Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Manojit Bhattacharya, Fakir Mohan University, India

REVIEWED BY

Dai Wang, Merck & Co., Inc., United States Bharat Bhusan Patnaik, Fakir Mohan University, India Deepanwita Bose, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Robert L. Murphy r-murphy@northwestern.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 18 April 2022 ACCEPTED 26 August 2022 PUBLISHED 20 September 2022

CITATION

Murphy RL, Paramithiotis E, Sugden S, Chermak T, Lambert B, Montamat-Sicotte D, Mattison J and Steinhubl S (2022) The need for more holistic immune profiling in nextgeneration SARS-CoV-2 vaccine trials. *Front. Immunol.* 13:923106. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.923106

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Murphy, Paramithiotis, Sugden, Chermak, Lambert, Montamat-Sicotte, Mattison and Steinhubl. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The need for more holistic immune profiling in nextgeneration SARS-CoV-2 vaccine trials

Robert L. Murphy^{1,2*}, Eustache Paramithiotis³, Scott Sugden³, Todd Chermak³, Bruce Lambert¹, Damien Montamat-Sicotte³, John Mattison⁴ and Steve Steinhubl⁵

¹Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, United States, ²Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, United States, ³CellCarta, Montreal, QC, Canada, ⁴Arsenal Capital, New York City, NY, United States, ⁵physlQ, Chicago, IL, United States

First-generation anit-SARS-CoV-2 vaccines were highly successful. They rapidly met an unforeseen emergency need, saved millions of lives, and simultaneously eased the burden on healthcare systems worldwide. The first-generation vaccines, however, focused too narrowly on antibody-based immunity as the sole marker of vaccine trial success, resulting in large knowledge gaps about waning vaccine protection, lack of vaccine robustness to viral mutation, and lack of efficacy in immunocompromised populations. Detailed reviews of first-generation vaccines, including their mode of action and geographical distribution, have been published elsewhere. Second-generation clinical trials must address these gaps by evaluating a broader range of immune markers, including those representing cell-mediated immunity, to ensure the most protective and long-lasting vaccines are brought to market.

KEYWORDS

immune profiling, SARS - CoV - 2, vaccine trial design, vaccines, COVID - 19, cellular immunity

Circulating anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels naturally drop over time (1). This does not mean that antibody-mediated immune protection has disappeared, as memory B cells remain in circulation ready to reactivate upon new SARS-CoV-2 exposures (1–3). It does mean that antibody titers cannot be used as a marker of continuous long-term protection. Moreover, measuring antibody titers or antibody-mediated neutralization provides little indication of vaccine efficacy against novel variants of concern (VoC). The spike protein used by SARS-CoV-2 to enter cells, which is the major target of antibodybased immunity, is subject to mutations that allow the virus to escape detection by antibodies generated by vaccines (4). It is currently extremely difficult to determine the

extent to which antibodies generated against previous infections or vaccinations will recognize spike proteins for new VoC. These gaps in understanding brought about by measurements of antibodies alone have caused real-world consequences. Immunological protection against infection appears to wane more rapidly than anticipated (5), and previously non-existent VoC have been shown to circumvent vaccine-induced immunity against the ancestral strain, although morbidity and mortality are still reduced (6, 7). Finally, some people living with immunecompromising conditions will not make antibodies correctly or in effective quantity [see discussion in (8)]. Antibody-deficient people may still be afforded vaccine-induced protection via the cell-mediated branch of the immune system (9), but focusing exclusively on antibodies as the measure on vaccine success leaves this possibility unevaluated, and consequently, many immunocompromised people have little or no indication of their true vaccine protection status.

These three inadequacies—waning protection, lack of robustness to mutation, and lack of efficacy in immunocompromised subgroups - have resulted in many policy recommendations to perform 3rd, and even 4th booster campaigns at 4-6-month intervals. Vaccine boosters at such regularity are a poor solution for many reasons. Logistics and operational costs of repeated global vaccination campaigns are not sustainable. First-generation vaccination programs required heavy subsidies from government bodies to bring them to market and render them affordable to all (10). Updating current vaccine formulas to address novel emergent VoC is not a viable long-term strategy either, because the period of dominance for any particular VoC may be too short, not allowing sufficient time to revise and distribute new vaccine formulas before the bulk of mortality/morbidity caused by the VoC has passed. Also, as discussed above, with the current antibody-centric metrics of vaccine effectiveness, some immunocompromised people may never be regarded as reaching sufficient protection regardless of the number of administered vaccine boosters, although this might not necessarily be the case. Given the unsuitability of frequent vaccination as a long-term solution, second-generation vaccine trials should strive to address these three inadequacies by broadening their metrics of vaccine success to include measures of cell-mediated immunity along with measures of antibody titres.

