

Article

Hepatitis B Vaccination in Senegalese Children: Coverage, Timeliness, and Sociodemographic Determinants of Non-Adherence to Immunisation Schedules (ANRS 12356 AmBASS Survey)

MDP

Lauren Périères ¹, Fabienne Marcellin ²,*¹, Gora Lo ³, Camelia Protopopescu ², El Hadji Ba ¹, Marion Coste ^{2,4}, Coumba Touré Kane ³, Gwenaëlle Maradan ^{2,5}, Aldiouma Diallo ¹, Cheikh Sokhna ⁶, Sylvie Boyer ² and on behalf of the ANRS 12356 AmBASS Survey Study Group [†]

- ¹ VITROME, Campus IRD-UCAD, CP 18524 Dakar, Senegal; lauren.perieres@etu.univ-amu.fr (L.P.); el-hadj.ba@ird.fr (E.H.B.); aldiouma.diallo@ird.fr (A.D.)
- ² Inserm, IRD, SESSTIM, Sciences Economiques & Sociales de la Santé & Traitement de l'Information Médicale, ISSPAM, Aix Marseille University, 13385 Marseille, France; camelia.protopopescu@inserm.fr (C.P.); marion.coste@inserm.fr (M.C.); gwenaelle.maradan@inserm.fr (G.M.); sylvie.boyer@inserm.fr (S.B.)
- ³ Institut de Recherche en Santé de Surveillance Epidémiologique et de Formation, BP 7325 Diamniadio, Senegal; gora.lo@iressef.org (G.L.); coumba.toure@ucad.edu.sn (C.T.K.)
- CNRS, EHESS, Centrale Marseille, AMSE, Aix Marseille University, 13001 Marseille, France
- ⁵ ORS PACA, Observatoire Régional de la Santé Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, 13385 Marseille, France
- ⁵ IRD, SSA, VITROME, Aix Marseille University, 13385 Marseille, France; cheikh.sokhna@ird.fr
- * Correspondence: fabienne.marcellin@inserm.fr; Tel.: +33-413732290
- t List of authors in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey Study Group provided in the acknowledgments.

Abstract: Detailed knowledge about hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccination coverage and timeliness for sub-Saharan Africa is scarce. We used data from a community-based cross-sectional survey conducted in 2018–2019 in the area of Niakhar, Senegal, to estimate coverage, timeliness, and factors associated with non-adherence to the World Health Organisation-recommended vaccination schedules in children born in 2016 (year of the birth dose (BD) introduction in Senegal) and 2017-2018. Vaccination status was assessed from vaccination cards, surveillance data, and healthcare post vaccination records. Among 241 children with available data, for 2016 and 2017-2018, respectively, 31.0% and 66.8% received the BD within 24 h of birth (BD schedule), and 24.3% and 53.7% received the BD plus at least two pentavalent vaccine doses within the recommended timeframes (three-dose schedule). In logistic regression models, home birth, dry season birth, and birth in 2016 were all associated with non-adherence to the recommended BD and three-dose schedules. Living over three kilometres from the nearest healthcare post, being the firstborn, and living in an agriculturally poorer household were only associated with non-adherence to the three-dose schedule. The substantial proportion of children not vaccinated according to recommended schedules highlights the importance of considering vaccination timeliness when evaluating vaccination programme effectiveness. Outreach vaccination activities and incentives to bring children born at home to healthcare facilities within 24 h of birth, must be strengthened to improve timely HBV vaccination.

Keywords: birth dose vaccination; hepatitis B vaccine; pentavalent vaccination; Senegal; vaccination timeliness; vaccination coverage

1. Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is highly endemic in sub-Saharan Africa, affecting approximately 80 million individuals [1]. It accounts for over 70,000 deaths annually [2]. In 2016, the World Health Assembly adopted the 'Global health sector strategy on viral hepatitis', which aims to eliminate viral hepatitis as a public health threat by 2030. The strategy's targets, which include a 90% reduction in hepatitis B incidence and a 65%



Citation: Périères, L.; Marcellin, F.; Lo, G.; Protopopescu, C.; Ba, E.H.; Coste, M.; Touré Kane, C.; Maradan, G.; Diallo, A.; Sokhna, C.; et al. Hepatitis B Vaccination in Senegalese Children: Coverage, Timeliness, and Sociodemographic Determinants of Non-Adherence to Immunisation Schedules (ANRS 12356 AmBASS Survey). *Vaccines* 2021, *9*, 510. https://doi.org/10.3390/ vaccines9050510

Academic Editor: Yee-Joo Tan

Received: 1 April 2021 Accepted: 12 May 2021 Published: 15 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). reduction in HBV-related mortality by 2030 [3], have been endorsed by most sub-Saharan African countries [4].

HBV transmission in sub-Saharan Africa mainly occurs during early childhood through perinatal transmission and horizontal transmission among children [5]. Horizontal transmission occurs through close contact which is neither perinatal or sexual [5]. Although the mechanisms of horizontal transmission are not fully understood, potential transmission routes include the sharing of personal objects and food [6]. Approximately 90% of HBV infections acquired by infants under six months become chronic [7], with a higher risk of developing cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [8]. Accordingly, HBV prevention in this sub-population is key to reducing the disease burden in the region.

Vaccination is the cornerstone of HBV prevention. Perinatal infection can be prevented through a monovalent vaccine within 24 h of birth (the so-called 'birth dose' or BD hereafter), while additional HBV vaccine doses during early childhood prevent horizontal transmission [9]. Currently, the World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends that all children receive at least three doses of HBV vaccine (the BD within 24 h, followed by two or three additional doses) [9]. Hepatitis B vaccination averted 310 million new HBV cases between 1990 and 2020 [10]. In 2017, although all countries in sub-Saharan Africa had included HBV infant vaccination in their national Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI), only nine had introduced the BD, including Senegal [4].

HBV infection is a major public health problem in Senegal. In 2016, prevalence in the general population was estimated at 8.1% (95% confidence interval [CI], 7.5–9.0%) [1]. The three-dose pentavalent vaccine (for diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, HBV, and Haemophilus influenza type b, scheduled at 6, 10, and 14 weeks after birth) and the BD monovalent vaccine, were introduced in the country's national EPI in 2004 and 2016, respectively. As part of the EPI, vaccines are administered for free in public healthcare facilities, as well as during mass immunisation campaigns and door-to-door activities [11,12]. HBV vaccine coverage in Senegal is high according to recent WHO/UNICEF estimates and data in the 2019 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). An estimated 81% of children born in 2019 received the BD (based on Senegalese government data) [13], and 92% of children born in 2017–2018 received all three doses of the pentavalent vaccine [14]. However, these data are only descriptive. Furthermore, vaccination timeliness was not taken into account in the pentavalent vaccine coverage estimates [14]. Measuring timeliness is essential to evaluate the effectiveness of a vaccination programme, as doses received either too early or too close to one another can result in suboptimal immune protection, [15] while delays in vaccination increase susceptibility to vaccine-preventable diseases [16]. Accordingly, studies on adherence to HBV vaccination schedules which identify barriers to timely vaccination are needed, in order to inform decisions on health policy, with a view of improving vaccination programme effectiveness.

