

Synthesis of Cannabinoids: "In Water" and "On Water" Approaches: Influence of SDS Micelles

José F. Quílez del Moral,* Cristina Ruiz Martínez, Helena Pérez del Pulgar, Juan Eduardo Martín González, Ignacio Fernández, José Luis López-Pérez,* Alejandro Fernández-Arteaga, and Alejandro F. Barrero*



water" reactions. The same reactions were conducted in organic media using Ga(III) salts as catalysts. Worthy of being underlined, an unprecedented formal [2+2+2] process was found to occur between two citral molecules and the corresponding phenolic species in both aqueous and organic environments. Computational studies were performed in order to gain a comprehensive mechanistic and energetic understanding of the different steps of



this singular process. Finally, the influence of SDS micelles in the chemical behavior of olivetol and citral was also pursued using PGSE diffusion and NOESY NMR studies. These data permitted to tentatively propose the existence of a mixed micelle between olivetol and SDS assemblies.

INTRODUCTION

The quest for more sustainable and green chemistry has caused an increasing interest in the use of water as a solvent in organic processes.¹ Apart from the obvious environmental benefits, many reactions also experienced improved or unexpected reactivities when performed in water.

Cannabinoids are a group of terpenophenolic compounds naturally existing in the Indian plant Cannabis sativa, consumed for centuries due to the euphoric sensations experienced after the plant is smoked.² These natural products are biosynthesized from geranyl diphosphate and olivetolic acid.² Thus, different biomimetic-like approaches toward the synthesis of cannabinoids such as tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) or cannabicromene (CBC) involve the reaction between olivetol (or other resorcinols) and citral.³ Multiple pharmacological studies on these substances, including their interaction with the G proteincoupled receptors, CB1 and CB2, the ion channel TRPV1, have been carried out due to their growing therapeutic interest.⁴ In fact, several states in the US, Canada, and other countries worldwide have approved their therapeutic use of marijuana.

Encouraged by that interest and taking into consideration both that some of the synthetic procedures leading to these cannabinoids involve cycloaddition reactions,^{3c,5} and the fact that a number of pericyclic reactions are reported to efficiently proceed using water as a solvent, we envisaged that the

cannabinoid skeleton of both THC and CBS could also be built on performing the reaction of citral and resorcinol derivatives using water as the solvent of choice (Scheme 1).⁶

To perform the reaction in water as a solvent, it could be convenient to use either pure water, or a microemulsion, or a

Scheme 1. Biomimmetic-Like Approach to Cannabinoids



Received: November 11, 2020 Published: February 3, 2021





pubs.acs.org/joc

HO 1	_он	2	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ \hline 6 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $		OH 8' 6'	OH 2' 3' 4' 9 5' 4' 9 4' 9 4' 4' 6 1 2' 4' 4' 5' 6 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1'	6		он		н
entry	1 (equiv)	2 (equiv)	solvent	temperature (°C)	time (h)	catalyst (equiv)	3 (%)	4 (%)	5 (%)	6 (%)	7 (%)
1	1	2	water	reflux	42		37				
2	1	1.5	water	40	1.5	DBSA (0.1)	17	14			
3	1	2	water	reflux	62	HCl (1)					
4	1	2	water	reflux	62	NaOH (1)					
5	1.5	1	toluene	reflux	3	pyrrolidine (2)			6	8	
6	1	1.1	DCM	rt	1	$GaCl_3(0.1)$	24	25			20
7	1	1.1	DCM	rt	2	$GaBr_{3}(0.1)$	26	24			22
8	1	1.1	DCM	rt	0.5	$GaI_{3}(0.1)$	26	27			18
9	1	1.1	DCM	rt	14.5	$InI_{3}(0.1)$	28	28			27

Table 1. Reaction of Citral and Resorcinol

related type of organized colloidal system able to mix the hydrophobic organic reagents. Being macroscopically homogeneous but microscopically dispersed, they can be regarded as something between the solvent-based one-phase systems and the true two-phase systems. There are some surfactants, not expensive, that allow the promotion of the existence of a certain region of microemulsion in systems containing both hydrophobic and hydrophilic organic compounds. In this work, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and *p*-dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid (DBSA) are used to formulate these microemulsions.⁷

Additionally, we also analyzed the outcome of these reactions in the presence of different indium and gallium halides as catalysts but using conventional organic solvents such as dichloromethane (DCM). By doing so, we intended not only to expand the limited-existing studies on these groups of Lewis acids⁸ but also to check whether the processes performed in water parallels or differ from those employing organic solvents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction of Citral with Resorcinol. We started our study by choosing resorcinol (1) as the phenolic component. After some experimentation, including variations on temperature, concentration, and quantities of both citral and resorcinol, we found that when 2 equiv of commercial citral (2) (a 4:1 mixture of the E-isomer geranial and the Z-isomer neral) reacts with 1 equiv of resorcinol in refluxing water for 42 h, the ortho-THC analogue 3 was generated as the only detected reaction product. One- and two-dimensional NMR experiments allowed unraveling the ortho-THC skeleton and the trans configuration at the interannular junction, with no traces of the corresponding cis diastereoisomer. The solubility of resorcinol in water would suggest that this reaction would take place "in water".¹⁰ The "in water" nature of this process may also be argued to rationalize the selectivity of the condensation step (which takes place exclusively at the C4 position of resorcinol), since the C2 position is blocked by the existence of hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl groups at C-1 and C-3 of orcinol and water.

The addition of the Bronsted acid surfactant, p-dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid (DBSA), did not improve the efficiency of the reaction (Table 1, entry 2). Interestingly, the nonpreviously reported *cis*-THC derivative 4 was obtained in a similar yield to that of its stereoisomer 3. On the other hand, the addition of a strong acid or a strong base such as HCl and NaOH, respectively, did not lead to the formation of any reaction product (Table 1, entries 3 and 4).

When the reaction is performed following "standard" organic conditions, that is, using toluene as a solvent in the presence of pyrrolidine as a catalyst (Table 1, entry 5), the obtained products, although in marginal yields, are derived from the CBC skeletons 5 and 6, in agreement with previous reports.¹¹

Finally, the use of gallium and indium catalysts in DCM (Table 1, entries 6–9) led to similar results to those obtained using water and DBSA (Table 1, entry 2), where compounds 3 and 4 were again the major products, but with the significant presence of a third product that was identified as 7. The structure of this tetracyclic adduct was elucidated after extensive 1D and 2D NMR analysis, including a 2D ADEQUATE experience, and unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1) of the *p*-bromobenzoate derivative of 7 (7a) obtained via derivatization of the phenol moiety.



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction structure of compound 7a.