Second-generation vaccines should be designed at the outset with the express intent of maximizing their duration of effectiveness against all SARS-CoV-2 variants as well as increasing their resistance to novel viral mutations. This may be accomplished in part by designing vaccines to maximize the contribution of cell-mediated immunity. Here, cues may be taken from the field of immune-oncology where many vaccination strategies attempt to favor cell-mediated immune responses. Strategies include rational design of MHC-restricted epitopes as a part of vaccine development; targeting peptide vaccines to intracellular compartments within antigen presenting cells *via* viral, bacterial, liposomal, chemical, or peptide-based vectors; and specific adjuvate formulations (11). Route of vaccine entry is also an important consideration, as direct stimulation of mucosal cell-mediated immunity may result in stronger cell-mediated immune responses at the main sites of viral entry (12).

Recent evidence suggests that cell-mediated immune responses may help address all three major challenges in parallel: 1) they are longer lasting than antibody-mediated responses (13), 2) they have the potential to target a larger repertoire of viral antigens—including internal antigens not expressed at the virion's surface—making them less suspectable to escape mutations (14–18), and 3) they can provide protection in people with deficiencies in antibodymediated responses (19–21). Given these advantages, cellmediated vaccines are currently being developed in small animal models (22, 23). T cell vaccine epitopes injected into antibody-deficient transgenic mice have confirmed the generation of protective vaccine-specific CD8+ T cells with enhanced polyfunctional cytokine production capable of developing into effector-memory phenotypes (22).

Second-generation vaccine developers also face important challenges in designing clinical trials. The worldwide topology of the anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunological landscape has greatly changed since the time of the first-generation clinical trials. Most participants in first-generation trials had no prior SARS-CoV-2 immunity. In contrast, second-generation vaccine trials will enroll subjects with varying degrees of previously existing anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity. At the time of this writing, approximately 66% of the world has already received partial or full vaccinations in some form or another (24), and 481 million cases of COVID-19 have been confirmed worldwide (25), although infection rates are likely under-estimated due to under-diagnosis and lack of reporting of home test results. Pre-existing immunity to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection may vary depending on individual and population-level immune statuses (20, 21) and/or the type of vaccine received (26), which can be further complicated by "mix-and-match" vaccination (27, 28). If participants are simply enrolled via population demographics, differing levels of immune competence and preexisting anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity will confound the clinical trial data. To control for these variables, the immunological status of trial participants must be evaluated at trial enrollment. This will allow segmentation of enrollees into distinct cohorts at study outset, and will ensure sufficient statistical power for specific subpopulations of interest. Self-reporting of previous infection is been an unreliable means of cohort segregation because many people experience asymptotic COVID infection and may have imperfect recollection of their vaccine and infection history. Immune profiling at trial screening should therefore aim to be holistic, focusing on both antibody and cell-mediated immune responses.

Although humoral immunity is relatively straightforward to assess, cell-mediated immunity involves the complex interplay of many effector cell types (29). It is also worth noting that the signature of pre-existing cell-mediated immune protection for healthy people will not necessarily be the same as for those living with immunocompromising conditions. As such, careful consideration must be taken when selecting the biomarkers of anti-COVID cell-mediated immunity both pre and post vaccination. At a minimum, CD4+ T cell function should be measured. CD4+ T cell function is the foundation of most cellmediated and humoral effector activities, and therefore it is the most likely to remain consistent between fully immune competent and immunocompromised persons. Indeed, CD4+ T cell help is associated with protection conferred through antibodies (30) or effector T cells (31), and has been correlated with protection in people with suppressed immune systems (32). More specific correlates of vaccine-induced cell-mediated immunity are yet to be defined. Some clues can be drawn from natural infection and animal studies. These include reduced SARS-CoV-2 specific Tregs (33, 34), CD4+/CD8+ cell ratios (35), the presence of SARS-CoV-2 specific memory T cells in the lungs (36), and serum sST2 levels (37).

