Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis

2022, Vol. 14: 1–14 DOI: 10.1177/ 1759720X221122401

© The Author(s), 2022. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

High inflammatory burden predicts cardiovascular events in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: a long-term follow-up study

Lin-Hong Shi, Steven H. Lam^(D), Ho So^(D), Edmund K. Li, Tena K. Li, Cheuk-Chun Szeto and Lai-Shan Tam

Abstract

Background: Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) patients are at higher risk of cardiovascular (CV) disease (CVD) than the general population, partly due to consequences of inflammation or its treatment. But relationship between inflammation in axSpA and cardiovascular events (CVE) is unknown.

Objectives: To examine whether inflammatory burden over time can predict CVE independent of baseline CV risk factors in axSpA patients.

Design: A cohort analysis was performed in patients who had been recruited since January 2001. The primary outcome was a first CVE occurring between January 2001 and December 2020.

Methods: Three CVD risk scores were computed at baseline. The performance of the original and modified (*1.5 multiplication factor) CV risk algorithms were assessed. Time-varying Cox proportional hazard models and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis were used to assess whether inflammatory burden (Bath ankylosing spondylitis disease activity index [BASDAI] and inflammatory markers), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) can predict the development of first CVE.

Results: 463 patients (35 [26–45] years, male: 360 [77.8%]) were recruited. After a median follow-up of 12 (7–19) years, 61 patients (13.2%) experienced a first CVE. Traditional/modified CV risk scores underestimated CV risk. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) \ge 20 mm/h was associated with a significantly higher risk of CVE during follow-up (HR: 2.07, 95%CI [1.10, 3.98], p = 0.008). Active disease as indicated by a rising BASDAI also showed positive trend towards a higher risk of developing CVE over time. After adjusting for CV risk scores in the multivariable models, high ESR level (ESR \ge 20 mm/h) over time remained significantly associated with a higher risk of developing CV events.

Conclusion: Increased inflammatory burden as reflected by elevated ESR levels (ESR \ge 20) was associated with increased risk of CVE, while the use of NSAIDs and DMARDs were not.

Keywords: axial spondyloarthritis, cardiovascular disease, risk assessment

Received: 11 April 2022; revised manuscript accepted: 30 July 2022.

Introduction

Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) patients are at higher risk of cardiovascular (CV) disease (CVD) than the general population, partly due to shared risk factors, consequences of inflammation or its treatment. The presence of CVD was associated with an increased risk of death in patients with radiographic axSpA (r-axSpA), which is also known as ankylosing spondylitis (AS).^{1,2} A recent meta-analysis of 12 longitudinal studies showed a significant increase in the risk of myocardial infraction (MI) (RR = 1.44; 95% CI 1.25–1.67)

Correspondence to: Lai-Shan Tam Department of Medicine

of Wales Hospital, Shatin, New Territories 999077, Hong Kong.

lstam@cuhk.edu.hk

Lin-Hong Shi Steven H. Lam Ho So Edmund K. Li Tena K. Li

Cheuk-Chun Szeto Department of Medicine & Therapeutics, The Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kona, Shatin, Hong Kong

journals.sagepub.com/home/tab



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

and stroke (RR = 1.37; 95% CI = 1.08–1.73) in patients with AS compared with controls.³ Compared with patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA), studies have reported a higher⁴ or similar incidence of CVD in axSpA patients,⁵ suggesting that inflammation, rather than a particular disease, drives the increased risk of CVD.

The increased CV risk in axSpA may be partially explained by an increased prevalence of traditional CV risk factors.6 CV risk scores have been developed for the general population, including the Framingham Risk Score (FRS),⁷ Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE),⁸ and ORISK3.9 Nonetheless, underestimated CVD risk in RA and PsA were observed as the inflammatory component was not considered except ORISK3.10-13 The European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) recommends a 1.5 multiplication factor only in RA patients.¹⁴ However, adaptations of the CV risk algorithm did not improve discriminative ability and calibration among PsA patients.¹⁵ All CV risk scores also underestimated the risk of subclinical atherosclerosis in PsA16 and the EULARrecommended modification improves the sensitivity of FRS and Atherosclerotic Coronary Artery Disease (ASCVD) only to a moderate level.¹⁷ A small study in AS(n = 133) showed that all the CV risk algorithms included exhibite a poor discriminative ability, except for Reynold's Risk Score (RRS) and SCORE.¹⁸ Whether adaptation of CV risk algorithms according to EULAR recommendations could provide a significant improvement in discriminative ability for axSpA patients remained uncertain.

Increased subclinical atherosclerosis by high-resolution carotid ultrasound has been demonstrated in AS.¹⁹ Meta-regression analysis revealed that AS patients with active inflammation (as reflected by the Bath AS Disease Activity Index [BASDAI] and C-reactive protein [CRP] level) could significantly impact on the carotid intima-media thickness (IMT).19 Baseline CRP and AS disease activity score (ASDAS) were significantly associated with increased arterial stiffness 5 years later, supporting the notion that disease activity was linked to future risk of CVD in AS.20 Whether increased inflammatory burden over time (as reflected by the time-varying BASDAI and/or inflammatory markers) and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs)

can predict future CV events (CVE) in axSpA deserve more detailed study.

