

OPEN

Carbohydrate Intake in the Etiology of Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis

Simon S. M. Chan, MB BChir, PhD,^{1,2} Robert Luben, BSc,³ Fiona van Schaik, MD, PhD,⁴ Bas Oldenburg, MD, PhD,⁴ H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita, MD, PhD,^{4,5,6} Göran Hallmans, MD, PhD,⁷ Pontus Karling, PhD,⁸ Stefan Lindgren, MD, PhD,⁹ Olof Grip, MD, PhD,⁹ Timothy Key, DPhil,¹⁰ Francesca L. Crowe, PhD,¹⁰ Manuela M. Bergmann, PhD,¹¹ Kim Overvad, PhD,¹² Domenico Palli, MD, MPH,¹³ Giovanna Masala, MD, PH,¹³ Kay-Tee Khaw, MB BChir,³ Antoine Racine, MD, MSc,^{14,15,16} Franck Carbonnel, MD, PhD,^{14,15,16} Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault, MD, PhD,^{14,15} Anja Olsen, PhD, MSc,¹⁷ Anne Tjonneland, PhD, DMSc,¹⁷ Rudolf Kaaks, PhD,¹⁸ Rosario Tumino, MD, MSc,¹⁹ Antonia Trichopoulou, MD, PhD,²⁰ and Andrew R. Hart, MB ChB, MD^{1,2}

Background: Diet may have a role in the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease. In previous studies, the associations between increased intakes of carbohydrates, sugar, starch, and inflammatory bowel disease are inconsistent. However, few prospective studies have investigated the associations between these macronutrients and incident Crohn's disease (CD) or ulcerative colitis (UC).

Methods: A total of 401,326 men and women were recruited between 1991 and 1998. At recruitment, dietary intakes of carbohydrate, sugar, and starch were measured using validated food frequency questionnaires. The cohort was monitored identifying participants who developed incident CD or UC. Cases were matched with 4 controls, and odds ratios were calculated for quintiles of total carbohydrate, sugar, and starch intakes adjusted for total energy intake, body mass index, and smoking.

Results: One hundred ten participants developed CD, and 244 participants developed UC during follow-up. The adjusted odds ratio for the highest versus the lowest quintiles of total carbohydrate intake for CD was 0.87, 95% CI = 0.24 to 3.12 and for UC 1.46, 95% CI = 0.62 to 3.46, with no significant trends across quintiles for either (CD, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.70$; UC, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.41$). Similarly, no associations were observed with intakes of total sugar (CD, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.50$; UC, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.71$) or starch (CD, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.69$; UC, $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.17$).

Conclusions: The lack of associations with these nutrients is in agreement with many case-control studies that have not identified associations with CD or UC. As there is biological plausibility for how specific carbohydrates could have an etiological role in inflammatory bowel disease, future epidemiological work should assess individual carbohydrates, although there does not seem to be a macronutrient effect.

(*Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:2013–2021)

Key Words: Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, etiology, sugar, carbohydrate, starch

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.ibdjournal.org).

Received for publication May 21, 2014; Accepted July 2, 2014.

From the ¹Norwich Medical School, Department of Medicine, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust, Norwich, United Kingdom; ³Strangeways Research Laboratory, Institute of Public Health, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁴University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Utrecht, the Netherlands; ⁵National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands; ⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Nutritional Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ⁸Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, GI Unit, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ⁹Department of Clinical Sciences, University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden; ¹⁰Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ¹¹Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition, Potsdam, Germany; ¹²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, University of Aarhus, Denmark; ¹³Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Centre, Florence, Italy; ¹⁴INSERM, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, Institut Gustave Roussy, Paris, France; ¹⁵Université Paris Sud, UMRs 1018, Paris, France; ¹⁶Department of Gastroenterology, Bicêtre University Hospital, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; ¹⁷Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁸Division of Clinical Epidemiology, DKFZ-German Cancer Research Centre, Heidelberg, Germany; ¹⁹Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civic - M.P. Arezzo" Hospital, Ragusa, Italy; ²⁰WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Athens, Greece.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author disclosures are available in the Acknowledgments.

Reprints: Simon S. M. Chan, MB BChir, PhD, Department of Medicine, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom (e-mail: simon.chan@uea.ac.uk).

Copyright © 2014 Crohn's & Colitis Foundation of America, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI 10.1097/MIB.0000000000000168

Published online 25 September 2014.

Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are chronic inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) that are believed to arise as a consequence of a dysfunctional immune response to the gut microbiota on a background of susceptible genetics.¹ However, the precise etiology of these diseases remains unknown. To date, genome-wide association studies have successfully identified over 160 genetic risk loci in association with IBD,² yet, despite these successes, it has been estimated that the risk contribution of these genetic loci is less than 25%.³ This implies that other non-genetic variables may have a role in the etiology of IBD as evident by the increasing incidence of IBD in previously low incidence areas that have adopted a more Westernised lifestyle including changes to habitual dietary intakes.^{4,5} Importantly, changes in diet significantly alter the composition of the gut microbiota.⁶ This subsequently leads to changes in the gut microbiota metabolites that are produced, which may have diverse effects on host immune and inflammatory responses.^{7,8} Accordingly, diets containing differing amounts of carbohydrates may shape the composition of the gut microbiota to one that predisposes to the development of IBD. Alternatively, excess intakes of carbohydrate can lead to obesity, which is associated with increased markers of bowel inflammation⁹ and intestinal permeability,¹⁰ both hallmarks of IBD.