Reaction of Citral with Orcinol. We continued our study using a less polar aromatic counterpart, orcinol (8). From the data given in Table 2, it can be concluded that the behavior of orcinol when reacting in water with citral was quite similar to that shown by resorcinol. Thus, the reaction using water with or without the presence of SDS and NH₄Cl led to the exclusive formation of *ortho*-THC derivatives $(9-10)^{12}$ (Table 2, entries 1-3), whereas the reaction performed in toluene originated the CBC analogue 11.¹³ The only difference with respect to the use of orcinol was that now the presence of additives such as NH₄Cl or the surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) improved the efficiency of the corresponding reactions increasing the final yield to 30% in the presence of the former and an increase of 10% in the presence of the latter. The lower polarity of orcinol can be argued to rationalize these results.

pubs.acs.org/joc

Table 2. Reaction of Citral and Orcinol



entry	8 (equiv)	2 (equiv)	solvent	temperature (°C)	time (h)	catalyst (equiv)	9 (%)	10 (%)	11 (%)
1	1	2	water	reflux	72		38		
2	1	2	water	reflux	36	SDS	48		
3	1	1	water	reflux	21	NH_4Cl (0.2)	54	14	
4	1.5	1	toluene	reflux	13	pyrrolidine (1.3)			68

Scheme 2. Mechanistic Proposals for the Formation of 3-11



The mechanistic proposals for the formation of 3-11 are shown in Scheme 2. The process toward all of them would start with a condensation step by the electrophilic attack of resorcinol or orcinol to the carbonyl group of citral to produce after dehydration, the common intermediates **IIa–c**. From **IIb,c**, a partial E/Z isomerization of the double bond at C2' would generate intermediates **IIIb,c**, which would suffer a completely stereospecific intramolecular hetero-Diels–Alder process to give the THC analogues 3 and 9 via *exo* transition states. The stereochemistry in 3 and 9 supports this mechanistic proposal. To gain a comprehensive mechanistic and energetic understanding of the exclusively generation of *trans*-diastereomers 3 or 9, the energies of the intramolecular cyclization reactions leading to both *cis* and *trans* diastereomers were calculated via quantum chemical calculations.¹⁴ The results of these studies showed that the energy of the barrier conducted to the *trans*

Scheme 3. Energy Diagram for the Formation of Species Leading to Compound 7 from Condensation Intermediate IVb^a



"Relative energies [kcal/mol, Minnesota Functional MN15/6-31+G(d,p)]. Selected distances (Å) in TSs.

HO C 12	OH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2		0H C ₅ H ₁₁	H C ₅ H ₁₁ 14		ЭН	1 H ¹	- OH C ₅ H ₁₁	8	HO 17 Δ9 18 Δ8
entry	12 (equiv)	2 (equiv)	solvent	temperature (°C)	time (h)	catalyst (equiv)	13 (%)	14 (%)	15 (%)	16 (%)	$17-18^{a}$ (%)
1	1	1	water	reflux	51		45	5			
2	1	1	water	reflux	6	SDS			47	11	
3	1	1	water	reflux	24	NH_4Cl (0.2)	75				
4	1	0.6	toluene	reflux	1.5	pyrrolidine (1.3)	59				
5	1	1.1	DCM	rt	1	$GaI_{3}(0.1)$			25	8	23
^{<i>a</i>} Obtair	ned in variab	le proportio	ns.								

	Table 3.	Reaction	of Citral	and	Oliveto	1
--	----------	----------	-----------	-----	---------	---

diastereomer is 10.5 kcal/mol below the barrier that would lead to the *cis* diastereomer (see Schemes S1 and S2), which supported the experimental results.

Intermediates IIa-c may also suffer an E/Z isomerization of the C4-C1' double bond to generate intermediates IVa-c, which would evolve to the CBC analogues 5, 6, and 11 after an oxo 6π electrocyclization. A computational study of the evolution of intermediates IV toward the CBC analogues confirmed its feasibility perfectly. Thus, the generation of compound 6 was predicted to have an energetic barrier of only 6 kcal/mol (Scheme S6).

For the generation of 4, an ionic cyclization mechanism is postulated, triggered by the acid nature of DBSA. The cationic nature of this cyclization would explain the poor stereoselectivity of the process (a mixture of 3 and 4 is generated). In this regard, it has been reported that, while the action of Brønsted acids provoked the generation of the *cis*-isomer, the presence of a Lewis acid led to the selective production of the *trans*-isomer.¹⁵ Computational studies were undertaken, where the acid medium was emulated by incorporating an H_3O^+ molecule into the calculation. In the presence of H_3O^+ , the ketone intermediate **Ib** evolves into the more stable enolic form whose intramolecular cyclization leads to both stereoisomers 3 and 4 (Schemes S3 and S4). The energies of both transition states toward 3 (*trans* cyclization) and toward 4 (*cis* cyclization) show a very close energy value with a difference of only 0.7 kcal/mol.

Finally, compound 6 evolves via a formal [2+2+2]heterocycloaddition, initiated by condensation of a second molecule of citral, to produce the tetracyclic structure 7. Although some recent examples of this reaction were reported, mainly via photochemical or radical processes,¹⁶ no precedents of such a reaction involving two alkenes and a carbonyl group are found when the literature was revised to the best of our knowledge. Again, the production of compound 7 can be perfectly justified from the computational point of view with energetic barriers surmountable (Scheme 3). The process is predicted to involve the initial coupling of 6 to a second molecule of citral to generate the corresponding benzylic carbocation, which evolves to the final compound via concerted two carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen forming processes to generate two new cycles. Up to 45 kcal/mol is predicted to be liberated in this step.

Reaction of Citral with Olivetol. Finally, we chose olivetol **12** as the phenolic moiety. Olivetol is practically insoluble in water and contains a five carbon-atom chain, which is also present in both THC and CBC compounds. When olivetol reacted with citral using water as a reaction medium, and at reflux, CBC (**13**) was obtained as the major reaction product (Table 3, entry 1), together with a minor amount of the also natural cannabinoid cannabicitran (**14**).¹⁷ The selectivity of the pericyclic reaction, leading to cannabicitran, which possesses a *cis* transannular union, was rationalized by computational

calculations. Thus, a significant difference between the energetic barriers of the processes leading to both *cis* and *trans* stereoisomers was noticed on these calculations (Schemes S8 and S9).

Contrary to what occurred with orcinol and resorcinol, the reactivity of olivetol in water (regioselectivity of condensation and type of cannabinoid obtained) is the same as that obtained with orcinol and resorcinol but in toluene. In this case, the addition of sub stoichiometric NH_4Cl^{18} increased the yield up to 75% of CBC (Table 3, entry 3). This result clearly indicates a change in the reaction conditions; namely, the process no longer takes place "in water" but "on water", as expected after the addition of the surfactant. In this scenario, the reagents should be surrounded by an organic microenvironment that defines the "on water" structuring.¹⁹ Additionally, the addition of SDS completely changed the reactivity of the process (Table 3, entry 2), with the *ortho*-THC **15** being obtained as the main product.¹²

Together with compound 15, the reaction performed in water with the presence of SDS also produced tetracyclic 16. Similar to 7, the generation of this product involved a formal [2+2+2] cycloaddition process between now CBC (13) and a second molecule of citral. If the uniqueness of this process was worthy of being remarked when 7 was produced (a Ga(III)-mediated process requiring organic solvent), the fact that this formal cycloaddition takes place also in water with the only addition of a surfactant increases its singularity. This supposes a nice example of the possible complementarity of the reactions performed in water with standard organic processes.

