

DRINKING PATTERNS AND BEHAVIORAL CONSEQUENCES: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY AMONG ROMANIAN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

PIVSKE NAVADE IN VEDENJSKE POSLEDICE: PREGLEDNA ŠTUDIJA MED ROMUNSKIMI UNIVERZITETNIMI ŠTUDENTI

Bogdana Adriana NASUI^{1*}, Monica POPA¹, Codruta Alina POPESCU²

¹Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca, Community Health,
Louis Pasteur No. 6, 400349 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

²Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca, Department of Social Science and
History of Medicine, Louis Pasteur No. 6, 400349 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Received: Dec 19, 2014
Accepted: Oct 1, 2015

Original scientific article

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

students,
alcohol consumption,
drinking behaviours,
Romania

Background. Alcohol/binge drinking among university students has become a major public health problem. Many of young students will be exposed to substantial changes in living arrangements, socialization groups and social activities during the transitional period.

Aim. The aim of this study was to analyse the alcohol consumption in Romanian university students, and to describe the behaviours occurring after drinking.

Methods. A cross-sectional study was conducted on 468 undergraduate students, from a university for medicine and law. Of these students, 35.5% were males and 64.5% were females. The mean age of students was 21.9 ± 3.22 years. Validated anonymous paper questionnaires were completed voluntarily by the students. Questionnaires contained demographic items, six questions for determining the level of alcohol consumed in terms of quantity and frequency, and 19 statements or problems resulting from drinking.

Results. The findings of the study showed that males drunk more units of alcohol /week than females ($p < 0.001$). The prevalence of abstainers was 10.8% in males and 17.6% in women. Heavy drinkers (drinking 5 or more drinks more than once a week) were more common among male (19.3%) than among female students (16.2%). Most frequently, drinking behaviours are related to academic performance, and the possible link between poor academic performance and alcohol consumption appears tenuous and merits further investigation.

Conclusion. Effective intervention strategies should be implemented to prevent students' alcohol consumption and adverse health and social consequences resulting from this behaviour.

IZVLEČEK

Ključne besede:

študenti,
uživanje alkohola,
pivsko vedenje,
Romunija

Uvod. Pitje alkohola/popivanje med univerzitetnimi študenti je postal velik javni zdravstveni problem. Številni študenti bodo v prehodnem obdobju izpostavljeni bistvenim spremembam življenjskega okolja, socializacijskim skupinam in družbenim dejavnostim.

Namen. S študijo smo želeli analizirati uživanje alkohola pri romunskih univerzitetnih študentih in opisati vedenja, ki so posledica pitja.

Metode. Pregledna študija je zajela 468 dodiplomskih študentih iz medicinske in pravne univerze. Od teh je bilo 35,5% moških in 64,5% žensk. Povprečna starost študentov je bila $21,9 \pm 3,22$ let. Potrjene anonimne pisne ankete so študenti izpolnili prostovoljno. Ankete so bile sestavljene iz demografskega sklopa, šestih vprašanj za določanje količine in pogostosti uživanja alkohola ter 19 trditev oziroma težav, ki so posledica pitja alkohola.

Rezultati. Rezultati študije so pokazali, da moški spijejo več enot alkohola na teden kot ženske ($p < 0,001$). Prevalenca abstinentov je znašala 10,8% pri moških in 17,6% pri ženskah. Osebe, ki uživajo alkohol v resnično prevelikih količinah (5 ali več pijač več kot enkrat na teden), so bolj pogoste pri študentih (19,3%) kot pri študentkah (16,2%). Najpogosteje je poraba alkohola povezana s študijskim uspehom, možna povezava med slabim študijskim uspehom in uživanjem alkohola pa se zdi nezanesljiva in kliče po nadaljnjih raziskavah.

Zaključek. Potrebno bi bilo uvesti učinkovite strategije posredovanja za preprečevanje uživanja alkohola med študenti in škodljivih zdravstvenih in družbenih posledic, ki jih prinaša tovrstno vedenje.

*Corresponding author: Tel: ++40 727 144 912; E-mail: nasuibogdana@yahoo.ro

1 INTRODUCTION

Excessive alcohol use amongst university students is a major public health concern. Heavy alcohol intake amongst the student population has implications for individual and educational institutions as well as for the wider society. Students have been reported to drink at higher levels than their non-student peers (1), making this an issue of public health concern, given the negative social and health consequences of heavy alcohol intake and the link with other unhealthy behaviours (e.g. cigarette smoking and recreational drug use) (2).