We hypothesize that baseline CV risk scores underestimate CV risk, and inflammatory burden over time could predict CVE in axSpA patients independent of traditional CV risk factors. In this study, we aimed to (1) compare the observed incidence of CVE with that predicted by the CV risk scores to determine the applicability of thes scores in this patient population, and (2) investigate the effect of inflammatory burden over time (as reflected by the time-varying BASDAI and inflammatory markers) on CVD risk in patients with axial SpA patients after adjusting for baseline traditional CV risk factors and drug use (time-varying NSAIDs and DMARDs).

Methods

Study design and patients

A retrospective cohort analysis was performed in axSpA patients (age>18) fulfilling the 2009 Assessment in Ankylosing Spondylitis (ASAS) classification criteria,²¹ who were consecutively recruited and being followed at the rheumatology clinic of the Prince of Wales Hospital from 2001 to 2019. All patients were de-identified. Patients with established CVE (angina, MI, ischemic heart disease [IHD], ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, acute and chronic heart failure [HF], transient ischemic attack [TIA], surgery including percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or endarterectomy) at baseline were carotid excluded. Follow-up began with the baseline clinic visit and continued until the occurrence of CVE, end of the study (December 2020), or loss to follow-up. For patients who were diagnosed before 2001 (n = 142), the baseline visit was defined as the first clinic visit in the year 2001; for patients diagnosed from 2001 onwards (n = 321), the baseline visit was defined as the first clinic visit. Symptom duration was defined as the duration between symptom onset and the baseline visit. Disease duration was defined as the duration between diagnosis and the baseline visit.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee (EC) of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) and Hospital Authority (HA) ethics committee (no. 2020. 519). The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and ICH guideline for Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and conforms to the Strengthening

the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement.²² Written informed consents were waived.

Clinical assessment

Patients were seen during scheduled visits every 6–12 months. During these visits, inflammatory markers were measured including erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and CRP. Disease activity and function was assessed using the BASDAI²³ and Bath AS Disease Functional Index (BASFI),²⁴ respectively. Baseline clinical and demographic data, drug history, and traditional CV risk factors were retrieved from case notes and the citywide electronic medical record system (Clinical Management System [CMS]). CV risk factors included age, weight, blood pressure (BP), the history of hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia, and drinking and smoking habits. Time-varying drug history (disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs [DMARDs] including biologic DMARDs [bDMARDs], conventional synthetic DMARDs [csDMARDs], nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs] and lipid-lowering drugs) and inflammatory burden (BASDAI and inflammatory markers) were also retrieved from CMS at a yearly interval starting from baseline visit till the end of study.

CV risk score assessment

Three CV risk scores were assessed at baseline. FRS was calculated according to the Framingham Heart Study.⁷ SCORE Risk Calculator V.1.0⁸ developed by the European Society of Cardiology was utilized to calculate SCORE. As no specific charts developed for Asian and the Chinese has a lower CVD risk in general, the European low-risk chart was adopted.²⁵ QRISK39 was computed using the QRISK3-2018 risk calculator with and without assuming CV risk similar to patients with RA (QRISK3*RA). Modified FRS (mFRS) and SCORE (mSCORE) were calculated by applying a multiplication factor of 1.5. Patients with preset cut-off values of FRS/mFRS>20%, QRISK3/ QRISK3*RA>20%, and SCORE/mSCORE>5% were regarded as high CV risk groups. Predicted risk for first CVE in patients with a follow-up time <10 years were adjusted proportionally.

Endpoints

The primary outcome was first CVE abovementioned during follow-up, and death due to CVD. The assignment of cause of death was based on case notes or CMS records. For patients who died during or shortly after a hospital stay for a documented condition, the cause of death was considered ascertained. The secondary outcome was the performance of three original and adapted CV risk algorithms.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were expressed as number with percentage, mean \pm SD for normally distributed data, and median with interquartile range (IOR) for nonnormally distributed data. Hosmer-Lemeshow (HL) test was used for testing the goodness of fit between the observed and predicted CVE stratified in deciles and quartiles as appropirate. Differences between the two groups with or without CVE at baseline were assessed using Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney U test and γ^2 test where appropriate. Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regressions were used to investigate the association between the time-varying inflammatory burden (inflammatory makers [CRP and ESR] and disease activitv [BASDAI]), medications (NSAIDs and DMARDs) and the development of a first CVE after adjusting for the baseline CV risk scores. Inflammatory marker was added as a continuous variable (ESR/CRP) and as a dichotomous variable: pro-inflammatory response (yes/no). The cutoff value for the presence of pro-inflammatory response was defined as CRP > 3 mg/L which was suggested to be associated with elevated CV risk in the general population.²⁶ The cut-off for ESR was $\geq 20 \text{ mm/h}$. Inflammatory markers were measured regularly (every 6-12 months) and a vearly interval was maintained for the timedependent analyses. Patients with high inflammatory burden (CRP > 3 mg/L or ESR $\ge 20 \text{ mm/h}$ or BASDAI \geq 4) was compared with patients with low inflammatory burden (CRP≤3mg/l or ESR < 20 mm/h or BASDAI < 4) at each interval. In addition, drug use was treated as a time-varying exposure, non-users of NSAIDs and DMARDs were compared with NSAIDs and DMARDs users for each interval of follow-up. Different kinds of NSAIDs and bDMARDs use were conflated respectively to form a mutually exclusive group of either user or nonuser. Intervals with missing data were dropped from the analysis. Time-varying variables with a $p \le 0.06$ in the univariable analysis were included in the mutivariate models. Time-varying Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were performed using R

