

Sequential activation of transcriptional repressors promotes progenitor commitment by silencing stem cell identity genes

Noemi Rives-Quinto¹, Hideyuki Komori¹, Cyrina M Ostgaard^{1,2}, Derek H Janssens^{1†}, Shu Kondo³, Qi Dai⁴, Adrian W Moore⁵, Cheng-Yu Lee^{1,2,6}*

¹Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States; ²Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, United States; ³Invertebrate Genetics Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan; ⁴Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁵Riken Center for Brain Science, Wako, Japan; ⁶Division of Genetic Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine and Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, United States

Abstract Stem cells that indirectly generate differentiated cells through intermediate progenitors drives vertebrate brain evolution. Due to a lack of lineage information, how stem cell functionality, including the competency to generate intermediate progenitors, becomes extinguished during progenitor commitment remains unclear. Type II neuroblasts in fly larval brains divide asymmetrically to generate a neuroblast and a progeny that commits to an intermediate progenitor (INP) identity. We identified Tailless (TII) as a master regulator of type II neuroblast functional identity, including the competency to generate INPs. Successive expression of transcriptional repressors functions through Hdac3 to silence *tll* during INP commitment. Reducing supernumerary neuroblast formation. Knocking-down *hdac3* function prevents downregulation of *tll* during INP commitment. We propose that continual inactivation of stem cell identity genes allows intermediate progenitors to stably commit to generating diverse differentiated cells during indirect neurogenesis.

Introduction

Indirect generation of differentiated cell types through intermediate progenitors allows tissue-specific stem cells to scale their progeny output to accommodate the development of appropriately sized organs in proportion to organism sizes. Indirect neurogenesis by the outer subventricular zone (OSVZ) neural stem cells drives the evolution of lissencephalic brains to gyrencephalic brains in vertebrates (*Cárdenas and Borrell, 2020*). The competency to generate intermediate progenitors and the maintenance of an undifferentiated state must be coordinately downregulated in stem cell progeny to ensure generation of differentiated cell types. Polycomb-mediated gene repression is thought to inactivate stem-cell-specific genes during differentiation (*Tsuboi et al., 2019*). However, loss of function of Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) did not lead to intermediate progenitors reverting into neural stem cells (*Abdusselamoglu et al., 2019*). Thus, the mechanisms that extinguish stem cell functionality during progenitor commitment remains poorly understood.

*For correspondence: leecheng@umich.edu

Present address: [†]Basic Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, United States

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 18

Received: 20 February 2020 Accepted: 25 November 2020 Published: 26 November 2020

Reviewing editor: Claude Desplan, New York University, United States

© Copyright Rives-Quinto et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

Two distinct neuroblast lineages function together to generate the number of neurons requisite for the development of adult fly brains (Farnsworth and Doe, 2017; Homem et al., 2015; Janssens and Lee, 2014). Similar to ventricular zone neural stem cells, type I neuroblasts undergo direct neurogenesis. Type I neuroblasts repeatedly undergo asymmetric division to generate one daughter cell that remains a neuroblast and one sibling cell (ganglion mother cell [GMC]) that divides once to generate two neurons. By contrast, type II neuroblasts undergo indirect neurogenesis, similar to OSVZ neural stem cells. Type II neuroblasts continually undergo asymmetric division to selfrenew and to generate a sibling cell that commits to an intermediate progenitor (INP) identity (Bello et al., 2008; Boone and Doe, 2008; Bowman et al., 2008). The Sp family transcription factor Buttonhead (Btd) and the ETS-1 transcription factor PointedP1 (PntP1) are specifically expressed in type II neuroblasts (Komori et al., 2014b; Xie et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2011). Btd and PntP1 expression levels decrease as a newly generated immature INP transitions into a non-Asense-expressing (Ase⁻) immature INP. Three to four hrs after this transition, an Ase⁻ immature INP upregulates Ase expression as it progresses through INP commitment. Once INP commitment is complete, an Ase⁺ immature INP transitions into an INP that undergoes six to eight rounds of asymmetric division. These molecularly defined intermediate stages during INP commitment provide critical landmarks to investigate the mechanisms that extinguish the activity of type II neuroblast functional identity genes.

Notch signaling maintains type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state, partially by poising transcription of the master regulator of differentiation earmuff (erm) (Janssens et al., 2017). During asymmetric neuroblast division, the TRIM-NHL protein Brain tumor (Brat) segregates into the newly generated immature INP and targets transcripts encoded by Notch downstream effector genes for RNA decay (Bello et al., 2006; Betschinger et al., 2006; Komori et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2006b; Xiao et al., 2012). brat-null brains accumulate thousands of supernumerary type II neuroblasts that originate from the reversion of newly generated immature INPs due to defects in the downregulation of Notch signaling (Komori et al., 2014a). In parallel to Brat, the nuclear protein Insensible (Insb) inhibits the activity of Notch downstream effector proteins during asymmetric neuroblast division, and Insb overexpression efficiently triggers premature differentiation in type II neuroblasts (Komori et al., 2018). This multi-layered gene control allows for the onset of Erm expression, coinciding with the termination of PntP1 expression. Erm expression is maintained in immature INPs but becomes downregulated in INPs (Janssens et al., 2014). Erm belongs to a family of transcription factors that are highly expressed in neural progenitors and can bind histone deacetylase 3 (Hdac3) (Hirata et al., 2006; Koe et al., 2014; Levkowitz et al., 2003; Weng et al., 2010). Erm prevents INP reversion by repressing gene transcription. In erm-null brains, INPs spontaneously revert to type Il neuroblasts; however, this phenotype can be suppressed by knocking-down Notch function (Weng et al., 2010). Thus, Erm likely inactivates type II neuroblast functionality genes by promoting histone deacetylation.

By comparing mRNAs enriched in type II neuroblasts or immature INPs, we identified *tailless* (*tll*) as a master regulator of type II neuroblast functional identity. TII is expressed in type II neuroblasts but not in INPs; moreover, TII is necessary and sufficient for type II neuroblast functionality. TII over-expression is sufficient to transform type I neuroblasts into type II neuroblasts, as indicated by changes in gene expression and an acquired competence for generating INPs. We identified *hamlet* (*ham*) as a new negative regulator of type II neuroblast maintenance. *ham* is expressed after *erm* in immature INP during INP commitment, and Erm and Ham function through Hdac3 to continually inactivate *tll*. Sequential inactivation during INP commitment suppresses *tll* activation by Notch signaling in INPs. We propose that silencing of the master regulator of stem cell functional identity allows intermediate progenitors to stably commit to the generation of differentiated cell types without reacquiring stem cell functionality.

Results

A novel transient over-expression strategy to identify regulators of type II neuroblast functional identity

Genes that regulate neuroblast functional identity should be expressed in type II neuroblasts and become rapidly downregulated in Ase⁻ and Ase⁺ immature INPs in wild-type brains (*Figure 1A*). To

eLife Research article



Figure 1. Identification of candidate regulators of type II neuroblast functional identity. (**A**) A diagram of the type II neuroblast lineage showing the expression patterns of genes and *Gal4* drivers used throughout this study. (**B**) Gene transcription profiles of *brat*-null brains transiently overexpressing Insb displayed patterns of gene transcription that are indicative of immature INPs undergoing INP commitment in wild-type brains. (**C**) A strategy to induce synchronized INP commitment in supernumerary type II neuroblasts in *brat*-null brains. Larvae carrying a *UAS-insb* transgene and a type II neuroblast Gal4 were collected and aged at 25°C. One third of larvae were shifted to 33°C at 126 or 135 hr after hatching to induce high levels of transient Insb expression, and the last one-third remained at 25°C serving as the source enriched for type II neuroblast-specific transcripts (time 0). (**D**–**F**) Images of *brat*-null brains transiently overexpressing Insb driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4 for 0, 9, or 18 hr. Transient overexpression of Insb first induced Erm and then Ase expression in supernumerary type II neuroblast Gal4 for 0, 9, or 18 hr. Transient overexpression of Insb first induced Erm and then Ase expression in supernumerary type II neuroblasts (**G**) Volcano plots showing fold-change of gene expression in *brat*-null brains transiently overexpressing Insb for 9 or 18 hr. (**H**) TII expression pattern in the type II neuroblast lineage. The *tII::GFP(Bac)* transgene revealed the expression of endogenous TII in type II neuroblasts but not in immature INPs and INPs. The following labeling applies to all images in this figure: yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast; white arrowhead: Ase⁻ immature INP; yellow arrow: Ase⁺ immature INP; yellow arrowhead: INP. Scale bar, 10 µm.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Time-course analysis of transient Insb overexpression in brat-null brains.

Figure supplement 1-source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts or INPs per brat-null brain lobe that transiently overexpressed Insb.

enrich for transcripts that fulfill these criteria, we tested if transient Insb overexpression induces supernumerary type II neuroblasts in *brat*-null brains to synchronously transition into INPs as in wild-type brains. The combination of *Wor-Gal4,AseGal80*, which is only active in type II neuroblasts, and *Tub-Gal80*^{ts}, which loses its inhibitory effect on Gal4 activity under non-permissive temperatures, allows for spatial and temporal control of Insb overexpression in all type II neuroblasts. We allowed larvae to grow at 25°C, and induced transient Insb overexpression for 6, 12, 18, or 24 hr by shifting the larvae to a non-permissive temperature of 33°C. Larvae that remained at 25°C for the duration of this experiment served as the control (time 0). We assessed the effect of this transient over-expression strategy on cell identity by quantifying the total type II neuroblasts and INPs per brain lobe based on Deadpan (Dpn) and Ase expression (*Figure 1A*). Overexpressing lnsb for 6 hr did not significantly affect the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *brat*-null brains (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*). By contrast, 12 or more hours of lnsb overexpression led to a time-dependent decrease in supernumerary type II neuroblasts and a corresponding increase in INPs. These results demonstrate that transient Insb overexpression can induce supernumerary type II neuroblasts to synchronously transition into INPs in *brat*-null brains.

