



Article Bacterial Diversity and Potential Functions in Response to Long-Term Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Semiarid Loess Plateau

Aixia Xu^{1,2}, Lingling Li^{1,2,*}, Junhong Xie^{1,2}, Renzhi Zhang^{1,3}, Zhuzhu Luo^{1,3}, Liqun Cai^{1,3}, Chang Liu¹, Linlin Wang^{1,2}, Sumera Anwar⁴ and Yuji Jiang⁵

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Aridland Crop Science, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou 730070, China
- ² College of Agronomy, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou 730070, China
- ³ College of Resource and Environment, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou 730070, China
- ⁴ Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Lahore 54000, Pakistan
- ⁵ State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China
- * Correspondence: lill@gsau.edu.cn

Abstract: Bacterial diversity and its functions are essential to soil health. N fertilization changes bacterial communities and interferes with the soil biogeochemical N cycle. In this study, bacterial community and soil physicochemical properties were studied in 2018 after applying N fertilizers (0, $52.5, 105, 157.5, and 210 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ for a long (2003–2018) and a short (2003–2004) duration in a wheat field on the Loess Plateau of China. Soil bacteria were determined using 16S rRNA Illumina-MiSeq®, and the prediction function was analyzed through PICRUSt. The study showed that N fertilizer significantly changed the diversity and abundance of bacterial communities. The phyla Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi were most abundant, accounting for 74-80% of the bacterial community abundance. The optimum rates of N fertilizer application (N105) maintain soil health by promoting soil microbial diversity and abundance. The bacterial population abundance was higher after short-term N application than after N application for a long duration and lowest with the high N-fertilizer treatment (N210). High N enrichment led to more heterotrophic N-fixing microorganisms (Alphaproteobacteria), in which metabolism and genetic information processing dominated, while cellular processes, genetic information processing, metabolism, and organismal systems were the main functional categories under low N. The phyla Gemmatimonadetes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Chloroflexi were the key bacteria in the co-occurrence network. The genus Saccharimonadales of the superphylum Patescibacteria has a more significant impact under low N treatment. Long-term N fertilization affected the soil pH, NO₃-N, and other physicochemical properties, and soil NO₃-N was the highest indicator, contributing 81% of the bacterial community function under different N fertilizer treatments.

Keywords: N fertilizer; microbial community; soil bacteria; high-throughput sequencing; PICRUSt

1. Introduction

Microorganisms are the most active part of the soil ecosystem as they transform and recycle soil nutrients [1] by the decomposition of soil organic matter [2]. Microbial community diversity and composition are indicators of soil fertility and health [3]. Microbial diversity is crucial for maintaining soil quality [4]; in particular, high bacterial diversity is essential for sustainable agriculture [5] because of their role in nutrient cycling, disease suppression, and plant growth [6].

The bacterial community is particularly sensitive to changes in the soil environment [7]. Therefore, the diversity and structure of bacterial communities can be used to assess soil quality [8] and changes in soil nutrients [9]. Researchers found that *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Acidobacteria* are the main bacterial phyla [10,11], and *Agrobacterium*, *Alcaligenes*, and *Arthrobacter* are the most important bacterial genera in the soil [12].



Citation: Xu, A.; Li, L.; Xie, J.; Zhang, R.; Luo, Z.; Cai, L.; Liu, C.; Wang, L.; Anwar, S.; Jiang, Y. Bacterial Diversity and Potential Functions in Response to Long-Term Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Semiarid Loess Plateau. *Microorganisms* **2022**, *10*, 1579. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms10081579

Academic Editor: Dov Prusky

Received: 16 July 2022 Accepted: 3 August 2022 Published: 5 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Extensive agricultural production with high inputs of chemical fertilizers has threatened soil quality [13]. Nitrogen (N) is the main component of nucleic acid and protein and also a key nutrient for all biological communities [14]. Therefore, N is the most extensively used fertilizer for farmland ecosystems; its input is expected to be 112 million tons in 2022 [15,16].

N fertilization can effectively increase soil N content, thereby promoting the growth and reproduction of soil microorganisms [17]. However, long-term N fertilization and high N levels could change microbial community structure and activity, which in turn affect N status in the soil and loss of microbial diversity [18]. Zhou et al. [19] showed that long-term N fertilizer application had turned a healthy bacterial-type soil to a fungal-type soil that was prone to soil-borne diseases. Soil N content also affects nitrogen-oxidizing, nitrogen-fixing, and denitrifying bacteria and other N cycle functional flora, as well as those in carbon and phosphorus cycles [20,21].

Researchers showed that long-term N addition reduced soil pH [22,23]. Soil microorganisms are sensitive to pH changes [24], so N fertilizer may modulate change in microbial activity by affecting soil pH [25]. Long-term N fertilization changes soil physicochemical properties [21] increases residual nitrate in the soil, which can cause N leaching or loss, thereby causing groundwater pollution [26] or increasing greenhouse gas emissions [27]. However, how long-term N fertilization (especially residual N in soil) affects the soil bacterial community diversity and function is poorly understood.

The demand for sustainable land use in agricultural systems raises the need to understand plant–soil–microbe interactions and how to improve soil ecosystems [28]. Long-term fertilization experiments play a pivotal role in providing bases for decision-making related to sustainable agriculture because of its rich, accurate, and reliable data and strong explanatory power [29]. Due to the complexities of soils, the variety of microorganisms, and the limited cultivable microorganisms, long-term localization experiments on microorganisms, especially in crop fields, are still limited.

In this study, changes in bacterial community diversity were examined in wheat fields of the Loess Plateau where different rates of N fertilizers were applied for a continuous 16 years (long-term) or for 2 years (short-term). Bacterial diversity was determined using high-throughput 16S rRNA Illumina-MiSeq[®] (San Diego, CA, USA), and the prediction function was analyzed through PICRUSt analysis. The study was carried out based on the hypothesis that N fertilization could influence the soil microbial community structure, diversity, and potential functions by changing the soil physicochemical properties. The specific goals were (1) determine that continuous N fertilization over 10 years may affect the soil bacterial community, (2) characterize the bacterial community and prediction function related to N fertilization, and (3) confirm that N fertilization will change soil microbes by changing the soil physicochemical properties by changing the soil physicochemical properties by changing the soil physicochemical properties by changing the soil physicochemical problem by changing the soil

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site, Experimental Design

A mono-cropping spring wheat experiment field was built in Dingxi, Gansu Province, China ($35^{\circ}28'$ N, $104^{\circ}44'$ E, elevation 1971 m a.s.l.). The average daily minimum temperature of the experimental field was -22 °C in January, and the maximum temperature was 38 °C in July during 2003 to 2018. The annual average precipitation, evaporation, and radiations were 390.7 mm, 1531 mm, and 5930 MJ m⁻², respectively. The field experimental soil was Calcaric Cambisoll [30], also known as Huangmian [31]. The soil is sandy loam, slightly alkaline (8.33 pH), with total N of 0.77 g kg⁻¹.

A randomized block design was used to arrange the treatments, and the plot area was 3 m \times 10 m, repeated 3 times. Five treatments, i.e., non-N-fertilized control (N0), 52.5 (N52.5), 105 (N105), 157.5 (N157.5), and 210 (N210) kg N ha⁻¹ were applied in the form of urea. In the first main plot, N fertilizer rates were applied from 2003 to 2018. In the second main plot, the N treatments (N52.5c, N105c, N157.5c, and N210c) were applied for two years in 2003 and 2004. Enough K content (221.42 mg kg⁻¹ available K) was present in

the soil (60 cm layer) of the experimental field, so no potassium fertilizer was applied. Each treatment applied the same amount of calcium superphosphate (105 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), and all fertilizers were evenly spread on the entire subplot according to the treatment requirements at sowing. In all studied years, spring wheat (Dingxi 38, 187.5 kg ha⁻¹ seeding rate, 20 cm row spacing) was planted in mid-March and harvested around late July of the same year.

