

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

# Circulating adipokines data associated with insulin secretagogue use in breast cancer patients



Zachary A.P. Wintrob<sup>a</sup>, Jeffrey P. Hammel<sup>b</sup>, George K. Nimako<sup>a</sup>, Zahra S. Fayazi<sup>a</sup>, Dan P. Gaile<sup>c</sup>, Alan Forrest<sup>d</sup>, Alice C. Ceacareanu<sup>a,e,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State University of New York at Buffalo, Department of Pharmacy Practice, NYS Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics and Life Sciences, 701 Ellicott Street, Buffalo, NY 14203, United States

<sup>b</sup> Cleveland Clinic, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, 9500 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, OH 44195, United States

<sup>c</sup> State University of New York at Buffalo, Department of Biostatistics, 718 Kimball Tower, Buffalo, NY 14214, United States

<sup>d</sup> The UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, Division of Pharmacotherapy and Experimental Therapeutics, Campus Box 7569, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States

<sup>e</sup> Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Department of Pharmacy Services, Elm & Carlton Streets, Buffalo, NY 14263, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 October 2016 Received in revised form 14 November 2016 Accepted 16 November 2016 Available online 22 November 2016

Keywords: Adipokine Insulin Secretagogue Breast cancer Diabetes Cancer outcomes Cancer prognosis

## ABSTRACT

Oral drugs stimulating endogenous insulin production (insulin secretagogues) may have detrimental effects on breast cancer outcomes. The data presented shows the relationship between pre-existing insulin secretagogues use, adipokine profiles at the time of breast cancer (BC) diagnosis and subsequent cancer outcomes in women diagnosed with BC and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The Pearson correlation analysis evaluating the relationship between adipokines stratified by T2DM pharmacotherapy and controls is also provided. This information is the extension of the data presented and discussed in "Insulin use, adipokine profiles and breast cancer prognosis" (Wintrob et al., in press) [1].

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2016.10.017

\* Correspondence author at: State University of New York at Buffalo, Department of Pharmacy Practice, NYS Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics and Life Sciences, 701 Ellicott Street, Buffalo, NY 14203, United States. Fax: +1 716 849 6651. *E-mail address:* ACC36@BUFFALO.EDU.(A.C. Ceacareanu)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.060

2352-3409/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Subject area More specific sub-	Clinical and Translational Research Biomarker Research, Cancer Epidemiology
Type of data	Tables
How data was acquired	Tumor registry query was followed by vital status ascertainment, and med- ical records review
-	Luminex <sup>®</sup> - or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay- based quantitation of adipokines (adiponectin, leptin, C-reactive protein, interleukine-6, inter-
	leukine-1 $\beta$ , interleukine-1Ra, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , and C-peptide) from plasma samples was conducted.
	A Luminex <sup>®</sup> 200 <sup>TM</sup> instrument with Xponent 3.1 software was used to acquire all data except for C-reactive protein determinations which have been done using a Synergy 2 BioTek multi-mode reader
Data format	Analyzed
Experimental factors	Adipokines were determined from the corresponding plasma samples col- lected at the time of breast cancer diagnosis
Experimental features	The dataset included 97 adult females with diabetes mellitus and newly diagnosed breast cancer (cases) and 194 matched controls (breast cancer only). Clinical and treatment history were evaluated in relationship with cancer outcomes and adipokine profiles. A biomarker correlation analysis was also performed.
Data source location	United States, Buffalo, NY - 42° 53′ 50.3592″N; 78° 52′ 2.658″W
Data accessibility	The data is with this article

#### **Specifications Table**

## Value of the data

- Presented data shows the relationship between pre-existing insulin secretagogues use, adipokine production at the time of cancer diagnosis and breast cancer outcomes.
- This data serves as a benchmark for future investigations targeting pharmacotherapy-induced adipokine modulation in breast cancer.
- The data described here can assist study design of further biomarker evaluation in relationship with the safety and effectiveness of diabetes pharmacotherapy.

## 1. Data

Reported data represents the observed association between insulin secretagogues' utilization and the adipokine profiles at the time of breast cancer diagnosis in women with diabetes mellitus (Table 1). Data in Table 2 includes the observed correlations between adipokines stratified by type 2 diabetes mellitus pharmacotherapy and controls.