We next assessed if supernumerary type II neuroblasts transiently overexpressing Insb transition through identical intermediate stages to become INPs in brat-null brains as they do in wild-type brains (Figure 1A). We found that pntP1 mRNA levels increased within the first 6 hr of Insb overexpression, and then continually declined (Figure 1B). By contrast, erm transcription rapidly increased in the first 12 hr of Insb overexpression, plateauing between 12 and 18 hr. ase transcription showed little change in the first 6 hr of Insb overexpression, and then steadily increased between 12 and 24 hr. The combined temporal patterns of pntP1, erm, and ase transcription strongly suggest that type Il neuroblasts transiently overexpressing Insb for 6 hr in brat-null brains are at an equivalent stage as a newly generated immature INP transitioning to an Ase⁻ immature INP in wild-type brains. brat-null type II neuroblasts are at a stage equivalent to (1) Ase⁻ immature INPs following 6–12 hr of Insb overexpression and (2) Ase⁺ immature INPs following 12-24 hr of Insb overexpression. We examined the expression of endogenous Erm in brat-null type II neuroblasts transiently overexpressing Insb to confirm their identity. We generated an erm::V5 allele by inserting a V5 epitope at the C-terminus of the erm reading frame, and showed that Erm::V5 recapitulates the published endogenous Erm expression pattern that we previously described (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B; Janssens et al., 2014). Indeed, following 9 hr of Insb overexpression most type II neuroblasts in brat-null brains expressed cell identity markers indicative of Ase⁻ immature INPs (Erm::V5⁺Ase⁻), whereas after 18 hr of Insb overexpression they expressed markers indicative of Ase⁺ immature INPs (Erm::V5⁺Ase⁺) (Figure 1C-F). These data indicate that supernumerary type II neuroblasts transiently overexpressing Insb indeed transition through identical intermediate stages during INP commitment in brat-null brains as in wild-type brains.

We predicted that a candidate regulator of type II neuroblast functional identity should become downregulated in *brat*-null brains following 9 and 18 hr of Insb overexpression. By sequencing mRNA in triplicate following the transient over-expression strategy (*Figure 1C*), we identified 76 genes that were reproducibly downregulated by 1.5-fold or more in *brat*-null brains overexpressing Insb for 9 hr (*Figure 1G*). Of these genes, *tll* was the most downregulated; similarly, *tll* was the most downregulated gene in *brat*-null brains overexpressing Insb for 18 hr. We validated the *tll* expression pattern in the type II neuroblast lineage using a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgene [*tll*:: *GFP*(*Bac*)] where green fluorescent protein (GFP) is fused in frame with the *tll* reading frame. Consistent with previous study (*Bayraktar and Doe, 2013*), we detected TII::GFP in type II neuroblasts but not in immature or mature INPs (*Figure 1H*). TII::GFP expression is also detected in type I neuroblasts in the brain and in the ventral nerve cord, but at a significantly lower level than in type II neuroblasts (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1C–E*). Thus, *tll* is an excellent candidate for regulating type II neuroblast functionality.

tll is a master regulator of type II neuroblast functional identity

We defined the type II neuroblast functional identity as the maintenance of an undifferentiated state and the competency to generate INPs. We first tested whether *tll* is required for maintaining type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state by overexpressing a *UAS-tll^{RNAi}* transgene in the type II neuroblast lineage under the control of the *Wor-Gal4,Ase-Gal80* driver (*Figure 2A*). Whereas control brains always contained eight type II neuroblasts per lobe, brains with *tll* function knocked down



Figure 2. *tll* is necessary and sufficient for type II neuroblast functional identity. (A) A diagram showing the expression patterns of genes in the type I and II neuroblast lineages. (B) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-tll^{RNAi} transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Knocking-down *tll* function reduced the number of type II neuroblasts from 8 to 4 per brain lobe. (C–D) Images of brains that overexpressed a UAS-tll^{RNAi} transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Knocking-down *tll* function in type II neuroblast Gal4. Knocking-down *tll* function in type II neuroblast Gal4. Knocking-down *tll* function led to premature differentiation in type II neuroblast as indicated by reduced cell diameter and ectopic Ase expression but did not affect the maintenance of type I neuroblasts. (E) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain that overexpressed a UAS-tll transgene driven by an INP Gal4. *tll* overexpression in INPs led to *Figure 2 continued on next page*

Figure 2 continued

supernumerary type II neuroblast formation. (F–G) Images of brains that overexpressed a *UAS-tll* transgene driven by an INP Gal4. (H–I) Images of *Sp1:: GFP(Bac)* brains. *Sp1::GFP* is detected in most cells in all type II neuroblast lineages that are exclusively located in the dorsal brain region, and is detected in few neurons in type I neuroblast lineages in the ventral brain region. (J) Images of the ventral region of *Sp1::GFP(Bac)* brains that overexpressed a *UAS-tll* transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4 (*Wor-Gal4*). TII overexpression transforms type I neuroblasts (Ase⁺Sp1::GFP⁻) in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts (Ase⁻Sp1::GFP⁺). (K) Quantification of total ventral type I neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a *UAS-tll* transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. TII overexpression transforms 66% of type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts Gal4. TII overexpression transforms 66% of type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts. (L–M) Images of *erm::V5* brains. Erm::V5 is detected in immature INPs in type II neuroblast lineages but is undetectable in type I neuroblast lineages in the ventral regions of *erm::V5* brains. Erm::V5 brains that overexpressed a *UAS-tll* transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. TII overexpression frameture INPs in type II neuroblast lineages but is undetectable in type I neuroblast lineages in the ventral region of larval brain. (P) Images of *erm::V5* brains that overexpressed a *UAS-tll* transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. TII overexpression of the brain to generate supernumerary type II neuroblasts interspersed with Erm::V5⁺ immature INPs. The following labeling applies to all images in this figure: white dashed line separates the optic lobe from the brain; yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast; white arrowhead: Ase⁻ immature INP; yellow arrow: Ase⁺ immature INP; yellow arrow: Ase⁺ immature INP; yellow a

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 2:

Source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-tll^{RNAi} transgene. **Source data 2.** Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain that overexpressed a UAS-tll transgene. **Source data 3.** Quantification of total ventral type I neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-tll transgene.

contained 4.3 ± 1.2 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 10 brains per genotype) (*Figure 2B*). A closer examination revealed that the remaining *tll* mutant neuroblasts showed reduced cell diameters and ectopically expressed Ase, two characteristics typically associated with INPs in the type II neuroblast lineage (*Figure 2A, C and D*). This result indicated that *tll* is required for maintaining type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state. We next tested whether *tll* is sufficient to re-establish a type II neuroblast-like undifferentiated state in INPs by misexpressing a *UAS-tll* transgene under the control of *Erm-Gal4(III)* (*Figure 1A*). Brains with *tll* misexpressed in INPs contained 150 ± 50 type II neuroblasts per lobe, whereas control brains always contained eight type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 10 brains per genotype) (*Figure 2E-G*). Thus, Tll misexpression is sufficient to revert INPs to type II neuroblasts. These data together led us to conclude that *tll* is necessary and sufficient for maintaining type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state.

As tll is required to maintain type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state, direct assessment of its role in regulating the competency to generate INPs is not possible. As an alternative, we tested whether TII overexpression is sufficient to induce ectopic type II neuroblast formation in the ventral brain region and in the ventral nerve cord that exclusively consist of type I neuroblasts. To unambiguously distinguish the two types of neuroblasts, we searched for robust protein markers of type II neuroblasts and their progeny. As Sp1 mRNA is uniquely detected in type II neuroblasts (Yang et al., 2016), we evaluated Sp1 protein expression using an Sp1::GFP(Bac) transgene. We found that Sp1::GFP marks type II neuroblasts and most of their progeny that are found exclusively in the dorsal brain region (Figure 2H). Sp1::GFP is detected in some neurons but never in type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region and in the ventral nerve cord (Figure 2I and L). Thus, Sp1::GFP is a new marker for the type II neuroblast lineage (Figure 2A). We overexpressed a UAS-tll transgene under the control of a pan-neuroblast driver (Wor-Gal4) in larval brains carrying the Sp1::GFP(Bac) transgene. While the ventral region of control brains contains 29 ± 2.1 type I neuroblasts, the same region of the brains overexpressing TII contains 10.6 \pm 2.5 type I neuroblasts and hundreds of ectopic type II neuroblasts (n = 10 brains per genotype) (Figure 2I-K). This result strongly suggests that TII overexpression transforms greater than 60% of type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts. Similarly, TII overexpression also induced hundreds of supernumerary type II neuroblasts in the ventral nerve cord (n = 10 brains per genotype) (Figure 2L and M). Thus, ectopic *tll* expression is sufficient to molecularly transform type I neuroblasts into type II neuroblasts.

Next, we tested if type I neuroblasts overexpressing *tll* can generate immature INPs that are marked by Erm::V5 expression (*Figure 2A and N*). We reproducibly observed Erm::V5⁺ immature INPs in the ventral-medial region of the brain overexpressing TII, but not in the ventral-lateral brain region (*Figure 2O and P*). This result strongly suggests that progeny of transformed type II

neuroblasts in the ventral-medial brain region assume an immature INP identity and then revert into supernumerary type II neuroblasts. By contrast, progeny of transformed type II neuroblasts in the ventral-lateral brain region appeared to rapidly revert into supernumerary neuroblasts instead of assuming an immature INP identity. Thus, *tll* overexpression is sufficient to molecularly and functionally transform type I neuroblasts into type II neuroblasts, and that *tll* is a master regulator of type II neuroblast functional identity.

Ham is a new regulator of INP commitment

A previous study found that Suppressor of Hairless, the DNA-binding partner of Notch, binds the *tll* locus in larval brain neuroblasts, suggesting that *tll* is a putative Notch target (*Zacharioudaki et al., 2016*). Thus, mechanisms likely exist to silence *tll* during INP commitment, preventing re-activation of Notch signaling in INPs from ectopically inducing TII expression and driving supernumerary type II neuroblast formation (*Figure 2A*). We predicted that genes required for silencing *tll* during INP commitment should become upregulated in *brat*-null brains following 9 and 18 hr of Insb overexpression, and mutations in these genes should lead to supernumerary type II neuroblast formation due to ectopic *tll* expression in INPs. From our RNA sequencing dataset, we identified genes that encode transcription factors and are upregulated in *brat*-null brains overexpressing Insb for 9 or 18 hr. These transcription factors include Erm, Dichaete (D), Ase, and Hamlet (Ham) (*Figure 1G*). Reverse transcriptase PCR confirmed that the transcript levels of these genes indeed become upregulated in *brat*-null brains overexpressing Insb for inactivating *tll* during INP commitment.