The results obtained when gallium iodide was used as catalysts (Table 3, entry 5) also deserved to be mentioned. Thus, racemic $\Delta 9$ -THC (17)²⁰ and its isomer $\Delta 8$ -THC (18),^{20b,21} the major (psycho-)active compounds encountered in *C. sativa*, were obtained as well as tetracyclic 16. Considering that no CBC is obtained in these cases, the generation of THC (17) by the Lewis acid-promoted isomerization of the initially generated CBC (13) should not be completely discarded. In this regard, the thermal isomerization of analogues of CBC to analogues of THC was recently reported.^{5a}

Quantum chemical calculations on the mechanism for the generation of natural cannabicitran (14) were supportive of the previously reported proposals suggesting a concerted hetero-Diels-Alder reaction for the production of 14 (Scheme 4).²²

Study of the Influence of SDS Micelles. At this point, we turned our attention to propose a rationalization of the remarkable difference in reaction mode and regiochemistry found in the reaction of olivetol and citral using water as a





solvent (Scheme 5). When the reaction is performed "on water", the condensation with citral takes place only at C2, the most electron-rich position of the diphenol and, therefore, the kinetically favored product. The steric hindrance caused by the side carbon chain may also be argued to support this regioselectivity.

The specific generation of the *ortho* derivative of THC^{12} via C4 regioselectivity when the reaction proceeds in the presence of SDS can be rationalized considering the specific disposition of the reactants in the presence of the created micelles after the addition of the surfactant. SDS micelles²³ include olivetol and/ or citral and therefore promote their spatial proximity in such a disposition that the phenolic hydroxyls are faced toward the aqueous phase (the outer region of the supramolecular assembly) and the hydrophobic chains oriented toward the core of the micelles. In such a situation, it is plausible to assume that the carbonyl group of citral is oriented toward the outer side of the micelle, and the hydrophobic chain is disposed parallel to that of olivetol and SDS. This disposition blocked the C-2 position of olivetol for reacting, forcing the condensation with citral to take place at C-4.

To support this hypothesis, we focused our effort to cast some light on the behavior of citral, resorcinol, and olivetol in SDS micelles. It is well-known that surfactants in water spontaneously self-assemble to form micelles, where the factors influencing their formation in terms of size and shape have been extensively studied over the last decades.²⁴ The presence of SDS as welldefined micelles in water has also been confirmed by investigating the dependence of their diffusion coefficients as a function of surfactant concentration, where, for instance, in the case of SDS, the critical micelle concentration (CMC) was established at 7 mM in pure $D_2 O_r^{25}$ consistent with other literature.²⁶ With these antecedents in mind, we were interested in the study of the diffusion properties of the two frontier conditions, i.e., resorcinol vs olivetol, in order to explain the change in reactivity when SDS micelles are formed in the reaction crude.

Figures S1-S4 show conventional Stejskal-Tanner plots from the ¹H PGSE NMR diffusion measurements for 2 mM samples of resorcinol (1), citral (2), and olivetol (12), with and without SDS at 40 mM. As the observed resonances in the ¹H NMR spectra of the reactants in the presence of SDS are an average of free and bound (to SDS micelles) species, when the slope of the curves is smaller, the D value is smaller, and the hydrodynamic radius of the effective diffusing species would be larger, due to its interaction with the supramolecular entity. When this situation occurs, the obtained D value is referred to as the apparent diffusion coefficient. Often, the Stokes-Einstein equation and its modifications are useful and enable a molecular size estimation of large particles that are much larger than the solvent.²⁶ These calculated hydrodynamic radii, $r_{\rm H}$, assume spherical shapes; hence, they do not represent the real shape of the molecules. Nevertheless, their use is well established for comparisons because they offer a rapid and easy method to recognize molecular size. Although the viscosity of the prepared solutions varies, the viscosity of the pure solvents for radii calculation in the Stokes-Einstein equation is well established.27

As mentioned above, several diffusion experiments were performed using D_2O samples of SDS micelles at 40 mM; results are given in Table 4. For the diffusion studies presented, the partially deuterated chloroform and water signals were used as internal standards, ensuring good quality measurements in the

pubs.acs.org/joc

Scheme 5. Regiochemistry in the Reaction of Olivetol and Citral Using Water as a Solvent



Table 4. Diffusion Coefficient (D) and Stokes-Einstein Hydrodynamic Radius ($r_{\rm H}$) Values for Compounds 1, 2, and 12 and SDS at 294 K^{*a*}

entry	solvent	compound	$D \times 10^9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	$r_{\rm H} \left({\rm \AA} \right)^{b}$
1	D_2O	SDS (40 mM)	0.1213	18.3
		HDO	1.8838	1.2
2	D_2O	resorcinol (40 mM)	0.6951	3.1
		HDO	1.8288	1.2
3	$CDCl_3$	citral (40 mM)	1.3875	2.8
		CHCl ₃	2.5789	1.5
4	$CDCl_3$	olivetol (40 mM)	1.2824	3.0
		CHCl ₃	2.6072	1.5
5	D_2O	resorcinol (2 mM)	0.6067	3.6
		SDS (40 mM)	0.1174	18.4
		HDO	1.8380	1.2
6	D_2O	citral (2 mM)	0.3396	6.4
		SDS (40 mM)	0.1178	18.3
		HDO	1.8073	1.2
7	D_2O	olivetol (2 mM)	0.0775	27.9
		SDS (40 mM)	0.0991	21.8
		HDO	1.8617	1.2
8	D_2O	olivetol (2 mM)	0.0768	28.1
		citral (2 mM)	0.0939	22.9
		SDS (40 mM)	0.1051	20.5
		HDO	1.8040	1.2

"The experimental error in the *D* values is $\pm 2\%$." The viscosity, η , used in the Stokes–Einstein equation was taken from Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook eighth Edition (www.knovel.com) for chloroform (entries 3 and 4) and water (entries 1 and 2 and 5–8).

whole set of measurements, obtaining averaged values of $(25.9 \pm 0.2) 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $(18.4 \pm 0.3) 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

When there are no reactants present (Table 4, entry 1), the diffusion coefficient of the micelles is $0.1213 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a hydrodynamic radius of 18.3 Å, consistent with previous studies.^{27,28} When the reactants are added, their different interactions with the micelles alter their own diffusive properties. Resorcinol, for instance (Table 4, entries 2 and 5), persists almost unaffected with respect to its behavior in the absence of micelles with a slight reduction of its diffusion coefficient of ΔD $0.0884 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Contrarily, citral (Table 4, entries 3 and 6) significantly reduces its diffusion coefficient (ΔD of 1.0479 \times $10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ parallel to an increase in its radius ($\Delta r_{\text{H}} 3.6 \text{ Å}$). The third screened reactant, olivetol (Table 4, entries 4 and 7), experiences a dramatic reduction of its *D* value of ΔD 1.20491 × 10^{-9} m² s⁻¹ and a remarkable increase in its size of $\Delta r_{\rm H}$ 24.9 Å, suggesting the formation of a new type of mixed micelle between olivetol and SDS (Figure 2), inherently promoting the insertion of the olivetol entity into the matrix of SDS and justifying the distinct regioselectivity obtained.

In order to corroborate that, under similar experimental conditions, the same micellar media was created in samples containing SDS with olivetol, we performed dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiments on a Malvern Zetasizer (back-scattering) instrument. We found that the size distribution by intensity shows a bimodal distribution centered at hydro-dynamic volume diameters of 2.0 and 345.5 nm, which unequivocally shows a reproducible equilibrium between homo- and heterocomponent micelles previously deduced by NMR.

