







NRF2 connects Src tyrosine kinase to ferroptosis resistance in glioblastoma

Claudia Cirotti^{1,2} , Irene Taddei^{1,2}, Claudia Contadini^{1,2,3} , Claudia Di Girolamo¹, Gerardo Pepe¹ , Marco De Bardi⁴ , Giovanna Borsellino⁴ , Manuela Helmer-Citterich¹ , Daniela Barilà^{1,2} 

Glioblastoma is a severe brain tumor characterized by an extremely poor survival rate of patients. Glioblastoma cancer cells escape to standard therapeutic protocols consisting of a combination of ionizing radiation and temozolomide alkylating drugs that trigger DNA damage by rewiring of signaling pathways. In recent years, the up-regulation of factors that counteract ferroptosis has been highlighted as a major driver of cancer resistance to ionizing radiation, although the molecular connection between the activation of oncogenic signaling and the modulation of ferroptosis has not been clarified yet. Here, we provide the first evidence for a molecular connection between the constitutive activation of tyrosine kinases and resistance to ferroptosis. Src tyrosine kinase, a central hub on which deregulated receptor tyrosine kinase signaling converge in cancer, leads to the stabilization and activation of NRF2 pathway, thus promoting resistance to ionizing radiation-induced ferroptosis. These data suggest that the up-regulation of the Src–NRF2 axis may represent a vulnerability for combined strategies that, by targeting ferroptosis resistance, enhance radiation sensitivity in glioblastoma.

DOI [10.26508/lsa.202302205](https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302205) | Received 7 June 2023 | Revised 16 October 2023 | Accepted 16 October 2023 | Published online 25 October 2023

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most aggressive primary brain tumor in adults characterized by poor prognosis (Ostrom et al, 2015) linked to radiation and chemo therapy resistance. Recent studies reported that failure of radiation therapy is also linked to the ability of cancer cells to counteract ferroptosis (Chen et al, 2021). Ferroptosis is an iron-dependent type of regulated cell death triggered by disproportionate lipid peroxidation, whose alteration is involved both in tumor development and in response to therapy (Chen et al, 2021). The molecular mechanisms that allow cancer cells to overcome ferroptosis have been only partially elucidated.

NRF2 transcription factor, a master regulator of oxidative stress, controls the basal and inducible expression of more than 200 target

genes involved in redox homeostasis, metabolism, DNA repair, cell survival, and proliferation (Rojo de la Vega et al, 2018). NRF2 has a dual role in cancer, being both involved in counteracting cancer initiation and in promoting cancer progression and resistance to therapy (Wu et al, 2019). Remarkably, NRF2 up-regulation in cancer can successfully face reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by radio and chemotherapy, therefore preventing programmed cell death including ferroptosis (Wang et al, 2006; McDonald et al, 2010; Ryoo et al, 2016; Fan et al, 2017; Stockwell et al, 2017). NRF2 counteracts ferroptosis by transactivating several cytoprotective genes involved in iron metabolism, ROS detoxification, and GSH metabolism (Chen et al, 2021). In normal cells, physiological NRF2 turnover, mainly promoted by the KEAP1–CUL3–RBX1 E3–ubiquitin ligase complex controls NRF2 protein levels and its activation. Upon stress conditions, ROS modify reactive cysteine residues on KEAP1, preventing its binding to NRF2, which in turn is stabilized (Itoh et al, 1999; Tebay et al, 2015). Moreover, a noncanonical regulatory pathway involving p62/sequestosome-1 (SQSTM1) protein has been described (Komatsu et al, 2010).

p62 competes with NRF2 for the binding to KEAP1, thus releasing and activating NRF2 (Komatsu et al, 2010; Ichimura et al, 2013). The molecular mechanisms that allow cancer cells to increase NRF2 expression and therefore dampen ferroptosis, have not been fully elucidated and the interplay between NRF2 and other oncogenes deserves further elucidation. Tyrosine kinase (TKs) signaling emerged as a master class of oncogenes (Blume-Jensen & Hunter, 2001; Yang et al, 2022) and represents a core oncogenic requirement for GBM: indeed, up to 50% of GBMs have amplification of a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) followed by the aberrant activation of downstream signaling cascade (Snuderl et al, 2011). Src tyrosine kinase is a main node for RTK signaling being both constantly activated by these signals and responsible for the propagation of downstream events, frequently culminating on transcription factor deregulation (Blume-Jensen & Hunter, 2001; Ahluwalia et al, 2010; Cirotti et al, 2020).

Here, we uncover NRF2 as a new molecular player downstream Src deregulation in GBM and we highlight an unrevealed link between the aberrant activation of Src and the inhibition of ionizing radiation (IR)–induced ferroptosis. We also demonstrate that

¹Department of Biology, University of Rome “Tor Vergata,” Rome, Italy ²Laboratory of Cell Signaling, IRCCS-Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy ³UOSD Preclinical Models and New Therapeutic Agents Unit, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy ⁴Neuroimmunology Unit, Experimental Neuroscience, IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: claudiacirotti89@gmail.com; daniela.barila@uniroma2.it


combining Src targeting with ferroptosis induction significantly increases IR sensitivity.

Results

Constitutive Src kinase activity promotes NRF2 overexpression in GBM

NRF2 transcription factor is aberrantly activated in several types of cancer, sustaining tumor progression, impairing ferroptosis,

and leading to resistance to chemo and radiotherapy (Wu et al, 2019). A gene expression profile analysis performed by using GEPIA2 (Gene Expression Profile Interactive Analysis 2) web server (Tang et al, 2019) highlighted increased NRF2 expression in GBM, low-grade glioma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, and thymoma (Fig 1A). Focusing on GBM, we next compared the expression of NRF2 gene between tumor samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and normal brain tissues, and we observed a significant increased expression of NRF2 in tumors (Fig 1B). We next moved to in vitro GBM cellular models taking advantage of U87-MG and T98G cell lines and of GBMSC83 neurospheres derived from primary



GBM tumors (Mao et al, 2013; Minata et al, 2019; Contadini et al, 2023).

Immunofluorescence analyses confirmed that NRF2 is abundantly expressed and localized in the nuclear compartment in all the GBM cellular models (Fig 1C). Tyrosine kinase deregulation is among the most studied pro-tumoral factor in GBM and Src tyrosine kinase in this tumor is constantly activated (Bjorge et al, 2000; Du et al, 2009). Immunoblotting with anti-pSrc^{Y416} antibody confirmed the constitutive activation of Src in our GBM models (Fig 1D). Given the simultaneous aberrant activation of Src kinase and the high levels of NRF2, we tested whether the pharmacological targeting of Src kinase activity with dasatinib (DAS) and PP2 may affect NRF2 expression.

