641-1650.

- Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068–2069.
- Sheppard SK, et al. 2013. Progressive genome-wide introgression in agricultural Campylobacter coli. Mol Ecol. 22:1051–1064.
- Sheppard SK, et al. 2014. Cryptic ecology among host generalist *Campylobacter jejuni* in domestic animals. Mol Ecol. 23:2442–2451.
- Stahl M, et al. 2011. L-fucose utilization provides *Campylobacter jejuni* with a competitive advantage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108:7194–7199
- Stynen AP, et al. 2011. Complete genome sequence of type strain *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* NCTC 10354<sup>T.</sup> J Bacteriol. 193:5871–5872.
- Tu Z, Wassenaar TM, Thompson SA, Blaser MJ. 2003. Structure and genotypic plasticity of the *Campylobacter fetus sap* locus. Mol Microbiol. 48:685–698.
- Tu ZC, Dewhirst FE, Blaser MJ. 2001. Evidence that the *Campylobacter fetus sap* locus is an ancient genomic constituent with origins before mammals and reptiles diverged. Infect Immun. 69:2237–2244.
- Tu ZC, Eisner W, Kreiswirth BN, Blaser MJ. 2005. Genetic divergence of Campylobacter fetus strains of mammal and reptile origins. J Clin Microbiol. 43:3334–3340.
- Tu ZC, et al. 2004. *Campylobacter fetus* of reptile origin as a human pathogen. J Clin Microbiol. 42:4405–4407.

- van Bergen MA, et al. 2005. Clonal nature of *Campylobacter fetus* as defined by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol. 43:5888–5898
- van Bergen MA, van Putten JP, Dingle KE, Blaser MJ, Wagenaar JA. 2008. Isolation, identification, subspecies differentiation, and typing of *Campylobacter fetus*. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 213–225.
- van der Graaf-van Bloois L, et al. 2014. Inconsistency of phenotypic and genomic characteristics of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies requires re-evaluation of current diagnostics. J Clin Microbiol. 52:4183–4188.
- van der Graaf-van Bloois L, et al. 2016. *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies contain conserved type IV secretion systems on multiple genomic islands and plasmids. PLoS One 11:e0152832.
- Wagenaar JA, et al. 2014. *Campylobacter fetus* infections in humans: exposure and disease. Clin Infect Dis. 58:1579–1586.
- Wang CM, Shia WY, Jhou YJ, Shyu CL. 2013. Occurrence and molecular characterization of reptilian *Campylobacter fetus* strains isolated in Taiwan. Vet Microbiol. 164:67–76.
- Wassenaar TM, Fry BN, van der Zeijst BA. 1995. Variation of the flagellin gene locus of *Campylobacter jejuni* by recombination and horizontal gene transfer. Microbiology 141:95–101.

Associate editor: Tal Dagan