Harmful use of alcohol is related to premature death and avoidable disease, and presents a major avoidable risk factor for neuropsychiatric disorders, cardiovascular diseases, cirrhosis of the liver and cancer. It is associated with several infectious diseases, such as HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis, and contributes significantly to unintentional and intentional injuries, including those due to road traffic accidents and suicide (3).

As levels of alcohol intake increase, so does the prevalence of a variety of risky behaviours, including unsafe sexual activity, behaviour leading to injury and damage of property, violence and illegal behaviour (4). An increased frequency of injury and assault inevitably leads to an increased strain on care and emergency services, as links between alcohol consumption and hospital admissions are well established. Spikes in heavy drinking among 18-24-year-olds are possibly a function of developmental processes occurring in this transitional period, sometimes called "emerging adulthood" (5). During this period of role instability, college attendance is only one of the major life options; young adults may also move away from home (without attending college), begin full-time jobs, take time off to "find themselves," or join the armed forces (6).

There is little consistent information available regarding the pattern of alcohol consumption amongst Romanian student population (7, 8). The data drawn from the European School Survey Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs carried out in 2011 showed that the percentage of Romanian teenagers (15-16 years) who consumed alcohol during the last 30 days was lower than the European average intake in the case of the countries which participated in ESPAD project (an average of 49 versus 57) (9).

The aim of the current research was to describe drinking patterns of Romanian full-time undergraduate students and alcohol related behaviours.

2 THE METHOD

A cross-sectional study was performed during February-June 2013, the second semester of the academic year 2012/2013.

Students were selected randomly from two main universities in Romania, a university for medicine and a university for law, due to accessibility. The majority were from The Faculty of Medicine (67.3%), and 32.7% from the Faculty of Law. The distribution of participants by the year of study was fairly even: about one-third were in the first year of study, 36.5% in their third and another third in the last year of study (4th year). In order to participate in mandatory activities, in medical school students are divided into 4 groups in each academic year (there are six academic years). The groups (of about 80 students) are divided in six subgroups. Our study included 12 groups from the first year and 12 groups from the third year. All the subgroups present in the classes on the days of data collection were selected. From the law school we included in our study the whole number of the fourth year study, due to logistic accessibility. The selected student sample comprised of 521 students, from a total of 655 medical students and 160 law students. Pen and paper questionnaires were delivered to the students. Questionnaires were administered during mandatory activities (such as lectures, practical activities or exams) to encourage participation. Students were informed that the questionnaires were anonymous and confidential, and that participation was voluntary. All the students agreed to participate (except students who were absent for a legitimate reason). The response rate was 89.82%. During data collection the research team was present in the classrooms. The time required to complete the questionnaire was 25-35 minutes. Informed consent was given by the participants in the study.

2.1 The Instrument

Data were collected using a validated questionnaire which included the Student Alcohol Questionnaire (10). We had the questionnaire translated by two independent translators and, after that, back-translated into English in order to ensure the validity of the translation. Some questions were adapted to Romanian customs and regulations. It contained eight demographic items, six questions for determining quantity-frequency level, 19 statements or problems resulting from drinking. The reliability of the Romanian version of Student Alcohol Questionnaire is similar to the English version (11).

Calculations for this method are based upon the “rule of thumb”: an average glass or a can of beer (50cl) is roughly equivalent to an average size glass of wine (15cl) or a shot of spirits (5cl); in terms of grams, this is approximately 10g of absolute alcohol, according to the European standard unit.

The instrument assessed the usual frequency and quantity of beer, wine and spirits, consumed by the student. The frequency response categories were assigned constant values, so as to make it possible to calculate units per week (every day =7.0, at least once a week but not every day = 3.5, at least once a month but less than once a week = 0.5, more than once a year but less than once a month = 0.12, once a year or less or not at all = 0). To compute the drinks of alcohol consumed on a weekly basis, a mean score was calculated by multiplying the quantity by the recoded frequency weight for each beverage type and summing up the three scores.