## 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Evaluation of adipokine profile association with insulin secretagogue use and BC outcomes was carried out under two protocols approved by both Roswell Park Cancer Institute (EDR154409 and NHR009010) and the State University of New York at Buffalo (PHP0840409E). Demographic and clinical patient information was linked with cancer outcomes and adipokine profiles of corresponding plasma specimen harvested at BC diagnosis and banked in the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Data Bank and Bio-Repository.

# Table 1Adipokines associations with insulin secretagogue use.

Biomarker	Biomarker Grouping	Concentration	Control	No Secretagogue	Any Secretagogue	Unadjusted <i>p</i> -value (MVP)		Junadjusted p-value (MVP) p <sup>3</sup> Global   1 p <sup>2</sup> p <sup>3</sup> Global   < 0.015 (0.022) 0.008 (0.210) 0.810 (0.770) 0.005   0.002 (0.007) 0.390 (0.780) 0.100 (0.120) 0.005   0.420 (0.560) 0.060 (0.210) 0.380 (0.350) 0.150   0.820 (0.330) 0.050 (0.120) 0.210 (0.700) 0.150   0.820 (0.330) 0.320 (0.890) 0.350 (0.740) 0.450   0.860 (0.070) 0.770 (0.002) 0.930 (0.070) 0.950   0.340 (0.670) 0.770 (0.020) 0.260 (0.890) 0.360   0.001 (0.390) 0.710 (0.580) 0.028 (0.250) 0.001   0.000 (0.300) 0.150 (0.670) 0.210 (0.180) 0.190	
						$p^1$	<i>p</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>p</i> <sup>3</sup>	Global test
Adiponectin(ng/ml)	Median (25–75th) Quartiles	- 1.79-8.90	14.9 (10.7–22.6) 38 (19.6%)	11.3 (6.89–20.9) 17 (36.2%)	11.7 (8.10–17.6) 18 (36.0%)	< 0.015 (0.022) 0.044	0.008 (0.210) 0.047	0.810 (0.770) 0.350	0.005 (0.046) 0.035
		8.97–14.14 14.18–20.52	48 (24.7%) 54 (27.8%)	12 (25.5%) 6 (12.8%)	13 (26.0%) 12 (24.0%)				
	OS-Based Optimization	21.46-68.93 1.79-7.15 <b>7.17-68.93</b> *	54 (27.8%) 19 (9.8%) 175 (90.2%)	12 (25.5%) 13 (27.7%) 34 (72.3%)	7 (14.0%) 7 (14.0%) 43 (86.0%)	0.002 (0.007)	0.390 (0.780)	0.100 (0.120)	0.005 (0.018)
	DFS-Based Optimization	<b>1.79–17.91</b> * 18.21–68.93	124 (63.9%) 70 (36.1%)	33 (70.2%) 14 (29.8%)	39 (78.0%) 11 (22.0%)	0.420 (0.560)	0.060 (0.210)	0.380 (0.350)	0.150 (0.340)
Leptin (ng/ml)	Median (25–75th)	-	26.0 (16.9–38.0)	23.0 (15.4-44.1)	32.0 (21.8-50.1)	0.820 (0.330)	0.050 (0.120)	0.210 (0.700)	0.150 (0.160)
	Quartiles	BLQ to 17.00 17.73–27.07 27.09–41.75	50 (25.8%) 49 (25.3%) 53 (27.3%) 42 (21.6%)	15 (31.9%) 12 (25.5%) 6 (12.8%) 14 (20.8%)	8 (16.0%) 12 (24.0%) 13 (26.0%) 17 (24.0%)	0.180	0.250	0.180	0.190
	OS-Based Optimization	<b>BLQ to 6.17</b> *	14 (7.2%) 180 (92.8%)	3 (6.4%) 44 (93.6%)	1 (2.0%) 49 (98.0%)	1.000 (0.640)	0.320 (0.890)	0.350 (0.740)	0.450 (0.850)
	DFS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 50.82 51.64–159.15*	155 (79.9%) 39 (20.1%)	37 (79.9%) 10 (20.1%)	39 (78.0%) 11 (22.0%)	0.860 (0.070)	0.770 (0.002)	0.930 (0.070)	0.950 (0.002)
CRP (µg/ml)	Median (25–75th) Quartiles	- BLQ to 0.90 1.00-2.20 2.30-5.20	2.10 (0.80-4.65) 56 (28.9%) 47 (24.2%) 49 (25.3%) 42 (21.6%)	2.80 (1.10-5.30) 9 (19.1%) 14 (29.8%) 11 (23.4%) 12 (27.7%)	3.05 (1.30–9.15) 9 (18.0%) 11 (22.0%) 12 (24.0%) 18 (26.0%)	0.340 (0.670) 0.490	0.022 (0.370) 0.160	0.260 (0.890) 0.770	0.060 (0.750) 0.340
	OS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 8.30 8.60–23.00*	42 (21.6%) 173 (89.2%) 21 (10.8%)	13 (27.7%) 41 (87.2%) 6 (12.8%)	18 (36.0%) 34 (68.0%) 16 (32.0%)	0.001 (0.390)	0.710 (0.580)	0.028 (0.250)	0.001 (0.530)
	DFS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 16.60 17.20–23.00	186 (95.9%) 8 (4.1%)	46(97.9%) 1 (2.1%)	45 (90.0%) 5 (10.0%)	1.000 (0.300)	0.150 (0.670)	0.210 (0.180)	0.190 (0.470)
IL-6 (pg/ml)	Median (25–75th) Quartiles	- BLQ to 0.44 0.50-0.70 0.72-2.32 2.51-138.00	0.7 (0.44–1.76) 55 (28.4%) 58 (29.9%) 39 (20.1%) 42 (21.6%)	1.49 (0.59–3.72) 7 (14.9%) 9 (19.1%) 16 (34.0%) 15 (31.9%)	1.14 (0.51–3.10) 12 (24.0%) 9 (18.0%) 13 (26.0%) 16 (32.0%)	0.010 (0.090) 0.027	0.170 (0.740) 0.190	0.330 (0.048) 0.670	0.024 (0.180) 0.060