Interestingly, immunofluorescence experiments show that the inhibition of Src (Fig S1) significantly decreased NRF2 nuclear localization (Fig 1E and F). Furthermore, NRF2 localization was evaluated also upon subcellular fractionation. Pharmacological Src kinase inhibition (Fig S2) significantly impinged on NRF2 nuclear localization, similarly to what was observed when cells were treated with trigonelline, a well-known NRF2 inhibitor (Arlt et al, 2013) (Fig 2A). Confocal microscopy analyses further confirmed that DAS significantly affected NRF2 nuclear localization, resulting in a reduced ratio of nuclear/cytoplasmic NRF2 fluorescence intensity (Fig 2B). To unambiguously demonstrate that Src may modulate NRF2, Src kinase activity was also genetically modulated taking advantage of Src mutants that either mimic the constitutive activation (Src^{Y527F}) or the enzymatic inactivation (Src^{K295M}). Interestingly, the overexpression of Src^{K295M}, resulting in a significant inhibition of Src activity compared with both empty vector and Src^{Y527F} overexpressing cells (Fig 2C and D), caused a decrease of NRF2 protein expression (Fig 2C and E) and nuclear localization (Fig 2F). We next generated GBM cell lines stably overexpressing wild-type Src (Src^{WT}) or the catalytically inactive mutant Src^{K295M} (hereafter named Src kinase-dead, Src^{KD}) in which we could once again recapitulate that Src activity is significantly inhibited after Src^{KD} overexpression (Fig 2G and H) and required to sustain NRF2 (Fig 2G, I, and J). Overall, these data allow the conclusion that Src activity sustains NRF2 expression and nuclear localization in GBM cells.

Src kinase activity promotes NRF2 signaling

To assess whether Src kinase may modulate NRF2 functionality, NRF2 transcriptional activity was evaluated upon pharmacological or genetic modulation of Src. Remarkably, DAS treatment triggered the down-regulation of several NRF2 target genes, namely *heme oxygenase 1* (*hmx1*), *glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunits* (*c-gcl*), *NAD(P)H Quinone Dehydrogenase 1* (*nqo1*), and *sequestosome-1* (*sqstm1*), as revealed by real-time PCR (RT-qPCR), both in U87-MG cells and in GBMSC83 neurospheres (Fig 3A). Consistently immunoblotting analyses revealed a significant decrement of SQSTM1/p62 (hereafter, p62) and heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1) proteins upon DAS treatment (Fig 3B). The transcriptional activity of NRF2 was also significantly decreased in U87-MG and T98G cells engineered to stably express Src^{KD}, compared with the ones expressing Src^{WT} counterpart (Fig 3C and D) and upon transient transfection of the catalytically inactive in Src^{K295M} (Fig S3). Overall, these data suggest that Src activity sustains NRF2 transcriptional activity.

Src kinase activity inhibition turns off NRF2 pathway affecting p62-KEAP1 interaction

Previous work highlighted a strong correlation between NRF2 expression and p62 in GBM tumors, and demonstrated that the high expression levels of NRF2 protein may be sustained by the ability of p62 to bind KEAP1 preventing its interaction with NRF2 (Pölönen et al, 2019). We therefore asked the question whether the aberrant activity of Src may sustain p62-KEAP1 interaction therefore enhancing NRF2 expression and activity. Confocal microscopy analysis on GBM cells treated or not with DAS, highlighted the presence of p62 aggregates and a strong colocalization between p62 and KEAP1 in these structures (Fig 4A and B). More interestingly, DAS treatment significantly released p62-KEAP1 interaction and promoted a diffused relocalization of KEAP1 into the cytosolic compartment, particularly in the perinuclear area (Fig 4A and B). The competitive interaction between p62 and KEAP1 is ensured by the phosphorylation of p62 on serine 349 residue (S349) (Ichimura et al, 2013). Interestingly, DAS treatment severely impinged on this phosphorylation (Fig 4C), suggesting that Src activity could indirectly promote p62 phosphorylation on S349 which drives the formation of KEAP1-p62 complex, ultimately leading to NRF2 stabilization and accumulation. Confocal microscopy analyses on Src^{WT} or Src^{KD} cells further confirmed this hypothesis (Fig 4D and E). Furthermore, Src^{KD} triggered the reduction of p62 phosphorylation on S349 (Fig 4F), similarly to what observed with DAS. These data demonstrated that Src kinase activity sustains p62-KEAP1 interaction therefore regulating NRF2 functionality.

Src kinase activity inhibition promotes NRF2-KEAP1 interaction triggering NRF2 degradation

The observation that the inhibition of Src activity leads to the release of KEAP1-p62 interaction supported the hypothesis that in this context Src inhibition may conversely promote the interaction between KEAP1 and NRF2. Importantly, we could show that Src^{K295M} transient transfection drove the colocalization between KEAP1 and NRF2 (Fig 5A, red boxes—4x magnification). Of note, we immunoprecipitated KEAP1 from U87-MG Src^{WT} and Src^{KD} cells and we observed that the interaction between KEAP1 and NRF2 is significantly increased in Src^{KD} cells, despite of the decreased levels of NRF2 protein in this condition (Fig 5B). Consistently, Src^{KD} overexpression decreased NRF2 protein stability (Fig 5C). Altogether, these data strongly support the conclusion that Src kinase activity enhances NRF2 protein stability.

Src activity sustains mTORC1-dependent p62 phosphorylation

It has been previously reported that the competitive binding between p62 and KEAP1 proteins is ensured by mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation of p62 on serine 349 residue (Ichimura et al, 2013). Data from literature also support a direct role for Src kinase in modulating mTORC1 activity in several types of cancers (Vojtěchová et al, 2008; Pal et al, 2018), raising the question whether the constitutive activity of Src may trigger the aberrant activation of mTORC1 in GBM. Immunoblotting experiments revealed the presence of phosphorylated p70S6K (p-p70S6K^{T389}), a well-known target




Figure 2. Pharmacological and genetic modulations of Src activity affect NRF2 expression.

(A) Immunoblotting and relative densitometric analysis of NRF2 in U87-MG cytosolic and nuclear cellular fractions after dasatinib 10 nM or trigonelline (Trig) 5 μ M treatment for 6 h. (B) Immunofluorescence experiments and relative quantifications of NRF2 staining reported as the ratio between nuclear and cytosolic fluorescence intensities (N/C) in U87-MG and GBMSC83 cells treated for 16 h with dasatinib 10 nM and 1 μ M, respectively. (C) Immunoblotting of p-Src^{Y416}, Src, and NRF2 in U87-MG and T98G cells transiently transfected for 24 h with empty vector, active Src (Src^{Y527F}) or catalytically inactive mutant (Src^{K295M}). GAPDH was used as loading control. (D) Densitometric analysis of p-Src^{Y416} levels normalized on total Src. (E) Densitometric analysis of NRF2 normalized on GAPDH. (F) Immunofluorescence and relative quantification of NRF2 staining in the same cells. NRF2 (green); DNA (Hoechst, blue). (G) Immunoblotting of p-Src^{Y416}, Src, and NRF2 in U87-MG and T98G stably overexpressing the empty vector, wild-type Src (Src^{WT}) or the catalytically inactive mutant (Src^{K295M}, hereafter Src^{KD}). GAPDH was used as loading control. (H) Densitometric analysis of p-Src^{Y416} levels normalized on total Src. (I) Densitometric analysis of NRF2 normalized on GAPDH. (J) Immunofluorescence of T98G Src^{WT} and Src^{KD} cells. NRF2 (cyan); GFP (green); DNA (Hoechst, blue). Results represent the mean of at least three independent experiments (\pm SEM). (A, D, E, H, I) Statistical analyses: paired t test (A, D, E, H, I) (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001). (B, F) Mann-Whitney test or unpaired t test according to normal distribution (B) and ANOVA test followed by Kruskal-Wallis test (F) (**** P < 0.001). Source data are available for this figure.