From the beverage (beer, wine or distilled spirits) most frequently used and the amount of beverage consumed on a typical occasion, a quantity-frequency level was calculated for each subject, who was then placed in one of three categories, namely: abstainers with no alcohol consumed in the last 12 months; light to moderate drinkers or low risk drinkers; and at risk drinkers or heavier drinkers. Different categories of drinkers were used for males and females. Male students who drank over 21 drinks per week and female students who drank over 14 drinks per week were considered at risk drinkers. In contrast, males who consumed 21 or less and females who consumed 14 or less drinks per week during the previous 12 months were considered low risk drinkers.

Only students who had consumed any amount or type of alcohol in the previous 12 months (i.e. drinkers) were asked to report on behavioural problems associated with drinking. A mean score was calculated for each student by assigning one point for each of the 19 problems experienced at least once during the previous 12 months. We divided the reported problems as a result of drinking in six categories, namely: physical problems (hangover, nausea and vomiting), driving problems (driving after drinking, driving after excessive drinking, driving drunk, being stopped by the police for driving while intoxicated), academic problems (skipping a class after drinking, missing a class after drinking, coming to a class after drinking, receiving a lower grade because of drinking), problems with authorities (having trouble with the law because of drinking, having trouble with school administration because of drinking), violence problems (fighting with someone after drinking and damaging university property, setting of a false fire alarm because of drinking), others (being criticized by a date because of drinking, losing a job because of drinking, participating in a drinking game,

forced someone or were forced to have sex, being aware of the drinking problem).

2.2 Data Analyses

For other calculations, such as the cross-tabulation of various demographic variables and drinking patterns, χ^2 analyses from the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Program (SPSS 20) were used.

The χ^2 test was used to assess differences in data, Anova with post-hoc Games Howell test to assess the variation between categories. We considered statistically significant the results with $p < 0.05$.

3 RESULTS

The sample of 468 undergraduate students consisted of 35.5% of males and 64.5% of females. The average age of the students was 21.9 ± 3.22 years.

Students in the fourth year drank more than students in the first year or students in the third year. Males drank more than females ($p < 0.001$).

Most of the students were living in rented apartments with other friends (students) (25.4%) or on university campuses (25.4%). About 60% of participants were unmarried and over one third (35.9%) were involved in relationships. Statistical analyses showed that there were no differences in alcohol consumption depending on the living situation (Table 1). On the other hand, marital status influenced the amount of alcohol intake. Religion appears to be a reason to decrease the alcohol intake.

Table 1. The alcohol intake depending on characteristics of the study group.

Variables	The number of respondents (percent)	Total g of absolute alcohol/week	t* or F**	p
Gender				
Male	166 (35.5)	118.30±126.84	t=2.78	<0.001
Female	302 (64.5)	84.11±112.77		
Age (mean±SD)	21.9±3.22 years			
Year of study				
1st	144 (30.8)	67.19±100.87	F=8.62	0.004
3rd	171(36.5)	88.70±126.67		
4th	153 (32.7)	92.73±110.94		
Living situation				
With parents	132 (28.2)	84.73±121.77	F=0.742	0.564
Rented apartment (alone)	72 (15.4)	118.16±132.54		
With other friends (rented apartment)	119 (25.4)	95.17±102.47		
University Campus (dormitories)	119 (25.4)	93.30±115.63		
Private university building	16 (3.4)	118.47±170.62		
***NR	10(2.2)			
Marital status				
unmarried	282 (60.2)	84.68±118.46	F=0.320	<0.001
in a relationship	168 (35.9)	79.51±99.10		
married	13 (2.8)	89.56±134.72		
divorced	5 (1.1)	126.10±271.90		
The importance of religion				
Important	178 (38.05)	65.68±90.68	t=0.178	<0.001
Not important	289 (61.75)	110.85±127.69		
***NR	1(0.2)			

*t test

** Anova

***non-respondents

We divided the study group into three subgroups: abstainers, low risk drinkers and at risk drinkers, as we have shown above, depending on the consumed amount of alcohol. The study reveals that 15.2% of students did not drink alcohol (18 males and 53 females) (Table 2). 69.9% of males and 66.2% of females drank within the low risk level of alcohol consumption (1-21units/week for males and 1-14 units/week for females). The findings showed high percentages of heavy drinking students (17.3%), composed especially of males (19.3%). There was no statistically significant difference in the participants in terms of heavy drinking by gender ($\chi^2=0.470$, $df=1$, $p>0.05$).