We tested if any of the candidate genes is required for inactivating *tll* expression during INP commitment by knocking-down their function in *erm* hypomorphic (*erm*^{hypo}) brains. *erm*^{hypo} brains display a low frequency of INP reversion to type II neuroblasts, and provides a sensitized genetic background for identifying genes required to prevent INP reversion to type II neuroblasts (*Janssens et al., 2017*). Because ectopic *tll* expression in INPs leads to supernumerary type II neuroblast formation, reducing the function of genes that inactivate *tll* should enhance the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *erm*^{hypo} brains. We found that *erm*^{hypo} brains alone contained 31.5 ± 6.8 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 10 brains) (*Figure 3A*). Although reducing the function of most candidate genes did not enhance the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *erm*^{hypo} brains, knockingdown *ham* function with two different *UAS-RNAi* transgenes reproducibly enhanced the phenotype (n = 10 brains per transgene) (*Figure 3A*). Consistent with the effect of reducing *ham* function by RNAi, the heterozygosity of a deficiency that deletes the entire *ham* locus also enhanced the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *erm*^{hypo} brains (data not presented). Thus, *ham* likely plays a role in preventing INPs from reverting to supernumerary type II neuroblasts.

ham is the fly homolog of the vertebrate Prdm16 gene that encodes a transcription factor and has been shown to play a key role in regulating cell fate decisions in multiple stem cell lineages (Baizabal et al., 2018; Harms et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2002; Shimada et al., 2017). A previous study concluded that ham regulates INP proliferation but does not play a role in suppressing supernumerary type II neuroblast formation (Eroglu et al., 2014). The discrepancy between our findings and the published observations might be due to the ham^1 allele used in the previous study, which encodes a nearly full-length protein and exhibits similar protein stability as wild-type Ham (Figure 3B; Moore et al., 2002). We took two approaches to determine whether ham is required for preventing supernumerary type II neuroblast formation. First, we examined ham deficiency heterozygous brains and reproducibly observed a mild supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype $(9 \pm 0.9 \text{ per lobe, n} = 12 \text{ brains})$ (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Second, we knocked down ham function by overexpressing a UAS-RNAi transgene. We found that knocking down ham function also led to a mild but statistically significant increase in type II neuroblasts per lobe (9.8 \pm 1.8; n = 20 brains) as compared to control brains (8 \pm 0; n = 10 brains) (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). To confirm that ham is indeed required for suppressing supernumerary type II neuroblast formation, we generated two new ham alleles, ham^{SK1} and ham^{SK4}, by CRISPR-Cas9 (Figure 3B). We characterized the effect of the ham^{SK1} or ham^{SK4} allele on Ham protein expression using a specific antibody. We found that ham^{SK1} or ham^{SK4} homozygous brains show undetectable Ham protein expression (Figure 3C and D; data not presented). This result strongly suggests that mutant Ham protein encoded by the ham^{SK1} or ham^{SK4} allele is unstable, and that these two new ham alleles are strongly loss-of-function mutants. We then tested if Ham protein is required for suppressing supernumerary



Figure 3. Ham is a novel regulator of INP commitment. (A) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *erm*^{hypo} brain lobe that overexpressed a *UAS-RNAi* transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Knocking-down *ham* function consistently enhanced the supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype in *erm*^{hypo} brains. (B) A diagram summarizing the lesions in *ham* alleles. The molecular lesions in *ham*^{Sk1} and *ham*^{SK4} alleles were not independently verified. (C–D) Ham expression in wild-type or *ham*^{Sk1} homozygous brains. Ham was detected in immature INPs and INPs in wild-type brains, but was undetectable in *ham*^{Sk1} homozygous brains. (E) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *ham*-mutant brain lobe. *ham*^{Sk1} homozygous brains displayed a supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype. (F–H) Images of *ham* single mutant or *ham*, *erm* double mutant brains. The heterozygosity of *erm* alone had no effect on type II neuroblasts, but enhanced the supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype in *ham*^{SK1} homozygous brains. (I) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *brain* lobe of the indicated genotypes. *erm* and *ham* function synergistically to prevent supernumerary type II neuroblast formation. (J) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *erm,ham* double heterozygous brains. The following labeling applies to all images in this figure: white dashed line separates the optic lobe from the brain; yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast. Scale bar, 10 µm. Bar graphs are represented as mean ± standard deviation. p-values: ***<0.005. ns: not significant.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per erm^{hypo} brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-RNAi transgene.

Source data 2. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per ham-mutant brain lobe.

Source data 3. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe of the indicated genotypes.

Source data 4. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *erm,ham* double heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-ham transgene. Figure supplement 1. Ham functions synergistically with Erm to suppress supernumerary type II neuroblast formation.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-ham^{RNAi} transgene.

type II neuroblast formation. Indeed, ham^{SK1} homozygous brains contained 40 ± 10 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 15 brains) (*Figure 3E and F*). Because Ham is detectable in Ase⁺ immature INPs and remains expressed in all INPs (*Eroglu et al., 2014*), we conclude that *ham* is a novel regulator of INP commitment.

ham knock-down drastically enhanced the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in erm^{hypo} brains, leading us to hypothesize that ham functions together with erm to suppress INPs from reverting to supernumerary type II neuroblasts. We tested this hypothesis by examining a potential genetic interaction between erm and ham during INP commitment. Although the heterozygosity of erm did not increase total type II neuroblasts (8.1 ± 0.3 per lobe; n = 11 brains), it enhanced the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in ham deficiency heterozygous brains and in ham^{SK1} homozygous brains (14 ± 2.2 vs. 76.2 ± 18.3 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 14 brains per genotype) (**Figure 3G–I**). We conclude that Ham functions synergistically with Erm to suppress supernumerary type II neuroblast formation.

Ham promotes stable INP commitment by repressing gene transcription

Re-activation of Notch signaling in INPs drives supernumerary type II neuroblast formation in ermnull brains (Weng et al., 2010). Therefore, we hypothesized that supernumerary type II neuroblasts in ham-null brains might also originate from INPs. Whereas erm, ham heterozygous brains alone contained 12.7 \pm 1.6 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 9 brains), overexpressing ham in INPs driven by Erm-Gal4(III) rescued the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in erm, ham double heterozygous brains (8.2 \pm 0.8 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 24 brains) (*Figure 3J*). This result strongly suggests that supernumerary type II neuroblasts originate from INPs in ham-null brains. We generated GFPmarked mosaic clones derived from single type II neuroblasts to confirm the origin of supernumerary type II neuroblasts in ham-null brains. In wild-type clones (n = 9 clones), the parental type II neuroblast was always surrounded by Ase⁻ and Ase⁺ immature INPs (Figure 4A). By contrast, supernumerary neuroblasts in ham^{SK1} homozygous clones (2.5 ± 1.7 type II neuroblasts per clone; n = 11 clones) were always located far away from parental neuroblasts and surrounded by Ase⁺ cells that were most likely Ase⁺ immature INPs and ganglion mother cells (Figure 4B and C). It is highly unlikely that supernumerary neuroblasts in ham^{SK1} homozygous clones originated from symmetric neuroblast division based on their location relative to parental neuroblasts and the cell types that surround them. Thus, we conclude that Ham suppresses INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts.

Because Erm suppresses supernumerary type II neuroblast formation by repressing gene transcription, Ham likely prevents INP reversion as a transcriptional repressor. We reasoned that Ham might function through its N-terminal zinc finger to prevent INP reversion based on our finding that ham^{SK1} but not ham¹ homozygous clones displayed a supernumerary neuroblast phenotype (Figure 4C). Consistent with this hypothesis, mis-expressing Ham $^{\Delta C-ZF}$ triggered premature differentiation in type II neuroblasts, identical to mis-expression of full-length Ham (n = 10 brains per genotype) (Figure 4E). We tested if overexpressing Ham^{$\Delta C-ZF$} in INPs can rescue the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in ham^{SK1} homozygous brains by incubating larvae at 33°C for 96 hr. Incubating ham^{SK1} homozygous larvae at an elevated temperature leads to a severer supernumerary neuroblast phenotype than at 25°C (*Figures 3E* and *4F*). Overexpressing Ham $^{\Delta C-ZF}$ rescued the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in ham^{SK1} homozygous brains to a similar extent as overexpressing full-length Ham (11.1 \pm 1.4 vs. 11.9 \pm 1.3 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 11 or 9 brains, respectively) (Figure 4F). Under identical conditions, overexpressing a constitutive transcriptional repressor form of Ham containing only the N-terminal zinc fingers (ERD::Ham^{N-ZF}) also rescued the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in ham^{SK1} homozygous brains (14 \pm 2.3 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 9 brains) (Figure 4D and F). These results indicate that Ham functions through the N-terminal zinc finger to repress the transcription of genes that can trigger INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts.

Finally, we tested if the N-terminal zinc finger of Ham mediates target gene recognition. We misexpressed a constitutive transcriptional activator form of Ham containing only the N-terminal zinc-finger motif (VP16::Ham^{N-ZF}) (*Figure 4D*). We found that VP16::Ham^{N-ZF} misexpression in type II neuroblasts was sufficient to induce supernumerary neuroblast formation (17.1 \pm 4.7 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 10 brains) (*Figure 4G*). Because VP16::Ham^{N-ZF} can exert a dominant-negative effect, we conclude that Ham suppresses INP reversion by recognizing target genes through its N-terminal zinc-finger motif and repressing their transcription.



Figure 4. Ham suppresses INP reversion by repressing gene transcription. (**A**–**B**) Images of wild-type or ham^{SK1} homozygous type II neuroblast mosaic clones. Supernumerary neuroblasts (–15 µm) in ham^{SK1} homozygous clones were always located far from the parental neuroblast (0 µm), and were surrounded by Ase⁺ cells. (**C**) Quantification of total neuroblasts per ham^1 or ham^{SK1} homozygous type II neuroblast clone. ham^{SK1} homozygous clones contained supernumerary neuroblasts but ham^1 homozygous clones did not. (**D**) A diagram summarizing *UAS-ham* transgenes used in this study. (**E**) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a *UAS-ham* transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Overexpressing full-length Ham or Ham^{ΔC-ZF} led to premature differentiation in type II neuroblasts. (**F**) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *ham*^{SK1} homozygous brain lobe that overexpressed various *UAS-ham* transgenes driven by an INP Gal4. Overexpressed full-length Ham, Ham^{ΔC-ZF} or ERD:: Ham^{N-ZF} rescued the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in ham^{SK1} homozygous brains. (**G**) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a *UAS-VP16::ham^{N-ZF}* transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Overexpressing VP16::Ham^{N-ZF} led to supernumerary type II neuroblast formation. The following labeling applies to all images in this figure: yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast. Scale bar, 10 µm. Bar graphs are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Ppvalues: ***<0.005. ns: not significant. The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 4:

Source data 1. Quantification of total neuroblasts per ham¹ or ham^{5K1} homozygous type II neuroblast clone.

Source data 2. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-ham transgene.

Source data 3. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *ham^{SK1}* homozygous brain lobe that overexpressed various UAS-ham transgenes. **Source data 4.** Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-VP16::ham^{N-ZF} transgene.