Figure 3. Pharmacological and genetic modulations of Src activity affect NRF2 transcriptional activity.

(A) Real-time PCR of NRF2 target genes in U87-MG and GBMSC83 cells treated for 16 h with dasatinib 10 nM and 1 μ M, respectively (*actin*: housekeeping gene). (A, B) Immunoblotting of NRF2, p62, and HO-1 in U87-MG and GBMSC83 cells treated as in (A). GAPDH and vinculin were used as loading controls. (C) Real-time PCR of NRF2 target genes in U87-MG and T98G cells stably overexpressing Src^{WT} or Src^{KD} (*actin*: housekeeping gene). (C, D) Immunoblotting of p62 and HO-1 in cells as in (C). GAPDH was used as loading control. Results represent the mean of at least three independent experiments (\pm SEM). Statistical analyses: paired t test (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001). Source data are available for this figure.

of mTORC1 (Maiese et al, 2013) in our cellular models (Fig 6A). Remarkably, pharmacological targeting of Src kinase activity resulted in the down-regulation of p70S6K phosphorylation (Fig 6A). To further confirm the involvement of mTORC1 in sustaining Src-dependent NRF2 hyperactivation, we took advantage of the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin. As expected, rapamycin turned off mTORC1 activity, as shown by the down-regulation of p-p70S6K^{T389} (Fig S4). Of note, NRF2-dependent pathway was strongly affected by rapamycin, as shown by the decreased expression levels of NRF2 and of its target genes (Figs 6B and C and S5). In addition, rapamycin treatment dampened p62 phosphorylation on S349, thus impairing KEAP1 sequestration (Figs 6C and S6). Overall, these data suggest that Src may depend on mTORC1 activation to sustain p62 phosphorylation and NRF2 hyperactivation in GBM cellular models. Interestingly, comparing the expression profiles of GBM patients (TGCA data) with normal brain tissues (GTEx data) we found a significant up-regulation in tumor samples of several gene-enriched mTORC1 pathways, thus strengthening the relevance of this hallmark in GBM (Fig S7).

NRF2 is required for Src-dependent proliferation, clonogenicity, and resistance to ionizing radiation treatment

It has been shown that increased NRF2 protein levels represent a major trigger for cancer resistance to therapy (Awuah et al, 2022). NRF2 indeed is strongly involved in tumor growth (Rojo de la Vega et al, 2018), it can sustain and promote malignant transformation of GBM stem cells (Zhu et al, 2013) and it has been shown to be responsible for chemo and radiotherapy resistance in GBM cellular models (Singer et al, 2015; Rocha et al, 2016) shifting resistant cells

towards mesenchymal phenotype (Singer et al, 2015). We therefore asked whether turning off NRF2 functionality in tumors that up-regulate Src activity may enhance the therapeutic response. Firstly, we showed that cell proliferation and clonogenic potential of Src^{WT} cells are significantly higher compared with Src^{KD} cells or cells overexpressing the empty vector (Fig 7A and B). In addition, we could show that NRF2 inhibition by trigonelline treatment significantly affected cell proliferation only in Src^{WT} cells (Fig 7C). In line with this, clonogenic assay experiments demonstrated that NRF2 inhibition via ML385 has a stronger effect on the clonogenic potential of Src^{WT} cells (Fig 7D and E).

To further strengthen NRF2 role downstream Src activity, we evaluated GBM cells sensitivity to ionizing radiation (IR), which represents the standard therapeutic approach for GBM patients. As expected, Src^{KD} cells were slightly but significantly more sensitive to IR than Src^{WT} cells (Fig 7F). Of note, NRF2 inhibition significantly affected cell viability in Src^{WT} and not in Src^{KD} cells and significantly increased sensitivity to IR-induced cell death only in Src^{WT} cells (Fig 7F).

Src kinase activity drives NRF2-dependent resistance to ionizing radiation-induced ferroptosis

Recently, a novel role of NRF2 as inhibitor of ferroptosis, has been reported also in GBM (Fan et al, 2017; Dodson et al, 2019). Importantly, *slc7a11* and *gpx4*, two genes that play a key role in the modulation of ferroptosis, have been also shown to be NRF2 target genes (Wu et al, 2019). We therefore asked the question whether Src–NRF2 axis may also sustain the up-regulation of these genes, preventing ferroptosis. Genetic (Src^{KD} cells) and pharmacological




Figure 4. Src activity sustains p62-KEAP1 interaction promoting p62S349 phosphorylation.

(A, B) Confocal microscopy analyses and (B) quantification of colocalizing dots in U87-MG and T98G cells treated with dasatinib 10 nM for 16 h p62 (green); KEAP1 (red); DNA (Hoechst, blue); 4x digital magnification showing merged signals. (C) Immunoblotting and relative densitometric analysis of p-p62^{S349} and p62 in U87-MG cells treated as previously. Vinculin was used as loading control. (D, E) Confocal microscopy analyses and (E) quantification of colocalizing dots in U87-MG and T98G cells stably overexpressing Src^{WT} or Src^{KD}. p62 (red); KEAP1 (cyan); DNA (Hoechst, blue); 4x digital magnification showing merged signals. (F) Immunoblotting and relative densitometric analysis of p-p62^{S349} and p62 in U87-MG cells stably overexpressing Src^{WT} or Src^{KD}. GAPDH was used as loading control. Results represent the mean of at least three independent experiments (±SEM). (B, C, E, F) Statistical analyses: paired (C, F) or unpaired (B, E) t test: (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). Source data are available for this figure.