version 4.0 (https://www.r-project.org/) in the package named 'survival' and 'survminer' with counting process method. The effect of high *versus* low inflammatory burden during the follow-up intervals on CVE-free survival was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. The survival distribution was compared using log-rank test. All baseline statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.26.0 for Windows. A $p \le 0.05$ was considered statistially significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Four hundred and sixty-three patients were recruited (median age of 35 [26–45] years, 360 were male [77.8%]). Three hundred and seventysix (81.2%) r-axSpA and 87 (18.8%) nr-axSpA patients were included. Other baseline characteristics were shown in Table 1. One hundred and forty-two (30.7%), 18 (3.9%), 5 (1.1%) patients had one, two, three or more traditional CV risk factors, respectively (Table 1). Baseline data of 463, 463, and 397 patients were available for calculating the FRS, SCORE, and QRISK3, respectively. Sixteen out of 463 (3.5%), 13/463 (2.8%), and 5/397 (1.1%) patients were classified as having high CV risk according to the FRS, SCORE, and QRISK3 respectively.

Drug-use over time

At baseline, 377 (81.4%), 76 (16.4%), 30 (6.5%), and 77 (16.6%) patients were NSAIDs, SSZ, MTX, and bDMARDs users (Supplementary Figure 1). A further 23 (total 400, 86.4%), 86 (total 162, 35%), 31 (total 61, 13.2%), and 99 (total 176, 38%) patients were identified as using NSAIDs, SSZ, MTX, and bDMARDs during the follow-up assessments. The mean duration of NSAIDs, SSZ, MTX, and bDMARDs exposure during the period of this study, estimated from the number of study assessments where these drugs' use was reported, was 6.2 ± 0.2 years, 4 ± 0.3 years, 1 ± 0.1 years, and 3.9 ± 0.3 years, respectively.

CV events during follow-up and the performance of the *CV* risk scores

A total of 5,501 patient-years of follow-up were available for analysis and 301 (65%) patients were being followed for \geq 10 years. After a median follow-up of 12 (7–19) years, 12 (2.6%) patients died. The cause of death was adjudicated to be due to CVD in 5 (1.1%) patients. Sixty-one patients (13.2%) developed a first nonfatal CVE (1.1 events per 100 patient-years), which included 18 (29.5%) IHD, 8 (13.1%) MI, 3 (4.9%) TIA, 14 (23%) stroke, 15 (24.6%) CHF, and 3 (4.9%) percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty for obstructive IHD.

Among the 61 patients who developed first CVE (CVE +ve group), 5 (8.2%), 7 (11.5%), and 1 (1.8%) patient were identified as high CV risk at baseline using FRS, SCORE, and ORISK3 (Figure 1). The proportion increased to 9 (14.8%), 11 (18%), and 1 (1.9%) using the mFRS, QRISK3*RA, and mSCORE, respectively. Overall, a discrepancy between predicted risk and observed CVEs was found. All risk scores underestimated CV risk and the HL test demonstrated poor model fit (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, and p = 0.039, respectively). FRS and ORISK3 underestimated CV risk in the middle and top deciles, but overestimated CV risk in the lower deciles. SCORE underestimated CV risk in the middle quartiles and overestimated CV risk in the top and lowest quartiles (Figure 2); the model fit of modified CV risk scores did not demonstrate significant improvement (HL test: p < 0.001, p < 0.001, and p = 0.033, respectively).

Baseline characteristics comparison between CVE +ve and CVE -ve group

The CVE +ve group were older, with more traditional CV risk factors and higher CV risk scores; the use of statins, antihypertensives, and aspirin were also more common. Higher inflammatory burden (as reflected by longer disease duration, higher BASDAI, CRP, and ESR) and worse function (higher BASFI) were observed in the CVE +ve group (Table 1).

Time-varying inflammatory burden and treatment predicting CV events

The time-varying univariable cox regression model revealed that a higher ESR ($\geq 20 \text{ mm/h}$) level was associated with a significantly higher risk of developing CVE during follow-up [HR: 2.07, 95% CI (1.10, 3.98), p = 0.008] (Table 2). In addition, active disease as indicated by a rising BASDAI or a high BASDAI (≥ 4) also showed positive trend towards a higher risk of first CVE [HR: 1.17, 95% CI (1.00, 1.36), p = 0.052 and HR: 2.18, 95% CI (1.00, 4.32), p = 0.051,

 Table 1.
 Baseline characteristics of entire cohort, the subgroups of patients who did or did not develop cardiovascular events during subsequent follow-up.

