

On the society of the

academic.oup.com/icb







Integrative Organismal Biology, pp. 1–21 doi:10.1093/iob/obaa042

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Vertebrae-Based Body Length Estimation in Crocodylians and Its Implication for Sexual Maturity and the Maximum Sizes

Masaya lijima $\mathbb{D}^{*,\dagger,\pm,1}$ and Tai Kubo[§]

*Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA; [†]Nagoya University Museum, Furocho, Chikusa-Ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan; [‡]Engineering Research Center for Mineral Resources and Mine Environments, School of Resource and Environmental Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, 193 Tunxi Road, Baohe, Hefei, Anhui 230009, China; [§]The University Museum, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

¹E-mail: miijima8@gmail.com

Synopsis Body size is fundamental to the physiology and ecology of organisms. Crocodyliforms are no exception, and several methods have been developed to estimate their absolute body sizes from bone measurements. However, species-specific sizes, such as sexually mature sizes and the maximum sizes were not taken into account due to the challenging maturity assessment of osteological specimens. Here, we provide a vertebrae-based method to estimate absolute and species-specific body lengths in crocodylians. Lengths of cervical to anterior caudal centra were measured and relations between the body lengths (snout–vent and total lengths [TLs]) and lengths of either a single centrum or a series of centra were modeled for extant species. Additionally, states of neurocentral (NC) suture closure were recorded for the maturity assessment. Comparisons of TLs and timings of NC suture closure showed that most extant crocodylians reach sexual maturity before closure of precaudal NC sutures. Centrum lengths (CLs) of the smallest individuals with closed precaudal NC sutures within species were correlated with the species maximum TLs in extant taxa; therefore, the upper or lower limit of the species maximum sizes can be determined from CLs and states of NC suture closure. The application of the current method to noncrocodylian crocodyliforms requires similar numbers of precaudal vertebrae, body proportions, and timings of NC suture closure as compared to extant crocodylians.

Introduction

Body size is an important trait related to most biological aspects of organisms (McMahon and Bonner 1983; Peters 1983; Schmidt-Nielsen 1984). In extant crocodylians, body size is associated with per-mass bite force (Erickson et al. 2003, 2014), terrestrial and aquatic locomotor capability (Bustard and Singh 1977a; Seebacher et al. 2003; Hutchinson et al. 2019), diving behavior (Cott 1961; Campbell et al. 2010; Grigg and Kirshner 2015), thermal relations (Grigg et al. 1998; Seebacher et al. 1999), movements and dispersal patterns (Hutton 1989; Kay 2004), and reproductive characteristics (e.g., egg mass and clutch size: Thorbjarnarson 1996; Verdade 2001). Differences in adult sizes facilitate niche segregation in sympatric crocodylian species (Ouboter 1996) and may result in differential interaction with the prey population and the surrounding environment (Somaweera et al. 2020). In the geologic time scale, macroevolutionary analysis of body size in extinct crocodyliforms can illuminate the rate and mode of the evolution and factors responsible for the long-term disparity patterns (Godoy et al. 2019; Gearty and Payne 2020; Solórzano et al. 2020).

Several osteological proxies have been used to estimate body size (snout-vent length [SVL], TL, and body mass) of crocodyliforms and their relatives: cranial measurements (e.g., head length and width: Wermuth 1964; Greer 1974; Webb and Messel 1978; Hutton 1987; Hall and Portier 1994; Woodward et al. 1995; Verdade 2000; Sereno et al. 2001; Hurlburt et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2006; Whitaker and Whitaker 2008; Young et al. 2011, 2016; Fukuda et al. 2013; Scheyer et al. 2013; O'Brien et al.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2019); appendicular bone measurements (e.g., femur length and width: Brochu 1992; Farlow et al. 2005; Young et al. 2011, 2016); scute length (Bustard and Singh 1977b). Recently, O'Brien et al. (2019) proposed a phylogenetic prediction of body size from the head width, which will be a strong tool when the animal's phylogenetic position is known within Crocodylia.

All previous methods estimated absolute body sizes of crocodyliforms, while species-specific sizes (e.g., sexually mature sizes and the maximum sizes) were not considered due to the lack of reliable maturity indicator in the skull and the appendicular skeleton, except for the histological features (Erickson and Brochu 1999; Lee 2004; Woodward et al. 2011). Maturity of the skull has been assessed using the external morphological characteristics including proportional relations of the skull regions, the shape of supratemporal fenestrae, and the degree of toothrow festooning (Mook 1921a; Kälin 1933; Iordansky 1973; Dodson 1975). However, these characteristics are often size-dependent instead of maturity-dependent (Iijima 2017) and can at best estimate broad ontogenetic stages (e.g., juvenile and adult). Unlike most tetrapods, skull sutures remain open throughout life in crocodylians, thus the degree of skull suture closure cannot be used for the maturity assessment (Bailleul et al. 2016). Osteological maturity can be assessed by the presence/absence of scars and tuberosity in the appendicular skeleton, though the applicability of this method to fossil forms is untested (Brochu 1992, 1996). The long bone surface texture has no relation to the skeletal maturity in crocodylians (Tumarkin-Deratzian et al. 2007). Osteological correlates of sexual maturity are only known in the snouts of male Gavialis gangeticus (Martin and Bellairs 1977; Hone et al. 2020), and few extinct taxa are expected to yield those.

The most popular and noninvasive indicator of skeletal maturity in crocodyliforms and their kin is neurocentral (NC) suture closure in vertebrae (Mook 1933a; Brochu 1996; Irmis 2007). In extant crocodylians, the NC suture closes sequentially from distal caudal vertebrae to anterior cervical vertebrae during ontogeny (Brochu 1996; Ikejiri 2012), and dwarf species show closure of precaudal NC sutures at smaller sizes than large species (Brochu 1996). Although suture closure timings may vary interspecifically, the same caudal to cranial closure sequence is likely shared among crocodyliforms (Irmis 2007).

In this study, we focus on crown-group crocodylians and provide a method to estimate absolute and species-specific body lengths of extinct crocodylians using the centrum lengths (CLs) and states of NC suture closure. Crown-group crocodylians share the same number of precaudal vertebrae (9 cervical, 15 dorsal, and 2 sacral vertebrae: Reese 1915; Mook 1921b; Hoffstetter and Gasc 1969; Iijima and Kubo 2019), allowing the body size estimation based on incompletely precaudal vertebrae. preserved Recently, sacralization of the last dorsal vertebra was reported in a Miocene caimanine Purussaurus mirandai (Scheyer et al. 2019), although it would not change the total number of precaudal vertebrae. Indeed, bilateral sacralization of the last dorsal or the first caudal vertebra similar to that in P. mirandai was also found in few extant crocodylian species (Osteolaemus tetraspis [AMNH 69057]; G. gangeticus [FMNH 98864]: M.I. personal observation). Here, we first model relations of the absolute SVL and TL against the lengths of either a single centrum or a series of centra in extant crocodylians. We then establish the relations of sexually mature body lengths and the species maximum body lengths against timings of NC suture closure in extant crocodylians. Finally, we estimate absolute and the species maximum body lengths of extinct taxa using the models of extant crocodylians.

Materials and methods

abbreviations: Institutional AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA; CM, Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; GMNH, Gunma Museum of Natural History, Tomioka, Gunma, Japan; MOU, Museum of Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Yangon, Japan; MZKB, Zaykaber Museum, Myanmar; NMNS, National Museum of Natural Science, Taichung, Taiwan; NTM, Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, Australia; QM, Queensland Museum, Brisbane, Australia; TMM, Texas Memorial Museum, Austin, TX, USA; UF, Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, FL, USA; USNM, Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC, USA; YPM, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA.

Specimens, measurements, and assessments of NC suture closure

For extant crocodylians, axial skeletons of 95 individuals from 18 species were sampled (Fig. 1; Supplementary data, Table S1). Our sampling covered all six extant subfamilies of crocodylians (Alligatorinae, Caimaninae, Crocodylinae, Osteolaeminae, Tomistominae, and Gavialinae: Brochu 2003). Sixty-seven individuals were wild-



Fig. 1 (A) Time-calibrated tree of 18 extant crocodylians examined in this study. The tree topology, root age (87.14 Myr), and divergence times were adopted from Oaks' (2011) analysis with 90 Myr upper limit on the root age. Numbers in parentheses are sample sizes for each species. Subfamily: A, Alligatorinae; Ca, Caimaninae; Cr, Crocodylinae; G, Gavialinae; O, Osteolaeminae; T, Tomistominae.

caught and 28 individuals were captive-raised or without locality data. The sample was variously represented by juveniles to adults. Sexes were known in few individuals, thus we pooled males, females, and unknown sex for the following analyses. The specimens were primarily dry cervical to anterior caudal vertebrae that allow CL measurements and assessments of states of NC suture closure.

CLs of the axis to 10th caudal vertebra were measured with the Tresna digital caliper to the nearest 0.01 mm. A CL was defined as the craniocaudal length along the mid-height of the centrum excluding the condyle (convex articular surface) (Iijima and Kubo 2019; Fig. 2). The axis CL includes the odontoid process, and the biconvex first caudal CL excludes both anterior and posterior condyles. Among 95 individuals examined, 46 individuals preserved a complete series of cervical to anterior caudal vertebrae, while 49 individuals were missing at least one centrum. A missing CL was estimated using the equation CL_{av}(CL_{sum.ind}/CL_{sum.av}), where CL_{av} is the average CL for the missing vertebral position in the same species (if not available, the same genus) with complete vertebral series, CL_{sum.ind} is the sum of the available CLs in the individual with missing vertebrae, and CL_{sum.av} is the sum of the average CLs of corresponding vertebral positions in the same species (if not available, the same genus).



Fig. 2 Measurements of CLs and the assessment of NC suture closure. From left to right, 1st dorsal, 12th dorsal, and 1st caudal vertebrae of *C. johnstoni* (QM J58446). Arrows represent open sutures.

States of NC suture closure were assessed using the criteria outlined in previous studies (Brochu 1992, 1996; Irmis 2007; Ikejiri 2012; Fig. 2). A fully open suture is the one that is visible along the entire neural arch-centrum contact. Partially closed and fully closed sutures exhibit partly obscured and completely obscured suture lines, respectively. The assessment was solely based on the observation of the external surface of the vertebrae. The degree of internal ossification (Ikejiri 2012) was disregarded here.

Relations of absolute body lengths (SVLs and TLs) and CLs

SVLs and/or TLs were available in 30 individuals from 10 species. If either SVL or TL was missing in these individuals, SVL–TL conversions were used to calculate the missing value based on the SVL and TL measurements and the conversion equations in the literature (Supplementary data, Table S1).

To estimate body lengths in extinct crocodylians, we formulated equations to derive SVLs and TLs from a single vertebra or a series of vertebrae. The axis to 10th caudal vertebra was divided into 10 regions (axis, 3–7th cervical vertebrae, 8th cervical to 2nd dorsal vertebrae, 3–10th, 11–14th, and 15th dorsal vertebrae, 1st and 2nd sacral vertebrae, 1st, 2–4th, and 5–10th caudal vertebrae) (Fig. 3). The regional boundaries of vertebrae were determined by the ease of positional identification and the degree of morphological and CL changes. The relations of SVLs and TLs against the average CLs in each region were modeled for 30 extant individuals with SVL and/or TL records using reduced major axis (RMA) regressions to estimate body lengths from a



Fig. 3 (a) Cervical to caudal vertebrae of *C. johnstoni* (QM J58446), illustrating the divisions of vertebrae used in this study. (B) CL profile of extant crocodylians. Normalized CLs were calculated as a CL divided by the ratio of the sum of the axis to 10th caudal CLs in the same individual to its average in 95 individuals from 18 species. Scale bar equals 5 cm.

single vertebra. We also modeled the relations of SVLs and TLs against the sum of CLs in a series of vertebrae (axis to 9th cervical vertebrae, 1–15th dorsal vertebrae, and axis to 15th dorsal vertebrae) with RMA regressions to estimate body lengths of well-preserved fossils. We used RMA instead of ordinary least squares (OLSs) regressions because al-though OLS is recommended when predicting the depending variable from the independent variable, RMA arguably performs better if a predicted value falls beyond the range of observed values (Smith 2009). Variables were log10 transformed prior to the regressions. RMA regressions were performed with the R package smatr (Warton et al. 2012; R Core Team 2016).

