



# Activation of the medial preoptic area (MPOA) ameliorates loss of maternal behavior in a *Shank2* mouse model for autism

Stefanie Grabrucker<sup>1,2</sup>, Jessica Pagano<sup>3,4</sup>, Johanna Schweizer<sup>1</sup> , Carolina Urrutia-Ruiz<sup>1</sup>, Michael Schön<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Thome<sup>1</sup>, Günter Ehret<sup>5</sup>, Andreas M Grabrucker<sup>2,6,7</sup>, Rong Zhang<sup>8,9,10</sup>, Bastian Hengerer<sup>11</sup>, Jürgen Bockmann<sup>1</sup>, Chiara Verpelli<sup>3</sup>, Carlo Sala<sup>3</sup> & Tobias M Boeckers<sup>1,12,\*</sup> 

## Abstract

Impairments in social relationships and awareness are features observed in autism spectrum disorders (ASDs). However, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. *Shank2* is a high-confidence ASD candidate gene and localizes primarily to postsynaptic densities (PSDs) of excitatory synapses in the central nervous system (CNS). We show here that loss of *Shank2* in mice leads to a lack of social attachment and bonding behavior towards pups independent of hormonal, cognitive, or sensitive deficits. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display functional changes in nuclei of the social attachment circuit that were most prominent in the medial preoptic area (MPOA) of the hypothalamus. Selective enhancement of MPOA activity by DREADD technology re-established social bonding behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, providing evidence that the identified circuit might be crucial for explaining how social deficits in ASD can arise.

**Keywords** autism spectrum disorders; bonding; SHANK3; social behavior; synapse

**Subject Category** Neuroscience

**DOI** 10.15252/embj.2019104267 | Received 15 December 2019 | Revised 9 December 2020 | Accepted 16 December 2020 | Published online 25 January 2021  
**The EMBO Journal (2021) 40: e104267**

## Introduction

The capacity to establish and maintain social bonds is a primary component of social behavior in mammals (Carter, 1998). Social bonds

in mammals may form between a parent and infant, or between two adults, or between individual members of social groups (Broad *et al*, 2006; Mogi *et al*, 2011; Lieberwirth & Wang, 2014; Johnson & Young, 2015). The first bond which is formed in the life of social mammals is the bond between a mother and an infant, and it is thought to provide the neuronal template for later forms of pro-sociality or social relationships (Rilling & Young, 2014; Numan & Young, 2016).

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by a chronic impairment in the formation of social relationships (Barak & Feng, 2016). Unraveling the cause of the deficits in establishing affective bonds to other people, resulting in a profoundly disturbed pattern of social development, may be critical to understanding ASD. However, so far, the underlying neuronal mechanism that might account for the inability to form social relationships in ASD remain poorly understood.

Social bonds are the most highly motivated forms of social behavior and are mediated by an evolutionary conserved neurocircuitry (Insel, 2003; Broad *et al*, 2006). The major components of the circuit have been identified and involve the amygdala (AMY), the medial preoptic area (MPOA) of the hypothalamus, and the dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) which project toward the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) to form a social engaged reward system (Numan & Insel, 2003). Previous studies indicate that the MPOA plays a central role in the control of social bonding behavior. Bilateral lesions or temporary inactivation of the MPOA region disrupt all components of social attachment behavior, whereas the stimulation of the MPOA facilitates its full expression (Numan, 2007; Wu *et al*, 2014; Kohl *et al*, 2018). Recently, it has also been demonstrated that activation of neurotensin (*Nts*)-expressing neurons in the

1 Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

2 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

3 CNR Neuroscience Institute, Milan, Italy

4 Department of Medical Biotechnology and Translational Medicine, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

5 Institute of Neurobiology, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

6 Bernal Institute, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

7 Health Research Institute, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

8 Neuroscience Research Institute, Peking University, Beijing, China

9 Key Laboratory for Neuroscience, Ministry of Education/National Health and Family Planning Commission, Peking University, Beijing, China

10 Department of Neurobiology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China

11 Boehringer Ingelheim, Neuroscience Biberach, Biberach, Germany

12 DZNE, Ulm Site, Ulm, Germany

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +49 731 500 23221/0; Fax: +49 731 500 23217; E-mail: tobias.boeckers@uni-ulm.de

MPOA promotes social attraction during adult social interactions, suggesting that the MPOA is a central node in the neuronal network that regulates social behavior by connecting social information to the reward system (McHenry *et al*, 2017).

In this study, we use an ASD mouse model, generated through the deletion of *Shank2* (SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains protein 2) (Schmeisser *et al*, 2012), to investigate impairments in social bond formation on a behavioral level, and to identify the underlying pathology on cellular and circuit level focusing on the aforementioned circuit regulating social bond formation.

SHANK2 (ProSAP1) is one of the major scaffold proteins of excitatory synapses reported to play a key role in the structural assembly and integrity of the postsynaptic density (PSD), navigating proper synaptic function by organizing an impressive excitatory signaling machinery within the glutamatergic spine (Lim *et al*, 1999; Naisbitt *et al*, 1999; Boeckers *et al*, 2002; Grabrucker *et al*, 2011; Sala *et al*, 2015). Several genetic variations of the human *SHANK2* gene have been identified in patients with ASD, schizophrenia, and developmental delay and intellectual disability (Wischmeijer *et al*, 2011; Sanders *et al*, 2012; Rauch *et al*, 2012; Prasad *et al*, 2012; Chilian *et al*, 2013; Schluth-Bolard *et al*, 2013; Leblond *et al*, 2014; Costas, 2015; Peykov *et al*, 2015a,b; Homann *et al*, 2016). Heterozygous loss-of-function mutations in the human *SHANK2* gene are significantly associated with ASD, and dysfunctional social relationships are key symptoms and core characteristics of these loss-of-function mutations in humans (Berkel *et al*, 2010, 2012; Pinto *et al*, 2010; Leblond *et al*, 2012; Guilmatre *et al*, 2014). Previous studies have also demonstrated that *Shank2* deletion in mice results in prominent autism-like social deficits (Schmeisser *et al*, 2012; Won *et al*, 2012; Pappas *et al*, 2017; Kim *et al*, 2018; Eltokhi *et al*, 2018). Although the underlying mechanisms are still mostly unknown, it has been suggested that impaired NMDA receptor function might contribute to the development of social interaction deficits in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Won *et al*, 2012).

Here, in a series of behavioral experiments specifically designed to evaluate social attachment behavior, we demonstrate that in mice the genetic depletion of *Shank2* selectively leads to profound incapability to initiate social bonding. Our data provide the first evidence that this incapability is based on a disrupted neuronal circuit coding for social attachment behavior and cannot be just attributed to a dysfunction of hormones regulating social behavior as previously proposed for ASD patients. Furthermore, our study links the function of SHANK2 to the MPOA, the evolutionary conserved node, and “hot spot” of the social behavioral network, which ties social behavior to the reward system. Notably, chemogenetic activation of MPOA neurons improved social bonding in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, confirming the sufficiency of MPOA activity to restore disrupted social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Collectively, our findings highlight a possible new mechanism, how social deficits in ASD might arise, namely through the inability to establish social attachment and bonding behavior.

## Results

### *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice are not capable of initiating social bonding behavior


Social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice was assessed by several read-outs for maternal behavior. Intriguingly, breeding of

female *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> males resulted in a dramatic decrease in pup survival (Fig 1A). Although *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice did not display a significant difference in litter-size and delivered on term (Appendix Fig S1A and B), all pups born from *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers died within 24 h after delivery (Appendix Fig S1C). Pups were found scattered within the bedding material, ignored, and neglected by the mother (Fig 1B(a–f) and C). Of particular note was that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed impaired placentophagia, a distinctive mammalian behavior, and most litters of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams contained at least one pup with its placenta or umbilical cord left intact. Furthermore, some pups of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were still found enclosed in fetal tissue (Fig 1D and E). In addition to being abandoned, pups of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams displayed injuries, predominantly in the facial region (Fig 1B(g,h) and F). *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers exhibited a similar phenotype in subsequent pregnancies, with pups scattered after birth in the bedding and high pup lethality during the first postnatal days. A comparison of the survival rate of pups from the first and second litter yielded no significant difference (Appendix Fig S2A and B), indicating that the observed phenotype in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice cannot be overcome by social experience with the pups.

### The social bonding defect is caused by the phenotype of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and cannot be attributed to general cognitive and olfactory deficits

The absence of milk in the stomach of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> pups (Fig 2A, left panel arrowheads) and the reduction of body weight within the first postnatal days indicate that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers fail to nurse their pups. However, an inspection of the mammary glands revealed that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed morphologically normal mammary glands that can undergo the transition to the secretory state, characterized by differentiation of the alveolar lobules (Fig EV1A and B). Milk transport and milk secretion from the alveoli in the mammary gland ducts can be induced by myoepithelial contraction triggered through oxytocin treatment (Plante *et al*, 2011). Upon exposure to oxytocin, the mammary glands of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were able to secrete milk, indicating that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers were in principle able to lactate, and therefore, are physiologically capable of nurturing their pups (Fig EV1B). Since breeding of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers with wild-type males gives rise to heterozygous pups only, we investigated, whether the decreased survival rate was a consequence of this genotype. To that end, cross-fostering experiments were performed. *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> pups were given to *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mothers and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> pups to *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers (Fig 2B and C). The results demonstrate that *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> pups developed normally upon weaning from *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mothers, whereas *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers failed to raise *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> pups. These results strongly suggest that the high pup mortality was caused by behavioral deficits of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers (Fig 2B and C).

Social attachment in mice depends on the detection of pheromones or odorants from the pups (Gandelman *et al*, 1972; Ehret & Buckenmaier, 1994). In a next step, we performed an olfactory habituation/dishabituation test with a sequential presentation of pup odor, to determine whether *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display a preference for the volatile odors of the pups (Fig 2D). We could not detect significant abnormalities in the olfaction of pup odors in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice compared to *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice (Fig 2E and F). These results are



**Figure 1. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice ignore and abandon their pups after delivery.**

**A** In contrast to the immediate care of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> dams shortly after delivery, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams neglect the offspring. No proper nest building is observed, and pups are lying randomly scattered within the bedding. Right diagram: Average percentage of pups surviving until weaning per pregnancy. None of the litters of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice survived after delivery, Mann–Whitney test, \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ , *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>  $n = 12$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>  $n = 9$ .

**B–F** (B, a–h) Series of pictures displaying the neglected appearance of pups delivered by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams: (B, a, C), the percentage of pups gathered in the nest location is significantly reduced in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Mann–Whitney test, \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ . (B, d, D) *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers fail to remove extra-embryonal tissue after delivery, Mann–Whitney test, \* $P = 0.036$ . (B, e, f, E) *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams showed impaired placentophagia (arrowheads), Mann–Whitney test, \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ . (B, g, h, F) *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams attack their pups inducing injury in the head and body region (arrowheads), Mann–Whitney test, \* $P = 0.013$ , *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>,  $n = 12$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>  $n = 9$ .