The objectives of the present study were: (i) to estimate the coverage and timeliness of HBV vaccination according to WHO recommendations in a mostly rural area of Senegal, and (ii) to identify individual factors associated with non-adherence to recommended schedules. More specifically, we considered BD within 24 h of birth, and BD within 24 h of birth followed by at least two timely doses of the pentavalent vaccine (as receiving three timely doses provides optimal immune protection against HBV [9,17]).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Design

The present analysis was conducted as part of the larger ANRS 12356 AmBASS crosssectional survey, which aimed to assess the health and socioeconomic burden of HBV infection at the individual, household, and population levels, in people living in the area covered by the Niakhar Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) facility. The HDSS, located 135 kilometres east of Dakar, Senegal, regularly monitors this population, recording births, deaths, migrations, and pregnancies [18]. In 2018, it covered a population of 44,854 individuals in 30 villages [19]. This mostly rural area has four healthcare posts managed by nurses who provide primary care, including maternal and child healthcare, vaccination, and childbirth services [19]. Each post has a specific day every week when the principal activity conducted is vaccination. Vaccination is also performed through regular outreach services for children living in the villages furthest away from the healthcare posts. At each vaccination, the date of the next vaccination appointment is written on the child's vaccination card and communicated orally to caregivers (i.e., parents, guardians, etc.). Caregivers of children who miss vaccination appointments are contacted by community health volunteers for a new appointment. Children born in healthcare posts are supposed to receive the BD vaccination within 24 h of their birth, in accordance with national and WHO recommendations (BD schedule hereafter) [9,20].

Data collection for AmBASS took place between October 2018 and May 2019. Household sampling was based on a two-stage stratified design, using simple random sampling at both stages. First, the villages of the Niakhar HDSS were divided into 3 semi-urban villages and 27 rural villages, according to their levels of infrastructure. The three semiurban villages and a random sample of eight rural villages were selected for participation. Second, 401 households in these 11 villages were randomly selected in order to reach the target number of 3200 survey participants. Among the selected households, all individuals residing in the household aged at least six months old were invited to participate. For those under 18 years of age ('child' hereafter), a caregiver had to be present in the household at the time of the survey and give consent for the child's participation. The ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey sampling strategy and methodology is described in detail elsewhere [19].

2.2. Data Collection

Once consent was obtained for a child's participation, trained fieldworkers collected data on their HBV vaccination status and administered a socioeconomic questionnaire to the caregiver. Data were recorded electronically on tablets using Voxco Survey Software (version 2) [21].

2.2.1. HBV Vaccination Status

For the present analysis, we used the following three data sources to determine the child's HBV vaccination status: (i) their vaccination card (if available), (ii) six-monthly vaccination data from the HDSS database, and (iii) vaccination records in healthcare posts (where possible). For children with a vaccination card available at the time of the AmBASS survey, information was compared with HDSS data. Vaccination record information from healthcare posts was used when vaccination dates were different between the vaccination card and the HDSS database, or when the child had no vaccination card available or no vaccination information in the HDSS database.

2.2.2. Socioeconomic Questionnaires

One socioeconomic questionnaire documented the child's sociodemographic characteristics, health history (physical and mental impairments, hospitalisations, and illnesses), and exposure to HBV transmission risk factors. Another socioeconomic questionnaireadministered to the child's caregiver collected information on the sociodemographic characteristics of the latter, as well as on the economic characteristics of their household.

2.3. Ethical Consideration

The study received ethical approval from the Senegalese National Ethical Committee for Research in Health (no. 082MSAS/DPRS/CNERS), and authorisation from the French Commission on Information Technology and Liberties (reference MMS/HG/OTB/AR181521).

2.4. Study Population

The study population for the present analysis comprised children from the AmBASS survey born on or after 1 January 2016 (i.e., after the introduction of the BD vaccine for hepatitis B in the national EPI).

2.5. Study Outcome

The following binary variables constituted the two study outcomes: (i) non-adherence to the BD within 24 h of birth (BD schedule) and (ii) non-adherence to the BD within 24 h of birth followed by at least two timely doses of the pentavalent vaccine (three-dose schedule hereafter). We considered 'within 24 h of birth' as including the day of birth and the next day [22]. For the pentavalent doses, we considered the following WHO-recommended timeframe (which is the same as that recommended in Senegal guidelines): the first pentavalent dose at least six weeks after birth, and at least four weeks between the first and the second pentavalent doses [9,20].

2.6. Explanatory Variables

The following explanatory variables were tested as factors associated with nonadherence to the BD and three-dose schedules, based on previous literature [11,12,22–25] and available data:

- Children's sociodemographic characteristics: sex (male/female); season of birth (wet season [July–October]/dry season ([November–June]); place of birth (healthcare facility [i.e., healthcare post, healthcare centre, hospital, etc.]/home); birth order (1/≥2);
- Children's living conditions: type of village (semi-urban/rural); distance to the nearest healthcare post (≤3 km/>3 km); household living conditions index (1st/2nd/3rd/4th quartile); household agricultural resources index (1st/2nd/3rd/4th quartile or 1st quartile/2nd–4th quartiles). The latter two indexes were built using a multiple correspondence analysis of information on durable goods, agricultural/farming resources, and housing characteristics at the household level;
- Parents' sociodemographic characteristics: mother's age at childbirth (≤19/20–29/ ≥30 years); prenatal consultation during the mother's most recent pregnancy (yes/no); mother's marital status (married/not married [single, widowed, divorced]); mother's educational level (no schooling/primary school/secondary school and higher); father's educational level (no schooling/primary school/secondary school and higher).

Furthermore, in order to take into account the effect of the progressive implementation of the BD in Senegal, we tested whether being born in the 2016 calendar year (i.e., the year the BD was introduced in the country's EPI) versus being born in subsequent years (i.e., 2017 or 2018), was associated with non-adherence to the two schedules.

2.7. Statistical Analyses

2.7.1. Data Weighting and Calibration

Data collected in the AmBASS survey were weighted and calibrated to ensure the survey sample was representative of people living in the Niakhar HDSS in terms of sex and age. Sampling weights were calculated as the inverse of the individual probability of inclusion in the sample. Final weights were obtained by multiplying sampling weights by calibration factors, the latter being calculated as the ratio of the percentage of individuals in the HDSS demographic database to the percentage of individuals in the survey sample for each age and sex stratum [19]. Weighted and calibrated data were used for all analyses.

2.7.2. Descriptive Analyses

We used percentages for categorical variables, and the Chi-square test to compare the characteristics of children who had available vaccination data with those with missing vaccination data.

2.7.3. Regression Models

We used logistic regression models to identify the factors associated with each one of the two study outcomes. All covariates with a *p*-value below 0.25 (Wald chi-square test) in the univariable analyses were considered eligible for the multivariable analyses. The final multivariable model was constructed using a backward stepwise selection procedure, with a *p*-value threshold set at 0.10.

We also used a Heckman probit model [26] to test whether differences between children with available vaccination data and those with missing vaccination data could bias the estimations of the two regression analyses.

