

Citation: Stanikova D, Buzga M, Krumpolec P, Skopkova M, Surova M, Ukropcova B, et al. (2017) Genetic analysis of single-minded 1 gene in earlyonset severely obese children and adolescents. PLoS ONE 12(5): e0177222. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0177222

Editor: Yvonne Böttcher, University of Oslo, NORWAY

Received: November 18, 2016

Accepted: April 24, 2017

Published: May 4, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Stanikova et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: 1. Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic and the Slovak Academy of Sciences - VEGA 2/0166/14, www.vega.sav.sk recieved by DaG; 2. Research&Development Operational Program funded by the European Regional Development Fund - Transendogen/ 26240220051 recieved by IK; 3. JS was supported **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Genetic analysis of single-minded 1 gene in early-onset severely obese children and adolescents

Daniela Stanikova^{1,2,3}, Marek Buzga⁴, Patrik Krumpolec¹, Martina Skopkova¹, Martina Surova¹, Barbara Ukropcova^{1,5}, Lubica Ticha², Miroslava Petrasova⁶, Dominika Gabcova¹, Miroslava Huckova¹, Lucie Piskorova⁷, Jan Bozensky⁷, Marian Mokan⁸, Jozef Ukropec¹, Ivona Zavacka⁹, Iwar Klimes¹, Juraj Stanik^{1,2,10}, Daniela Gasperikova¹*

 Laboratory of Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, Institute of Experimental Endocrinology, Biomedical Research Center, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, 2 Department of Pediatrics, Medical Faculty of Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, 3 Institute of Social Medicine, Occupational Health and Public Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 4 Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 5 Institute of Pathophysiolgy, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, 6 Department of Pediatrics, Medical Faculty of Safarik University, Kosice, Slovakia, 7 Department of Pediatrics, Vitkovice Hospital, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 8 Department of Internal Medicine, Jessenius Medical Faculty of Comenius University, Martin, Slovakia, 9 Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 10 Center for Pediatric Research Leipzig, University Hospital for Children & Adolescents, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

* daniela.gasperikova@savba.sk

Abstract

Background

Inactivating mutations of the hypothalamic transcription factor singleminded1 (SIM1) have been shown as a cause of early-onset severe obesity. However, to date, the contribution of *SIM1* mutations to the obesity phenotype has only been studied in a few populations. In this study, we screened the functional regions of *SIM1* in severely obese children of Slovak and Moravian descent to determine if genetic variants within *SIM1* may influence the development of obesity in these populations.

Methods

The *SIM1* promoter region, exons and exon-intron boundaries were sequenced in 126 unrelated obese children and adolescents (2–18 years of age) and 41 adult lean controls of Slovak and Moravian origin. Inclusion criteria for the children and adolescents were a body mass index standard deviation score higher than 2 SD for an appropriate age and sex, and obesity onset at less than 5 years of age. The clinical phenotypes of the *SIM1* variant carriers were compared with clinical phenotypes of 4 *MC4R* variant carriers and with 27 unrelated *SIM1* and *MC4R* mutation negative obese controls that were matched for age and gender.



by ESPE (European Society of Pediatric Endocrinology) Research Fellowship.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Results

Seven previously described *SIM1* variants and one novel heterozygous variant p.D134N were identified. The novel variant was predicted to be pathogenic by 7 *in silico* software analyses and is located at a highly conserved position of the SIM1 protein. The p.D134N variant was found in an 18 year old female proband (BMI 44.2kg/m²; +7.5 SD), and in 3 obese family members. Regardless of early onset severe obesity, the proband and her brother (age 16 years) did not fulfill the criteria of metabolic syndrome. Moreover, the variant carriers had significantly lower preferences for high sugar (p = 0.02) and low fat, low carbohydrate, high protein (p = 0.02) foods compared to the obese controls.

Conclusions

We have identified a novel *SIM1* variant, p.D134N, in 4 obese individuals from a single pedigree which is also associated with lower preference for certain foods.

Introduction

Single minded 1 (SIM1) is a bHLH/PAS (basic helix-loop-helix/Per-Arnt-Sim) transcription factor that forms a functional dimer with another bHLH/PAS family member, ARNT2 [1]. SIM1 plays a key role in neuronal differentiation of nucleus paraventricularis in the hypothalamus [2] which is important for regulation of energy homeostasis by interacting with pathways influencing melanocortin signaling. SIM1 acts downstream of the melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) [3], and mutations in this gene are a major cause of monogenic obesity in humans. Mice models showed that SIM1 haploinsufficient mice develop obesity and have higher stature likely due to early life hyperphagia, while SIM1 overexpression decreases food intake in mice [4]. Similarly in humans, SIM1 mutations seem to be involved in the pathogenesis of obesity; for example, a balanced translocation disrupting the SIM1 gene was found in a girl with severe non-syndromic early-onset obesity [5], and patients with 6q deletions encompassing the SIM1 gene present with Prader-Willi-like phenotypes including obesity and developmental delay [6]. Recently, it was shown that point mutations in *SIM1* cause monogenic obesity as well [7,8]. Similar to MC4R mutation carriers, SIM1 mutation carriers also have early-onset obesity caused by increased appetite and food seeking behavior persisting into adulthood [7,9]. Additional features include increased respiratory quotient, hyperinsulinemia, and signs of neurobehavioral abnormalities, as well as, emotional lability, autistic features or learning disabilities (concentration and memory disorders) [7,10]. Patients could also have Prader-Willi-like phenotypes including delayed psychomotor development or intellectual disability and dysmorphic features [8,11]. Moreover, several studies suggest that SIM1 polymorphisms may also contribute to polygenic obesity [12,13]. Nevertheless, the contribution of SIM1 variants to early-onset obesity have so far only been studied in a few populations [7,8,10].