Table 2. Categories of drinkers by gender.

Categories of drinkers	Total number (%)	Males number (%)	Females number (%)
Abstainers	71 (15.2)	18 (10.8)	53 (17.6)
Low risk drinkers	316 (67.5)	116 (69.9)	200 (66.2)
At risk drinkers	81 (17.3)	32 (19.3)	49 (16.2)

We calculated the amount of alcohol ingestion depending on the type of beverage and the percentage of absolute alcohol contained in it. Beer appears to be the most popular beverage and the beverage most likely to be consumed by heavy drinkers (Table 3).

Table 3. Amounts of alcohol consumed, by the type of beverage and percentage of absolute alcohol contained in it.

Categories of drinkers	Low risk drinkers Males	Low risk drinkers Females	At risk drinkers Males	At risk drinkers Females
Grams of beer/ week (mean±SD)	34.71± 47.27	12.40± 13.99	179.97± 88.85	147.23± 96.41
Grams of wine/ week (mean±SD)	34.71± 47.24	12.72± 12.61	89.17± 80.15	99.32± 85.74
Grams of spirits/ week (mean±SD)	10.77± 14.79	9.64±1 6.74	45.26± 63.64	38.91± 58.72
Total Grams of alcohol/week (mean±SD)	64.19± 57.60	34.77± 27.08	314.44± 115.49	285.47± 105.503

Problems resulting from drinking. Most students who drink at risky levels reported physical problems (hangovers, nausea and vomiting). Other problems reported in higher score by heavy drinkers were academic problems, such as coming to a class after drinking and missing a class after drinking (Table 4). Certain types of problem behaviour, such as problems with violence (fighting) or the authorities, were reported without differences between the categories of drinkers (Table 4).

Table 4. Scoring reported problems resulting from drinking.

Problems	Low risk drinkers (mean±SD)	At risk drinkers (mean±SD)	t (t test)	p value
Physical problems	4.77± 2.35	6.44± 2.28	5.71	<0.001
Problems related to car driving	4.60± 2.07	4.75± 1.85	0.588	<0.05
Problems related to academic performance	5.07± 2.36	6.32± 2.65	4.133	<0.001
Problems with authorities	2.15± 0.83	2.09± 0.40	0.619	>0.05
Problems with violence	2.34± 1.20	2.44± 1.18	0.645	>0.05
Others	5.77± 2.21	6.43± 2.73	2.66	0.02

Table 5 shows a multivariate analysis between the categories of drinkers, divided by the quantity-frequency levels of alcohol intake, hours of individual study and grade point average. As the findings show, the number of hours of individual study is statistically significantly associated with the level of alcohol ingestion ($F=3.242$ and $p=0.007$). The present study shows that abstainers had the greatest numbers of study hours per week. Despite these differences in studying hours, grades obtained by students in the previous semester were not associated with quantities of alcohol drinking.

Table 5. Multivariate analyses between categories of drinkers and hours of individual study/week and grade point average in the previous semester.

Categories of drinkers	Hours of individual study/week*	Grade point average in the previous semester**
Abstainers	21.31±13.76	8.04±0.93
Low risk drinkers	18.95±13.09	8.43±6.17
At risk drinkers	15.77±10.32	7.80±1.08
Total	19.13±14.30	8.48±5.53

*Anova $F=3.242$, $df=5$, $p=0.007$

**Anova $F=0.454$, $df=5$, $p=0.810$

4 DISCUSSION

Binge drinking represents a rising problem in Europe, and the younger population is the most exposed category. The aim of this study was to estimate alcohol consumption among Romanian university students and to describe alcohol ingestion related behaviours. There is a lack of research regarding drinking amongst young adults attending university. The vast majority of these studies are based on the US and on Canadian samples. The data provided by WHO statistics showed that the average annual alcohol consumption for Romanian people over 15 years of age measured in pure alcohol was about 14.4 litres per capita per year in 2010 (including the unrecorded consumption). In Central and Eastern European countries, there was an overall increase in alcohol consumption per capita between the years 1990 and 2010 (13). Large population studies within the USA have suggested that students aged 17-23 years have much higher binge drinking rates than older students. Recent concerns have been focused on the practice of binge drinking, typically defined as consuming five or more drinks in a row for men, and four or more drinks in a row for women, in the past 30 days (14). A shorthand description of this type of heavy episode drinking is the 5/4 definition. It should be noted, however, that colleges vary widely in their binge drinking rates - from 1 percent to more than 70 percent -

