



AMERICAN  
SOCIETY FOR  
MICROBIOLOGY



# Draft Genome Sequence of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* HA1836 Interspecies Hybrid Yeast

Ksenija Lopandic,<sup>a</sup> Hakim Tafer,<sup>a</sup> Katja Sterflinger<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>VIBT-Extremophile Center, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Vienna, Austria

**ABSTRACT** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* interspecies hybrid yeasts have frequently been isolated from alcoholic fermentation environments. Here, we report the draft genome sequence of the *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* HA1836 strain isolated from grapes from an Austrian vineyard.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* hybrids have been identified in environments associated with brewing, wine making, and cider production (1, 2). These hybrids acquired beneficial fermenting properties from the *S. cerevisiae* species and cryotolerance from the *S. kudriavzevii* species (3). The *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids have been isolated primarily from cold fermentations, which are characteristic of European regions with cooler continental and oceanic climates (i.e., Austria, Germany, Switzerland, France, and northern Spain). The hybrid strains studied so far differ in the complexity of their genome structures, the proportion of hybridizing yeasts, and their fermentation performances (3–6). Natural, commercial, and artificially constructed hybrid yeasts have shown that the genomic moiety of *S. kudriavzevii* is more unstable than that of *S. cerevisiae* and prone to substantial reduction after a hybridization event (3, 6, 7). *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids may have strong advantages over their parental strains due to better adaptation to cold fermentation conditions, better production of esters, higher alcohols and glycerol, and an increased fructose/glucose fermentation rate (4, 5, 8, 9).

During a study of the yeast biodiversity of Austrian vineyards, several strains were isolated from grapes that were shown to have a *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrid genome by different genetic and molecular markers (7, 10). In order to explore differences in the genome constitutions and gene regulations among the *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* yeasts, we generated the whole-genome sequence of the HA1836 strain. Genome sequencing was carried out using Ion Torrent technology (Ion PGM Hi-Q View kit; Life Technologies, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. A total of 1.62 Gb of read data, with a median read length of 315 bp, was produced and assembled with Newbler version 2.9 into a 22.28-Mb genome (~70× coverage) containing 979 contigs ( $N_{50}$ , 60,823 bp). Comparative analysis of the genome sequences of the HA1836 and VIN7 (11) strains showed a coverage of 19.51 Mb (87.5%).

**Accession number(s).** The whole-genome shotgun project reported here has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession number [PQXS00000000](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00343-18). The version described in this paper is the first version, PQXS01000000.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Gangl for providing the HA1836 strain. The equipment of the VIBT-Extremophile Center used in this study was financed by BOKU-Equipment GesmbH. The computational results presented have been achieved in part using the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC).

Received 20 March 2018 Accepted 24 March 2018 Published 17 May 2018

**Citation** Lopandic K, Tafer H, Sterflinger K. 2018. Draft genome sequence of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* HA1836 interspecies hybrid yeast. *Genome Announc* 6:e00343-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00343-18>.

**Copyright** © 2018 Lopandic et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Ksenija Lopandic, [ksenija.lopandic@boku.ac.at](mailto:ksenija.lopandic@boku.ac.at).

## REFERENCES

1. González SS, Barrio E, Gafner J, Querol A. 2006. Natural hybrids from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Saccharomyces bayanus* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* in wine fermentations. FEMS Yeast Res 6:1221–1234. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00126.x>.
2. González SS, Barrio E, Querol A. 2008. Molecular characterization of new natural hybrids of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii* in brewing. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:2314–2320. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01867-07>.
3. Belloch C, Pérez-Torrado R, González SS, Pérez-Ortín JE, García-Martínez J, Querol A, Barrio E. 2009. Chimeric genomes of natural hybrids of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii*. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:2534–2544. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02282-08>.
4. González SS, Gallo L, Climent MD, Barrio E, Querol A. 2007. Enological characterization of natural hybrids from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii*. Int J Food Microbiol 116:11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.10.047>.
5. Gangl H, Batusic M, Tscheik G, Tiefenbrunner W, Hack C, Lopandic K. 2009. Exceptional fermentation characteristics of natural hybrids from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii*. New Biotechnol 25:244–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2008.10.001>.
6. Peris D, Lopes CA, Belloch C, Querol A, Barrio E. 2012. Comparative genomics among *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* natural hybrid strains isolated from wine and beer reveals different origins. BMC Genomics 13:407. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-407>.
7. Pfliegler WP, Atanasova A, Karanyicz E, Sipiczki M, Bond U, Druzhinina IS, Sterflinger K, Lopandic K. 2014. Generation of new genotypic and phenotypic features in artificial and natural yeast hybrids. Food Technol Biotechnol 52:46–57.
8. Combina M, Pérez-Torrado R, Tronchoni J, Belloch C, Querol A. 2012. Genome-wide gene expression of a natural hybrid between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii* under enological conditions. Int J Food Microbiol 157:340–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.06.001>.
9. Lopandic K, Pfliegler WP, Tiefenbrunner W, Gangl H, Sipiczki M, Sterflinger K. 2016. Genotypic and phenotypic evolution of yeast interspecies hybrids during high-sugar fermentation. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:6331–6343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7481-0>.
10. Lopandic K, Gangl H, Wallner E, Tscheik G, Leitner G, Querol A, Borth N, Breitenbach M, Prillinger H, Tiefenbrunner W. 2007. Genetically different wine yeasts isolated from Austrian vine-growing regions influence wine aroma differently and contain putative hybrids between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii*. FEMS Yeast Res 7:953–965. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2007.00240.x>.
11. Borneman AR, Desany BA, Riches D, Affourtit JP, Forgan AF, Pretorius IS, Egholm M, Chambers PJ. 2012. The genome sequence of the wine yeast VIN7 reveals an allotriploid hybrid genome with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* origins. FEMS Yeast Res 12:88–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00773.x>.