Data information: All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM.


also in line with previous studies (Schmeisser *et al*, 2012; Won *et al*, 2012) reporting that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed normal olfactory abilities. Although the function of SHANK2 could be very specific to the neuronal circuit regulating social bonding, the data so far do not exclude a global cognitive defect, which might explain the deficits observed in social attachment in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. To address this question, a novel object recognition test for short-term memory (Fig 2G), as well as a Y maze task, was performed. Our data demonstrate that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display no impairments in the novel object recognition test for short-term memory (Fig 2H) and no deficits in spontaneous alternation behavior of the Y maze task (Fig 2I) as previously reported (Schmeisser *et al*, 2012). In addition, it has been demonstrated in a recent study that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display no significant alterations in social recognition, indicating that social memory impairments cannot account for the inability to initiate social bonding behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Ey *et al*, 2018).

### ***Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice fail to display social attachment behavior, but exhibit no gross abnormalities in hormones as well as hormonal receptor expression**

We next assessed the immediate social response of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams directed toward pups in a pup retrieval assay (Fig 3A–H). In comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> dams, which built well-defined nests, the nest quality of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers was significantly reduced (Fig 3C and F). After 1 h, wild-type pups were placed in three corners of the home cage, except the corner where the nest was located (Fig 3A). The number of pups retrieved into the nest over a time period of 30 min was measured on postpartum day 1 and 2. In

contrast to *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> dams, which displayed immediate social attachment behavior, retrieving pups in a short time frame, crouching over them for nursing, and keeping them warm, the majority of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers displayed profound impairments in all major components of social bonding behavior. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams rarely retrieved pups (Fig 3B) and did not spend time grooming, crouching or interacting with the pups at all, (Fig 3D–G), both after the first and second exposure. Interestingly, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers approached and investigated the pups provided. No significant difference was detected in the latency to approach the first pup in comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> dams (Fig 3H), indicating that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams can locate and detect the pups. However, they are incapable of inducing the appropriate social attachment response.

Previous studies have demonstrated that hormones such as oxytocin (OT), progesterone, prolactin, and sex steroids are involved in the induction and perinatal facilitation of social bonding behavior (Ehret & Koch, 1989; Bridges *et al*, 1990; Insel, 1997). Furthermore, plasma OT levels have been reported to be reduced in autistic patients (Modahl *et al*, 1998). To test for an altered hormonal status, we first evaluated the OT concentration in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Interestingly, we did not detect significant changes in peripheral OT plasma concentration, nor could we find significant alterations in OT concentrations extracted from neuronal brain tissue of the hypothalamus and pituitary gland in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig 3I). These results were further confirmed by detailed electron microscopic analysis of the axon swellings of the posterior pituitary gland. The amount of dense-core vesicles harboring oxytocin and vasopressin was indistinguishable in both genotypes (Fig 3J). Additionally, our study revealed no significant change in the plasma




**Figure 2. The social bonding defect is caused by the phenotype of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and cannot be attributed to general cognitive and olfactory deficits.**

- A** *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams failed to nurture their pups. Pups nurtured by *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice display milk in their stomach (arrowheads, upper left panel), while milk was absent in the stomach of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> pups (arrowhead, lower left panel). Pups of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice gradually gained weight (black circles) whereas no weight gain was observed in pups delivered by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers (blue circles). Two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of day: *P* = 0.962, day × genotype interaction: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 12, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 9.
- B, C** Pups of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (genotype *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>) were cross-fostered by a *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> female, while pups of the wild-type mouse (genotype *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>) were given to a *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers. In contrast to pups (+/-) given to WT mothers (black circles), +/+ pups gradually lost weight if cross-fostered by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers (blue circles). Two-way mixed ANOVA effect of genotype: \**P* = 0.041, effect of day: *P* = 0.134, day × genotype interaction: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 5, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 6.
- D** Olfactory habituation/dishabituation ability was evaluated in female and male *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> by the cumulative time spent sniffing a sequential series of nonsocial odors (water, almond, banana) and social odors (unfamiliar pup urine; unfamiliar male or female urine) delivered on cotton swabs.
- E** *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice showed a clear preference (dishabituation: banana #3 vs. pup odor #1) for pup odor in comparison to *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of trial: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.923, trial × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.892. Additionally, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice displayed normal habituation response (pup odor #1–3) toward pup odor, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of trial: \*\*\**P* < 0.006, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.742, trial × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.907. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 8, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 10.
- F** *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> male mice showed a clear preference (dishabituation: banana #3 vs. pup odor #1) for pup odor in comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of trial: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.787, trial × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.769. Additionally, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> male mice displayed normal habituation response (pup odor #1–3) toward pup odor, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of trial: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.553, trial × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.813, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 10, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 10.
- G** Schematic illustration of the novel object recognition test. After a 30 min habituation phase, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were allowed to investigate two identical objects in the open field arena (training session). 10 min later, one of the objects was replaced with a novel object (test session). Lower panels show a representative tracking path for a mouse in each test session.
- H** *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed a significant preference for the novel object vs. the familiar one in the test session, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of object: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.167, object × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.667, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 9, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 9.
- I** Additionally, no significant difference between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> was evident in the spontaneous alternation behavior during a Y Maze task, unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test, *P* = 0.146. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 10, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 10.

Data information: All graphs are presented as mean ± SEM, NS: not significant.





**Figure 3. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice exhibit impaired pup retrieval and social attachment behavior but unaltered hormone levels.**

**A** Experimental setup of the pup retrieval paradigm. After 1-h pup deprivation, pups were placed in three corners of the home cage that did not contain the nest. The mother retrieved the pups and crouches over them, engaging in maternal care responses (pup grooming, crouching, and nest building).

**B** *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice showed significantly less pup retrieval both on postnatal day 1 and 2, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\*\*P < 0.001, effect of day: P = 0.258, day × genotype interaction: P = 0.258, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 12, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 9.

**C** Tracking path of a *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mother and a *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mother during the pup retrieval assay. Left panel: *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mothers immediately retrieved the pups and started crouching over them in the nest location. Right panel: *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers rarely retrieved the provided pups and failed to crouch over them in the nest location. In addition, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers showed no or impaired nest building.

**D-G** Behavioral analysis of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams demonstrated a significant reduction in all major components of maternal behavior: (D) pup grooming, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, \*\*\*P < 0.001 (E) crouching, Mann-Whitney test, \*\*\*P < 0.001 (F) nest building, Mann-Whitney test, \*\*\*P < 0.001 and (G) Maternal interaction, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, \*\*\*P < 0.001. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 12, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 9.

**H** Left panel: Example of a tracking trajectory of a *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams during the pup retrieval test. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams investigated the provided pups (upper panel), which was further evident in the nose-tracking path of a *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dam (lower panel). No significant difference was detected in the latency to approach the provided pups between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mothers, Mann-Whitney test, P = 0.943. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 12, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 9.

**I** Levels of Oxytocin plasma and tissue concentration in *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Left panel: No significant difference was detected between Oxytocin plasma concentration comparing *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> with *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, P = 0.276, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 5, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 5. Right panel: Additionally, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed no significant differences in hypothalamic or pituitary Oxytocin concentrations, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, P = 0.536, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 6, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 5; two-tailed Student's t-test, P = 0.585, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 5, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 5.


**J** Left panel: Electron micrographs of the posterior pituitary gland from *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice in lower (left panel) and higher magnification. Scale bar (500 nm). Right diagram: No significant difference was detected in the number of vesicles in the posterior pituitary gland between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, Mann-Whitney test, P = 0.507, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 3, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 3.

**K-M** Additionally, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display no significant difference in: (K) Estradiol-, Mann-Whitney test, P = 0.475, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 6, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 7, (L) Progesterone, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, P = 0.570, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 5, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 5 or (M) Prolactin-plasma concentrations, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, P = 0.980; *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> n = 3, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> n = 3.

Data information: All data are presented as mean ± SEM, NS: not significant. E, endothelium; CL, capillary lumen; M, mitochondria; NG, neurosecretory granule; AE, axonal endings, HYP, Hypothalamus; PIT, Pituitary gland.

levels of estradiol, progesterone, and prolactin in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams, which are essential hormones involved in the regulation of social bonding behavior (Fig 3K–M).

Furthermore, we did not find significant alterations in dominant hormonal gene and receptor expression known to be involved in the regulation of social bonding behavior within the hypothalamus



**Figure 4. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display no gross abnormalities in hormonal gene and receptor expression.**

A–G qRT-PCR analysis of mRNA expression levels of hormonal genes and hormonal receptors normalized to house-keeping gene HMBS of 9- to 10-week-old naive and postnatal day 1 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice: (A) Oxytocin gene expression did not differ between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.335$ . (B) Vasopressin gene expression did not differ between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.945$ . (C) Prolactin gene expression did not differ between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.202$ . Additionally, no significant difference was evident in (D) oxytocin receptor, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.675$ , (E) prolactin receptor, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.912$ , (F) vasopressin receptor, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.418$  and (G) estrogen receptor alpha expression, one-way ANOVA,  $P = 0.890$  in comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice. All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM, NS: not significant. Pituitary gland, ( $n = 3$  pituitary glands pooled per value,  $n = 3$  hypothalamus (Oxytocin, Vasopressin, Oxytocin receptor, Vasopressin receptor, estrogen alpha)  $n = 2$ –3 hypothalamus (Prolactin receptor)). HYP, hypothalamus; PIT, pituitary gland.

and pituitary gland of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig 4A–G). No significant difference in oxytocin gene and oxytocin receptor mRNA expression before and after parturition was detected within the hypothalamus of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig 4A and D). Thus, our results suggest that both oxytocin synthesis and oxytocin target systems seem to be unaffected in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Together, these data implicate that deregulation of hormone/receptor expression is not responsible for the disruption of social attachment behavior observed in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice.

