

LETTER

Open Access



When circulatory death does not come in time in potential organ donors

Angela Kotsopoulos^{1*} , Nichon Jansen² and Wilson Farid Abdo³

To the Editor

A substantial proportion of potential donors do not arrest in time in controlled donation after circulatory death (cDCD). Patients with a short time to death are well described in previous studies in attempts to develop models to predict the time between treatment withdrawal and circulatory death. However, studies that aimed to describe the group that did not arrest within the predefined timeframe are lacking.

We analyzed nationwide data of all 143 patients who entered the cDCD program, but in whom organs were not procured due to delayed circulatory death, in a period of 36 months. Additionally, we compared our cohort with the cohorts of five published studies on prognostic models predicting time to death in cDCD donors (Additional file 1: Table S1).

The majority of patients were male; median age at death was 57 years. Brainstem reflexes were mostly present, and the median Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) was 4.

We found a variability in delayed death across countries. The UK and Australian cohorts showed the highest survival, and the cohort from China, the shortest [1, 3–5]. Such variation could be due to differences in palliative care provided. Patients could be under profound sedation which may directly affect (limit) the time period until death and hence the applicability of predictive models. All studies showed a wide variation in time to death. The effect of age was conflicting. Two studies found that older age was significantly associated to a longer survival [1, 5]. Two cohorts demonstrated a high prevalence of an extensor or absent motor response and is from a neuroanatomical perspective probably a more sensitive predictor than the GCS [2, 3]. The presence of gag or cough reflex was a protective factor for cardiac arrest [5].

Death was predominantly the resultant of neurologic injury; however, none of the diagnoses was associated with time to death [2, 4, 5]. A standardization of

diagnostic categories was lacking across the studies making comparison difficult.

The greatest strength of our analysis was the evaluation of consecutive patients minimizing selection bias. Additionally, this is the largest cohort of cDCD donors with delayed time to death. The main drawback was the missing data on physiological parameters.

Based on our analysis, we recommend that age and brainstem reflexes should at least be studied in future studies on multimodal prediction models on time to death. There is an important knowledge gap in the effect of palliative practice on time to death.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Baseline characteristics of cDCD donors with a delayed time to death after withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy. (PDF 257 kb)

Abbreviations

cDCD: Controlled donation after cardiac death; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale

Acknowledgements

We thank all donation coordinators who reviewed the medical archives. We gratefully thank the authors (Dr. J Wind, Dr. C. Suntharalingam, and Dr. C. Watson) of the previously published predictive models for providing us with their data.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

All authors participated in the preparation and writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

* Correspondence: a.kotsopoulos@etz.nl

¹Department of Intensive Care, Elisabeth-TweeSteden Hospital, Hilvarenbeekseweg 60, 5022 GC Tilburg, the Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Intensive Care, Elisabeth-TweeSteden Hospital, Hilvarenbeekseweg 60, 5022 GC Tilburg, the Netherlands. ²The Dutch Transplant Foundation, Leiden, the Netherlands. ³Department of Intensive Care, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

Received: 8 February 2019 Accepted: 15 April 2019

Published online: 02 May 2019

References

1. Suntharalingam C, Sharples L, Dudley C, Bradley JA, Watson CJ. Time to cardiac death after withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment in potential organ donors. *Am J Transplant*. 2009;9:2157–65. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19681825>.
2. Wind T, Snoeijs MGJ, Brugman CA, Vervelde J, Zwaveling J, van Mook WN, et al. Prediction of time of death after withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment in potential donors after cardiac death. *Crit Care Med*. 2012;3:766–9. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983365>.
3. Xu G, Guo Z, Liang W, Xin E, Luan Z, Liu B, Xu Y, Luan Z, Schroder PM, Manyalich M, Ko DSC, He X. Prediction of potential for organ donation after circulatory death in neurocritical patients. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2018;37:358–64. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29103844>.
4. Brieva J, Coleman N, Lacey J, Harrigan P, Lewin T, Carter GL. Prediction of death in less than 60 minutes after withdrawal of cardiorespiratory support in potential organ donors after circulatory death. *Transplantation*. 2014;98:1112–8. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24918619>.
5. Davila D, Ciria R, Jassem W, Briceño J, Littlejohn W, Vilca-Meléndez SP, Prachalias A, O'Grady J, Rela M, Heaton N. Prediction models of donor arrest and graft utilization in liver transplantation from Maastricht-3 donors after circulatory death. *Am J Transplant*. 2012;12:3414–24. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23016623>.