Inactivation during INP commitment relinquishes Notch's ability to activate *tll* in INPs

Our findings strongly suggest that Erm and Ham inactivate *tll* during INP commitment, preventing the re-activation of Notch signaling from triggering *tll* expression in INPs (*Figure 5A*). Therefore, we tested if Erm and Ham indeed inactivate *tll* by reducing *tll* function in *erm,ham* double heterozygous brains. *tll* heterozygous brains contained 8.2 ± 0.4 type II neuroblasts per lobe, whereas *erm,ham* double heterozygous brains contained 11 ± 1.2 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 10 or 25 brains, respectively) (*Figure 5B*). The heterozygous brains (8.4 ± 0.6 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 22 brains) (*Figure 5B*). This result strongly supports our hypothesis that Erm and Ham inactivate *tll* during INP commitment. Consistent with this interpretation, we found that Tll::GFP becomes ectopically expressed in INPs in *erm,ham* double heterozygous brains (*Figure 5C*). In these brains, we reproducibly observed small cells expressing Tll::GFP and Deadpan (Dpn) but not Ase that were most likely supernumerary type II neuroblasts newly derived from INP reversion (*Figure 5D*). Furthermore, we found that one copy of the *tll::GFP(BAC)* transgene mildly enhanced the supernumerary



Figure 5. Erm- and Ham-mediated repression renders tll refractory for activation by Notch. (A) A diagram depicting our hypothesis that ectopic activation of t/l in INPs leads to supernumerary type II neuroblasts in erm, ham double heterozygous brains. (B) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that was erm, ham double heterozygous or erm, ham, tll triple heterozygous. Heterozygosity of tll suppressed the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in erm, ham double heterozygous brains. (C-D) Images of erm, ham double heterozygous brains that carries a tll::GFP(BAC) transgene. TII::GFP becomes ectopically expressed in INPs and supernumerary type II neuroblasts (*) in erm, ham double heterozygous brains. (E) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts erm, ham double heterozygous brain lobe that carried one copy of the tll::GFP(BAC) transgene. One copy of the tll::GFP(BAC) transgene was sufficient to enhance the supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype in erm, ham double heterozygous brains. (F-H) Images of type II neuroblasts that mis-expressed a UAS-erm or UAS-ham transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. Erm or Ham mis-expression drastically reduced TII::GFP expression in type II neuroblasts. (I) Quantification of TII::GFP expression relative to Dpn expression in type II neuroblasts that mis-expressed a UAS-erm or UAS-ham transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. Erm mis-expression reduced Tll::GFP expression in type II neuroblasts before the onset of Ase expression, whereas Ham mis-expression reduced TII::GFP expression in type II neuroblasts after the onset of Ase expression. (J) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per wild-type or ham^{SK1} homozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-N^{RNAi} transgene driven by an INP Gal4. Knocking-down Notch function in INPs suppressed the supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype in ham^{5K1} homozygous brains. (K) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per erm or ham heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-N^{intra} transgene driven by an INP Gal4. Overexpressing N^{intra} in INPs more efficiently induced supernumerary neuroblasts in erm, ham double heterozygous brains than in erm or ham heterozygous brains. The following labeling is applicable to all panels of images in this figure: yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast; white arrowhead: Ase⁻ immature INP; yellow arrow: Ase⁺ immature INP; yellow arrowhead: INP; *: supernumerary type II neuroblasts. Scale bar, 10 μm. Bar graphs are represented as mean ± standard deviation. p-values: **<0.05, ***<0.005. ns: not significant. The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 5:

Source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that was erm, ham double heterozygous or erm, ham, tll triple heterozygous. Source data 2. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts erm, ham double heterozygous brain lobe that carried one copy of the tll::GFP(BAC) transgene. Source data 3. Quantification of TII::GFP expression relative to Dpn expression in type II neuroblasts that mis-expressed a UAS-erm or UAS-ham transgene.

Source data 4. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per wild-type or ham^{SK1} homozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-N^{RNAi} transgene. **Source data 5.** Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per *erm* or ham heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-N^{intra} transgene.

neuroblast phenotype in *erm,ham* double heterozygous brains $(15.4 \pm 1.8 \text{ vs. } 11.1 \pm 1 \text{ type II}$ neuroblasts per lobe; n = 12 or 11 brains, respectively) (*Figure 5E*). These data strongly support our model that Erm and Ham inactivate *tll* during INP commitment. We tested this model by overexpressing *erm* or *ham* in type II neuroblasts that carry one copy of the *tll::GFP(Bac)* transgene. We found that TII::GFP expression in type II neuroblasts overexpressing Erm was mildly reduced prior to upregulation of Ase expression, and declined further following upregulation of Ase expression (*Figure 5F, G and I*). By contrast, TII::GFP expression in type II neuroblasts overexpressing Ham was unaffected prior to upregulation of Ase expression, but rapidly declined following upregulation of Ase expression (*Figure 5H and I*). Together, these data strongly support our model that sequential inactivation by Erm and Ham during INP commitment renders *tll* refractory to activation in INPs.

Because *tll* is a putative target of Notch, we tested if inactivation of *tll* by Erm and Ham relinquishes the ability of Notch signaling to activate *tll* in INPs. We knocked-down *Notch* function in INPs in wild-type or *ham*^{SK1} homozygous brains. Knock-down of *Notch* function in INPs had no effect on type II neuroblasts in wild-type brains (7.9 \pm 0.3 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 17 brains) (*Figure 5J*). However, in *ham*^{SK1} homozygous brains, knocking-down *Notch* function in INPs reduced the number of type II neuroblasts per lobe from 128 \pm 39.6 to 36.4 \pm 11.6 (n = 9 brains per genotype) (*Figure 5J*). This result indicates that re-activation of Notch signaling triggers INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts in *ham*-null brains. We extended our analyses to test if inactivation by Erm and Ham reduces the competency to respond to Notch signaling. Consistently, overexpressing constitutively activated Notch (Notch^{intra}) induced a drastically more severe supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *erm*,*ham* double heterozygous brains (3,437.6 \pm 586.8 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 9 brains) than in *erm* or *ham* single heterozygous brains (687 \pm 134.3 vs. 588.6 \pm 77.6 type II neuroblasts per lobe; n = 9 or 11 brains, respectively) (*Figure 5K*). Thus, we propose that inactivation by Erm and Ham during INP commitment renders *tll* refractory to Notch signaling in INPs (*Figure 5A*).

Inactivation by Hdac3 relinquishes Notch's ability to activate tll in INPs

To prevent Notch signaling from activating *tll* in INPs, Erm and Ham must function through chromatin-modifying proteins. We first tested if Erm and Ham inactivate *tll* by promoting sequential chromatin changes during INP commitment. We overexpressed full-length Ham or Ham^{$\Delta C-ZF$} driven by *Erm-Gal4(III)* in *erm*-null brains. *erm*-null brains alone contained 1824.6 ± 520.7 type II neuroblasts per lobe (n = 13 brains) (*Figure 6A* and *Figure 6—figure supplement 1A*). Overexpressing full-length Ham or Ham^{$\Delta C-ZF$} strongly suppressed the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *erm*-null brains (34.5 ± 8.3 vs. 64.6 ± 18.3 neuroblasts per lobe; n = 13 or 7 brains, respectively) (*Figure 6A* and *Figure 6—figure supplement 1B*). This result indicates that Ham over-expression can replace endogenous Erm function to suppress INP reversion, suggesting that these two transcriptional repressors function through an identical chromatin-modifying protein to inactivate *tll* during INP commitment.

We predicted that decreasing the activity of a chromatin-modifying protein required for Hammediated gene inactivation during INP commitment should enhance the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *ham* heterozygous brains. We knocked-down the function of genes known to contribute to the inactivation of gene transcription in *ham* heterozygous brains. Reducing the activity of PRC2 or heterochromatin protein 1, as well as decreasing the recruitment of Heterochromatin Protein 1, had no effect on the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *ham* heterozygous brains (*Figure 6B*). While reducing the activity of nucleosome remodelers alone led to a mild supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype, it did not further enhance the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *ham* heterozygous brains (*Figure 6C*). By contrast, reducing the activity of histone deacetylase 3 (Hdac3) alone resulted in a mild supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype and significantly enhanced the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in *ham* heterozygous brains (*Figure 6C*). These results led us to conclude that Ham likely functions through Hdac3 to prevent



Figure 6. Erm and Ham function through Hdac3 to prevent INPs from reverting to type II neuroblasts. (A) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-ham transgene driven by an INP Gal4. Overexpressing full-length Ham or Ham $^{\Delta C-ZF}$ in INPs suppressed the supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype in erm-null brains. (B) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per ham heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-RNAi transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Reducing activity of the indicated chromatin complex did not increase INP reversion into supernumerary type II neuroblasts in ham heterozygous brains. (C) Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per ham heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Reducing Hdac3 activity increased INP reversion into supernumerary type Il neuroblasts in ham heterozygous brains. (D) Quantification of total type Il neuroblasts per erm heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAShdac3^{RNAi} transgene driven by a type II neuroblast Gal4. Reducing Hdac3 activity increased INP reversion into supernumerary type II neuroblasts in erm heterozygous brains. (E-F) Images of tll::GFP(Bac) brains that overexpressed a UAS-hdac3^{RNAi} transgene driven by a pan-neuroblast Gal4. Reducing Hdac3 activity in type II neuroblasts led to ectopic TII::GFP expression in immature INPs and INPs. (G) Quantification of TII::GFP expression relative to Dpn expression in INPs derived from type II neuroblasts that overexpressed a UAS-hdac3^{RNAi} transgene. Reducing Hdac3 activity in type II neuroblasts led to ectopic TII::GFP expression in INPs. The following labeling is applicable to all panels of images in this figure: yellow dashed line encircles a type II neuroblast lineage; white arrow: type II neuroblast; white arrowhead: Ase⁻ immature INP; yellow arrow: Ase⁺ immature INP; yellow arrowhead: INP. Bar graphs are represented as mean ± standard deviation. p-values: **<0.05, ***<0.005. ns: not significant. The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Source data 1. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-ham transgene.

Source data 2. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per ham heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed various UAS transgenes.

Source data 3. Quantification of total type II neuroblasts per erm heterozygous brain lobe that overexpressed a UAS-hdac3^{RNAi} transgene.

Source data 4. Quantification of TII::GFP expression relative to Dpn expression in INPs derived from type II neuroblasts that overexpressed a UAShdac3^{RNAi} transgene.

Figure supplement 1. Ham overexpression in Ase⁺ immature INPs suppressed INP reversion in *erm-null* brains.

INP reversion. We next tested if Erm also functions through Hdac3 to prevent INP reversion. erm heterozygous brains alone did not display a supernumerary type II neuroblast phenotype (Figure 6D). Knocking-down hdac3 function in erm heterozygous brains led to a more than threefold increase in supernumerary type II neuroblasts as compared to wild-type brains (Figure 6D). These data strongly support a model that Hdac3 functions together with Erm and Ham to silence tll during INP commitment.