#### The impaired social bonding in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice can be attributed to the neuronal circuit regulating social attachment behavior

Social attachment toward pups can be initiated via two mechanisms in rodents: (i) hormonal priming during pregnancy or (ii) repeated sensory exposure toward pups. Both components require the same brain regions, harboring the same circuit, although the social response in pup-inexperienced mice is less immediate (Rosenblatt, 1967; Ehret & Buckenmaier, 1994). To determine,

whether the genetic deletion of *Shank2* affects social attachment behavior outside the context of pregnancy (and hormonal alterations), we examined the response of naive females (and males) after continuous pup presentation (Fig 5A). Social exposure of WT female mice to pups over five consecutive days significantly improved retrieval of all pups (Fig 5C). In contrast, although *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> females approached the pups (Fig 5B), they were not able to initiate social attachment behavior as evident by a failure to induce pup grooming, crouching, and nest-building behavior (Fig 5D–H). Former studies have shown that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice exhibit anxiety-like behaviors and hyperactivity as co-morbid ASD features (Schmeisser et al, 2012; Won et al, 2012). In order to test whether pups induce social anxiety in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, we also examined the cumulative time (Fig EV2A and B) and average duration (Fig EV2C) that *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice spent in pup interaction zones during the first 2 min of social exposure of the pups (trial 1). However, we did not detect significant differences between genotypes. Further, we detected no significant differences in the time spent freezing (Fig EV2D), implicating that social

anxiety is not a substantial factor in eliminating this form of social behavior. We also measured average movement velocity during the pup retrieval test, when *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice did not engage in maternal behavior. We did not find a significant alteration between both genotypes (Fig EV2F). The failure to induce social bonding behavior was not specific to female mice. Also, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> male mice could not be induced to act paternal after continuous pup presentation (Fig EV3A–H). The results of these behavioral experiments support our previous finding that the impaired social bonding behavior observed in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice was independent of hormonal changes associated with parturition and

suggest that the genetic deletion of *Shank2* impaired the functional properties of the neuronal circuit regulating social attachment behavior (Fig 5I).

To assess the functional specificity of SHANK2 within the circuit regulating social bonding, we used *in situ* hybridization to map the individual expression pattern of *Shank2* within core regions of the circuit. We found that *Shank2* mRNAs were only moderately expressed throughout the major nuclei of this neuronal pathway (Fig EV4A and B). Since neuroanatomical abnormalities have been reported in autistic patients, we also thoroughly analyzed the neuronal cytoarchitecture of Nissl-stained coronal sections from




Figure 5.

**Figure 5. Repeated pup presentation does not induce maternal behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice that display a circuit-specific disruption of social attachment behavior.**

- A Maternal behavior can be induced in female mice, which have never been exposed to pups (naive females) by repeated social exposure to pups (trial 1–5). Virgin female mice start to retrieve pups and engage in maternal care responses (pup grooming, crouching, nest building), thereby becoming attached to the nest location as indicated by the tracking path.
- B No significant difference was detected for latency to approach the provided pups between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> naive females, unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test, *P* = 0.677. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 9, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 9.
- C *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> naive females fail to become maternal after repeated pup exposure, as demonstrated by the significant reduction of pup retrieval during trial 1–4 and the test session on day 5 compared to *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>-induced females, two-way mixed ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of trial: *P* = 0.282, trial × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.168, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 9, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 9.
- D Upper Panel: Tracking path of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice during social exposure to pups in the 20-min test session (trial 5). *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> female mice become attracted to the nest location engaging in maternal care responses, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, however, display no preference for the pups, or participate in nest building (lower panel).
- E–H Repeated pup exposure does not induce major components of social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> females: (E) pup grooming, unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test, \*\*\**P* < 0.001 (F) crouching, Mann–Whitney test, \*\*\**P* < 0.001 and (G) nest building, Mann–Whitney test, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, (H) maternal interaction, unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test, \*\*\**P* < 0.001 (trial 5), *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 9, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 9.
- I, J Examination of the neuronal activation pattern after pup presentation in *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice using c-FOS immunocytochemistry. Schematic map of the neuronal pathway regulating social attachment behavior in mice. Activation of the circuit starts via olfactory input (OB-AON-Amygdala) or tactile input from the pups to the MPOA of the hypothalamus and proceeds via the VTA-NA-VP axis to the output region of the circuit inducing attraction toward infants. After non-exposure to pups and social exposure to pups (6 h), coronal sections (40 μm) of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were prepared and the number of c-FOS-positive cells in this neuronal pathway analyzed. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed major impairment in the neuronal activation pattern of the circuit regulating social attachment behavior in comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice. AON, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.118, effect of pup exposure: \*\**P* = 0.003, pup exposure × genotype interaction: *P* = 0.561, AMY, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.227, effect of pup exposure: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, genotype × pup exposure interaction: *P* = 0.073, LS, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, effect of pup exposure: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, genotype × pup exposure interaction: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, BNST, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: *P* = 0.201, effect of pup exposure: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, genotype × pup exposure interaction: *P* = 0.205, MPOA, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: \**P* = 0.013, effect of pup exposure: \*\**P* = 0.003, genotype × pup exposure interaction: \**P* = 0.01. VTA, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\**P* = 0.008, effect of pup exposure: \*\**P* = 0.002, genotype × pup exposure interaction: \*\**P* = 0.007. NAcc, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype: \*\**P* = 0.003, effect of pup exposure: \*\**P* = 0.002, pup exposure × genotype interaction: \*\**P* = 0.014. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> without pup contact *n* = 3, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> with pup contact *n* = 5.
- K Representative coronal brain section (left panel) and example of c-FOS immunoreactivity in the MPOA (right panel) of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> pup-exposed females (scale bar: 200 μm). The dotted line outlines the third ventricle (3V). Arrowheads indicate c-FOS-positive cells.
- L Levels of synaptic proteins in the crude synaptosomes fraction (P2) from the hypothalamus of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> *n* = 5 (black bars), *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> *n* = 5 (blue bars). Unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test.
- Data information: All data are presented as mean ± SEM, NS: not significant.

adult *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and found no apparent alterations of the respective brain regions (Fig EV5A and B).


Next, we analyzed the functional properties of the circuit regulating social bonding (Fig 5I). We used c-FOS immunocytochemistry as a quantitative tool to map the neuronal activation pattern. To that end, naive *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were socially exposed to pup stimuli or no pup stimuli, and the expression of c-FOS proteins was analyzed (Fig 5I and J). Notably, the results of these experiments revealed that the genetic ablation of *Shank2* in mice profoundly impaired the neuronal activation pattern of the circuit regulating social bonding behavior (Fig 5J). c-FOS expression was nearly absent in the MPOA and the associated downstream VTA-NA axis, a central and evolutionary conserved key node and sensory relay station of social behavioral networks in vertebrates (Fig 5K).

To further elucidate, how the absence of SHANK2 might affect the biochemical synaptic profile of the hypothalamus, we prepared PSD-enriched fractions of the hypothalamus from *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and performed semi-quantitative analyses using Western blotting for several scaffold proteins and glutamate receptor subunits (Fig 5L; Appendix Fig S3A–C). Interestingly, we found no significant alterations in the levels of PSD-95 and Homer1b in PSD-enriched fractions of the hypothalamus. However, we detected a trend toward an increase in mGluR5 levels in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, suggesting impaired mGluR5-mediated signaling in the hypothalamus of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig 5L).

**Targeted activation of MPOA neurons in the hypothalamus reinforces social bonding behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice**

Based on our finding that pup exposure in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice induced no neuronal activation in the MPOA-associated reward pathway (VTA-NAcc) of the circuit, we followed first the idea that a systemic manipulation of the dopaminergic system might be able to restore social bonding behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. However, chronic treatment with the dopaminergic agonist apomorphine was unable to rescue social bonding behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Next, we asked whether a direct chemogenetic stimulation of the pathway regulating social attachment behavior might alter the impairments of social bonding in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Since the MPOA is thought to be one of the critical nodes of the circuit, and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed a profound reduction in c-FOS expression within this area after pup exposure, we decided to target MPOA neurons of the circuit using the Cre-dependent DREADD (Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs) technology (Fig 6A). To this end, naive *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were co-infected by stereotactic injection of Cre-inducible DREADD receptors (DREADD(Gq)-mCherry) and AAV.hSyn.HI.eGFP-Cre in the MPOA region. This strategy resulted in selective Gq-DREADD expression within the MPOA, as shown by double labeling for the Gq-DREADD reporter mCherry and the GFP-Cre expression (Fig 6B) allowing us to activate neurons in the MPOA. After 4 weeks, Clozapine-N-oxide (CNO, 5 mg/kg) was administered subcutaneously to activate hm3Dq in





**Figure 6. DREADD-based activation of MPOA neurons ameliorates social bonding in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice.**

- A** *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were bilaterally injected with a Cre-dependent DREADD virus (pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3D (Gq)-mCherry) and (pENN.AAV.hSyn.HI.eGFP-Cre) into the MPOA region. After 4 weeks, a pup exposure assay was performed once a day for 5 days after intraperitoneal injection of vehicle or 5 mg/kg CNO (30 min before pup exposure). Maternal behavior was analyzed at the final 20 min of pup exposure on day 5 (test day).
- B** Confocal images showing the location and expression of the stereotaxically injected viral constructs in the MPOA region. (a) Merge of GFP (CRE) and mCherry (DREADD) (scale bar: 500  $\mu$ m) (b–d) Magnified views of the MPOA region (scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m), (b) GFP and mCherry merge, (c) GFP, (d) mCherry. The dotted lines outline the MPOA and the third ventricle (middle line).
- C** A significant genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction was detected for pup retrieval, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype:  $P = 0.187$ , effect of treatment:  $P = 0.759$ , genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction:  $*P = 0.031$ . *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> vehicle injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> vehicle injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> CNO injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> CNO injected  $n = 7$ .
- D–G** DREADD activation by CNO injection selectively rescues major components of social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice: (D) grooming, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype:  $*P = 0.018$ , effect of treatment:  $**P = 0.002$ , genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction:  $***P = 0.001$ . (E) Crouching, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype:  $P = 0.091$ , effect of treatment:  $P = 0.869$ , genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction:  $P = 0.663$ , (F) nest building, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype:  $P = 0.415$ , effect of treatment:  $P = 0.341$  genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction:  $P = 0.093$ , (G) Maternal interaction, two-way ANOVA, effect of genotype:  $*P = 0.034$ , effect of treatment:  $P = 0.149$ , genotype  $\times$  treatment interaction:  $*P = 0.045$ . *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> vehicle injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> vehicle injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> CNO injected  $n = 6$ , *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> CNO injected  $n = 7$ .


Data information: All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM, NS: not significant. AC, anterior commissure and MPOA, medial preoptic area.

the MPOA during pup exposure in naive *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Remarkably, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice treated with CNO showed substantial recoveries in major components of social attachment behavior such as maternal interaction and pup grooming behavior (Fig 6C–G). These social responses remained impaired in vehicle-treated *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. These results demonstrate that the chemogenetic activation of MPOA neurons alone is sufficient to restore components of social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig 7).

## Discussion

Deficits in reciprocal social interactions and affiliation are one of the most prominent core manifestations of ASD (Barak & Feng, 2016).