2.2. Samples, Physicochemical and Bioinformatic Analysis

In 2018, soil samples were collected at the anthesis and grain filling period (14 DAA) of wheat at a depth of 0–20 cm in a zig-zag pattern and sieved (2 mm) after mixing. A part of the mixed soil was air-dried for physicochemical analysis, and the other was shipped using a sealed box with dry ice for molecular analyses.

Soil pH was detected by glass electrode method (soil: water = 1:2.5) [32]. Total N was detected by Kjeldahl method. Available phosphorus was detected by colorimetry [32]. NH₄-N and NO₃-N were determined by spectrophotometry [33]. Moisture was determined gravimetrically [32].

Then, bacterial DNA was extracted using DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (No. 12888-100, Qiagen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Hilden, Germany) per instructions. The V3/V4 heterogenous gene region used primers 343F-5'-TACGGRAGGCAGCAG-3' and 798R-5'-AGGGTATCTAATCCT-3' to analyze bacterial diversity. Illumina MiSeq sequencing was used to generate double-ended sequence raw data. The split_libraries (version 1.8.0) [34] software in QIIME was used to obtain high-quality clean tags, UCHIME (version 2.4.2) [35] to obtain valid tags, and Vsearch (version 2.4.2) [36] to cluster valid tags into operational taxonomic units (OTU) (97% similarity); these were used for subsequent analysis.

2.3. Data Analysis

SPSS 23.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the differences between treatments (p < 0.05). Alpha diversity analysis (Shannon, Chao1, and PD_whole_tree diversity indexes) was used to assess community richness and homogeneity. Beta diversity analysis was used to analyze community compositions evaluated by principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). PICRUSt software was used to predict microbial gene functions [37]. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was used to assign and compare functional counts and annotations [38]. Spearman's correlation and the Kullback–Leibler dissimilarity (KLD) measure was used to construct co-occurrence networks to describe the symbiosis mode in the soil bacterial network [39]. The co-occurrence network was conditioned by the Conet plug-in in Cytoscape software and visualized in Gephi software [40]. Multiple relationships between soil physicochemical properties and distribution of dominant phyla were evaluated by distance-based redundancy analysis (RDA). Indicator analysis was used to calculate the OTU in each sample, and then the indicator value was statistically analyzed (p < 0.05) to reveal the biological species or genera.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Bacterial Alpha Diversity

Illumina MiSeq analysis showed that 1,124,670 filtered sequences (ranging from 33,244 to 49,879 reads per sample) and 901,159 reads were generated and clustered into 4613 OTUs, of which 353 OTUs, 25 genera, and 6 phyla were significantly different (Table S1). The Good's coverage index (>0.967) showed a reasonable amount of sequencing data and sufficient sequencing coverage. Therefore, OTUs were representing the entire microbial community library.

Alpha diversity indices indicated significant differences in bacterial populations among the treatments (Figure 1). The Chao1, PD_whole_tree, and OTU richness indexes were highest for the soil treated with N105, indicating that the N105 treatment resulted in higher bacterial population abundance. The Shannon index was lowest for N210 soil samples, suggesting that the higher N fertilizer lessened the bacterial population abundance. The Chao1 and OTU richness indexes of N52.5c, N105c, N157.5c, and N210c had no



significant differences compared with N0, indicating that the bacterial population was not affected by short-term N and not supplying N after a short-term application.

Figure 1. Alpha diversity analyses of Shannon (**a**), OTU richness (**b**), Chao1 (**c**), and PD_whole_tree (**d**) indexes. N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. Different letters above the boxes are significant at p < 0.05.

It was also observed that bacterial OTUs were most abundant in N105 and least in N210, and the order was N105 > N157.5 > N157.5c > N105c > N52.5 > N52.5c > N210c > N0 > N210 (Figure S1).

3.2. Soil Bacterial Beta Diversity

The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) showed that total variances by PCo1, PCo2, and PCo3 based on the weighted UniFrac were 39.7%, 20.3%, and 9.9% (Figure S2a), and those based on the unweighted UniFrac were 9.7%, 5.8%, and 5.3%, respectively (Figure S2b).

The application of N fertilizer for 16 y significantly impacted the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Nitrospirae*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Planctomycetes* (Figure 2, Table S2). The dominant phyla in the soil bacterial community were *Proteobacteria* (23–29%), *Actinobacteria* (19–21%), *Acidobacteria* (17–27%), and *Chloroflexi* (11–14%), which accounted for 74–80% relative abundance, followed by *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Nitrospirae*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Planctomycetes*, with lower abundance. Soil bacterial diversity at N105 and N210c was similar. The relative abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* in the higher-N treatments was 0.4–0.57 times and 0.92–1.15 times lower at N157.5 and N210, while *Proteobacteria* at N210 increased 0.07–0.27 times more than other treatments;

Gemmatimonadetes in the lower-N treatment (N52.5c) and no-N-fertilized control (N0) was less (0.08–0.19 times and 0.09–0.20 times) than that of other treatments; *Acidobacteria* and *Planctomycetes* were higher in N105, N210c, and N0 than other treatments; *Nitrospirae* was increased (0.09–0.32 times) by N105 than by other treatments. Furthermore, the relative abundance of class *Spartobacteria* and *Phycisphaerae* in the N105 increased 0.081–1.44 times and 0.01–0.87 times and in N210c increased 0.07–1.40 times and 0.07–0.99 times, both respectively (Figure S3).



Figure 2. Relative abundance of top 15 soil bacterial phyla for all samples. N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. Treatments labeled with 1, 2, and 3 represent 3 repetitions.

3.3. Function Prediction

PICRUSt explored the first (Table 1) and second levels (Table 2) of metabolic functions of soil bacterial communities. PICRUSt analysis identified seven functions in the first level of Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Table 1). The first level of KEGG predictive function indicated that the metabolism and genetic information processing were the dominant functions, accounting for 42% and 18% of the total abundance of functional genes, respectively. Human disease was maximum under the highest-N treatment (N210). N105 treatment had the highest level of cellular processes, genetic information processing, metabolism, and organismal systems. The heatmap clustering showed the close segregation of N210 with N210c and of N157.5 with N105 (Figure 3).

Treatment	Cellular Processes	Environmental Information Processing	Genetic Information Processing	Human Diseases	Metabolism	None	Organismal Systems	Unclassified
N0	$1.977\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	$13.644\pm0.12~\mathrm{a}$	18.025 ± 0.043 a	$0.222\pm0.003b$	$42.134\pm0.019~\mathrm{a}$	$0.32\pm0.003 bc$	0.765 ± 0.005 a	$22.914 \pm 0.046 b$
N52.5	1.962 ± 0.02 a	13.683 ± 0.174 a	17.967 ± 0.139 a	$0.225\pm0.003b$	42.149 ± 0.023 a	$0.323\pm0.002~\mathrm{abc}$	$0.762\pm0.003~\mathrm{ab}$	$22.929 \pm 0.016 \mathrm{b}$
N105	1.99 ± 0.034 a	13.555 ± 0.135 a	18.023 ± 0.11 a	$0.223\pm0.003b$	42.166 ± 0.057 a	$0.317\pm0.003c$	0.765 ± 0.003 a	$22.96\pm0.034~\mathrm{ab}$
N157.5	1.988 ± 0.026 a	13.634 ± 0.385 a	17.981 ± 0.133 a	$0.228\pm0.004b$	42.098 ± 0.038 a	$0.328\pm0.004~\mathrm{abc}$	$0.756\pm0.002~\mathrm{ab}$	$22.987\pm0.096~\mathrm{ab}$
N210	$2.031\pm0.024~\mathrm{a}$	$13.473\pm0.182~\mathrm{a}$	17.977 ± 0.057 a	$0.241\pm0.002~\mathrm{a}$	$42.099 \pm 0.024 \text{ a}$	$0.328\pm0.003~abc$	$0.751\pm0.003~b$	$23.1\pm0.038~\mathrm{a}$
N52.5c	2.031 ± 0.023 a	13.603 ± 0.164 a	18.007 ± 0.111 a	$0.226\pm0.003\mathrm{b}$	42.118 ± 0.013 a	$0.322\pm0.004~\mathrm{abc}$	0.768 ± 0.005 a	$22.925 \pm 0.052 \mathrm{b}$
N105c	2.011 ± 0.056 a	13.668 ± 0.101 a	17.913 ± 0.123 a	$0.225\pm0.003\mathrm{b}$	$42.136\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.333\pm0.005~\mathrm{a}$	0.766 ± 0.002 a	$22.948\pm0.028~\mathrm{ab}$
N157.5c	1.969 ± 0.043 a	13.735 ± 0.068 a	17.843 ± 0.026 a	$0.227\pm0.003\mathrm{b}$	42.155 ± 0.025 a	$0.331\pm0.003~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.767\pm0.002~\mathrm{a}$	$22.973\pm0.059~\mathrm{ab}$
N210c	$2.004\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	13.667 ± 0.106 a	17.911 ± 0.075 a	$0.222\pm0.004b$	$42.163 \pm 0.025 \text{ a}$	$0.326\pm0.005~abc$	$0.766\pm0.006~\mathrm{a}$	$22.941\pm0.033~ab$
ANOVA (p-value)	0.726	0.991	0.919	0.013	0.626	0.11	0.073	0.315