and a study on one campus may not apply to others (15). In addition, hazardous drinking in men occurs with over 21 units of drinks consumed per week and in women with over 14 units of alcohol consumed per week. According to this definition, the findings of the present study show that 17.3% of the students were heavy drinkers, consuming about 300.58 ± 100.91 grams of absolute alcohol per week. The results of our study reveal a great incidence of heavy drinking among women (16.2%) compared to men (19.2%). On the other hand, the results of our research are similar to other studies indicating that male students, in particular, tended to consume alcohol more often and in higher quantities (16-18). Other studies showed that this proportion of heavy drinking decreased significantly at the age of 24 with both genders (19). Wechsler suggested that women who drink are less willing to recognize their alcohol problem (20).

In terms of living arrangements, in our study, students who were living in rented apartments reported higher levels of alcohol consumption than students who were living with their families. Nevertheless, the results were not statistically significant. These findings are similar to the ones of other studies which revealed that factors influencing student drinking are: a substantial amount of unstructured time, living situation (e.g., at home with parents, on campus, off campus), university life. Other studies showed that rates of alcohol abuse and dependence are roughly equivalent for college and non-college individuals, and that the development of alcohol-use disorders among young adults is more related to their living situations (e.g., at home with parents, on campus, off campus) than to a college status itself (21-23). In our survey, 28.9% of the participants were living with their parents and the rest of them with college mates or alone (15%), supporting the data from previous studies which show that students who chose not to drink often do so because their parents had discussed alcohol use and its adverse consequences with them (24, 25).

Marital status and religion appear to influence the alcohol intake. The results are consistent with other studies which show that people involved in a relationship are less likely to consume large amounts of alcohol (26).

Analyses of drinking habits in our university population indicate that students in the fourth and third year drank more than students in the first year. Certainly, the pattern of changes in alcohol consumption over the academic years differs across different studies (27, 28).

Furthermore, this study provided the evidence of behavioural consequences associated with alcohol consumption. Consistent with findings from previous studies, our study shows that students who drank over low risks limits reported physical problems and were more likely to develop risky behaviours, like driving under the influence of alcohol (29).

However, violence or problems with authorities were poorly reported by drinking students, regardless of the findings of other studies (30). We should take into account that respondents who participated in this study were medical and law students. Medical and law school environments might influence students' behaviours after drinking (through both formal and informal or hidden curriculum).

On the other hand, the survey reveals the effects of excessive drinking on academic performance. The data of our study showed that at risk drinkers reported a significantly higher score of academics problems, such as missing school, coming to a class after drinking and cutting a class after drinking, including receiving a lower grade after drinking, suggesting that drinking problems interfere with academic performance and assignments. The results also showed that alcohol consumption had a negative effect on study hours and that the amount of alcohol consumed correlates in a negative way with the time spent on academic activities. Apparently heavy drinkers obtained the lowest semester grades compared with other categories of drinkers, but results are not statistically significant.

More research evidence is required before the question of whether or not alcohol consumption has a detrimental effect on academic performance can be answered (31). In addition, it is essential that the 'pattern' of consumption be considered. For example, binge drinking once a week on Friday might have very little detrimental effect on academic performance, but drinking 2-3 units regularly (yet still staying within 'sensible' weekly guidelines) at lunchtime before afternoon classes may not be without effect (32).

One of the limitations of this study is the self-administered questionnaire (33). As with all questionnaire surveys, it is difficult to assess the accuracy of the data. However, the questionnaire was completed by the students on voluntary, anonymous and confidential basis, which we think promotes a more reliable response. Restrictive (medical, legal) educational program concerning alcohol consumption itself among selected university students could have impact on the results. Another limitation of our study pertains to the assessment of the amount of alcohol intake. Romanian drinks could contain different amounts of pure absolute alcohol from the ones we considered in this study.