The previous epidemiological studies investigating the associations between total dietary carbohydrates, sugar, and starch intakes with the risk of developing IBD have almost exclusively been retrospective case-control studies¹¹⁻¹⁶ and have reported conflicting findings.^{4,17} These inconsistencies may have resulted from methodological errors in such work including selection and recall biases. In the latter, patients often have difficulties reporting their pre-illness diet, which is reflective of disease etiology, particularly if IBD was diagnosed many years previously. Only one cohort study has reported on all these macronutrients in UC¹⁸ and none for starch or sugar intake in CD. To help to confirm if there are associations between these nutrients and IBD and to overcome previous methodological limitations, we used the multicenter European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort. This investigation is the first to report the effects of these macronutrients in the EPIC study for CD and expands on the previous smaller dataset on UC that reported on countries predominantly from Northern Europe.¹⁸

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The methods of the main EPIC cohort study have previously been described.¹⁹ Briefly, 519,978 men and women were recruited in 23 collaborating centers in 10 European countries to investigate the effect of diet on the risk of common malignant diseases in a general population. The EPIC-IBD study is a subcohort of EPIC involving a total of 401,326 initially healthy men and women without CD or UC, in the age range 20 to 80 years, from 12 centers in 8 European countries (Table 1). Participants were recruited between the years 1991 and 1998 and provided information on age, gender, and lifestyle factors including

smoking and physical activity through the self-completion of baseline questionnaires. Anthropometric measurements of weight and height were taken at recruitment to calculate body mass index (BMI). Participants' habitual diet over the previous year was measured using validated country-specific food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) that were designed to capture local dietary habits and give high compliance when self-completed. These consisted of approximately 200 food items and 9 frequency categories for when these foods were consumed, which varied from never to several times per day. Using national databases of food composition that were standardized,²⁰ total energy and individual nutrient intakes including total carbohydrate (excluding dietary fiber), sugars (monosaccharides and disaccharides), and starch (including dextrins and glycogen) were calculated from these questionnaires. Participants within the extreme 1% percentiles of energy intake were excluded to reduce the effects of implausible extreme values of energy intake. In the centers for France, Germany, Greece, Italy, and the Netherlands, the dietary intakes from the FFQs were compared with the mean intake of 24-hour recall questionnaires repeated monthly over a 1-year period.^{21,22} The centers from Denmark, United Kingdom, and Sweden compared their intakes from dietary FFQs with 7-, 16-, and 18-day weighed food records, respectively.²³⁻²⁵ Most centers also compared their FFQ data with plasma and urinary biomarkers for specific nutrients such as vitamins, electrolytes, and nitrogen. Overall, there were moderate to good correlations for nutrients between the FFQs, with mean 24-hour recall questionnaires over a 1-year period and weighed food records for carbohydrates, sugar, and starch (see Appendix, Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/IBD/A554>).^{21,23-30} The research protocols were approved by ethics committees in each center, and all participants gave their written informed consent for the study.

The cohort was followed up in all centers until at least May 2004 and in some centers until December 2010 with incident cases of CD and UC that developed in participants identified by several methods. These were disease registries of IBD in Italy, Sweden, the Netherlands, and Denmark, follow-up questionnaires in Germany, Greece, France, and the Oxford cohort, and by a combination of follow-up questionnaires and hospital in-patient and pathology databases for the Norfolk cohort. For each case, physicians were asked to confirm the diagnoses of CD or UC according to information from radiological, endoscopic, and histological reports. Information on the extent of intestinal inflammation according to the Montreal classification³¹ and the confirmatory diagnostic investigations were recorded. Prevalent cases of CD and UC at recruitment were excluded, as well as participants who were diagnosed with IBD less than 18 months after recruitment. This helped to ensure that the dietary data reflected participants' dietary intake before the development of symptoms. Current estimates regarding efficacy of follow-up until 2007 suggests that <2% of the total population is lost to follow-up.

The analysis was a nested case-control one, within the prospective EPIC cohort, with each case matched with 4 randomly selected unique controls. The matching criteria were: age at