	Entire cohort (<i>N</i> = 463)	CVE -ve (<i>N</i> = 402)	CVE +ve (<i>N</i> = 61)	<i>p</i> value
Cardiovascular risk factors				
Sex (male), <i>n</i> (%)	360 (77.8)	308 (76.6)	52 (85.2)	0.131
Age (years)	35 (26–45)	33 (25–43)	47 (40–54)	<0.001*
BMI (kg/m²)	26.7 (1.8)	26.8 (1.78)	26.3 (2.06)	0.087
Smoker (current), <i>n</i> (%)	115 (24.8)	99 (24.6)	16 (26.2)	0.787
Drinker, n (%)	99 (21.4)	86 (21.4)	13 (21.3)	0.988
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121 (110–133)	120 (110–132)	126 (115–142)	0.008*
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74 (67–82)	74 (67–81)	75 (69–86)	0.335
Diabetes, n (%)	11 (2.4)	7 (1.7)	4 (6.6)	0.044*
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	46 (9.9)	35 (8.7)	11 (18)	0.023*
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	24 (5)	16 (3.9)	8 (12.7)	0.008*
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.7 (4.1–5.2)	4.7 (4.1–5.2)	4.9 (4.2–5.7)	0.114
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	0.9 (0.7–1.4)	0.9 (0.7–1.3)	1 (0.8–1.9)	0.032*
HDL (mmol/L)	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	1.4 (1.1–1.6)	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.286
LDL (mmol/L)	2.8 (2.3–3.3)	2.7 (2.3–3.2)	3 (2.4–3.5)	0.182
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.1 (4.8–5.4)	5.1 (4.8–5.4)	5.3 (4.9–5.8)	0.016*
TC/HDL ratio	3.3 (2.8–4.2)	3.32 (2.8–4.1)	5.3 (4.9–5.8)	0.057
Framingham risk scoreª	2.5 (0.8–6.0)	2 (0.7–5.1)	6.4 (3.7–10.1)	<0.001*
QRISK3ª	2.6 (0.7–7.2)	2.1 (0.6–5.5)	8.1 (5.7–13.7)	0.005*
SCORE ^a	1 (1–2)	0 (1-1)	2 (1–3)	<0.001*
Disease related parameters				
Disease duration (years)	0 (0-1)	0 (0-1)	0 (0–7)	<0.001*
Symptom duration (years)	6 (2–13)	6 (2–13)	8 (3–17)	0.178
Family history of spondyloarthritis, <i>n</i> (%)	82 (17.7)	72 (17.9)	10 (16.4)	0.772
HLA-B27 +ve, n (%)	305 (65.9%)	277 (68.9)	28 (45.9)	0.002*
BASDAI (0–10)	4.9 (3–6.5)	4.8 (3-6.4)	6.2 (3.5–7)	0.01*
$BASDAI \ge 4$, n (%)	296 (63.9)	253 (62.9)	43 (70.5)	0.252
BASFI (0-10)	4.1 (2–6.1)	4 (2–6)	5.3 (2.7-6.9)	0.021*
Peripheral arthritis, n (%)	112 (24.2)	95 (23.6)	17 (27.9)	0.471
Enthesitis, <i>n</i> (%)	63 (13.6)	53 (13.2)	10 (16.4)	0.496

(Continued)

THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Musculoskeletal Disease

Table 1. (Continued)

	Entire cohort (<i>N</i> = 463)	CVE -ve (<i>N</i> = 402)	CVE +ve (<i>N</i> = 61)	<i>p</i> value
Dactylitis, n (%)	15 (3.2)	11 (2.7)	4 (6.6)	0.122
Uveitis, n (%)	81 (17.5)	69 (17.2)	12 (19.7)	0.631
CRP (mg/L)	10.9 (3.6–26.4)	10.3 (3–25.7)	15.2 (8.1–36)	0.003*
ESR (mm/first hour)	27 (13–48)	26 (12–46)	40 (21–63)	<0.001*
ESR≥20, <i>n</i> (%)	293 (63.3)	243 (60.4)	50 (82)	0.001*
Medications				
Non-selective NSAIDs, n (%)	377 (81.4)	325 (80.8)	52 (85.2)	0.41
COX-2, n (%)	59 (12.7)	54 (13.4)	5 (8.2)	0.253
csDMARDs, n (%)	95 (20.5)	83 (20.6)	12 (19.7)	0.861
SSZ, n (%)	76 (16.4)	66 (16.4)	10 (16.4)	0.996
MTX, n [%]	30 (6.5)	28 (7)	2 (3.3)	0.215
bDMARDs, n (%)	77 (16.6)	71 (17.7)	6 (9.8)	0.084
Metformin, n (%)	5 (1.1)	3 (0.7)	2 (3.3)	0.131
Steroids, n (%)	11 (2.4)	8 (2)	3 (4.9)	0.166
Statin, n (%)	14 (3)	7 (1.7)	7 (11.5)	0.001*
Anti-hypertensive drugs, <i>n</i> (%)	44 (9.5)	32 (8)	12 (19.7)	0.004*
Aspirin, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (1.3)	2 (0.5)	4 (6.6)	0.003*

Data are expressed as n (%) or mean (SD) or median (IQR).

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Functional Index; bDMARDS, biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; BMI, body mass index; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor; CRP, C-reactive protein; csDMARDs, conventional synthetic Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs; CVE +ve, patients who developed cardiovascular events during subsequent follow-up; CVE –ve, patients who did not develop cardiovascular events during subsequent follow-up; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MTX, methotrexate; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation; SSZ, sulfasalazine; HLA-B27, human leukocyte antigen B27; TC, total cholesterol . QRISK3 means the third version of QEISK. ^aBaseline data of 463, 463, and 397 patients were available for calculating the FRS, SCORE, and QRISK3, respectively. ^{*}Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

respectively]. ESR and CRP levels, baseline disease duration all types of NSAIDs, bDMARDs and csDMARDs were not associated with increased risk of CVE.