2.7.4. Sensitivity Analyses

Two sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the robustness of the results when considering two alternative outcomes, which were defined by changing the criteria for timeliness. For the first outcome, we separately assessed non-adherence to the BD and to the three-dose schedules, using a less restrictive timeliness threshold of seven days after birth (instead of 24 h) for the BD. For the second outcome, we assessed non-adherence to the overall HBV vaccination schedule as set out in Senegal's EPI. More specifically, the EPI provides for a total of four doses (i.e., the BD and three pentavalent doses), with a minimum of four weeks between the second and third pentavalent dose (WHO and national recommendations [9,20]).

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software, version 14.2 for Windows (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Children

A total of 272 children participating in the AmBASS survey were born on or after 1 January 2016. Of these, 88.0% (n = 241) had available vaccination data and comprised our study population. Slightly over half (54.5%) were female, 65.5% were born in 2017–2018, 62.0% were born during the dry season, and 79.5% were born in a healthcare facility. The majority (71.0%) lived within three kilometres of the nearest healthcare post. No significant sociodemographic differences were observed between children with available vaccination data and the 31 children with missing vaccination data, except for the year of birth (see Table 1).

Characteristics (% of Missing Data)	Overall (<i>n</i> = 272) %	Available Vaccination Data (n = 241) %	Missing Vaccination Data (n = 31) %	Pearson Chi2 p Value
Sex (0.0)				
Male	47.3	45.5	61.1	0.122
Female	52.7	54.5	38.9	
Season of birth (0.0)				
Wet season	35.8	38.0	19.7	0.058
Dry season	64.2	62.0	80.3	
Place of birth (0.0)				
Healthcare facility	77.6	79.5	63.2	0.155
Home	22.4	20.5	36.8	

Table 1. Characteristics of children born after 2016 participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey and comparison between those with available vaccination data (n = 241) and those with missing vaccination data (n = 31), using weighted and calibrated data.

Characteristics (% of Missing Data)	Overall (<i>n</i> = 272) %	Available Vaccination Data (<i>n</i> = 241) %	Missing Vaccination Data (n = 31) %	Pearson Chi2 p Value
Birth order (0.6)				
1	19.9	18.5	30.5	
<u>≥</u> 2	80.1	81.5	69.5	0.132
$\mathbf{Type of village} (0, 0)$				
Semi-urban	577	58 7	50.0	0 475
Rural	42.3	41.3	50.0	0.170
Distance to closest bealthcare post (0.6)				
<3 km	69.6	71.0	60.0	0.268
$\leq 3 \text{ km}$	30.4	29.0	40.0	0.200
	50.4	27.0	40.0	
Mother's age at child's birth (years) (5.7)	()	<i>(</i> 1	15.0	0.110
≤19 20.20	6.9	6.1	15.3	0.119
20-29	46.0	48.1	22.9	
<u>230</u>	47.1	45.8	61.8	
Prenatal consultation during the mother's most recent pregnancy (14.2)				
Yes	96.2	95.9	100.0	0.444
No	3.8	4.1	0.0	0.444
Mother's marital status (11.2)				
Married	97 1	96.9	100.0	0 439
Not married (single, widowed, divorced)	2.9	3.1	0.0	01207
Mother's educational level (6.9)				
No schooling	66 5	68.0	19.6	
Primary school	20.1	18 7	35.6	0 39/
Secondary school and higher	13.4	13.3	14.8	0.074
	10.1	10.0	11.0	
Father's educational level (25.4)	FFF 0	F7 0	50.0	
No schooling	57.3	57.9	50.8	0.0(2
Primary school	26.6	24.9	44.5	0.263
Secondary school and higher	16.1	17.2	4./	
Household living conditions index 1 (0.0)				
1st quartile	13.7	13.1	18.0	
2nd quartile	22.6	21.6	29.6	0.354
3rd quartile	26.0	27.7	13.2	
4th quartile	37.7	37.6	39.2	
Household agricultural wealth index (0.0)				
1st quartile	24.1	21.4	44.2	0.118
2nd guartile	18.7	19.1	15.8	
3rd quartile	24.3	25.6	14.3	
4th quartile	32.9	33.9	25.7	
Born in 2016 (0.0)				
$\frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}U$	30.3	34 5	7/ 1	
No (2017_2018)	60.7	65 5	25.9	0.006

Table 1. Cont.

¹ The household living conditions index and the agricultural wealth index were built using a multiple correspondence analysis of information on durable goods, agricultural and farming resources, and housing characteristics at the household level.

3.2. Vaccination Coverage and Timeliness

Of the 241 children with available vaccination data, 71.5% had received the BD. Of these, 54.5% had received it within 24 h of birth, while 58.2% received it within seven days of birth. Figure 1 shows the BD vaccination administration timeframe according to year of birth. Adherence to the BD schedule within 24 h increased from 31.0% in 2016 (i.e., the year



the BD was introduced) to 66.8% in 2017–2018. Furthermore, the proportion of children who received the BD within seven days of birth increased from 34.7% in 2016 to 70.5% in 2017–2018.



Figure 2 shows the level of vaccination timeliness according to all the different dose schedules (Figure 2a) and adherence to the three-dose schedule, per year of birth (Figure 2b). The majority of children who started the vaccine series completed it fully (i.e., BD plus all three pentavalent doses). More specifically, 71.6% of children with available vaccination data received at least three doses of HBV vaccine (BD plus at least two doses of the pentavalent vaccine). However, only 43.6% were vaccinated according to the WHO-recommended schedule (24.3% of those born in 2016 and 53.7% of those born in 2017–2018) mainly because of a >24-h delay between birth and BD administration. Furthermore, although 89.1% of children received all three doses of the pentavalent vaccine, only 68.0% received them according to the national recommended timeframe.



WHO-recommended timeframe: BD received within 24 h of birth (i.e., the day of birth or the day after), pentavalent 1 received at least six weeks after birth, pentavalent 2 received at least four weeks after pentavalent 1, and pentavalent 3 received at least four weeks after pentavalent 2.



- Adherence to the recommended three-dose schedule: BD received within 24 h of birth (day of birth or the day after), pentavalent 1 received at least six weeks after birth, and pentavalent 2 received at least four weeks after pentavalent 1.
- Non-adherence to the three-dose schedule: not receiving all three doses, or receiving all three doses but not according to the recommended timeframe.

(**b**)

Figure 2. Coverage and timeliness of the WHO-recommended three-dose HBV vaccination schedule (i.e., Birth dose (BD) plus at least two doses of pentavalent vaccine) in children born after the BD was introduced in Senegal's national Expanded Programme on Immunisation in 2016 (ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey, n = 241 children living in the mostly rural area of Niakhar with available vaccination data, using weighted and calibrated data). (a) HBV vaccine coverage and timeliness (percentage of children), per dose schedule; (b) Adherence and non-adherence (percentage of children) to recommended three-dose HBV vaccine schedule according to year of birth.