(DAS) inhibition of Src kinase activity significantly decreased the expression of *slc7a11* and *gpx4* genes (Fig 8A and B). Given these data, we sought to investigate the significance of ferroptosis in our experimental models. After 48 h from irradiation, we observed a significant reduction in the percentage of cell death when ferroptosis was inhibited by ferrostatin-1 (Fer-1) (Fig S8), supporting the conclusion that IR-induced cell death occurs also

via ferroptosis in our cells. The role of tyrosine kinases in the modulation of ferroptosis has not been clearly investigated yet. We asked whether the increased sensitivity to IR detected in Src^{KD} cells (Fig 7F) could be because of ferroptosis. Indeed, the inhibition of ferroptosis has a more dramatic effect on Src^{KD} cells compared with the Src^{WT} ones (Fig 8C). To strength the significance of our finding, we also performed experiments on patient-derived




Figure 5. Src activity affects KEAP1 intracellular distribution and its interaction with NRF2.

(A) Confocal microscopy analyses in U87-MG and T98G cells after 24 h of transient transfection with active Src (Src^{Y527F}) or catalytically inactive mutant (Src^{K295M}). NRF2 (green); KEAP1 (red); DNA (Hoechst, blue). 4x digital magnification showing merged signals. **(B)** Co-immunoprecipitation experiments and relative quantification of KEAP1 in U87-MG cells stably overexpressing Src^{WT} or Src^{KD}. **(C)** Immunoblotting of NRF2, p-Src^{Y416}, and Src in U87-MG Src^{WT} and Src^{KD} treated with cycloheximide 100 µg/ml for 0, 30 min, 1, 6, and 24 h. GAPDH was used as loading control. Results represent the mean of three independent experiments (±SEM). Statistical analysis: paired t test: (*P < 0.05). Source data are available for this figure.

GBMSC83 neurospheres. We observed that DAS treatment sensitized GBMSC83 to IR. Importantly, co-treatment with DAS and Fer-1 failed to enhance the percentage of cell death (Fig 8D), thus suggesting that indeed Src inhibition sensitize cells to ferroptosis. In line with this, lipid peroxidation increased upon DAS and irradiation co-treatment (Fig 8E). Importantly, ferroptosis induction by erastin was strongly induced by the combined treatment with DAS and, more interestingly, this combination strongly sensitized cells to IR (Figs 8F and S9).

To further demonstrate that Src overexpression requires NRF2 to inhibit ferroptosis in GBM cells, we compared sensitivity with erastin in Src^{WT} and Src^{KD} cells transiently overexpressing NRF2^{WT}, or an empty vector as a control. As shown, NRF2^{WT} overexpression significantly protects Src^{KD} cells from ferroptosis while having little and nonsignificant effect on Src^{WT} cells (Fig 8G and H).

Altogether, these data demonstrate that the Src–NRF2 axis sustains cancer cell resistance to ionizing radiation by preventing ferroptosis (Fig 8I).

Discussion

Radiation and chemotherapy resistance is a major issue in cancer therapy as cancer cells activate several signaling pathways to counteract cell death induction. Ferroptosis inhibition is emerging as one of the major mechanisms responsible for IR resistance (Chen et al, 2021), although the molecular mechanisms that allow cancer cells to prevent ferroptosis have been only partially elucidated.




Figure 6. Src activity sustains mTORC1-dependent signaling. (A) Immunoblotting of p-p70S6K^{T389} and p70S6K in U87-MG, T98G, and GBMSC83 cells treated for 16 h with dasatinib 10 nM and 1 μ M, respectively. GAPDH was used as loading control. (B) Real-time PCR of NRF2 target genes in U87-MG and T98G cells treated with rapamycin 100 nM for 48 h (actin: housekeeping gene). (C) Immunoblotting of NRF2, p-p62^{S349}, p62, and HO-1 in U87-MG, and T98G cells treated as previously, and relative NRF2 densitometric analysis. GAPDH was used as loading control. Results represent the mean of three independent experiments (\pm SEM). Statistical analyses: paired t test: (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01). Source data are available for this figure.




Figure 7. NRF2 inhibition affects cell proliferation and sensitivity to ionizing radiation in SRC^{WT} cells. (A, B) Cell proliferation assay and (B) clonogenic assay performed in T98G cells stably overexpressing empty vector (empty), Src^{WT} or Src^{KD}. (C) Cell proliferation assay performed in the same cells treated or not with trigonelline 5 μ M for 0, 24, 48, and 72 h. (D, E) Clonogenic assay and (E) relative histogram of T98G cells stably overexpressing empty vector (empty), Src^{WT} or Src^{KD} and treated or not with ML385 5 μ M. (F) Histogram of the percentage of cell death from cytofluorimetric analysis in T98G cells stably overexpressing Src^{WT} or Src^{KD} 48 h from irradiation (10 Gy), upon pretreatment or not with ML385 5 μ M. Results represent the mean of at least three independent experiments (\pm SEM). Statistical analyses: unpaired t test: (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001). Source data are available for this figure.




Figure 8. NRF2 inhibition sensitizes glioblastoma cells to ionizing radiation-induced ferroptotic cell death.

(A, B) Real-time PCR of ferroptotic genes *slc7a11* and *gpx4* in (A) U87-MG and T98G stably expressing SRC^{WT} or SRC^{KD} and in (B) U87-MG and GBMSC83 cells treated with dasatinib (DAS) 10 nM and 1 μ M, respectively (actin: housekeeping gene). (C) Histogram of propidium iodide-positive (PI⁺) T98G SRC^{WT} and SRC^{KD} cells after 48 h from irradiation (IR, 10 Gy), with or without Fer-1 5 μ M treatment (SRC^{KD} cells: gray columns; IR: striped bars). (D) Representative flow cytometry dot plot graphs and relative histogram of the percentage of dead cells upon AnnexinV-PI staining of GBMSC83 cells after 48 h irradiation (IR 10 Gy) with or without DAS 1 μ M and/or Fer-1 5 μ M (NT = untreated, white columns; IR = gray columns). (E) Cytofluorimetric analysis of lipid peroxidation upon BODIPY 665/676 staining in GBMSC83 cells after 48 h irradiation (10 Gy), with or without DAS 1 μ M and/or Fer-1 5 μ M. (F) Histogram of propidium iodide-positive (PI⁺) T98G cells after 48 h irradiation (10 Gy), with or without DAS 10 nM and/or erastin (ERA) 1 μ M. (G, H) Representative images and (H) relative histogram of Propidium Iodide positive (PI⁺) T98G SRC^{WT} and SRC^{KD} cells transiently transfected with empty vector or NRF2^{WT}, treated or not with erastin (ERA) 1 μ M for 48 h. (I) Working model. Results represent the mean of three independent experiments (\pm SEM). (A, B, C, D, G, I) Statistical analyses: paired (A, B) and unpaired (C, D, G, I) t test: (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001). Source data are available for this figure.