Table 1. The soil bacterial communities predicted functions related to the first level of the KEGG pathway as affected by N fertilization.

N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. Within a column, data (means \pm SD, n = 3) labeled with different letters are significant at p < 0.05.

Table 2. The soil bacterial communities top se	ven predicted functions related to the second lev	el of the KEGG pathway as affected by N	√ fertilization.
--	---	---	------------------

KECC Pathway	Treatment ^a									
KEGG Latilway -	<i>p</i> -Value	N0	N52.5	N105	N157.5	N210	N52.5c	N105c	N157.5c	N210c
Cellular Processes Cell Growth and Death Cell Motility Transport and Catabolism	0.025 0.827 0.969	$0.31 \pm 0 \text{ b}$ $1.61 \pm 0.02 \text{ a}$ $0.05 \pm 0 \text{ a}$	$0.32 \pm 0 \text{ b}$ $1.59 \pm 0.04 \text{ a}$ $0.05 \pm 0 \text{ a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \pm 0.01 \text{ b} \\ 1.62 \pm 0.04 \text{ a} \\ 0.05 \pm 0 \text{ a} \end{array}$	0.33 ± 0 ab 1.61 ± 0.03 a 0.05 ± 0 a	$0.34 \pm 0 \text{ a}$ $1.65 \pm 0.02 \text{ a}$ $0.05 \pm 0 \text{ a}$	$0.32 \pm 0 \text{ b}$ $1.67 \pm 0.03 \text{ a}$ $0.05 \pm 0 \text{ a}$	$0.32 \pm 0 \text{ b} \\ 1.64 \pm 0.05 \text{ a} \\ 0.04 \pm 0 \text{ a} \end{cases}$	0.33 ± 0 ab 1.60 ± 0.04 a 0.05 ± 0 a	0.33 ± 0 ab 1.63 ± 0.01 a 0.05 ± 0 a
Environmental Information Processing										
Membrane Transport	0.065	$12.22\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	$12.26\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$12.13\pm0.05~\mathrm{ab}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.18 \pm 0.08 \\ ab \end{array}$	$12.05\pm0.05b$	$12.21\pm0.03~\mathrm{a}$	$12.26\pm0.05~\mathrm{a}$	$12.27\pm0.03~\mathrm{a}$	$12.24\pm0.05\mathrm{a}$
Signal Transduction	0.409	$1.25\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.25\pm0~\text{a}$	$1.24\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	1.26 ± 0.02 a	$1.22\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$1.22\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$1.23\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$1.27\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	$1.24\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$
Signaling Molecules and Interaction	< 0.000	$0.17\pm0~{\rm c}$	$0.18\pm0b$	$0.18\pm0\text{b}$	$0.20\pm0~\text{a}$	0.20 ± 0 a	$0.17\pm0~c$	$0.18\pm0.01~\text{b}$	$0.19\pm0b$	$0.18\pm0b$

Table 2. Cont.

KECC Pathway	Treatment ^a									
KEGG Falliway –	<i>p</i> -Value	N0	N52.5	N105	N157.5	N210	N52.5c	N105c	N157.5c	N210c
Genetic Information Processing										
Folding Sorting and Degradation	0.923	$2.10\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$2.09\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.11\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.09\pm0.02~a$	$2.10\pm0~\text{a}$	$2.11\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.09\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.08\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.11\pm0~\text{a}$
Replication and Repair Transcription	0.891 0.718	5.06 ± 0.02 a 10.86 ± 0.01 a	5.07 ± 0.04 a 10.81 ± 0.08 a	5.04 ± 0.03 a 10.87 ± 0.07 a	5.05 ± 0.05 a 10.84 ± 0.06 a	5.08 ± 0.02 a 10.79 ± 0.04 a	5.08 ± 0.03 a 10.82 ± 0.07 a	5.04 ± 0.04 a 10.78 ± 0.06 a	5.02 ± 0.01 a 10.75 ± 0.01 a	5.06 ± 0.02 a 10.74 ± 0.05 a
Human Diseases Cancers Infectious Diseases	0.177 0.326	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06\pm0 \mathrm{ab}\\ 0.05\pm0 \mathrm{b} \end{array}$	0.06 ± 0 ab 0.06 ± 0 ab	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06\pm0\ \text{b}\\ 0.06\pm0\ \text{ab} \end{array}$	0.07 ± 0 ab 0.06 ± 0 ab	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\pm 0 ext{ a} \\ 0.06\pm 0 ext{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\pm 0 \text{ ab} \\ 0.05\pm 0 \text{ b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\pm 0 \text{ ab} \\ 0.05\pm 0 \text{ b} \end{array}$	0.07 ± 0 ab 0.06 ± 0 ab	$0.06 \pm 0 \text{ b} \\ 0.06 \pm 0 \text{ ab}$
Diseases	0.104	$0.10\pm0\mathrm{b}$	0.10 ± 0 b	$0.10\pm0b$	$0.11\pm0~\mathrm{ab}$	0.11 ± 0 a	$0.10\pm0~\text{b}$	$0.10\pm0b$	$0.10\pm0b$	$0.10\pm0b$
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism	0.887	7.64 ± 0.01 a	7.65 ± 0.01 a	$7.64\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	7.64 ± 0.01 a	$7.66 \pm 0.02a$	$7.65\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$7.65\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	7.62 ± 0.03 a	7.63 ± 0.02 a
Biosynthesis of Other Secondary Metabolites	0.223	$0.57\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	0.57 ± 0 a	0.57 ± 0 a	$0.56\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	0.56 ± 0 a	$0.57\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.56\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.55\pm0~\text{a}$	0.57 ± 0 a
Carbohydrate Metabolism Energy Metabolism Enzyme Families	0.761 0.364 0.927	8.55 ± 0.08 a 3.59 ± 0.01 a 3.25 ± 0.01 a	8.52 ± 0.02 a 3.60 ± 0.02 a 3.25 ± 0.02 a	8.51 ± 0.05 a 3.62 ± 0.01 a 3.27 ± 0.02 a	8.48 ± 0.05 a 3.58 ± 0.02 a 3.26 ± 0.01 a	8.50 ± 0.06 a 3.57 ± 0.01 a 3.25 ± 0.01 a	8.54 ± 0 a 3.59 ± 0.02 a 3.26 ± 0.02 a	8.48 ± 0.05 a 3.6 ± 0.01 a 3.26 ± 0.01 a	8.49 ± 0.01 a 3.59 ± 0.01 a 3.27 ± 0 a	8.58 ± 0.01 a 3.57 ± 0.01 a 3.27 ± 0.01 a
Glycan Biosynthesis and Metabolism	0.027	1.74 ± 0.01 a	1.74 ± 0 a	1.74 ± 0.01 a	1.69 ± 0.02 b	$1.69 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$	1.74 ± 0.02 a	1.74 ± 0.02 a	1.75 ± 0.02 a	1.75 ± 0.01 a
Lipid Metabolism	0.390	$2.44\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$2.44\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.43\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.46\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.44\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$2.43\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.46\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.48\pm0.02~\mathrm{a}$	$2.42\pm0.01~\text{a}$
and Vitamins	0.799	5.88 ± 0 a	$5.89\pm0.04~\mathrm{a}$	$5.9\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$5.84\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$5.87\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$5.88\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$5.87\pm0.03~\mathrm{a}$	$5.85\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$5.88\pm0.04~\text{a}$
Metabolism of Other Amino Acids	0.596	1.00 ± 0 a	$1.01\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$1.00\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.01\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.01\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.01\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$1.01\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.02\pm0~\mathrm{a}$	0.99 ± 0 a
Metabolism of Terpenoids and Polvketides	0.431	$2.40\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$2.41\pm0.03~\mathrm{a}$	$2.43\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.45\pm0.05~a$	$2.4\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$2.41\pm0.04~a$	$2.47\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.49\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.43\pm0.02~\text{a}$
Nucleotide Metabolism Xenobiotics	0.360	$3.34\pm0~\text{a}$	$3.34\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$3.36\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$3.34\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$3.34\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$3.3\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$3.3\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$3.32\pm0.02~\text{a}$	$3.35\pm0.01~\text{a}$
Biodegradation and Metabolism	0.001	$1.73\pm0.01~\text{b}$	$1.74\pm0.02~\text{b}$	$1.71\pm0.01~\mathrm{b}$	$1.79\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.81\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$1.74\pm0.02b$	$1.73\pm0.02b$	$1.73\pm0.02b$	$1.71\pm0.01~\text{b}$
Organismal Systems										
Circulatory System	< 0.000	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	0.06 ± 0 a	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	$0.05 \pm 0 \text{ b}$
Digestive System	0.455	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.03
Environmental Adaptation	0.020	0.30 ± 0.0 0.18 ± 0.0	0.18 ± 0 abc	0.18 ± 0 abc	$0.18 \pm 0 \mathrm{bc}$	0.18 ± 0 c	0.18 ± 0.0 a	$0.18 \pm 0.01 a$	0.18 ± 0 ab	0.18 ± 0.0 a
Excretory System	0.222	0.08 ± 0 a	0.08 ± 0 a	0.08 ± 0 a	0.08 ± 0.02	$0.07 \pm 0 \text{ b}$	0.08 ± 0 a	0.08 ± 0 ab	0.08 ± 0 ab	0.08 ± 0 ab
Nervous System	0.854	0.13 ± 0 a	$0.13\pm0~\mathrm{a}$	$0.13\pm0~\mathrm{a}$	$0.13\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.13\pm0~\mathrm{a}$	$0.13\pm0~\mathrm{a}$	$0.14\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.14\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.13\pm0.01~\text{a}$