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was performed to illustrate the effect of high *versus* low inflammatory burden on time to first CVD event. A significant difference in the CV event-free survival between patients with ESR \geq 20 mm/h and ESR<20 mm/h was demonstrated in Figure 3(a). A trend suggestive of lower CV event-free survival in patients with active disease (BASDAI \geq 4) *versus* low disease activity (BASDAI < 4, p = 0.05; Figure 3(b)) was also observed.

After adjusting for baseline disease duration and the traditional CV risk scores (FRS, QRISK3, and SCORE) in the multivariable models (Table 3), the association between time-varying high ESR level (ESR ≥ 20 mm/h) remained significant, with a HR ranging from 2.74 to 2.95 (Table 3). The association between BASDAI and BASDAI ≥ 4 with CVE was no longer significant after adjusting for covariates. No significant interactions were found between age and ESR ≥ 20 mm/h (data not shown).

L Shi, SH Lam et al.



Figure 1. Cardiovascular risk scores in patients who did (CVE +ve) or did not (CVE –ve) develop cardiovascular events. Left: Original risk scores. Right: Modified risk scores using 1.5 multiplication factor recommended by EULAR (mFRS and mSCORE) or assuming patients' CV risk was similar to RA patients (QRISK3*RA). CVE +ve, Patients who developed cardiovascular event; CVE –ve, patients who did not develop cardiovascular events; EULAR, European League Against Rheumatism; FRS, Framingham risk score; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation.

Discussion

In our long-term follow-up study, we compared the observed incidence of CVEs with the traditional risk scores in mostly (321/463, 69%) newly diagnosed axSpA patients, and found that the risk scores underestimated the true CV risk. Adapting with multiplication factors for these CV risk algorithms has not yielded precise CVD risk estimation, confirming the finding by Navarini *et al.*¹⁸ This discrepancy between the moderate discriminating abilities of the three scores (as continuous variables) and poor calibrating abilities of the cut-off values

could be explained by the threshold selection (Supplementary Table 2). The cut-off values with best accuracy (highest Youden index) were much lower in the original FRS, QRISK3, and SCORE compared with the preset ones. We also tested whether the performance of the modified scores is improved by adopting the EULAR modification. Although the sensitivity of the various CV risk scores increased from 1.8–12.3% to 1.8–14.8%, a significant proportion of patients with CVE were still misclassified as having 'low risk' (Figure 1). A possible explanation for the underestimation of the



Figure 2. Hosmer–Lemeshow test for the goodness of fit for the observed and expected risk of cardiovascular event (CVE) according to the original and modified cardiovascular risk scores. Left: Original risk scores. Right: Modified risk scores using 1.5 multiplication factor recommended by EULAR (mFRS and mSCORE) or assuming patients' CV risk was similar to RA patients (QRISK3*RA).

ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk algorithm; FRS, Framingham risk score; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation.

CV risk is chronic inflammation in patients with AS. Chronic systemic inflammation can lead to endothelial dysfunction and accelerated atherosclerosis which cannot be accounted for by these scoring systems. Therefore, we hypothesize that the excess CV risk in axSpA was attributed to inflammatory burden (measured by disease activity and inflammatory markers). This is the first study to demonstrate that chronic inflammatory burden, as reflected by the increasing time-varying ESR level, can predict CV events in axSpA patients independent of baseline traditional CV risk. Inflammation accelerated subclinical atherosclerosis in AS had been reported.¹⁹ This study further demonstrates that active disease in axSpA is associated with an increased risk of developing CVE. Patients who were able to achieve and maintain low inflammatory burden with ESR < 20 mm/h over time appeared to have a significantly lower risk of CVD than patients with more active disease regardless of baseline traditional CV risk factors. Several other studies have reported similar results in RA and PsA. In RA, bouts of uncontrolled high disease activity were associated with a higher risk **Table 2.** Univariable analysis with time-dependent Cox proportional hazard regression for the predictors of cardiovascular events.

Variableª	Total person-time interval	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
ESR	3081	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.436
ESR≥20 mm/first hour	3081	2.07 (1.10, 3.98)	0.008*b
CRP	3243	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.913
CRP>3mg/l	3243	1.41 (0.69, 2.87)	0.347
CRP>10 mg/l	3243	1.07 (0.53, 2.17)	0.841
BASDAI	2098	1.17 (1.00, 1.37)	0.052 ^b
BASDAI≥4	2098	2.18 (1.05, 4.54)	0.051 ^b
BLDD	5497	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)	0.379
NSAIDs	5510	1.25 (0.75, 2.07)	0.395
Cox selective inhibitor		1.23 (0.55, 2.74)	0.609
Non-Cox selective inhibitor		1.23 (0.72, 2.08)	0.446
Diclofenac		1.55 (0.85, 2.81)	0.153
Naproxen		1.61 (0.64, 4.07)	0.31
Indomethacin		0.67 (0.24, 1.81)	0.417
Piroxicam		0.00 (0.00, ∞)	0.997
Sulindac		0.92 (0.13, 6.67)	0.934
Mefenamic acid		0.00 (0.00, ∞)	0.996
bDMARDs	5497	0.41 (0.10, 1.71)	0.223
csDMARDs	5497	1.29 (0.61, 2.74)	0.504
FRS (baseline)	N/A	1.06 (1.04, 1.08)	< 0.001*
QRISK3 (baseline)	N/A	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	0.076
SCORE (baseline)	N/A	1.21 (1.11, 1,31)	< 0.001*

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; bDMARDS, biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; BLDD, baseline disease duration; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; csDMARDs, conventional synthetic diseasemodifying antirheumatic drugs; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FRS, Framingham Risk Score; HR, hazard ratio; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation. QRISK3 means the third version of QEISK. ^aVariables are time-varying unless otherwise specified.