Social impairments are present from very early infancy, and it has been postulated that social capacities involving sharing of emotions, appropriate use of social imitation, the ability to form social bonds, as well as social responsiveness are in particular impaired in human subjects with ASD (Bauminger *et al*, 2003). However, given that social behavior is uniquely complex and that circuits regulating social behavior are modulated by social experience, internal state and require multi-sensory integration (Burke *et al*, 2017) little is known about the underlying mechanisms and the etiology how these social deficits in ASD arise. Neuroimaging studies in human ASD patients provide evidence for hypo-activation and reduced functional connectivity in brain regions involved in the processing of social information (Hadjikhani *et al*, 2007; Pinkham *et al*, 2008; Kron *et al*, 2012; Sato *et al*, 2012; Lloyd-Fox *et al*, 2013; von dem



**Figure 7. Chemogenetic activation of MPOA neurons in the hypothalamus restores impaired social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice.**

Activation of hM3D (Gq) DREADD receptors expressed within the MPOA by CNO rescues main aspects of maternal behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. In the MPOA by CNO rescues main aspects of maternal behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice.

Hagen *et al*, 2013). In particular, it has been suggested that deficits of the oxytocin system or a dysfunction of the mesolimbic reward pathway underlie the social interaction impairments in ASD (Neuhaus *et al*, 2010; Scott-Van Zeeland *et al*, 2010; Kohls *et al*, 2013; Dölen, 2015).

Here, we demonstrate that in the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> ASD mouse model, social attachment, and social bonding are indeed non-existent. Furthermore, we provide compelling evidence that the chemogenetic activation of MPOA neurons in the hypothalamus is sufficient to restore the expression of social attachment behavior in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. These results underline the importance and overarching control of this brain region in the regulation of social attachment behavior that has also been demonstrated in further recent studies (Wu *et al*, 2014; Kohl *et al*, 2018). Based on the findings of our study, we propose that the primary defect in the circuit processing social attachment behavior might arise in brain areas activating MPOA neurons or directly in the MPOA, a subcortical relay station of the hypothalamus, where relevant sensory inputs are interconnected to higher-order centers of the brain (Numan, 2007; Kohl *et al*, 2017). MPOA neurons receive social information

from virtually every sensory modality and coordinate these sensory inputs to distinct areas of the social network, including the VTA-associated reward pathway (VTA-Nacc) (Numan, 2007; Kohl *et al*, 2018). Therefore, an intervention targeting this area may be a promising therapeutic strategy to modify social deficits of individuals with ASD.

Importantly, as it has been reported for the postpartum situation, a mostly hormone-independent induction of maternal behavior by repeated pup exposure also shows strong c-FOS expression in the MPOA region (Flemming *et al*, 1994; Stack & Numan, 2000). A significant portion of these scattered c-FOS expressing neurons contains galanin (GAL) and controls parenteral behavior when activated (Wu *et al*, 2014). In the present study, we could show that pup-induced c-Fos expression was strongly reduced in the MPOA region of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. These findings implicate that synaptic SHANK2 signaling-complexes are indeed directly or indirectly active in GAL and c-FOS positive neurons of the MPOA, and their intact function is necessary to trigger social attachment behavior. The current finding is also supported by evidence that GAL-positive neurons within the MPOA are innervated by glutamatergic synaptic

terminals coming from the posterior intralaminar complex of the thalamus (Cservenák *et al*, 2017). Further, since apomorphine, a purely dopaminergic agonist, was not able to rescue social bonding in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, we validate a significant contribution of the glutamatergic system to the observed phenotype.

The notion that activity of MPOA neurons has direct social behavioral relevance is further supported by current studies that have directly or indirectly manipulated MPOA activity, leading to respective changes in social attraction or parenteral care in mice (Geissler *et al*, 2013; Wu *et al*, 2014; McHenry *et al*, 2017; Kohl *et al*, 2018; Fang *et al*, 2018). An open question is, how exactly the genetic disruption of *Shank2* impairs the neuronal activation of c-FOS-positive neurons within the MPOA. Absence of SHANK2 might reduce synapse number or impair the signaling machinery of synapses in GAL-positive neurons (connectivity defect), or the deletion of SHANK2 might reduce the activation-capability of neurons impinging on GAL-positive neurons within the MPOA (Cservenák *et al*, 2017). Interestingly, GAL-positive neurons have been identified to constitute a primarily GABAergic neuronal population within the MPOA region of the hypothalamus (Wu *et al*, 2014; Kohl *et al*, 2018). Selectively activating GABAergic neurons within the MPOA could provide further insights into the control of the circuit activity in future. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that SHANK2 expression has recently been shown in GAD-67-positive GABAergic neurons supporting the idea that SHANK2 may also have a particular function in these inhibitory neurons (Lee *et al*, 2018).

However, so far, the capability to form social attachment was not explicitly investigated in detail in mouse models with a neuron-specific *Shank2* deletion (Kim *et al*, 2018; Lee *et al*, 2018). Our experiments do not entirely rule out the possibility that social attachment deficits might arise secondarily from other ASD-related comorbidities in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice that have not been studied well so far. It should also be noted that humans with SHANK2 haploinsufficiency are often diagnosed with autistic disorders in combination with mild to moderate ID, but also poor communication skills and eye contact, and anxious features are frequently observed within the phenotypic spectrum of the condition (Caumes *et al*, 2020).

The specific role of attachment in the etiology of social impairments in ASD remains poorly understood (Vivanti & Nuske, 2017). For a long time, the inability to form social attachment or relationships has been seen as a primary characteristic of ASD (Kanner, 1968). However, studies have shown that social attachment can form in individuals with ASD (Kahane & El-Tahir, 2015), although reported data are conflicting (Rutgers *et al*, 2004; van Ijzendoorn *et al*, 2007). It has been suggested that attachment may not be as prevalent as in typically developing children (Kahane & El-Tahir, 2015). Similarly, recent studies have demonstrated that only a minority of adults or children with high functioning autism develops secure attachment behavior (Rutgers *et al*, 2007; Taylor *et al*, 2008) or demonstrate avoidant attachment patterns (Lampert & Turner, 2014; Gallitto & Leth-Steensen, 2015). Supporting the model that impaired attachment behavior is a common feature of ASD behavior, the disruption of the infant to mother attachment has recently also been reported in the *Nbea*<sup>+/-</sup> ASD mouse model (Stroobants *et al*, 2020).

In conclusion, our study suggests that the formation of attachment is impaired in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and can be restored by activation of neurons in the MPOA. Given that abnormal social attachment and bonding may be a critical factor in the development

of social impairments in ASD, further investigation of the identified circuit can provide valuable insights into the pathomechanisms of social alterations in ASD.

## Materials and Methods

### Animals

The generation of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice has been reported previously (Schmeisser *et al*, 2012). All mice were backcrossed to a C57BL/6J background for more than ten generations. Mice were generated by cross-breeding of *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> mice to produce littermate pairs of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>. Pups were kept with the dam until weaning at postnatal day 21. After weaning, all mice were housed in mixed-genotype groups of 3–4 per cage and randomly selected for behavioral or biochemical experiments. All mice were bred and housed according to standard laboratory conditions and provided with food and water available *ad libitum*. The housing room was maintained at 22°C, with lights automatically turned on/off in a 12 h rhythm (lights on at 7 am). All animal experiments were performed in compliance with the guidelines for the welfare of experimental animals issued by the Federal Government of Germany and the local ethics committee (Ulm University; ID Number: O.103 -7 and 1163).

### Primary antibodies

Primary antibodies used for immunocytochemistry were purchased from commercial suppliers: anti-c-FOS (4): sc-52 (dilution: 1:200, Santa Cruz, #F0215) and anti-c-FOS (dilution: 1:1,000, Abcam, #ab208942). For Western blotting, the following primary antibodies were purchased from commercial suppliers: anti-PSD95 (dilution 1:4,000, Synaptic Systems, #124011), anti-Homer1 (dilution 1:10,000, Synaptic Systems, #160022), anti-mGluR5 (dilution 1:1,000, Millipore, #2757164), and anti-Beta III Tubulin (dilution 1:250,000, Covance, #PRB-435P).

### Secondary antibodies

Secondary antibodies used for immunocytochemistry were all coupled to Alexa Fluor® 488 (dilution: 1:500, Life Technologies). Secondary antibodies used for Western blotting were HRP-conjugated antibodies anti-rabbit HRP (dilution 1:1,000, Dako, Hamburg, Germany, #P0448, LOT #20042622) and anti-mouse HRP (dilution: 1:3,000, Dako, Hamburg, Germany, #P0260, LOT #20030273).

### Biochemistry and quantitative immunoblot analyses

Subcellular fractionation of mouse brain tissue isolated from 9- to 12-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice was performed as described previously with minor modifications (Distler *et al*, 2014). The brain regions were dissected, and tissue was homogenized with the Teflon douncer in buffer 1 (10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 30 mM sodium fluoride, 20 mM β-glycerolphosphate, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) with 12 strokes at 900 rpm. The homogenates were centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min at 4°C to remove nuclei, extracellular matrix, and cell debris (all included in pellet P1) from

the further procedure. Supernatant S1 was collected and centrifuged at  $10,000 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  to separate the crude membrane fraction (P2) and the cytosol (S2). Pellet P2 was resuspended in 500  $\mu\text{l}$  buffer 2 (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 30 mM sodium fluoride, 20 mM  $\beta$ -glycerolphosphate, 1% Triton X-100, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) and centrifuged at  $20,000 \times g$  for 80 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  to obtain pellet P3 (Triton X-100 insoluble PSD fraction) and supernatant S3 (Triton X-100 soluble synaptic cytosol). Pellet P3 was resuspended in 50  $\mu\text{l}$  buffer 3 (50 mM Tris pH 9, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 30 mM sodium fluoride, 20 mM  $\beta$ -glycerolphosphate, 1% NaDOC, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) and frozen in liquid nitrogen to be stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Bradford analysis was performed to measure protein concentrations. 3  $\mu\text{g}$  of total protein was loaded in  $4\times$  SDS sample buffer on an SDS-PAGE and subsequently blotted on nitrocellulose membranes. After incubation with the primary antibodies and an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, signals were visualized with the Pierce ECL Western blotting substrate and further detected with the MicroChemi 4.2 machine. For quantitative analysis, the gray value of each band was analyzed with GelAnalyzer software.