While behavioural consequences have been highlighted, the immediate physiological consequences of hazardous drinking may be less obvious, but just as important. Binge drinking is associated with adverse effects on blood homeostasis and cardiac rhythm, ischemic heart disease, white blood cell activity, female reproductive level and the fetus (1, 34).

Despite efforts, the magnitude of college student drinking and alcohol-related problems has not decreased significantly in the past 15 years (35). Taking into account the large number of heavy drinkers, the study highlights the need of alcohol drinking prevention among Romanian students. It is possible that heavy drinking is related to other risky behaviours, such as illicit drug experimentation and tobacco use - behaviours we did not discuss in this study.

The educational programs should increase student awareness of alcohol related problems, change attitudes and beliefs, and foster each student's determination to avoid high risk problems. The prevention should focus on student drinking on campus, and also cover off-campus behaviour (36), because the study showed that about 40% of students are living in rented apartments in the city. The educational programs should focus not only on individuals, but also on groups, institutions, communities and public policies (37, 38).

Legal measures should be adopted to limit alcohol sales on campuses or near them.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The study reveals that 15.2% of Romanian university students did not drink alcohol and about 17% were heavy drinkers (drinking five drinks more than once a week). The most frequent drinking problems and behaviours are also related to academic performance and had even occurred in the past, suggesting drinking experimentation at a younger age. Our findings reveal the need of public health and individual policies which would reduce drinking and alcohol-related consequences.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that no conflicts of interest exist.

FUNDING

None.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Received from Ethical Committee of Iuliu Hatieganu, University Of Medicine and Pharmacy No.765/17.05.2013.

REFERENCES

1. Miller JW, Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Jones SE. Binge drinking and associated health risk behaviors among high school students. *Pediatrics* 2007; 119: 76-85.
2. MacArthur GJ, Smith MC, Melotti R, Heron J, Macleod J, Hickman M. et al. Patterns of alcohol use and multiple risk behaviour by gender during early and late adolescence: the ALSPAC cohort. *J Public Health* 2012; 34(Suppl 1): i20-i30.
3. Turrisi R, Mallett KA, Mastroleone NR. Heavy drinking in college students: who is at risk and what is being done about it? *J Gen Psychol* 2006; 133: 401-20.
4. Weitzman ER, Toben F, Nelson MS. College student binge drinking and the "prevention paradox": implications for prevention and harm reduction. *J Drug Educ* 2004; 34: 247-66.
5. Goldman MS, Boyd GM, Faden V. College drinking, what it is, and what to do about it: a review of the state of the science. *J Stud Alcohol* 2002; (Suppl 14): 5-13.
6. Carter AC, Brandon KO, Goldman MS. The college and non-college experience: a review of the factors that influence drinking behavior in young adulthood. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2010; 71: 742-50.
7. Dumitrescu AL. Tobacco and alcohol use among Romanian dental and medical students: a cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2007; 5: 279-84.
8. Popescu CA, Bob MH, Junjan V, Armean SM, Buzoianu AD. Factors influencing alcohol and illicit drug use amongst first year medical students. *Acta Med Transilvanica* 2014; 2: 174-8.
9. Hibell B, Guttormsson U, Allstrom S, Balakireva O, Bjarnason T, Kokkevi A. et al. The 2011 ESPAD report 2012. Available Oct 10, 2014 from: <http://www.espad.org>.
10. Engs RC. The student alcohol questionnaire. Bloomington: Indiana University, Department of Health and Safety Education, 1975. Available Jan 3, 2014 from: <http://www.indiana.edu/~engs>.
11. Engs RC, Hanson DJ. The student alcohol questionnaire: an updated reliability of the drinking patterns, problems, knowledge and attitude subscales. *Psychol Rep* 1994; 74: 12-4.
12. National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. NIAAA council approves definition of binge drinking. *NIAAA Newsletter* 2004; 3: 1-4. Available Oct 10, 2014, from: <http://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/fact-sheets/binge-drinking.htm>.
13. Status Report on Alcohol and Health in 35 European Countries 2013. WHO Regional Office for Europe. Available Mar 20, 2014, from: www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0017/190430.
14. Wechsler H, Nelson TF. Binge drinking and the american college student: what's the five drinks? *Psychol Addict Behav* 2001; 15: 287-91.
15. Wechsler H, Dowdall GW, Davenport A, Rimm EB. A gender-specific measure of binge drinking among college students. *Am J Public Health* 1995; 85: 982-5.
16. Wicki M, Kuntsche E, Gmel G. Drinking at European universities?: a review of students' alcohol use. *Addict Behav* 2010; 35: 913-24.
17. Andersson A, Wiréhn AB, Ölvander C, Stark Ekman D, Bendtsen P. Alcohol use among university students in Sweden measured by an electronic screening instrument. *BMC Public Health* 2009; 9: 229.
18. Hafner MB, Kolšek M, Rebek K. Alcohol drinking among students of the University of Ljubljana. *Zdrav Var* 2014; 53: 255-61.
19. Moure-Rodríguez L, Caamaño-Isorna F, Doallo S, Juan-Salvadores P, Corral M, Rodríguez-Holguín S. et al. Heavy drinking and alcohol-related injuries in college students. *Gac Sanit* 2014; 28: 376-80.
20. Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Chou PS. Another look at heavy episodic drinking and alcohol use disorders among college and non-college youth. *J Stud Alcohol* 2004; 65: 477-88.
21. Benzmillier H. The effect of parental attitudes and alcohol use on college students' drinking. 2009, Available Oct 10, 2014 from: <http://discoverarchive.vanderbilt.edu>.