^bParameters with a p < 0.06 are included in the multivariable analysis.

*Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

of CVD,²⁷ and reduced time-averaged disease activity was linked to fewer CVEs.²⁸ Remission in RA, defined as Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) ≤ 2.8 , was found to significantly reduce CV risk markers, supporting remission as a management target.²⁹ In PsA, we have recently demonstrated that baseline and time-varying higher inflammatory burden was associated with an increased risk of CV events.^{30,31} Overall, these data suggest that inflammatory arthritis patients who can achieve and maintain remission or low disease activity during follow-up may be less likely

THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Musculoskeletal Disease



Figure 3. (a) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis between time-varying erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) level and cardiovascular event (CVE). p = 0.006 for difference in CVE-free survival rate between patients with ESR ≥ 20 mm/h and ESR < 20 mm/h during their follow-up intervals using log-rank test. (b) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis between time-varying Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) level and cardiovascular event (CVE). p = 0.05 for difference in CVE-free survival rate between patients with BASDAI ≥ 4 and BASDAI < 4 during their follow-up intervals using log-rank test.

to develop bouts of uncontrolled, sustained high systemic inflammation needed to drive the atherosclerotic process.

Previous study reported that baseline CRP and ASDAS predicted future increased arterial stiffness.²⁰ In contrast, we did not find any association between CRP level (baseline or time-varying) and future CVE. It is well known that CRP levels are not elevated in the majority of axSpA patients.³² Even though baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) can independently predict future CVE in the general population, whether it can also predict CVE in axSpA patients deserves further studies.^{33,34} On the other hand, high ESR level (≥20mm/h) was associated with almost three folds increased risk of developing CV events in our study, concurred with the findings from the general population.³⁵ Elevations in ESR reflect disease states that involve increased plasma protein/fibrinogen levels such as autoimmune conditions or cardiovascular disease. Furthermore, CRP has a shorter half-life (4–7 hours), while ESR level can take weeks to completely normalize after a bout of inflammation.³⁶ Therefore, ESR may be a better marker for monitoring or following the course of inflammation over time. ESR were also

reported to be a predictor for the presence of carotid plaques in patients with AS without CVD,³⁷ suggesting that ESR may be a better marker of 'low-grade' inflammation responsible for accelerating atherosclerosis in axSpA. In terms of disease activity marker, time-varying BASDAI (or BASDAI \geq 4) lost statistical significance after adjusting for the time-varying ESR level and the CV risk scores. Unfortunately, patient global assessment was not routinely performed in our cohort and therefore ASDAS cannot be computed. Whether ASDAS may be a better disease activity marker associated with CV event would need to be addressed in future study.

Long-term or a high-dose NSAIDs exposure are associated with increased risk of CV events, especially among patients with CV risk factors or CVD.³⁸ Variation in CV risk among different NSAIDs have been reported.^{39,40} Nonetheless, these effects may be different in axSpA patients who have increased inflammatory burden. While CV toxicity was observed with diclofenac, but not with Naprosyn use in a recent spondylarthritis cohort,⁴¹ data from population-based study suggest that NSAID use may be cardioprotective, and frequent use of NSAID (medication possession

Table 3a						
	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value
$\text{ESR} \ge 20$	2.74 (1.22, 6.13)	0.014*	2.75 (1.21, 6.24)	0.016*	2.92 (1.30, 6.56)	0.009*
BASDAI	1.07 (0.90, 1.28)	0.452	1.06 (0.88, 1.28)	0.532	1.07 (0.90, 1.28)	0.439
BLDD	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	0.926	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.815	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	0.906
FRS	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)	< 0.001*				
QRISK3			1.05 (0.99, 1.11)	0.137		
SCORE					1.25 (1.08, 1.45)	0.002*

Table 3. Multivariable analysis with time-dependent Cox proportional hazard regression for the predictors of cardiovascular events.

Table 3b						
	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value	Time-dependent HR (95% CI)	p value
$\text{ESR} \ge 20$	2.77 (1.24, 6.19)	0.013*	2.79 (1.23, 6.31)	0.014*	2.95 (1.32, 6.59)	0.008*
$BASDAI \geq 4$	1.37 (0.62, 2.99)	0.434	1.26 (0.57, 2.77)	0.562	1.42 (0.65, 3.09)	0.377
BLDD	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	0.967	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.781	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	0.945
FRS	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)	< 0.001*				
QRISK3			1.05 (0.98, 1.11)	0.144		
SCORE					1.24 (1.08, 1.44)	0.003*

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BLDD, baseline disease duration; CI, confidence interval; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FRS, Framingham Risk Score; HR, hazard ratio; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation. QRISK3 means the third version of QEISK. *Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

since they represent different models, unapplicable region for each model will be shaded in grey.