The aim of our study was to search for *SIM1* mutations in children with early-onset severe obesity; and, to describe detailed metabolic phenotypes of the mutation carriers and compare their phenotypes with the phenotypes for obese individuals not carrying *SIM1* variant.

Patients and methods

Children and adolescent cohort

One hundred and twenty-six children (68 girls and 58 boys) of Slovak (n = 113) and Moravian (n = 13) origin age 2 to 18 years with body mass index (BMI) standard deviation scores > 2 SD for an appropriate age and sex and obesity onset at less than 5 years of age were recruited by pediatric endocrinologists throughout Slovakia during the years 2009-2014, and in the Ostrava region of Moravia during the years 2011-2014. All patients with other causes of obesity (e.g., pharmacological and previously confirmed syndromic obesity) were excluded. Anthropometric data (height and weight) were measured by specialized nurses in the pediatric endocrinology outpatient clinics. The BMI percentiles and standard deviation score were calculated using local anthropometric references [14]. The mean BMI standard deviation score of the children included in the study was 5.1±2.4 SD and mean age at obesity onset was 2.5±1.5 years (range 0.4 – 5years). Twenty-six of the probands had at least one of the following additional features: (i) developmental delay, (ii) intellectual disability, and (iii) learning or behavioral disabilities. One patient had facial dysmorphic features characteristic for Prader-Willi-like syndrome. During regular health check-ups, information on genetic testing was given and blood samples were drawn for DNA isolation. Clinical data for the proband and family relatives and genealogical history were provided by the referring physician. Samples of venous blood (8 ml) were collected into EDTA tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) for DNA analysis and were transported to the laboratory with the informed consent form and patient's medical history questionnaire.

Lean controls

A control population of 41 adult lean subjects of Slovak origin with BMI < 25kg/m2 (mean 22.0 ± 2.2) was examined for variants in the *SIM1* gene.

Obese controls

Obese controls were used for the phenotype comparison with individuals carrying the novel p.D134N *SIM1* variant. This cohort consisted of 14 unrelated *SIM1* and *MC4R* mutation negative adult obese individuals (age 31–59 years, BMI 34.1 ± 4.9 kg/m2), 13 unrelated *SIM1* and *MC4R* mutation negative peri- and postpubertal children and adolescents (7 females age 15.8 ± 2.7 years and BMI SDS +4.4 ± 1.6; 6 males age 15.4 ± 2.3 years and BMI SDS +5.4 ± 1.7).)

Molecular genetic analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral leukocytes using standard procedures, and the promoter, all exons, and intron-exon boundaries of the *SIM1* gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using previously described primers [15]. PCR products were sequenced using standard methods on an ABI/Hitachi 3500 resp. 3130 (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) and were compared with the reference sequence NM_005068.2 using SeqS-cape software (version 2.1.1; Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). Novelty of the identified missense mutations was verified using public variation databases: dbSNP147 (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP), EVS (evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/ [accessed 25.10.2016]) and ExAC (exac. broadinstitute.org [accessed 25.10.2016]) DNA sequence conservation was assessed using GERP, phyloP100way, phastCons100way, and multiz100way provided by UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu) [16–18]. Variant pathogenicity was tested using the following *in silico* analyses: SIFT [19], PROVEAN (http://provean.jcvi.org) [20], PolyPhen-2

(http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/index.shtml), MutationAssesor (http:// mutationassessor.org) [21], Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org) [22], CADD (http://cadd.gs.washington.edu/score) [23], and SNPs&GO (http://snps.biofold.org) [24]. Sequence alignment of SIM proteins was done by Clustal Omega at www.uniprot.org.

Hormonal and other biochemical analyses

Glucose, lipids, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, thyrotropin, thyroxine, and insulin levels were measured from frozen plasma using standard laboratory protocols in the Department of Clinical Biochemistry of the Children University Hospital in Bratislava, Slovakia. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was evaluated from whole blood using a LPLC Dia-STAT analyzer (Bio-Rad). All the values were transformed to the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) percentage and International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) values (http://www.ngsp.org/ifccngsp.asp) [25,26]. Fasting measures and oral glucose tolerance tests (oGTT) with 75g glucose were performed after 3 days on a high-saccharide diet and normal physical activity followed by a 12-hour overnight fast according to the standardized WHO protocol [27].