We tested if *hdac3* is indeed required for silencing *tll* during INP commitment by overexpressing a *UAS-hdac3*^{*RNAi*} transgene in type II neuroblasts. Consistent with our hypothesis, knock down of *hdac3* function in type II neuroblasts and their progeny led to ectopic TII::GFP expression in immature INPs and INPs (*Figure 6E–G*). These results confirmed that Hdac3 is required for inactivating *tll* during INP commitment. Thus, we conclude that Erm and Ham likely function through Hdac3 to prevent INP from reverting to supernumerary type II neuroblasts by continually inactivating *tll*.

Discussion

The expansion of OSVZ neural stem cells, which indirectly produce neurons by initially generating intermediate progenitors, drives the evolution of lissencephalic brains to gyrencephalic brains (*Cárdenas and Borrell, 2020; Delaunay et al., 2017; Di Lullo and Kriegstein, 2017*). Recent studies have revealed important insights into genes and cell biological changes that lead to the formation of OSVZ neural stem cells (*Fujita et al., 2020; Namba et al., 2020*). However, the mechanisms controlling the functional identity of OSVZ neural stem cells, including the competency to generate intermediate progenitors, remain unknown. In this study, we have provided compelling evidence demonstrating that TII is necessary and sufficient for the maintenance of an undifferentiated state and the competency to generate intermediate progenitors in type II neuroblasts (*Figure 7*). We also showed that two sequentially activated transcriptional repressors, Erm and Ham, likely function through Hdac3 to silence *tll* during INP commitment ensuring normal indirect neurogenesis in larval brains. We propose that continual inactivation of stem cell functional identity genes by histone deacetylation allows intermediate progenitors to stably commit to generating sufficient and diverse differentiated cells during neurogenesis.



Figure 7. A proposed model for the regulation of type II neuroblast functionality. We propose that Erm and Ham recruits Hdac3 to silence *tll* during INP commitment, preventing re-activation of Notch signaling in INPs from triggering TII expression in wild-type brains. The *tll* locus remains in an activatable state in *erm-* or *ham-*null brains, and re-activation of Notch signaling in INPs triggers aberrant TII expression driving INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts.

Regulation of the maintenance of an undifferentiated state and the competency to generate intermediate progenitors in neural stem cells

Stem cell functional identities encompass the maintenance of an undifferentiated state and other unique functional features, such as the competency to generate intermediate progenitors. Because genetic manipulation of Notch signaling perturbs the regulation of differentiation during asymmetric stem cell division (*Imayoshi et al., 2010; Kageyama et al., 2008*), the role of Notch in regulating other stem cell functions remains poorly understood. In the fly type II neuroblast lineage, overexpressing Notch^{intra} or the downstream transcriptional repressors Dpn, E(spl)mγ, and Klumpfuss (Klu) induces the formation of supernumerary type II neuroblasts at the expense of generating immature INPs via the inhibition of *erm* activation (*Janssens et al., 2017*). These results indicate that the Notch-Dpn/E(spl)mγ/Klu axis provides an evolutionarily conserved mechanism to maintain neural stem cells in an undifferentiated state. Although overexpressing Notch^{intra} but not Dpn, E(spl)mγ, and Klu in combination in INPs is sufficient to drive supernumerary type II neuroblast formation, Notch^{intra} overexpression is not sufficient to transform a type I neuroblast into a type II neuroblast (data not presented). Thus, we propose that Notch functions as a general activator of genes expression in type II neuroblasts, and specific regulators of type II neuroblast functional identities must exist.

Our study strongly suggests that tll functions as a master regulator of type II neuroblast functional identities (Figure 7). Identical to Notch, tll is necessary for maintaining type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state and is sufficient to induce INP reversion into type II neuroblasts (Figure 2B-G; Hakes and Brand, 2020). Uniquely, high levels of Tll is sufficient to molecularly transform greater than 60% of type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts (Figure 2H-M). Brain regionalization leads to distinct degrees of sensitivity to TII overexpression. For example, type I neuroblasts in the dorsal-anterior region of the brain are resistant to TII-induced lineage transformation. By contrast, TII overexpression can transform most, if not all, type I neuroblasts in the ventral-lateral and ventral-medial regions of the brain into type II neuroblasts. High levels of TII expression in ventral-lateral type I neuroblasts leads to accumulation of mostly supernumerary type II neuroblasts and very few Erm::V5⁺ immature INPs. This result phenocopies TII overexpression driven by strong drivers in the type II neuroblast lineages, and suggests that neuroblast progeny rapidly reacquire a neuroblast identity instead of an immature INP identity (data not presented) (Hakes and Brand, 2020). Tll overexpression in ventral-medial type I neuroblasts leads to the formation of supernumerary type II neuroblasts interspersed with Erm::V5⁺ immature INPs, mimicking TII overexpression driven by moderate drivers in the type II neuroblast lineages. We speculate that progeny of transformed type II neuroblasts in the ventral-medial region of the brain can assume an immature INP identity and then revert into supernumerary type II neuroblasts. These data strongly support a model that TII is a potent activator of type II neuroblast functional identities.

A key guestion regarding the proposed function of TII in regulating type II neuroblast functional identities is how it mechanistically links to genes previously shown to control these characteristics. ChIP-seg on fly embryonic nuclear extract using the TII::GFP(Bac) transgenic protein identified hundreds of putative TII target genes that include all previously characterized regulators of type II neuroblast functional identities (Davis et al., 2018). Tll binds dpn, $E(spl)m\gamma$ and klu loci in embryos, suggesting that TII likely regulates their expression. The phenotypic effects of loss- and gain-of-function of tll on type II neuroblasts mimic those of dpn, $E(spl)m\gamma$ and klu. The vertebrate homolog of TII, Tlx, has been shown to function as a transcriptional activator during neurogenesis (Sun et al., 2017). Thus, Tll might maintain type II neuroblasts in an undifferentiated state by promoting dpn, E (spl)my and klu expression. Tll also binds pntP1 and btd loci in embryos. Similar to Tll overexpression, mis-expression of PntP1 or Btd can transform type I neuroblasts in the ventral brain region into type II neuroblasts (Komori et al., 2014b; Xie et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2011). Thus, it is plausible that tll functions through pntP1 or btd to regulate the competency to generate INPs in type II neuroblasts. These results strongly support our model that TII is key component of the regulatory mechanism that endows type II neuroblasts with lineage-specific functional identities. Future experiments to validate the mechanistic links between tll and genes that regulate various lineage-specific functional characteristics will allow for the establishment of gene regulatory circuits that regulate type II neuroblast functional identities.

Successive transcriptional repressor activity inactivates stem cell functional identity genes during progenitor commitment

The identification of *ham* as a putative regulator of INP commitment was unexpected given that a previously published study concluded that Ham functions to limit INP proliferation (*Eroglu et al., 2014*). Ham is the fly homolog of Prdm16 in vertebrates and has been shown to play a key role in regulating cell fate decisions in multiple stem cell lineages (*Baizabal et al., 2018; Harms et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2002; Shimada et al., 2017*). Prdm16 contains two separately defined zinc-finger motifs, with each likely recognizing unique target genes. Prdm16 can also function through a variety of cofactors to activate or repress target gene expression, independent of its DNA-binding capacity. Thus, Ham can potentially inactivate stem cell functionality genes via one of several mechanisms. By using a combination of previously isolated alleles and new protein-null alleles, we demonstrated that the N-terminal zinc-finger motif is required for Ham function in immature INPs. Based on the overexpression of a series of chimeric proteins containing the N-terminal zinc-finger motif, our data indicate that Ham prevents INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts by recognizing target genes via the N-terminal zinc-finger motif and possibly repressing their transcription. Our results suggest that Ham prevents INPs from reverting to supernumerary type II neuroblasts by possibly repressing target gene transcription.

A key question raised by our study is why two transcriptional repressors that seemingly function in a redundant manner are required to prevent INPs from reverting to supernumerary type II neuroblasts. INP commitment lasts approximately 6-8 hr following the generation of an immature INP (Berger et al., 2012; Homem et al., 2014); after this time, the immature INP transitions into an INP. erm is poised for activation in type II neuroblasts and becomes rapidly activated in the newly generated immature INP less than 90 min after its generation (Janssens et al., 2017). As such, Erm-mediated transcriptional repression allows for the rapid inactivation of type II neuroblast functional identity genes. Because Erm expression rapidly declines in INPs when Notch signaling becomes reactivated, a second transcriptional repressor that becomes activated after Erm and whose expression is maintained throughout the life of an INP is required to continually inactivate type II neuroblast functional identity genes. Ham is an excellent candidate because it becomes expressed in immature INPs 3-4 hr after the onset of Erm expression and is detected in all INPs. Similar to Erm, Ham recognizes target genes and represses their transcription. Furthermore, ham functions synergistically with erm to prevent INP reversion to supernumerary type II neuroblasts, and overexpressed Ham can partially substitute for endogenous Erm. Thus, Erm- and Ham-mediated transcriptional repression renders type II neuroblast functional identity genes refractory to activation by Notch signaling throughout the lifespan of the INP, ensuring the generation of differentiated cell types rather than supernumerary type II neuroblasts instead.

Sustained inactivation of stem cell functional identity genes distinguishes intermediate progenitors from stem cells

Genes that specify stem cell functional identity become refractory to activation during differentiation, but the mechanisms that restrict their expression are poorly understood due to a lack of lineage information. Researchers have proposed several epigenetic regulator complexes that may restrict neural stem-cell-specific gene expression in neurons (Hirabayashi and Gotoh, 2010; Ronan et al., 2013). We knocked-down the function of genes that were implicated in restricting neural stem cell gene expression during differentiation in order to identify chromatin regulators that are required to inactivate type II neuroblast functional identity genes during INP commitment. Surprisingly, we found that only Hdac3 is required for both Erm- and Ham-mediated suppression of INP reversion to type II neuroblasts. Our finding is consistent with a recent study showing that blocking apoptosis in lineage clones derived from PRC2-mutant type II neuroblasts did not lead to supernumerary neuroblast formation (Abdusselamoglu et al., 2019). Our data strongly suggest that genes specifying type II neuroblast functional identity, such as tll, are likely silenced rather than decommissioned in INPs. This result is supported by the finding that overexpressing Notch^{intra} but not Notch downstream transcriptional repressors in INPs can re-establish a type II neuroblast-like undifferentiated state. We speculate that continual histone deacetylation is required to counter the transcriptional activator activity of endogenous Notch and silence tll in INPs (Figure 7). By contrast, the chromatin in the tll locus might be close and inaccessible to the Notch transcriptional activator complex in type

I neuroblasts; thus, overexpressing Notch^{intra} cannot transform type I neuroblasts into type II neuroblasts. A key remaining question is what transcription factor is required to maintain the chromatin in the *tll* loci in an open state. Insights into regulation of the competency of the *tll* locus to respond to activated Notch signaling might improve our understanding of the molecular determinants of OSVZ neural stem cells.