KECC Pathway	Treatment ^a									
REGG Fallway	<i>p</i> -Value	N0	N52.5	N105	N157.5	N210	N52.5c	N105c	N157.5c	N210c
Unclassified										
Cellular Processes and Signaling	0.003	$5.53\pm0.01\text{b}$	$5.53\pm0.01~\text{b}$	$5.58\pm0.01~\text{b}$	$5.61\pm0.01~\text{b}$	$5.7\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$5.54\pm0.03~\text{b}$	$5.57\pm0.03~\text{b}$	$5.58\pm0.02b$	$5.57\pm0.05~\text{b}$
Genetic Information Processing	< 0.000	$4.15\pm0.01~\text{d}$	$4.21\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$4.22\pm0.01~\text{a}$	$4.17\pm0~{\rm c}$	$4.18\pm0.01~\rm{bc}$	$4.18\pm0.01~bc$	$4.17\pm0.01~bc$	$4.18\pm0bc$	$4.20\pm0~\text{ab}$
Metabolism Poorly Characterized	0.409 0.363	4.27 ± 0.00 a 8.95 ± 0.01 a	4.29 ± 0.01 a 8.90 ± 0.02 a	$4.27 \pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $8.89 \pm 0.01 \text{ a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.28\pm 0 \text{ a} \\ 8.93\pm 0.02 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.29 \pm 0.01 \text{ a} \\ 8.92 \pm 0.02 \text{ a} \end{array}$	4.28 ± 0 a 8.92 ± 0.02 a	$4.27 \pm 0.01 \text{ a} \\ 8.93 \pm 0.02 \text{ a}$	4.27 ± 0.01 a 8.94 ± 0.01 a	$4.28 \pm 0.01 \text{ a} \\ 8.90 \pm 0.03 \text{ a}$

^a N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. Data (means \pm SD, n = 3) labeled with different letters are significant at p < 0.05.



Figure 3. Clusters showing the relative abundance of soil bacterial communities predicted functions related to KEGG pathways the first level as affected by N fertilization. N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year.

At the second level of the functional spectrum of soil bacterial communities, 8 groups of 41 KEGG pathways were significantly different (Table 2). The highest N treatment (N210) showed significantly high enrichment in cell growth and death, signaling molecules and interaction, xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism, circulatory system, and cellular processes and signaling and less glycan biosynthesis and metabolism and environmental adaptation. The short-term N application (N105c, N157.5c, and N210c) showed more signaling molecules and interaction and genetic information processing compared with the non-N control. The N210 treatment increased cell growth and death, signaling molecules and interaction, xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism, circulatory system, and cellular processes and signaling by 7.4%, 16.9%, 4.4%, 15.5%, and 3.1%, respectively, compared with the non-N control. The N105 treatment increased genetic information processing compared with N0 and N210 treatment. N105c, N157.5c, and N210c treatments increased signaling molecules and interaction and genetic information processing compared with N0.

3.4. Bacterial Co-Occurrence Networks and Prediction Analysis

In the bacterial network, there were 40 nodes assigned to classes *Gemmatimonadetes* (9.1%), *Actinobacteria* (7.3%), *Bacteroidetes* (1.8%), and *Chloroflexi* (1.8%) (Figure 4). In addition, there were 25 edges in the bacterial network, and the average path length was 1.4.

Random forest importance of species (variables) points showed that the genera *Blastocatella*, *Gaiella*, *Chthoniobacter*, and *Flavisolibacter* had the most importance of species (variables) points (>1) across soil samples (Figure 5). Indicator analysis showed that genus *Saccharimonadales* of phylum *Patescibacteria* has a greater impact on the growth environment with N105 treatment (Figure S4).



Figure 4. The co-occurrence network of the soil bacterial classes as affected by nitrogen (N) fertilizer treatments. Each circle represents an OTU, which are colored by modules. The size of each circle node represents its degree. The width of each connecting line represents its weight, and the colors of the edges are consistent with the colors of the nodes (circle) to which they are connected.

3.5. Physicochemical Properties and Bacterial Community

Soil indictors (pH, NH₄-N, NO₃-N, and AP) differed significantly among treatments (Table 3). The soil pH decreased (8.09 to 7.81) with different N application rates, and pH at all N-fertilizer treatments was significantly lower than N0. N157.5 and N210 had significantly lower pH than the lower-N treatments (N52.5). Soil NO₃-N and available phosphorus (AP) increased by 15–67% and 9–19%, respectively, with N treatment. Both the continuous long-term and short-term application of N increased the soil NO₃–N, but the increase was greater after long-term application. The soil NH₄–N increased with N application over the control, but significant increases were only observed at N157.5 and N210. Soil available phosphorus (AP) increased with N application over control, and the maximum AP was observed sat N105.