NRF2 transcription factor, a major controller of oxidative stress, has been shown to be aberrantly up-regulated in many tumors, including GBM (Rojo de la Vega et al, 2018). More importantly, its overexpression can drive cancer resistance to chemo and radiotherapy-induced apoptosis and ferroptosis, therefore representing one of the major triggers of poor prognosis in these tumors (Wu et al, 2019). Its aberrant activation relies often on NRF2 or KEAP1 gene mutation, both resulting in increased NRF2 protein levels (Kansanen et al, 2013). Nevertheless, the up-regulation of NRF2 has been also detected independently of these events pointing to alternative signaling molecules hyperactivated in cancer as possible NRF2 regulators. Recently, the bioinformatics analysis of gene expression data from the Broad–Novartis Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) and TCGA identified GBM as one of the tumors where NRF2 is more often up-regulated (Pölonen et al, 2019). We confirmed this issue (Fig 1) and we therefore selected GBM cellular models as experimental systems to test the hypothesis that other signaling pathways often deregulated in GBM may contribute to increase NRF2 expression levels. Genomic and transcriptomic analyses uncovered RTKs signaling as one of the pathways that are more frequently deregulated in GBM (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2008). More than 60% of GBM display aberrant activation of EGFR or MET or HER2. Importantly, a common trait of the signaling cascade downstream RTKs is the constitutive activation of Src kinase (Du et al, 2009). Based on these pieces of evidence, we decided to test the hypothesis that Src activity may impact on NRF2 expression and signaling. Here, by using GBM cell lines, we identified for the first time a role for Src kinase activity as modulator of NRF2. Importantly, we confirmed that in our experimental systems both NRF2 protein expression and Src activity were up-regulated (Fig 1) and that both pharmacological and genetic inhibition of Src kinase activity significantly down-regulated NRF2 protein expression levels (Figs 1 and 2). Immunofluorescence and subcellular fractionation experiments showed that Src sustains NRF2 localization in the nucleus (Fig 2), thus promoting the expression of selected NRF2-target genes related to the oxidative stress response (Fig 3) and to ferroptosis (Fig 8). We also reported that Src caused the formation of p62 aggregates that colocalize with KEAP1. On the other hand, Src kinase activity inhibition resulted in the release of p62–KEAP1 complex and led to KEAP1 association with NRF2, which can be therefore ubiquitinated and degraded (Figs 4 and 5). Our findings are in agreement with previous reports demonstrating that NRF2 and p62 can compete for KEAP1 binding (Jain et al, 2010; Komatsu et al, 2010; Lau et al, 2010). In addition, p62 is a major target of NRF2 transcription factor pointing to a positive feedback loop mechanism (Jain et al, 2010). Interestingly, we could demonstrate that the constitutive activation of Src relies on mTORC1 signaling to promote this signaling pathway (Figs 6, S5, and S6). Our observations are consistent with recent studies that identified the aberrant activation of mTORC1 in GBM and in HCC cellular models as responsible for the up-regulation of NRF2 (Komatsu et al, 2010; Pölonen et al, 2019). We can speculate that the aberrant activation of Src in cancer cells constitutively activates NRF2 to survive to unfavorable conditions. Importantly, we also demonstrated that Src activity promotes the expression of p62, (Fig 3) that when

overexpressed, may further sustain mTORC1 signaling and NRF2 activation (Duran et al, 2011).

We reported that NRF2 acts as a downstream effector for Src deregulation in cancer, supporting cell proliferation and cell resistance to radiotherapy (Fig 7). Importantly, Src-dependent NRF2 activation may contribute to inhibit ferroptosis and indeed its targeting may increase sensitivity to ferroptosis, enhancing their efficacy in combination with IR (Fig 8).

The link between tyrosine kinases, NRF2 signaling, and ferroptosis is still under-investigated. As NRF2 transcription factor controls several cellular responses, it will be interesting to perform transcriptomic analyses to further elucidate the panel of NRF2 target genes that may be modulated by Src kinase activity.

Our work suggests that the concomitant increased activity of Src and NRF2 may identify those tumors that may benefit from targeting this novel signaling axis. Of note, among GBM tumors, the mesenchymal subtype characterized by increased NRF2 expression (Pölonen et al, 2019) has also been shown to be more sensitive to DAS treatment (Alhalabi et al, 2022). Because the constitutive activity of Src is a major feature of cancer, it will be interesting to extend the investigation to different tumors. Of note, because of the redundancy of tyrosine kinase signaling and being Src aberrantly induced downstream the activation of RTKs, we cannot exclude a role of other tyrosine kinases and we can speculate that RTKs may provide a general mechanism to impinge on NRF2 signaling independently of specific NRF2 or KEAP1 mutations. In summary, we provide the first evidence for a new connection between the aberrant activation of tyrosine kinases and the constitutive activation of NRF2 signaling, and we identify an unexpected role for tyrosine phosphorylation signaling in the modulation of ferroptosis.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

U87MG and T98G (originally obtained by ATCC) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). GBMSC83 cells, a well-characterized mesenchymal GBM cellular model, were cultured as neurospheres in non-adherent conditions in DMEM/F12 supplemented by B27 Supplement (50x), EGF (20 ng/ml), and hβFGF (10 ng/ml) as previously described (Mao et al, 2013; Minata et al, 2019). All GBM cells were maintained in a humidified 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator and were routinely tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.

Stable cell lines, overexpressing Src *wild-type* or catalytically inactive mutant *kinase dead* in p-MX-psCESAR vector, were generated by retroviral infection followed by cell sorting for GFP⁺ cells. For transient transfection experiments, cells were seeded the day before and transfected using polyethylenimine (PEI) (Tebu-Bio), following the manufacturer's instructions. Src constructs pSGT-Src-Y527F and pSGT-K295M and empty pSGT vector were previously described (Cursi et al, 2006). pCDNA3.1-FLAG-NRF2^{WT} was obtained from Addgene.

Antibodies and other reagents

Primary antibodies used are as follows: anti-NRF2 (D1Z9C) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p62 (SQSTM1) (PM045; MBL International), anti-phospho-p62 (SQSTM1) (Ser349) (PM074; MBL International), anti-vinculin (E1E9V) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-lamin (E-1) (sc-376248; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GAPDH (D16H11) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Src (2108S) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-Src (Tyr416) (2101S) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-KEAP1 (G-2) (sc-365626; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-heme oxygenase 1 (A-3) (sc-136960; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-NQO1 (A-180) (sc-32793; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-p70S6K (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-p70S6K (Thr389) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-ERK 1/2 (137F5) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-ERK 1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) (D13.14.4E) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-ubiquitin (P4D1; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Dasatinib, trigonelline, rapamycin, ferrostatin-1, erastin, and cycloheximide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; PP2 (sc-202769) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; ML385 from Selleck Chemicals.

Fluorescence microscopy

Cells were seeded on coverslips and grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After treatments, cells were washed with 1x PBS, fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min at RT, permeabilized with PBS/Triton X-100 0.3% solution for 10 min, blocked with BSA 3% solution in PBS for 1 h, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies (NRF2, 1:50; KEAP1, 1:50; p62: 1:1,000) in a humid chamber at 4°C. Secondary antibodies (1:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were applied for 1 h at RT, and nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min. Images were acquired by fluorescence microscopy (ZEISS) and processed with Fiji version 2.3.