	OS-Based Optimization	BLQ* 0.34-138.00	18 (9.3%) 176 (90.7%)	0 (0.0%) 47 (100%)	1 (2.0%) 49 (98.0%)	0.028 (0.010)	1.000 (0.999)	0.140 (0.300)	0.022 (0.031)
	DFS-Based Optimization	<b>BLQ*</b> 0.34–138.00	18 (9.3%) 176 (90.7%)	0 (0.0%) 47 (100%)	1 (2.0%) 49 (98.0%)	0.028 (0.010)	1.000 (0.999)	0.140 (0.300)	0.022 (0.031)
TNF-α (pg/ml)	Median (25-75th)	-	5.55 (3.86-8.22)	6.64 (4.41-11.41)	6.53 (4.89-9.20)	0.060 (0.080)	0.080 (0.420)	0.850 (0.300)	0.070 (0.170)
	Quartiles	BLQ to 4.19 4.21–5.66 5.67–8.73 8.90–77.00	56 (28.9%) 46 (23.7%) 51 (26.3%) 41 (21.1%)	9 (19.1%) 14 (29.8%) 7 (14.9%) 17 (36.2%)	8 (16.0%) 13 (26.0%) 14 (28.0%) 15 (30.0%)	0.060	0.260	0.480	0.120
	OS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 8.96 <b>9.00–77.00</b> *	153 (78.9%) 41 (21.1%)	31 (66.0%) 16 (34.0%)	36 (72.0%) 14 (28.0%)	0.060 (0.150)	0.300 (0.390)	0.520 (0.650)	0.150 (0.320)
	DFS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 8.96 9.00-77.00*	153 (78.9%) 41 (21.1%)	31 (66.0%) 16 (34.0%)	36 (72.0%) 14 (28.0%)	0.060 (0.150)	0.300 0.390)	0.520 (0.650)	0.150 (0.320)
IL-1β (pg/ml)	Median (25-75th)	-	1.60 (1.60-3.20)	1.60 (1.60–3.75)	1.60 (1.60-2.76)	0.170 (0.030)	0.140 (0.250)	0.037 (0.020)	0. 090 (0.011)
	OS-Based Optimization	BLQ to 13.08* 14.74–127.08	187 (96.4%) 7 (3.6%)	40 (85.1%) 7 (14.9%)	50 (100%) 0 (0.0%)	0.008 (0.007)	0.350 (0.035)	0.005 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
	DFS-Based Optimization	<b>BLQ to 13.08</b> * 14.74–127.08	187 (96.4%) 7 (3.6%)	40 (85.1%) 7 (14.9%)	50 (100%) 0 (0.0%)	0.008 (0.007)	0.350 (0.035)	0.005 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Median (25–75th) Quartiles	- 0.14-1.28 1.29-1.82 1.83-2.68 2.68-9.02	1.67 (1.17–2.42) 58 (29.9%) 59 (30.4%) 37 (19.1%) 40 (20.6%)	2.36 (1.33–3.20) 11 (23.4%) 7 (14.9%) 13 (27.7%) 16 (34.0%)	2.26 (1.84–3.14 4 (8.0%) 7 (14.0%) 22 (44.0%) 17 (34.0%)	0.050 (0.760) 0.043	< 0.001 (0.041) < 0.001	0.330 (0.060) 0.140	< 0.001 (0.090) < 0.001
	OS-Based Optimization	<b>0.14-0.75*</b> 0.76-9.02	14 (7.2%) 180 (92.8%)	7 (14.9%) 40 (85.1%)	0 (0%) 50 (100%)	0.14 (0.037)	0.080 (0.130)	0.005 (0.001)	0.013 (0.008)
	DFS-Based Optimization	<b>0.14–0.75*</b> 0.76–9.02	14 (7.2%) 180 (92.8%)	7 (14.9%) 40 (85.1%)	0 (0%) 50 (100%)	0.140 (0.037)	0.080 (0.130)	0.005 (0.001)	0.013 (0.008)