RDA analysis showed that 53.5% of the total variation was explained by axis 1 and 4.3% by axis 2 (Figure 6). The phyla *Saccharibacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, and *Nitrospirae* were clustered together and positively influenced by the soil NO₃–N and NH₄–N as well as negatively related with soil pH. Among the soil physiochemical properties, NO₃–N explained 49.8% of the variation and was the most significant predictor with 80.7% contribution (Table S3). The phyla *Verrucomicrobia*, *Acidobacteria* and *Chlorflexi* was negatively related with NO₃–N and NH₄–N.



Figure 5. The importance of species (variables) points of the top 30 soil bacterial genera as affected by

N fertilization. The abscissa is a measure of importance, and the ordinate is the name of the species

Treatment	pН	TN (g kg ⁻¹)	NH4-N (mg kg ⁻¹)	NO3-N (mg kg ⁻¹)	TP (g kg ⁻¹)	AP (mg kg ⁻¹)	SM (%)				
N0	8.09 ± 0.04 $^{\rm a}$	$0.92\pm0.05~^{a}$	$11.61\pm0.20^{\text{ b}}$	$15.74\pm0.12~^{\rm f}$	0.60 ± 0.03 $^{\rm a}$	$21.52\pm1.44~^{c}$	11.63 ± 0.91 $^{\rm a}$				
N52.5	7.94 ± 0.03 ^{bc}	0.97 ± 0.13 ^a	12.05 ± 0.51 ^b	19.77 ± 0.55 ^{de}	0.70 ± 0.09 ^a	23.74 ± 0.55 ^b	11.57 ± 0.46 $^{\rm a}$				
N105	7.87 ± 0.03 ^{cd}	1.09 ± 0.03 ^a	12.68 ± 0.32 ^b	26.08 ± 0.63 ^b	$0.77\pm0.06~^{a}$	$26.66\pm0.21~^{a}$	11.45 ± 0.27 $^{\rm a}$				
N157.5	7.81 ± 0.01 ^d	1.05 ± 0.04 a	$18.09\pm0.24~^{\rm a}$	46.58 ± 0.68 a	0.77 ± 0.08 ^a	23.55 ± 0.69 ^{bc}	10.75 ± 0.50 a				
N210	$7.83\pm0.05~^{\rm d}$	0.99 ± 0.01 $^{\rm a}$	18.69 ± 0.89 $^{\rm a}$	47.35 ± 0.42 a	0.76 ± 0.07 $^{\rm a}$	$23.94\pm0.83~^{b}$	10.55 ± 0.22 a				
N52.5c	$7.98\pm0.01~^{\rm b}$	$1.00\pm0.02~^{a}$	$11.82 \pm 0.12^{\text{ b}}$	$18.57 \pm 0.69 \ ^{\rm e}$	$0.75\pm0.02~^{\rm a}$	$23.93 \pm 0.72^{\ b}$	$11.86\pm0.67~^{\rm a}$				
N105c	7.93 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	1.02 ± 0.05 $^{\rm a}$	11.37 ± 0.31 ^b	20.39 ± 0.66 ^d	0.71 ± 0.08 $^{\rm a}$	23.98 ± 0.56 ^b	11.64 ± 0.64 $^{\rm a}$				
N157.5c	7.87 ± 0.03 ^{cd}	0.98 ± 0.02 ^a	12.74 ± 0.25 ^b	$22.72\pm0.65^{\text{ c}}$	0.72 ± 0.16 ^a	25.02 ± 0.31 $^{\mathrm{ab}}$	10.82 ± 0.52 ^a				
N210c	$7.82\pm0.04~^{\rm d}$	$1.00\pm0.02~^{a}$	12.81 ± 0.55 $^{\rm b}$	$23.26\pm0.61~^{c}$	0.61 ± 0.11 $^{\rm a}$	$26.28\pm0.25~^{a}$	10.73 ± 0.25 $^{\rm a}$				
ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	< 0.001	0.557	<0.001	<0.001	0.799	0.003	0.564				

Table 3. Soil physiochemical parameters as affected by N fertilization.

sorted by importance.

N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. TN, total N; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; SM, soil moisture. Data (means \pm SD, n = 3) labeled with different letters are significant at p < 0.05.



Figure 6. Summary of redundancy analysis (RDA) of the soil bacterial phyla. pH, soil pH; TN, soil total nitrogen; TP, soil total phosphorus; AP, soil available phosphorus. N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N105, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N157.5, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N210, 210 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; N52.5c, 52.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N105c, 105 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N157.5c, 157.5 kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year; N210c, kg N ha⁻¹ 2 year. Treatments labeled with -1, -2, and -3 represent 3 replicates.

Low soil NO₃-N and NH₄-N decreased the phyla Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, and increased phyla *Acidobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Planctomycetes* (Table 4). Low soil pH decreased phyla *Actinobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Verrucomicrobia* and increased phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Nitrospirae*.

Taxonomy	NH ₄ -N	NO ₃ -N	TN	ТР	AP	pH	Soil Moisture
Proteobacteria	0.755 **	0.752 **	0.013	0.189	0.003	-0.568 **	-0.419 *
Actinobacteria	-0.392 *	-0.444 *	0.056	-0.214	-0.305	0.568 **	0.570 **
Acidobacteria	-0.709 **	-0.724 **	-0.151	-0.289	0.271	0.384 *	0.402 *
Chloroflexi	-0.715 **	-0.732 **	-0.239	-0.246	-0.116	0.464 *	0.259
Gemmatimonadetes	0.406 *	0.519 **	0.358	-0.033	0.182	-0.643 **	-0.426 *
Bacteroidetes	0.652 **	0.646 **	0.059	0.057	0.201	-0.637 **	-0.542 **
Nitrospirae	0.052	0.124	0.368	0.077	0.723 **	-0.558 **	-0.118
Verrucomicrobia	-0.862 **	-0.836 **	-0.059	-0.078	0.187	0.388 *	0.296
Planctomycetes	-0.395 *	-0.454 *	-0.198	-0.301	0.169	0.295	0.311
Cyanobacteria	-0.120	-0.096	-0.003	0.036	0.113	0.167	-0.182
Armatimonadetes	-0.220	-0.190	-0.198	-0.047	0.256	0.048	0.002
Saccharibacteria	0.658 **	0.712 **	0.378	0.245	0.182	-0.488 **	-0.314

Table 4. Pearson's correlations between the bacterial phylum and soil properties.

TN, total N; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus. Correlation coefficients labeled with * and ** are significant at p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively.

4. Discussion

A soil's bacterial community is a health indicator usually affected by multiple factors such as fertilization, agricultural practices, and environmental conditions [6,10]. Previous studies have shown that short-term application of N fertilization either leaves bacterial diversity unchanged or only shows temporary changes [23,41]. However, long-term N fertilization resulted in significant changes in bacterial community structure and diversity, resulting in a decline in bacterial diversity [42,43]. The present results show that the application of different rates of N fertilizer for 16 years significantly affected the soil physicochemical properties and the composition of the soil microbial community. However, the microbial diversity was lost as a consequence of long-term excessive N fertilizer application [43,44]. We found that the optimum rates of N fertilizer application maintain soil health by promoting soil microbial diversity and abundance, as bacterial population abundance was higher at the optimum N-fertilizer (N105) [45] and lowest at the high Nfertilizer treatment (N210). The bacterial population abundance was higher after short-term N application than after N application for a long duration. After two years of application of different N fertilizer rates and then not applying N fertilizer for several years (14 years) (N52.5c–N210c), the bacterial population abundance tends to be the same as in the non-fertilized control (N0).

In this study, the alpha diversity of soil bacteria was greatest with N105 treatment: N105 treatment resulted in greater bacterial population abundance, while the alpha diversity index at N210 treatment was lower than that of non-N control, indicating that higher N-fertilizer rate led a decrease in bacterial population abundance (Figure 1). The analysis of the taxonomic components of microorganisms showed that the phylum *Proteobacteria* (24.8%) was the most predominant bacterial, followed by *Actinobacteria* (20.1%), *Acidobacteria* (19.6%), and *Chloroflexi* (13%) (Figure 2, Table S2), and the similar trend was also observed by some previous studies [44,46].