## Immunocytochemistry

### Free-floating immunostaining

Mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine 100 mg/kg and xylazine 16 mg/kg, solubilized in a NaCl solution, and then transcardially perfused with 25 ml cooled PBS and 50 ml paraformaldehyde 4%. Mice were then decapitated, and the brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde and submerged in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4). Finally, brains were frozen in OCT compound and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until the day before cryostat sectioning. One day before sectioning, brains were put at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  to adapt to the cutting temperature ( $-22^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). 40  $\mu\text{m}$  coronal brain sections were cut on a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S). The free-floating sections were then transferred to PBS without calcium and magnesium ( $\text{PBS}^{-/-}$ ) and blocked (3% BSA + 0.1% Triton X-100, diluted in  $\text{PBS}^{-/-}$ ) for 2 h at RT on a horizontal shaker. Coronal sections were then incubated for a period of 48 h at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  with primary antibodies and subsequently washed  $3\times$  in  $\text{PBS}^{-/-}$  for 15 min at RT. After washing, sections were incubated for a period of 2 h at RT with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 488 (Life Technologies, dilution 1:500). The sections were rewashed  $3\times$  in  $\text{PBS}^{-/-}$  for 15 min at RT and mounted with Moviol containing diluted 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole DAPI (dilution 1:50,000).

### DAB immunostaining

Coronal sections (40  $\mu\text{m}$ ) were cut on a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S) and fixed for 1 h in 4% PFA. Subsequently, slides were washed  $3\times$  in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated for 45 min in 0.2% Triton X-100 and 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Slides were rewashed  $3\times$  using 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated for 20 min in 1% hydrogen peroxide. After 20 min, sections were rewashed three times in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and blocked for 30 min in 2% goat serum diluted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After blocking, sections were incubated overnight at RT with the primary c-FOS antibody (c-FOS (4): sc-52, Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, diluted 1:500 in 2% goat serum). Next morning, slices were washed  $3\times$  using 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (goat-anti-rabbit IgG/HRP PO 448, Dako, Germany, diluted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, 1:200, or goat-anti-mouse IgG/HRP ab205719). After rewashing the slices for  $3\times$  in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, peroxidase reaction was performed using diaminobenzidine (DAB) and hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) and intensified by nickel chloride (8%). After 7 min incubation, slices were rewashed  $3\times$  in 0.1 M phosphate buffer and dehydrated for 5 min in a series of ethanol baths (70, 90,  $2 \times 100\%$  ethanol), following three xylene incubations (5 min each). Finally, sections were embedded in Entellan.

### Microscopic analysis

Brain areas were selected according to the mouse brain atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos & Franklin, 2004) from each of the following brain regions and analyzed as described (Matsushita *et al*, 2015) (AON, LS, BNST, AMY, MPOA, NAcc at the following bregma coordinates: anterior olfactory nucleus (AON; 2.1 mm, plate: 13), lateral septum (LS; 0.62 and 0.38 mm, plate: 26,28), bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST; 0.62 and 0.38 mm, plate: 26,28), cortical and medial amygdala (cAMY: anterior cortical amygdaloid area, posterolateral cortical amygdaloid area; mAMY: medial amygdaloid nucleus, posterodorsal part, medial amygdaloid nucleus, posteroventral part,  $-1.34$  and  $-1.58$  mm, plate: 42,44), medial preoptic area (MPOA; 0.14 mm, 0.02 mm,  $-0.1$  mm, plate: 30,31,32), ventral tegmental area (VTA;  $-3.16$  and  $-3.28$  mm, plate: 57,58) nucleus accumbens (NAcc: NAcc core, NAcc shell); 1.34 and 1.18 mm, plate: 20,21). Images were obtained with an upright Axioscope microscope equipped with a Zeiss CCD camera (16 bits;  $1,280 \times 1,024$  dpi) using Axiovision software (Zeiss). c-FOS-positive cells were counted in the bilateral areas of each section using ImageJ 1.50i. The same threshold was applied for corresponding sections. Across the two sections of each brain region, the average was calculated for each subject.

### Nissl staining

Nissl staining was performed on paraffin sections (16  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness) of 8- to 9-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. For Nissl stainings, the sections were hydrated in 0.5% w/v cresyl violet (Merck Millipore) for 3 min. After rinsing, sections were dehydrated and mounted with Entellan mounting medium. Images were taken with a Mirax scanner (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

### Mammary gland whole-mount preparation

Mammary glands were dissected from 8- to 10-week-old naive and pregnant (1 day before delivery) *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice as previously described (Plante *et al*, 2011). The No. 4 (inguinal) glands were stretched on a glass slide and subsequently fixed at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  overnight, by Carnoy's fixative (100% ethanol, chloroform, glacial acetic acid, 6:3:1). On the next day, mammary glands were washed with 70% ethanol for a period of 15 min. Subsequently, mammary glands were rehydrated gradually using water baths for incubation of 5 min each in the sequence 70-, 35-, 15%-ethanol, and finally ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Glass slides were then placed in carmine



alum staining solution overnight at room temperature. On the next day, carmine alum stained mammary glands were gradually dehydrated for a period of 5 min by a series of ethanol baths in the following sequence (50, 70, 95, 100%) flowed by 5-min xylene incubation. Glass slides were then put in methyl salicylate solution, which was placed under the fume hood overnight. The next day, mammary glands were embedded in Entellan. Images were taken with a Leica M80 microscope.

### Oxytocin-induced milk ejection

Milk ejection of mammary glands was induced as previously described (Plante *et al*, 2011). Briefly, mice were sacrificed and the thoracic mammary glands (No. 2–3) of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams were incubated with oxytocin solution (1 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich). After 1 min, the oxytocin solution was carefully removed with a transfer pipette and the milk entry into the ducts monitored.

### Electron microscopy

Pituitary glands were removed from the brain of 10- to 12-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and incubated in immersion fixation [2% paraformaldehyde, 2.5% glutaraldehyde, 1% saccharose, diluted in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4)] overnight. The next day, samples were washed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 h. The samples were dehydrated and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections were cut in the facility for electron microscopy (EM), University of Ulm and analyzed by transmission electron microscope LEO912 Omega (Zeiss) at 120 kV. For quantitative analysis of the vesicle number in the pituitary gland, we analyzed three independent *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> littermates (per animal  $n = 50$  pictures) using ImageJ 1.50i.

### Quantitative Real-time PCR

Isolation of total RNA from *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice was performed using the RNeasy<sup>®</sup> kit (Qiagen, Germany) as described by the manufacturer. Isolated RNA was stored in RNase-free water at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was carried out in a one-step, single-tube format using the Rotor-Gene<sup>™</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup>-Green RT-PCR FAST Kit (Qiagen) as previously described (Grabrucker *et al*, 2014). Data were analyzed using the hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS) gene to normalize transcript levels. Cycle threshold ( $c_t$ ) values were calculated by the Rotor-Gene-Q Software (version 2.0.2, Qiagen). All reactions were run in technical triplicates, and mean  $c_t$  values for each reaction were taken into account for data analysis. To ascertain primer specificity, a melting curve was obtained for the amplicon products to determine their melting temperatures. For all genes analyzed, commercially available QuantiTect primers from Qiagen were used.

### In situ hybridization

*In situ* hybridization was performed as described previously (Boeckers *et al*, 2005). Briefly, reactions were performed with 16  $\mu\text{m}$  cryosections from freshly frozen 8 weeks old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> brain

mouse sections which were cut on a cryostat (Leica CM<sub>3050</sub> S). Transcripts encoding *Shank2*\_Ex7 were detected with an S35 labeled cDNA antisense oligonucleotide (5'-GCA GGG CTG GAA ATG CTG GCG TGG GTG TGA ATT CCT CAA T-3') purchased from Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany).

### Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

#### Plasma oxytocin peptide-level measurements

Blood was collected via cardiac puncture from 8- to 10-week-old naive *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice in tubes containing EDTA (2 mg/ml) as an anticoagulant and aprotinin (0.6 trypsin inhibitory units (TIU)/ml of blood) was added to inhibit the activity of proteases. Blood was immediately centrifuged at  $1,600 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The resulting supernatant (plasma) was collected. Oxytocin peptide was extracted from plasma according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, plasma was acidified by adding 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of buffer A, mixed, and subsequently centrifuged at  $12,000 \times g$  for 20 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Acidified plasma was loaded on equilibrated SEP-Columns containing 200 mg of C18. Columns were washed twice with 3 ml of buffer A and eluted with 3 ml of buffer B. The eluent was collected in polystyrene tubes and evaporated to dryness using a centrifugal concentrator (SpeedVac). The dried extract was reconstituted with  $1 \times$  assay buffer. Oxytocin concentration was measured using an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kit (Enzo Life Science, ADI-901-153), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Brain tissue oxytocin peptide-level measurements

Mice were sacrificed between the age of 8–10 weeks using  $\text{CO}_2$ , followed by decapitation. The hypothalamus and pituitary gland were immediately dissected from the brain and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Oxytocin peptide was extracted from neuronal tissue, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, tissue was weighted and subsequently homogenized in three parts of 50% acetic acid containing protease inhibitor using a pulse sonicator. The total protein concentration was measured using a NanoDrop. After homogenization, the tissue was boiled for 10 min at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Cell debris was removed by centrifugation of the tissue homogenate at  $11,000 g$  for 30 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The supernatant was carefully removed and combined with the same amount of buffer A to acidify the sample. Subsequently, the tissue homogenate was centrifuged for 20 min at  $12,000 \times \text{rpm}$ . The resulting supernatant was collected and loaded on an equilibrated SEP-Column containing 200 mg of C18. Columns were washed slowly with 3 ml of buffer A, and finally, the peptide was eluted with 3 ml of buffer B. Eluant was evaporated to dryness using a centrifugal concentrator (SpeedVac). The dried extract was kept at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  as pellet overnight until reconstitution. The concentration of oxytocin of the tissue was determined using an enzyme-linked immunoassay kit (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, EK-051-01), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Plasma progesterone level measurements

Blood was collected via cardiac puncture from 8- to 10-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams (postnatal day 0) in tubes containing EDTA (2 mg/ml) as an anticoagulant and aprotinin (0.6 trypsin inhibitory units TIU/ml of blood) was added in order

to inhibit the activity of proteinases. Blood was immediately centrifuged at  $1,600 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The resulting supernatant (plasma) was collected. Progesterone concentration of the plasma was determined using an enzyme-linked immunoassay kit (Enzo Life Science, ADI-900-011), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Plasma prolactin level measurements

Blood was collected via cardiac puncture from 8- to 10-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams (postnatal day 0) in tubes containing EDTA (2 mg/ml) as an anticoagulant and aprotinin (0.6 trypsin inhibitory units TIU/ml of blood) was added in order to inhibit the activity of proteinases. Blood was immediately centrifuged at  $1,600 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The resulting supernatant (plasma) was collected. Prolactin concentration of the plasma was determined using an enzyme-linked immunoassay kit (Abcam, ab100736), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Plasma estradiol level measurements

Blood was collected via cardiac puncture from 8- to 10-week-old *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> dams (postnatal day 0) in tubes containing EDTA (2 mg/ml) as an anticoagulant and aprotinin (0.6 trypsin inhibitory units TIU/ml of blood) was added in order to inhibit the activity of proteinases. Blood was immediately centrifuged at  $1,600 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The resulting supernatant (plasma) was collected. To assure the measurement of 17-beta-estradiol in each mouse, the plasma was concentrated to times (2 $\times$ ) with amicon filters with a molecular cutoff of 10 kDa. The levels of estradiol were assessed using an enzyme-linked immunoassay kit (Abcam, ab108667), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### Behavioral studies

#### Breeding for behavioral experiments

*Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> females were mated with wild-type males at the age of 7–8 weeks. Upon pregnancy, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> were housed separately with a cotton nestlet (5  $\times$  5 cm) available for nest building. The morning after birth, the number of pups born, and pup weight was assessed. Additionally, each neonatal pup was inspected for the following parameters: (i) removal or attachment of placenta, (ii) removal of extra-embryonic tissue, and (iii) the number of pups which were scattered in the home cage (pups separated from each other > 5 cm) and compared to number of pups gathered in the nest area.