Finally, a sample containing olivetol, citral, and SDS micelles altogether was prepared in order to ascertain the different degrees of interaction with the micelles that both reactants are able to establish in an aqueous solution. In this context, olivetol showed the highest hydrodynamic radius of 28.1 Å, whereas SDS and citral showed sizes of 22.9 and 20.5 Å, respectively



Figure 2. Tentative equilibrium suggested by diffusion measurements where a mixed micelle is established between olivetol and SDS assemblies.

(Table 4, entry 8). In this situation, a mixed micelle between olivetol and SDS is proposed, where citral is in equilibrium between its free state and bound to this mixed micelle. The observed averaged diffusion coefficient for citral (D = 0.0939; rH = 22.9 A) suggests that it mainly exists in its bound state.

Together with the quantitative analysis of the diffusive properties of the reactants at 2 mM in the presence of SDS at a concentration above the CMC, we performed a qualitative examination of one-dimensional and two-dimensional NOESY performed on samples constituted ex professo as previously mentioned. Under these experimental conditions, the corresponding integration of the NOE enhancements provided us with an intensity map for the interaction of each component within the SDS micelle. It is remarkable that olivetol interacts with the methylenic groups of the SDS micelles (Figure 3),



Figure 3. Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectrum of olivetol (2 mM) in the presence of SDS (40 mM) and the ¹H-DPFGSE NOESY spectrum (tm 1.0 s) with selective excitation of the α (bottom) and β (top) methylene SDS protons.

exhibiting a clear insertion into the inner part of the micelle and explaining its likely role as an efficient scaffold in the mixed supramolecular assembly. Unfortunately, the severe overlap of the signals produced by the pentyl chain of olivetol with the SDS resonances inhibits the observation of any other cross-peaks, limiting the discussion to only the aromatic protons H2 and H4 and H10 as indicated in Figure 3.

On the other hand, the terminal methyls of citral only gave NOE enhancements with the α -methylenic SDS protons, describing a picture where the aldehydic species is not so deeply inserted into the micelle (Figure 4), which matches well with the diffusion data. The analysis of the 2D NOESY map of the sample constituted by resorcinol (1) and SDS did not show any dipolar intermolecular interaction, suggesting an almost null inclusion of the diphenol into the supramolecular assembly, as was already suggested by diffusion measurements.

Figure 4 depicts a reasonable solution picture that is built based on the NOE interactions and the diffusive properties that result in a compromise between a strongly inserted olivetol and a more labile-bound citral.

T1 measurements performed on both systems, i.e., resorcinol and olivetol with and without the presence of SDS micelles, corroborated the different behavior in terms of averaged interactions within the micelles. Figure S5 shows the relaxation times determined using the inversion—recovery sequence. In the



Figure 4. Schematic representation of the location of the reactants within the micelle based on NOE enhancements detected in the NOESY experiments.

case of resorcinol, all of the protons suffer a reduction in their relaxation times of 1.3, 1.1, and 0.8 s for H2, H4, and H5, respectively, whereas, in olivetol, the reduction is even more pronounced, especially for H2 with a reduction of 2.3 s, giving an idea that their magnetic environment and probably its tumbling rate have substantially changed when interacting with the micelles due to its rather larger inclusion into the micelle.

Finally, once we found experimental support for the existence of mixed SDS-olivetol micelles and their influence in the regiochemistry of the condensation of citral and olivetol, we proposed the following explanation for the different reactivity found when SDS is present or not (Scheme 6). Thus, when the condensation occurs at C2, only a tautomeric equilibrium change would be required to reach intermediate III, prone to suffer an electrocylization, leading to CBC. In the case of the C4 condensation adduct (II), no carbonyl group would be then easily available for the electrocyclization to take place, and so the system would evolve via isomerization of the $\Delta 2'$ double bond to give intermediate IV, the precursor of the THC analogue via a hetero-Diels-Alder cycloaddition (Scheme 6).

CONCLUSIONS

We have proven how citral and resorcinol derivatives react, making use of water as a solvent in a biomimetic-like approach to afford different cannabinoid derivatives. Remarkably, the reactivity and regioselectivity of the corresponding reaction performed "in water" and "on water" were different and highly selective, giving rise to THC analogues, in one case, and to CBC derivatives, in the other. PGSE diffusion and NOESY NMR studies have been applied in order to unravel the solution picture of all of the reactants in the presence of micelles, suggesting a clear insertion of olivetol (12), a small to medium interaction of citral (2), and almost no interaction of resorcinol (1), what drives the regioselectivity of the processes. Another remarkable result unprecedented in water was the generation of the tricyclic derivative 17, which involves a formal [2+2+2] heterocycloaddition. Additionally, we found that the results derived from the employment of catalytic gallium and indium salts present certain similarities to those obtained "in water" such as the one-step

Article

Scheme 6. Proposal for the Diverse Reactivity Found after the C2 or C4 Condensation of Olivetol and Citral



synthesis of Δ 8-THC and Δ 9-THC, the psychotropic active principles of cannabis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All air- and water-sensitive reactions were performed in flame-dried flasks under a positive flow of argon and conducted under an argon atmosphere. The solvents used were purified according to standard literature techniques and stored under argon. Anhydrous dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride (5% w/v) under a positive pressure of nitrogen. THF was freshly distilled immediately prior to use from sodium/benzophenone and strictly deoxygenated for 30 min under argon. Reagents were purchased at a higher commercial quality and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. Compounds 12 and 13 are commercially available. Silica gel SDS 60 $(35-70 \ \mu m)$ was used for flash column chromatography. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light as the visualizing agent and solutions of phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol. HPLC with UV detection was used. Semipreparative HPLC separation was carried out on a column (5 μ m Silica, 10 \times 250 mm) at a flow rate of 2.0 mL/min in an Agilent Series 1100 instrument. NMR spectra were performed with Varian Direct Drive 600 (¹H 600 MHz/¹³C 151 MHz), Varian Direct Drive 500 (¹H 500 MHz/¹³C 126 MHz), Varian Direct Drive 400 (¹H 400 MHz/¹³C 100 MHz), and Varian Inova Unity (¹H 300 MHz/13C 75 MHz) spectrometers. High-resolution MS was determined on an Autospec-Q VG-Analytical (FISONS) mass spectrometer. DEPT 135 and two-dimensional (COSY, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) NMR spectroscopy were used where appropriate to assist the assignment of signals in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

Reaction of Citral with Phenolic Compounds in Water: General Procedure. To a round-bottom flask containing citral were added the phenolic compound and water. The mixture was then heated at refluxing temperature (oil bath) until the disappearance of the starting material. Then, brine was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (\times 3). The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography.

Using Resorcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, the reaction of citral (2) (274 mg, 1.8 mmol) with resorcinol (1) (100 mg, 0.9 mmol) in refluxing water (5 mL) for 42 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 4:1) 37% of compound 3^9 (82 mg, 0,34 mmol) as a colorless oil.

(6aS, 10aR)-6,6,9-Trimethyl-6a,7,8,10a-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-3-ol (**3**): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (bs, 1H), 3.15 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.08 (m, 2H), 1.90–1.30 (m, 3H), 1.76 (bs, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.9, 154.3, 134.7, 126.2, 122.1, 117.2, 107.0, 103.8, 78.2, 44.7, 33.5, 30.8, 27.9, 26.9, 24.5, 20.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₂ 245.1542, found 245.1549.