N enrichment in soil affects the composition and function of soil microbial communities [47,48] such as increasing trophic taxa and reducing oligotrophic taxa of soil [49]. High N could even reduce microbial diversity and abundance [50,51]. The phylum *Proteobacteria* is considered as a copiotrophs [52], and therefore higher N treatment (N210) showed a high relative abundance of *Proteobacteria*. In contrast, higher N treatment (N210) lowered the relative abundance of *Acidobacteria*, because *Acidobacteria* are oligotrophs [53]. The bacteria of class *Alphaproteobacteria* are heterotrophic nitrogen-fixing microorganisms [54], and their relative abundance was highest with the highest N treatments (N210) due to higher residual soil TN [54]. In addition, there were similar soil physicochemical properties and bacterial community structure under N105 and N210c treatments with a relative higher abundance of phyla *Acidobacteria*, *Planctomycetes*, and *Nitrospirae*, suggesting that N had a significant residual effect on bacterial communities.

The PICRUSt function prediction analysis was used to determine the metabolic and functional capability [55,56]. Metabolism and genetic information processing were the dominant groups of functions, as revealed by PICRUSt analysis, accounting for 42% and 18% of total abundance of functional genes, respectively. The highest human disease function occurred with the highest N treatment (N210), while the most cellular processes, genetic information processing, metabolism, and organismal systems occurred at the medium N rate (N105), reflecting that the optimum-N fertilizer application makes soil healthier than the higher N rate. Functional genes indicate the relative abundance of certain types of microorganisms that are influenced by the biogeochemical cycles of major elements, i.e., C, N, and P [57]. Furthermore, the cellular processes of cell growth and death, environmental information processing of signaling molecules and interaction, metabolism of xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism, and organismal systems of circulatory system were significantly greater, while glycan biosynthesis and metabolism and environmental adaptation were significantly lower with the highest N treatment (N210) compared with the non-N control.

The interactions among microorganisms such as mutual benefits or competition influence the nutrient cycle and soil health [58]. The symbiosis network between microorganisms reflects the function and stability of a biological community [59,60]. Co-occurrence network analysis analyzes the key interactions between microorganisms and their responses to the environment to explore the basic principles of the microbial communities of different soil environments and identify key groups assumed in the communities [61,62]. *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* are the main representative bacterial communities that have roles in biological carbon and nitrogen cycles [57]. This study observed that *Gemmatimonadetes, Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Chloroflexi* are the main components in the co-occurrence network.

The changes in microbial communities are mainly driven by soil characteristics such as soil pH, organic carbon, and N [63,64]. Fertilizer addition changes the microbial community composition and structure by effecting various soil physicochemical properties [47,65]. Soil microorganisms perceive changes in soil properties caused by N fertilizer and respond differently [23,66]. We found that the soil pH, NH₄–N, NO₃–N, and AP had significant differences between different N fertilizer treatments. N fertilization resulted in a decrease in soil pH from 8.09 to 7.81. In addition, after applying different rates of N fertilizer for two consecutive years, soil pH was still significantly lower than that of the non-N control after no application of N fertilizer for 14 years. Studies have shown that soil bacteria are most affected by pH [66]. Therefore, soil acidification by N addition is used to explain the observed decline in soil bacterial diversity [46,50,67].

The present study showed that the low soil pH decreased the abundance of phylum *Actinobacteria* and increased the abundance of *Nitrospirae*. These results were similar to previous findings indicating that the low soil pH decreased the abundance of *Actinobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Bacteroides* caused by soil acidification [68] and increased the abundance of *Actidobacteria* and *Nitrospirae* [69,70]. However, in contrast to previous results, the low soil pH decreased the abundance of *Acidobacteria* and *Nitrospirae* [69,70]. However, in contrast to previous results, the low soil pH decreased the abundance of *Acidobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*, which might be due to the weakly alkaline soils in this field (pH ranged from 7.81 to 8.09). Since bacteria can tolerate a narrow pH range [68], they are more sensitive to pH. However, it has not been definitively confirmed whether the soil pH has a direct or an indirect effect on the bacterial community. soil NO₃–N is one of the highly responsive indicators of the bacterial community function under different N fertilizer treatments (contribution of 80.7%) [23,66]. Bacterial communities are affected by multiple soil physicochemical properties such as NO₃–N, NH₄–N, and soil moisture.

5. Conclusions

N fertilization for 16 years significantly affected the soil NO₃–N, thus changing the diversity and abundance of bacterial communities. The phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*,

Acidobacteria, and *Chloroflexi* accounted for 74–80.3% of the bacterial community abundance. N fertilization at high rates reduced bacterial community diversity and led to more heterotrophic N-fixing microorganisms (*Alphaproteobacteria*), in which metabolism and genetic information processing dominated. The relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was increased while *Acidobacteria* was reduced, and the abundance of heterotrophic N-fixing microorganisms increased due to greater residual soil TN. On the other hand, the optimum rate of N fertilizer enhanced the soil health by promoting soil microbial diversity and abundance, and the genus *Saccharimonadales* of phylum *Patescibacteria* was the biological genus that had the most impact on its growth environment.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary data to this article can be downloaded at https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/microorganisms10081579/s1, Table S1: Detailed sequencing depth results of soil samples; Table S2: Relative abundance of soil bacterial taxonomic composition at phyla level for all samples; Table S3: Redundancy analysis results of soil physiochemical properties affecting the distribution of dominant phyla and clustering of soil samples; Figure S1: Operational taxonomic unit Venn analysis of unique and shared bacteria 16S rRNA as affected by N fertilization; Figure S2: Summary of principal coordinate analysis of soil bacterial composition based on (a) the weighted UniFrac and (b) unweighted UniFrac; Figure S3: Relative abundance of top 15 soil bacterial class for all samples.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.L.; data curation, J.X., Z.L. and L.C.; formal analysis, R.Z.; funding acquisition, L.L.; investigation, A.X. and L.W.; methodology, A.X., Z.L. and L.C.; project administration, J.X.; resources, Z.L., L.C. and C.L.; software, A.X. and Y.J.; validation, L.L.; visualization, Y.J.; writing—original draft, A.X.; writing—review and editing, L.L. and S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Education Department of Gansu Province (2022QB-084), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31761143004).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data are available at the NCBI SRA archive (BioProject ID: PRJNA863891).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the undergraduate and graduate students on the research team for their assistance with field sampling and laboratory testing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Berthrong, S.T.; Buckley, D.H.; Drinkwater, L. Agricultural Management and Labile Carbon Additions Affect Soil Microbial Community Structure and Interact with Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling. *Microb. Ecol.* **2013**, *66*, 158–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Nimmo, J.; Lynch, D.H.; Owen, J. Quantification of nitrogen inputs from biological nitrogen fixation to whole farm nitrogen budgets of two dairy farms in Atlantic Canada. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **2013**, *96*, 93–105. [CrossRef]
- 3. Fierer, N.; Lauber, C.L.; Ramirez, K.S.; Zaneveld, J.; Bradford, M.A.; Knight, R. Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. *ISME J.* **2012**, *6*, 1007–1017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Garbeva, P.; van Veen, J.; van Elsas, J. Microbial Diversity in Soil: Selection of Microbial Populations by Plant and Soil Type and Implications for Disease Suppressiveness. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2004**, *42*, 243–270. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bhat, A.K. Preserving microbial diversity of soil ecosystem: A key to sustainable productivity. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* **2013**, *2*, 85–101.
- 6. Soman, C.; Li, D.; Wander, M.M.; Kent, A.D. Long-term fertilizer and crop-rotation treatments differentially affect soil bacterial community structure. *Plant Soil* **2017**, *413*, 145–159. [CrossRef]
- 7. Yin, C.; Jones, K.L.; Peterson, D.E.; Garrett, K.A.; Hulbert, S.H.; Paulitz, T.C. Members of soil bacterial communities sensitive to tillage and crop rotation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2010**, *42*, 2111–2118. [CrossRef]
- Li, C.; Yan, K.; Tang, L.; Jia, Z.; Li, Y. Change in deep soil microbial communities due to long-term fertilization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2014, 75, 264–272. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kennedy, A.C.; Smith, K.L. Soil microbial diversity and the sustainability of agricultural soils. *Plant Soil* **1995**, *170*, 75–86. [CrossRef]