Relations of species-specific body lengths (sexually mature and the maximum TLs) and timings of NC suture closure

Previous studies of *Alligator mississippiensis* demonstrated that precaudal NC sutures close after both sexes reach sexual maturity, and NC suture closure progresses rapidly toward the axis after the first closure of a sacral centra (Brochu 1996; Ikejiri 2012). Given these observations, we chose the smallest individuals showing partially/fully closed NC sutures in precaudal vertebrae (hereafter referred to as the smallest osteologically mature individuals) in each species for the relative maturity comparison. Species were selected for the analysis if the TL difference between the smallest osteologically mature individual and the nearest sized individual with fully open precaudal vertebrae within species was <30%. Thirteen out of 18 species satisfied the cutoff criteria. Missing SVLs and TLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals in 13 extant species were calculated using the RMA equations of SVLs and TLs against the sum of the axis to 10th caudal CLs for 30 individuals with the body length records. Male and female sexually mature TLs were qualitatively compared with the smallest osteologically mature TL in each species. We did not conduct statistical tests because sex data were sparse in our sample.

For the species maximum body length estimations, relations between the maximum TLs and the CLs for the smallest osteologically mature individuals in 13 species were modeled using RMA regressions: the average CLs of each vertebral region (axis, 3–7th cervical vertebrae, 8th cervical to 2nd dorsal vertebrae, 3–10th, 11–14th, and 15th dorsal vertebrae, 1st and 2nd sacral vertebrae, 1st, 2–4th, and 5–10th caudal vertebrae) and the sum of CLs in a series of vertebrae (axis to 9th cervical vertebrae, 1–15th dorsal vertebrae, and axis to 15th dorsal vertebrae) were used for the modeling. Variables were log10 transformed prior to the regressions. Both males and females in 13 species were used for the modeling. The analysis of the pooled sex sample might complicate the model interpretation because extant crocodylians are sexually size-dimorphic and the maximum sizes are attained by males (e.g., Webb and Manolis 1989; Vliet 2020). However, males and females reach sexual maturity at similar sizes in most extant species (Ferguson 1985), and the timings of NC suture closure in the pooled sex sample of A. mississippiensis are rather uniform (Ikejiri 2012). Therefore, we assumed that suture closure timings relative to the absolute body lengths are similar in males and females in each species. Male and female sexually mature TLs and the maximum TLs in each species were adopted from the literature. SVLs were not used for the species-specific size comparison because TLs were more readily available in larger species. The use of size instead of age as the measure of maturity should be valid because sexual maturity is size-dependent in extant crocodylians (e.g., Webb and Manolis 1989; Vliet 2020). We did not use the phylogenetically generalized least squares model for the species regressions because the phylogenetic signal λ (Pagel 1999) was 0 and the null hypothesis of $\lambda = 1$ was rejected (P < 0.001) for all regressions, suggesting a negligible phylogenetic signal. The time-calibrated tree of 13 extant species used for the simultaneous estimations of λ (Revell 2010) was based on Oaks' (2011) tree (Fig. 1), deleting five species that were not included in the analysis. The analysis was performed with the R package caper (Orme et al. 2013).

Absolute and species-specific body length estimations in extinct crocodyliforms

With the regression models established for extant crocodylians, we estimated the absolute SVLs and TLs and the maximum TLs of extinct crocodylians. The absolute SVLs and TLs were estimated using the relations of SVLs and TLs against the CLs in 30 extant crocodylians with SVL and/or TL records. Similarly, the upper and lower limits of the species maximum TLs were estimated using the relation of the maximum TLs against the CLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals in 13 extant species. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of SVL, TL, and the upper and lower limits of the maximum TL estimates were calculated using 1000 bootstrap replicates of the dataset. Because the smallest osteologically mature individual was defined as the smallest one with partially/fully closed precaudal NC sutures, a specimen with closed precaudal vertebrae can predict the upper limit of the species maximum TL, and a specimen with open caudal vertebrae can predict the lower limit of the species maximum TL.

If a species is represented by multiple individuals with closed precaudal vertebrae and open caudal vertebrae, then the maximum TL estimate can be constrained by upper and lower limits. A single vertebra or a series of vertebrae was used for the maximum TL estimation, depending on the preservation of fossils.

We applied the vertebrae-based absolute and maximum body length estimations to the following extinct crocodylians: (1) Neogene and Quaternary gavialids in Asia (Toyotamaphimeia machikanensis from Japan [MOU F00001], Penghusuchus pani from Taiwan [NMNS 005645], and an indeterminate gavialine from Myanmar [MZKB F1280]); (2) Eocene crocodylians from the Bridger Formation of Wyoming ("Crocodylus" affinis [YPM 258], "Crocodylus" grinnelli [YPM 1344], Boverisuchus [AMNH 29993; USNM 12957], vorax and Borealosuchus wilsoni [USNM 12990]); (3) a giant alligatoroid Deinosuchus riograndensis (AMNH 3073) from the Campanian of Texas. We also extended the application of the current method to (4)dwarf noncrocodylian neosuchian а Pachycheilosuchus trinquei (USNM 427794) from the Albian of Texas (Supplementary data, Table S2). Pachycheilosuchus trinquei was previously recovered as a close relative of atoposaurids (Rogers 2003; Bronzati et al. 2012) or hylaeochampsids (Buscalioni 2011; Turner and Pritchard et 2015). al. Pachycheilosuchus trinquei is a noncrocodylian neosuchian and may not share the same vertebral formula with extant crocodylians; therefore, the body length estimates of P. trinquei should be interpreted with caution.

Results

CLs of the axis to 10th caudal vertebra and their states of NC suture closure were documented for 95 individuals from 18 extant species (Fig. 4; Supplementary data, Table S1).

For the estimations of body lengths in extinct crocodylians, the axis to 10th caudal vertebra was divided into 10 morphologically distinct regions (Fig. 3). The mean differences (%) between the minimum and average CLs and the maximum and average CLs in seven vertebral regions with multiple vertebrae are shown in Table 1. CL measurements are expected to differ from the averages by 2.0–5.8% in each vertebral region. For example, the mean difference between the length of the shortest 3rd dorsal centrum and the average CL within 3–10th dorsal vertebrae in 95 individuals is 5.7% (Table 1). A summary of the log–log regressions of



entially (fully closed NIC systems in 70 individuals from 12 species. Colourd

Fig. 4 Anteriormost positions of partially/fully closed NC sutures in 79 individuals from 13 species. Colored bars on top of the graph represent the smallest osteologically mature individuals (individuals showing partially/fully closed precaudal NC sutures) for 13 species. Am, A. mississippiensis; Ca, C. acutus; Cc, C. crocodilus; Cj, C. johnstoni; Cm, C. moreletii; Cp, C. porosus; Cr, C. rhombifer; Cy, C. yacare; Gg, G. gangeticus; Mn, M. niger; Ot, O. tetraspis; Pt, P. trigonatus; Ts, T. schlegelii.

Table 1Mean differences (%) between the minimum/maximum and average CLs in seven vertebral regions among 95 individuals from18 species

Mean difference (%) from the average CL	C2	C3–7 ave	C8–D2 ave	D3–10 ave	D11–14 ave	D15	S1–2 ave	Ca1	Ca2–4 ave	Ca5–10 ave
The minimum CL	_	4.5	5.0	5.7	4.3	_	3.0	-	5.8	2.5
The maximum CL	_	3.8	5.3	5.6	3.3	_	3.0	-	5.2	2.0
<u> </u>										

ave, average; C, cervical; Ca, caudal; D, dorsal; S, sacral.

SVLs and TLs against the average CLs within each vertebral region and the sum of CLs in a series of vertebrae for 30 individuals from 10 species with SVL and/or TL records is shown in Table 2. R^2 values were >0.95 for all regressions, indicating the strong correlations between the body lengths (SVLs and TLs) and the CLs.

SVLs and TLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals (individuals showing partially/fully closed precaudal NC sutures), male and female mature TLs, and the maximum TLs for 13 extant species are shown in Table 3. Missing SVLs (mm) and TLs (mm) of the smallest osteologically mature individuals of 13 extant species were estimated from the following equations using 30 individuals with the body length records: $SVL = 1.329(CL_{C2Ca10sum})^{0.980}$; $TL = 2.504(CL_{C2Ca10sum})^{0.983}$, where $CL_{C2-Ca10sum}$ stands for the sum of the CLs of the axis to 10th caudal vertebra. Three species (*Melanosuchus niger*, *O. tetraspis*, and *Tomistoma schlegelii*) did not have male mature TL records, thus those values were estimated from female mature TLs using the average ratio of male and female mature TLs in 10 other species (Table 3). The smallest osteologically mature TLs were equal or larger than both male and female sexually mature sizes in 10 species, and between male and female sexually mature sizes in three species (*Paleosuchus trigonatus*, *Crocodylus acutus*, and

Table 2 Regressions of SVLs and TLs against the average CLs within each vertebral region and the sum of CLs in a series of vertebrae using the individuals with SVL and TL records (n = 30)

Independent variable (x)	R ²	P-value	Elevation	Slope	Slope 95% Cl
log(SVL) on $log(x)$					
C2	0.972	< 0.001	1.472	0.999	0.936–1.066
C3–7ave	0.980	< 0.001	1.710	0.961	0.909–1.015
C8–D2ave	0.985	< 0.001	1.735	0.953	0.909–0.999
D3–10ave	0.981	< 0.001	1.626	0.990	0.939–1.043
D11–14ave	0.980	< 0.001	1.640	0.974	0.922–1.029
D15	0.978	< 0.001	1.717	0.956	0.903–1.011
S1–2ave	0.978	< 0.001	1.630	0.950	0.898–1.006
Ca1	0.968	< 0.001	1.681	1.001	0.934–1.072
Ca2–4ave	0.975	< 0.001	1.591	1.011	0.951–1.075
Ca5–10ave	0.974	< 0.001	1.589	0.984	0.924–1.047
C2–9sum	0.981	< 0.001	0.810	0.966	0.916–1.018
D1–15sum	0.983	< 0.001	0.495	0.980	0.931–1.031
C2–D15sum	0.984	< 0.001	0.329	0.976	0.929–1.025
log(TL) on $log(x)$					
C2	0.955	< 0.001	1.751	1.002	0.923–1.087
C3–7ave	0.962	< 0.001	1.990	0.963	0.894–1.039
C8–D2ave	0.970	< 0.001	2.015	0.956	0.894–1.022
D3–10ave	0.972	< 0.001	1.906	0.993	0.930–1.059
D11–14ave	0.977	< 0.001	1.920	0.976	0.920–1.036
D15	0.971	< 0.001	1.997	0.958	0.897-1.024
S1–2ave	0.972	< 0.001	1.910	0.953	0.893–1.017
Ca1	0.953	< 0.001	1.961	1.003	0.923–1.091
Ca2–4ave	0.960	< 0.001	1.871	1.014	0.939–1.095
Ca5–10ave	0.959	< 0.001	1.868	0.987	0.912–1.067
C2–9sum	0.964	< 0.001	1.088	0.969	0.900-1.042
D1–15sum	0.974	< 0.001	0.771	0.982	0.923–1.045
C2–D15sum	0.973	< 0.001	0.605	0.978	0.918–1.043

ave, average; SVL, TLs, and CLs are in mm.