Materials and methods

Fly genetics and transgenes

Fly crosses were carried out in 6-oz plastic bottles, and eggs were collected on apple caps in 8 hr intervals. Newly hatched larvae were genotyped and allowed to grow on corn meal caps. Larvae were shifted to 33°C for 72 hr io induce UAS-transgene expression for overexpression or knock down studies prior to dissection.

Larvae for MARCM analyses were genotyped at hatching and allowed to grow at 25°C for 24 hr. Larvae were then shifted to a 37°C water bath for 90 minto induce clones. Heat-shocked larvae were allowed to recover and grow at 25°C for 72 hr prior to dissection.

Transgenes were inserted into the *pUAST-attB* $M{3xP3-RFP.attP}ZH-86Fb$ docking site using Φ C31 integrase-mediated transgenesis (**Bischof and Basler, 2008**). DNA injections were carried out by BestGene Inc or Genetivision Inc, and transgenic flies were identified in the F1 generation based on their red eye color.

Immunofluorescent staining and antibodies

Larval brains were dissected in PBS and fixed in 100 mM PIPES (pH 6.9), 1 mM EGTA, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 1 mM MgSO₄ containing 4% formaldehyde for 23 min. Fixed brain samples were washed with PBST (1XPBS and 0.3% Triton X-100). After removing the fix solution, samples were incubated with primary antibodies for 3 hr at room temperature. Three hours later, samples were washed with PBST and then incubated with secondary antibodies overnight at 4°C. On the next day, samples were washed with PBST and then equilibrated in ProLong Gold antifade mountant (ThermoFisher Scientific). The confocal images were acquired on a Leica SP5 scanning confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Inc). More than 10 brains per genotype were used to obtain data in each experiment.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from control or treated *brat*^{11/Df(2L)Exel8040} larvae carrying 2*xTub-Gal80*^{ts}, *Wor-Gal4* and *UAS-insb* transgenes using TRIzol (ThermoFisher Scientific) and mRNA was purified using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. First strand cDNA was synthesized using a 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-PCR [AMV] (Roche) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA is amplified by using gene-specific primers. qRT-PCR was performed using ABsolute QPCR SYBR Green ROX Mix (ThermoFisher Sientific). Data were analyzed by comparative CT method, and the relative mRNA expression was presented.

Sample preparation and RNA sequencing

Control or treated *brat*^{11/Df(2L)Exel8040} larvae carrying 2*xTub-Gal80*^{ts}, Wor-Gal4 and UAS-insb transgenes were cultured in corn meal caps. One third of the larvae (18 hr Insb OE sample) were allowed to grow at 25°C for 102 hr and then transferred to 33°C to induce transient Insb overexpression for 18 hr. One-third of the larvae (9 hr Insb OE sample) were allowed to grow at 25°C for 111 hr and then transferred to 33°C to induce transient Insb overexpression for 9 hr. The remaining third of the larvae (0 hr Insb OE) were allowed to grow at 25°C for 120 hr. Fifty brains from each group were isolated on the same day, and immediately processed for RNA extraction. Biological triplicate brain samples were collected. Paired-end Poly-A-mRNA libraries were generated to be assayed by Illumina HiSeq 4000. Differential genes expression was based on absolute linear fold change >or equal 1.5.

Bioinformatics: RNA-seq analysis

Biological triplicate brain samples for each time point were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 4000. The samples had around 46, 45 and 44 million reads. Quality of the raw reads for each sample was

checked using FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). We align the reads to the dm6 reference genome including mRNAs (http://www.genome.ucsu.edu/) with HISAT2 (version 2.1.0) and default parameters. Alignment results were validated through a second round of FASTQ followed by quantification and analysis. For differential expression analysis, Stringtie (version 1.3.4) quantifies expression at the gene and isoforms levels and DESeq2 (version 1.20.0) performs differential expression testing. We compared transcriptional profile between 0 hr vs 9 hr, 0 hr vs 18 hr, and 9 hr vs 18 hr. We identified genes and transcripts as being differentially expressed based on absolute linear fold change >or equal 1.5.

Quantification and statistical analysis

The Image J software was used to quantify the number of cells of interest. Dpn single-channel confocal images were used to assign the area the type II Neuroblasts or INP nucleus. All biological replicates were independently collected and processed. All statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed Student's T-test, a p-value<0.05,<0.005, and<0.0005 were indicated by (*), (**) and (***), respectively in figures.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. E Lai and J Knoblich for providing us with reagents. We thank the Advance Genomics Core and Bioinformatics Core for technical assistance. We thank Dr. Melissa Harrison, Ms. Elizabeth Lawson, and members of the Lee lab for helpful discussions, and Science Editors Network for editing the manuscript. We thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, FlyORF, and the Vienna *Drosophila* RNAi Center for fly stocks. We thank BestGene Inc and GenetiVision for generating the transgenic fly lines. This work is supported by NIH grants R01NS107496 and R01NS111647.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institute of Neurolo- gical Disorders and Stroke	R01NS107496	Hideyuki Komori Cheng-Yu Lee
National Institute of Neurolo- gical Disorders and Stroke	R01NS111647	Hideyuki Komori Cheng-Yu Lee

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Noemi Rives-Quinto, Hideyuki Komori, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft; Cyrina M Ostgaard, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation; Derek H Janssens, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; Shu Kondo, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; Qi Dai, Methodology; Adrian W Moore, Cheng-Yu Lee, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Hideyuki Komori b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9764-5654 Shu Kondo b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4625-8379 Cheng-Yu Lee b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2291-1297

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56187.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56187.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Sequencing data have been deposited in GEO under accession codes GSE152636.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Rives-Quinto N, Komori H, Lee CY	2020	Sequential activation of transcriptional repressors promotes progenitor commitment by silencing stem cell identity genes	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE152636	NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE152636

References

- Abdusselamoglu MD, Landskron L, Bowman SK, Eroglu E, Burkard T, Kingston RE, Knoblich JA. 2019. Dynamics of activating and repressive histone modifications in *Drosophila* neural stem cell lineages and brain tumors. *Development* **146**:dev183400. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.183400, PMID: 31748204
- Baizabal JM, Mistry M, García MT, Gómez N, Olukoya O, Tran D, Johnson MB, Walsh CA, Harwell CC. 2018. The epigenetic state of PRDM16-Regulated enhancers in radial Glia controls cortical neuron position. *Neuron* 98: 945–962. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.033, PMID: 29779941
- Bayraktar OA, Doe CO. 2013. Combinatorial temporal patterning in progenitors expands neural diversity. Nature 498:449–455. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12266, PMID: 23783519
- Bello B, Reichert H, Hirth F. 2006. The brain tumor gene negatively regulates neural progenitor cell proliferation in the larval central brain of *Drosophila*. *Development* **133**:2639–2648. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 02429, PMID: 16774999
- Bello BC, Izergina N, Caussinus E, Reichert H. 2008. Amplification of neural stem cell proliferation by intermediate progenitor cells in *Drosophila* brain development. *Neural Development* 3:5. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1749-8104-3-5, PMID: 18284664
- Berger C, Harzer H, Burkard TR, Steinmann J, van der Horst S, Laurenson AS, Novatchkova M, Reichert H, Knoblich JA. 2012. FACS purification and transcriptome analysis of *Drosophila* neural stem cells reveals a role for klumpfuss in self-renewal. *Cell Reports* 2:407–418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.07.008, PMID: 22884370
- **Betschinger J**, Mechtler K, Knoblich JA. 2006. Asymmetric segregation of the tumor suppressor brat regulates self-renewal in *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *Cell* **124**:1241–1253. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01. 038, PMID: 16564014
- Bischof J, Basler K. 2008. Recombinases and their use in gene activation, gene inactivation, and transgenesis. Methods Mol Biol 420:175–195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-583-1_10
- Boone JQ, Doe CQ. 2008. Identification of Drosophila type II neuroblast lineages containing transit amplifying ganglion mother cells. Developmental Neurobiology 68:1185–1195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20648, PMID: 18548484
- Bowman SK, Rolland V, Betschinger J, Kinsey KA, Emery G, Knoblich JA. 2008. The tumor suppressors brat and numb regulate Transit-Amplifying neuroblast lineages in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Cell* **14**:535–546. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.03.004
- Cárdenas A, Borrell V. 2020. Molecular and cellular evolution of corticogenesis in amniotes. *Cellular and* Molecular Life Sciences 77:1435–1460. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-019-03315-x, PMID: 31563997
- Davis CA, Hitz BC, Sloan CA, Chan ET, Davidson JM, Gabdank I, Hilton JA, Jain K, Baymuradov UK, Narayanan AK, Onate KC, Graham K, Miyasato SR, Dreszer TR, Strattan JS, Jolanki O, Tanaka FY, Cherry JM. 2018. The encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE): data portal update. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46:D794–D801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1081, PMID: 29126249
- Delaunay D, Kawaguchi A, Dehay C, Matsuzaki F. 2017. Division modes and physical asymmetry in cerebral cortex progenitors. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **42**:75–83. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2016.11. 009, PMID: 27978481
- Di Lullo E, Kriegstein AR. 2017. The use of brain organoids to investigate neural development and disease. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 18:573–584. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.107, PMID: 28878372
- **Eroglu E**, Burkard TR, Jiang Y, Saini N, Homem CC, Reichert H, Knoblich JA. 2014. SWI/SNF complex regulates prdm protein hamlet to ensure lineage directionality in *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *Cell* **156**:1259–1273. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.053
- Farnsworth DR, Doe CQ. 2017. Opportunities lost and gained: changes in progenitor competence during nervous system development. Neurogenesis 4:e1324260. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/23262133.2017. 1324260, PMID: 28656157