- 10. Yang, Y.; Li, X.; Liu, J.; Zhou, Z.; Zhang, T.; Wang, X. Bacterial diversity as affected by application of manure in red soils of subtropical China. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **2017**, *53*, 639–649. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ren, C.; Chen, J.; Deng, J.; Zhao, F.; Han, X.; Yang, G.; Tong, X.; Feng, Y.; Shelton, S.; Ren, G. Response of microbial diversity to C:N:P stoichiometry in fine root and microbial biomass following afforestation. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **2017**, *53*, 457–468. [CrossRef]
- Janssen, P.H. Identifying the Dominant Soil Bacterial Taxa in Libraries of 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA Genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2006, 72, 1719–1728. [CrossRef]
- 13. Singh, H.; Verma, A.; Ansari, M.W.; Shukla, A. Physiological response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes to elevated nitrogen applied under field conditions. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **2014**, *9*, e29015. [CrossRef]
- 14. Geisseler, D.; Horwath, W.R.; Joergensen, R.G.; Ludwig, B. Pathways of nitrogen utilization by soil microorganisms—A review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2011, 42, 2058–2067. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhong, Y.; Yan, W.; Shangguan, Z. Impact of long-term N additions upon coupling between soil microbial community structure and activity, and nutrient-use efficiencies. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2015**, *91*, 151–159. [CrossRef]
- 16. Tabak, M.; Lepiarczyk, A.; Filipek-Mazur, B.; Lisowska, A. Efficiency of Nitrogen Fertilization of Winter Wheat Depending on Sulfur Fertilization. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1304. [CrossRef]
- Caicedo, J.R.; Steen, N.P.V.D.; Arce, O.; Gijzen, H.J. Effect of total ammonia nitrogen concentration and pH on growth rates of duckweed (*Spirodela polyrrhiza*). Water Res. 2000, 34, 3829–3835. [CrossRef]
- 18. Abujabhah, I.S.; Doyle, R.B.; Bound, S.A.; Bowman, J.P. Assessment of bacterial community composition, methanotrophic and nitrogen-cycling bacteria in three soils with different biochar application rates. *J. Soils Sediments* **2017**, *18*, 148–158. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, J.; Guan, D.; Zhou, B.; Zhao, B.; Ma, M.; Qin, J.; Jiang, X.; Chen, S.; Cao, F.; Shen, D.; et al. Influence of 34-years of fertilization on bacterial communities in an intensively cultivated black soil in northeast China. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2015, 90, 42–51. [CrossRef]
- Shrestha, M.; Shrestha, P.M.; Frenzel, P.; Conrad, R. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on methane oxidation, abundance, community structure and gene expression of methanotrophs in the rice rhizosphere. *ISME J.* 2010, *4*, 1545–1556. [CrossRef]
- Guo, J.H.; Liu, X.J.; Zhang, Y.; Shen, J.L.; Han, W.X.; Zhang, W.F.; Christie, P.; Goulding, K.W.T.; Vitousek, P.M.; Zhang, F.S. Significant Acidification in Major Chinese Croplands. *Science* 2010, 327, 1008–1010. [CrossRef]
- 22. Mooshammer, M.; Wanek, W.; Hämmerle, I.; Fuchslueger, L.; Hofhansl, F.; Knoltsch, A.; Richter, A. Adjustment of microbial nitrogen use efficiency to carbon: Nitrogen imbalances regulates soil nitrogen cycling. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhao, J.; Ni, T.; Li, Y.; Xiong, W.; Ran, W.; Shen, B.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, R. Responses of Bacterial Communities in Arable Soils in a Rice-Wheat Cropping System to Different Fertilizer Regimes and Sampling Times. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e85301. [CrossRef]
- 24. Lauber, C.L.; Hamady, M.; Knight, R.; Fierer, N. Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment of Soil pH as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, *75*, 5111–5120. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wang, C.; Lu, X.; Mori, T.; Mao, Q.; Zhou, K.; Zhou, G.; Nie, Y.; Mo, J. Responses of soil microbial community to continuous experimental nitrogen additions for 13 years in a nitrogen-rich tropical forest. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2018**, 121, 103–112. [CrossRef]
- Conley, D.J.; Paerl, H.W.; Howarth, R.W.; Boesch, D.F.; Seitzinger, S.P.; Havens, K.E.; Lancelot, C.; Likens, G.E. Controlling Eutrophication: Nitrogen and Phosphorus. *Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci.* 2009, 323, 1014–1015. [CrossRef]
- 27. Wei, X.R.; Hao, M.D.; Xue, X.H.; Shi, P.; Horton, R.; Wang, A.; Zang, Y.F. Nitrous oxide emission from highland winter wheat field after long-term fertilization. *Biogeosciences* **2010**, *7*, 3301–3310. [CrossRef]
- 28. Detheridge, A.P.; Brand, G.; Fychan, R.; Crotty, F.; Sanderson, R.; Griffith, G.; Marley, C.L. The legacy effect of cover crops on soil fungal populations in a cereal rotation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2016**, *228*, 49–61. [CrossRef]
- 29. Yuan, X.; Knelman, J.E.; Gasarch, E.; Wang, D.; Nemergut, D.R.; Seastedt, T.R. Plant community and soil chemistry responses to long-term nitrogen inputs drive changes in alpine bacterial communities. *Ecology* **2016**, *97*, 1543–1554. [CrossRef]
- 30. Food and Agriculture Organization. *The State of Food and Agriculture;* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy, 1990.
- Chinese Soil Taxonomy Cooperative Reseach Group. Chinese Soil Taxonomy (Revised Proposal); Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Agricultural Science and Technology Press, Academic Sinica: Beijing, China, 1995.
- 32. Bao, S. Analysis of Soil Agro-Chemistry; China Agriculture Press: Beijing, China, 1999.
- 33. National Agriculture Technology Extension and Service Center (NATESC). *Soil Analysis Technology Specification;* China Agriculture Press: Beijing, China, 2006.
- Caporaso, J.G.; Kuczynski, J.; Stombaugh, J.; Bittinger, K.; Bushman, F.D.; Costello, E.K.; Fierer, N.; Gonzalez Peña, A.; Goodrich, J.K.; Gordon, J.I.; et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 2010, 7, 335–336. [CrossRef]
- Edgar, R.C.; Haas, B.J.; Clemente, J.C.; Quince, C.; Knight, R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 2011, 27, 2194–2200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rognes, T.; Flouri, T.; Nichols, B.; Quince, C.; Mahé, F. VSEARCH: A versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 2016, 4, e2584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Langille, M.G.I.; Zaneveld, J.; Caporaso, J.G.; McDonald, D.; Knights, D.; Reyes, J.A.; Clemente, J.C.; Burkepile, D.E.; Vega Thurber, R.L.; Knight, R.; et al. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2013, 31, 814–821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ogata, H.; Goto, S.; Sato, K.; Fujibuchi, W.; Bono, H.; Kanehisa, M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2000, 28, 29–34. [CrossRef]
- 39. Faust, K.; Raes, J. Microbial interactions: From networks to models. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2012, 10, 538–550. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bastian, M.; Heymann, S.; Jacomy, M. Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks. In Proceedings of the international AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, San Jose, CA, USA, 19 March 2009; Volume 3, pp. 361–362.
- Wakelin, S.A.; Colloff, M.; Harvey, P.R.; Marschner, P.; Gregg, A.L.; Rogers, S.L. The effects of stubble retention and nitrogen application on soil microbial community structure and functional gene abundance under irrigated maize. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2007, 59, 661–670. [CrossRef]
- 42. Yuan, H.; Ge, T.; Zhou, P.; Liu, S.; Roberts, P.; Zhu, H.; Zou, Z.; Tong, C.; Wu, J. Soil microbial biomass and bacterial and fungal community structures responses to long-term fertilization in paddy soils. *J. Soils Sediments* **2013**, *13*, 877–886. [CrossRef]
- Coolon, J.D.; Jones, K.L.; Todd, T.C.; Blair, J.; Herman, M.A.; Martha, T. Long-Term Nitrogen Amendment Alters the Diversity and Assemblage of Soil Bacterial Communities in Tallgrass Prairie. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e67884. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ramirez, K.S.; Lauber, C.L.; Knight, R.; Bradford, M.; Fierer, N. Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. *Ecology* **2010**, *91*, 3463–3470. [CrossRef]
- 45. Xu, A.; Li, L.; Xie, J.; Wang, X.; Coulter, J.A.; Liu, C.; Wang, L. Effect of Long-Term Nitrogen Addition on Wheat Yield, Nitrogen Use Efficiency, and Residual Soil Nitrate in a Semiarid Area of the Loess Plateau of China. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 1735. [CrossRef]
- Dai, Z.; Su, W.; Chen, H.; Barberán, A.; Zhao, H.; Yu, M.; Yu, L.; Brookes, P.C.; Schadt, C.W.; Chang, S.X.; et al. Long-term nitrogen fertilization decreases bacterial diversity and favors the growth of *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* in agro-ecosystems across the globe. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2018, 24, 3452–3461. [CrossRef]
- Guo, Q.; Yan, L.; Korpelainen, H.; Niinemets, Ü.; Li, C. Plant-plant interactions and N fertilization shape soil bacterial and fungal communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2018, 128, 127–138. [CrossRef]
- Averill, C.; Waring, B. Nitrogen limitation of decomposition and decay: How can it occur? *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2018, 24, 1417–1427. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ramirez, K.S.; Craine, J.M.; Fierer, N. Consistent effects of nitrogen amendments on soil microbial communities and processes across biomes. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2012, *18*, 1918–1927. [CrossRef]
- 50. Zhang, T.; Chen, H.Y.H.; Ruan, H. Global negative effects of nitrogen deposition on soil microbes. *ISME J.* **2018**, *12*, 1817–1825. [CrossRef]
- 51. Zeng, J.; Liu, X.; Song, L.; Lin, X.; Zhang, H.; Shen, C.; Chu, H. Nitrogen fertilization directly affects soil bacterial diversity and indirectly affects bacterial community composition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2016**, *92*, 41–49. [CrossRef]
- Jenkins, S.N.; Rushton, S.P.; Lanyon, C.V.; Whiteley, A.S.; Waite, I.S.; Brookes, P.C.; Kemmitt, S.; Evershed, R.P.; O'Donnell, A.G. Taxon-specific responses of soil bacteria to the addition of low level C inputs. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2010, 42, 1624–1631. [CrossRef]
- 53. Kielak, A.M.; Barreto, C.C.; Kowalchuk, G.A.; Van Veen, J.A.; Kuramae, E.E. The Ecology of Acidobacteria: Moving beyond Genes and Genomes. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 744. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Ye, D.; Wang, X.; Settles, M.; Wang, J.; Hao, Z.; Zhou, L.; Dong, P.; Jiang, Y.; Ma, Z. Soil bacterial communities of different natural forest types in Northeast China. *Plant Soil* 2014, 383, 203–216. [CrossRef]
- Dongwei, H.; Zhijian, H.; Shenzheng, Z.; Jian, L.; Dongdong, W.; Xisha, D.; Shaoping, W.; Zhili, H.; Jianguo, H. Environmental Factors Shape Water Microbial Community Structure and Function in Shrimp Cultural Enclosure Ecosystems. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 2359–2370.
- 56. Wang, K.; Ye, X.; Zhang, H.; Chen, H.; Zhang, D.; Liu, L. Regional variations in the diversity and predicted metabolic potential of benthic prokaryotes in coastal northern Zhejiang, East China Sea. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 38709. [CrossRef]
- 57. Liu, C.; Li, L.; Xie, J.; Coulter, J.A.; Zhang, R.; Luo, Z.; Cai, L.; Wang, L.; Gopalakrishnan, S. Soil Bacterial Diversity and Potential Functions Are Regulated by Long-Term Conservation Tillage and Straw Mulching. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 836. [CrossRef]
- 58. Huang, X.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, J.; Cai, Z. Highly connected taxa located in the microbial network are prevalent in the rhizosphere soil of healthy plant. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **2019**, *55*, 299–312. [CrossRef]
- Gu, Y.; Yingyan, W.; Sheng'e, L.; Quanju, X.; Xiumei, Y.; Ke, Z.; Likou, Z.; Qiang, C.; Shihua, T.; Xiaoping, Z. Long-term Fertilization Structures Bacterial and Archaeal Communities along Soil Depth Gradient in a Paddy Soil. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 1516–1528. [CrossRef]
- 60. Jiang, Y.; Liang, Y.; Li, C.; Wang, F.; Sui, Y.; Suvannang, N.; Zhou, J.; Sun, B. Crop rotations alter bacterial and fungal diversity in paddy soils across East Asia. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2016**, *95*, 250–261. [CrossRef]
- 61. Ma, B.; Wang, H.; Dsouza, M.; Lou, J.; He, Y.; Dai, Z.; Brookes, P.C.; Xu, J.; Gilbert, J.A. Geographic patterns of co-occurrence network topological features for soil microbiota at continental scale in eastern China. *ISME J.* **2016**, *10*, 1891–1901. [CrossRef]
- 62. Ling, N.; Zhu, C.; Xue, C.; Chen, H.; Duan, Y.; Peng, C.; Guo, S.; Shen, Q. Insight into how organic amendments can shape the soil microbiome in long-term field experiments as revealed by network analysis. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2016**, *99*, 137–149. [CrossRef]
- 63. Eilers, K.G.; Debenport, S.; Anderson, S.; Fierer, N. Digging deeper to find unique microbial communities: The strong effect of depth on the structure of bacterial and archaeal communities in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2012**, *50*, 58–65. [CrossRef]
- Kong, Y.; Ling, N.; Xue, C.; Chen, H.; Ruan, Y.; Guo, J.; Zhu, C.; Wang, M.; Shen, Q.; Guo, S. Long-term fertilization regimes change soil nitrification potential by impacting active autotrophic ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers as assessed by DNA stable isotope probing. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2019, 21, 1224–1240. [CrossRef]