#### Cross-fostering experiments

Age-matched pups were placed into the nest of the recipient female the morning after delivery. Bodyweight of the pups was assessed for 1–7 postnatal days.

#### Retrieval experiments in postpartum females

Maternal behavior in postpartum females was assessed on postnatal day 1 and postnatal day 2 after delivery. Observation and recordings were made in a soundproof anechoic room. A video camera (Conrad CCD camera S/W) was mounted above the cage to allow observation and tracking of maternal behavior. Tracking was performed by the EthoVision XT (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands). One hour

before the test, the own pups of the mother were removed from the home cage and kept warm. At the beginning of the test, the mother was briefly removed from the home cage and three not related 1–3 old naive C57BL/6J pups were placed in each corner of the cage that did not contain the nest. The mother was returned to the corner of the nest facing the wall. During the next 30 min, the behavior of the mother was recorded. Maternal behavior was assessed after a method described previously (Calamandrei & Keverne, 1994; Brown et al, 1996; Wu et al, 2014). Retrieval of the pups was defined as the mother picking up the pup from the corner and transporting it to the nest. Retrieval was only scored if the mother placed the pup entirely into the nest. If the mother dropped the pup on the route to the nest, retrieval was not counted.

Additionally, the following behavioral responses were scored: latency to approach the pup (first nose contact with the a pup (< 1 cm), grooming (sniffing and licking of the pups), crouching (mother laying in a nursing posture on top of the pups, at least two collected pups under the ventral side of the body), nest building (mother engages in nest building, collects, or arranges nest material), and maternal interaction (calculated as the cumulative time spent grooming, crouching, and nest building). For postpartum females, a second identical session of pup—retrieval was performed 1 day later (48 h after delivery). If a subject mouse displayed any signs of pup directed aggression, the assay was immediately ended.

#### Maternal behavior of virgin female mice

Maternal behavior of virgin females was assessed during five consecutive days with 20-min exposure to pups each day before testing on day 5. Virgin female mice were housed in a group of 2–3 female littermates until they reached adulthood at the age of 8 weeks. Female mice that had never been exposed to pups before were housed individually for 2 days in standard plastic cages and were provided with nesting material and food and water available *ad libitum*. During the 5 days exposure to pups, the home cage was not cleaned and nesting material was not removed to minimize disturbance of the female. On days 1–5, females were exposed to 1- to 3-day-old C57BL/6J pups, which were placed in the recipient female's home cage as described above. The pups were removed after 20 min, and behavior was analyzed and scored as described above. Average velocity was determined during the 20-min test session when *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice did not engage in maternal behavior (retrieving, grooming, crouching, or nest building) using EthoVision XT software (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands).

#### Social anxiety of virgin female mice

To determine whether the pups induce social anxiety in *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, recorded videos of the first trial of the pup retrieval test (day 1) were center-point tracked during the first 2 min of social exposure toward the pups using EthoVision XT software (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands). During this period, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice did not start to retrieve the pups and socially investigated the provided pups. The area of the home cage was divided into three pup interaction zones (corners containing pups, 6 cm  $\times$  6 cm) and corner zone (corner containing no pups, 6 cm  $\times$  6 cm). The total amount of time and average time *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice spent in the pup interaction zone and corner zone were measured using

EthoVision XT software. An entry was defined as the center point of each mouse was in one of the pup interaction zones or corner zone. We also quantified manually time spent freezing with a stopwatch during the social investigation of the pups. Freezing was defined as the cessation of all body movements, except respiratory movements.

#### Parental behavior in male mice

Male mice were housed in groups of 2–3 male littermates. At the age of 7 weeks, they were individually housed for 2 days, provided with nesting material as well as food and water available *ad libitum*. The test paradigm was identical to virgin female mice. Male mice that displayed any signs of pup directed aggression were immediately removed and excluded from the test.

#### Olfactory habituation/dishabituation test

Mice were tested in the habituation/dishabituation test to assess their ability to detect and differentiate nonsocial and social odors after a method previously described (Yang & Crawley, 2009). Behavior was recorded in a soundproof chamber under a dim red light (15 lux). To avoid object neophobia, mice were habituated for 30 min to the test cage, containing a sterile dry cotton swab. After the habituation period, nonsocial odors, as well as social odors, were presented on cotton applicators inserted in sequential series in the cover-lid for 2 min (inter-trial interval 1 min). 50  $\mu$ l of social and nonsocial odors were presented in the following order: water, water, water, almond, almond, almond, (1:100 dilution, almond extract, Ostmann, Germany), banana, banana, banana (1:100 dilution, Uncle Roys natural banana essence, Scotland) pup urine, pup urine, pup urine (1:5 dilution), male/female urine, male/female urine, male/female urine (1:5 dilution). Pup urine was collected from four different wild-type litters (18 female/male pups, at the age of 5–6 days). Urine was pooled and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  upon usage. Additionally, female or male urine was collected from five (8–10 weeks old) females or males (C57BL/6), pooled and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  upon usage. All nonsocial odors were presented after dipping the cotton swabs for 1–2 s in the prepared solutions. Behavior was recorded for a total period of 60 min. Videos were subsequently analyzed for cumulative time spent sniffing on the cotton swab (contact of the nose with the applicator ( $< 2$  cm). The starting point of each odor presentation was when the lid was placed on top of the cage.

#### Spontaneous alternation in a symmetrical Y maze

To assess spatial working memory, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were tested for spontaneous alternation behavior (SAB) in the Y maze (3 arms, 40  $\times$  9 cm with 16 cm high walls). Mice were placed in a symmetrical Y maze for 5 min, which was located in a soundproof chamber, and the numbers of arm choices (all four paws entering one arm) were recorded. Overlapping triplets of visited arms (A, B, C) were calculated by recording the order of visited arms (A, B, or C). The SAB score was calculated using the following formula: (number of spontaneous alternation)/(total number of arm visits - 2). To avoid odor traces, between mice, the walls and bottom of the Y maze were carefully cleaned with 70% ethanol.

#### Novel Object recognition test

To evaluate spatial short-term memory, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were tested in the novel object recognition test in an open field

arena (50  $\times$  50 cm). The test was performed in three phases: habituation, acquisition, and retention. Mice were first habituated to the open field arena (without any object inside) for 30 min and placed back into the home cage for approximately 1–2 min. In the meantime, two identical copies of the same objects were placed in the two corners of the open field arena, approximately 4 cm from the sidewall. The mouse was placed back into the same arena facing the wall on the opposite side of the objects and allowed to explore the setting for 10 min freely. After this acquisition period, the mouse was placed back into the home cage. Following a 10-min retention interval, the subject mouse was transferred back to the arena where a novel object replaced one of the identical objects. The mouse was allowed to explore the setting for 10 min. Recorded videos were scored manually with a stopwatch. Object exploration was defined as a clear nose contact with the object. To measure recognition memory, a preference index for the novel object was calculated as the ratio of the time spent exploring the novel object over the total time spent exploring both objects: (discrimination index [DI] = novel object exploration  $\times$  100/(novel object exploration + old object exploration).

#### Viral vectors and AAV production

Viruses pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (44361-AAV2) and pENN.AAV.hSyn.HI.eGFP-Cre.WPRE.SV40 (105540-AAV9) were purchased from Addgene. Plasmids were purified by iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation.

AAV vectors were injected with a titer of  $(1.5\text{--}3.3) \times 10^{13}$  particles per ml. All viral vectors were stored in aliquots at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until use.

#### Stereotaxic surgery

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (Iso-Vet, La Zootechnica), and their heads were placed in a stereotaxic injection frame (two biological instruments). Under continuous anesthesia, skin scalp was incised to expose the underlying bone and a hand-held micro drill was used to drill a hole in the opportune location. All skull measurements were made relative to Bregma. A total of 0.8  $\mu$ l of pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (Addgene, 44361-AAV2) and pENN.AAV.hSyn.HI.eGFP-Cre.WPRE.SV40 (Addgene, 105540-AAV9) in 1:1 ratio viral solution was delivered bilaterally into the MPOA using the following coordinates: bregma: 0.0 mm; anterior-posterior: 0.26 mm; dorsal-ventral: 4.60 mm; lateral:  $\pm 0.35$  mm. Viral injection was carried out with an Hamilton syringe (needle gauge 26S) at a speed of 0.1  $\mu$ l/min, and the needle was kept in place for an additional 5 min to prevent backflow of the virus. Scalp skin was stitched together with silk suture (two biological instruments, K890H). After surgery, mice were carefully transferred to single cages for 5 days with access to water and food *ad libitum*. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> naive female mice were allowed 4 weeks of recovery after surgery.

#### Behavioral experiments after DREADD

Four weeks after AVV injection, *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> naive female mice were subjected to a pup exposure behavioral test (20 min per day) for five consecutive days. For the tests, they were injected intraperitoneally on each day with either vehicle

(DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, D5879) + (2-Hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin 20% (Sigma-Aldrich, 332607), in 1:9 ratio solution) or Clozapine-N-oxide (CNO) (5 mg/kg of bodyweight in DMSO + (2-Hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin 20%) 30 min before the beginning of pup exposure. After habituation to the behavior room (approximately 20 min), 1–3 postnatal-day-old non-related C57BL/6J pups were introduced in each corner of the recipient female's home cage that did not contain the nest. The pups were removed after a 20 min test session per day. Maternal behavior was analyzed at the final day of pup exposure (day 5). Maternal behavioral responses (retrieval, grooming, crouching, nest building, maternal interaction) were scored for 20 min as described in detail in section "retrieval experiments in postpartum females." During the 5 days of behavioral testing, the home cage was not cleaned and nesting material was not removed to minimize disturbance of the female. Subject mice were single-housed 2 days before the pup exposure test. After behavioral experiments, mice were transcardially perfused and the brain analyzed for the efficiency of viral infection.