C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukine-6 (IL-6), interleukine-1β (IL-1β), interleukine-1Ra (IL-1Ra), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α).

\* Overall survival (OS)- and disease-free survival (DFS)-optimized biomarker ranges associated with poorer outcomes are represented in bold. BLQ=below limit of quantitation. MVP=p-value of the multivariate adjusted analysis.

**Table 2**Adipokine correlations and secretagogue use.

Compared bio	markers	Group	Unadjusted co	orrelation		Adjusted correlation			
			Pearson correlation	95% CI	p-value	Pearson correlation	95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value	
C-peptide	IL-1β	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- 0.089 - 0.003 - 0.265 - 0.069	-0.202 to 0.027 -0.145 to 0.139 -0.532 to 0.051 -0.338 to 0.211	0.132 0.967 0.095 0.63	-0.081 0.01 -0.285 -0.105	-0.194 to 0.034 -0.131 to 0.151 -0.539 to 0.017 -0.363 to 0.167	0.168 0.891 0.061 0.446	
C-peptide	IL-1Ra	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- 0.081 - 0.075 - 0.171 0.064	- 0.195 to 0.034 - 0.214 to 0.068 - 0.458 to 0.148 - 0.215 to 0.334	0.167 0.304 0.287 0.653	- 0.073 - 0.063 - 0.18 0.004	- 0.187 to 0.042 - 0.202 to 0.079 - 0.455 to 0.128 - 0.264 to 0.272	0.212 0.382 0.245 0.977	
C-peptide	IL-6	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- 0.053 - 0.046 - 0.146 - 0.022	-0.168 to 0.063 -0.187 to 0.097 -0.437 to 0.174 -0.295 to 0.255	0.368 0.528 0.366 0.879	-0.068 -0.059 -0.159 0.032	-0.182 to 0.047 -0.198 to 0.083 -0.438 to 0.149 -0.238 to 0.297	0.244 0.414 0.306 0.819	
C-peptide	Adiponectin	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- <b>0.163</b> - <b>0.145</b> - <b>0.343</b> - 0.086	-0.274 to -0.048 -0.281 to -0.003 -0.591 to -0.035 -0.353 to 0.194	<b>0.005</b> <b>0.045</b> <b>0.028</b> 0.547	- <b>0.178</b> -0.119 - <b>0.388</b> -0.068	- <b>0.287 to</b> - <b>0.064</b> - 0.255 to 0.022 - <b>0.617 to</b> - <b>0.1</b> - 0.33 to 0.203	<b>0.002</b> 0.098 <b>0.009</b> 0.621	
C-peptide	Leptin	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	<b>0.161</b> <b>0.278</b> - 0.042 0.03	<b>0.047 to 0.272</b> <b>0.141 to 0.404</b> - 0.349 to 0.273 - 0.248 to 0.303	<b>0.006</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.795 0.834	<b>0.238</b> <b>0.314</b> -0.001 0.144	<b>0.126 to 0.343</b> <b>0.181 to 0.436</b> - 0.301 to 0.299 - 0.129 to 0.396	< <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.995 0.297	
C-peptide	CRP	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- 0.075 - 0.117 0.192 - 0.086	-0.188 to 0.041 -0.254 to 0.026 -0.127 to 0.475 -0.353 to 0.194	0.207 0.107 0.231 0.545	0.023 -0.042 0.207 -0.014	- 0.092 to 0.137 - 0.182 to 0.099 - 0.099 to 0.478 - 0.281 to 0.255	0.698 0.556 0.179 0.92	
C-peptide	ΤΝΓα	All Subjects ( $n=291$ ) Controls ( $n=194$ )	- 0.012 0.086	-0.127 to 0.104 -0.056 to 0.226	0.839 0.234	0.035 0.125	– 0.08 to 0.15 – 0.016 to 0.261	0.55 0.082	