- Fujita I, Shitamukai A, Kusumoto F, Mase S, Suetsugu T, Omori A, Kato K, Abe T, Shioi G, Konno D, Matsuzaki F. 2020. Endfoot regeneration restricts radial glial state and prevents translocation into the outer subventricular zone in early mammalian brain development. *Nature Cell Biology* 22:26–37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41556-019-0436-9, PMID: 31871317
- Hakes AE, Brand AH. 2020. Tailless/TLX reverts intermediate neural progenitors to stem cells driving tumourigenesis via repression of *asense/ASCL1*. *eLife* **9**:e53377. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53377, PMID: 32073402
- Harms MJ, Lim HW, Ho Y, Shapira SN, Ishibashi J, Rajakumari S, Steger DJ, Lazar MA, Won KJ, Seale P. 2015. PRDM16 binds MED1 and controls chromatin architecture to determine a Brown fat transcriptional program. *Genes & Development* **29**:298–307. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.252734.114, PMID: 25644604
- Herr A, Mckenzie L, Suryadinata R, Sadowski M, Parsons LM, Sarcevic B, Richardson HE. 2010. Geminin and brahma act antagonistically to regulate EGFR-Ras-MAPK signaling in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Biology* 344: 36–51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.006, PMID: 20416294
- Hirabayashi Y, Gotoh Y. 2010. Epigenetic control of neural precursor cell fate during development. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 11:377–388. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2810, PMID: 20485363
- Hirata T, Nakazawa M, Muraoka O, Nakayama R, Suda Y, Hibi M. 2006. Zinc-finger genes fez and Fez-like function in the establishment of diencephalon subdivisions. *Development* **133**:3993–4004. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.02585, PMID: 16971467
- Homem CCF, Reichardt I, Berger C, Lendl T, Knoblich JA. 2014. Long-Term live cell imaging and automated 4D analysis of Drosophila neuroblast lineages. PLOS ONE 8:e79588. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0079588
- Homem CC, Repic M, Knoblich JA. 2015. Proliferation control in neural stem and progenitor cells. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 16:647–659. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4021, PMID: 26420377
- Imayoshi I, Sakamoto M, Yamaguchi M, Mori K, Kageyama R. 2010. Essential roles of notch signaling in maintenance of neural stem cells in developing and adult brains. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**:3489–3498. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4987-09.2010, PMID: 20203209
- Janssens DH, Komori H, Grbac D, Chen K, Koe CT, Wang H, Lee CY. 2014. Earmuff restricts progenitor cell potential by attenuating the competence to respond to self-renewal factors. *Development* **141**:1036–1046. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.106534, PMID: 24550111
- Janssens DH, Hamm DC, Anhezini L, Xiao Q, Siller KH, Siegrist SE, Harrison MM, Lee CY. 2017. An Hdac1/Rpd3-Poised circuit balances continual Self-Renewal and rapid restriction of developmental potential during asymmetric stem cell division. *Developmental Cell* **40**:367–380. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.01. 014, PMID: 28245922
- Janssens DH, Lee CY. 2014. It takes two to tango, a dance between the cells of origin and Cancer stem cells in the Drosophila larval brain. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology **28**:63–69. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.semcdb.2014.03.006, PMID: 24631354
- Kageyama R, Ohtsuka T, Shimojo H, Imayoshi I. 2008. Dynamic Notch signaling in neural progenitor cells and a revised view of lateral inhibition. *Nature Neuroscience* **11**:1247–1251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2208
- Koe CT, Li S, Rossi F, Wong JJ, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Chen K, Aw SS, Richardson HE, Robson P, Sung WK, Yu F, Gonzalez C, Wang H. 2014. The Brm-HDAC3-Erm repressor complex suppresses dedifferentiation in Drosophila type II neuroblast lineages. eLife 3:e01906. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01906, PMID: 2461 8901
- Komori H, Xiao Q, McCartney BM, Lee CY. 2014a. Brain tumor specifies intermediate progenitor cell identity by attenuating β-catenin/Armadillo activity. *Development* 141:51–62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.099382, PMID: 24257623
- Komori H, Xiao Q, Janssens DH, Dou Y, Lee CY. 2014b. Trithorax maintains the functional heterogeneity of neural stem cells through the transcription factor buttonhead. *eLife* **4**:e03502. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03502
- Komori H, Golden KL, Kobayashi T, Kageyama R, Lee CY. 2018. Multilayered gene control drives timely exit from the stem cell state in uncommitted progenitors during *Drosophila* asymmetric neural stem cell division. *Genes* & Development 32:1550–1561. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.320333.118, PMID: 30463902
- Kovač K, Sauer A, Mačinković I, Awe S, Finkernagel F, Hoffmeister H, Fuchs A, Müller R, Rathke C, Längst G, Brehm A. 2018. Tumour-associated missense mutations in the dMi-2 ATPase alters nucleosome remodelling properties in a mutation-specific manner. Nature Communications 9:2112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-04503-2, PMID: 29844320
- Lee CY, Robinson KJ, Doe CQ. 2006a. Lgl, pins and aPKC regulate neuroblast self-renewal versus differentiation. Nature 439:594–598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04299, PMID: 16357871
- Lee CY, Wilkinson BD, Siegrist SE, Wharton RP, Doe CQ. 2006b. Brat is a miranda cargo protein that promotes neuronal differentiation and inhibits neuroblast self-renewal. *Developmental Cell* **10**:441–449. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.01.017, PMID: 16549393
- Levkowitz G, Zeller J, Sirotkin HI, French D, Schilbach S, Hashimoto H, Hibi M, Talbot WS, Rosenthal A. 2003. Zinc finger protein too few controls the development of monoaminergic neurons. *Nature Neuroscience* **6**:28– 33. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn979, PMID: 12469125
- Moore AW, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2002. Hamlet, a binary genetic switch between single- and multiple- dendrite neuron morphology. *Science* 297:1355–1358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072387, PMID: 12193790
 Newlos T. D´said. D´
- Namba T, Dóczi J, Pinson A, Xing L, Kalebic N, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Long KR, Vaid S, Lauer J, Bogdanova A, Borgonovo B, Shevchenko A, Keller P, Drechsel D, Kurzchalia T, Wimberger P, Chinopoulos C, Huttner WB.

2020. Human-Specific ARHGAP11B acts in mitochondria to expand neocortical progenitors by glutaminolysis. *Neuron* **105**:867–881. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.11.027, PMID: 31883789

- Neumüller RA, Richter C, Fischer A, Novatchkova M, Neumüller KG, Knoblich JA. 2011. Genome-wide analysis of self-renewal in *Drosophila* neural stem cells by transgenic RNAi. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**:580–593. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.02.022, PMID: 21549331
- Pfeiffer BD, Jenett A, Hammonds AS, Ngo TT, Misra S, Murphy C, Scully A, Carlson JW, Wan KH, Laverty TR, Mungall C, Svirskas R, Kadonaga JT, Doe CQ, Eisen MB, Celniker SE, Rubin GM. 2008. Tools for neuroanatomy and neurogenetics in *Drosophila*. PNAS **105**:9715–9720. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803697105, PMID: 18621688
- Ronan JL, Wu W, Crabtree GR. 2013. From neural development to cognition: unexpected roles for chromatin. Nature Reviews Genetics 14:347–359. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3413, PMID: 23568486
- Shimada IS, Acar M, Burgess RJ, Zhao Z, Morrison SJ. 2017. Prdm16 is required for the maintenance of neural stem cells in the postnatal forebrain and their differentiation into ependymal cells. Genes & Development 31: 1134–1146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.291773.116, PMID: 28698301
- Sun G, Cui Q, Shi Y. 2017. Nuclear receptor TLX in development and diseases. Current Topics in Developmental Biology 125:257–273. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2016.12.003, PMID: 28527574
- **Tsuboi M**, Hirabayashi Y, Gotoh Y. 2019. Diverse gene regulatory mechanisms mediated by polycomb group proteins during neural development. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **59**:164–173. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.conb.2019.07.003
- Weng M, Golden KL, Lee CY. 2010. dFezf/Earmuff maintains the restricted developmental potential of intermediate neural progenitors in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Cell* **18**:126–135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12.007, PMID: 20152183
- Xiao Q, Komori H, Lee CY. 2012. Klumpfuss distinguishes stem cells from progenitor cells during asymmetric neuroblast division. *Development* **139**:2670–2680. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.081687, PMID: 22745313
- Xie Y, Li X, Zhang X, Mei S, Li H, Urso A, Zhu S. 2014. The *Drosophila* Sp8 transcription factor buttonhead prevents premature differentiation of intermediate neural progenitors. *eLife* **3**:e03596. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.03596
- Yang CP, Fu CC, Sugino K, Liu Z, Ren Q, Liu LY, Yao X, Lee LP, Lee T. 2016. Transcriptomes of lineage-specific Drosophila neuroblasts profiled by genetic targeting and robotic sorting. Development 143:411–421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.129163, PMID: 26700685
- Zacharioudaki E, Housden BE, Garinis G, Stojnic R, Delidakis C, Bray SJ. 2016. Genes implicated in stem cell identity and temporal programme are directly targeted by notch in neuroblast tumours. *Development* **143**: 219–231. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.126326, PMID: 26657768
- Zhu S, Barshow S, Wildonger J, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2011. Ets transcription factor pointed promotes the generation of intermediate neural progenitors in *Drosophila* larval brains. *PNAS* **108**:20615–20620. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1118595109, PMID: 22143802

Appendix 1

Appendix 1—key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Antibody	anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)	Aves Labs, INC.	Cat#GFP-1020, RRID:AB_2307313	IF(1:2000)
Antibody	anti-V5 (Mouse monoclonal)	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#R960-25, RRID:AB_2556564	IF(1:500)
Antibody	anti-Ase (Rabbit polyclonal)	Weng et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12. 007.		IF(1:400)
Antibody	anti-Hamlet (Rabbit polyclonal)	Eroglu et al., 2014 doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.01.053.		IF(1:50)
Antibody	anti-Dpn (Rat monoclonal)	Lee et al., 2006a doi: 10.1038/ nature04299.	clone 11D1BC7.14	IF(1:2)
Antibody	Alexa Fluor 488 AffiniPure Anti-Chicken IgY (IgG) (H+L) (Donkey polyclonal)	Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, INC.	Cat#703-545-155, RRID:AB_2340375	IF(1:500)
Antibody	Alexa Fluor 647 AffiniPure anti-Rat IgG (H+L) (Goat polyclonal)	Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, INC.	Cat#112-605-167 RRID:AB_2338404	IF(1:500)
Antibody	anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Goat polyclonal)	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#A-11029, RRID:AB_2534088	IF(1:500)
Antibody	anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Goat polyclonal)	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#A-11034, RRID:AB_2576217	IF(1:500)
Antibody	anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 546 (Goat polyclonal)	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#A-11035, RRID:AB_2534093	IF(1:500)
Other	Rhodamine Phalloidin	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#R415	IF(1:100)
Genetic reagent (D. <i>melanogaster</i>)	brat ¹¹ /CyO, Actin- GFP	Lee et al., 2006b doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2006.01. 017.		
Genetic reagent (D. <i>melanogaster</i>)	w ¹¹¹⁸ ; Df(2L) Exel8040/CyO	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 7847 FlyBase: FBst0007847; RRID:BDSC_7847	FlyBase symbol: Df(2L)Exel8040/CyO

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ , M{vas-int.Dm}ZH- 2A w [*] ; M{UAS-insb ^{FL} -myc} ZH-86Fb	Komori et al., 2018 doi: 10.1101/gad. 320333.118.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Wor-Gal4(II)	Lee et al., 2006a doi: 10.1038/ nature04299.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Wor-Gal4(III)	Weng et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12. 007.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ , w*; P{tubPGAL80} LL10, P{neoFRT}40A/CyO	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 5192 FlyBase: FBst0005192; RRID:BDSC_5192	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ , w*; P{tubPGAL80} LL10, P{neoFRT}40A/CyO
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	P{hsFLP} ¹ , P{tubP- GAL80}LL1, w*, P{neoFRT}19A; P{UAS-mCD8::GFP.L} LL5	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 5134 FlyBase: FBst0005134; RRID:BDSC_5134_	FlyBase symbol: P{hsFLP} ¹ , P{tubP-GAL80}LL1, w*, P{neoFRT}19A; P{UAS-mCD8::GFP.L}LL5
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ w*; PBac{y [+mDint2] w[+mC]=tll EGFP.S} VK00037	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 30874 FlyBase: FBst0030874; RRID:BDSC_30874	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ w*; PBac{y[+mDint2] w[+mC]=tll EGFP.S} VK00037
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Erm-Gal4 (II)	Pfeiffer et al., 2008 doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0803697105.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Erm-Gal4 (III)	Pfeiffer et al., 2008 doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0803697105.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	tll ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS01316} attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 34329 FlyBase: FBst0034329; RRID:BDSC_34329	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS01316}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	M{UAS-tll.ORF-VN} ZH-86Fb	FlyORF	F004752 FBst0502964; RRID:FlyORF_ F004752	FlyBase symbol: M{UAS-tll.ORF-VN}ZH- 86Fb
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Ase-Gal80 (II)	Neumüller et al., 2011 doi: 10.1016/j. stem.2011.02.022.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	erm ¹ /CyO, Act-GFP	Weng et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12. 007.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	erm²/CyO, Act-GFP	Weng et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12. 007.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-erm	Weng et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12. 007.		