3.3. Factors Associated with Non-Adherence to WHO-Recommended Schedules

3.3.1. Non-Adherence to the BD Schedule

Factors associated with non-adherence to the BD schedule in univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses are presented in Table 2. Variables eligible to enter the multivariable analysis (p < 0.25 in univariable analysis) were the child's sex, season of birth, place of birth, birth order, and the birth year. In multivariable analysis, being born in 2016 (the year the BD was introduced in Senegal's EPI) (versus 2017–2018) (aOR [adjusted odds ratio] 4.94, 95% CI 2.14–11.40) was associated with non-adherence to the BD schedule at the 5% threshold. Moreover, being born during the dry season (aOR 1.97, 95% CI 0.99–3.95) and at home (versus in a healthcare facility) (aOR 2.02, 95% CI 0.91–4.47) were associated with non-adherence to the BD schedule at the 10% threshold.

Table 2. Factors associated with non-adherence to the hepatitis B virus (HBV) birth dose (BD) schedule in children born on or after 1 January 2016 living in the mostly rural area of Niakhar (n = 241 children participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey with available vaccination data, logistic regression models using weighted and calibrated data).

Characteristics (% of	Non-Adherence to the BD	Adherence to the BD	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
Missing Data)	Schedule ($n = 112$) %	Schedule $(n = 129)$ %	OR [95% CI]	p Value	aOR [95% CI]	p Value
Sex (0.0) Male (ref.) Female	40.1 59.9	49.9 50.1	1 1.49 [0.78–2.84]	0.200		
Season of birth (0.0) Wet season (ref.) Dry season	31.3 68.7	43.6 56.4	1 1.70 [0.88–3.27]	0.102	1 1.97 [0.99–3.95]	0.054
Place of birth (0.0) Healthcare facility (ref.) Home	74.4 25.6	83.8 16.2	1 1.78 [0.86–3.72]	0.108	1 2.02 [0.91–4.47]	0.077
Birth order (0.7) 1 ≥ 2 (ref.)	23.4 76.6	14.4 85.6	1.81 [0.81–4.06] 1	0.131		
Type of village (0.0) Semi-urban (ref.) Rural	58.3 41.7	59.0 41.0	1 1.03 [0.53–2.01]	0.922		
Distance to closest healthcare post (0.7) ≤3 km (ref.) >3 km	68.9 31.1	72.7 27.3	1 1.20 [0.61–2.38]	0.554		
Mother's age at child's birth (years) (1.5)						
≤ 19 20-29 $\geq 30 \text{ (ref.)}$	8.4 50.4 41.2	4.1 46.1 49.8	2.47 [0.48–12.73] 1.32 [0.70–2.49] 1	0.461		
Prenatal consultation during the mother's most recent pregnancy (9.9)						
Yes (ref.) No	95.4 4.6	96.3 3.7	1 1.25 [0.18–8.40]	0.801		

Characteristics (% of	Non-Adherence to the BD	Adherence to the BD	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
Missing Data)Schedule $(n = 112)$ Schedule $(n = 129)$		Schedule (<i>n</i> = 129)	OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> Value	aOR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> Value
Mother's marital status (11.2)	/0	70				
Married (ref.)	95.5	98.0	1	0 3/1		
Not married (single, widowed, divorced)	4.5	2.0	2.34 [0.35–15.82]	0.041		
Mother's educational level (2.9)						
No schooling (ref.)	62.7	72.3	1			
Primary school	23.2	15.0	1.78 [0.76-4.17]	0.363		
Secondary school and higher	14.1	12.7	1.28 [0.54–3.06]			
Father's educational level (22.6)						
No schooling (ref.)	59.7	56.4	1			
Primary school	27.2	23.0	1.12 [0.56-2.32]	0.598		
Secondary school and higher	13.1	20.6	0.60 [0.16–2.30]			
Household living conditions index ¹						
1st quartile	16.8	10.0	2.20 [0.90-5.38]			
2nd quartile	21.7	21.5	1.31 [0.48–3.63]	0.494		
3rd quartile	29.2	26.5	1.44 [0.67-3.09]	0.424		
4th quartile (ref.)	32.3	42.0	1			
Household agricultural wealth index ¹ (0.0)						
1st quartile	25.0	18.4	1.63 [0.64-4.17]			
2nd quartile	21.3	17.2	1.48 [0.60-3.65]	0 559		
3rd quartile	23.1	27.7	1.00 [0.47-2.14]	0.558		
4th quartile (ref.)	30.6	36.7	1			
Born in 2016 (0.0)			1.18		4.94	
Yes (ref.)	52.3	19.6	4.40 [1.99–10.08]	0.002	4.94 [2.14–11.40]	0.002
No (2017–2018)	47.7	80.4	1		1	

OR = odds ratio, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, BD = birth dose. ¹ The household living conditions index and the agricultural wealth index were built using a multiple correspondence analysis of information on durable goods, agricultural and farming resources, and housing characteristics at the household level.

3.3.2. Non-Adherence to the Three-Dose Schedule

Table 3 shows the results of the univariable and multivariable analysis of factors associated with non-adherence to the three-dose schedule. Variables eligible for the multivariable model were the child's season of birth, place of birth, birth order, distance to the nearest healthcare post, household agricultural wealth, and the birth year. After multivariable adjustment, factors independently associated with non-adherence to the three-dose schedule at the 5% threshold were birth during the dry season (aOR 2.70, 95% CI 1.35–5.39), home birth (versus in a healthcare facility) (aOR 2.38, 95% CI 1.02–5.56), living in an agriculturally poorer household (1st wealth quartile versus 2nd–4th wealth quartiles) (aOR 3.18, 95% CI 1.33–7.61), and being born in 2016 (versus 2017–2018) (aOR 3.93, 95% CI 1.74–8.89). Living more than three kilometres away from the nearest healthcare post (aOR 2.04, 95% CI 0.97–4.27) and being the first-born child (aOR 2.07, 95% CI 0.97–4.27) were both associated with non-adherence at the 10% threshold.

Table 2. Cont.

Table 3. Factors associated with non-adherence to the WHO-recommended three-dose hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine schedule in children born on or after 1 January 2016, living in the mostly rural area of Niakhar (n = 241 children participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey with available vaccination data, logistic regression models using weighted and calibrated data).