Ultimately, the correlates of vaccine-induced cell-mediated immune protection must be characterized within clinical trials for vaccines designed to generate cell-mediated immune responses. This represents somewhat of an unfortunate paradox: biomarkers of cell-mediated protection are required for clinical trials, but clinical trials are required to identify cell-mediated biomarkers associated with protection. Clinical trials must move forward with the intent of identifying the biomarkers which best correlate with clinical outcomes in the face of the current uncertainty.

Moreover, key biomarkers of protection will likely come in two types. Some biomarkers of protection may reflect generalizable immunologic responses, indicative of a healthy cell-mediated immune system, but independent of the specific vaccine antigens. These biomarkers could represent red herrings, as they may correlate with positive clinical outcomes, but not truly represent causative correlates of protection. In contrast, other biomarkers will reflect SARS-CoV-2 specific responses that provide vaccine-specific immunological protection. Only through the collection of a broad array of cell-mediated vaccine responses will we be able to distinguish these two types of biomarkers.

Many parameters affect vaccine-induced cellular immunity. These including vaccine modalities, antigen repertoires, adjuvants, delivery routes, doses, and dose intervals. When comparing different vaccines, it is important that we work towards the standardization of assays and methodologies to best deconvolute the relative contributions of these parameters. This will facilitate the optimization of future vaccines and vaccination regimes to achieve optimal cell-mediated immune responses.

Compared to antibody-based approaches, measurements of cellular immunity pre and post vaccination present extra challenges with regards to shipping, handling, and storage logistics, as they require viable cells (38). These logistical challenges are not insurmountable. Measurements of cellular immunity are implemented routinely in clinical trials for a variety of indications (39-44). Profiling of immunological cells at trial screening is absolutely required for CAR-T programs to ensure proper T cell depletion pre-treatment, and proper CAR-T infusion post-treatment (45). Immunological profiling at trial screening is also relatively commonplace for companion diagnostics in the oncology field (39), and evaluation of vaccine efficiency in a highly pre-exposed population is routine in influenza vaccine trials. Recently, results from a phase I clinical trial evaluating a T cell-stimulating peptide vaccine were published (46). This trial demonstrated favourable safety profiles with a broad and potent induction of T cell responses independent of the variant of concern (VoC) status. phase II trials evaluating T cell vaccines in B cell or antibody deficient patients are ongoing.

Still, it must be acknowledged that evaluating cell-mediated immunity on +30,000 participants of phase III clinical trial may not always be feasible. As a starting point, the pre-vaccination immune status of a sub-group of study participants should be evaluated, focusing particularly on immunologically vulnerable demographics such as the elderly. This approach is already in practice for influenza vaccine programs (41, 42). Once this paradigm is established, it should be expanded to include representation from all demographics and immune statuses.

Alternate solutions are also in development, such as wearable sensors capable of correlating vaccine-induced physiological changes to antibody and cell-mediated responses (47), Interferon release assays (48), TCR sequencing systems (49), and skin tests (50). Innovations link these could greatly reduce the cost and complication of evaluating the cell-mediated immune response, rendering these assessments more accessible for clinical trials.

Put simply, the benefits of pre-vaccine immune assessments greatly outweigh the costs. Given the variability and prevalence of previous vaccination and/or SARS-CoV-2 infection, pre-vaccine immune assessments are now essential to the development of second-generation vaccines and the design of their corresponding clinical trials. Moreover, evaluation of cell-mediated immune function must be included, as neglecting to do so will leave questions of vaccine waning, resilience to VoC, and effectiveness in immunocompromised cases unanswered. Without these additions, clinical trial data will be greatly confounded, impairing the ability of regulators and governments to select the most efficacious, robust, and long-lasting vaccines possible.

With the huge incidence of infections accompanying each successive wave of SARS-CoV-2 variants, we have a perfect, yet transient, opportunity to expand our understanding of cellmediated immunity and apply that understanding to how we protect the most vulnerable members of society through vaccination practice. Unless we study cell-mediated immunity during controlled vaccine trials, we will miss an opportunity that we might not see again until the next pandemic. The opportunity is NOW, and the consequences of missing that opportunity could truly cost millions of lives in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

The CoVAXCEN consortium is supported by the Robert J. Havey, MD Institute for Global Health's gift fund at Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine,

Arsenal Capital, and the Aimee and Stephen McLean Family Fund.