TABLE 1. Participating Centers and Characteristics of the Cohorts

Country and Center	Size of Cohort	Nature of Cohort	No. Cases of Incident CD	No. Cases of Incident UC
United Kingdom				
Norfolk	25,639	Population-based cohort of men and women aged 45–74 yr. Recruited between 1993 and 1997. Cases identified up to June 2004 from follow-up questionnaires, in-patient admission data and histopathology records	11	27
Oxford	50,070	Members of United Kingdom vegetarian societies and readers of health food magazines (78% women), aged 20–80 yr recruited between 1994 and 1999. Cases identified up to May 2004 by follow-up questionnaires	4	15
Germany				
Heidelberg	25,540	Population-based cohort of men aged 45–65 yr and women aged 35–65 yr. Recruited between 1994 and 1998. Cases identified up to June 2007 from follow-up questionnaires	9	4
Potsdam	27,548	Population-based cohort, men and women, aged 35–64 yr. Recruited between 1994 and 1998. Cases identified up to April 2007 from follow-up questionnaires	4	13
Italy				
Florence	13,583	Population-based cohort, men and women, aged 34–64 yr. Recruitment between 1993 and 1998. Cases identified from regional database of IBD up to May 2004	2	8
Ragusa	6403	Population-based cohort, men and women, aged 34–65 yr recruited between 1993 and 1997. Case identified up to end of 2010 from follow-up questionnaires, in-patient admission data and histopathology records	3	19
Sweden				
Umeå	25,732	Population-based cohort, men and women, aged 30–60 yr. Recruited between 1992 and 1996. Cases identified up to February 2007 from regional database of IBD	10	15
Malmö	28,098	Population-based cohort, men and women, aged 45–69 yr. Recruited between 1991 and 1996. Cases identified up to October 2003 from regional database of IBD	11	21
Denmark				
Aarhus and Copenhagen	57,053	Population-based cohort of men and women aged 50–64 yr. Recruited between 1993 and 1997. Cases identified up to July 2007 from national database of IBD	11	40
France				
Regions throughout the country	72,996	Women aged 40–65 yr recruited between 1990 and 1993 who are members of a health insurance scheme for school teachers and co-workers. Cases identified up to April 2008 by follow-up questionnaires	21	28
The Netherlands				
Amsterdam, Doetinchem, Maastricht, and Utrecht	40,092	Men and women, aged 20–70 yr recruited between 1993 and 1997 from the general population of 3 cities (Amsterdam, Doetinchem, and Maastricht) and also the breast cancer screening program in Utrecht. Cases identified up to December 2009 by regional IBD databases	17	41
Greece	28,572	Population-based cohort of men and women aged 29–76 yr recruited between 1994 and 1999 from 11 regions throughout Greece. Cases identified up to September 2011 from follow-up questionnaires and histopathology records	7	13
Total	401,326		110	244

recruitment (± 6 mo), gender, center, and recruitment date (± 3 mo). Also, controls had to be alive on the date of diagnosis of their matched case to ensure that the periods of follow-up for both cases and controls were similar. None of the controls had CD, UC,

microscopic, or indeterminate colitis at the time of matching or at the end of each center's follow-up period. The dietary intakes of total carbohydrate, sugar, starch and total energy intake were divided into quintiles according to the distribution across the

matched controls. Given the size of the cohort and duration of follow-up, a nested case-control design helped to ensure that all cases had a physician-confirmed diagnosis of CD or UC and that matched controls were free of CD and UC. Moreover, compared with a full cohort approach using a survival analysis with time-dependent variables, the nested case-control analysis is computationally more efficient and produces odds ratios (OR) that are similar to hazard ratios when the outcomes (development of CD and UC) are uncommon.³²

Univariate analysis was performed calculating the OR for developing CD or UC separately, according to dietary variables, using conditional logistic regression (STATA version 12 software; STATA Corporation, College Station, TX). The second analysis was performed that was identical to the first but was also adjusted for total energy intake, BMI, and smoking. BMI was divided into 4 categories: (<20, 20–24.9, 25–29.9, and ≥ 30 kg/m²), and smoking was categorized into smoker, nonsmoker, or ex-smoker. Smoking was included due to the observed positive association with the risk of CD and inverse association with UC.³³ Energy adjustment helped to account for variables that affect dietary intake such as metabolic rate and physical activity, and also helped to correct for either under or overreporting of diet. Adjustment for BMI was included as some epidemiological studies report that this is a risk factor for IBD.³⁴ Sensitivity analyses were repeated for the above, excluding cases diagnosed within 3 years of recruitment, to assess if diet maybe involved nearer to the development of symptoms and diagnosis. The quoted *P*-values for levels of statistical significance were 2 sided. OR trends were calculated using the median value for each quintile as a continuous variable.

RESULTS

A total of 110 incident cases of CD (mean age at diagnosis 55.4 yr, 72.7% female) and 244 incident cases of UC (mean age at diagnosis 57.5 yr, 57.3% female) were identified during follow-up. The median interval between recruitment and diagnosis for CD was 5.1 years (range, 1.5–14.3 yr), and for UC, it was 4.8 years (range, 1.5–15.7 yr) (data 100% complete). Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of the participants in this nested case-control analysis and the distribution of their subsequent disease. The extent of disease was known for 87% and 79% of those who developed CD or UC, respectively. For CD, the distribution of disease was predominantly colonic (32.7%), and for UC mainly left-sided disease (35.2%). Smoking was positively associated with CD (OR = 1.95, 95% CI = 1.13–3.33) and UC (OR = 2.24, 95% CI = 1.53–3.29). The FFQ data were 99.6% complete for the CD analysis (cases 100%, controls 99.5%) and 99.1% for the UC analysis (cases 97.9%, controls 99.4%).