Characteristics (% of	Non-Adherence to the Three-Dose ScheduleAdherence Three-Dose S $(n = 138)$ $(n = 10)$	Adherence to the	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
Missing Data)		Three-Dose Schedule $(n = 103)$	OR [95% CI]	p Value	aOR [95% CI]	p Value
Sex (0.0)						
Male (ref.)	43.6	47.8	1 10 [0 50 2 20]	0.596		
Female	56.4	52.2	1.19 [0.59–2.38]			
Season of birth (0.0)	20 F	47 7	1		1	
Dry season	30.5 69 5	47.7	2 08 [1 07-4 02]	0.034	2 70 [1 35-5 39]	0.010
Place of birth (0.0)	0710	02.0	2000 [1107 1102]			
Healthcare facility (ref.)	75.3	85.0	1		1	
Home	24.7	15.0	1.86 [0.83-4.16]	0.114	2.38 [1.02–5.56]	0.047
Birth order (0.7)						
1	23.6	12.0	2.27 [0.98–5.24]	0.054	2.07 [0.97-4.40]	0.057
≥ 2 (ref.)	76.4	88.0	1		1	
Type of village (0.0)	60.4	E6 6	1			
Rural	60.4 39.6	56.6 43.4	0 86 [0 44–1 66]	0.608		
Distance to alocast	07.0	10.1	0.00 [0.11 1.00]			
healthcare post (0.7)						
$\leq 3 \text{ km (ref.)}$	67.3	75.8	1	0.020	1	0.058
>3 km	32.7	24.2	1.52 [0.81–2.85]	0.030	2.04 [0.97-4.27]	
Mother's age at child's						
birth (years) (1.5)	0.(2.0	2 (4 [0 (2 01 2]			
≤ 19 20-29	8.6 49.6	2.9 46.1	3.64 [0.62–21.3] 1 32 [0 70–2 47]	0 321		
≥ 30 (ref.)	41.8	51.0	1.52 [0.76 2.47]	0.021		
Prenatal consultation						
during the mother's						
most recent pregnancy						
(8.3) Voc. (rof.)	06.2	05.4	1	0 778		
No	3.7	4.6	0.78 [0.12–5.13]	0.778		
Mother's marital status						
(11.2)						
Married (ref.)	96.3	97.5	1	0.650		
Not married (single,	3.7	2.5	1.49			
			[0.22-10.01]			
Mother's educational						
No schooling (ref.)	66.9	69.5	1			
Primary school	20.0	17.0	1.23 [0.49–3.06]	0.894		
Secondary school and	13.1	13.5	1.01 [0.43-2.27]			
level (22.6)						
No schooling (ref.)	62.1	52.4	1			
Primary school	25.1	27.4	0.85 [0.39–1.88]	0.541		
Secondary school and higher	12.8	22.9	0.47 [0.12–1.90]			
Household living						
conditions index $^{1}(0.0)$			_			
1st quartile	17.4	7.6	2.50 [0.99–6.32]			
2nd quartile	19.2 27 3	24.7	0.85 [0.31-2.36]	0.324		
4th quartile (ref.)	36.1	39.4	1.05 [0.55-2.06]			
1	2 m 2					

Characteristics (% of Missing Data)	Non-Adherence to the Three-Dose Schedule (n = 138)	Adherence to the Three-Dose Schedule (n = 103)	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
			OR [95% CI]	p Value	aOR [95% CI]	p Value
Household agricultural wealth index ¹ (0.0)						
1st quartile 2nd–4th quartile (ref.)	26.3 73.7	15.1 84.9	2.00 [0.95–4.22] 1	0.064	3.18 [1.33–7.61] 1	0.015
Born in 2016 (0.0) Yes (ref.) No (2017–2018)	46.3 53.7	19.2 80.8	3.61 [1.68–7.75] 1	0.004	3.93 [1.74–8.89] 1	0.004

Table 3. Cont.

OR = odds ratio, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, CI = confidence interval.¹ The household living conditions index and the agricultural wealth index were built using a multiple correspondence analysis of information on durable goods, agricultural and farming resources, and housing characteristics at the household level.

The Heckman model did not have sufficient power to estimate the correction for selection bias in the analysis (data not shown). The only factor significantly associated with unavailable vaccination data was year of birth (Table 1).

3.3.3. Sensitivity Analyses

Using a less restrictive timeframe for BD administration (within seven days of birth instead of 24 h), the factors associated with non-adherence to both the BD (Table S1) and three-dose schedules (Table S2) were similar to those in the Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2. For the three-dose schedule only, being the first-born child was not associated in multivariable analysis (Table S2). When considering the overall four-dose HBV vaccination schedule (i.e., the BD and all three pentavalent doses, as set out in Senegal's EPI) as the outcome variable, the results of the multivariate model were also similar, except that neither being born during the dry season nor being the first-born child were independently associated with non-adherence (Table S3).

4. Discussion

By measuring the timeliness of HBV vaccination in Senegal, this study is one of the first to assess not only the coverage but also the effectiveness of a HBV vaccination programme in sub-Saharan Africa [27]. It is also the first study in Senegal, and one of the few in sub-Saharan Africa to date, to identify barriers to both BD timeliness and complete HBV vaccination. Adherence to the WHO's recommended HBV vaccination schedules significantly increased between 2016 and 2017–2018 (from 31.0% to 66.8% for the BD schedule, and from 24.3% to 53.7% for the three-dose schedule). Being born at home, during the dry season, and in 2016 (i.e., the year the BD was introduced in Senegal's EPI) were three distinct factors independently associated with non-adherence to both the BD schedule and three-dose schedules. Additional correlates of non-adherence to the three-dose schedule included living more than three kilometres away from the nearest healthcare post, being the first-born child, and living in an agriculturally poorer household.

The proportion of children who received the BD within 24 h of birth (i.e., as per the WHO recommendation) in our study was slightly lower than estimates in the 2018 and 2019 Senegalese DHS, which estimated that 54% of children born between mid-2016 and December 2017 [28], and 81.3% of those born between mid-2017 and December 2018 [14] received the BD within 24 h of birth. Although our results are not nationally representative, our estimates for 2016 are lower than WHO/UNICEF estimates (31% versus 58%), but comparable for 2017 (67% versus 72%) and 2018 (63% versus 66%) [13]. BD coverage and timeliness in our study were significantly lower in 2016 than in 2017 and 2018, most likely because of the progressive vaccine roll-out in 2016. Moreover, BD coverage and timeliness were slightly lower in 2018 than in 2017, most probably due to the healthcare workers' strike from April to December 2018, which affected vaccination services throughout the country. Compared with other countries in the region, the proportion of children with

timely BD vaccination is high in Senegal. According to a recent systematic review, only 1.3% (95% CI, 0.0–4.5%) and 21.5% (95% CI, 9.4–36.8%) of children in sub-Saharan African countries that have introduced the BD received it within 24 h and seven days of birth, respectively [27].

To our knowledge, no other study to date has estimated the coverage or timeliness of the WHO-recommended HBV vaccination schedule in children in sub-Saharan Africa. The large disparity we found between the proportion of children who received all three doses (71.6%), and the proportion who received them according to the recommended timeframe (43.6%) is mainly explained by the >24 h delay between birth and BD administration. This disparity reflects findings in studies evaluating infant HBV three-dose pentavalent vaccination coverage and timeliness in Kenya [29,30], Burkina Faso [24], Ghana [31], Cameroon [25], and Ethiopia [32]. For instance, in a study in Burkina Faso, while 93% of children born between 2006–2008 received the third pentavalent vaccine dose, only 48% received it on time (in that study, timely vaccination was considered as receiving the third dose anytime from 3 days prior to the recommended vaccination date to 28 days after it) [24]. Moreover, a previous study, based on data from the 2014 Senegal DHS, found that only 55% of children in the country received the third dose of pentavalent vaccine on time [33].