Conflict of interest

Authors EP, SSu, TC and DM-S were employed by company CellCarta. Authors TC and JM were employed by company Arsenal Capital. Author SSt was employed by company physIQ.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Vaisman-Mentesh A, Dror Y, Tur-Kaspa R, Markovitch D, Kournos T, Dicker D, et al. SARS-CoV-2 specific memory b cells frequency in recovered patient remains stable while antibodies decay over time. *Infect Dis (except HIV/ AIDS)* (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.08.23.20179796

2. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, Hastie KM, Yu ED, Faliti CE, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science* (2021) 371:eabf4063. doi: 10.1126/science.abf4063

3. Goel RR, Apostolidis SA, Painter MM, Mathew D, Pattekar A, Kuthuru O, et al. Distinct antibody and memory b cell responses in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and recovered individuals after mRNA vaccination. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6:eabi6950. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abi6950

4. Fenwick C, Turelli P, Pellaton C, Farina A, Campos J, Raclot C, et al. A high-throughput cell- and virus-free assay shows reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants by COVID-19 convalescent plasma. *Sci Transl Med* (2021) 13(605): eabi8452. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abi8452.

 Waning 2-dose and 3-dose effectiveness of mRNA vaccines against COVID-19– associated emergency department and urgent care encounters and hospitalizations among adults during periods of delta and omicron variant predominance — VISION network, 10 states, august 2021-January 2022. Available at: www.cdc.gov/mmwr/ volumes/71/wr/mm7107e2.htm (Accessed April 1st 2022).

6. Arbel R, Hammerman A, Sergienko R, Friger M, Peretz A, Netzer D, et al. BNT162b2 vaccine booster and mortality due to covid-19. *N Engl J Med* (2021) 385:2413–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2115624

7. Liu C, Ginn HM, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Wang B, Tuekprakhon A, et al. Reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 by vaccine and convalescent serum. *Cell* (2021) 184:4220–4236.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.06.020

 Paramithiotis E, Sugden S, Papp E, Bonhomme M, Chermak T, Crawford SY, et al. Cellular immunity is critical for assessing COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness in immunocompromised individuals. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:880784. doi: 10.3389/ fmmu.2022.880784

9. Hagin D, Freund T, Navon M, Halperin T, Adir D, Marom R, et al. Immunogenicity of pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in patients with inborn errors of immunity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2021) 148:739–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2021.05.029

10. Congressional Research Service. *Domestic funding for COVID-19 vaccines:* An overview (Accessed April 1st 2022).

11. Rapaka RR, Cross AS, McArthur MA. Using adjuvants to drive T cell responses for next-generation infectious disease vaccines. *Vaccines* (2021) 9:820. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9080820

12. Dhama K, Dhawan M, Tiwari R, Emran TB, Mitra S, Rabaan AA, et al. COVID-19 intranasal vaccines: current progress, advantages, prospects, and challenges. *Hum Vaccines Immunotherapeutics* (2022), 18(5):2045853. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2022.2045853

13. Bonifacius A, Tischer-Zimmermann S, Dragon AC, Gussarow D, Vogel A, Krettek U, et al. COVID-19 immune signatures reveal stable antiviral T cell function despite declining humoral responses. *Immunity* (2021) 54:340–354.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.01.008

14. Tarke A, Sidney J, Methot N, Yu ED, Zhang Y, Dan JM, et al. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants on the total CD4+ and CD8+ T cell reactivity in infected or vaccinated individuals. *Cell Rep Med* (2021) 2:100355. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100355

15. Geers D, Shamier MC, Bogers S, den Hartog G, Gommers L, Nieuwkoop NN, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern partially escape humoral but not T cell responses in COVID-19 convalescent donors and vaccine recipients. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6:eabj1750.