In the univariate analysis, we did not observe any significant associations between total carbohydrate, sugar or starch intakes and the odds of developing CD or UC, either according to individual quintiles or trends (Table 3). Similarly, there were no

significant associations between these macronutrients and CD or UC, in the multivariate analysis adjusted for smoking, total energy, and BMI (Table 3). Including only those were diagnosed with CD or UC 3 years after recruitment demonstrated no associations with carbohydrates (CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.81$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.83$), sugar (CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.95$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.98$), or starch (CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.76$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.71$). This process excluded 25 cases of CD and 45 cases of UC. In post hoc analyses, as all the higher quintiles of total carbohydrates and starch intake had positive but nonsignificant associations with UC, these macronutrients were analyzed further for threshold effects, but none were found (data not shown). Similarly, given the effects of smoking on IBD and that smoking is positively associated with sugar consumption,³⁵ associations between total sugar intake in nonsmokers for CD ($n = 69$) and UC ($n = 156$) were analyzed separately. However, despite adjusting for total energy and BMI, no associations were observed with CD ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.81$) or UC ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.69$). The findings were similar in smokers for CD ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.85$) and UC ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.67$), although the numbers were small (CD, $n = 38$; UC, $n = 82$). Analysis by the disease site of CD and UC did not affect any of the associations with macronutrients (data not shown). Although higher socio-economic status has been positively associated with IBD and decreased intake of carbohydrates,^{36,37} the associations were further unaffected when adjusted for socio-economic status using education (none, primary school, technical/professional school, secondary school, and higher education including university) as a proxy of socio-economic status (carbohydrates—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.75$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.61$; sugar—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.98$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.63$; starch—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.92$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.37$). Similarly, adjusting for protein and fat in the multivariate analysis did not affect the associations either (carbohydrates—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.95$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.39$; sugar—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.90$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.91$; starch—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.72$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.13$). To account for overreporting or underreporting of macronutrients, nutrient density (macronutrient/total energy) was used in sensitivity analyses although again, no associations were seen with either CD or UC (carbohydrates—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.69$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.42$; sugar—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.50$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.72$; starch—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.69$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.18$). Finally, stratification by BMI did not show any significant associations for the odds of developing CD or UC in multivariate analyses for those of normal weight (BMI 20–24.9 kg/m²) (carbohydrates—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.08$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.30$; sugar—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.42$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.53$; starch—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.22$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.26$) or those defined as overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) (carbohydrates—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.09$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.91$; sugar—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.16$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.63$; starch—CD $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.13$, UC $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.56$).

DISCUSSION

In this large multicenter prospective study using dietary data from a validated FFQ, we did not find any associations between total dietary carbohydrate, sugar (monosaccharides and disaccharides), or starch intakes (a digestible polysaccharide) and

TABLE 2. Baseline Characteristics of Cases and Controls

CD	Cases (n = 110)	Controls (n = 440)
Gender (female, %)	72.7	72.7
Mean age at recruitment (SD), yr	50.1 (10.8)	50.1 (10.7)
Mean age at diagnosis (SD), yr	55.4 (11.1)	—
Distribution of disease (n, %)		
L1, ileal	31 (28.1)	—
L2, colonic	36 (32.7)	—
L3, ileocolonic	28 (25.4)	—
L4, isolated upper GI disease	1 (1.0)	—
Unknown	14 (12.7)	—
Smoking status (n, %)		
Never smoked	41 (38.3)	209 (47.8)
Past smoker	28 (26.1)	121 (27.6)
Current smoker ^a	38 (35.5)	107 (24.5)
BMI (kg/m ²) (n, %)		
<20.0	4 (4.2)	25 (6.5)
20.0–24.9	49 (51.5)	189 (49.2)
25.0–29.9	32 (33.7)	128 (33.3)
≥30.0	10 (10.5)	42 (10.9)
Median total energy intake (kcal/d) (range)	2117 (790–4312)	2072 (900–4795)
Daily median intakes (g/d) (range)		
Total carbohydrate intake	226.7 (73.9–511.5)	224.3 (72.9–574.4)
Total sugar intake	99.0 (36.3–260.2)	99.7 (24.7–397.2)
Total starch intake	122.8 (24.7–299.7)	115.0 (34.4–276.2)

UC	Cases (n = 244)	Controls (n = 976)
Gender (female, %)	57.3	57.3
Mean age at recruitment (SD), yr	51.7 (10.5)	51.7 (10.5)
Mean age at diagnosis (SD), yr	57.5 (10.3)	—
Distribution of disease (n, %)		
E1, ulcerative proctitis	55 (22.5)	—
E2, left-sided colitis	86 (35.2)	—
E3, extensive colitis	53 (21.7)	—
Extent not determined	50 (20.5)	—
Smoking status (%)		
Never smoked ^a	65 (27.3)	418 (43.8)
Past smoker	91 (38.2)	281 (29.4)
Current smoker	82 (34.5)	255 (26.7)
BMI (kg/m ²) (n, %)		

TABLE 2 (Continued)

UC	Cases (n = 244)	Controls (n = 976)
<20.0	9 (4.0)	40 (4.5)
20.0–24.9	101 (45.7)	373 (41.8)
25.0–29.9	81 (36.7)	361 (40.4)
≥30.0	30 (13.6)	119 (13.3)
Median total energy intake (kcal/d) (range)	2151 (907–4866)	2075 (697–5789)
Daily median intakes (g/d) (range)		
Total carbohydrate intake	237.7 (81.9–613.8)	224.4 (56.6–799.4)
Total sugar intake	107.0 (18.8–238.5)	103.4 (24.4–312.5)
Total starch intake	119.7 (17.9–426.0)	116.4 (27.4–620.4)