Crocodylus johnstoni). On average, the smallest osteologically mature TLs were 1.09 and 1.27 times larger than males and female mature sizes, respectively. A summary of the log–log regressions of the species maximum TLs against the CLs (average CLs within each vertebral region and sum of the CLs in a series of vertebrae) of the smallest osteologically mature individuals for 13 species is shown in Table 4. R^2 values were >0.83 for all regressions, indicating strong correlations between the species maximum TLs and the CLs in extant crocodylians (Fig. 5 and Table 4).

The vertebrae-based estimations of absolute SVLs and TLs and the upper and lower limits of the

maximum TLs were applied to nine extinct crocodylians and an extinct noncrocodylian neosuchian (Table 5). Three post-Paleogene gavialids from Asia are osteologically mature (showing closed NC sutures in precaudal vertebrae), indicating those individuals reached sexual maturity (Fig. 6A-C). All three taxa are large with the absolute TLs of 4.49-7.01 m, and the maximum TLs of <9.00-14.02 m. Among Eocene crocodylians from the Bridger Formation of Wyoming, C. grinnelli, B. vorax, and B. wilsoni are sexually mature (Fig. 6E-G), while C. affinis (Fig. 6D) is osteologically immature and its sexual maturity is uncertain. The absolute and the maximum TLs of C. affinis are 3.87 m and >7.54 m, respectively. Crocodylus grinnelli and B. vorax are smaller species with their absolute TLs of 2.09–2.21 m and the maximum TLs of <3.63–3.88 m. Borealosuchus wilsoni is a relatively large species with its TL of 3.19 m and the maximum TL of <5.99 m. Sexual maturity of an alligatoroid D. riograndensis is unknown because the only available precaudal vertebra (possibly a third dorsal vertebra) shows an open NC suture (Fig. 6H). A dwarf neosuchian P. trinquei is sexually mature (Fig. 6I). The absolute TLs of D. riograndensis and P. trinquei are 7.73 and 1.15 m, respectively, and the maximum TL of the latter is <1.69 m.

Discussion

Applicability and limitations of vertebrae-based body length estimations

This study is the first to provide vertebrae-based estimations of body lengths in crocodylians. Regional vertebral numbers are remarkably conservative among crocodylians (Reese 1915; Mook 1921b; Hoffstetter and Gasc 1969; Iijima and Kubo 2019), enabling the body length estimation using a single vertebra or a series of vertebrae. Because vertebrae have a high preservation potential due to their abundance, the current vertebrae-based method can complement the previous skull- and limb-based body length estimations (e.g., Wermuth 1964; Sereno et al. 2001; Farlow et al. 2005; O'Brien et al. 2019). Moreover, the maturity assessment using NC suture closure made it possible to reconstruct the speciesspecific body lengths (sexually mature and the maximum body lengths) in extinct crocodylians for the first time.

Comparison between the TLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals (individuals showing partially/fully closed precaudal NC sutures) and male and female sexually mature TLs in 13 species showed that closed precaudal NC sutures can be

		Smallest	Smallest				Literature for	
Species	Specimen #	osteologically mature SVL (m)	osteologically mature TL (m)	Species male mature TL (m)	Species female mature TL (m)	Species max. TL (m)	sexually mature male/female TLs	Literature for the maximum TLs
Alligator mississippiensis	UF 67824	1.31	2.53	1.83	1.83	4.50	Joanen and McNease 1975, 1980; Vliet 2020, 178	Brunell et al. 2015; Vliet 2020, 210
Caiman crocodilus	USNM 313860	0.75	1.43	1.43	1.30	2.70	Staton and Dixon 1977; Thorbjarnarson 1994	Velasco and Ayarzaguena 2010
Caiman yacare	UF 121245	0.91	1.71	1.56	1.30	2.50	Crawshaw 1987	Medem 1983, 171
Melanosuchus niger	UF 72914	1.29	2.59	2.38 ^a	2.05	4.20	Herron 1991; Thorbjarnarson 2010	Medem 1981, 107; Thorbjarnarson 2010
Paleosuchus trigonatus	USNM 213705	0.66	1.15	1.31	1.10	2.30	Magnusson and Lima 1991 ^b	Medem 1981, 112
Crocodylus acutus	UF 63930	1.40	2.66	2.82	2.20	6.25	Thorbjarnarson 1989 ^c	Alvarez del Toro 1974; Pérez- Higareda et al. 1991
Crocodylus johnstoni	NTM JA076	0.82	1.58	1.68	1.40	3.20	Webb et al. 1983	Webb and Manolis 1989, 95
Crocodylus moreletii	UF 54813	1.18	2.27	1.50	1.50	4.16	Platt et al. 2008, 2009	Pérez-Higareda et al. 1991
Crocodylus porosus	FMNH 22026	1.82	3.51	3.35	2.30	6.30	Webb and Manolis 1989, 64 ^d	Britton et al. 2012
Crocodylus rhombifer	AMNH 57773	1.07	2.06	1.97	1.91	3.50	Targarona et al. 2010	Targarona et al. 2010 ^e
Osteolaemus tetraspis	UF 33749	0.72	1.38	1.02 ^a	0.88	1.90	Shirley et al. 2017	Eaton 2010; Grigg and Kirshner 2015
Gavialis gangeticus	AMNH 110145	1.64	3.16	3.00	2.60	5.48	Whitaker and Basu 1982; Achariyo et al. 1991; Stevenson and Whitaker 2010	Wermuth 1964; Grigg and Kirshner 2015
Tomistoma schlegelii	UF 84888	1.56	3.00	2.90 ^a	2.50	5.07	Bezuijen et al. 2010	Wermuth 1964; Bezuijen et al. 2010 ^f
^a Male sexually mature ^b Asymptotic SVL was ^c Sexually mature TI s	e TLs for M. niger, considered as sexuary and	O. tetraspis, and T. so ually matured size ir	chlegelii were estim Magnusson and L	iated from female ima (1991). SVL v	mature TLs using t vas converted to T Belize) We used o	he average rati L using the dar lata from Etang	o of male and female mature TLs i aset of Lemaire et al. (2018). Saumŝtre Hatit (Thorhiannarcon 19.	n 10 other species. 89) herause hoth male and female

Table 3 SVLs and TLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals (individuals showing partially/fully closed precaudal NC sutures), male and female sexually mature TLs, and the maximum TLs for 13 extant species

<u>0</u> . 20 sexually mature sizes are available there.

^d Captive *C. porosus* matures early (male 3.0 m; female 2.1 m: Webb and Manolis 1989, 64). ^e A possible 5.03 m (16.5ft) *C. rhombifer* (Gundlach 1880, 23) was not adopted here due to the large discrepancy from other accounts. ^f Estimated from 833 mm skull (BMNH 94.2.21.1) using the dataset of *T.* schlegelii (Wermuth 1964).

Table 4Regressions of the species maximum TLs against the CLs(average CLs within each vertebral region and sum of the CLs ina series of vertebrae) of the smallest osteologically mature individuals for 13 species

Independent variable (x)	R ²	P-value	Elevation	Slope	Slope 95% CI
log(max. TL) on log(x)					
C2	0.835	< 0.001	1.649	1.220	0.935–1.592
C3–7ave	0.886	< 0.001	1.879	1.215	0.972–1.517
C8–D2ave	0.878	< 0.001	1.937	1.190	0.946–1.497
D3–10ave	0.847	< 0.001	1.991	1.103	0.853–1.427
D11–14ave	0.863	< 0.001	1.936	1.134	0.889–1.446
D15	0.848	< 0.001	1.859	1.236	0.957–1.597
S1–2	0.832	< 0.001	1.726	1.243	0.951–1.626
Ca1	0.870	< 0.001	1.853	1.255	0.990–1.590
Ca24	0.880	< 0.001	1.761	1.255	1.000–1.576
Ca5–10	0.860	< 0.001	1.678	1.275	0.997–1.631
C2–9sum	0.882	< 0.001	0.749	1.218	0.972–1.527
D1–15sum	0.858	< 0.001	0.633	1.129	0.881–1.446
C2–D15sum	0.868	< 0.001	0.344	1.159	0.913–1.472

ave, average; TLs and CLs are in mm.

used as an indicator of sexual maturity (Table 3). The smallest osteologically mature TLs were larger than male sexually mature TLs in 10 out of 13 species, and larger than female sexually mature TLs in all 13 species, suggesting that precaudal NC sutures generally start to close after sexual maturity in extant crocodylians (Table 3). The current observation across extant crocodylians is consistent with the previous studies of NC suture closure in crocodylians (Brochu 1992, 1996; Ikejiri 2012). Ikejiri (2012) examined 75 A. mississippiensis and demonstrated that all precaudal NC sutures remain open at sexually mature sizes in males and females (TL = 1.83 m;Joanen and McNease 1975, 1980; Vliet 2020). Brochu (1992, 1996) examined the timings of NC suture closure in two large species (A. mississippiensis and C. acutus) and two dwarf species (Alligator sinensis and O. tetraspis), showing that precaudal NC sutures close at different sizes in large and dwarf species. He also noted slightly accelerated suture closure in C. acutus compared to A. mississippiensis (Brochu 1992, 1996), which is congruent with the current results (Fig. 5 and Table 3).

Although a closed precaudal NC suture seems to be a sound sexual maturity indicator for both males and females, there are several limitations to the current dataset. First, poor sampling might underestimate the smallest osteologically mature TLs in



Fig. 5 A relation between the maximum TLs and the sum of C2– D15 CLs of the smallest individuals with closed precaudal NC sutures for 13 species. Am, A. *mississippiensis*; Ca, C. *acutus*; Cc, C. *crocodilus*; Cj, C. *johnstoni*; Cm, C. *moreletii*; Cp, C. *porosus*; Cr, C. *rhombifer*; Cy, C. *yacare*; Gg, G. gangeticus; Mn, M. *niger*; Ot, O. *tetraspis*; Pt, P. *trigonatus*; Ts, T. *schlegelii*.

several species (M. niger, C. acutus, Crocodylus moreletii, and G. gangeticus: Fig. 4; Supplementary data, Table S1). Second, intraspecific variation in the timings of NC suture closure was not assessed due to the small sample size and sparsity of sex data. In extant crocodylians, males grow larger than females (Webb and Manolis 1989; Vliet 2020), and male sexual maturity sizes are $\sim 17\%$ larger than those of females on average (Table 3). The disparity of male and female sexually mature sizes is most pronounced in Crocodylus porosus, where the male maturity size is 46% larger than that in females (Webb and Manolis 1989). Moreover, sexual maturity can be reached at smaller sizes in captive environments (Webb and Manolis 1989; Platt et al. 2010), which would be the case in our captive specimens (28 individuals). Furthermore, sexual maturity sizes may differ across populations and geographical regions (Webb et al. 1983; Thorbjarnarson 1989), which was not taken into account here. The effects of these factors (sex, wild-caught/captive-raised, population, and geography) on timings of NC suture closure need to be investigated with a larger dataset in the future.