Metabolic parameters

Metabolic syndrome in adolescents and adults was evaluated using the criteria recommended by the International Diabetes Federation [28]. Selected insulin secretion and insulin resistance indices were assessed from the fasting values and oGTTs using previously published formulas: fasting insulin (INS0) [29], homeostatic model assessment—insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) [30], peak insulin level during an oGTT (INSMAX) [31], area under the curve for insulin (AUCINS), ratio of areas under the curve for insulin and glucose levels during an oGTT (AUCINS/AUCGLU) [32], and whole body insulin sensitivity index (Matsuda WBISI) [33]. Where appropriate, glucose and insulin concentrations were transformed from mmol/L and nmol/L to mg/dL and ng/mL using coefficients of 0.05551 for glucose and 6.945 for insulin, respectively.

Indirect calorimetry and food preference questionnaire

Basal metabolic rate and metabolic substrate preference (respiratory quotient, RQ) were calculated from concentrations of oxygen (VO2) and carbon dioxide (VCO2) in exhaled air (RQ = VCO2/VO2, REE = [3.9 (VO2) + 1.1 (VCO2)] 1.44 – Weir equation). Indirect calorimetry measurements (n = 3) were performed in the fasted state just before the oGTT. Thirty-minute breath-by-breath measurements were initiated after a 30-minute bed rest at thermal comfort conditions using the Ergostik (Geratherm Respiratory, Germany). Results were compared with indirect calorimetry data of the MC4R mutation carriers and family members related to MC4R and SIM1 mutation carriers [34]. The MC4R mutation carriers were selected for the comparison because of their similar phenotypes to SIM1 mutation carriers [7]. Food Preference Questionnaire assesses preferences for 72 foods [35]. Participants rate each food hedonically on a 9-point scale by rating how much they like each food, with 1 = dislike extremely, and 9 = like extremely. Major energy source content in preferred food was evaluated, and individual preference was characterized in context of (i) high fat and high simple sugar score; (ii) high fat and high complex carbohydrate score; (iii) high fat, low carbohydrate and high protein score; (iv) low fat and high simple sugar score; (v) low fat and high complex carbohydrate score; (vi) low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein score; (vii) high sugar score; (viii) high complex carbohydrate score; (ix) high protein score and (x) fat preference score.

Psychological examination

Testing for neurobehavioral abnormalities, such as mental retardation, emotional instability, learning disability, behavioral disorders, and autistic features was performed by TMT—Trail Making Test, Rawen progressive matrices, and by the method of numbers repeating [36].

Statistics

Comparisons betweeneby t-test for numeric variables and by Fisher exact test for nominal variables. P values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant in all analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 17; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Ethic committee approval

The present study was approved by the National Institute of Endocrinology and Diabetology in Lubochna, Slovakia and the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine of University of Ostrava, Czech Republic. Study participants or the parents of minors who participated in the study signed a written informed consent for the genotype and phenotype analyses.

Results

SIM1 genotypes of the obese children

The *SIM1* promoter and exons were sequenced in 126 unrelated obese children and adolescents (2–18 years of age) and 41 adult lean controls of Slovak and Moravian origin. In one obese proband, a novel heterozygous *SIM1* variant c.400G>A (p.D134N) was identified. The same heterozygous genotype was also found in the proband's brother, father, and paternal grandfather; other obese family members, mother and paternal grandmother, were not carriers of the p.D134N variant (Fig 1).

Moreover, seven previously described *SIM1* polymorphisms were found among patients and controls (<u>two</u> nonsynonymous variants in the coding region, c.1054C>A (p.P352T) and c.1112C>T (p.A371V), one synonymous variant, c.1959C>T (p.T653 =), one promotor variant, c.-256G>A, one variant in the 5'UTR, c.-127T>C, as well as two variants in the 3'UTR, c.*113A>T and c.*348A>T) (Table 1).

The missense variant p.D134N has not previously been reported. It is not present in the 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 release included in dbSNP147, nor in the more than 6500 individuals screened in NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project, nor in the ExAC database summarizing data of more than 60,000 exomes (Table 1). The aspartic acid at position 134 is located in the PAS1 domain of the protein (S1A Fig). This region is evolutionary conserved at the DNA level (high GERP, phyloP100way, and PhastCons100way scores) as well as at the protein level (Multiz100way) (Table 1). Specifically, the c.400G nucleotide is highly conserved in all species of the UCSC Multiz Alingment of 100 vertebrates and the aspartic acid is replaced by another negatively charged amino acid, glutamic acid, in only one of the 100 vertebrate species in the alignment (S1B Fig). The substitution with asparagine was predicted to be deleterious by SIFT, polyPhen, PROVEAN, MutationAssessor, SNPs&GO, MutationTaster and the CADD score value 34 places this substitution among the 0.04% most deleterious variants in the human genome (Table 1).