There were no significant differences in the intakes of nutrients or total energy intake between cases and controls for CD or UC.
^aP < 0.05.

the odds of developing CD or UC. There was no evidence of dose responses or threshold effects and none according to disease site or time since recruitment. Despite the lack of associations, there is biological plausibility to support a role for these nutrients in the etiology of CD and UC. This is based on studies reporting that diet has a dominant role in shaping the gut microbiota with one murine study estimating that diet could account for 57% of the total structural variation in the gut microbiota, whilst genetic changes accounted for up to 12%.³⁸ Potentially diet-induced dysbiosis of the gut microbiota may disrupt immune regulatory mechanisms leading to IBD susceptibility,^{39,40} although the exact mechanism by which dysbiosis contributes to IBD has yet to be fully defined. However, studies in murine models of IBD report that Western diets, high in sugar and fat, lead to gut microbiota dysbiosis that facilitates colonization of the gut by adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* and subsequent release of the proinflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha, promoting bowel inflammation.⁴¹ The second mechanism for how nutrients may influence etiology is that Western diets high in carbohydrates, including refined sugars, are associated with obesity, which is directly associated with a proinflammatory state that increases bowel permeability.^{9,10} However, 2 epidemiological studies reporting the role of BMI in IBD have conflicting results.^{34,42}

Our study design had several strengths. First, biases were reduced; recall bias for dietary intakes and selection biases, i.e., inherent differences between cases and controls. Second, assessment of diet was through the use of validated questionnaires designed to capture local dietary habits and standardized nutrient databases. Third, our cases of CD and UC were reviewed by physicians to confirm the diagnoses, and the number of cases expected during follow-up was similar to that expected using incidence data from The European Collaborative study on Inflammatory Bowel Disease (EC-IBD).⁴³ Therefore, follow-up

TABLE 3. Odds of CD and UC According to Quintile of Nutrient Intake

Macronutrient	Quintile of Intake (Ranges, G/d)	Cases	Controls	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^a
CD					
Total carbohydrate (g/d)	1 (72.9–170.8)	19	91	1.00	1.00
	2 (170.9–208.0)	21	89	1.16 (0.58–2.32)	1.36 (0.56–3.30)
	3 (208.1–242.3)	25	84	1.47 (0.74–2.94)	1.00 (0.34–2.97)
	4 (243.9–292.3)	20	90	1.12 (0.54–2.29)	0.79 (0.24–2.61)
	5 (292.3–574.4)	25	84	1.48 (0.74–2.96)	0.87 (0.24–3.12)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.34$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.70$	
Total Sugar (g/d)	1 (24.7–71.0)	20	90	1.00	1.00
	2 (71.0–90.5)	23	87	1.20 (0.61–2.35)	0.93 (0.41–2.11)
	3 (90.6–111.4)	23	86	1.21 (0.62–2.37)	1.02 (0.45–2.33)
	4 (111.5–139.2)	19	91	0.94 (0.47–1.90)	0.69 (0.27–1.75)
	5 (139.3–397.2)	25	84	1.34 (0.69–2.60)	0.76 (0.28–2.08)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.55$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.50$	
Starch (g/d)	1 (24.7–82.5)	20	90	1.00	1.00
	2 (83.0–107.2)	18	92	0.91 (0.43–1.94)	1.01 (0.40–2.52)
	3 (107.5–126.8)	21	88	1.13 (0.56–2.31)	1.02 (0.40–2.55)
	4 (126.8–156.6)	31	79	1.89 (0.93–3.83)	1.31 (0.48–3.61)
	5 (156.9–299.7)	20	89	1.12 (0.52–2.42)	0.74 (0.23–2.40)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.38$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.69$	
UC					
Total carbohydrate (g/d)	1 (56.6–173.8)	42	200	1.00	1.00
	2 (173.9–210.3)	49	193	1.20 (0.76–1.90)	1.29 (0.72–2.29)
	3 (210.5–249.4)	40	202	0.95 (0.60–1.53)	1.04 (0.53–2.03)
	4 (249.6–302.4)	59	183	1.56 (0.99–2.45)	1.65 (0.78–3.49)
	5 (302.6–788.4)	49	193	1.23 (0.76–1.99)	1.46 (0.62–3.46)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.25$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.41$	
Total Sugar (g/d)	1 (18.8–72.0)	49	193	1.00	1.00
	2 (72.1–93.4)	47	195	0.98 (0.63–1.53)	1.10 (0.68–1.80)
	3 (93.6–114.9)	38	204	0.74 (0.46–1.18)	0.62 (0.35–1.11)
	4 (115.0–143.2)	51	191	1.10 (0.70–1.73)	0.94 (0.53–1.69)
	5 (143.2–312.5)	54	188	1.20 (0.76–1.90)	1.12 (0.57–2.17)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.32$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.71$	
Starch (g/d)	1 (17.9–83.3)	41	201	1.00	1.00
	2 (83.4–104.9)	50	192	1.26 (0.80–1.99)	1.39 (0.83–2.35)
	3 (105.0–127.4)	44	198	1.09 (0.67–1.79)	1.19 (0.64–2.19)
	4 (127.5–161.4)	52	190	1.35 (0.84–2.17)	1.46 (0.77–2.75)
	5 (161.4–620.4)	52	190	1.40 (0.83–2.35)	1.73 (0.83–3.62)
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.21$	$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.17$	