The current vertebrae-based method provides insights into the maximum sizes of extinct crocodylians. In extant crocodylians, the species maximum TLs are strongly correlated with the CLs of the smallest osteologically mature individuals $(R^2 > 0.83)$ (Fig. 5 and Table 4). Therefore, combined with the state of NC closure (closed precaudal vertebrae or open caudal vertebrae), the upper or

Taxon	Specimen #	Formation and age	Anteriormost vertebra with closed NC suture	CL(s) used for SVL and TL estimation	Vertebrae- based SVL (m)	Vertebrae- based TL (m)	Vertebrae- based max. TL (m)	>Skull/ mandible- based TL (m)	Femur length- based TL (m)	Literature for previous TL estimates based on skull/mandible and femur lengths
Toyotamaphimeia machikanensis	MOU F00001	Upper Osaka Group, Osaka, Japan (Middle Pleistocene)	2	C2–D15sum	3.28 (3.12–3.44)	6.32 (5.91–6.74)	<13.50 (10.67–19.02)	6.28–7.26	I	Estimated using datasets of G. gangeticus and T. schlegelii (Wermuth 1964; Sereno et al. 2001)
Penghusuchus pani	NMNS 005645	Yuwentao Formation, Penghu Island, Taiwan (Miocene)	3	C2–D15sum	2.33 (2.26–2.41)	4.49 (4.27–4.68)	<9.00 (7.60–11.64)	I	I	1
Gavialinae sp. indet.	MZKB F1280	Irrawaddy Formation, cen- tral Myanmar (late middle Miocene-Early Pleistocene)	Mid dorsal vertebra	D3-10ave	3.63 (3.73–4.28)	7.01 (6.48–7.56)	<14.02 (10.94–21.93)	I	1	I
"Crocodylus" affinis	YPM 258	Bridger Formation, Wyoming (early to middle Eocene)	Ca1	C2–D15sum	2.01 (1.95–2.07)	3.87 (3.71–4.00)	>7.54 (6.59–9.70)	3.38–3.44	3.24	Farlow et al. 2005; Mannion et al. 2019
"Crocodylus" grinnelli	YPM 1344	Bridger Formation, Wyoming (early to middle Eocene)	DS	C2–D15sum	1.09 (1.06–1.11)	2.09 (2.02–2.15)	<3.63 (3.36–3.99)	I	I	1
Boverisuchus vorax	USNM 12957	Bridger Formation, Wyoming (early to middle Eocene)	°C S	C2–D15sum	1.15 (1.13–1.17)	2.21 (2.15–2.27)	<3.88 (3.60-4.21)	2.98–3.01	3.57	Hurlburt et al. 2003; Farlow et al. 2005; Mannion et al. 2019
Boverisuchus vorax	AMNH 29993	Bridger Formation, Wyoming (early to middle Eocene)	Ü	C2-D15sum	1.12 (1.10–1.15)	2.15 (2.09–2.21)	<3.77 (3.51–4.12)			

Table 5 Vertebrae-based estimations of absolute SVLs and TLs and the maximum TLs in extinct crocodyliforms

Borealosuchus wilsoni	USNM 12990	Bridger Formation, Wyoming (early	S1	C2–D15sum	1.66 (1.62–1.70)	3.19 (3.08–3.27)	<5.99 (5.48–7.03)	4.26	4.54	Farlow et al. 2005
Deinosuchus	AMNH	Eocene) Aguia Formation	Anterior	D3-10ave	4.01	7.73	I	8.43-10.64	7,67	Frickson and
riograndensis	3073	Texas	dorsal		(3.75–4.28)	(7.10–8.34)				Brochu 1999;
		(Campanian)	vertebra							Schwimmer 2002; Farlow
										et al. 2005
Pachycheilosuchus	MNSU	Glen Rose	S1	S1–2ave	0.60	1.15	<1.69	I	1.13	Farlow et al. 2005
trinquei	427794	Formation, Texas (Albian)			(0.58–0.63)	(1.09–1.21)	(1.38–2.13)			
ave average. Rang	es in narenthes	ses represent 95% Cls o	f SVI TI and 1	the unner and lower	r limits of the m	avimum TL ectima	tec Previous TL ecti	mates hased on skin	II/mandihle a	nd femur lengths are

shown for comparisons

lower limit of the species maximum TL can be determined from the CLs. Vertebrae of multiple individuals from a single species can narrow the range of the maximum TL; the smallest closed precaudal vertebra and the largest open caudal vertebra constrain the upper and lower limit, respectively. Meanwhile, if the maximum TL in one individual is smaller than the absolute TL in the other individual, those can be distinguished as different species. Limitations to the current dataset are the accuracy of the maximum TL records in extant species and the difficulty in estimating the exact maximum TLs of extinct crocodylians, as well as the poor sampling and intraspecific variation discussed above. We adopted only the measured maximum TL records, while unconfirmed reports and eyewitnesses that could represent the maximum TLs were not considered (Webb and Manolis 1989). Besides, the ongoing search for larger individuals of extant species may break the current maximum TL records in the future (Whitaker and Whitaker 2008). Estimations of exact maximum TLs are challenging because precaudal NC sutures close rapidly toward the axis once the sacral vertebrae start to close (Brochu 1996; Ikejiri 2012). Consequently, there is a large intraspecific variation in the anteriormost positions of closed vertebrae among similar-sized individuals (Brochu 1996; Ikejiri 2012).

Body length estimations in extinct crocodyliforms

Among three Asian post-Paleogene gavialids (Table 5), T. machikanensis preserves its skull and allows comparison of vertebrae- and skull-based TL estimates. For the TL estimations from skull lengths, the measurements of two extant gavialids (G. gangeticus and T. schlegelii: Wermuth 1964; Sereno et al. 2001) were used for RMA regressions given the uncertain phylogenetic position of T. machikanensis (Iijima and Kobayashi 2019). The vertebrae-based TL estimate of T. machikanensis (6.32 m) is comparable to the skull-based TL estimates using the extant gavialids (6.28–7.26 m; Table 5), confirming the utility of the vertebrae-based method. In T. machikanensis and P. pani, NC sutures are partially/fully closed in almost all precaudal vertebrae, thus these individuals reached sexual maturity much earlier, and their maximum TL estimates are of little utility. All three Asian gavialids examined here are large taxa, with absolute TL estimates of 4.49-7.01 m (Table 5). Because extant gavialids (G. gangeticus and T. schlegelii) are also large taxa (maximum TLs > 5 m; Table 3), and the middle Miocene gavialid Rhamphosuchus crassidens was estimated as 8-



Fig. 6 States of neurocentral suture closure in selected vertebrae of (A) Toyotamaphimeia machikanensis (MOU F00001), (B) Penghusuchus pani (NMNS 005645), (C) Gavialinae sp. indet. (MZKB F1280), (D) "Crocodylus" affinis (YPM 258), (E) "Crocodylus" grinnelli (YPM 1344), (F) Boverisuchus vorax (USNM 12957), (G) Borealosuchus wilsoni (USNM 12990), (H) Deinosuchus riograndensis (AMNH 3073), and (I) Pachycheilosuchus trinquei (USNM 427794). D, dorsal; S, sacral. Enclosed areas are enlarged below for each vertebra. Arrows represent open sutures. Scale bars equal 2 cm.

11 m TL (Head 2001), Asian post-Paleogene gavialids may form a large-bodied group. Prior to the Neogene, several possible gavialids are known from the Eocene of Central, South, Southeast, and East Asia (Yeh 1958; Sahni and Mishra 1975; Efimov 1982, 1988; Böhme et al. 2011; Shan et al. 2017; Martin et al. 2019). Most of these materials are fragmentary, while *Maomingosuchus petrolica* is represented by hundreds of skeletons from the late Eocene of Guangdong Province, China (Yeh 1958; Shan et al. 2017). The largest skull is 503 mm in length (Shan et al. 2017), which gives the TL estimates of 2.98–2.99 m based on the extant gavialid measurements, suggesting that *M. petrolica* is a medium-sized crocodylian. The phylogenetic relationship of gavialids needs to be resolved to reconstruct the body size evolution in Asian gavialids.

The middle–late Eocene Bridger Formation and the stratigraphically correlated lower Washakie Formation of Wyoming (Roehler 1973; Murphey and Evanoff 2011) hosted a wide array of crocodylians including B. wilsoni (Mook 1959; Brochu 1997a), a planoclaniid B. vorax (Troxell 1925a; Langston 1975; Brochu 2013), alligatorines Allognathosuchus polyodon and Procaimanoidea kayi (Cope 1873; Mook 1921c, 1941, 1961; Brochu 2004), and genus Crocodylus (Marsh 1871; Cope 1882; Mook 1921d, 1933b; Troxell 1925a; Gilmore 1946; Steel 1973; Norell and Storrs 1989; Brochu 1997b, 2000). Examinations of nonalligatoroid taxa revealed the body size diversity within the formations. Crocodylus affinis (YPM 258) is a large species (TL = 3.87 m, maximum TL > 7.54 m), and C. grinnelli (YPM 1344) is a medium-sized species (TL = 2.09 m; maximum TL < 3.63 m). Crocodylus affinis (YPM 258) is osteologically immature at 3.87 m TL, which is larger than sexual maturity sizes of all extant crocodylians (Table 3). The upper limit of the maximum TL estimate of C. grinnelli (YPM 1344) is below the absolute TL estimate of C. affinis (YPM 258) although their 95% CIs slightly overlap (Table 5), thus these specimens probably belong to different species. Our result contradicts the previous conclusion that C. grinnelli is a junior synonym of C. affinis (Norell and Storrs 1989; Brochu 1997b). Since YPM 258 is only represented by postcrania and tentatively referred to as C. affinis (Norell and Storrs 1989), additional materials with associated cranial and axial elements need to be examined to confirm the body length difference between C. affinis and C. grinnelli. Previous TL estimates of C. affinis (USNM 12719) were 3.00-3.44 m based on the skull and femur lengths and the mounted skeleton (Gilmore 1946; Farlow et al. 2005; Mannion et al. 2019; Table 5). If YPM 258 and USNM 12719 are conspecific, the latter should show open precaudal NC sutures. A planocraniid B. vorax is a medium-sized species (TL = 2.15-2.21 m; maximum TL < 3.77-3.88 m) comparable to the size of C. grinelli, while *B. wilsoni* is a large species (TL = 3.19 m; maximum)TL < 5.99 m). Because the anteriormost position of the closed NC suture is the first sacral vertebra in B. wilsoni (USNM 12990), its maximum TL would be close to 6 m. Previous skull- and femur length-based TL estimates for B. vorax (2.98-3.57 m) and B. wilsoni (4.26-4.54 m) are larger than their vertebraebased TL estimates, but still smaller than their maximum TL estimates (Farlow et al. 2005; Mannion et al. 2019; Table 5). Diverse body lengths in nonalligatoroid crocodylians in the Bridger and Washakie formations, combined with their morphological specializations (e.g., Langston 1975; Rossmann 2000), might facilitate the habitat partitioning among the sympatric species (Stout 2012).

An alligatoroid Deinosuchus from the Campanian of North America and a noncrocodylian neosuchian P. trinquei from the Albian of Texas are iconic giant and dwarf crocodyliforms, respectively, and their body sizes have long been debated (Colbert and Bird 1954; Brochu 1996; Erickson and Brochu 1999; Schwimmer 2002; Rogers 2003; Farlow et al. 2005). The TL estimate of D. riograndensis (AMNH 3073) based on a possible third dorsal vertebra is 7.73 m (Table 5). However, the third dorsal vertebra is the shortest in the anterior-mid dorsal region (3rd to 10th dorsal vertebrae), of which the average CLs in extant crocodylians were used for the TL estimation. Therefore, the TL estimate can be up to 5.7% larger and be 8.17 m (Table 1). An open NC suture in the third dorsal vertebra of D. riograndensis (AMNH 3073) prevents the sexual maturity assessment. The TL of the same material was previously estimated as 15.24 m (Colbert and Bird 1954), which is now regarded as an overestimate (Schwimmer 2002). Deinosuchus vertebrae larger than AMNH 3073 were known from the Judith River Formation of Montana (CM 963: Holland 1909), from which 12.0 m TL was roughly deduced in Schwimmer (2002). States of NC suture closure in CM 963 are hardly determined from the published drawings and figures (Holland 1909; Cossette and Brochu 2020). TLs of other Deinosuchus materials from Texas were estimated as 7.67 m from the femur length (TMM 43632-1; Farlow et al. 2005), 8.43-9.10 m (Erickson and Brochu 1999) and 11 m (Sues 1989) from mandibular remains, and 9.8 m (Schwimmer 2002) and 10.64 m (TMM 43632-1; Farlow et al. 2005) from skull lengths (Table 5). Additional vertebral remains are required to get a better understanding of the sexually mature and the maximum sizes of Deinosuchus.