Phenotypes of the p.D134N carriers

The novel SIM1 variant p.D134N was found in one obese female proband born to unrelated parents with normal birth weight and length. She developed obesity at the age of 2 years and she has been continuously gaining weight. She had a learning disability and concentration





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177222.g001

problems during childhood (reported by her mother). At the time of the examination she was 18 years old and had diffuse obesity with BMI of 44.2 kg/m² (BMI SDS +7.5 SD). She had no dysmorphic features, no specific comorbidities, and normal mental status according to the psychological examination (average intellect level, no concentration or learning disabilities, or emotional lability). She had displayed mild fasting hypertriglyceridemia

PLOS ONE

| Nucleotide | Amino | Variant | dbSNP | Obese | -uoN | Protein | Allel | e freque | ency | GERP+ | phyloP | Phast | Multiz | SIFT prediction: | polyPhen-2 | PROVEAN | Mutation | CADD | SNP&GO: | MutationTaster |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------|----------|------|-------|---------|----------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|------|------------------------|--------------------|
| change NM_005068.2 | acıd change | type | | probands (n = 126) | obese adults (n = 41) | of SIM1 | TGP | EVS | ExAC | + HS | 100 Way | Cons 100way | 100way | score | (HumVar) prediction:score | prediction: score | assessor functional impact:score | | dısease probability | |
| c.(-256)G>A | | promotor | rs77109140 | 1 (0.8%) | 0 | | 0.02 | • | • | -3.7 | -1.04 | 0 | 0.35 | | | | | 9.6 | | Polymorphism |
| c127T>C | | 5'UTR | rs41315244 | 10 (7.9%) | 3 (7.3%) | | 0.02 | • | • | -2.21 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.38 | | | | | 7.2 | | Polymorphism |
| c.400 G>A | p.D134N | missense | N/A | 1 (0.8%) | 0 | PAS1 | • | • | • | 5.26 | 7.73 | - | 0.88 | Damaging:0.037 | Possibly dmaging::0.846 | Deleterious:- 4.27 | Low:1.82 | 34.0 | Disease:0.688 | Disease causing |
| c.1054C>A | p.P352T | missense | rs3734354 | 32 (25.4%) | 14 (34.1%) | C-term | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 5.8 | 6.0 | - | 0.76 | Damaging:0.006 | Benign:0.052 | Neutral:-0.8 | Low:1.59 | 23.9 | Neutral:0.115 | Polymorphism |
| c.1112C>T | p.A371V | missense | rs3734355 | 33 (25.4%) | 15 (34.1%) | NLS | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 2.86 | 1.39 | 0.94 | 0.78 | Tolerated:0.562 | Benign:0.003 | Neutral:-0.39 | Neutral:0.55 | 19.9 | Neutral:0.063 | Polymorphism |
| c.1959C>T | p.T653 = | silent | rs41318041 | 5 (4.0%) | 0 | C-term | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -9.51 | -1.89 | 0 | 0.73 | | | | | 1.5 | | Disease causing |
| c.*113A>T | | 3'UTR | rs41318039 | 8 (6.3%) | N/A | | 0.01 | • | | -1.13 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.59 | | | | | 10.2 | | Disease causing |
| c.*348A>T | | 3'UTR | rs1395119 | 76 (60.3%) | N/A | | 0.40 | | | -3.76 | -0.74 | 0 | 0.55 | | | | | 0.03 | | Polymorphism |

Table 1. Distribution of *SIM1* variants among carriers and *in silico* analyses.

TGP-1000 Genomes Project; EVS-Exome Variant Server-NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project; ExAC-Exome Aggregation Consortium; Cut offs used for in silico

prediction tools: SIFT:<0.05, polyPhen-2:>0.8, PROVEAN:<-2.5, SNP&GO:>0.5;

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177222.t001

(1.7 mmol/l); while, fasting and 2hr glycemia during a glucose tolerance test and HDL-cholesterol levels were in the normal range (Table 2). Alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, thyrotropin, and thyroxine levels were also in the normal range (data not shown). She did not meet the criteria for metabolic syndrome as defined by the International Diabetes Federation [28].

The proband's brother was obese (BMI 41 kg/m2, BMI SDS +6.2 SD, age 16 years) with fasting hyperinsulinemia (146.5 pmol/l); while, his fasting and 2hr oGTT glycemia, lipid profile and blood pressure were in the normal range. The proband's father was obese (BMI 57.8 kg/ m2) and had diabetes mellitus according to the HbA1c value (6.7%; 49.7 mmol/mol), hyperglycemia at 2hr oGTT (10.4 mmol/l), dyslipidemia (triglycerides 1.8 mmol/l and HDL-cholesterol 0.9 mmol/l), and hypertension on treatment. The proband's paternal grandfather was obese (BMI 35.5 kg/m2) with fasting hyperglycemia (5.8 mmol/l), while other metabolic parameters were in the normal range (Table 2). Despite displaying early onset severe obesity, the proband and her brother did not fulfill the criteria of metabolic syndrome as defined by the International Diabetes Federation [28]. Proband's father was the only variant carrier who met the criteria for the metabolic syndrome (Table 2).