^aOR adjusted for total energy intake, BMI, and cigarette smoking.

bias is probably minimal. Fourth, we adjusted for covariates including total energy intake, smoking, and BMI. Finally, our study investigated both genders to help to ensure generalizability of our findings. There were some limitations of our study as we were unable to adjust for covariates such as family history of CD or UC and appendectomy. In particular, cases with a positive family history of IBD may consume less carbohydrate based on

previous literature. However, we think that this is unlikely to significantly affect our findings as only a minority of cases will have first degree relatives with CD or UC.^{44,45}

We also used one measure of diet namely that recorded at baseline, which introduces error if diet changes over time. This measurement error would result in underestimates of any potential associations, making it possible that small associations with these

macronutrients do occur, which we did not have the sensitivity to detect. However, studies of repeated measures of longitudinal diet suggest that absolute dietary changes in adults are small.⁴⁶ Our division of carbohydrates into broad categories meant that we could not detect associations with specific individual carbohydrates, which could influence intestinal inflammation. This may be important as murine studies report that the milk oligosaccharide sialyl(α 2,3)lactose increases susceptibility to IBD through Toll-like receptor 4 signaling,⁴⁷ whereas a short chain oligosaccharide, isomaltooligosaccharide, delays the development of an experimental colitis.⁴⁸ Therefore, although there were no associations with the macronutrient groups studied in this work, it is possible that specific individual components that make up each macronutrient group could have had an effect. Also, our population had a mean age of 55 to 58 years at diagnosis, which is reflected in the clinical distribution of CD and UC, reported as predominantly colonic and distal disease, respectively, in this age group.^{49,50} Accordingly, in terms of generalizability, our study is applicable mainly to IBD of later onset.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to prospectively investigate associations between all these macronutrients and the development of CD. This study also expands our previous work, following the recruitment of 4 additional centers in 4 different countries and confirms our findings of no associations between these macronutrients and UC.¹⁸ This development led to the identification of over 100 additional cases of incident UC after case ascertainment. With exception to our earlier work in mainly Northern European countries on total carbohydrates, sugars, and starch in UC¹⁸ and that of the French E3N study, a part of the EPIC-IBD study, who reported no associations between total carbohydrates in CD and UC,⁵¹ all other preexisting studies were retrospective case-control investigations. These had the methodological limitations of recall and selection biases.^{4,17} In etiological work, it is the accurate reporting of patients' pre-illness diet, rather than their diet following symptoms and diagnosis, which is relevant to etiological work and minimizes recall bias. Recall bias in case-control studies occurs where patients diagnosed with CD or UC, some many years before recruitment, are asked to recall their dietary intake before the development of symptoms and diagnosis. However, many patients have difficulties accurately recollecting their pre-illness diet, particularly if CD or UC was diagnosed many years previously. In practice, they report their current food intake, which the disease process may have altered. In prospective studies, recall bias is minimal as all participants at recruitment are asymptomatic and report their current diet. Moreover, as cases and controls are drawn from the same baseline population, then there are no comparative differences hence reducing selection biases.

Previous studies investigating the associations between total dietary intake of carbohydrate (excluding fiber) with the risk of developing CD or UC report conflicting results.^{16,51-54} This is perhaps not surprising as carbohydrates are a heterogeneous group of nutrients consisting of monosaccharides and disaccharides, oligosaccharides and polysaccharides. A larger number of

studies have examined the associations between total dietary sugars (monosaccharides and disaccharides) and the risk of CD and UC. One study reported a statistically significant positive association with sugar and CD,¹⁶ and 2 studies reported similar findings for sugar and UC.^{16,55} However, most investigations did not find any significant associations for either CD^{14,56,57} or UC.^{14,53,58,59} Notably, earlier studies that reported significantly positive associations for CD and sugar only investigated associations with a limited number of saccharides, predominantly the disaccharide sucrose, or suggested that their results were secondary to recall bias rather than a causal relationship.^{12,13,15} Interestingly, the only investigation to find a positive association between total dietary sugars and both CD and UC also found a statistically significant association between increased risk of CD and UC, and starch.¹⁶ However, crude relative risk ratios were calculated without adjusting for energy intake and cigarette smoking.

In summary, the lack of associations in our study and the ambiguity of associations in previous retrospective studies suggest that there are no consistent links between these macronutrients and the development of CD or UC. Future epidemiological work should specifically assess individual monosaccharides and disaccharides, oligosaccharides and polysaccharides to determine if these influence the risk of CD or UC, although our prospective work suggests measuring the broad nutrient groups is now not probably required. Ideally, other cohort studies should clarify if our findings are consistent, in addition to experimental studies to explore the potential mechanisms of specific carbohydrates. Such work is important to ultimately lead to measures to prevent the development of IBD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the participants of the EPIC study.