We tested a wide range of individual sociodemographic and economic factors for their association with non-adherence to the recommended BD and three-dose HBV vaccination schedules. Most of the risk factors associated with non-adherence were also identified in previous studies. Moreover, results from several studies reflect our finding of the association between the child's place of birth and HBV vaccine receipt and timeliness. In Kenya, children born in healthcare facilities were 1.25 (95% CI 1.22–1.28) times more likely to receive all three pentavalent doses according to recommended age-appropriate timeframes than those born at home [30]. In Cameroon, children born in healthcare facilities were 2.11 (95% CI 1.69–2.64) times more likely to receive all three pentavalent doses (irrespective of vaccination timeliness) than those born at home [25]. These two findings may be due—at least in part—to the possibility that women who give birth in healthcare facilities in these two countries are more frequent users of these services, and therefore, are more likely to be aware of the vaccination schedule [30]. However, unlike our study, the child's place of birth was not associated with adherence to the BD schedule in a study focusing on The Gambia [22] or in a systematic review of the coverage and timeliness of BD vaccination in sub-Saharan Africa [27]. In Senegal, the monovalent HBV BD vaccine is free of charge and widely available in all healthcare facilities providing childbirth, maternal, and child health services. Furthermore, mothers who give birth at home are encouraged to go to the healthcare posts as soon as possible afterwards so their child can receive the BD vaccine. However, in another study conducted in the area covered by the Niakhar HDSS (i.e., the same area as our present study), healthcare workers mentioned that home births were a barrier to timely vaccination at birth, as mothers may encounter various difficulties to bring their child to a health facility within 24 h of birth [34].

We found that living more than 3 km from a healthcare post was associated with non-adherence to the three-dose schedule. This result echoes findings in a Kenyan study where distance to a healthcare facility was associated with age-appropriate receipt of the third dose of pentavalent vaccine [30]. In a study in rural Ethiopia, time—which might be considered as a proxy for distance to healthcare facilities—was associated with three-dose pentavalent receipt [35]. Geographical distance from healthcare facilities may be a barrier for childhood vaccination—particularly the administration of the BD within 24 h of birth—in remote areas [35], for many reasons, including caregiver availability and cost of transport.

Being the first-born child was associated with non-adherence to the hepatitis B vaccination in our study. Similarly, being the third-born child and above (versus first-or second-born) was associated with up-to-date and age-appropriate receipt of three doses of pentavalent vaccine in Kenya [30]. Children with an older sibling may be better vaccinated as multiparous mothers may already be more aware of vaccination schedules through their experience with their first child.

We also identified risk factors associated with non-adherence to HBV vaccination that have not been previously reported in the literature. For instance, we found that the season of birth (dry/wet) was associated with non-adherence to the BD schedule, which contrasts with the results of the above-mentioned study in The Gambia [22]. Seasonal migration during the dry season, particularly of women, may partly explain lower HBV vaccination coverage and timeliness in the Niakhar HDSS area.

Living in an agriculturally poorer household was associated with poorer adherence to the recommended three-dose schedule in our study. However, we did not identify any other study exploring this association in sub-Saharan Africa. This result suggests that although EPI vaccines are free in Senegal, the poorest agricultural households may face additional barriers to vaccinating their children on time.

Finally, being born in 2016 (i.e., the year the BD vaccine was introduced in Senegal's EPI) (versus being born in 2017–2018) was associated with non-adherence to both the recommended BD and three-dose schedules. This reflects a positive trend in the vaccination programme performance in the study area in recent years.

The 'Global health sector strategy on viral hepatitis' aims to achieve a 90% infant vaccination coverage rate, and a BD vaccination rate of 80% coverage by 2030 [3]. Our results highlight the importance of complementing coverage estimations with measurements of timeliness [30–33] in order to assess the effectiveness of vaccination programmes more accurately. Traditionally, effectiveness has been assessed by measuring the proportion of children who receive a vaccine by a benchmark age, irrespective of the timing of the vaccination. However, overall high vaccination coverage can mask serious delays in vaccine administration, which may in turn undermine a programme's effectiveness [36].

Our present study identified several at-risk populations (children born at home, those living more than three kilometres from a healthcare centre, those born during the dry season, first-born children, and those born in an agriculturally poorer household) that need to be targeted in order to improve vaccination timeliness. Encouraging and increasing childbirth in healthcare facilities could be a very effective strategy to improve both HBV vaccination timeliness and coverage. In addition, when mothers give birth at home, positive incentives are required to further encourage them to bring their child to a healthcare structure for HBV vaccination within 24 h of birth. Coverage and timeliness of doses after the BD dose may also be improved through outreach immunisation activities, especially for children who live furthest away from healthcare facilities [27]. Moreover, improved communication models are needed to both increase mothers' awareness of the importance of respecting the vaccination schedule in order to prevent HBV transmission to their new-borns, and to help them to remember their vaccination appointments. A recent cluster-randomised controlled trial in rural Kenya found that mobile phone-delivered reminders with a small monetary incentive significantly improved coverage and timeliness of routine vaccines [37]. Redesigned immunisation reminder cards may also help to increase vaccination coverage and timeliness [38].

This study has several limitations. First, we may have slightly overestimated vaccination coverage as we expected that children without available vaccination data had poorer vaccination status and different socioeconomic characteristics than those of children with available data. However, the only factor significantly associated with missing vaccination data was birth year. Accordingly, we can assume that the missing data were almost completely randomly distributed, and therefore, the selection bias was probably negligible in our regression analyses.

Second, although we explored a large range of individual factors, we did not investigate determinants related to the healthcare services, such as logistic issues related to vaccines, healthcare worker knowledge, or issues related to information delivery [39,40], all of which may play a role in non-adherence to HBV vaccination schedules. Further research is needed to better understand and disentangle the specific role of individual and healthcare-related supply factors in vaccination programme effectiveness.

Third, although we used the WHO-recommended HBV vaccine timeframe as the schedule of reference (which is the same as that recommended in Senegal guidelines), there is no universally accepted standard for timeliness and official vaccination schedules may differ from one country to another. Accordingly, inter-study comparison is difficult [36]. However, we conducted sensitivity analyses to investigate the effects of using both more and less restrictive criteria to define timeliness. Results found that overall, the findings were similar to those for the main analysis.

5. Conclusions

Although our data show the progress made in recent years in HBV vaccine coverage for children in rural Senegal, a substantial proportion of children had not been vaccinated according to the WHO-recommended timeframe. Incentives to bring all children born at home to healthcare facilities within 24 h of birth could be very effective to increase timely BD coverage. Outreach vaccination activities must also be strengthened to increase coverage in children born at home, those living far from healthcare posts, and those in agriculturally poorer households. In addition, vaccination timeliness should be considered when evaluating the effectiveness of the current HBV vaccination programme in Senegal.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/vaccines9050510/s1, Table S1: Factors associated with non-adherence to the hepatitis B virus (HBV) birth dose administration within 7 days of birth in children born on or after 1 January 2016 living in the mostly rural area of Niakhar (n = 241 children participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey with available vaccination data, logistic regression models using weighted and calibrated data), Table S2: Factors associated with non-adherence to the three-dose hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine schedule (birth dose and two-dose pentavalent, with the birth dose received >7 days/not received) in children born on or after 1 January 2016 living in the mostly rural area of Niakhar (n = 241 children participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey with available vaccination data, logistic regression models using weighted and calibrated data), Table S3: Factors associated with non-adherence to the four-dose hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine schedule in children born on or after 1 January 2016 living in the mostly area of Niakhar (n = 241 children participating in the ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey with available vaccination data, logistic regression models using weighted and calibrated data).