### Apomorphine injection

0.25 mg/kg apomorphine (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in 0.5% Tween containing 0.02% ascorbic acid (Sato *et al*, 2010). *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> received subcutaneous administration of 0.1 ml/10 g of mouse body weight or as vehicle the same volume (0.5% Tween, 0.02% ascorbic acid). The drug was administered 15 min before maternal behavior observation began. Rescue experiments were performed in naive *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice. Pup exposure was repeated for five consecutive days before testing on day 5 as described above.

### Statistics

Data are depicted as mean with the standard error of the mean (SEM). Normal distribution was determined by Shapiro–Wilk test. For normally distributed data, single comparisons were tested using independent Student's *t*-test. Nonparametric data were examined using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test. Experiments with more than two groups were subjected to a one-way ANOVA if normally distributed and compared using Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. Multiple comparisons of nonparametric data were made by a Kruskal–Wallis analysis followed by Dunn's *post hoc* test.

Experiments with two between factors (treatment, genotype) were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA, to determine genotype and treatment effect or interaction between both factors. Experiments with one "between-subjects" factor (genotype) and one "within-subjects" factor (trial) were analyzed using a two-way mixed ANOVA. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 22. Statistical tests were two-tailed with a significance level of  $\alpha \leq 0.05$ . Statistically significant differences are indicated in the figures by \* $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\* $P \leq 0.01$ , and \*\*\* $P \leq 0.001$ .

## Data availability

This study includes no data deposition in external repositories.

**Expanded View** for this article is available online.

## Acknowledgements

TMB has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 777394 for the project AIMS-2-TRIALS. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program and EFPIA and AUTISM SPEAKS, Autistica, SFARI. TMB is further supported by the DFG (Project-ID 251293561—Collaborative Research Center (CRC) 1149), the Else Kröner-Fresenius Stiftung and the DZNE, Ulm site. TMB and BH hold a common grant from the BIU2 (Boehringer—Ulm University cooperation). CV is supported by Comitato Telethon Fondazione Onlus; contract grant number: GGP16131. We thank Susanne Gerlach-Arbeiter, Katharina Mangus and Natalie Damm for the excellent technical assistance. Open Access funding enabled and organized by ProjektDEAL

## Author contributions

TMB, SG, GE, and BH designed the outline of the study. SG, JP, JS, CU-R, and KT carried out the experiments and wrote the manuscript together with CV, CS, RZ, SG, and JS performed data analyses. AMG, MS, JB, and GE critically revised the experiments. All authors read, edited, and approved the final version.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Barak B, Feng G (2016) Neurobiology of social behavior abnormalities in autism and Williams syndrome. *Nat Neurosci* 19: 647–655
- Bauminger N, Shulman C, Agam G (2003) Peer interaction and loneliness in high-functioning children with autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 33: 489–507
- Berkel S, Marshall CR, Weiss B, Howe J, Roeth R, Moog U, Endris V, Roberts W, Szatmari P, Pinto D *et al* (2010) Mutations in the SHANK2 synaptic scaffolding gene in autism spectrum disorder and mental retardation. *Nat Genet* 42: 489–491
- Berkel S, Tang W, Treviño M, Vogt M, Obenhaus HA, Gass P, Scherer SW, Sprengel R, Schrott G, Rappold GA (2012) Inherited and *de novo* SHANK2 variants associated with autism spectrum disorder impair neuronal morphogenesis and physiology. *Hum Mol Genet* 21: 344–357
- Boeckers TM, Bockmann J, Kreutz MR, Gundelfinger ED (2002) ProSAP/Shank proteins – a family of higher order organizing molecules of the postsynaptic density with an emerging role in human neurological disease. *J Neurochem* 81: 903–910
- Boeckers TM, Liedtke T, Spilker C, Dresbach T, Bockmann J, Kreutz MR, Gundelfinger ED (2005) C-terminal synaptic targeting elements for postsynaptic density proteins ProSAP1/Shank2 and ProSAP2/Shank3. *J Neurochem* 92: 519–524
- Bridges RS, Numan M, Ronsheim PM, Mann PE, Lupini CE (1990) Central prolactin infusions stimulate maternal behavior in steroid-treated, nulliparous female rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87: 8003–8007
- Broad KD, Curley JP, Keverne EB (2006) Mother-infant bonding and the evolution of mammalian social relationships. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 361: 2199–2214
- Brown JR, Ye H, Bronson RT, Dikkes P, Greenberg ME (1996) A defect in nurturing in mice lacking the immediate early gene fosB. *Cell* 86: 297–309
- Burke AR, McCormick CM, Pellis SM, Lukkes JL (2017) Impact of adolescent social experiences on behavior and neural circuits implicated in mental illnesses. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 76: 280–300



- Calamandrei G, Keverne EB (1994) Differential expression of Fos protein in the brain of female mice dependent on pup sensory cues and maternal experience. *Behav Neurosci* 108: 113–120
- Carter CS (1998) Neuroendocrine perspectives on social attachment and love. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 23: 779–818
- Caumes R, Smol T, Thuillier C, Balerdi M, Lestienne-Roche C, Manouvrier-Hanu S, Ghoumid J (2020) Phenotypic spectrum of SHANK2-related neurodevelopmental disorder. *Eur J Med Genet* 63: 104072
- Chilian B, Abdollahpour H, Bierhals T, Haltrich I, Fekete G, Nagel I, Rosenberger G, Kutsche K (2013) Dysfunction of SHANK2 and CHRNA7 in a patient with intellectual disability and language impairment supports genetic epistasis of the two loci. *Clin Genet* 84: 560–565
- Costas J (2015) The role of SHANK2 rare variants in schizophrenia susceptibility. *Mol Psychiatry* 20: 1486
- Cservenák M, Kis V, Keller D, Dimén D, Menyhárt L, Oláh S, Szabó ÉR, Barna J, Renner É, Usdin TB *et al* (2017) Maternally involved galanin neurons in the preoptic area of the rat. *Brain Struct Funct* 222: 781–798
- Distler U, Schmeisser MJ, Pelosi A, Reim D, Kuharev J, Weiczner R, Baumgart J, Boeckers TM, Nitsch R, Vogt J *et al* (2014) In-depth protein profiling of the postsynaptic density from mouse hippocampus using data-independent acquisition proteomics. *Proteomics* 14: 2607–2613
- Dölen G (2015) Autism: oxytocin, serotonin, and social reward. *Soc Neurosci* 10: 450–465
- Ehret G, Koch M (1989) Ultrasound-induced parental behavior in house mice is controlled by female sex hormones and parental experience. *Ethology* 80: 81–93
- Ehret G, Buckenmaier J (1994) Estrogen-receptor occurrence in female mouse brain: effects of maternal experience, ovariectomy, estrogen and anosmia. *J Physiol Paris* 88: 315–329
- Eltokhi A, Rappold G, Sprengel R (2018) Distinct phenotypes of Shank2 mouse models reflect neuropsychiatric spectrum disorders of human patients with SHANK2 variants. *Front Mol Neurosci* 11: 240
- Ey E, Torquet N, de Chaumont F, Lévi-Strauss J, Ferhat AT, Le Sourd AM, Boeckers TM, Bourgeron T (2018) Shank2 Mutant mice display hyperactivity insensitive to methylphenidate and reduced flexibility in social motivation, but normal social recognition. *Front Mol Neurosci* 11: 365
- Fang YY, Yamaguchi T, Song SC, Tritsch NX, Lin D (2018) A hypothalamic midbrain pathway essential for driving maternal behaviors. *Neuron* 98: 192–207
- Fleming AS, Suh EJ, Korsmit M, Rusak B (1994) Activation of Fos-like immunoreactivity in the medial preoptic area and limbic structures by maternal and social interaction in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 108: 724–734
- Gallitto E, Leth-Steenen C (2015) Autistic traits and adult attachment styles. *Personality Individ Differ* 79: 63–67
- Gandelman R, Zarrow MX, Denenberg VH (1972) Reproductive and maternal performance in the mouse following removal of the olfactory bulbs. *J Reprod Fertil* 28: 453–456
- Geissler DB, Sabine Schmidt H, Ehret G (2013) Limbic brain activation for maternal acoustic perception and responding is different in mothers and virgin female mice. *J Physiol Paris* 107: 62–71
- Grabrucker AM, Schmeisser MJ, Schoen M, Boeckers TM (2011) Postsynaptic ProSAP/Shank scaffolds in the cross-hair of synaptopathies. *Trends Cell Biol* 21: 594–603
- Grabrucker S, Jannetti L, Eckert M, Gaub S, Chhabra R, Pfaender S, Mangus K, Reddy PP, Rankovic V, Schmeisser MJ *et al* (2014) Zinc deficiency dysregulates the synaptic ProSAP/Shank scaffold and might contribute to autism spectrum disorders. *Brain* 137: 137–152
- Guilmatre A, Huguet G, Delorme R, Bourgeron T (2014) The emerging role of SHANK genes in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Dev Neurobiol* 74: 113–122
- Hadjikhani N, Joseph RM, Snyder J, Tager-Flusberg H (2007) Abnormal activation of the social brain during face perception in autism. *Hum Brain Mapp* 28: 441–449
- von dem Hagen EA, Stoyanova RS, Baron-Cohen S, Calder AJ (2013) Reduced functional connectivity within and between 'social' resting state networks in autism spectrum conditions. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 8: 694–701
- Homann OR, Misura K, Lamas E, Sandrock RW, Nelson P, McDonough SI, DeLisi LE (2016) Whole-genome sequencing in multiplex families with psychoses reveals mutations in the SHANK2 and SMARCA1 genes segregating with illness. *Mol Psychiatry* 21: 1690–1695
- van Ijzendoorn MH, Rutgers AH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Swinkels SH, van Daalen E, Dietz C, Naber FB, Buitelaar JK, van Engeland H (2007) Parental sensitivity and attachment in children with autism spectrum disorder: comparison with children with mental retardation, with language delays, and with typical development. *Child Dev* 78: 597–608
- Insel TR (1997) A neurobiological basis of social attachment. *Am J Psychiatry* 154: 726–735
- Insel TR (2003) Is social attachment an addictive disorder? *Physiol Behav* 79: 351–357
- Johnson ZV, Young LJ (2015) Neurobiological mechanisms of social attachment and pair bonding. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 3: 38–44
- Kahane L, El-Tahir M (2015) Attachment behavior in children with autistic spectrum disorders. *Adv Ment Health Intellect Disabil* 9: 79–89
- Kanner L (1968) Autistic disturbances of affective contact. *Acta Paedopsychiatr* 35: 100–136
- Kim R, Kim J, Chung C, Ha S, Lee S, Lee E, Yoo YE, Kim W, Shin W, Kim E (2018) Cell-type-specific Shank2 deletion in mice leads to differential synaptic and behavioral phenotypes. *J Neurosci* 38: 4076–4092
- Kohl J, Autry AE, Dulac C (2017) The neurobiology of parenting: a neural circuit perspective. *BioEssays* 39: 1–11
- Kohl J, Babayan BM, Rubinstein ND, Autry AE, Marin-Rodriguez B, Kapoor V, Miyamishi K, Zweifel LS, Luo L, Uchida N *et al* (2018) Functional circuit architecture underlying parental behaviour. *Nature* 556: 326–331
- Kohls G, Schulte-Rüther M, Nehr Korn B, Müller K, Fink GR, Kamp-Becker I, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Schultz RT, Konrad K (2013) Reward system dysfunction in autism spectrum disorders. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 8: 565–572
- Kron M, Howell CJ, Adams IT, Ransbottom M, Christian D, Ogier M, Katz DM (2012) Brain activity mapping in Mecp2 mutant mice reveals functional deficits in forebrain circuits, including key nodes in the default mode network, that are reversed with ketamine treatment. *J Neurosci* 32: 13860–13872
- Lampton D, Turner LA (2014) Romantic attachment, empathy, and the broader autism phenotype among college students. *J Genet Psychol* 175: 202–213
- Leblond CS, Heinrich J, Delorme R, Proepper C, Betancur C, Huguet G, Konyukh M, Chaste P, Ey E, Rastam M *et al* (2012) Genetic and functional analyses of SHANK2 mutations suggest a multiple hit model of autism spectrum disorders. *PLoS Genet* 8: e1002521
- Leblond CS, Nava C, Polge A, Gauthier J, Huguet G, Lumbroso S, Giuliano F, Stordeur C, Depienne C, Mouzat K *et al* (2014) Meta-analysis of SHANK2 mutations in autism spectrum disorders: a gradient of severity in cognitive impairments. *PLoS Genet* 10: e1004580
- Lee S, Lee E, Kim R, Kim J, Lee S, Park H, Yang E, Kim H, Kim E (2018) Shank2 deletion in parvalbumin neurons leads to moderate hyperactivity,