Confocal microscopy experiments were performed by using LSM800 microscope (ZEISS) equipped with a 63x oil objective and with ZEN blue imaging software. Staining intensities were analyzed by using Fiji software (ImageJ) to obtain the nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio. For colocalization studies, at least three slices were taken at a Z-stack distance of 0.3 µm. After acquisition, images were imported into Fiji for analysis and quantification of colocalizing particles between two channels by using the open-source plugin ComDet 0.5.2. Colocalization parameters were as follows: maximum distance between the center of two particles ≤ 4 pixels; particle size ≥ 3; intensity threshold = 5.

Protein extracts, immunoprecipitation, nuclei/cytoplasm fractionation, and immunoblotting analyses

Total cell extracts were prepared in Buffer A (10 mM Hepes [pH 7.9], 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 25 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mg/ml TPCK, 5 mg/ml TLCK, 1 mg/ml leupeptin, 10 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 1 mg/ml aprotinin). Lysates were incubated for 20 min on ice, sonicated, and centrifuged at 12,000g at 4°C for 30 min.

For nuclei/cytoplasm cell fractionation, cells were lysate in the same Buffer A, without adding 0.1% NP-40. After incubation for 20 min on ice, NP-40 (0.1% final concentration) was added, and

nuclei were harvested by centrifugation at 12,000g at 4°C for 30 s. The cytoplasmic fraction was recovered, and nuclear proteins were extracted from the pellet in Buffer A, completed with 0.05% NP-40 for 30 min on ice followed by sonication and centrifugation at 12,000g at 4°C for 30 s. For immunoprecipitation experiments, total cell extracts were incubated with the primary antibody for 3 h on a rotating wheel at 4°C, followed by 45 min incubation with Protein G beads (Invitrogen). The complex was washed four times in ice-cold PBS, denatured for 5 min at 95°C. For immunoblotting, 20–50 mg of proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane, and detected with specific antibodies.

Cell death analysis

Cell death was evaluated 48 h after ionizing radiation (10 Gy) by using a CytoFLEX S (Beckman Coulter) instrument. 1×10^6 cells were collected, centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 300g, and double-stained by using Annexin V-APC-propidium iodide (PI) kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (eBioscience™ Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kits; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For GFP⁺ cells, PI staining was replaced with DAPI. Unstained samples were used as control. Quality control was evaluated using CytoFLEX Daily QC Fluorospheres (Beckman Coulter). FCS files were analyzed using CytExpert version 2.2 software (Beckman Coulter). Dead cells (Annexin V⁺/PI⁺ cells) were graphed as fold change to control conditions.

Proliferation assay

Cells were seeded in 12-well culture plate (1×10^5 cells/ml) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂. After 24 h, cells were treated with trigonelline 5 mM. Cells were detached and counted 24, 48, and 72 h after treatment. Data were expressed as mean and SD. The assays were repeated four times.

Clonogenic survival assay

Cells were plated in six-well culture plate (1,000 cells/well) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for colony formation. After 10–15 d, colonies were fixed and stained with a solution with 10% (vol/vol) methanol and 0.5% crystal violet for 20 min for colony visualization. The stained colonies (>50 cells) were counted. Data were expressed as mean and SD. The assays were repeated four times.

RT-qPCR

Cells were homogenized in TRI Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and RNA was extracted in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. 1 mg of total RNA was retrotranscribed in cDNA using the SensiFAST cDNA Synthesis KIT (Bioline). Specific sets of primer pairs were designed and tested with primerBLAST (NCBI, see list below). RT-qPCR was performed using the SensiFAST Syber Low-ROX kit (Bioline) QuantStudio 3 RT-qPCR (Applied Biosystems). Data were analyzed by using the second-derivative maximum method. The fold changes in mRNA levels were determined relative to a control after normalizing to the internal standard, actin. Primers used are listed below:

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
ACTIN	5'-GGCCGAGGACTTTGATTGCA-3'	5'-GGGACTTCTGTAAACAACGCA-3'
HMOX-1	5'-CACAGCCCCACAGCATGCC-3'	5'-GCCTTCTCTGGACACCTGACCCT-3'
NQO1	5'-GGTTGGAGTCCCTGCCATT-3'	5'-CCTTCTTACTCCGGAAGGGTC-3'
GCLC	5'-CGCACAGCGAGGAGCTTCGG-3'	5'-CTCCACTGCATGGGACATGGTGC-3'
SQSTM1	5'-GGGAAAGGGCTTGACCCGGG-3'	5'-CTGGCCACCCGAAGTGCCG-3'
SLC7A11	5'-TCCTGCTTTGGCTCCATGAACG-3'	5'-AGAGGAGTGTGCTTCCGGACAT-3'
GPX4	5'-GCCTTCCCGTGTAAACAGT-3'	5'-GCGAAGCTTTGATCTCTTCGT-3'

Lipid peroxidation measurement

Membrane lipid peroxidation was evaluated 48 h after ionizing radiation (10 Gy), in the presence or not of DAS and ferrostatin-1. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C with 5 mM of BODIPY665/676 probe (Thermo Fisher Scientific) dissolved in PBS, washed twice in PBS, and analyzed by using a CytoFLEX S (Beckman Coulter, Milan, Italy) instrument. An unstained sample was used as negative control. FCS files were analyzed using CytExpert version 2.2 software (Beckman Coulter).

Publicly available datasets

The expression profile of GBM patients was downloaded from TCGA Data Portal (<https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov>) using the recommended GDC data transfer tool. The processed data (level 3) were used. To date, there are 163 patients with unrestricted-for-publication RNA-seq(V2) data. The expression data of normal brain tissues were downloaded from GTEx portal (V8) (<https://gtexportal.org/home/>) where a total of 3,869 samples belonging to 13 different brain regions are available.

Pathway variation analysis

Pathway enrichment score was calculated using the Gene Set Variation Analysis tool (Hänzelmann et al, 2013). A matrix containing pathway enrichment scores for each gene set and sample was obtained using the TCGA and GTEx gene expression matrices and the MSigDB Hallmark gene set collection (Subramanian et al, 2005). Differential pathway activity between GBM and a normal brain cortex was calculated using Deseq2 (Love et al, 2014) and pathways with P -value < 0.05 and $|\log_2 \text{FC}| > 0.3$ were selected as significant.

Statistical analyses

All experiments were replicated at least three times (biological replicates) and data were presented as mean \pm SD or \pm SEM, as indicated in the figure legends. The significance of the differences between populations of data was assessed according to the two-tailed t test (independent samples) with level of significance of at least $P \leq 0.05$. For immunofluorescence analysis, unpaired t test was used for data showing a normal distribution and Mann-Whitney test in other situations. For multiple comparisons, we used ANOVA

test followed by Kruskal–Wallis test. All the statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information is available at <https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302205>.