IL-1β	IL-1Ra	No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$ All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	- 0.3 0.265 <b>0.753</b> <b>0.436</b> <b>0.932</b> <b>0.367</b>	-0.559 to 0.013 -0.011 to 0.504 0.698 to 0.799 0.313 to 0.544 0.874 to 0.964 0.101 to 0.583	0.057 0.057 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.007	-0.277 0.227 0.75 0.435 0.929 0.384	-0.533 to 0.026 -0.043 to 0.467 0.695 to 0.797 0.313 to 0.542 0.871 to 0.961 0.13 to 0.591	0.069 0.096 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.004
IL-1β	IL-6	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	<b>0.339</b> <b>0.484</b> <b>0.69</b> 0.042	<b>0.232 to 0.437</b> <b>0.367 to 0.586</b> <b>0.482 to 0.824</b> - 0.237 to 0.314	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.771	<b>0.337</b> <b>0.476</b> <b>0.682</b> 0.055	0.231 to 0.435 0.36 to 0.578 0.481 to 0.816 -0.216 to 0.318	< <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.694
IL-1β	Adiponectin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	- 0.038 - 0.055 - 0.047 - 0.033	-0.153 to 0.077 -0.195 to 0.088 -0.353 to 0.269 -0.306 to 0.245	0.515 0.451 0.773 0.818	-0.024 -0.031 -0.001 -0.054	-0.138 to 0.091 -0.171 to 0.11 -0.301 to 0.3 -0.317 to 0.217	0.685 0.665 0.996 0.695
IL-1β	Leptin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0 0.072 - 0.045 - 0.046	-0.116 to 0.115 -0.071 to 0.212 -0.351 to 0.27 -0.317 to 0.233	0.994 0.322 0.782 0.749	- 0.009 0.081 - 0.092 - 0.202	-0.124 to 0.106 -0.06 to 0.22 -0.382 to 0.214 -0.446 to 0.069	0.88 0.259 0.553 0.14
IL-1β	CRP	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	- 0.023 - 0.019 0.038 - 0.05	-0.139 to 0.092 -0.16 to 0.124 -0.276 to 0.346 -0.322 to 0.228	0.693 0.799 0.813 0.724	-0.029 -0.01 -0.009 -0.14	-0.143 to 0.086 -0.151 to 0.131 -0.309 to 0.292 -0.393 to 0.133	0.623 0.891 0.953 0.31
IL-1β	ΤΝFα	All Subjects (n=291) Controls (n=194) No Secretagogue (n=43) Any Secretagogue (n=54)	<b>0.487</b> <b>0.196</b> <b>0.668</b> - 0.065	<b>0.394 to 0.571</b> <b>0.055 to 0.328</b> <b>0.45 to 0.811</b> - 0.334 to 0.215	< <b>0.001</b> <b>0.007</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.651	0.484 0.208 0.618 - 0.007	0.391 to 0.568 0.069 to 0.339 0.39 to 0.775 -0.274 to 0.261	< <b>0.001</b> <b>0.004</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.961
IL-1Ra	IL-6	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$	0.338 0.319 0.759	0.231 to 0.436 0.186 to 0.441 0.587 to 0.866	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	0.335 0.31 0.748	0.229 to 0.433 0.177 to 0.432 0.578 to 0.856	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001
IL-1Ra	Adiponectin	Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$ All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.021 - 0.043 - 0.013 - 0.077 - 0.105	-0.256 to 0.295 -0.158 to 0.073 -0.155 to 0.129 -0.379 to 0.241 -0.37 to 0.175	0.882 0.467 0.859 0.637 0.46	-0.029 -0.049 -0.033 -0.064 -0.147	-0.294 to 0.241 -0.163 to 0.067 -0.173 to 0.108 -0.358 to 0.241 -0.399 to 0.126	0.836 0.407 0.643 0.68 0.287