- 65. Zhang, C.; Niu, D.; Hall, S.J.; Wen, H.; Li, X.; Fu, H.; Wan, C.; Elser, J.J. Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on soil respiration components and their temperature sensitivities in a semiarid grassland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2014**, *75*, 113–123. [CrossRef]
- Ahn, J.-H.; Lee, S.A.; Kim, J.M.; Kim, M.-S.; Song, J.; Weon, H.-Y. Dynamics of bacterial communities in rice field soils as affected by different long-term fertilization practices. *J. Microbiol.* 2016, 54, 724–731. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Treseder, K.K. Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: A meta-analysis of ecosystem studies. *Ecol. Lett.* **2010**, *11*, 1111–1120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Rousk, J.; Bååth, E.; Brookes, P.C.; Lauber, C.L.; Lozupone, C.; Caporaso, J.G.; Knight, R.; Fierer, N. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME J.* **2010**, *4*, 1340–1351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Jones, R.T.; Robeson, M.S.; Lauber, C.L.; Hamady, M.; Knight, R.; Fierer, N. Acomprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. *ISME J.* **2009**, *3*, 442–453. [CrossRef]
- Song, H.; Che, Z.; Cao, W.; Huang, T.; Wang, J.; Dong, Z. Changing roles of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in a continuously acidifying soil caused by over-fertilization with nitrogen. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2016, 23, 11964–11974. [CrossRef]