Acknowledgements

We thank Maria Pia Gentileschi for kindly providing technical support for irradiation experiments and all the members of our laboratory for critical reading of the article and for helpful discussion. This work has been supported by research grants from Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro AIRC-IG2016-n.19069, AIRC-IG2021-n.26230, and the Italian Ministry of Health, RF-2016-02362022 to D Barilà; C Cirotti has been supported by a FIRC-AIRC fellowship for Italy “Filomena Todini”; C Contadini work also been supported by AIRC IG2016-n.19069 and AIRC-IG2021-n.26230, C Di Girolamo work is also supported by AIRC-IG2021-n.26230. I Taddei is supported by a MUR fellowship to the PhD Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, Department of Biology, University of Tor Vergata.

Author Contributions

C Cirotti: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, supervision, validation, investigation, visualization, methodology, project administration, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.
I Taddei: formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology, and writing—review and editing.
C Contadini: investigation, visualization, methodology, and writing—review and editing.
C Di Girolamo: formal analysis and investigation.
G Pepe: investigation and visualization.
M De Bardi: methodology.
G Borsellino: methodology.
M Helmer-Citterich: investigation and writing—review and editing.
D Barilà: conceptualization, resources, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Ahluwalia MS, de Groot J, Liu WM, Gladson CL (2010) Targeting SRC in glioblastoma tumors and brain metastases: Rationale and preclinical studies. *Cancer Lett* 298: 139–149. doi:[10.1016/j.canlet.2010.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2010.08.014)
- Alhalabi OT, Fletcher MNC, Hielscher T, Kessler T, Lokumcu T, Baumgartner U, Wittmann E, Schlue S, Göttmann M, Rahman S, et al (2022) A novel patient stratification strategy to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of dasatinib in glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol* 24: 39–51. doi:[10.1093/neuonc/noab158](https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab158)
- Arlt A, Sebens S, Krebs S, Geismann C, Grossmann M, Kruse M-L, Schreiber S, Schäfer H (2013) Inhibition of the Nrf2 transcription factor by the alkaloid trigonelline renders pancreatic cancer cells more susceptible to apoptosis through decreased proteasomal gene expression and proteasome activity. *Oncogene* 32: 4825–4835. doi:[10.1038/ncr.2012.493](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncr.2012.493)
- Awuah WA, Toufik A-R, Yarlagadda R, Mikhailova T, Mehta A, Huang H, Kundu M, Lopes L, Benson S, Mykola L, et al (2022) Exploring the role of Nrf2 signaling in glioblastoma multiforme. *Discover Oncol* 13: 94. doi:[10.1007/s12672-022-00556-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-022-00556-4)
- Bjorge JD, Jakymiw A, Fujita DJ (2000) Selected glimpses into the activation and function of Src kinase. *Oncogene* 19: 5620–5635. doi:[10.1038/sj.onc.1203923](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203923)
- Blume-Jensen P, Hunter T (2001) Oncogenic kinase signalling. *Nature* 411: 355–365. doi:[10.1038/35077225](https://doi.org/10.1038/35077225)
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2008) Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. *Nature* 455: 1061–1068. doi:[10.1038/nature07385](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07385)
- Chen X, Kang R, Kroemer G, Tang D (2021) Broadening horizons: The role of ferroptosis in cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 18: 280–296. doi:[10.1038/s41571-020-00462-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-00462-0)
- Cirotti C, Contadini C, Barilà D (2020) SRC kinase in glioblastoma: News from an old acquaintance. *Cancers (Basel)* 12: 1558. doi:[10.3390/cancers12061558](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12061558)
- Contadini C, Ferri A, Di Martile M, Cirotti C, Del Bufalo D, De Nicola F, Pallocca M, Fanciulli M, Sacco F, Donninelli G, et al (2023) Caspase-8 as a novel mediator linking Src kinase signaling to enhanced glioblastoma malignancy. *Cell Death Differ* 30: 417–428. doi:[10.1038/s41418-022-01093-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-022-01093-x)
- Cursi S, Rufini A, Stagni V, Condò I, Matafora V, Bachi A, Bonifazi AP, Coppola L, Superti-Furga G, Testi R, et al (2006) Src kinase phosphorylates caspase-8 on Tyr380: A novel mechanism of apoptosis suppression. *EMBO J* 25: 1895–1905. doi:[10.1038/sj.emboj.7601085](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601085)
- Dodson M, Castro-Portuguez R, Zhang DD (2019) NRF2 plays a critical role in mitigating lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis. *Redox Biol* 23: 101107. doi:[10.1016/j.redox.2019.101107](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2019.101107)
- Du J, Bernasconi P, Clauser KR, Mani DR, Finn SP, Beroukhim R, Burns M, Julian B, Peng XP, Hieronymus H, et al (2009) Bead-based profiling of tyrosine kinase phosphorylation identifies SRC as a potential target for glioblastoma therapy. *Nat Biotechnol* 27: 77–83. doi:[10.1038/nbt.1513](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1513)
- Duran A, Amanchy R, Linares JF, Joshi J, Abu-Baker S, Porollo A, Hansen M, Moscat J, Diaz-Meco MT (2011) p62 is a key regulator of nutrient sensing in the mTORC1 pathway. *Mol Cell* 44: 134–146. doi:[10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.038)
- Fan Z, Wirth A-K, Chen D, Wruck CJ, Rauh M, Buchfelder M, Savaskan N (2017) Nrf2-Keap1 pathway promotes cell proliferation and diminishes ferroptosis. *Oncogenesis* 6: e371. doi:[10.1038/oncsis.2017.65](https://doi.org/10.1038/oncsis.2017.65)
- Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J (2013) GSVA: Gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 14: 7. doi:[10.1186/1471-2105-14-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-7)
- Ichimura Y, Waguri S, Sou Y, Kageyama S, Hasegawa J, Ishimura R, Saito T, Yang Y, Kouno T, Fukutomi T, et al (2013) Phosphorylation of p62 activates the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway during selective autophagy. *Mol Cell* 51: 618–631. doi:[10.1016/j.molcel.2013.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.08.003)
- Itoh K, Wakabayashi N, Katoh Y, Ishii T, Igarashi K, Engel JD, Yamamoto M (1999) Keap1 represses nuclear activation of antioxidant responsive elements by Nrf2 through binding to the amino-terminal Neh2 domain. *Genes Dev* 13: 76–86. doi:[10.1101/gad.13.1.76](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.1.76)
- Jain A, Lamark T, Sjøttem E, Larsen KB, Awuh JA, Øvervatn A, McMahon M, Hayes JD, Johansen T (2010) p62/SQSTM1 is a target gene for transcription factor NRF2 and creates a positive feedback loop by inducing antioxidant response element-driven gene transcription. *J Biol Chem* 285: 22576–22591. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M110.118976](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.118976)
- Kansanen E, Kuosmanen SM, Leinonen H, Levenon A-L (2013) The Keap1-Nrf2 pathway: Mechanisms of activation and dysregulation in cancer. *Redox Biol* 1: 45–49. doi:[10.1016/j.redox.2012.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2012.10.001)
- Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, Taguchi K, Kobayashi A, Ichimura Y, Sou Y-S, Ueno I, Sakamoto A, Tong KI, et al (2010) The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat Cell Biol* 12: 213–223. doi:[10.1038/ncb2021](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2021)
- Lau A, Wang X-J, Zhao F, Villeneuve NF, Wu T, Jiang T, Sun Z, White E, Zhang DD (2010) A noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2 activation by autophagy deficiency: Direct interaction between Keap1 and p62. *Mol Cell Biol* 30: 3275–3285. doi:[10.1128/MCB.00248-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00248-10)
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15: 550. doi:[10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)
- Maiese K, Chong ZZ, Shang YC, Wang S (2013) mTOR: on target for novel therapeutic strategies in the nervous system. *Trends Mol Med* 19: 51–60. doi:[10.1016/j.molmed.2012.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2012.11.001)
- Mao P, Joshi K, Li J, Kim S-H, Li P, Santana-Santos L, Luthra S, Chandran UR, Benos PV, Smith L, et al (2013) Mesenchymal glioma stem cells are maintained by activated glycolytic metabolism involving aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110: 8644–8649. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1221478110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221478110)
- McDonald JT, Kim K, Norris AJ, Vlasi E, Phillips TM, Lagadec C, Della Donna L, Ratikan J, Szilag H, Hlatky L, et al (2010) Ionizing radiation activates the Nrf2 antioxidant response. *Cancer Res* 70: 8886–8895. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0171](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0171)
- Minata M, Audia A, Shi J, Lu S, Bernstock J, Pavlyukov MS, Das A, Kim S-H, Shin YJ, Lee Y, et al (2019) Phenotypic plasticity of invasive edge glioma stem-like cells in response to ionizing radiation. *Cell Rep* 26: 1893–1905.e7. doi:[10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.076)
- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Stetson L, Virk SM, Barnholtz-Sloan JS (2015) Epidemiology of gliomas. *Cancer Treat Res* 163: 1–14. doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-12048-5_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12048-5_1)
- Pal R, Palmieri M, Chaudhury A, Klisch TJ, di Ronza A, Neilson JR, Rodney GG, Sardiello M (2018) Src regulates amino acid-mediated mTORC1 activation by disrupting GATOR1-Rag GTPase interaction. *Nat Commun* 9: 4351. doi:[10.1038/s41467-018-06844-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06844-4)
- Pölonen P, Jawahar Deen A, Leinonen HM, Jyrkkänen H-K, Kuosmanen S, Mononen M, Jain A, Tuomainen T, Pasonen-Seppänen S, Hartikainen JM, et al (2019) Nrf2 and SQSTM1/p62 jointly contribute to mesenchymal transition and invasion in glioblastoma. *Oncogene* 38: 7473–7490. doi:[10.1038/s41388-019-0956-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-019-0956-6)
- Rocha CRR, Kajitani GS, Quinet A, Fortunato RS, Menck CFM (2016) NRF2 and glutathione are key resistance mediators to temozolomide in glioma and melanoma cells. *Oncotarget* 7: 48081–48092. doi:[10.18632/oncotarget.10129](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.10129)
- Rojo de la Vega M, Chapman E, Zhang DD (2018) NRF2 and the hallmarks of cancer. *Cancer Cell* 34: 21–43. doi:[10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.022)