- enhanced self-grooming and suppressed seizure susceptibility in mice. *Front Mol Neurosci* 11: 209
- Lieberwirth C, Wang Z (2014) Social bonding: regulation by neuropeptides. *Front Neurosci* 8: 171
- Lim S, Naisbitt S, Yoon J, Hwang JI, Suh PG, Sheng M, Kim E (1999) Characterization of the Shank family of synaptic proteins. Multiple genes, alternative splicing, and differential expression in brain and development. *J Biol Chem* 274: 29510–29518
- Lloyd-Fox S, Blasi A, Elwell CE, Charman T, Murphy D, Johnson MH (2013) Reduced neural sensitivity to social stimuli in infants at risk for autism. *Proc Biol Sci* 280: 20123026
- Matsushita N, Muroi Y, Kinoshita K, Ishii T (2015) Comparison of c-Fos expression in brain regions involved in maternal behavior of virgin and lactating female mice. *Neurosci Lett* 590: 166–171
- McHenry JA, Otis JM, Rossi MA, Robinson JE, Kosyk O, Miller NW, McElligott ZA, Budygin EA, Rubinow DR, Stuber GD (2017) Hormonal gain control of a medial preoptic area social reward circuit. *Nat Neurosci* 20: 449–458
- Modahl C, Green L, Fein D, Morris M, Waterhouse L, Feinstein C, Levin H (1998) Plasma oxytocin levels in autistic children. *Biol Psychiatry* 43: 270–277
- Mogi K, Nagasawa M, Kikusui T (2011) Developmental consequences and biological significance of mother-infant bonding. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 35: 1232–1241
- Naisbitt S, Kim E, Tu JC, Xiao B, Sala C, Valtschanoff J, Weinberg RJ, Worley PF, Sheng M (1999) Shank, a novel family of postsynaptic density proteins that binds to the NMDA receptor/PSD-95/GKAP complex and cortactin. *Neuron* 23: 569–582
- Neuhaus E, Beauchaine TP, Bernier R (2010) Neurobiological correlates of social functioning in autism. *Clin Psychol Rev* 30: 733–748
- Numan M, Insel TR (2003) *The neurobiology of parental behavior*. New York, NY: Springer
- Numan M (2007) Motivational systems and the neural circuitry of maternal behavior in the rat. *Dev Psychobiol* 49: 12–21
- Numan M, Young LJ (2016) Neural mechanisms of mother-infant bonding and pair bonding: Similarities, differences, and broader implications. *Horm Behav* 77: 98–112
- Pappas AL, Bey AL, Wang X, Rossi M, Kim YH, Yan H, Porkka F, Duffney LJ, Phillips SM, Cao X et al (2017) Deficiency of Shank2 causes mania-like behavior that responds to mood stabilizers. *JCI Insight* 2: 92052
- Paxinos G, Franklin DBJ (2004) *The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates*, San Diego, CA: Elsevier Science
- Peykov S, Berkel S, Degenhardt F, Rietschel M, Nöthen MM, Rappold GA (2015a) Rare SHANK2 variants in schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 20: 1487–1488
- Peykov S, Berkel S, Schoen M, Weiss K, Degenhardt F, Strohmaier J, Weiss B, Proepper C, Schrott G, Nöthen MM et al (2015b) Identification and functional characterization of rare SHANK2 variants in schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 20: 1489–1498
- Pinkham AE, Hopfinger JB, Pelphrey KA, Piven J, Penn DL (2008) Neural bases for impaired social cognition in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. *Schizophr Res* 99: 164–175
- Pinto D, Pagnamenta AT, Klei L, Anney R, Merico D, Regan R, Conroy J, Magalhaes TR, Correia C, Abrahams BS et al (2010) Functional impact of global rare copy number variation in autism spectrum disorders. *Nature* 466: 368–372
- Plante I, Stewart MK, Laird DW (2011) Evaluation of mammary gland development and function in mouse models. *J Vis Exp* 21: 2828
- Prasad A, Merico D, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Wei J, Lionel AC, Sato D, Rickaby J, Lu C, Szatmari P, Roberts W et al (2012) A discovery resource of rare copy number variations in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *G3: Genes - Genomes - Genetics* 2: 1665–1685
- Rauch A, Wiczorek D, Graf E, Wieland T, Ende S, Schwarzmayr T, Albrecht B, Bartholdi D, Beygo J, Di Donato N et al (2012) Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study. *Lancet* 380: 1674–1682
- Rilling JK, Young LJ (2014) The biology of mammalian parenting and its effect on offspring social development. *Science* 345: 771–776
- Rosenblatt JS (1967) Nonhormonal basis of maternal behavior in the rat. *Science* 156: 1512–1514
- Rutgers AH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van Ijzendoorn MH, van Berckelaer-Onnes IA (2004) Autism and attachment: a meta-analytic review. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 45: 1123–1134
- Rutgers AH, van Ijzendoorn MH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Swinkels SH, van Daalen E, Dietz C, Naber FB, Buitelaar JK, van Engeland H (2007) Autism, attachment and parenting: a comparison of children with autism spectrum disorder, mental retardation, language disorder, and non-clinical children. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 35: 859–870
- Sala C, Vicidomini C, Bigi I, Mossa A, Verpelli C (2015) Shank synaptic scaffold proteins: keys to understanding the pathogenesis of autism and other synaptic disorders. *J Neurochem* 135: 849–858
- Sanders SJ, Murtha MT, Gupta AR, Murdoch JD, Raubeson MJ, Willsey AJ, Ercan-Sencicek AG, DiLullo NM, Parikshak NN, Stein JL et al (2012) *De novo* mutations revealed by whole-exome sequencing are strongly associated with autism. *Nature* 485: 237–241
- Sato A, Nakagawasai O, Tan-No K, Onogi H, Nijima F, Tadano T (2010) Effect of non-selective dopaminergic receptor agonist on disrupted maternal behavior in olfactory bulbectomized mice. *Behav Brain Res* 210: 251–256
- Sato W, Toichi M, Uono S, Kochiyama T (2012) Impaired social brain network for processing dynamic facial expressions in autism spectrum disorders. *BMC Neurosci* 13: 99
- Schluth-Bolard C, Labalme A, Cordier MP, Till M, Nadeau G, Tevissen H, Lesca G, Boutry-Kryza N, Rossignol S, Rocas D et al (2013) Breakpoint mapping by next generation sequencing reveals causative gene disruption in patients carrying apparently balanced chromosome rearrangements with intellectual deficiency and/or congenital malformations. *J Med Genet* 50: 144–150
- Schmeisser MJ, Ey E, Wegener S, Bockmann J, Stempel AV, Kuebler A, Janssen AL, Udvardi PT, Shiban E, Spilker C et al (2012) Autistic-like behaviours and hyperactivity in mice lacking ProSAP1/Shank2. *Nature* 486: 256–260
- Scott-Van Zeeland AA, Dapretto M, Ghahremani DG, Poldrack RA, Bookheimer SY (2010) Reward processing in autism. *Autism Res* 3: 53–67
- Stack EC, Numan M (2000) The temporal course of expression of c-Fos and Fos B within the medial preoptic area and other brain regions of postpartum female rats during prolonged mother-young interactions. *Behav Neurosci* 114: 609–622
- Stroobants S, Creemers J, Bosmans G, D'Hooge R (2020) Post-weaning infant-to-mother bonding in nutritionally independent female mice. *PLoS One* 15: e0227034
- Taylor EL, Target M, Charman T (2008) Attachment in adults with high-functioning autism. *Attach Hum Dev* 10: 143–163
- Vivanti G, Nuske HJ (2017) Autism, attachment, and social learning: three challenges and a way forward. *Behav Brain Res* 325: 251–259

Wischmeijer A, Magini P, Giorda R, Gnoli M, Ciccone R, Cecconi L, Franzoni E, Mazzanti L, Romeo G, Zuffardi O *et al* (2011) Olfactory receptor-related duplicons mediate a microdeletion at 11q13.2q13.4 associated with a syndromic phenotype. *Mol Syndromol* 1: 176–184

Won H, Lee HR, Gee HY, Mah W, Kim JI, Lee J, Ha S, Chung C, Jung ES, Cho YS *et al* (2012) Autistic-like social behaviour in Shank2-mutant mice improved by restoring NMDA receptor function. *Nature* 486: 261–265

Wu Z, Autry AE, Bergan JF, Watabe-Uchida M, Dulac CG (2014) Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. *Nature* 509: 325–330

Yang M, Crawley JN (2009) Simple behavioral assessment of mouse olfaction. *Curr Protoc Neurosci Chapter 8: Unit 8.24*



**License:** This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.