Phenotype comparison of p.D134N carriers with obese controls

The anthropometric and metabolic phenotypes of p.D134N variant carriers (proband, proband's brother, and proband's father) were compared with gender matched, similarly aged unrelated obese controls negative for *SIM1* and *MC4R* mutations (S2 Fig). BMIs for the 2 siblings carrying p.D134N were comparable to the obese controls, while the father's BMI was higher compared to the controls (S2A and S2B Fig). Height of the variant carriers was apparently lower than in the controls (S2C and S2D Fig), however, the non-carrier family members also had short stature (Table 2). Most of the metabolic phenotypes for the p.D134N carriers were within the range of the obese controls with the possible exception of HDL cholesterol levels (S2H Fig). Moreover, the variant carriers had significantly lower preference for low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein food (p = 0.02) and lower preference for high sugar food (p = 0.02) but normal preference for other energy substrates compared to the obese controls (Fig 2). Differences in other food preferences were not significant (data not shown).

There were no significant differences in respiratory quotient and resting energy expenditure of the p.D134N variant carriers compared to the *MC4R* mutation carriers or obese family relatives (S3 Fig).

Discussion

We have sequenced the *SIM1* promoter region and exons in 126 unrelated obese children and adolescents, and 41 adult lean controls from Slovakia and Moravia. One novel *SIM1* variant (p.D134N) in one proband out of 126 (0.79%) obese children with early-onset severe obesity was identified. There are additional immediate family members who are also obese (BMI > 35.5 kg/m^2) including 3 p.D134N variant carriers and 2 non-carriers. Pathogenicity of the novel variant was supported by *in silico* analyses. Despite several phenotype similarities with the obese controls, p.D134N variant carriers had significantly lower preference for low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein food and lower preference for high sugar food. Moreover, both younger mutation carriers (<20 years) had no other features of metabolic syndrome beside early-onset obesity with high BMI SDS (>6), borderline triglycerides in the proband, and hyperinsulinemia in the brother.

| Table 2 | . Genotype | and phenotyp | oe characteri | stics in the p | roband | with the I | novel p.D1 | 34N varia | ant and her fa | mily membe | Ś | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Genotype for the SIM1 p.D134N variant | Age at examination/ Age at obesity onset (yrs) | Dysmorphic features | Learning or behavioral disorders, emotional lability | Height in cm (SDS) | BMI in kg/m² (SDS if ≤ 18 years of age) | Blood pressure (mmHg) | Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) | 120-minute glucose during an oGTT (mmol/) | Triglycerides (mmol/l) | HDL- cholesterol (mmol/l) | Fasting plasma insulin (pmol/l) | 120-minute insulin during an oGTT (pmol/l) | HbA1c in % (DCCT)/ mmol/mol | Metabolic syndrome |
| Proband | WN | 18/2 | 2 | learning problems in childhood | 156 (-1.5) | 44.2 (7.5) | 126/83 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 76.4 | 928.6 | 5.1/32.2 | 2 |
| Brother | WN | 16/6 | 2 | e e | 175 (-0.5) | 41.0 (6.2) | 133/73 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 1.5 | - | 146.5 | 786.9 | 5/31.1 | 2 |
| Father | WN | 45/1 | 2 | ou | 171 (-1.3) | 57.8 | 110/68* | 5.5 | 10.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 159 | 621.6 | 6.7/49.7 | yes |
| Father `s father | WN | 84/ND | 2 | N/A | 172 (-1.1) | 35.5 | N/A | 5.8 | N/A | 0.7 | 1.9 | 96.5 | N/A | N/A | Q |
| Mother | NN | 41/1 | Q | ou | 164 (-0.2) | 50.2 | 108/66 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 34 | 473 | 5.8/39.9 | yes |
| Father's mother | NN | 66/ND | No | N/A | 152 (-2.2) | 48.5 | N/A | 18.4 | N/A | 1.1 | 0.9 | 117.4 | N/A | N/A | yes |
| *value (| on antihypert | ensive therapy | /, ND—not de | stermined, oG | TT—ora | ıl glucose | -tolerance | test. Not p | hysiological v | alues are in b | old. Symbols | s for geno | type: NM—he | eterozygoı | S |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177222.t002

p.D134N variant carrier, NN-p.D134N non-carrier.



Fig 2. Food preference for the novel SIM1 variant p.D134N carriers (proband, her father and brother) compared to unrelated obese adult controls. A) Food preference for the low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein food and B) Food preference for the high sugar food.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177222.g002

SIM1 variants causing monogenic obesity

Loss-of-function variants linked to early-onset obesity were previously identified in various regions of the *SIM1* gene [7,8,10], particularly in the N-terminal transactivation domain and the PAS1 and PAS2 domains [7]. Discrepancies between *in silico* and *in vitro* functional analyses were described for several mutations [8]. Furthermore, several loss-of-function mutations confirmed by both *in silico* and *in vitro* functional analyses were found in obese and lean individuals (e.g. p.D707H) [7].