This study was funded by The Sir Halley Stewart Trust, Crohn's and Colitis UK and The NHS Executive Eastern Region. S. S. M. Chan is supported by an NIHR clinical lectureship. The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The national cohorts are supported by the Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); Hellenic Health Foundation (Greece); The Italian Association for Research on Cancer, Compagnia San Paolo (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports, Dutch Prevention Funds, LK Research Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (the Netherlands); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council and Regional Government of Skane and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom).

Author contributions: S. S. M. Chan and A. R. Hart designed the study, recruited the centers, analyzed the data and wrote the article. R. Luben generated the master dataset, performed data entry, provided support on statistical analysis, and contributed to writing the article. F. van Schaik, B. Oldenburg, H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita, G. Hallmans, P. Karling, S. Lindgren, O. Grip, T. Key, F. L. Crowe, M. M. Bergmann, K. Overvad, D. Palli, G. Masala, K. Khaw, A. Racine, F. Carbonnel, M. Boutron-Ruault, A. Olsen, A. Tjonneland, R. Kaaks, R. Tumino, and A. Trichopoulos, are principal investigators in their respective centers who contributed to the local design, development and recruitment of participants into their cohorts. These authors generated the local IBD databases, and contributed to the analysis and writing of the article. All authors approved the final version of the article.

REFERENCES

- Sartor RB. Microbial influences in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134:577–594.
- Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, et al. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature*. 2012;491:119–124.
- Abraham C, Cho JH. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:2066–2078.
- Hou JK, Abraham B, El-Serag H. Dietary intake and risk of developing inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review of the literature. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106:563–573.
- Thia KT, Loftus EV Jr, Sandborn WJ, et al. An update on the epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease in Asia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2008;103:3167–3182.
- De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, et al. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:14691–14696.
- Maslowski KM, Vieira AT, Ng A, et al. Regulation of inflammatory responses by gut microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. *Nature*. 2009;461:1282–1286.
- Wen L, Ley RE, Volchkov PY, et al. Innate immunity and intestinal microbiota in the development of type 1 diabetes. *Nature*. 2008;455:1109–1113.
- Poullis A, Foster R, Shetty A, et al. Bowel inflammation as measured by fecal calprotectin: a link between lifestyle factors and colorectal cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2004;13:279–284.
- Moreno-Navarrete JM, Sabater M, Ortega F, et al. Circulating zonulin, a marker of intestinal permeability, is increased in association with obesity-associated insulin resistance. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e37160.
- Bianchi Porro G, Panza E. Smoking, sugar, and inflammatory bowel disease. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1985;291:971–972.
- Jarnerot G, Jarmark I, Nilsson K. Consumption of refined sugar by patients with Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, or irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 1983;18:999–1002.
- Kasper H, Sommer H. Dietary fiber and nutrient intake in Crohn's disease. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1979;32:1898–1901.
- Persson PG, Ahlbom A, Hellers G. Diet and inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study. *Epidemiology*. 1992;3:47–52.
- Silkoff K, Hallak A, Yegena L, et al. Consumption of refined carbohydrate by patients with Crohn's disease in Tel-Aviv-Yafo. *Postgrad Med J*. 1980;56:842–846.
- Tragnone A, Valpiani D, Miglio F, et al. Dietary habits as risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 1995;7:47–51.
- Spooren CE, Pierik MJ, Zeegers MP, et al. Review article: the association of diet with onset and relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;38:1172–1187.
- Hart AR, Luben R, Olsen A, et al. Diet in the aetiology of ulcerative colitis: a European prospective cohort study. *Digestion*. 2008;77:57–64.
- Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5:1113–1124.
- Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I, et al. The EPIC nutrient database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European countries participating in the EPIC study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2007;61:1037–1056.
- Kaaks R, Slimani N, Riboli E. Pilot phase studies on the accuracy of dietary intake measurements in the EPIC project: overall evaluation of results. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S26–S36.
- Margetts BM, Pietinen P. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition: validity studies on dietary assessment methods. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S1–S5.
- Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, et al. Validation of dietary assessment methods in the UK arm of EPIC using weighed records, and 24-hour urinary nitrogen and potassium and serum vitamin C and carotenoids as biomarkers. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S137–S151.
- Riboli E, Elmstahl S, Saracci R, et al. The Malmo Food Study: validity of two dietary assessment methods for measuring nutrient intake. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S161–S173.
- Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Haraldsdottir J, et al. Validation of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire developed in Denmark. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1991;20:906–912.
- Bohlscheid-Thomas S, Hoting I, Boeing H, et al. Reproducibility and relative validity of energy and macronutrient intake of a food frequency questionnaire developed for the German part of the EPIC project. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S71–S81.
- Katsouyanni K, Rimm EB, Gnardellis C, et al. Reproducibility and relative validity of an extensive semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire using dietary records and biochemical markers among Greek schoolteachers. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S118–S127.
- Ocke MC, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Pols MA, et al. The Dutch EPIC food frequency questionnaire. II. Relative validity and reproducibility for nutrients. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S49–S58.
- Pisani P, Faggiano F, Krogh V, et al. Relative validity and reproducibility of a food frequency dietary questionnaire for use in the Italian EPIC centres. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S152–S160.
- van Liere MJ, Lucas F, Clavel F, et al. Relative validity and reproducibility of a French dietary history questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997;26(suppl 1):S128–S136.
- Satsangi J, Silverberg MS, Vermeire S, et al. The Montreal classification of inflammatory bowel disease: controversies, consensus, and implications. *Gut*. 2006;55:749–753.
- Essebag V, Platt RW, Abrahamowicz M, et al. Comparison of nested case-control and survival analysis methodologies for analysis of time-dependent exposure. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2005;5:5.
- Calkins BM. A meta-analysis of the role of smoking in inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci*. 1989;34:1841–1854.
- Mendall MA, Gunasekera AV, John BJ, et al. Is obesity a risk factor for Crohn's disease? *Dig Dis Sci*. 2011;56:837–844.
- Bennett AE, Howell RW, Doll R. Sugar consumption and cigarette smoking. *Lancet*. 1970;1:1011–1014.
- Darmon N, Drewnowski A. Does social class predict diet quality? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;87:1107–1117.
- Sonnenberg A. Disability from inflammatory bowel disease among employees in West Germany. *Gut*. 1989;30:367–370.
- Zhang C, Zhang M, Wang S, et al. Interactions between gut microbiota, host genetics and diet relevant to development of metabolic syndromes in mice. *ISME J*. 2010;4:232–241.
- Gaboriau-Routhiau V, Rakotobe S, Lecuyer E, et al. The key role of segmented filamentous bacteria in the coordinated maturation of gut helper T cell responses. *Immunity*. 2009;31:677–689.
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, et al. The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. *Sci Transl Med*. 2009;1:6ra14.
- Martinez-Medina M, Denizot J, Dreux N, et al. Western diet induces dysbiosis with increased *E. coli* in CEABAC10 mice, alters host barrier function favouring AIEC colonisation. *Gut*. 2014;63:116–124.