Pachycheilosuchus trinquei is a dwarf species (TLs = 1.15 m, maximum TL < 1.69 m); it is osteologically mature and probably smaller than all extant crocodylians (Table 3). Previous TL estimates of *P. trinquei* were 0.64–0.80 m from a dentary and the composite reconstruction, and 1.13 m from the femur length (Farlow et al. 2005; Table 5). Examination of the state of NC suture closure in smaller precaudal vertebrae (Rogers 2003) may further constrain the upper limit of the maximum TL. Discussion of the body size dwarfism on the lineage of *P. tringuei* requires the establishment of its phylogenetic position.

The application of vertebrae-based estimations of absolute and species-specific body lengths in more inclusive groups (e.g., Neosuchia and Crocodyliformes) requires the following premises:



Fig. 7 Numbers of cervical, dorsal, and sacral vertebrae in crocodyliforms. The phylogenetic relationships are based on Wilberg (2015) and Wilberg et al. (2019), supplemented by Turner (2015) and Tennant et al. (2016). Note that phylogenetic positions of many clades are still under debate (Puértolas-Pascual et al. 2020). Literature for vertebral counts of each clade: Paralligatoridae (Wu, Cheng, et al. 2001; Pol et al. 2009); Bernissartiidae (Norell and Clark 1990); Goniopholididae (Wu et al. 1996; Lauprasert et al. 2007; Erickson 2016; Martin et al. 2016; GMNH-PV0000229); Susisuchidae (Salisbury et al. 2003, 2006; Figueiredo et al. 2011); Tethysuchia (Troxell 1925b; Storrs 1986; Langston 1995; Wu, Russell, et al. 2001; Jouve and Schwarz 2004; Jouve et al. 2006; Schwarz et al. 2006); Atoposauridae (Wellnhofer 1971; Buscalioni and Sanz 1990; Michard et al. 1990; Storrs and Efimov 2000; Tennant et al. 2016); Notosuchia (Pol 2005; Turner 2006; Fiorelli and Calvo 2008; Sereno and Larsson 2009; Georgi and Krause 2010; Nascimento and Zaher 2010; O'Connor et al. 2010; Nobre and de Souza Carvalho 2013; Leardi et al. 2015; Martinelli et al. 2018); Protosuchia (Colbert and Mook 1951; Li 1985; Wu et al. 1994; Peng 1996; Osmólska et al. 1997; Pol and Norell 2004; Peng and Shu 2005). See Supplementary data, Table S3 for vertebral counts for each species.

(1) caudal to cranial NC suture closure sequence; (2) similar timings of NC suture closure (i.e., closure in precaudal vertebrae after sexual maturity); and (3) similar regional vertebral numbers and body proportions as compared to crown-group crocodylians. Caudal to cranial suture closure sequence is most likely shared among crocodyliforms and even among pseudosuchians, because anteriorly open and posteriorly closed vertebrae are found in noncrocodyliform pseudosuchians including an erpetosuchid Parringtonia (Nesbitt and Butler 2013), aetosaurians Aetosauroides, Desmatosuchus, and Typothorax (Case 1922; Martz 2002; Irmis 2007; Taborda et al. 2015), a basal crocodylomorph Terrestrisuchus (Irmis et al. 2013), metriorhynchoids Pelagosaurus, Cricosaurus, and *Tyrannoneustes* (Delfino and Dal Sasso 2006; Herrera et al. 2013; Young et al. 2013), and crocodyliforms such as a dyrosaurid Guarinisuchus (Barbosa et al. 2008). A comparison of relative timings of NC suture closure is challenging. A possible case of late suture closure was reported in Notosuchus, where all individuals, including the one with a very large skull, show open sutures in presacral vertebrae (Pol 2005). Whether all those individuals are relatively young (e.g., sexually immature individuals) is uncertain. Numbers of presacral vertebrae vary in noncrocodylian Neosuchia (Paralligatoridae, Bernissartiidae, Goniopholididae, Susisuchidae, Tethysuchia, and

Atoposauridae), Notosuchia, and Protosuchia (Protosuchus, Gobiosuchus, Zaraasuchus, Edentosuchus, Shantungosuchus, Sichuanosuchus, and Hsisosuchus: Wilberg et al. 2019) (Fig. 7; see Supplementary data, Table S3 for vertebral counts for each species). Generally, there are 7-9 cervical, 13-17 dorsal, and 2-3 sacral vertebrae in crocodyliforms, and only a few taxa deviate from the range: possibly 6 cervical vertebrae in Atoposaurus jourdani (Lortet 1892; Tennant et al. 2016); 12 dorsal vertebrae in Goniopholis aff. G. lucasii (Erickson 2016); 19 dorsal vertebrae in Brillanceausuchus babouriensis (Michard et al. 1990; Tennant et al. 2016); 10 cervical and 19 dorsal vertebrae in Notosuchus terrestris (Pol 2005; Fiorelli and Calvo 2008). Additionally, Thalattosuchia, a group of aquatic noncrocodyliform crocodylomorphs (Wilberg 2015) slightly deviates from the precaudal vertebral formula of crocodyliforms (6-9 cervical, 15-19 dorsal, and 2-3 sacral vertebrae: Pierce and Benton 2006; Young et al. 2010; Herrera et al. 2013; Sachs et al. 2019; Johnson et al. 2020). Meanwhile, relative tail lengths in some notosuchian crocodyliforms are significantly shorter than those in crown-group crocodylians (e.g., Simosuchus clarki and Caipirasuchus mineirus: Georgi and Krause 2010; Martinelli et al. 2018). Given the small variation in the number of precaudal vertebrae in crocodyliforms, SVL estimation can be applied to crocodyliforms with caution, while TL estimation

should best be applied to close relatives of crowngroup crocodylians (e.g., Eusuchia or Neosuchia). Nonetheless, closed precaudal NC sutures can still be used as the sexual maturity indicator in extinct crocodyliforms on the assumption that timings of suture closure are similar as compared to crowngroup crocodylians.

Conclusion

Crown-group crocodylians share the same number of precaudal vertebrae, allowing the vertebrae-based estimations of their body lengths. Measurements of the CLs and assessments of states of NC suture closure provided insights into the absolute and speciesspecific body lengths (sexually mature and the maximum body lengths) in crocodylians. Most extant crocodylians reach sexual maturity before closure of precaudal NC sutures; therefore, closed sutures in precaudal vertebrae can be used as an indicator of sexual maturity. CLs of the smallest individuals with closed precaudal NC sutures within species were correlated with the species maximum TLs for 13 extant species; using this relationship, the upper or lower limit of the maximum TLs in extinct species can be derived from the smallest precaudal vertebrae with closed sutures and the largest caudal vertebrae with open sutures, respectively. The current method can potentially be applied to noncrocodylian crocodyliforms, although differences in the regional vertebral numbers, body proportions, and timings of NC suture closure need to be carefully considered.

Acknowledgments

The cover image (a large C. porosus from Northern Territory, Australia) was provided by Yusuke Fukuda. We thank D. Dicky, D. Kizirian, C. Mehling, and A. Gishlick (AMNH), D. Blackburn, C. Sheehy, E. Stanley, K. Krysko, and M. Nickerson (FLMNH), A. Resetar and W. Simpson (FMNH), J. Rosado (MCZ), J. Jacobs, A. Wynn, K. Tighe, and A. Millhouse (USNM), G. Watkins-Colwell and D. Brinkman (YPM), P. Campbell (BMNH), G. Dally (MAGNT), P. Couper and A. Amey (QM), H. Shan and M. Yang (NMNS Taichung), and Khin-Shwe, Khin-Nyo, and Than-Win (MZKB) for their curatorial assistance. We are grateful to L. Sigler (Dallas World Aquarium) for providing the retyped script of Alvarez del Toro's 1974 book, K. Vliet (University of Florida) for sharing old literature, and T. Ikejiri (University of Alabama), J. Miyamae (Yale University), K. Chiba (Okayama University of Science), and R. Tadzik (Sydney) for providing accommodation during M.I.'s museum visits. Careful

reviews by the associate editor Tim Wright, Ray Wilhite, and two anonymous reviewers significantly improved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research [19J00701 to M.I. and 19J40003 to T.K.]; National Natural Science Foundation of China [41772003 and 41402015 to Jun Liu and 42002021 to M.I.]; Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China [PA2020GDKC0022 to Jun Liu]; China Postdoctoral Science Foundation [2018M642511 to M.I.].

Authors' contributions

M.I. designed the study, collected data, and performed analyses; M.I. and T.K. interpreted results and wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at IOB online.

References

- Acharjyo LN, Singh LAK, Pattanaik SK. 1991. Age at sexual maturity of gharial *Gavialis gangeticus* (Reptilia, Crocodilia). J Bombay Nat Hist Soc 87:458–9.
- Alvarez del Toro M. 1974. Los crocodylia de Mexico. Mexico, DF: Instituto Mexicano de Recursos Naturales Renovables, A. C. p. 70.
- Bailleul AM, Scannella JB, Horner JR, Evans DC. 2016. Fusion patterns in the skulls of modern archosaurs reveal that sutures are ambiguous maturity indicators for the Dinosauria. PLoS One 11:e0147687.
- Barbosa JA, Kellner AWA, Viana MSS. 2008. New dyrosaurid crocodylomorph and evidences for faunal turnover at the K-P transition in Brazil. Proc R Soc B 275:1385–91.
- Bezuijen MR, Shwedick BM, Sommerlad R, Stevenson C, Stuebing RB. 2010. Tomistoma *Tomistoma schlegelii*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 133–8.
- Böhme M, Prieto J, Schneider S, Hung NV, Quang DD, Tran DN. 2011. The Cenozoic on-shore basins of Northern Vietnam: biostratigraphy, vertebrate and invertebrate faunas. J Asian Earth Sci 40:672–87.
- Britton ARC, Whitaker R, Whitaker N. 2012. Here be a dragon: exceptional size in a saltwater crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*) from the Philippines. Herpetol Rev 43:541–6.
- Brochu CA. 1992. Ontogeny of the postcranium in crocodylomorph archosaurs [M.Sc. thesis]. [Austin (TX)]: University of Texas. p. 340