16. Peng Y, Mentzer AJ, Liu G, Yao X, Yin Z, Dong D, et al. Broad and strong memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells induced by SARS-CoV-2 in UK convalescent individuals following COVID-19. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21:1336–45. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0782-6

17. Redd AD, Nardin A, Kared H, Bloch EM, Pekosz A, Laeyendecker O, et al. CD8+ T cell responses in COVID-19 convalescent individuals target conserved epitopes from multiple prominent SARS-CoV-2 circulating variants. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2021) 8(7):ofab143.doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab143

18. Nelde A, Bilich T, Heitmann JS, Maringer Y, Salih HR, Roerden M, et al. SARS-CoV-2-derived peptides define heterologous and COVID-19-induced T cell recognition. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22:74–85. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00808-x

19. Steiner S, Schwarz T, Corman VM, Sotzny F, Bauer S, Drosten C, et al. Reactive T cells in convalescent COVID-19 patients with negative SARS-CoV-2 antibody serology. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:687449. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.687449

20. Quinti I, Locatelli F, Carsetti R. The immune response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination: Insights learned from adult patients with common variable immune deficiency. *Front Immunol* (2022) 12:815404. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.815404

21. Salinas AF, Mortari EP, Terreri S, Quintarelli C, Pulvirenti F, Di Cecca S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccine induced atypical immune responses in antibody defects: Everybody does their best. *J Clin Immunol* (2021) 41:1709–22. doi: 10.1007/s10875-021-01133-0

22. Pardieck IN, van der Gracht ETI, Veerkamp DMB, Behr FM, van Duikeren S, Beyrend G, et al. A third vaccination with a single T cell epitope confers protection in a murine model of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Commun* (2021) 13 (1):3966. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-31721-6

23. Matchett WE, Joag V, Stolley JM, Shepherd FK, Quarnstrom CF, Mickelson CK, et al. Cutting edge: Nucleocapsid vaccine elicits spike-independent SARS-CoV-2 protective immunity. *JI* (2021) 207:376–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100421

24. The New York Times. *Tracking coronavirus vaccination around the world*. Available at: www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/world/covid-vaccinations-tracker.html (Accessed March 29th 2022).

25. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. (Accessed March 29th 2022).

26. Zhang Z, Mateus J, Coelho CH, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, Gálvez RI, et al. Humoral and cellular immune memory to four COVID-19 vaccines. *Cell* (2022) 185(14):2434–2451.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.022

27. Liu X, Shaw RH, Stuart ASV, Greenland M, Aley PK, Andrews NJ, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of heterologous versus homologous prime-boost schedules with an adenoviral vectored and mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (Com-COV): a single-blind, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* (2021) 398:856–69. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01694-9

28. Schmidt T, Klemis V, Schub D, Mihm J, Hielscher F, Marx S, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/mRNA vaccination. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27(9):1530–1535. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01464-w

29. Mahnke YD, Brodie TM, Sallusto F, Roederer M, Lugli E. The who's who of T-cell differentiation: Human memory T-cell subsets: HIGHLIGHTS. *Eur J Immunol* (2013) 43:2797–809. doi: 10.1002/eji.201343751

30. Pušnik J, Richter E, Schulte B, Dolscheid-Pommerich R, Bode C, Putensen C, et al. Memory b cells targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and their dependence on CD4+ T cell help. *Cell Rep* (2021) 35:109320. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109320

31. Ansari A, Arya R, Sachan S, Jha SN, Kalia A, Lall A, et al. Immune memory in mild COVID-19 patients and unexposed donors reveals persistent T cell responses after SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:636768. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.636768

32. Reuken PA, Andreas N, Grunert PC, Glöckner S, Kamradt T, Stallmach A. T Cell response after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in immunocompromised patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohn's Colitis* (2022) 16:251–8. doi: 10.1093/ ecco-jcc/jjab147

33. Caldrer S, Mazzi C, Bernardi M, Prato M, Ronzoni N, Rodari P, et al. Regulatory T cells as predictors of clinical course in hospitalised COVID-19 patients. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:789735. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.789735

34. Meckiff BJ, Ramírez-Suástegui C, Fajardo V, Chee SJ, Kusnadi A, Simon H, et al. Imbalance of regulatory and cytotoxic SARS-CoV-2-Reactive CD4+ T cells in COVID-19. *Cell* (2020) 183:1340–1353.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.001

35. Gao M, Liu Y, Guo M, Wang Q, Wang Y, Fan J, et al. Regulatory CD4 $^+$ and CD8 $^+$ T cells are negatively correlated with CD4 $^+$ /CD8 $^+$ T cell ratios in patients acutely infected with SARS-CoV-2. *J Leukoc Biol* (2021) 109:91–7. doi: 10.1002/JLB.5COVA0720-421RR