Using Orcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, the reaction of citral (740 mg, 4,8 mmol) with orcinol (300 mg, 2.4 mmol) in refluxing water (45 mL) for 72 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 4:1) 38% of compound 9^{12} as a colorless oil (226 mg, 0.88 mmol).

(6aR, 10aR)-1,6,6,9-Tetramethyl-6a,7,8,10a-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-3-ol (**9**): ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.26 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (bs, 1H), 3.12 (bd, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.25-2.10 (m, 2H), 1.92 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (bt, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (bs, 3H), 1.43-1.36 (m, 1H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.9, 154.3, 138.2, 134.0, 125.5, 116.6, 110.1, 101.9, 76.9, 46.8, 35.0, 30.9, 27.4, 25.2, 23.3, 21.2, 18.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 259.1698, found 259.1689.

Using Olivetol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, the reaction of citral (150 mg 0.8 mmol) with olivetol (300 mg, 2.4 mmol) in refluxing water (22.5 mL) for 52 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 5:1) 45% of 13 as a colorless oil (113 mg, 0.43 mmol) and 5% of compound 14^{17} as a colorless oil (13 mg, 0.04 mmol).

Cannabicitran (14): ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.32 (s, 1H,), 6.27 (s, 1H,), 2.85 (bs, 1H), 2.50 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (dt, *J* = 13.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (ddd, *J* = 11.3, 5.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (bd, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 1.59–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.41 (dt, *J* = 14.5, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.34–1.20 (m, 5H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.65–0–56 (m, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.9, 156.5, 142.5, 114.0, 109.7, 108.8, 83.5, 74.4, 46.8, 37.3, 36.1, 35.3, 31.4, 30.9, 29.7, 29.0, 28.1, 23.7, 22.5, 22.1, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₂ 315.2324, found 315.2324.

Reaction of Citral with Phenolic Compounds in Water and SDS: General Procedure. To a round-bottom flask containing citral was added an aqueous emulsion of SDS (0.4 mM). The mixture was then heated at refluxing temperature (oil bath) until the disappearance of the starting material. Then, brine was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with MTBE (×3). The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography.

Using Orcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, the reaction of citral (125 mg, 0.78 mmol) with orcinol (300 mg, 2.4 mmol) in 2.5 mL of an aqueous emulsion of SDS under reflux for 3.5 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 4:1) 48% of compound **9** (98 mg, 0.38 mmol).

Using Olivetol as a Phenolic Compound. According to a general procedure, the reaction of citral (240 mg, 1.57 mmol) with olivetol (200 mg, 1.61 mmol) in 6 mL of an aqueous emulsion of SDS under reflux for 6 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 5:1) mixture of 314 mg of compounds **15** and **16** (58%, 2.3:1 ratio).

(6aR, 10aR)-6,6,9-Trimethyl-1-pentyl-6a,7,8,10a-tetrahydro-6Hbenzo[c]chromen-3-ol (15). A fraction enriched in compound 15 was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE, 85:15, $t_{\rm R} = 10.7$ min) to give pure 15¹² as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.33 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.73–5.70 (m, 1H), 3.16 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (t, J = 15.7 Hz, 2H), 2.23–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.99– 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.39– 1.34 (m, 6H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 0.93 (t, J = 11.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.8, 154.4, 143.4, 134.7, 126.8, 116.4, 108.7, 101.7, 76.9, 47.0, 34.7, 33.1, 31.8, 31.0, 30.9, 27.4, 25.1, 23.2, 22.5, 18.70, 14.05; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₂ 315.2324, found 315.2318.

(15,4*i*,4*a*R,55,10*b*R)-4-((*E*)-2,6-Dimethylhepta-1,5-dien-1-yl)-2,2,5-trimethyl-8-pentyl-1,4*a*,5,10*b*-tetrahydro-2*H*,4*H*-1,5-ethanopyrano[3,4-*c*]chromen-10-ol (**16**). A fraction enriched in compound **16** was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE, 3:1, $t_{\rm R}$ = 8.5 min) to give pure **16** as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.18 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 1H), 2.38 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 3H), 1.97 (m, 2H), 2.21 (m, 2H), 1.97 (s, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.12 (m, 4H), 1.02 (s, 2H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.7, 151.5, 142.5, 135.5, 131.9, 127.1, 123.9, 107.6, 105.8, 78.5, 77.2, 76.9, 76.7, 75.3, 70.8, 41.5, 39.0, 35.7, 35.6, 32.5, 31.5, 30.7, 28.5, 26.2, 25.6, 23.9, 23.1, 22.5, 20.6, 17.6, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₃₁H₄₇O₃ 467.3525, found 467.3522.

Reaction of Citral with Phenolic Compounds in Water and NH₄Cl: General Procedure. To a round-bottom flask containing citral was added an aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was then heated at refluxing temperature (oil bath) until the disappearance of the starting material. Then, brine was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with MTBE (\times 3). The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography

Using Orcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to a general procedure, the reaction of citral (150 mg, 0.98 mmol) with orcinol (122 mg, 0.98 mmol) in 22 mL of an aqueous solution of NH₄Cl (11 mg, 0.20 mmol) under reflux for 24 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 4:1) 54% of compound **9** as a colorless oil (139 mg, 0.52 mmol) and 14% (35 mg, 0.14 mol) of compound **10**¹² as a colorless oil. (17, R₂/R)-5', 6-Dimethyl-2'-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1', 2', 3', 4'-tetrahyda a the 14 high bar with 24 high 24 hi

dro-[1,1'-*bipheny*]]-2,4-*diol* (10): IR (neat) 3414, 2966, 2922, 1620, 1594, 1464, 1376, 1328, 1264, 1149, 1136, 1055, 989, 890, 840, 738 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.22 (bs, 1H), 6.14 (bs, 1H), 5.55 (bs, 1H), 4.66 (bs, 1H), 4.47 (bs, 1H), 3.56 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.49–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.07 (m, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.87–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.4, 154.4, 147.6, 139.8, 139.0, 124.5, 120.5, 111.5, 109.4, 102.1, 45.1, 40.2, 30.2, 28.0, 23.6, 21.1, 20.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₂ 259.1698, found 259.1690.

Using Olivetol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, the reaction of citral (150 mg, 0.98 mmol) with orcinol (177 mg, 0.98 mmol) in 22 mL of an aqueous solution of NH_4Cl (11 mg, 0.20 mmol) under reflux for 24 h provided after flash chromatography (H/MTBE, 4:1) 75% of compound **13** (230 mg, 0.73 mmol).