In our case, 7 *in silico* analyses predicted the p.D134N variant to be deleterious. The aspartic acid at position 134 is evolutionary conserved not only in SIM1 (S1B Fig), but also in SIM2 proteins and in the single-minded protein *of Drosophila melanogaster* (S1C Fig). It is located in the PAS1 domain of the protein. The PAS1 and PAS2 domains of bHLH/PAS proteins are responsible for dimerization, stabilizing the DNA binding conformation of the dimer, and assembly of functional transcription complexes [37]. Moreover, a heterozygous mutation p.M136K, in the same domain (PAS1) as the p.D134N variant, caused obesity in mice [38].

In the case of our novel *SIM1* p.D134N variant, functional analyses, which would confirm its impact on SIM1 function, were not performed. This is a major limitation of our study. Nevertheless, all of the mutation carriers were obese, that is at least in agreement with the hypothesis of p.D134N deleteriousness.

Comparison to other studies

The inclusion criteria in our study were selected according to the main phenotype features of previously published *SIM1* mutation carriers (i.e. severe, early-onset obesity) [7,8]. Moreover, as a part of the *SIM1* mutation carriers has additional symptoms [8], also 26 patients with severe early onset obesity and learning disabilities or dysmorphic features of Prader-Willi-like syndrome were included.

Carriers of the p.D134N variant had childhood onset obesity (≤ 6 years), significantly increased BMIs (>35 kg/m2), and normal basal metabolic rates according to sex, age and body composition. Compared to Ramachandrappa et al., who showed higher respiratory quotient in *SIM1* mutation carriers compared to obese controls [7], our data showed no significant differences in basal metabolic rate or respiratory quotient for the p.D134N variant carriers compared to the *MC4R* mutation carriers or obese family relatives.

None of the previous studies reported the appearance of metabolic syndrome among the SIM1 mutation carriers [7,8,10]. In our studied family, metabolic syndrome was diagnosed only in one of four mutation carriers, but in both obese non-carriers (Table 2); and, also in the majority of unrelated obese controls (the differences were not significant). Nevertheless, because of the small number of p.D134N variant carriers, no conclusion on metabolic health could be made. Since SIM1 is linked to MC4R [39,40], the phenotypes of SIM1 and MC4R mutation carriers are similar [7]. According to other studies from Europe, several MC4R mutation carriers had the same or even better metabolic health compared to the similarly aged obese non-carriers [41-43]. Conversely, a study in Pima Indians showed an earlier onset of type 2 diabetes in MC4R mutation carriers [44]. In a mice model, female MC4R mutation carriers were normoglycemic but males were hyperglycemic [45]. Moreover, mice carrying a heterozygous p.M136K mutation of SIM1 had significantly higher hyperinsulinemia, but the serum glucose levels were not significantly changed compared to wild-type mice [38]. Nevertheless, in the study by Ramachandrappa et al., human SIM1 and MC4R mutation carriers had lower blood pressure and lower heart rate compared to obese controls [7]. Since hypertension is one of the most frequent components of metabolic syndrome, its absence could also influence the prevalence of whole metabolic syndrome in SIM1 and MC4R mutation carriers. Moreover, we have shown that p.D134N variant carriers had significantly lower preference for high sugar food and low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein food compared to the obese controls. Interestingly, changes in food preference (lower preference for high fat diet) were also observed by Xi et al. in SIM1 neuron ablated mice [46].

Genotype-phenotype interactions

To date, only a few of the described heterogeneous nonsynonymous loss-of function *SIM1* variants fulfilled all of the criteria required for a monogenic obesity mutation (i.e. cosegregation with the obesity phenotype in the family, similar phenotype in all of the mutation carriers, and decreased transcriptional activity of the mutated SIM1 in *in vitro* studies) [7,8]. On the other hand, several loss-of-function variants with decreased *in vitro* activity were found in families with obesogenic environment (i.e. both parents of the proband with a mutation were obese; e.g., two families with p.T46R [8], or p.D707H [7], or families with p.A517V [10], p.R171H, p.P692L, and p.R550H [7]). Similarly, the p.D134N variant was found in a family where all of the members were obese. This could be another limitation of our study as no further genetic analysis, beside sequencing of both the *MC4R* and *SIM1* genes (with negative results), was performed in the family. Nevertheless, there are some differences between carriers and non-carriers; for example, better metabolic health (3 of 4 mutation carriers did not fulfill the criteria for metabolic syndrome) and lower preference for high sugar food and low fat, low carbohydrate and high protein food in variant carriers compared to the obese controls.

Implication for clinicians

Although *SIM1* gene mutations are a rare cause of obesity, their clinical importance is based on the high risk of morbid obesity (frequently with BMI >50kg/m2). Therefore, an early diagnosis with focused prevention and therapy seems to be reasonable.