42. Chan SS, Luben R, Olsen A, et al. Body mass index and the risk for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis: data from a European prospective cohort study (The IBD in EPIC study). *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013;108:575–582.
43. Shivananda S, Lennard-Jones J, Logan R, et al. Incidence of inflammatory bowel disease across Europe: is there a difference between north and south? Results of the European Collaborative Study on Inflammatory Bowel Disease (EC-IBD). *Gut.* 1996;39:690–697.
44. Orholm M, Munkholm P, Langholz E, et al. Familial occurrence of inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;324:84–88.
45. Peeters M, Nevens H, Baert F, et al. Familial aggregation in Crohn's disease: increased age-adjusted risk and concordance in clinical characteristics. *Gastroenterology.* 1996;111:597–603.
46. Prynne CJ, Paul AA, Mishra GD, et al. Changes in intake of key nutrients over 17 years during adult life of a British birth cohort. *Br J Nutr.* 2005;94:368–376.
47. Kurakevich E, Hennem T, Hausmann M, et al. Milk oligosaccharide sialyl (alpha2,3)lactose activates intestinal CD11c⁺ cells through TLR4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013;110:17444–17449.
48. Iwaya H, Lee JS, Yamagishi S, et al. The delay in the development of experimental colitis from isomaltosyloligosaccharides in rats is dependent on the degree of polymerization. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e50658.
49. Heresbach D, Alexandre JL, Bretagne JF, et al. Crohn's disease in the over-60 age group: a population based study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2004;16:657–664.
50. Quezada SM, Cross RK. Association of age at diagnosis and ulcerative colitis phenotype. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2012;57:2402–2407.
51. Jantchou P, Morois S, Clavel-Chapelon F, et al. Animal protein intake and risk of inflammatory bowel disease: the E3N prospective study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2010;105:2195–2201.
52. Amre DK, D'Souza S, Morgan K, et al. Imbalances in dietary consumption of fatty acids, vegetables, and fruits are associated with risk for Crohn's disease in children. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2007;102:2016–2025.
53. Geerling BJ, Dagnelie PC, Badart-Smook A, et al. Diet as a risk factor for the development of ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000;95:1008–1013.
54. Sakamoto N, Kono S, Wakai K, et al. Dietary risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease: a multicenter case-control study in Japan. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2005;11:154–163.
55. Reif S, Klein I, Lubin F, et al. Pre-illness dietary factors in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut.* 1997;40:754–760.
56. Maconi G, Ardizzone S, Cucino C, et al. Pre-illness changes in dietary habits and diet as a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2010;16:4297–4304.
57. Thornton JR, Emmett PM, Heaton KW. Diet and Crohn's disease: characteristics of the pre-illness diet. *Br Med J.* 1979;2:762–764.
58. Kurata JH. Dietary and other risk factors of ulcerative colitis. A case-control study in Japan. Epidemiology Group of the Research Committee of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Japan. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 1994;19:166–171.
59. Bernstein CN, Rawsthorne P, Cheang M, et al. A population-based case control study of potential risk factors for IBD. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2006;101:993–1002.