- Brochu CA. 1996. Closure of neurocentral sutures during crocodilian ontogeny: implications for maturity assessment in fossil archosaurs. J Vertebr Paleontol 16:49–62.
- Brochu CA. 1997a. A review of "*Leidyosuchus*" (Crocodyliformes, Eusuchia) from the Cretaceous through Eocene of North America. J Vertebr Paleontol 17:679–97.
- Brochu CA. 1997b. Phylogenetic systematics and taxonomy of Crocodylia [Ph.D. dissertation]. [Austin (TX)]: University of Texas, Austin. p. 540.
- Brochu CA. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships and divergence timing of *Crocodylus* based on morphology and the fossil record. Copeia 3:657–73.
- Brochu CA. 2003. Phylogenetic approaches toward crocodylian history. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 31:357–97.
- Brochu CA. 2004. Alligatorine phylogeny and the status of *Allognathosuchus* Mook, 1921. J Vertebr Paleontol 24:857–73.
- Brochu CA. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships of Palaeogene ziphodont eusuchians and the status of *Pristichampsus* Gervais, 1853. Earth Environ Sci Trans R Soc Edinb 103:521–50.
- Bronzati M, Montefeltro FC, Langer MC. 2012. A specieslevel supertree of Crocodyliformes. Hist Biol 24:598–606.
- Brunell AM, Rainwater TR, Sievering M, Platt SG. 2015. A new record for the maximum length of the American alligator. Notes Southeast Nat 14:N38–43.
- Buscalioni AD, Piras P, Vullo R, Signore M, Barbera C. 2011. Early eusuchia crocodylomorpha from the vertebrate-rich Plattenkalk of Pietraroia (Lower Albian, Southern Apennines, Italy). Zool J Linn Soc 163:S199–227.
- Buscalioni AD, Sanz JL. 1990. *Montsecosuchus depereti* (Crocodylomorpha, Atoposauridae), new denomination for *Alligatorium depereti* Vidal, 1915 (early Cretaceous, Spain): redescription and phylogenetic relationships. J Vertebr Paleontol 10:244–54.
- Bustard HR, Singh LAK. 1977a. Studies on the Indian gharial *Gavialis gangeticus* (Gmelin) (Reptilia, Crocodilia): change in terrestrial locomotory pattern with age. J Bombay Nat Hist Soc 74:534–7.
- Bustard HR, Singh LAK. 1977b. Studies on the Indian gharial *Gavialis gangeticus* (Gmelin) (Reptilia, Crocodilia)–I: estimation of body length from scute length. Indian For 103:140–9.
- Campbell HA, Sullivan S, Read MA, Gordos MA, Franklin CE. 2010. Ecological and physiological determinants of dive duration in the freshwater crocodile. Funct Ecol 24:103–11.
- Case EC. 1922. New reptiles and stegocephalians from the Upper Triassic of western Texas. Publ Carnegie Inst Washington 321:1–84.
- Colbert EH, Bird RT. 1954. A gigantic crocodile from the Upper Cretaceous beds of Texas. Am Mus Novit 1688:1–22.
- Colbert EH, Mook CC. 1951. The ancestral crocodilian *Protosuchus*. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 97:143–82.
- Cope ED. 1873. On the extinct Vertebrata of the Eocene of Wyoming observed by the expedition of 1872, with notes on the geology. Annu Rep US Geol Geogr Surv Territ 6:545–649.
- Cope ED. 1882. The reptiles of the American Eocene. Am Nat 16:979–93.

- Cossette AP, Brochu CA. 2020. A systematic review of the giant alligatoroid *Deinosuchus* from the Campanian of North America and its implications for the relationships at the root of Crocodylia. J Vertebr Paleontol 40:e1767638.
- Cott HB. 1961. Scientific results of an inquiry into the ecology and economic status of the Nile Crocodile (*Crocodilus niloticus*) in Uganda and Northern Rhodesia. Trans Zool Soc Lond 29:211–356.
- Crawshaw PGJ. 1987. Nesting ecology of the Paraguayan caiman (*Caiman yacare*) in the Pantanal of Mato Grosso, Brazil [M.Sc. thesis]. [Gainesville (FL)]: University of Florida. p. 69.
- Delfino M, Dal Sasso C. 2006. Marine reptiles (Thalattosuchia) from the Early Jurassic of Lombardy (Northern Italy). Geobios 39:346–54.
- Dodson P. 1975. Functional and ecological significance of relative growth in *Alligator*. J Zool 175:315–55.
- Eaton MJ. 2010. Dwarf crocodile *Osteolaemus tetraspis*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 127–32.
- Efimov MB. 1988. The fossil crocodiles and champsosaurides of Mongolia and the USSR. Trans Jt Sov Paleontol Exped 36:1–108.
- Efimov MB. 1982. New fossil crocodiles from the USSR. Paleontol J 2:146–50.
- Erickson BR. 2016. A new skeleton of the neosuchian crocodyliform *Goniopholis* with new material from the Morrison Formation of Wyoming. Sci Mus Minnesota Monogr 10:1–28.
- Erickson GM, Brochu CA. 1999. How the "terror crocodile" grew so big. Nature 398:205-6.
- Erickson GM, Gignac PM, Lappin AK, Vliet KA, Brueggen JD, Webb GJW. 2014. A comparative analysis of ontogenetic bite-force scaling among Crocodylia. J Zool 292:48–55.
- Erickson GM, Lappin AK, Vliet KA. 2003. The ontogeny of bite-force performance in American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). J Zool 260:317–27.
- Farlow JO, Hurlburt GR, Elsey RM, Britton ARC, Langston WJ. 2005. Femoral dimensions and body size of *Alligator mississippiensis*: estimating the size of extinct mesoeucroco-dylians. J Vertebr Paleontol 25:354–69.
- Ferguson MWJ. 1985. Reproductive biology and embryology of the crocodilians. In: Gans C, Billett F, Maderson PFA, editors. Biology of the reptilia. Vol. 12. New York (NY): John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p. 329–481.
- Figueiredo RG, Moreira JKR, Saraiva AÁF, Kellner AWA. 2011. Description of a new specimen of *Susisuchus anatoceps* (Crocodylomorpha: Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Crato Formation (Santana Group) with comments on Neosuchia. Zool J Linn Soc 163:S273–88.
- Fiorelli L, Calvo J. 2008. New remains of *Notosuchus terrestris* Woodward, 1896 (Crocodyliformes: Mesoeucrocodylia) from Late Cretaceous of Neuquén, Patagonia, Argentina. Arq do Mus Nac Rio Janeiro 66:83–124.
- Fukuda Y, Saalfeld K, Lindner G, Nichols T. 2013. Estimation of total length from head length of saltwater crocodiles (*Crocodylus porosus*) in the Northern Territory Australia. J Herpetol 47:34–40.
- Gearty W, Payne JL. 2020. Physiological constraints on body size distributions in Crocodyliformes. Evolution 74:245–55.

- Georgi JA, Krause DW. 2010. Postcranial axial skeleton of *Simosuchus clarki* (Crocodyliformes: Notosuchia) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. J Vertebr Paleontol 30:99–121.
- Gilmore CW. 1946. Notes on recently mounted reptile fossil skeletons in the United States National Museum. Proc US Natl Mus 96:195–203.
- Godoy PL, Benson RBJ, Bronzati M, Butler RJ. 2019. The multi-peak adaptive landscape of crocodylomorph body size evolution. BMC Evol Biol 19:167.
- Greer AE. 1974. On the maximum total length of the saltwater crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*). J Herpetol 8:381–384.
- Grigg GC, Kirshner D. 2015. Biology and evolution of crocodylians. Clayton South, VIC, Australia: CSIRO Publishing. p. 672.
- Grigg GC, Seebacher F, Beard LA, Morris D. 1998. Thermal relations of large crocodiles, *Crocodylus porosus*, free-ranging in a naturalistic situation. Proc R Soc B 265:1793–9.
- Gundlach J. 1880. Contribución a la herpetología Cubana. Habana, Cuba: Imprenta G. Montiel y Cía. p. 99.
- Hall PM, Portier KM. 1994. Cranial morphometry of New Guinea crocodiles (*Crocodylus novaeguineae*): ontogenetic variation in relative growth of the skull and an assessment of its utility as a predictor of the sex and size of individuals. Herpetol Monogr 8:203–25.
- Head J. 2001. Systematics and body size of the gigantic, enigmatic crocodyloid *Rhamphosuchus crassidens*, and the faunal history of Siwalik Group (Miocene) crocodylians. J Vertebr Paleontol 21:59A.
- Herrera Y, Fernández MS, Gasparini Z. 2013. Postcranial skeleton of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (Crocodyliformes: Thalattosuchia): morphology and palaeobiological insights. Alcheringa 37:285–98.
- Herron JC. 1991. Growth rates of black caiman *Melanosuchus niger* and spectacled caiman *Caiman crocodilus*, and the recruitment of breeders in hunted caiman populations. Biol Conserv 55:103–13.
- Hoffstetter R, Gasc J-P. 1969. Vertebrae and ribs of modern reptiles. In: Gans C, Parson TS, editors. Biology of the reptilia. Vol. 1. New York (NY): Academic Press. p. 201–310.
- Holland WJ. 1909. *Deinosuchus hatcheri*, a new genus and species of crocodile from the Judith River beds of Montana. Ann Carnegie Mus 6:281–94.
- Hone D, Mallon JC, Hennessey P, Witmer LM. 2020. Ontogeny of a sexually selected structure in an extant archosaur *Gavialis gangeticus* (Pseudosuchia: Crocodylia) with implications for sexual dimorphism in dinosaurs. PeerJ 8:e9134.
- Hurlburt GR, Heckert AB, Farlow JO. 2003. Body mass estimates of phytosaurs (Archosauria: Parasuchidae) from the Petrified Forest Formation (Chinle Group: Revueltian) based on skull and limb bone measurements. New Mex Museum Nat Hist Sci Bull 24:105–14.
- Hutchinson JR, Felkler D, Houston K, Chang YM, Brueggen J, Kledzik D, Vliet KA. 2019. Divergent evolution of terrestrial locomotor abilities in extant Crocodylia. Sci Rep 9:19302.
- Hutton J. 1989. Movements, home range, dispersal and the separation of size classes in Nile crocodiles. Am Zool 29:1033–49.

- Hutton JM. 1987. Growth and feeding ecology of the Nile crocodile *Crocodylus niloticus* at Ngezi, Zimbabwe. J Anim Ecol 56:25–38.
- Iijima M. 2017. Assessment of trophic ecomorphology in non-alligatoroid crocodylians and its adaptive and taxonomic implications. J Anat 231:192–211.
- Iijima M, Kobayashi Y. 2019. Mosaic nature in the skeleton of East Asian crocodylians fills the morphological gap between "Tomistominae" and Gavialinae. Cladistics 35:623–32.
- Iijima M, Kubo T. 2019. Comparative morphology of presacral vertebrae in extant crocodylians: taxonomic, functional and ecological implications. Zool J Linn Soc 186:1006–25.
- Ikejiri T. 2012. Histology-based morphology of the neurocentral synchondrosis in *Alligator mississippiensis* (Archosauria, Crocodylia). Anat Rec 295:18–31.
- Iordansky NN. 1973. The skull of the Crocodilia. In: Gans C, Parson TS, editors. Biology of the reptilia. Vol. 4 New York (NY): Academic Press. p. 201–62.
- Irmis RB. 2007. Axial skeleton ontogeny in the Parasuchia (Archosauria: Pseudosuchia) and its implications for ontogenetic determination in archosaurs. J Vertebr Paleontol 27:350–61.
- Irmis RB, Nesbitt SJ, Sues HD. 2013. Early Crocodylomorpha. In: Nesbitt SJ, Desojo JB, Irmis RB, editors. Anatomy, phylogeny and palaeobiology of early archosaurs and their kin. London: Geological Society, London. p. 275–302.
- Joanen T, McNease L. 1975. Notes on the reproductive biology and captive propagation of the American alligator. Proc Southeast Assoc Game Fish Comm 29:407–15.
- Joanen T, McNease L. 1980. Reproductive biology of the American alligator in southwest Louisiana. SSAR Contrib to Herpetol 1:153–9.
- Johnson MM, Young MT, Brusatte SL. 2020. The phylogenetics of Teleosauroidea (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia) and implications for their ecology and evolution. PeerJ 8:e9808.
- Jouve S, Iarochène M, Bouya B, Amaghzaz M. 2006. A new species of *Dyrosaurus* (Crocodylomorpha, Dyrosauridae) from the early Eocene of Morocco: phylogenetic implications. Zool J Linn Soc 148:603–56.
- Jouve S, Schwarz D. 2004. Congosaurus bequaerti, a Paleocene dyrosaurid from Landana (Angola). Bull l'Institut R des Sci Nat Belgique 74:129–46.
- Kälin JA. 1933. Beiträge zur vergleichenden osteologie des Crocodiliden-schädels. Zool Jahrbüch Abteilung Anat Ontog Tiere 57:535–714.
- Kay WR. 2004. Movements and home ranges of radio-tracked *Crocodylus porosus* in the Cambridge Gulf region of Western Australia. Wildl Res 31:495–508.
- Langston W. 1995. Dyrosaurs (Crocodilia, Mesosuchia) from the Paleocene Umm Himar Formation, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. US Geol Surv Bull 2093:F1–36.
- Langston WJ. 1975. Ziphodont crocodiles: *Pristichampsus vorax* (Troxell), new combination, from the Eocene of North America. Fieldiana Geol 33:291–314.
- Lauprasert K, Cuny G, Buffetaut E, Suteethorn V, Thirakhupt K. 2007. *Siamosuchus phuphokensis*, a new goniopholidid from the Early Cretaceous (ante-Aptia) of northeastern Thailand. Bull Soc Geol Fr 178:201–16.
- Leardi JM, Pol D, Novas FE, Suárez Riglos M. 2015. The postcranial anatomy of *Yacarerani boliviensis* and the

phylogenetic significance of the notosuchian postcranial skeleton. J Vertebr Paleontol 35:e99518.