Reaction of Citral with Resorcinol in Water and DBSA. To a solution of DBSA (0.45 mmol, 148 mg) in 15 mL of water were added resorcinol (100 mg, 0.9 mmol) and then citral (207 mg, 1.5 mmol). The mixture was then heated at refluxing temperature (oil bath) for 1 h. Then, brine was added, and the aqueous later was extracted with MTBE (3×50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (3×100 mL), dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was flash chromatographed (H/MTBE, 4:1) to afford 68 mg of a mixture of 3 and 4 (31%, 1.2:1 ratio).

pubs.acs.org/joc

(6aS, 10aR)-6,6,9-Trimethyl-6a,7,8,10a-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-3-ol (4). A fraction enriched in compound 4 was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE 85:15, t_R = 26.5 min) to give pure 4 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H) 5.88 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 2.04–1.89 (m, 2H), 1.84 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.60 (ddd, *J* = 12.8, 5.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 155.6, 137.5, 131.8, 124.8, 120.1, 110.5, 106.3, 78.8, 42.1, 34.3, 33.0, 29.1, 28.2, 26.2, 22.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₁O₂ 245.1542, found 245.1533.

Reaction of Citral with Phenolic Compounds in Toluene and Pyrrolidine: General Procedure. To a solution of citral and the corresponding phenolic compound in dry toluene was added pyrrolidine. The mixture was then heated at refluxing temperature until the disappearance of the starting material. Then, the mixture was diluted MTBE and washed with 2 N HCl. The organic layer was then washed with brine, dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography.

Using Resorcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, toluene (11 mL), pyrrolidine (213 mg, 3 mmol), citral (230 mg, 1.51 mmol), and resorcinol (300 mg, 2.27 mmol) were heated. After 3 h, 100 mL of MTBE were added, and the resulting solution was washed with a solution of 2 N HCl (2×50 mL) and brine (3×50 mL). The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under a vacuum. Purification with silica gel chromatography yielded 6% of 5^{11} as a colorless oil (H/MTBE, 4:1) (44 mg, 0.18 mmol) and 8% of 6^{11} as a colorless oil (H/MTBE, 4:1) (33 mg, 0.13 mmol).

(2-Methyl-2-(4-methylpent-3-en-1-yl)-2H-chromen-5-ol (5): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 6.44–6.40 (td, 1H), 6.30 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (tt, J = 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.08 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.67 (m, 3H), 1.60 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.3, 151.2, 131.71, 128.9, 128.3, 124.1, 116.7, 109.4, 109.2, 107.4, 78.2, 41.1, 26.3, 25.7, 22.7, 17.6.

2-Methyl-2-(4-methylpent-3-en-1-yl)-2H-chromen-7-ol (6): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.84 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.35–6.28 (m, 3H), 5.44 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (tp, J = 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.06 (m, 2H), 1.75 (ddd, J = 13.9, 10.6, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (bs, 3H), 1.67–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.59 (bs, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.43, 154.65, 131.71, 127.17, 126.82, 124.13, 122.37, 114.75, 107.34, 103.49, 78.78, 41.37, 26.62, 25.68, 22.73, 17.63.

Using Orcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, toluene (4 mL), pyrrolidine (46 mg, 0.66 mmol), citral (82 mg, 0.53 mmol), and orcinol (96 mg, 0.78 mmol) were heated. After 13 h, 50 mL of MTBE was added, and the resulting solution was washed with a solution of HCl (2 N) (2 × 25 mL) and brine (3 × 25 mL). The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under a vacuum. Purification with silica gel chromatography yielded 68% of 11¹³ as a colorless oil (H/MTBE, 5:1) (93 mg, 0.36 mmol).

2,7-Dimethyl-2-(4-methylpent-3-en-1-yl)-2H-chromen-5-ol (11): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.64 (dd, J = 10.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.30– 6.25 (m, 1H), 6.17–6.13 (m, 1H), 5.52 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (ddq, J = 8.9, 6.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.19–2.09 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.69 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.61 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.2, 151.43, 139.8, 131.9, 127.4, 124.4, 116.9, 110.1, 108.5, 106.9, 78.4, 41.3, 26.6, 25.9, 23.0, 21.8, 17.9.

Reaction of Citral with Phenolic Compounds in the Presence of Lewis Acids: General Procedure. To a solution of citral and the corresponding phenolic compound in dry toluene was added the corresponding Lewis acid. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature until the disappearance of the starting material. Then, the mixture was concentrated under a vacuum, and the residue was purified by column chromatography.

Using Resorcinol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, dichloromethane (10 mL), citral (303 mg, 1.9 mmol), resorcinol (1) (200 mg, 1.8 mmol), and Lewis acid (0.18 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were stirred. After 0.5–14.5 h, the mixture was concentrated

under a vacuum. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (H/MTBE 5:1) to obtain a mixture of compounds 3, 4, and 7 (for yields and ratios, see Table 1).

(15,4*R*,4*aR*,55,10*bR*)-4-((*E*)-2,6-Dimethylhepta-1,5-dien-1-yl)-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,4*a*,5,10*b*-tetrahydro-2*H*,4*H*-1,5-ethanopyrano-[*3*,4-*c*]chromen-8-ol (7). A fraction enriched in compound 7 was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE, 85:15) to give pure 7 (t_R = 11.8 min): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (bt, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 2.29 (td, *J* = 13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.15–2.00 (m, 4H), 1.80 (s, 1H), 1.77–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.65 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.61 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.50–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.38 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.0, 155.1, 135.6, 131.6, 128.2, 126.4, 124.1, 118.8, 106.4, 101.7, 79.0, 75.3, 71.2, 41.9, 41.1, 39.8, 36.1, 35.6, 30.3, 28.5, 26.2, 25.7, 24.0, 19.9, 17.6, 16.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₃₆O₃ 397.2743, found 397.2742.

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethylhepta-1,5-dien-1-yl)-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,4a,5,10b-tetrahydro-2H,4H-1,5-ethanopyrano[3,4-c]chromen-8yl 4-bromobenzoate (7a). To a solution of 7 (213 mg, 0.59 mmol) in dry DCM (6 mL) was added 0.12 mL of Et₃N (0.89 mmol), DMAP (144 mg, 1.18 mmol), and p-bromobenzoyl chloride (258 mg, 1.18 mmol) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min, the reaction diluted with 10 mL of MTBE was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH_4Cl (5 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was column chromatographed (H/MTBE 99:1) to afford 289 mg of 7a (84% yield) as a white solid. The solid was dissolved in 10 mL of absolute ethanol, and 4-5 drops of water were added. The mixture was then heated to its boiling point for a few seconds and then let to cool to room temperature for 24 h before the crystals were filtered off: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (s, 1H), 2.29 (td, J = 13.7, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.01 (m, 4H), 1.86 (s, 1H), 1.81-1.69 (m, 2H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.55-1.43 (m, 2H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 156.9, 150.1, 131.9, 131.6, 128.7, 128.6, 128.1, 126.3, 124.2, 124.0, 112.2, 108.3, 79.3, 75.3, 71.1, 41.8, 40.8, 39.8, 36.5, 35.6, 30.3, 28.5, 26.2, 25.7, 24.0, 19.9, 17.7, 16.9.

Using Olivetol as a Phenolic Compound. According to the general procedure, dichloromethane (10 mL), citral (303 mg, 1.9 mmol), olivetol (12) (324 mg, 1.8 mmol), and gallium iodide (0.18 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were stirred. After 1 h, the mixture was concentrated under a vacuum. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using mixtures of H and MTBE of increasing polarity as an eluent to obtain a mixture of compounds 15-17 (H/MTBE 5:1) (for yields and ratios, see Table 3).

Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (17). A fraction containing compound 17 was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE, 9:1) to give pure 17^{21a} ($t_{\rm R}$ = 6.8 min): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.33–6.31 (m, 1H), 6.29 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 3.22 (bd, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (td, J = 8.1, 7.3, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 2.21–2.16 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.62–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.40 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.36–1.29 (m, 4H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H).

Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (18). A fraction containing compound 18 was subjected to HPLC (normal phase, H/MTBE, 9:1) to give pure 18²¹ ($t_{\rm R}$ = 6.3 min): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.30 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (bd, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 1H), 3.21 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (td, *J* = 10.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (td, *J* = 7.3, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 2.20–2.12 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.70–1.57 (m, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.37–1.28 (m, 4H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₂ 315.2324, found 315.2315.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02698.

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR and bidimensional spectra of the obtained compounds, computational data, results of diffusion experiments, and crystallographic data for compound 7a (PDF)

Accession Codes

Deposition Number 2021364 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- José F. Quílez del Moral Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain; o orcid.org/0000-0003-4806-6693; Email: jfquilez@ugr.es
- José Luis López-Pérez Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, IBSAL-CIETUS, University of Salamanca, 37007 Salamanca, Spain; o orcid.org/0000-0002-3728-7602; Email: lopez@usal.es
- Alejandro F. Barrero Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain; Email: afbarre@ugr.es

Authors

- **Cristina Ruiz Martínez** Department of Chemistry and Physics, Research Centre CIAIMBITAL, University of Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain
- Helena Pérez del Pulgar Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain
- Juan Eduardo Martín González Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain
- Ignacio Fernández Department of Chemistry and Physics, Research Centre CIAIMBITAL, University of Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0001-8355-580X
- Alejandro Fernández-Arteaga Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0002-5407-7877

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02698

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by the Junta de Andalucía (P08-FQM-3596) and Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (CTQ-2015-64049-C3-3-R, RTC-2016-5239-2 and CTQ2017-84334-R). José Luis López-Pérez thanks SENACYT of Panama for a SNI stimulus award. Alexis Castillo, Marta Torres, and Nieves Rodríguez Picazo are thanked for their contribution in the early stages of this work. Thanks also to goes to Antonio Rodríguez for the X-ray diffraction studies.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Organic Synthesis in Water; Grieco, P. A., Ed.; Blackie: London, 1998. (b) Lindström, U. M. Stereoselective Organic Reactions in Water. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 2751–2772. (c) Li, C. J. Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media with a Focus on Carbon–Carbon Bond Formations: A Decade Update. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3095–3166. (d) Organic Reactions in Water: Principles, Strategies and Applications; Lindstrom, U. M., Ed.; Blackwell, UK, 2007. (e) Simon, M. O.; Li, C. J. Green Chemistry Oriented Organic Synthesis in Water. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1415–1427.

(2) (a) Medicinal Natural Products; Dewick, P. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester, UK, 2009; pp 10–20. (b) Medicinal Natural Products; Dewick, P. M., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1996; pp 119–122. (c) Stout, J. M.; Boubakir, Z.; Ambrose, S. J.; Purves, R. W.; Page, J. E. The Hexanoyl-CoA Precursor for Cannabinoid Biosynthesis Is Formed by an Acyl-Activating Enzyme in Cannabis Sativa Trichomes. Plant J. 2012, 71, 353–365.

(3) (a) Taylor, E. C.; Lenard, K.; Shvo, Y. Active Constituents of Hashish. Synthesis of Dl- $\Delta 6$ -3,4-Trans-Tetrahydrocannabinol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 367–369. (b) Crombie, L.; Ponsford, R. Catalysis and the Course of Cyclisation in Phenolic Terpenes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, *9*, 4557–4560. (c) Luo, G.-Y.; Wu, H.; Tang, Y.; Li, H.; Yeom, H.-S.; Yang, K.; Hsung, R. P. A Total Synthesis of (\pm)-Rhododaurichromanic Acid A via an Oxa-[3 + 3] Annulation of Resorcinols. *Synthesis* **2015**, *47*, 2713–2720. (d) Yeom, H.-S.; Li, H.; Tang, Y.; Hsung, R. P. Total Syntheses of Cannabicyclol, Clusiacyclol A and B, Iso-Eriobrucinol A and B, and Eriobrucinol. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3130–3133. (e) Appendino, G.; Gibbons, S.; Giana, A.; Pagani, A.; Grassi, G.; Stavri, M.; Smith, E.; Rahman, M. M. Antibacterial Cannabinoids from Cannabis Sativa: A Structure–Activity Study. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2008**, *71*, 1427–1430.

(4) (a) Bladen, C.; McDaniel, S. W.; Gadotti, V. M.; Petrov, R. R.; Berger, N. D.; Diaz, P.; Zamponi, G. W. Characterization of Novel Cannabinoid Based T-Type Calcium Channel Blockers with Analgesic Effects. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2015, 6, 277-287. (b) Hebert-Chatelain, E.; Desprez, T.; Serrat, R.; Bellocchio, L.; Soria-Gomez, E.; Busquets-Garcia, A.; Pagano Zottola, A. C.; Delamarre, A.; Cannich, A.; Vincent, P.; et al. A Cannabinoid Link between Mitochondria and Memory. Nature 2016, 539, 555-559. (c) Soethoudt, M.; Grether, U.; Fingerle, J.; Grim, T. W.; Fezza, F.; de Petrocellis, L.; Ullmer, C.; Rothenhausler, B.; Perret, C.; van Gils, N.; Finlay, D.; MacDonald, C.; Chicca, A.; Gens, M. D.; Stuart, J.; de Vries, H.; Mastrangelo, N.; Xia, L.; Alachouzos, G.; Baggelaar, M. P.; Martella, A.; Mock, E. D.; Deng, H.; Heitman, L. H.; Connor, M.; Di Marzo, V.; Gertsch, J.; Lichtman, A. H.; Maccarrone, M.; Pacher, P.; Glass, M.; van der Stelt, M.; et al. Cannabinoid CB2 Receptor Ligand Profiling Reveals Biased Signalling and Off-Target Activity. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 13958. (d) Mounessa, J. S.; Siegel, J. A.; Dunnick, C. A.; Dellavalle, R. P. The Role of Cannabinoids in Dermatology. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 2017, 77, 188-190. (e) Busquets-Garcia, A.; Bains, J.; Marsicano, G. CB1 Receptor Signaling in the Brain: Extracting Specificity from Ubiquity. Neuropsychopharmacology 2018, 43, 4-20.

(5) (a) Garcia, A.; Borchardt, D.; Chang, C.-E. A.; Marsella, M. J. Thermal Isomerization of Cannabinoid Analogues. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131 (46), 16640–16641. (b) Gläser, F.; Bröhmer, M. C.; Hurrle, T.; Nieger, M.; Bräse, S. The Diels–Alder Approach to Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol Derivatives. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 2015, 1516–1524.

(6) Kitanosono, T.; Masuda, K.; Xu, P.; Kobayashi, S. Catalytic Organic Reactions in Water toward Sustainable Society. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 679–746.

(7) For an example of previous use of DBA, see: Li, J.-T.; Du, C.; Xu, X.-Y.; Chen, G.-F. Synthesis of 2-(1,5-Diaryl-1,4-Pentadien-3-Ylidene)-Hydrazinecarboximidamide Hydrochloride Catalyzed by p-Dodecylbenzenesulfonic Acid in Aqueous Media under Ultrasound Irradiation. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2012**, *19*, 1033–1038.

