



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

B-PO05-071

WHAT IS THE RISK OF NOSOCOMIAL COVID-19 INFECTION FOLLOWING AN ELECTIVE ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY PROCEDURE WITH AN OVERNIGHT HOSPITAL STAY?

Jonathan Gandhi, Lawrence Zeldin BA, Yash M. Maniar BS, Alex Choy MD, Daniel Ross Musikantow MD, Edward W. Chu MD, Abhishek Maan, Tatjana N. Slijacic MD, Daniel N. Pugliese MD, Mohit K. Turagam MD, FHRS, Marc A. Miller MD, Jacob S. Koruth MBBS, MD, William Whang MD, FHRS, Marie-Noelle S. Langan MD, Srinivas R. Dukkupati MD, FHRS and Vivek Y. Reddy MD

Background: As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, patients are (understandably) apprehensive about undergoing elective cardiovascular procedures because of the potential risk of contracting the infection in an inpatient hospital setting.

Objective: To describe the incidence of COVID-19 infection in patients undergoing elective EP procedures.

Methods: We studied 455 consecutive patients undergoing elective EP procedures between 5/2020 and 12/2020, and spending ≥ 1 night in the hospital. Hospital policy mandated a negative PCR test within 5 days of admission, universal mask usage, and diligent hand washing. We evaluated for a clinical diagnosis of COVID-19 infection or a positive PCR test within 4 weeks of discharge.

Results: The cohort (age 65 ± 1.3 years, 38%F, ~75% catheter ablation; see **Table**) had a length of stay (LOS) of 29.7 ± 3.4 hrs. PCR testing for COVID-19 was performed in 33 asymptomatic pts (typically in anticipation of another procedure) and 8 of 455 pts (1.8%) with viral illness symptoms. The swab was positive in 0 of 33 pts and 2 of 8 pts, respectively. One 55 yo pt who underwent AF ablation with LOS=27 hrs, had an EGD for candida esophagitis at 19 days, was swab-positive at 22 days, and recovered without hospitalization. The other 72 yo pt underwent PVC ablation with LOS=30 hrs, developed fevers/dyspnea and was swab-positive at 18 days, was admitted at 25 days and eventually died of fulminant COVID-19 pneumonia.

Conclusion: In patients undergoing elective EP procedures followed by an overnight hospital stay, nosocomial COVID-19 infection was rare (0.4%). These findings may provide reassurance to patients, particularly given the interval vaccination of hospital staff.

Patient Characteristics	(N = 455)
Age, years	65 ± 1.3
Gender, No. (%)	
Male	282 (62%)
Female	173 (38%)
Received COVID-19 Swab, No. (%)	41
Negative Swab	39 (95%)
Positive Swab	2 (5%)
Anesthesia Type During Procedure, No. (%)	
General Anesthesia	248 (55%)
MAC	184 (40%)
Local Anesthesia Only	23 (5%)
Type of Procedure, No. (%)	
AF Ablation	181 (40%)
AFL Ablation	64 (14%)
EP Study ± SVT Ablation	62 (14%)
VT or PVC Ablation	39 (9%)
ICD / CRT / Pacer Implantation	87 (19%)
LAA Closure	16 (4%)
Other	6 (1%)
Length of Stay, hours	29.7 ± 3.4
Diagnosed with COVID-19 Infection, No. (%)	2 (0.4%)
COVID-19 Related Death	1 (0.2%)

B-PO05-072

TEMPORARY RESTORATION OF SINUS RHYTHM PRE-ABLATION CAN IMPROVE LONG-TERM ARRHYTHMIA OUTCOMES IN PATIENTS WITH NON-PAROXYSMAL ATRIAL FIBRILLATION UNDERGOING PULMONARY VEIN ISOLATION

Lauren A. Eberly, Aung Lin MD, Joseph Park BS, Mirmilad Khoshnab MD, Lohit Garg MBBS, MD, Katie Walsh, Gregory E. Supple MD, FHRS, Robert D. Schaller DO, FHRS, Pasquale Santangeli MD, PhD, Michael P. Riley MD, PhD, Saman Nazarian MD, PhD, FHRS, Jeffrey Arkles MA, MD, Matthew Craig Hyman MD, David Lin MD, FHRS, Gustavo S. Guandalini MD, Ramanan Kumareswaran MD, Rajat Deo MD, Erica S. Zado PAC, FHRS, Andrew E. Epstein MD, FHRS, David S. Frankel MD, FHRS, David J. Callans MD, FHRS, CCDS, Francis E. Marchlinski MD, FHRS and Sanjay Dixit MD, FHRS

Background: Adverse structural and electrical remodeling underlie persistent (Pe) and long standing persistent (LSP) atrial fibrillation (AF). Extensive catheter ablation (CA) strategies have been utilized albeit with limited success to address this issue.

Temporary restoration of sinus rhythm (SR) in these patients may improve the underlying substrate, thus improving long-term arrhythmia outcomes after CA.

Objective: To evaluate if temporary restoration of SR [by cardioversion and/or antiarrhythmic drugs (AADs)] pre-ablation can improve long-term arrhythmia outcomes after pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) in patients with Pe-AF and LSP-AF.

Methods: Patients with Pe-AF and LSP-AF undergoing first-time PVI at our institution from 2014-2018 were included. We compared patients who had temporary restoration of SR pre-ablation to those who presented for CA in AF. Primary outcome of interest was freedom from AF off AADs at 1 year after single ablation. Secondary outcomes included freedom from AF on or off AADs at 1 year and time to recurrent AF after single ablation.

Results: 571 patients were included (322 presented in AF; see Table). Primary outcome was higher in those who presented for CA in SR as compared to AF (59% vs. 44%, p <0.01). Freedom from AF on or off AAD was also higher in those presenting in SR (86% vs. 78%; p=0.02) and time to recurrent AF was lower in this group (p <0.01; see Figure). Presence of SR at CA was independently associated with freedom from AF off AADs at 1 year (OR 1.867; 95% CI 1.13-2.46).

Conclusion: Temporary restoration of SR pre-ablation can improve long term arrhythmia outcomes of PVI in patients with Pe-AF and LSP-AF.