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, S.B., F.M., C.P. and L.P.; methodology, C.P., S.B., F.M. and L.P.; software, C.P. and L.P.; validation, C.P., S.B. and F.M.; formal analysis, L.P.; investigation, E.H.B., M.C., A.D., C.S., S.B., L.P. and G.M.; resources, E.H.B., M.C., A.D., C.S. and G.L.; data curation, L.P., E.H.B., M.C. and S.B.; writing—original draft preparation, L.P.; writing—review and editing, L.P., S.B., F.M., C.P., G.L., E.H.B., M.C., C.T.K., G.M., A.D. and C.S.; visualisation, L.P.; supervision, S.B., F.M., C.P., A.D. and C.S.; project administration, A.D., S.B., E.H.B., M.C., C.T.K. and C.S.; funding acquisition, S.B., A.D. and C.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The AmBASS research project was funded in full by the French National Agency for AIDS and Viral Hepatitis Research (ANRS) under the auspices of the French National Institute for Health and Medical Research (INSERM) (INSEMR-ANRS), grant number 12356.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and received ethical approval from the Senegalese National Ethical Committee for Research in Health (no. 082MSAS/DPRS/CNERS) and authorisation from the French Commission on Information Technology and Liberties (reference MMS/HG/OTB/AR181521).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data available on request due to privacy/ethical reasons.

Acknowledgments: We thank our partners at the National Viral Hepatitis Programme and Ministry of Health and Social Action in Senegal, all the healthcare workers and persons who agreed to participate in the study, as well as the field team: Modou Diome, Ndèye Selbé Diouf, Khadim

Gueye, Moussa Gueye, Ibrahima Ndaw, Fadiène Ndiaye, Mayé Ndour, Coumba Sandiane Sène (interviewers), Khady Ba Gaye, Fatima Sène (nurses), Ngor Gousse Faye, and Etienne Silmang Ndong (drivers). We also thank the French National Agency for AIDS and Viral Hepatitis Research for its financial and technical support, especially Nicolas Rouveau and Maria Camila Calvo Cortes. Finally, we thank Philippe Colson and Léa Luciani for the DBS quality control, as well as Jude Sweeney (Milan, Italy) for the English revision and copyediting of our manuscript. The ANRS 12356 AmBASS survey Study Group: Cyril Bérenger, Marwan al Qays Bousmah, Sylvie Boyer, Patrizia Carrieri, Marion Coste, Maëlle de Seze, Tchadine Djaogol, Gwenaëlle Maradan, Fabienne Marcellin, Carole Treibich, and Camelia Protopopescu (Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm, IRD, SESSTIM, Sciences Economiques & Sociales de la Santé & Traitement de l'Information Médicale, ISSPAM, Marseille, France)); Elhadji Ba, Aldiouma Diallo, Fambaye Dièye, Assane Diouf, Elhadji Bilal Faye, Assane Ndiaye, Lauren Périères, Cheikh Sokhna, and Mouhamadou Baba Sow (Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, SSA, VITROME, Marseille, France and VITROME, Campus IRD-UCAD, Dakar, Senegal); Coumba Touré Kane, Gora Lo, Anna Julienne Selbé Ndiaye, and Samba Ndiour (Institut de Recherche en Santé, de Surveillance Epidémiologique et de Formation, Dakar, Sénégal); Philippe Halfon and Sofiane Mohamed (Hôpital Européen, Marseille, France); Nicolas Rouveau, Maria-Camila Calvo Cortès, and Gabrièle Laborde-Balen (France REcherche Nord&Sud Sida-hiv Hépatites, Paris, France); Martine Audibert, Fatou Fall, Ibrahima Gueye, Karine Lacombe, Moussa Seydi, Yusuke Shimakawa, Edouard Tuaillon, and Muriel Vray (AmBASS scientific advisory board).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Razavi-Shearer, D.; Gamkrelidze, I.; Nguyen, M.H.; Chen, D.-S.; Van Damme, P.; Abbas, Z.; Abdulla, M.; Rached, A.A.; Adda, D.; Aho, I.; et al. The Polaris Observatory Collaborators Global prevalence, treatment, and prevention of hepatitis B virus infection in 2016: A modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2018, *3*, 383–403. [CrossRef]
- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Results; Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME): Seattle, WA, USA, 2020.
- 3. WHO. Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016–2021; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- Spearman, C.W.; Afihene, M.; Ally, R.; Apica, B.; Awuku, Y.; Cunha, L.; Dusheiko, G.; Gogela, N.; Kassianides, C.; Kew, M.; et al. Hepatitis B in sub-Saharan Africa: Strategies to achieve the 2030 elimination targets. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2017, 2, 900–909. [CrossRef]
- Edmunds, W.J.; Medley, G.F.; Nokes, D.J.; O'Callaghan, C.J.; Whittle, H.C.; Hall, A.J. Epidemiological patterns of hepatitis B virus (HBV) in highly endemic areas. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 1996, 313–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Martinson, F.E.A.; Weigle, K.A.; Royce, R.A.; Weber, D.J.; Suchindran, C.M.; Lemon, S.M. Risk Factors for Horizontal Transmission of Hepatitis B Virus in a Rural District in Ghana. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **1998**, 147, 478–487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Edmunds, W.J.; Medley, G.F.; Nokes, D.J.; Hall, A.J.; Whittle, H.C. The influence of age on the development of the hepatitis B carrier state. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **1993**, 253, 197–201.
- Shimakawa, Y.; Yan, H.-J.; Tsuchiya, N.; Bottomley, C.; Hall, A.J. Association of Early Age at Establishment of Chronic Hepatitis B Infection with Persistent Viral Replication, Liver Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e69430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. WHO. Hepatitis B vaccines: WHO position paper-July. WHO Wkly. Epidemiol. Rec. 2017, 92, 369–392.
- 10. Nayagam, S.; Thursz, M.; Sicuri, E.; Conteh, L.; Wiktor, S.; Low-Beer, D.; Hallett, T.B. Requirements for global elimination of hepatitis B: A modelling study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 1399–1408. [CrossRef]
- Mbengue, M.A.S.; Sarr, M.; Faye, A.; Badiane, O.; Camara, F.B.N.; Mboup, S.; Dieye, T.N. Determinants of complete immunization among senegalese children aged 12–23 months: Evidence from the demographic and health survey. *BMC Public Health* 2017, 17, 630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Peretti-Watel, P.; Cortaredona, S.; Ly, E.Y.; Seror, V.; Ndiaye, S.; Gaye, I.; Fall, M. Determinants of childhood immunizations in Senegal: Adding previous shots to sociodemographic background. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* **2020**, *16*, 363–370. [CrossRef]
- 13. WHO; UNICEF. Senegal: WHO and UNICEF Estimates of Immunization Coverage: 2019 Revision. 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/data/sen.pdf (accessed on 7 May 2021).
- 14. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie (ANSD) [Sénégal]. *Sénégal: Enquête Démographique et de Santé Continue (EDS-Continue 2019)*; ANSD and ICF: Rockville, MA, USA, 2020. (In French)
- Kroger, A.; Bahta, L.; Hunter, P. General Best Practice Guidelines for Immunization. Best Practice Guidance of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/general-recs/ downloads/general-recs.pdf (accessed on 7 May 2021).
- 16. Guerra, F.A. Delays in Immunization Have Potentially Serious Health Consequences. Pediatr Drugs 2007, 6, 143–148. [CrossRef]