- Lee AH. 2004. Histological organization and its relationship to function in the femur of *Alligator mississippiensis*. J Anat 204:197–207.
- Lemaire J, Marquis O, Gaucher P. 2018. Habitat use and behaviour of Schneider's dwarf caiman (*Paleosuchus trigonatus* Schneider 1801) in the Nouragues Reserve, French Guiana. Crocodile Spec Gr Newsl 40:297–315.
- Li J. 1985. A revision of *Edentosuchus tienshanensis* Young from the Tugulu Group of Xinjiang Autonomous Region. Vertebr PalAsiat 23:196–206.
- Lortet L. 1892. Les reptiles fossiles du bassin du Rhone. Arch Mus d'Hist Nat Lyon 5:3–139.
- Magnusson WE, Lima AP. 1991. The ecology of a cryptic predator, *Paleosuchus tigonatus*, in a tropical rainforest. J Herpetol 25:41–8.
- Mannion PD, Chiarenza AA, Godoy PL, Cheah YN. 2019. Spatiotemporal sampling patterns in the 230 million year fossil record of terrestrial crocodylomorphs and their impact on diversity. Palaeontology 62:615–37.
- Marsh OC. 1871. Notice of some new fossil reptiles from the Cretaceous and Tertiary formations. Am J Sci Arts s3–1:447–59.
- Martin BGH, Bellairs AD. 1977. The narial excrescence and pterygoid bulla of the gharial, *Gavialis gangeticus* (Crocodilia). J Zool 182:541–58.
- Martin JE, Delfino M, Smith T. 2016. Osteology and affinities of Dollo's goniopholidid (Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Early Cretaceous of Bernissart, Belgium. J Vertebr Paleontol 36:e1222534.
- Martin JE, Lauprasert K, Tong H, Suteethorn V, Buffetaut E. 2019. An Eocene tomistomine from peninsular Thailand. Ann Paleontol 105:245–53.
- Martinelli AG, Marinho TS, Iori FV, Ribeiro LCB. 2018. The first *Caipirasuchus* (Mesoeucrocodylia, Notosuchia) from the Late Cretaceous of Minas Gerais, Brazil: new insights on sphagesaurid anatomy and taxonomy. PeerJ 6:e5594.
- Martz JW. 2002. The morphology and ontogeny of *Typothorax coccinarum* (Archosauria, Stagonolepididae) from the Upper Triassic of the American southwest [M.Sc. thesis]. [Lubbock (TX)]: Texas Tech University. p. 279.
- Mazzotti FJ. 1983. The ecology of *Crocodylus acutus* in Florida [Ph.D. dissertation]. [State College (PA)]: Pennsylvania State University. p. 161.
- McMahon TA, Bonner JT. 1983. On size and life. 1st ed. New York (NY): Science American Library.
- Medem F. 1981. Los Crocodylia de sur America. Vol. I. Los crocodylia de Colombia. Bogota, Colombia: Colciencias. p. 354.
- Medem F. 1983. Los Crocodylia de sur America. Vol. II. Venezuela, Trinidad-Tobago, Guyana, Suriname, Guayana Francesa, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, Argentina, Uruguay. Bogota, Colombia: Colciencias. p. 271.
- Michard J-G, de Broin F, Brunet M, Hell J. 1990. Le plus ancien crocodilien néosuchien spécialisé à caractères "eusuchiens" du continent africain (Crétacé inférieur, Cameroun). Comptes Rendus Académie des Sci Paris 311:365–71.

- Mook CC. 1921a. Individual and age variations in the skulls of Recent Crocodilia. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 44:51–66.
- Mook CC. 1921b. Notes on the postcranial skeleton in the Crocodilia. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 44:67–100.
- Mook CC. 1921c. *Allognathosuchus*, a new genus of Eocene crocodilians. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 44:105–10.
- Mook CC. 1921d. Description of a skull of a Bridger crocodilian. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 8:111–6.
- Mook CC. 1933a. A crocodilian skeleton from the Morrison Formation at Canyon City, Colorado. Am Mus Novit 671:1–8.
- Mook CC. 1933b. A skull of *Crocodilus clavis* Cope, in the United States National Museum. Am Mus Novit 678:1–7.
- Mook CC. 1941. A new crocodilian, *Hassiacosuchus kayi*, from the Bridger Eocene Beds of Wyoming. Ann Carnegie Mus 28:207–20.
- Mook CC. 1959. A new species of fossil crocodile of the genus *Leiyosuchus* from the Green River Beds. Am Mus Novit 1933:1–6.
- Mook CC. 1961. Notes on the skull characters of *Allognathosuchus polyodon*. Am Mus Novit 2072:1–5.
- Murphey PC, Evanoff E. 2011. Paleontology and stratigraphy of the middle Eocene Bridger Formation, Southern Green River Basin, Wyoming. Proceedings of the 9th Conference on Fossil Resources. p. 83–109.
- Nascimento PM, Zaher H. 2010. A new species of *Baurusuchus* (Crocodyliformes, Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Upper Cretaceous of Brazil, with the first complete postcranial skeleton described for the family Baurusuchidae. Pap Avulsos Zool 50:323–62.
- Nesbitt SJ, Butler RJ. 2013. Redescription of the archosaur *Parringtonia gracilis* from the Middle Triassic Manda beds of Tanzania, and the antiquity of Erpetosuchidae. Geol Mag 150:225–38.
- Nobre PH, de Souza Carvalho I. 2013. Postcranial skeleton of *Mariliasuchus amarali* Carvalho and Bertini, 1999 (Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Bauru Basin, Upper Cretaceous of Brazil. Ameghiniana 50:98–113.
- Norell MA, Clark JM. 1990. A reanalysis of *Bernissartia fagesii*, with comments on its phylogenetic position and its bearing on the origin and diagnosis of the Eusuchia. Bull l'Institut Roy Sci Nat Belgique 60:115–28.
- Norell MA, Storrs GW. 1989. Catalogue and review of the type fossil crocodilians in the Yale Peabody Museum. Postilla 203:1–28.
- O'Brien HD, Lynch LM, Vliet KA, Brueggen J, Erickson GM, Gignac PM. 2019. Crocodylian head width allometry and phylogenetic prediction of body size in extinct crocodyliforms. Integr Org Biol 1:obz006.
- O'Connor PM, Sertich JJW, Stevens NJ, Roberts EM, Gottfried MD, Hieronymus TL, Jinnah ZA, Ridgely R, Ngasala SE, Temba J. 2010. The evolution of mammallike crocodyliforms in the Cretaceous Period of Gondwana. Nature 466:748–51.
- Oaks JR. 2011. A time-calibrated species tree of Crocodylia reveals a recent radiation of the true crocodiles. Evolution 65:3285–13.
- Orme D, Freckleton R, Thomas G, Petzoldt T, Fritz S, Isaac N, Pearse W. 2013. Caper: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caper).

- Osmólska H, Hua S, Buffetaut E. 1997. *Gobiosuchus kielanae* (Protosuchia) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia: anatomy and relationships. Acta Palaeontol Pol 42:257–89.
- Ouboter PE. 1996. Ecological studies on crocodilians in Suriname: niche segregation and competition in three predators. New York (NY): SPB Academic Publishing. p. 139.
- Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877–84.
- Peng GZ. 1996. A Late Jurassic protosuchian Sichuanosuchus huidongensis from Zigong, Sichuan Province. Vertebr PalAsiat 34:269–78.
- Peng GZ, Shu CK. 2005. A new species of *Hsisosuchus* from the Late Jurassic of Zigong, Sichuan, China. Vertebr PalAsiat 43:312–24.
- Pérez-Higareda C, Rangel-Rangel A, Smith HM. 1991. Maximum sizes of Morelet's and American crocodiles. Bull Maryl Herpetol Soc 27:34–7.
- Peters RH. 1983. The ecological implications of body size. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 234.
- Pierce SE, Benton MJ. 2006. *Pelagosaurus typus* Bronn, 1841 (Mesoeucrocodylia: Thalattosuchia) from the Upper Lias (Toarcian, Lower Jurassic) of Somerset, England. J Vertebr Paleontol 26:621–35.
- Platt SG, Rainwater TR, Thorbjarnarson JB, Finger AG, Anderson TA, McMurry ST. 2009. Size estimation, morphometrics, sex ratio, sexual size dimorphism, and biomass of Morelet's crocodile in northern Belize. Caribb J Sci 45:80–93.
- Platt SG, Rainwater TR, Thorbjarnarson JB, Martin D. 2011. Size estimation, morphometrics, sex ratio, sexual size dimorphism, and biomass of *Crocodylus acutus* in the coastal zone of Belize. Salamandra 47:179–92.
- Platt SG, Rainwater TR, Thorbjarnarson JB, McMurry ST. 2008. Reproductive dynamics of a tropical freshwater crocodilian: Morelet's crocodile in northern Belize. J Zool 275:177–89.
- Platt SG, Sigler L, Rainwater TR. 2010. Morelet's crocodile *Crocodylus moreletii*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 79–83.
- Pol D. 2005. Postcranial remains of *Notosuchus terrestris* Woodward (Archosauria: Crocodyliformes) from the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. Ameghiniana 42:21–38.
- Pol D, Norell MA. 2004. A new gobiosuchid crocodyliform taxon from the Cretaceous of Mongolia. Am Mus Novit 3458:1–31.
- Pol D, Turner AH, Norell MA. 2009. Morphology of the Late Cretaceous crocodylomorph *Shamosuchus djadochtaensis* and a discussion of neosuchian phylogeny as related to the origin of Eusuchia. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 324:1–103.
- Puértolas-Pascual E, Young MT, Brochu CA. 2020. Introducing the first European symposium on the evolution of crocodylomorpha. Zool J Linn Soc 189:419–27.
- R Core Team. 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. (https://www.R-project.org/).
- Reese AM. 1915. The alligator and its allies. New York (NY): Knickerbocker Press. p. 358
- Revell LJ. 2010. Phylogenetic signal and linear regression on species data. Methods Ecol Evol 1:319–29.