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	PBac{erm-flag4C(g)} VK33	Janssens and Lee, 2014 doi: 10.1242/dev. 106534.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	D ^{RNAi} : y ¹ v ¹ ; P{TRiP. JF02115}attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 26217 FlyBase: FBst0026217; RRID:BDSC_26217	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ v ¹ ; P{TRiP.JF02115}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Ase ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS02847} attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 44552 FlyBase: FBst0044552; RRID:BDSC_44552	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS02847}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ham ^{RNAi} : y ¹ v ¹ ; P{TRiP.JF02270}attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 26728 FlyBase: FBst0026728; RRID:BDSC_26728	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ v ¹ ; P{TRiP.JF02270}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ham ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8] =TRiP.HMS00470} attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 32470 FlyBase: FBst0032470; RRID:BDSC_32470	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS00470} attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Opa ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS01185} attP2/TM3, Sb ¹	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 34706 FlyBase: FBst0034706; RRID:BDSC_34706	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS01185}attP2/ TM3, Sb ¹
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w ¹¹¹⁸ ; Df(2L) Exel7071/CyO	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 7843 FlyBase: FBst0007843; RRID:BDSC_7843	FlyBase symbol: w ¹¹¹⁸ ; Df(2L)Exel7071/CyO
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ham ^{SKI} , FRT40A/CyO	This paper		A new hamlet mutant fly line
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	P{w[+mW.hs]=GawB} elav[C155], P{w[+mC]=UAS- mCD8::GFP.L}Ptp4E [LL4], P{ry[+t7.2]=hsFLP}1, w[*]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 5146 FlyBase: FBst0005146; RRID:BDSC_5146	FlyBase symbol: P{w[+mW.hs]=GawB}elav [C155], P{w[+mC]=UAS-mCD8:: GFP.L}Ptp4E[LL4], P{ry[+t7.2]=hsFLP}1, w[*]
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w ¹¹¹⁸ ; P{w[+mC] =UAS-Dcr-2.D}2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 24650 FlyBase: FBst00024650; RRID:BDSC_24650	FlyBase symbol: w ¹¹¹⁸ ; P{w[+mC]=UAS-Dcr-2.D}2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w*; P{w[+mC]=tubP- GAL8 ^{ts} }2/TM2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 7017 FlyBase: FBst00024650; RRID:BDSC_7017	FlyBase symbol: w*; P{w[+mC]=tubP- GAL8 ^{ts} }2/ TM2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ham ¹ ,FRT40A/Cyo	Moore et al., 2002 doi: 10.1126/ science.1072387.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-ham	Moore et al., 2002 doi: 10.1126/ science.1072387.		

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	RNAi of Notch: y ¹ , v ¹ ; P{y+t7.7v+t1.8=TRiP. HMS00001}attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 33611 FlyBase: FBst0033611; RRID:BDSC_33611	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ , v ¹ ; P{y+t7.7v+t1.8=TRiP. HMS00001}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Oregon-R-C	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 5 FlyBase: FBst0000005; RRID:BDSC_5	FlyBase symbol: Oregon-R- C
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	P{hsFLP} ¹ , y ¹ w*; P{UAS- N.intra.GS}2/ CyO; MKRS/TM2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 52008 FlyBase: FBst0052008; RRID:BDSC_52008	FlyBase symbol: P{hsFLP} ¹ , y ¹ w*; P{UAS- N.intra.GS}2/CyO; MKRS/TM2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ ; M{vas-int.Dm}ZH- 2A w [*] ; M{UAS-ham ^{ΔC-ZF} - myc}ZH-86Fb	This paper		Transgene expressing Hamlet mutant form of the C-terminal zinc finger deletion version
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ ; M{vas-int.Dm}ZH- 2A w`; M{UAS-ERD::ham ^{N-} ^{ZF} -myc}ZH-86Fb	This paper		Transgene expressing Hamlet the N- terminal zinc finger fused with ERD transcriptional repression domain
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	y ¹ ; M{vas-int.Dm}ZH- 2A w`; M{UAS-VP-16::ham ^{N-} ^{ZF} -myc}ZH-86Fb	This paper		Transgene expressing Hamlet the N- terminal zinc finger fused with VP16 transcriptional activatoin domain
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	cu ¹ , tll ⁴⁹ /TM3, P{ftz/ lacC}SC ¹ , Sb ¹ , Ser ¹	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 7093 FlyBase: FBst007093; RRID:BDSC_7093	FlyBase symbol: cu ¹ , tll ⁴⁹ / TM3, P{ftz/lacC}SC ¹ , Sb ¹ , Ser ¹
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	st ¹ e ¹ tll ¹ /TM3, Sb ¹	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 2729 FlyBase: FBst002729; RRID:BDSC_2729	FlyBase symbol: st ¹ e ¹ tll ¹ / TM3, Sb ¹
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ham ^{SK4} , FRT40A/CyO	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 34329FlyBase: FBst0034329;RRID:BDSC_ 34329	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS01316}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	hdac3 ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS00087} attP2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 34778 FlyBase: FBst0034778; RRID:BDSC_34778	FlyBase symbol: hdac3 ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS00087} attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Su(z)12 ^{RNAi} : y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS00280} attP2/TM3, Sb ¹	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 33402 FlyBase: FBst0033402; RRID:BDSC_33402	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS00280}attP2/ TM3, Sb ¹
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	$Su(var)3-3^{RNAi}: y^1 sc^* v^1 sev^{21}; P{TRiP.HMS00638} attP2$	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 32853 FlyBase: FBst0032853; RRID:BDSC_32853	FlyBase symbol: y ¹ sc* v ¹ sev ²¹ ; P{TRiP.HMS00638}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	$Su(var)205^{RNAi}: y^1 sc^* v^1 sev^{21}; P{TRiP.GL00531} attP40$	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 36792 FlyBase: FBti0146447; RRID:BDSC_36792	FlyBase symbol: $y^1 sc^* v^1$ se v^{21} ; P{TRiP.GL00531}attP40

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-Mi-2 ^{DN}	Kovač et al., 2018 doi: 10.1038/ s41467-018-04503- 2.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-Mi-brm ^{DN}	Herr et al., 2010 doi: 10.1016/j. ydbio.2010.04.006.		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	In(1)wm4; Su(var)3– 91/ TM3, Sb ¹ Ser ¹	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 6209 FlyBase: FBst0006209; RRID:BDSC_6209	FlyBase symbol: In(1)wm4; Su(var)3–91/TM3, Sb ¹ Ser ¹
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w ¹¹¹⁸ ; PBac {Sp1- EGFP.S} VK00033	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	BDSC: 38669 FlyBase: FBst0038669; RRID:BDSC_38669	FlyBase symbol: w ¹¹¹⁸ ; PBac{Sp1- EGFP.S} VK00033
Sequenced- based reagent	Ham_F	Eroglu et al., 2014 doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.01.053.	PCR primers	atagatcctttggccagcagac
Sequenced- based reagent	Ham_R	Eroglu et al., 2014 doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.01.053.	PCR primers	agtactcctccctttcggcaat
Sequenced- based reagent	Ase_F	Komori et al., 2014b doi: 10.7554/eLife. 03502.	PCR primers	agcccgtgagcttctacgac
Sequenced- based reagent	Ase_R	Komori et al., 2014b doi: 10.7554/eLife. 03502.	PCR primers	gcatcgatcatgctctcgtc
Sequenced- based reagent	D_F	This paper	PCR primers	gcggcggcggtcaacaat
Sequenced- based reagent	D_R	This paper	PCR primers	tgcggcgtacagcgaagggt
Sequenced- based reagent	Erm_F	Eroglu et al., 2014 doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.01.053.	PCR primers	gttacggccaggcatcgggtcaa
Sequenced- based reagent	Erm_R	Eroglu et al., 2014 doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.01.053.	PCR primers	gggccaggcgggattactcgtctc
Sequenced- based reagent	PntP1_F	<i>Komori et al.,</i> 2014b doi: 10.7554/eLife. 03502.	PCR primers	ggcagtacgggcagcaccac
Sequenced- based reagent	PntP1_R	<i>Komori et al.,</i> 2014b doi: 10.7554/eLife. 03502.	PCR primers	ctcaacgcccccaccagatt

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequenced- based reagent	Dpn_F	Komori et al., 2014b doi: 10.7554/eLife. 03502. Komori et al., 2014b	PCR primers	catcatgccgaacacaggtt
Sequenced- based reagent	Dpn_R	Komori et al., 2014b	PCR primers	gaagattggccggaactgag
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST-ham ^{AC-ZF} - myc-attB (plasmid)	This paper		Plasmid DNA of a transgene expressing Hamlet mutant form of the C-terminal zinc finger deletion version
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST-ERD::ham ^{N-} ZF_ myc-attB (plasmid)	This paper		Plasmid DNA of a transgene expressing Hamlet the N-terminal zinc finger fused with ERD transcriptional repression domain
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST-VP16::ham ^{N-} ZF_ myc-attB (plasmid)	This paper		Plasmid DNA of a transgene expressing Hamlet the N-terminal zinc finger fused with VP16 transcriptional activatoin domain
Software, algorithm	LAS AF	Leica Microsystems	RRID:SCR_013673	
Software, algorithm	ImageJ 1.50 g	National Institute of Health	RRID:SCR_003070	