- Soares-Weisner, K. Ompitmizing the Hepatitis B Vaccinaiton Schedules: Systematic Review Of Safety and Efficacy of Childhood Schedules Using Hepatitis B Containing Vaccines. Available online: https://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2016 /october/4_Systematic_review_of_safety_efficacy_hep_b.pdf?ua=1 (accessed on 6 April 2021).
- 18. Delaunay, V.; Douillot, L.; Diallo, A.; Dione, D.; Trape, J.-F.; Medianikov, O.; Raoult, D.; Sokhna, C. Profile: The Niakhar Health and Demographic Surveillance System. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2013**, *42*, 1002–1011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Coste, M.; De Sèze, M.; Diallo, A.; Carrieri, M.P.; Marcellin, F.; Boyer, S. Burden and impacts of chronic hepatitis B infection in rural Senegal: Study protocol of a cross-sectional survey in the area of Niakhar (AmBASS ANRS 12356). *BMJ Open* **2019**, *9*, e030211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Programme National de Lutte Contre les Hépatites. *Strategic Plan against Viral Hepatitis in Senegal (2019–2023): Policy Brief;* Ministère de la Santé et de l'Action Sociale and Programme National de Lutte Contre les Hépatites: Dakar, Senegal, 2019.
- 21. Voxco—The Insights platform for Changemakers. Available online: https://www.voxco.com/ (accessed on 17 March 2021).
- 22. Miyahara, R.; Jasseh, M.; Gomez, P.; Shimakawa, Y.; Greenwood, B.; Keita, K.; Ceesay, S.; D'Alessandro, U.; Roca, A. Barriers to timely administration of birth dose vaccines in The Gambia, West Africa. *Vaccine* **2016**, *34*, 3335–3341. [CrossRef]
- 23. Sarker, A.R.; Akram, R.; Ali, N.; Chowdhury, Z.I.; Sultana, M. Coverage and Determinants of Full Immunization: Vaccination Coverage among Senegalese Children. *Medicina* 2019, *55*, 480. [CrossRef]
- 24. Schoeps, A.; Ouédraogo, N.; Kagoné, M.; Sié, A.; Müller, O.; Becher, H. Socio-demographic determinants of timely adherence to BCG, Penta3, measles, and complete vaccination schedule in Burkina Faso. *Vaccine* **2013**, *32*, 96–102. [CrossRef]
- Dionne-Odom, J.; Westfall, A.O.; Nzuobontane, D.; Vinikoor, M.J.; Halle-Ekane, G.; Welty, T.; Tita, A.T.N. Predictors of Infant Hepatitis B Immunization in Cameroon: Data to Inform Implementation of a Hepatitis B Birth Dose. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 2018, 37, 103–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Van de Ven, W.P.M.M.; Van Pragg, B.M.S. The demand for deductibles in private health insurance: A probit model with sample selection. *J. Econom.* **1981**, 17, 229–252. [CrossRef]
- 27. Bassoum, O.; Kimura, M.; Tal Dia, A.; Lemoine, M.; Shimakawa, Y. Coverage and Timeliness of Birth Dose Vaccination in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Vaccines* **2020**, *8*, 301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie (ANSD) [Sénégal]. *Sénégal: Enquête Démographique et de Santé Continue (EDS-Continue 2018);* ANSD and ICF: Rockville, MA, USA, 2019. (In French)
- Gibson, D.G.; Ochieng, B.; Kagucia, E.W.; Obor, D.; Odhiambo, F.; O'Brien, K.L.; Feikin, D.R. Individual level determinants for not receiving immunization, receiving immunization with delay, and being severely underimmunized among rural western Kenyan children. *Vaccine* 2015, *33*, 6778–6785. [CrossRef]
- Adetifa, I.M.O.; Karia, B.; Mutuku, A.; Bwanaali, T.; Makumi, A.; Wafula, J.; Chome, M.; Mwatsuma, P.; Bauni, E.; Hammitt, L.L.; et al. Coverage and timeliness of vaccination and the validity of routine estimates: Insights from a vaccine registry in Kenya. *Vaccine* 2018, *36*, 7965–7974. [CrossRef]
- Gram, L.; Soremekun, S.; ten Asbroek, A.; Manu, A.; O'Leary, M.; Hill, Z.; Danso, S.; Amenga-Etego, S.; Owusu-Agyei, S.; Kirkwood, B.R. Socio-economic determinants and inequities in coverage and timeliness of early childhood immunisation in rural Ghana. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 2014, 19, 802–811. [CrossRef]
- Marefiaw, T.A.; Yenesew, M.A.; Mihirete, K.M. Age-appropriate vaccination coverage and its associated factors for pentavalent 1-3 and measles vaccine doses, in northeast Ethiopia: A community-based cross-sectional study. *PLOS ONE* 2019, 14, e0218470. [CrossRef]
- Schweitzer, A.; Akmatov, M.K.; Krause, G. Hepatitis B vaccination timing: Results from demographic health surveys in 47 countries. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 2017, 95, 199G–209G. [CrossRef]
- 34. Djaogol, T.; Coste, M.; Marcellin, F.; Jaquet, A.; Chabrol, F.; Giles-Vernick, T.; Diallo, A.; Carrieri, M.P.; Boyer, S. Prevention and care of hepatitis B in the rural region of Fatick in Senegal: A healthcare workers' perspective using a mixed methods approach. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **2019**, *19*, 627. [CrossRef]
- Okwaraji, Y.B.; Mulholland, K.; Schellenberg, J.; Andarge, G.; Admassu, M.; Edmond, K.M. The association between travel time to health facilities and childhood vaccine coverage in rural Ethiopia. A community based cross sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2012, 12, 476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Masters, N.B.; Wagner, A.L.; Boulton, M.L. Vaccination timeliness and delay in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review of the literature, 2007–2017. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* 2019, 15, 2790–2805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gibson, D.G.; Ochieng, B.; Kagucia, E.W.; Were, J.; Hayford, K.; Moulton, L.H.; Levine, O.S.; Odhiambo, F.; O'Brien, K.L.; Feikin, D.R. Mobile phone-delivered reminders and incentives to improve childhood immunisation coverage and timeliness in Kenya (M-SIMU): A cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2017, *5*, e428–e438. [CrossRef]
- Oyo-Ita, A.; Wiysonge, C.S.; Oringanje, C.; Nwachukwu, C.E.; Oduwole, O.; Meremikwu, M.M. Interventions for improving coverage of childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2016, 7, CD008145. [CrossRef]
- Rainey, J.J.; Watkins, M.; Ryman, T.K.; Sandhu, P.; Bo, A.; Banerjee, K. Reasons related to non-vaccination and under-vaccination of children in low and middle income countries: Findings from a systematic review of the published literature, 1999–2009. *Vaccine* 2011, 29, 8215–8221. [CrossRef]
- 40. Phillips, D.E.; Dieleman, J.L.; Lim, S.S.; Shearer, J. Determinants of effective vaccine coverage in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review and interpretive synthesis. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **2017**, 17, 681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]