243

## Table 2 (continued)

Compared biom	arkers	Group	Unadjusted correlation			Adjusted correlation		
			Pearson correlation	95% CI	p-value	Pearson correlation	95% CI	p-value
IL-1Ra	Leptin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.021 0.017 0.046 - 0.101	-0.095 to 0.136 -0.125 to 0.159 -0.269 to 0.353 -0.366 to 0.18	0.727 0.812 0.774 0.478	0.028 0.055 0.004 -0.131	-0.087 to 0.143 -0.087 to 0.194 -0.296 to 0.304 -0.385 to 0.142	0.63 0.447 0.977 0.344
IL-1Ra	CRP	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.066 <b>0.147</b> 0.058 - 0.081	-0.05 to 0.18 <b>0.005 to 0.283</b> -0.259 to 0.363 -0.349 to 0.199	0.263 <b>0.042</b> 0.722 0.569	0.071 <b>0.166</b> 0.042 - 0.1	-0.045 to 0.184 <b>0.026 to 0.3</b> -0.262 to b0.338 -0.358 to 0.172	0.229 <b>0.02</b> 0.79 0.47
IL-1Ra	ΤΝFα	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.529 0.456 0.623 0.202	0.441 to 0.608 0.336 to 0.562 0.386 to 0.782 - 0.078 to 0.452	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.152	<b>0.516</b> <b>0.449</b> <b>0.578</b> 0.203	0.426 to 0.596 0.329 to 0.555 0.335 to 0.748 - 0.068 to 0.447	< <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.138
IL-6	Adiponectin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	-0.062 -0.103 0.076 -0.07	- 0.176 to 0.054 - 0.242 to 0.039 - 0.242 to 0.378 - 0.339 to 0.209	0.294 0.155 0.64 0.623	-0.05 -0.088 0.112 -0.043	- 0.164 to 0.066 - 0.226 to 0.054 - 0.195 to 0.399 - 0.307 to 0.228	0.398 0.222 0.472 0.759
IL-6	Leptin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.055 0.054 0.069 0.104	- 0.061 to 0.169 - 0.089 to 0.195 - 0.248 to 0.372 - 0.176 to 0.369	0.354 0.457 0.672 0.464	0.015 0.01 0.081 0.081	- 0.101 to 0.129 - 0.131 to 0.151 - 0.225 to 0.372 - 0.191 to 0.341	0.804 0.888 0.603 0.559
IL-6	CRP	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$	0.096 0.141 0.093	-0.02 to 0.209 -0.001 to 0.277 -0.394 to 0.225	0.104 0.051 0.564	0.059 0.095 0.09	- 0.056 to 0.173 - 0.047 to 0.233 - 0.38 to 0.216	0.315 0.188 0.562
IL-6	ΤΝΓα	Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$ All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$	0.302 0.243 0.262 0.43	0.028 to 0.533 0.131 to 0.349 0.124 to 0.389 0.137 to 0.654	0.029 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.005	0.268 0.224 0.24 0.437	0.001 to 0.5 0.112 to 0.33 0.102 to 0.368 0.157 to 0.652	0.047 < 0.001 0.001 0.003