- Ryoo I, Lee S, Kwak M-K (2016) Redox modulating NRF2: A potential mediator of cancer stem cell resistance. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2016: 1–14. doi:[10.1155/2016/2428153](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2428153)
- Singer E, Judkins J, Salomonis N, Matlaf L, Soteropoulos P, McAllister S, Soroceanu L (2015) Reactive oxygen species-mediated therapeutic response and resistance in glioblastoma. *Cell Death Dis* 6: e1601. doi:[10.1038/cddis.2014.566](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.566)
- Snuderl M, Fazlollahi L, Le LP, Nitta M, Zhelyazkova BH, Davidson CJ, Akhavanfard S, Cahill DP, Aldape KD, Betensky RA, et al (2011) Mosaic amplification of multiple receptor tyrosine kinase genes in glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell* 20: 810–817. doi:[10.1016/j.ccr.2011.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2011.11.005)
- Stockwell BR, Friedmann Angeli JP, Bayir H, Bush AI, Conrad M, Dixon SJ, Fulda S, Gascón S, Hatzios SK, Kagan VE, et al (2017) Ferroptosis: A regulated cell death nexus linking metabolism, redox Biology, and disease. *Cell* 171: 273–285. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.021)
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, et al (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102: 15545–15550. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0506580102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102)
- Tang Z, Kang B, Li C, Chen T, Zhang Z (2019) GEPIA2: An enhanced web server for large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: W556–W560. doi:[10.1093/nar/gkz430](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz430)
- Tebay LE, Robertson H, Durant ST, Vitale SR, Penning TM, Dinkova-Kostova AT, Hayes JD (2015) Mechanisms of activation of the transcription factor Nrf2 by redox stressors, nutrient cues, and energy status and the pathways through which it attenuates degenerative disease. *Free Radic Biol Med* 88: 108–146. doi:[10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.06.021)
- Vojtěchová M, Turečková J, Kučerová D, Šloncová E, Vachtenheim J, Tuháčková Z (2008) Regulation of mTORC1 signaling by Src kinase activity is akt1-independent in RSV-transformed cells. *Neoplasia* 10: 99–107. doi:[10.1593/neo.07905](https://doi.org/10.1593/neo.07905)
- Wang XJ, Hayes JD, Wolf CR (2006) Generation of a stable antioxidant response element-driven reporter gene cell line and its use to show redox-dependent activation of nrf2 by cancer chemotherapeutic agents. *Cancer Res* 66: 10983–10994. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2298](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2298)
- Wu S, Lu H, Bai Y (2019) Nrf2 in cancers: A double-edged sword. *Cancer Med* 8: 2252–2267. doi:[10.1002/cam4.2101](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.2101)
- Yang Y, Li S, Wang Y, Zhao Y, Li Q (2022) Protein tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in malignant tumors: Molecular mechanisms and future perspective. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 7: 329. doi:[10.1038/s41392-022-01168-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-01168-8)
- Zhu J, Wang H, Sun Q, Ji X, Zhu L, Cong Z, Zhou Y, Liu H, Zhou M (2013) Nrf2 is required to maintain the self-renewal of glioma stem cells. *BMC Cancer* 13: 380. doi:[10.1186/1471-2407-13-380](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-380)



License: This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).