



## Draft Genome Sequence of *Salinivibrio* sp. Strain EAGSL, a Biotechnologically Relevant Halophilic Microorganism

🝺 Erin M. Gaffney,<sup>a</sup> 🝺 Matteo Grattieri,<sup>a</sup> 🝺 Shelley D. Minteer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

**ABSTRACT** The halophilic bacterium *Salinivibrio* sp. strain EAGSL was isolated from the Great Salt Lake (Utah) for use in microbial electrochemical technologies experiencing fluctuating salt concentrations. Genome sequencing was performed with Ion Torrent technology, and the assembled genome reported here is 3,234,770 bp with a GC content of 49.41%.

**S***alinivibrio* sp. strain EAGSL is a Gram-negative, halotolerant, aerobic bacterium that was isolated from the Great Salt Lake (Utah) for its unique capability to establish electrical communication with an electrode surface (1). Microbial electrochemical technologies (METs) use this capability to employ bacteria as biocatalysts for distributed microgeneration/small-scale generation of energy, green electrosynthesis, and biosensing and are of particular interest for environmental applications due to their long-term stability, owing to the self-replicative nature of bacteria (2, 3). However, few bacterial strains are known to be fit for environmental METs, due to the requirements for both anodic respiration activity and tolerance of dynamic environmental METs remain relatively limited (5–9). Halophilic bacteria are appealing for microbial electrochemical treatment of harsh industrial wastewater (10), and *Salinivibrio* sp. strain EAGSL has shown self-sustained treatment of hypersaline wastewater containing 100 g liter<sup>-1</sup> NaCl, allowing for understanding and development of METs capable of operation under environmental stress (1).

Salinivibrio sp. strain EAGSL was grown in growth medium for sulfate-reducing bacteria, due to reports of such bacteria in the Great Salt Lake, with the addition of 100 g liter<sup>-1</sup> NaCl (11). The cells were then centrifuged for 20 min at 5,000  $\times$  *g*, and the pellet was used for genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction with a GenElute bacterial gDNA kit (NA2110; Millipore, Sigma). Whole-genome sequencing was performed by the DNA Sequencing Core Facility at the University of Utah using Ion Torrent technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific). One hundred nanograms of gDNA was used for library construction with the Ion Xpress fragment library kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific); library size selection (approximately 200 bp) was performed using the E-Gel system and SizeSelect 2% agarose gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Library size and concentration were confirmed with a Fragment Analyzer system using a high-sensitivity next-generation sequencing (NGS) kit (Agilent Technologies). The gDNA library was diluted to 23 pM and subjected to emulsion PCR using the Ion PI Hi-Q 200 template kit (Life Technologies). After enrichment, the final library was loaded onto an Ion PI chip and sequenced using the Ion Torrent Proton platform with Hi-Q sequencing chemistry. Reads were trimmed of adapter sequences and poor-quality regions by the lon Torrent system, resulting in a total of 63,412,335 reads with a median length of 190 bp, giving an average read depth of 1.193×.

The resulting reads were assembled with SPAdes version 3.13.0 (12) (https://cab .spbu.ru/software/spades) using default settings, with the exception of using the Citation Gaffney EM, Grattieri M, Minteer SD. 2020. Draft genome sequence of *Salinivibrio* sp. strain EAGSL, a biotechnologically relevant halophilic microorganism. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e01020-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MRA.01020-20.

Editor J. Cameron Thrash, University of Southern California

**Copyright** © 2020 Gaffney et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Shelley D. Minteer, minteer@chem.utah.edu.

Received 2 September 2020 Accepted 2 October 2020 Published 22 October 2020 iontorrent option. The assembly was checked using QUAST (13) (http://cab.cc.spbu.ru/ quast), with gene prediction and annotation by the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) feature with deposition. The resulting assembly contains 54 contigs, with an  $N_{50}$  value of 146,331 bp; the longest contig is 317,291 bp. The total genome assembly is 3.2 Mbp, with an average GC content of 49.41%, and has a total of 2,929 predicted coding sequences.

**Data availability.** The draft whole-genome sequence and raw data are available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) with genome accession number JABWMG000000000, BioProject number PRJNA630393, BioSample number SAMN14833495, and SRA accession number SRR12507111.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sequencing was performed at the DNA Sequencing Core Facility, University of Utah. We thank the National Science Foundation for funding through grant number 1561427.

## REFERENCES

- Alkotaini B, Tinucci SL, Robertson SJ, Hasan K, Minteer SD, Grattieri M. 2018. Alginate-encapsulated bacteria for the treatment of hypersaline solutions in microbial fuel cells. ChemBioChem 19:1162–1169. https://doi .org/10.1002/cbic.201800142.
- Grattieri M, Hasan K, Minteer SD. 2017. Bioelectrochemical systems as a multipurpose biosensing tool: present perspective and future outlook. ChemElectroChem 4:834–842. https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.201600507.
- Grattieri M, Minteer SD. 2018. Self-powered biosensors. ACS Sens 3:44–53. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.7b00818.
- Torres CI. 2014. On the importance of identifying, characterizing, and predicting fundamental phenomena towards microbial electrochemistry applications. Curr Opin Biotechnol 27:107–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .copbio.2013.12.008.
- Ieropoulos IA, Stinchcombe A, Gajda I, Forbes S, Merino-Jimenez I, Pasternak G, Sanchez-Herranz D, Greenman J. 2016. Pee power urinal-microbial fuel cell technology field trials in the context of sanitation. Environ Sci Water Res Technol 2:336–343. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EW00270B.
- Babanova S, Jones J, Phadke S, Lu M, Angulo C, Garcia J, Carpenter K, Cortese R, Chen S, Phan T, Bretschger O. 2020. Continuous flow, largescale, microbial fuel cell system for the sustained treatment of swine waste. Water Environ Res 92:60–72. https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1183.
- Schievano A, Colombo A, Grattieri M, Trasatti SP, Liberale A, Tremolada P, Pino C, Cristiani P. 2017. Floating microbial fuel cells as energy harvesters for signal transmission from natural water bodies. J Power Sources 340:80–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.11.037.

- Martinucci E, Pizza F, Perrino D, Colombo A, Trasatti SPM, Lazzarini Barnabei A, Liberale A, Cristiani P. 2015. Energy balance and microbial fuel cells experimentation at wastewater treatment plant Milano-Nosedo. Int J Hydrogen Energy 40:14683–14689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene .2015.08.100.
- Ewing T, Babauta JT, Atci E, Tang N, Orellana J, Heo D, Beyenal H. 2014. Self-powered wastewater treatment for the enhanced operation of a facultative lagoon. J Power Sources 269:284–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jpowsour.2014.06.114.
- Shrestha N, Chilkoor G, Vemuri B, Rathinam N, Sani RK, Gadhamshetty V. 2018. Extremophiles for microbial-electrochemistry applications: a critical review. Bioresour Technol 255:318–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech .2018.01.151.
- Brandt KK, Ingvorsen K. 1997. Desulfobacter halotolerans sp. nov., a halotolerant acetate-oxidizing sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from sediments of Great Salt Lake, Utah. Syst Appl Microbiol 20:366–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(97)80004-5.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.