244

		Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.309	0.036 to 0.539	0.026	0.304	0.039 to 0.528	0.024
Adiponectin	Leptin	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	-0.085 - <b>0.235</b> 0.09 <b>0.392</b>	-0.198 to 0.031 -0.365 to -0.096 -0.228 to 0.391 0.131 to 0.603	0.152 <b>0.001</b> 0.577 <b>0.004</b>	-0.15 -0.262 0.003 0.278	-0.261 to -0.036 -0.389 to -0.126 -0.298 to 0.303 0.011 to 0.508	<b>0.01</b> < <b>0.001</b> 0.986 <b>0.04</b>
Adiponectin	CRP	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	- 0.105 - 0.013 - 0.222 - <b>0.32</b>	-0.218 to 0.01 -0.154 to 0.13 -0.499 to 0.097 - <b>0.547 to -0.049</b>	0.073 0.861 0.165 <b>0.02</b>	- <b>0.185</b> - 0.099 - <b>0.299</b> - <b>0.309</b>	-0.294 to -0.072 -0.237 to 0.043 -0.55 to 0.002 -0.533 to -0.045	<b>0.002</b> 0.169 <b>0.049</b> <b>0.021</b>
Adiponectin	ΤΝΓα	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	- 0.032 - 0.031 - 0.025 - 0.037	-0.147 to 0.084 -0.172 to 0.112 -0.334 to 0.289 -0.309 to 0.241	0.589 0.671 0.878 0.795	- 0.009 0.011 0.019 - 0.031	- 0.124 to 0.106 - 0.13 to 0.152 - 0.283 to 0.318 - 0.296 to 0.239	0.874 0.874 0.902 0.825
Leptin	CRP	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	- 0.103 - <b>0.151</b> - 0.141 - 0.052	-0.216 to 0.013 - <b>0.287 to</b> - <b>0.009</b> -0.433 to 0.178 -0.323 to 0.227	0.08 <b>0.036</b> 0.382 0.714	0.114 0.07 0.165 0.173	- 0.001 to 0.226 - 0.072 to 0.208 - 0.142 to 0.443 - 0.099 to 0.421	0.051 0.334 0.286 0.208
Leptin	ΤΝΓα	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.087 0.03 0.082 0.214	- 0.029 to 0.2 - 0.112 to 0.171 - 0.236 to 0.384 - 0.065 to 0.463	0.142 0.679 0.613 0.128	<b>0.127</b> 0.094 0.208 0.068	<b>0.012 to 0.238</b> - 0.048 to 0.231 - 0.099 to 0.478 - 0.203 to 0.33	<b>0.03</b> 0.193 0.178 0.623
ΤΝΓα	CRP	All Subjects $(n=291)$ Controls $(n=194)$ No Secretagogue $(n=43)$ Any Secretagogue $(n=54)$	0.021 0.101 0.032 -0.076	-0.095 to 0.136 -0.042 to 0.24 -0.282 to 0.34 -0.344 to 0.204	0.721 0.164 0.843 0.595	0.056 0.136 0.072 - 0.126	-0.059 to 0.17 -0.005 to 0.271 -0.233 to 0.365 -0.381 to 0.147	0.337 0.058 0.644 0.361

Significant correlations are displayed in bolded text. The differences that are only significant in either adjusted or unadjusted correlations are further denoted by an outline. C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukine-6 (IL-6), interleukine-1β (IL-1β), interleukine-1Ra (IL-1Ra), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), confidence interval (CI).

### 2.1. Study population

As described in the original research article by Wintrob et al. [1], all incident breast cancer cases diagnosed at Roswell Park Cancer Institute (01/01/2003-12/31/2009) were considered for inclusion (n=2194). Medical and pharmacotherapy history were used to determine the baseline presence of diabetes.

## 2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: minimum 18 years of age at diagnosis, presence of pre-existing diabetes at breast cancer diagnosis, and having available banked treatment-naïve plasma specimens in the Institute's Data Bank and Bio-Repository. That is, the blood had to be collected prior to the initiation of any cancer-related therapy (surgery, radiation or pharmacotherapy).

Subjects were excluded if they were male, had prior cancer history or unclear date of diagnosis, incomplete clinical records, type 1 or unclear diabetes status. For a specific breakdown of excluded subjects, please see the original research article by Wintrob et al. [1].

A total of 97 female subjects with breast cancer and baseline diabetes mellitus were eligible for inclusion in this analysis.