36. Szabo PA, Dogra P, Gray JI, Wells SB, Connors TJ, Weisberg SP, et al. Longitudinal profiling of respiratory and systemic immune responses reveals

myeloid cell-driven lung inflammation in severe COVID-19. Immunity (2021) 54:797-814.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.03.005

37. Ragusa R, Basta G, Del Turco S, Caselli C. A possible role for ST2 as prognostic biomarker for COVID-19. *Vasc Pharmacol* (2021) 138:106857. doi: 10.1016/j.vph.2021.106857

38. Paramithiotis E, Sugden S, Papp E, Bonhomme M, Chermak T, Crawford S, et al. Cellular immunity is critical for assessing COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness in immunocompromised individuals. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:880784. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.880784

39. Lim KP, Zainal NS. Monitoring T cells responses mounted by therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Front Mol Biosci* (2021) 8:623475. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.623475

40. Cranston RD, Brown E, Bauermeister J, Dunne EF, Hoesley C, Ho K, et al. A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1 safety, and pharmacokinetic study of dapivirine gel (0.05%) administered rectally to HIV-1 seronegative adults (MTN-026). *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* (2021) 38(4):257–268. doi: 10.1089/aid.2021.0071

41. Pillet S, Aubin ÉVerifytat, Trépanier S, Poulin J-F, Yassine-Diab B, ter Meulen J, et al. Humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to H5N1 plantmade virus-like particle vaccine are differentially impacted by alum and GLA-SE adjuvants in a phase 2 clinical trial. *NPJ Vaccines* (2018) 3:3. doi: 10.1038/s41541-017-0043-3

42. Pillet S, Couillard J, Trépanier S, Poulin J-F, Yassine-Diab B, Guy B, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent plant-derived virus like particle influenza vaccine candidate–two randomized phase II clinical trials in 18 to 49 and ≥50 years old adults. *PloS One* (2019) 14:e0216533. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216533

43. Jain N, Keating M, Thompson P, Ferrajoli A, Burger JA, Borthakur G, et al. Ibrutinib plus venetoclax for first-line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: A nonrandomized phase 2 trial. *JAMA Oncol* (2021) 7:1213. doi: 10.1001/ jamaoncol.2021.1649

44. Cramer P, Tausch E, von Tresckow J, Giza A, Robrecht S, Schneider C, et al. Durable remissions following combined targeted therapy in patients with CLL harboring *TP53* deletions and/or mutations. *Blood* (2021) 138:1805–16. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020010484

45. Kasakovski D, Xu L, Li Y. T Cell senescence and CAR-T cell exhaustion in hematological malignancies. *J Hematol Oncol* (2018) 11:91. doi: 10.1186/s13045-018-0629-x

46. Heitmann JS, Bilich T, Tandler C, Nelde A, Maringer Y, Marconato M, et al. A COVID-19 peptide vaccine for the induction of SARS-CoV-2 T cell immunity. *Nature* (2022) 601:617–22. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04232-5

47. Mason AE, Kasl P, Hartogensis W, Natale JL, Dilchert S, Dasgupta S, et al. Metrics from wearable devices as candidate predictors of antibody response following vaccination against COVID-19: Data from the second TemPredict study. *Vaccines* (2022) 10:264. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10020264

48. Tan AT, Lim JME, Le Bert N, Kunasegaran K, Chia A, Qui MDC, et al. Rapid measurement of SARS-CoV-2 spike T cells in whole blood from vaccinated and naturally infected individuals. *J Clin Invest* (2021) 131:e152379. doi: 10.1172/JCI152379

49. Dalai SC, Dines JN, Snyder TM, Gittelman RM, Eerkes T, Vaney P, et al. Clinical validation of a novel T-cell receptor sequencing assay for identification of recent or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2022) ciac353. doi: 10.1093/ cid/ciac353

50. Barrios Y, Franco A, Alava-Cruz C, Cuesta-Martin R, Camara C, Matheu V. Easy approach to detect cell immunity to COVID vaccines in common variable immunodeficiency patients. *Allergol Immunopathol* (2022) 50:101–5. doi: 10.15586/aei.v50i3.583