rate [MPR] $\geq 80\%$), especially celecoxib, showed a negative association with CV events as well as more baseline comorbidities compared with not frequent user or non-user in AS individuals.^{42–44} Non-exposure to NSAIDs was associated with over four-fold higher all-cause mortality (odds ratio [OR] = 4.35, 95% CI [1.75, 10.77]) in an AS cohort in which circulatory disease contributed to 40% of mortality.² Therefore, effect of NSAIDs use on CV event in patients with axSpA remained controversial. In the current study, we did not find any association between time-varying NASIDs or CoX-2 inhibitor and CVE, further prospective study is required to address this question. Similarly, data on the use of biologic DMARDs and CVE in axSpA is scarce. A meta-analysis observed no association between TNFi drug and MI.⁴⁵ In contrast, a recent retrospective cohort study found that baseline use of anti-TNF in SpA patients is associated with lower risk for major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE).46 Nonetheless, this study included all types of SpA patients and details including time-varying anti-TNF use and disease activity over time were omitted. After analyzing the detailed use of biologics as well as inflammatory markers and over time, we did not observe any effect of drug use and CV events, suggesting that effective suppression of inflammation is the key in preventing progression of atherosclerosis.

There are some strengths in our study. First, this is the first longitudinal cohort to investigate the effect of time-varying inflammatory burden and treatments as predictors for future CVE in patients with axSpA. Second, we included nraxSpA population and found no differences in CV outcomes compared with r-axSpA subgroup. Third, this is the first long-term study with a large sample size to confirm that the traditional/modified CV risk scores underestimated CVE. Nonetheless, our study has some limitations. First, age restrictions in some CV risk score restricted the use of these risk scores in the younger axSpA patients. Second, whether timevarying ASDAS may be a better predictor of CV events in these patients deserves further study. Third, carotid ultrasound was not performed in this cohort. Whether the presence of carotid plaques can predict cardiovascular event in axSpA would be worth addressing in future studies.

Summary

Increased inflammatory burden as reflected by elevated ESR levels (ESR \geq 20) over time was associated with increased risk of CVE, while the use of NSAIDs and DMARDs were not. These results highlight that tight control of inflammation may be an important target to reduce CV risk in axSpA patients.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee (no. 2020. 519). Patients and/ or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Written informed consent was waived.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Author contributions

Lin-Hong Shi: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

Steven H. Lam: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Ho So: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Edmund K. Li: Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Tena Li: Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Cheuk-Chun Szeto: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Lai-Shan Tam: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

The authors show gratitude to all medical staffs and research assistants. Furthermore, the authors thank all the supports from family members.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Competing interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Availability of data and materials

Data are available upon reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

Steven H. Lam D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2147-0995

Ho So D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7113-9390

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. Exarchou S, Lie E, Lindström U, *et al.* Mortality in ankylosing spondylitis: results from a nationwide population-based study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; 75: 1466–1472.
- Bakland G, Gran JT and Nossent JC. Increased mortality in ankylosing spondylitis is related to disease activity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70: 1921–1925.

- 3. Mathieu S and Soubrier M. Cardiovascular events in ankylosing spondylitis: a 2018 metaanalysis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019; 78: e57.
- 4. Martín-Martínez MA, Castañeda S, Sánchez-Alonso F, *et al.* Cardiovascular mortality and cardiovascular event rates in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases in the CARdiovascular in rheuMAtology (CARMA) prospective study-results at 5 years of follow-up. *Rheumatology* 2021; 60: 2906–2915.
- Lauper K, Courvoisier DS, Chevallier P, et al. Incidence and prevalence of major adverse cardiovascular events in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and axial spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2018; 70: 1756–1763.
- Zhao SS, Robertson S, Reich T, *et al.* Prevalence and impact of comorbidities in axial spondyloarthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology* 2020; 59(Suppl. 4): iv47–iv57.
- D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2008; 117: 743–753.
- Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J* 2003; 24: 987–1003.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C and Brindle P. Development and validation of QRISK3 risk prediction algorithms to estimate future risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2017; 357: j2099.
- Arts EE, Popa C, Den Broeder AA, et al. Performance of four current risk algorithms in predicting cardiovascular events in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015; 74: 668–674.
- Arts EE, Popa CD, Den Broeder AA, et al. Prediction of cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis: performance of original and adapted SCORE algorithms. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 75: 674–680.
- Ozen G, Sunbul M, Atagunduz P, et al. The 2013 ACC/AHA 10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk index is better than SCORE and QRisk II in rheumatoid arthritis: is it enough? *Rheumatology* 2016; 55: 513–522.
- Ernste FC, Sánchez-Menéndez M, Wilton KM, et al. Cardiovascular risk profile at the onset of psoriatic arthritis: a population-based cohort study. *Arthritis Care Res* 2015; 67: 1015–1021.
- 14. Agca R, Heslinga SC, Rollefstad S, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for cardiovascular disease risk management in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

and other forms of inflammatory joint disorders: 2015/2016 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76: 17–28.