- Roehler HW. 1973. Stratigraphy of the Washakie Formation in the Washakie Basin. Wyoming. Geol Surv Bull 1369:1–40.
- Rogers JV. 2003. *Pachycheilosuchus trinquei*, a new procoelous crocodyliform from the Lower Cretaceous (Albian) Glen Rose Formation of Texas. J Vertebr Paleontol 23:128–45.
- Rossmann T. 2000. Studien an känozoischen Krokodilen: 5. Biomechanische Untersuchung am postkranialen Skelett des paläogenen Krokodils *Pristichampsus rollinatii* (Eusuchia: Pristichampsidae). Neues Jahrb Geol Paläontol Abh 217:289–330.
- Sachs S, Young MT, Abel P, Mallison H. 2019. A new species of the metriorhynchid crocodylomorph *Cricosaurus* from the Upper Jurassic of southern Germany. Acta Palaeontol Pol 64:343–56.
- Sahni A, Mishra VP. 1975. Lower Tertiary vertebrates from western India. Monogr Palaeontol Soc India 3:1–48.
- Scheyer TM, Aguilera OA, Delfino M, Fortier DC, Carlini AA, Sánchez R, Carrillo-Briceño JD, Quiroz L, Sánchez-Villagra MR. 2013. Crocodylian diversity peak and extinction in the late Cenozoic of the northern Neotropics. Nat Commun 4:1907.
- Scheyer TM, Hutchinson JR, Strauss O, Delfino M, Carrillo-Briceño JD, Sánchez R, Sánchez-Villagra MR. 2019. Giant extinct caiman breaks constraint on the axial skeleton of extant crocodylians. eLife 8:e49972.
- Salisbury SW, Frey E, Martill D. 2003. A new crocodilian from the Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation of northeastern Brazil. Palaeontogr Abt A Palaozool Stratigr 270:3–47.
- Salisbury SW, Molnar RE, Frey E, Willis PMA. 2006. The origin of modern crocodyliforms: new evidence from the Cretaceous of Australia. Proc R Soc B 273:2439–48.
- Schmidt-Nielsen K. 1984. Scaling: why is animal size so important? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 241.
- Schwarz D, Frey E, Martin T. 2006. The postcranial skeleton of the Hyposaurinae (Dyrosauridae; Crocodyliformes). Palaeontology 49:695–718.
- Schwimmer DR. 2002. King of the crocodylians: the paleobiology of *Deinosuchus*. Bloomington (IN): Indiana University Press. p. 220
- Seebacher F, Elsworth PG, Franklin CE. 2003. Ontogenetic changes of swimming kinematics in a semi-aquatic reptile (*Crocodylus porosus*). Aust J Zool 51:15–24.
- Seebacher F, Grigg GC, Beard LA. 1999. Crocodiles as dinosaurs: behavioural thermoregulation in very large ectotherms leads to high and stable body temperatures. J Exp Biol 202:77–86.
- Sereno PC, Larsson HCE. 2009. Cretaceous crocodyliforms from the Sahara. Zookeys 28:1–143.
- Sereno PC, Larsson HCE, Sidor CA, Gado B. 2001. The giant crocodyliform *Sarcosuchus* from the Cretaceous of Africa. Science 294:1516–9.
- Shan HY, Wu X, Cheng Y, Sato T. 2017. Maomingosuchus petrolica, a restudy of "Tomistoma" petrolica Yeh. Palaeoworld 26:672–90.
- Shirley MH, Burtner B, Oslisly R, Sebag D, Testa O. 2017. Diet and body condition of cave-dwelling dwarf crocodiles (Osteolaemus tetraspis, Cope 1861) in Gabon. Afr J Ecol 55:411–22.

- Smith RJ. 2009. Use and misuse of the reduced major axis for line-fitting. Am J Phys Anthropol 140:476–86.
- Solórzano A, Núñez-Flores M, Inostroza-Michael O, Hernández CE. 2020. Biotic and abiotic factors driving the diversification dynamics of Crocodylia. Palaeontology 63:415–29.
- Somaweera R, Nifong J, Rosenblatt A, Brien ML, Combrink X, Elsey RM, Grigg G, Magnusson WE, Mazzotti FJ, Pearcy A, et al. 2020. The ecological importance of crocodylians: towards evidence-based justification for their conservation. Biol Rev 95:936–59.
- Staton MA, Dixon JR. 1977. Breeding biology of the spectacled caiman, *Caiman crocodilus crocodilus*, in the Venezuelan Llanos, United States Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report 5. Washington (DC): US Government Printing Office. p. 21
- Steel R. 1973. Handbuch der paläoherpetologie, part 16: Crocodylia. Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fischer Verlag. p. 116.
- Stevenson C, Whitaker R. 2010. Indian gharial Gavialis gangeticus. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 139–43.
- Storrs GW. 1986. A dyrosaurid crocodile (Crocodylia: Mesosuchia) from the Paleocene of Pakistan. Postilla 197:1–16.
- Storrs GW, Efimov MB. 2000. Mesozoic crocodyliforms of north-central Eurasia. In: Benton MJ, Shishkin M, Unwin DM, Kurochkin EN, editors. The age of dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 402–19.
- Stout JB. 2012. New material of *Borealosuchus* from the Bridger Formation, with notes on the paleoecology of Wyoming's Eocene crocodylians. PalArch's J Vertebr Palaeontol 9:1–7.
- Sues HD. 1989. The place of crocodilians in the living world. In: Ross, CA, editor. Crocodiles and alligators. New York (NY): Fact On File. p. 14–25.
- Taborda JRA, Heckert AB, Desojo JB. 2015. Intraspecific variation in *Aetosauroides scagliai* Casamiquela (Archosauria: Aetosauria) from the Upper Triassic of Argentina and Brazil: an example of sexual dimorphism? Ameghiniana 52:173–87.
- Targarona RR, Soberón RR, Tabet MA, Thorbjarnarson JB. 2010. Cuban crocodile *Crocodilus rhombifer*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 114–9.
- Tennant JP, Mannion PD, Upchurch P. 2016. Evolutionary relationships and systematics of Atoposauridae (Crocodylomorpha: Neosuchia): implications for the rise of Eusuchia. Zool J Linn Soc 177:854–936.
- Thorbjarnarson JB. 1989. Ecology of the American crocodile, *Crocodylus acutus*. Crocodiles: their ecology, management, and conservation. Gland, Switzerland: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. p. 228–59.
- Thorbjarnarson JB. 1994. Reproductive ecology of the spectacled caiman (*Caiman crocodilus*) in the Venezuelan Llanos. Copeia 4:907–19.
- Thorbjarnarson JB. 1996. Reproductive characteristics of the order Crocodylia. Herpetologica 52:8–24.

- Thorbjarnarson JB. 2010. Black caiman *Melanosuchus niger*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 29–39.
- Troxell EL. 1925a. The Bridger crocodiles. Am J Sci 5– 9:29–72.
- Troxell EL. 1925b. *Hyposaurus*, a marine crocodilian. Am J Sci 9:489–514.
- Tumarkin-Deratzian AR, Vann DR, Dodson P. 2007. Growth and textural ageing in long bones of the American alligator. Zool J Linn Soc 150:1–39.
- Turner AH. 2006. Osteology and phylogeny of a new species of *Araripesuchus* (Crocodyliformes: Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. Hist Biol 18:255–369.
- Turner AH. 2015. A review of *Shamosuchus* and *Paralligator* (Crocodyliformes, Neosuchia) from the Cretaceous of Asia. PLoS One 10:e0118116.
- Turner AH, Pritchard AC. 2015. The monophyly of Susisuchidae (Crocodyliformes) and its phylogenetic placement in Neosuchia. PeerJ 3:e759.
- Velasco A, Ayarzagüena J. 2010. Spectacled caiman *Caiman crocodilus*. In: Manolis CS, Stevenson C, editors. Crocodiles. Status survey and conservation action plan. 3rd ed. Darwin, Australia: Crocodile Specialist Group. p. 10–5.
- Verdade LM. 2000. Regression equations between body and head measurements in the broad-snouted caiman (*Caiman latirostris*). Rev Bras Biol 60:469–82.
- Verdade LM. 2001. Allometry of reproduction in broadsnouted caiman (*Caiman latirostris*). Brazilian J Biol 61:431–5.
- Vliet KA. 2020. Alligators: the illustrated guide to their biology, behavior, and conservation. Baltimore (MD): Johns Hopkins University Press. p. 291.
- Warton DI, Duursma RA, Falster DS, Taskinen S. 2012. SMATR 3 – an R package for estimation and inference about allometric lines. Methods Ecol Evol 3:257–9.
- Webb GJW, Buckworth R, Manolis CS. 1983. *Crocodylus johnstoni* in the Mckinlay river area, N. T. III. Growth, movement and the population age structure. Aust Wildl Res 10:383–401.
- Webb GJW, Manolis CS. 1989. Crocodiles of Australia. Sydney, Australia: New Holland Publishers. p. 160.
- Webb GJW, Messel H. 1978. Morphometric analysis of *Crocodylus porosus* from the north coast of Arnhem Land, Northern Australia. Aust J Zool 26:1–27.
- Wellnhofer P. 1971. Die Atoposauridae (Crocodylia, Mesosuchia) der Oberjura-Plattenkalke Bayerns. Palaeontogr Abteilung A Palaozool Stratigraph 138:133–65.
- Wermuth H. 1964. Das Verhältnis zwischen Kopf-, Rumpfund Schwanzlänge bei den rezenten Krokodilen. Senckenb Biol 45:369–85.
- Whitaker R, Basu D. 1982. The gharial (*Gavialis gangeticus*): a review. J Bombay Nat Hist Soc 79:531–48.
- Whitaker R, Whitaker N. 2008. Who's got the biggest? Crocodile Spec Gr Newsl 27:26–30.
- Wilberg EW. 2015. What's in an outgroup? The impact of outgroup choice on the phylogenetic position of Thalattosuchia (Crocodylomorpha) and the origin of Crocodyliformes. Syst Biol 64:621–37.

- Wilberg EW, Turner AH, Brochu CA. 2019. Evolutionary structure and timing of major habitat shifts in Crocodylomorpha. Sci Rep 9:514.
- Woodward AR, White JH, Linda SB. 1995. Maximum size of the alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). J Herpetol 29:507–13.
- Woodward HN, Horner JR, Farlow JO. 2011. Osteohistological evidence for determinate growth in the American alligator. J Herpetol 45:339–42.
- Wu XB, Xue H, Wu LS, Zhu JL, Wang RP. 2006. Regression analysis between body and head measurements of Chinese alligators (*Alligator sinensis*) in the captive population. Anim Biodivers Conserv 29:65–71.
- Wu XC, Brinkman DB, Lu JC. 1994. A new species of *Shantungosuchus* from the Lower Cretaceous of Inner Mongolia (China), with comments on *S. Chuhsienensis* Young, 1961 and the phylogenetic position of the genus. J Vertebr Paleontol 14:210–29.
- Wu XC, Brinkman DB, Russell AP. 1996. Sunosuchus junggarensis sp. nov. (Archosauria: Crocodyliformes) from the Upper Jurassic of Xinjiang, People's Republic of China. Can J Earth Sci 33:606–30.
- Wu XC, Cheng ZW, Russell AP. 2001. Cranial anatomy of a new crocodyliform (Archosauria: Crocodylomorpha) from the Lower Cretaceous of Song-Liao Plain, northeastern China. Can J Earth Sci 38:1653–63.

- Wu XC, Russell AP, Cumbaa SL. 2001. *Terminonaris* (Archosauria: Crocodyliformes): new material from Saskatchewan, Canada, and comments on its phylogenetic relationships. J Vertebr Paleontol 21:492–514.
- Yeh HK. 1958. A new crocodiles from Maoming Kwangtung. Vertebr Pal Asiat 2:237–42.
- Young MT, Brusatte SL, Ruta M, de Andrade MB. 2010. The evolution of Metriorhynchoidea (Mesoeucrocodylia, Thalattosuchia): an integrated approach using geometric morphometrics, analysis of disparity, and biomechanics. Zool J Linn Soc 158:801–59.
- Young MT, Bell MA, de Andrade MB, Brusatte SL. 2011. Body size estimation and evolution in metriorhynchid crocodylomorphs: implications for species diversification and niche partitioning. Zool J Linn Soc 163:1199–216.
- Young MT, de Andrade MB, Brusatte SL, Sakamoto M, Liston J. 2013. The oldest known metriorhynchid superpredator: a new genus and species from the Middle Jurassic of England, with implications for serration and mandibular evolution in predacious clades. J Syst Palaeontol 11:475–513.
- Young MT, Rabi M, Bell MA, Foffa D, Steel L, Sachs S, Peyer K. 2016. Big-headed marine crocodyliforms and why we must be cautious when using extant species as body length proxies for long-extinct relatives. Palaeontol Electron 19:1–14.