## 2.3. Control-matching approach

Each of the 97 adult female subjects with breast cancer and diabetes mellitus (defined as "cases") was matched with two other female subjects diagnosed with breast cancer, but without baseline diabetes mellitus (defined as "controls"). The following matching criteria were used: age at diagnosis, body mass index category, ethnicity, menopausal status and tumor stage (as per the American Joint Committee on Cancer). Some matching limitations applied [1].

### 2.4. Demographic and clinical data collection

Clinical and treatment history was documented by medical chart review. Vital status was obtained from the Institute's Tumor Registry, a local database updated biannually with data obtained from the National Comprehensive Cancer Networks' Oncology Outcomes Database. Outcomes of interest were breast cancer recurrence and/or death. For additional details concerning data collection, specific definitions regarding censoring and drug use, and a comprehensive demographic report, please see the original article [1].

### 2.5. Plasma specimen storage and retrieval

All the plasma specimens retrieved from long-term storage were individually aliquoted in color coded vials labeled with unique, subject specific barcodes. Overall duration of freezing time was accounted for all matched controls ensuring that the case and matched control specimens had similar overall storage conditions. Only two instances of freeze-thaw were allowed between biobank retrieval and biomarker analyses: aliquoting procedure step and actual assay.

## 2.6. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and Luminex<sup>®</sup> assays

A total of 7 biomarkers (adiponectin, leptin, C-reactive protein, interleukine-6, interleukine-1 $\beta$ , interleukine-1Ra, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , and C-peptide) were quantified using either enzymelinked immunosorbent or Luminex<sup>®</sup> assays, as described by Wintrob et al. [1]. A quantitative colorimetric enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was performed for detection of C-reactive protein, according to manufacturer protocol (Genway Biotek Inc., San Diego, CA). The following Luminex<sup>®</sup> biomarker panels were utilized in this study: human cytokine/chemokine panel I (MPXHCYTO-60K for interleukine-1 $\beta$  and interleukine-1Ra), human high sensitivity cytokine/chemokine panel (HSCYTO-60SK for interleukine-6 and tumor necrosis factor $\alpha$ ), human cardiovascular disease panel I (HCVD1-67AK for adiponectin), and human endocrine panel (HENDO-65K for leptin and c-peptide) produced by Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA.

## 2.7. Biomarker-pharmacotherapy association analysis

Biomarker cut-point optimization was performed for each analyzed biomarker. Biomarker levels constituted the continuous independent variable that was subdivided into two groups that optimized the log rank test among all possible cut-point selections yielding a minimum of 10 patients in any resulting group. Quartiles were also constructed. The resultant biomarker categories were then tested for association with type 2 diabetes mellitus therapy and controls by Fisher's exact test. The continuous biomarker levels were also tested for association with diabetes therapy and controls across groups by the Kruskall-Wallis test and pairwise by the Wilcoxon rank sum. Multivariate adjustments were performed accounting for age, tumor stage, body mass index, estrogen receptor status, and cumulative comorbidity. The biomarker analysis was performed using R Version 2.15.3. Please see the original article for an illustration of the analysis workflow [1].

Correlations between biomarkers stratified by type 2 diabetes mellitus pharmacotherapy and controls were assessed by the Pearson method. Correlation models were constructed both with and without adjustment for age, body mass index, and the combined comorbidity index. Correlation analyses were performed using SAS Version 9.4.

## **Funding sources**

This research was funded by the following Grant awards: Wadsworth Foundation Peter Rowley Breast Cancer Grant awarded to A.C.C. (UB Grant Number 55705, Contract CO26588), the New York State Council of Health-system Pharmacists Research and Education Foundation (NYSCHP-REF) Oncology Leadership Grant awarded to A.C.C. (UB Grant Number 50151), and the NYSCHP-REF Clinical Pharmacy Award TO A.C.C. (UB Grant Number 53967).

### Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge the valuable help of Dr. Chi-Chen Hong with case-control matching.

#### Transparency document. Supplementary material

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.060.

#### Reference

 Z.A.P. Wintrob, J.P. Hammel, T. Khoury, G.K. Nimako, H.-W. Fu, Z.S. Fayazi, D.P. Gaile, A. Forrest, A.C. Ceacareanu, Insulin use, adipokine profiles and breast cancer prognosis, Cytokine (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2016.10.017 (in press).