- Navarini L, Margiotta DPE, Caso F, et al. Performances of five risk algorithms in predicting cardiovascular events in patients with psoriatic arthritis: an Italian bicentric study. PLoS ONE 2018; 13: e0205506.
- Eder L, Chandran V and Gladman DD. The Framingham Risk Score underestimates the extent of subclinical atherosclerosis in patients with psoriatic disease. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014; 73: 1990–1996.
- 17. Shen J, Lam SH, Shang Q, *et al.* Underestimation of risk of carotid subclinical atherosclerosis by cardiovascular risk scores in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2018; 45: 218–226.
- Navarini L, Caso F, Costa L, et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction in ankylosing spondylitis: from traditional scores to machine learning assessment. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020; 7: 867–882.
- Yuan Y, Yang J, Zhang X, et al. Carotid intimamedia thickness in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and updated meta-analysis. J Atheroscler Thromb 2019; 26: 260–271.
- 20. Berg IJ, Semb AG, van der Heijde D, et al. CRP and ASDAS are associated with future elevated arterial stiffness, a risk marker of cardiovascular disease, in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results after 5-year follow-up. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 1562–1566.
- Rudwaleit M, Van Der Heijde D, Landewé R, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68: 777–783.
- 22. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1453–1457.
- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, et al. A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index. J Rheumatol 1994; 21: 2286–2291.
- Calin A, Garrett S, Whitelock H, et al. A new approach to defining functional ability in ankylosing spondylitis: the development of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index. *J Rheumatol* 1994; 21: 2281–2285.

- Chiu M, Austin PC, Manuel DG, et al. Comparison of cardiovascular risk profiles among ethnic groups using population health surveys between 1996 and 2007. CMAJ 2010; 182: E301–E310.
- Ridker PM. A test in context: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016; 67: 712–723.
- Myasoedova E, Chandran A, Ilhan B, et al. The role of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) flare and cumulative burden of RA severity in the risk of cardiovascular disease. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 75: 560–565.
- 28. Arts EE, Fransen J, den Broeder AA, *et al.* The effect of disease duration and disease activity on the risk of cardiovascular disease in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015; 74: 998–1003.
- 29. Provan SA, Semb AG, Hisdal J, *et al.* Remission is the goal for cardiovascular risk management in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a crosssectional comparative study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70: 812–817.
- Lam SHM, Cheng IT, Li EK, *et al.* DAPSA, carotid plaque and cardiovascular events in psoriatic arthritis: a longitudinal study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79: 1320–1326.
- Lam SH, So H, Cheng IT, et al. Association of C-reactive protein and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs with cardiovascular events in patients with psoriatic arthritis: a time-dependent Cox regression analysis. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2021; 13: 1759720X211027712.
- Dougados M, Gueguen A, Nakache JP, et al. Clinical relevance of C-reactive protein in axial involvement of ankylosing spondylitis. J Rheumatol 1999; 26: 971–974.
- Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, et al. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. N Engl J Med 2000; 342: 836–843.
- Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, et al. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. N Engl J Med 1997; 336: 973–979.
- Cho SW, Kim BG, Kim BO, *et al.* Hemorheological and glycemic parameters and HDL cholesterol for the prediction of cardiovascular events. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2016; 106: 56–61.
- 36. Bray C, Bell LN, Liang H, *et al.* Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein

measurements and their relevance in clinical medicine. WMJ 2016; 115: 317–321.

- Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Vazquez-Rodriguez TR, Miranda-Filloy JA, *et al.* The high prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis in patients with ankylosing spondylitis without clinically evident cardiovascular disease. *Medicine* 2009; 88: 358–365.
- 38. EMA. New safety advice for diclofenac. New measures aim to minimise cardiovascular risks, 2013, http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/ en_GB/document_library/Referrals_document/ Diclofenac-containing_medicinal_products/ European_Commission_final_decision/ WC500155819.pdf
- 39. McGettigan P and Henry D. Cardiovascular risk and inhibition of cyclooxygenase: a systematic review of the observational studies of selective and nonselective inhibitors of cyclooxygenase 2. *JAMA* 2006; 296: 1633–1644.
- Coxib and Traditional NSAID Trialists' (CNT) Collaboration. Vascular and upper gastrointestinal effects of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs: meta-analyses of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet* 2013; 382: 769–779.
- 41. Dubreuil M, Louie-Gao Q, Peloquin CE, *et al.* Risk of myocardial infarction with use of selected non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in patients with spondyloarthritis and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77: 1137–1142.
- 42. Haroon NN, Paterson JM, Li P, *et al.* Patients with ankylosing spondylitis have increased cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality: a population-based study. *Ann Intern Med* 2015; 163: 409–416.
- 43. Tsai WC, Ou TT, Yen JH, *et al.* Long-term frequent use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs might protect patients with ankylosing spondylitis from cardiovascular diseases: a nationwide case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 2015; 10: e0126347.
- 44. Wu LC, Leong PY, Yeo KJ, *et al.* Celecoxib and sulfasalazine had negative association with coronary artery diseases in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a nation-wide, population-based casecontrol study. *Medicine* 2016; 95: e4792.
- 45. Karmacharya P, Shahukhal R, Crowson CS, *et al.* Effects of therapies on cardiovascular events in ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020; 7: 993–1009.
- 46. Chan SCW, Teo CK, Li PH, et al. Cardiovascular risk in patients with spondyloarthritis and association with anti-TNF drugs. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 2021; 13: 1759720X211032444.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tab

SAGE journals