22. Presley CA, Meilman PW, Leichliter JS. College factors that influence drinking. *J Stud Alcohol* 2002; 14: 82-90.
23. Hingson RW, Heeren T, Zakocs RC, Kopstein A, Wechsler H. Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24. *J Stud Alcohol* 2002; 63: 136-44.
24. Kuntsche E, Rehm J, Gmel G. Characteristics of binge drinkers in Europe. *Soc Sci Med* 2004; 59: 113-27.
25. Perkins HW. Surveying the damage: a review of research on consequences of alcohol misuse in college populations. *J Stud Alcohol* 2002; 63(Suppl 14): 91-100.
26. Liew H. The effects of marital status transitions on alcohol use trajectories. *Life Life Course Stud* 2012; 3: 332-45.
27. El Ansari W, Sebena R, Stock C. Socio-demographic correlates of six indicators of alcohol consumption: survey findings of students across seven universities in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. *Arch Public Health* 2013; 71: 29.
28. Heather N, Partington S, Partington E, Longstaff F, Allsop S, Jankowski M. et al. Alcohol use disorders and hazardous drinking among undergraduates at English universities. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2011; 46: 270-77.
29. Gill JS. Reported levels of alcohol consumption and binge drinking within the UK undergraduate student population over the last 25 years. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2002; 37: 109-20.
30. Brandão YST, Correia DS, de Farias MSJA, Antunes TMT, da Silva LA. The prevalence of alcohol consumption among the students newly enrolled at a public university. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2011; 3: 345-9.
31. El Ansari W, Stock C, Mills C. Is alcohol consumption associated with poor academic achievement in university students? *Int J Prev Med* 2013; 4: 1175-88.
32. Craig CL, Bewick M, Gill J, O'Maya F, Duncan R. UK student alcohol consumption: a cluster analysis of drinking behavior typologies. *Health Educ J* 2011; 71: 516-26.
33. Bowling A. Mode of questionnaires administration can have serious effects on data quality. *J Public Health* 2005; 27: 281-91.
34. Slutske WS. Alcohol use disorders among US college students and their non-college-attending peers. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62: 321-7.
35. Hingson RW, Zha W, Weitzman ER. Magnitude of and trends in alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24, 1998-2005. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2009; 16: 12-2.
36. Wechsler H, Lee JE, Hall J, Wagenaar A, Lee H. Secondhand effects of student alcohol use reported by neighbors of colleges: the role of alcohol outlets". *Soc Sci Med* 2002; 55: 425-35.
37. Wechsler H, Lee JE, Kuo M, Seibring M, Nelson TF, Lee HP. Trends in college binge drinking during a period of increased prevention efforts: findings from 4Harvard School of Public Health college alcohol study surveys, 1993-2001. *J Am Coll Health* 2002; 50: 203-17.
38. van Hoof JJ, Mulder J, Korte J, Postel MG, Pieterse ME. Dutch adolescent private drinking places: prevalence, alcohol consumption, and other risk behaviors. *Alcohol* 2012; 46: 687-93.