(8) (a) Li, H.-J.; Guillot, R.; Gandon, V. A Gallium-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization/Friedel-Crafts Tandem. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8435-8449. (b) Quilez del Moral, J. F.; Domingo, V.; Pérez, Á.;

Martínez Andrade, K. A.; Enríquez, L.; Jaraiz, M.; López-Pérez, J. L.; Barrero, A. F. Mimicking Halimane Synthases: Monitoring a Cascade of Cyclizations and Rearrangements from Epoxypolyprenes. *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *84*, 13764–13779.

(9) Dethe, D. H.; Erande, R. D.; Mahapatra, S.; Das, S.; B, V. K. Protecting Group Free Enantiospecific Total Syntheses of Structurally Diverse Natural Products of the Tetrahydrocannabinoid Family. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 2871–2873.

(10) Shapiro, N.; Vigalok, A. Highly Efficient Organic Reactions "on Water", "in Water", and Both. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 2849–2852.

(11) Elsohly, H. N.; Turner, C. E.; Clark, A. M.; Elsohly, M. A. Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activities of Certain Cannabichromene and Cannabigerol Related Compounds. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **1982**, *71*, 1319–1323.

(12) Giorgi, P. D.; Liautard, V.; Pucheault, M.; Antoniotti, S. Biomimetic Cannabinoid Synthesis Revisited: Batch and Flow All-Catalytic Synthesis of (\pm) -Ortho-Tetrahydrocannabinols and Analogues from Natural Feedstocks. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 2018, 1307–1311.

(13) Caprioglio, D.; Mattoteia, D.; Minassi, A.; Pollastro, F.; Lopatriello, A.; Muňoz, E.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O.; Appendino, G. One-Pot Total Synthesis of Cannabinol via Iodine-Mediated Deconstructive Annulation. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 6122–6125.

(14) To gain a mechanistic and energetic understanding of the formation of the products described in this work, we have performed the corresponding computational calculations. For this study, we have used the optimized Minnesota Functional MN15, in order to consider the dispersion forces, together with the base set 6-31+G(d,p), which adds not only polarization but also diffusion, important to improve the results: Yu, H. S.; He, X.; Li, S. L.; Truhlar, D. G. MN15: A Kohn–Sham Global-Hybrid Exchange–Correlation Density Functional with Broad Accuracy for Multi-Reference and Single-Reference Systems and Noncovalent Interactions. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 5032–5051.

(15) Malkov, A. V.; Kocovsky, P. Tetrahydrocannabinol Revisited: Synthetic Approaches Utilizing Molybdenum Catalysts. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **2001**, *66*, 1257–1268.

(16) (a) Daeppen, C.; Kaiser, M.; Neuburger, M.; Gademann, K. Preparation of Antimalarial Endoperoxides by a Formal [2+2+2] Cycloaddition. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5420–5423. (b) Hart, J. D.; Burchill, L.; Day, A. J.; Newton, C. G.; Sumby, C. J.; Huang, D. M.; George, J. H. Visible-Light Photoredox Catalysis Enables the Biomimetic Synthesis of Nyingchinoids A, B, and D, and Rasumatranin D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 2791–2794.

(17) Bercht, C. A. L.; Lousberg, R. J. J. C.; Küppers, F. J. E. M.; Salemink, C. A. Cannabicitran: A New Naturally Occurring Tetracyclic Diether from Lebanese Cannabis Sativa. *Phytochemistry* **1974**, *13*, 619– 621.

(18) Breslow, R. Hydrophobic Effects on Simple Organic Reactions in Water. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *24*, 159–164.

(19) Narayan, S.; Muldoon, J.; Finn, M. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Kolb, H. C.; Sharpless, K. B. On Water": Unique Reactivity of Organic Compounds in Aqueous Suspension. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3275-3279. (20) (a) Mechoulam, R.; Gaoni, Y. A Total Synthesis of dl- Δ 1-Tetrahydrocannabinol, the Active Constituent of Hashish¹. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 3273-3275. (b) Mechoulam, R.; Braun, P.; Gaoni, Y. Syntheses of.DELTA.1-Tetrahydrocannabinol and Related Cannabinoids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6159-6165. (c) Trost, B. M.; Dogra, K. Synthesis of (-)- Δ 9-Trans-Tetrahydrocannabinol: Stereocontrol via Mo-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Reaction. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 861-863. (d) Cheng, L.-J.; Xie, J.-H.; Chen, Y.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (-)- Δ 8-THC and (-)-Δ9-THC via Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation and SNAr Cyclization. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 764-767. (e) Westphal, M. V.; Schafroth, M. A.; Sarott, R. C.; Imhof, M. A.; Bold, C. P.; Leippe, P.; Dhopeshwarkar, A.; Grandner, J. M.; Katritch, V.; Mackie, K.; et al. Synthesis of Photoswitchable Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol Derivatives Enables Optical Control of Cannabinoid Receptor 1 Signaling. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 18206-18212.

Article

(21) (a) Hae Choi, Y.; Hazekamp, A.; Peltenburg-Looman, A. M. G.; Frédérich, M.; Erkelens, C.; Lefeber, A. W. M.; Verpoorte, R. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2004**, *15*, 345–354. (b) Bloemendal, V. R. L. J.; Sondag, D.; Elferink, H.; Boltje, T. J.; van Hest, J. C. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *2019*, 2289–2296.

(22) Crombie, L.; Redshaw, S. D.; Whiting, D. A. The Mechanism of Intramolecular 'Citran' Bicyclisation of Chromens: Stereochemistry of a Forward (H+ Catalysed) and a Related Reverse (Thermal) Process. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1979**, *14*, 630–631.

(23) In order to characterize the mentioned aggregates that modify the reaction, we have carried out dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of the samples to determine the micelle size. The RH of the SDS micelle size is 1.8 ± 0.2 nm, whereas that of the mixed micelles was found to rang from 40 to 120 nm. The DLS measurements corroborate the solubilization of olivetol and citral in aqueous micelles, which is a prerequisite for reactions to be influenced by micelles.

(24) (a) Principles of Colloid and Surface Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Hiemenz, P. C., Rajagopalan, R., Eds., Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997. (b) The Colloidal Domain: Where Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and Technology Meet; Evans, D. F., Wennerstroem, H., Eds.; VCH: New York, 1994; Chapter 3.

(25) Cassani, J.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. Flavonoid Mixture Analysis by Matrix-Assisted Diffusion-Ordered Spectroscopy. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2012**, 75, 131–134.

(26) Markarian, S. A.; Harutyunyan, L. R.; Harutyunyan, R. S. The Properties of Mixtures of Sodium Dodecylsulfate and Diethylsulfoxide in Water. *J. Solution Chem.* **2005**, *34*, 361–368.

(27) Raya-Barón, Á.; Oña-Burgos, P.; Fernández, I. Diffusion NMR Spectroscopy Applied to Coordination and Organometallic Compounds. *In Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy*; Webb, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press, 2019; Vol. 98, pp 125–191; Chapter 3.

(28) All diffusion processing and molecular size estimations were performed by using the DiffAtOnce software package available at http://www.diffatonce.com.