Conclusions

We have identified a novel heterozygous nonsynonymous *SIM1* variant (p.D134N) in four family relatives showing phenotype differences in metabolic health and changed food preference compared to related and unrelated obese non-carriers.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Location of the p.D134N variant in the SIM1 protein. A) The p.D134N is located in the PAS1 domain involved in heterodimerization of SIM1 with ARNT2 transcription factor; B) the aspartic acid at the position 134 is conserved in 99 of 100 vertebrate species as shown in the caption from the UCSC Genome Browser (only one representative species from 8 different vertebrate subsets is displayed); C) The aspartic acid at the position 134 is also conserved in other SIM proteins down to *Drosophila melanogaster*. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Selected anthropometric and metabolic parameters of the *SIM1* variant p.D134N carriers compared to controls. Abbreviations: PC—sex and age matched obese controls for proband, BC—sex and age matched obese controls for proband's brother, FC—sex and age matched obese controls for proband's father. The plots display the original values and ranges (for controls). The p-values for differences in unpaired t-test were displayed only if p<0.05. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Indirect calorimetry data of the *SIM1* variant p.D134N carriers compared to *MC4R* mutation carriers and obese family relatives negative for *MC4R* and *SIM1* loss of function mutations (negative controls). Data (n = 3) are shown as means \pm SE. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: DS D. Gasperikova JS.

Data curation: M. Surova D. Gabcova1 DS.

Formal analysis: PK JU JS.

Funding acquisition: D. Gasperikova IK JS.

Investigation: PK BU M. Surova MH DS JS MB M. Skopkova.

Methodology: JS DS D. Gasperikova.

Project administration: JS D. Gasperikova.

Resources: LT MP LP JB MM IZ.

Supervision: JS D. Gasperikova.

Visualization: DS JS D. Gasperikova.

Writing - original draft: DS JS.

Writing - review & editing: D. Gasperikova M. Skopkova JU IK.

References

1. Michaud JL, DeRossi C, May NR, Holdener BC, Fan CM. ARNT2 acts as the dimerization partner of SIM1 for the development of the hypothalamus. Mech Dev. 2000; 90(2):253–61. PMID: 10640708

- Michaud JL, Rosenquist T, May NR, Fan CM. Development of neuroendocrine lineages requires the bHLH-PAS transcription factor SIM1. Genes Dev. 1998; 12(20):3264–75. Epub 1998/10/24. PMID: 9784500
- Kublaoui BM, Holder JL Jr., Gemelli T, Zinn AR. Sim1 haploinsufficiency impairs melanocortin-mediated anorexia and activation of paraventricular nucleus neurons. Mol Endocrinol. 2006; 20(10):2483–92. https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2005-0483 PMID: 16728530
- Yang C, Gagnon D, Vachon P, Tremblay A, Levy E, Massie B, et al. Adenoviral-mediated modulation of Sim1 expression in the paraventricular nucleus affects food intake. J Neurosci. 2006; 26(26):7116–20. Epub 2006/06/30. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0672-06.2006 PMID: 16807340
- Holder JL Jr., Butte NF, Zinn AR. Profound obesity associated with a balanced translocation that disrupts the SIM1 gene. Hum Mol Genet. 2000; 9(1):101–8. Epub 1999/12/10. PMID: 10587584
- 6. Faivre L, Cormier-Daire V, Lapierre JM, Colleaux L, Jacquemont S, Genevieve D, et al. Deletion of the SIM1 gene (6q16.2) in a patient with a Prader-Willi-like phenotype. J Med Genet. 2002; 39(8):594–6. Epub 2002/08/06. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.39.8.594 PMID: 12161602
- Ramachandrappa S, Raimondo A, Cali AM, Keogh JM, Henning E, Saeed S, et al. Rare variants in single-minded 1 (SIM1) are associated with severe obesity. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123(7):3042–50. Epub 2013/06/20. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI68016 PMID: 23778139
- Bonnefond A, Raimondo A, Stutzmann F, Ghoussaini M, Ramachandrappa S, Bersten DC, et al. Lossof-function mutations in SIM1 contribute to obesity and Prader-Willi-like features. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123(7):3037–41. Epub 2013/06/20. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI68035 PMID: 23778136
- Farooqi IS, Keogh JM, Yeo GS, Lank EJ, Cheetham T, O'Rahilly S. Clinical spectrum of obesity and mutations in the melanocortin 4 receptor gene. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348(12):1085–95. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1056/NEJMoa022050 PMID: 12646665
- Zegers D, Beckers S, Hendrickx R, Van Camp JK, de Craemer V, Verrijken A, et al. Mutation screen of the SIM1 gene in pediatric patients with early-onset obesity. Int J Obes (Lond). 2014; 38(7):1000–4. Epub 2013/10/08.
- Montagne L, Raimondo A, Delobel B, Duban-Bedu B, Noblet FS, Dechaume A, et al. Identification of two novel loss-of-function SIM1 mutations in two overweight children with developmental delay. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014; 22(12):2621–4. Epub 2014/09/23.
- Swarbrick MM, Evans DS, Valle MI, Favre H, Wu SH, Njajou OT, et al. Replication and extension of association between common genetic variants in SIM1 and human adiposity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011; 19(12):2394–403.
- Traurig M, Mack J, Hanson RL, Ghoussaini M, Meyre D, Knowler WC, et al. Common variation in SIM1 is reproducibly associated with BMI in Pima Indians. Diabetes. 2009; 58(7):1682–9. https://doi.org/10. 2337/db09-0028 PMID: 19401419
- Kobzova J, Vignerova J, Blaha P, Krejcovsky L, Riedlova J. The 6th nationwide anthropological survey of children and adolescents in the Czech Republic in 2001. Cent Eur J Public Health. 2004; 12(3):126– 30. Epub 2004/10/29. PMID: 15508410
- Yeo GS, Lank EJ, Farooqi IS, Keogh J, Challis BG, O'Rahilly S. Mutations in the human melanocortin-4 receptor gene associated with severe familial obesity disrupts receptor function through multiple molecular mechanisms. Hum Mol Genet. 2003; 12(5):561–74. PMID: 12588803
- Rosenbloom KR, Armstrong J, Barber GP, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2015 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43(Database issue):D670–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1177 PMID: 25428374
- Cooper GM, Stone EA, Asimenos G, Program NCS, Green ED, Batzoglou S, et al. Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence. Genome Res. 2005; 15(7):901–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.3577405</u> PMID: 15965027
- Davydov EV, Goode DL, Sirota M, Cooper GM, Sidow A, Batzoglou S. Identifying a high fraction of the human genome to be under selective constraint using GERP++. PLoS Comput Biol. 2010; 6(12): e1001025. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001025 PMID: 21152010
- Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4(7):1073–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.86 PMID: 19561590
- Choi Y, Chan AP. PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. Bioinformatics. 2015; 31(16):2745–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv195 PMID: 25851949
- Reva B, Antipin Y, Sander C. Determinants of protein function revealed by combinatorial entropy optimization. Genome Biol. 2007; 8(11):R232. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-11-r232 PMID: 17976239

- Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deepsequencing age. Nat Methods. 2014; 11(4):361–2. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2890 PMID: 24681721
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet. 2014; 46(3):310–5. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/ng.2892</u> PMID: 24487276
- Capriotti E, Calabrese R, Fariselli P, Martelli PL, Altman RB, Casadio R. WS-SNPs&GO: a web server for predicting the deleterious effect of human protein variants using functional annotation. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14 Suppl 3:S6.
- Geistanger A, Arends S, Berding C, Hoshino T, Jeppsson JO, Little R, et al. Statistical methods for monitoring the relationship between the IFCC reference measurement procedure for hemoglobin A1c and the designated comparison methods in the United States, Japan, and Sweden. Clinical chemistry. 2008; 54(8):1379–85. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.103556 PMID: 18539643
- Hoelzel W, Weykamp C, Jeppsson JO, Miedema K, Barr JR, Goodall I, et al. IFCC reference system for measurement of hemoglobin A1c in human blood and the national standardization schemes in the United States, Japan, and Sweden: a method-comparison study. Clinical chemistry. 2004; 50(1):166– 74. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2003.024802 PMID: 14709644
- Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med. 1998; 15(7):539–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9136(199807)15:7<539::AID-DIA668>3.0.CO;2-S PMID: 9686693
- Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S, et al. The metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents—an IDF consensus report. Pediatr Diabetes. 2007; 8(5):299–306. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-5448.2007.00271.x PMID: 17850473
- Hanson RL, Pratley RE, Bogardus C, Narayan KM, Roumain JM, Imperatore G, et al. Evaluation of simple indices of insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion for use in epidemiologic studies. Am J Epidemiol. 2000; 151(2):190–8. PMID: 10645822
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985; 28(7):412–9. PMID: 3899825
- Reaven GM, Chen YD, Hollenbeck CB, Sheu WH, Ostrega D, Polonsky KS. Plasma insulin, C-peptide, and proinsulin concentrations in obese and nonobese individuals with varying degrees of glucose tolerance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1993; 76(1):44–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.76.1.8421101</u> PMID: 8421101
- **32.** Retnakaran R, Qi Y, Goran MI, Hamilton JK. Evaluation of proposed oral disposition index measures in relation to the actual disposition index. Diabet Med. 2009; 26(12):1198–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1464-5491.2009.02841.x PMID: 20002470
- Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care. 1999; 22(9):1462–70. PMID: 10480510
- 34. Kurdiova T, Balaz M, Vician M, Maderova D, Vlcek M, Valkovic L, et al. Effects of obesity, diabetes and exercise on Fndc5 gene expression and irisin release in human skeletal muscle and adipose tissue: in vivo and in vitro studies. J Physiol. 2014; 592(Pt 5):1091–107. Epub 2013/12/04.
- Geiselman PJ, Anderson AM, Dowdy ML, West DB, Redmann SM, Smith SR. Reliability and validity of a macronutrient self-selection paradigm and a food preference questionnaire. Physiol Behav. 1998; 63 (5):919–28. PMID: 9618017
- Tapia LU, Lizana PA, Orellana YZ, Villagran FS, Arias VF, Almagia AF, et al. Somatotype and intellectual ability (Raven Progressive Matrices Test) in Chilean school-age children. Nutr Hosp. 2013; 28 (5):1552–7. https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2013.28.5.6735 PMID: 24160215
- Kewley RJ, Whitelaw ML, Chapman-Smith A. The mammalian basic helix-loop-helix/PAS family of transcriptional regulators. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2004; 36(2):189–204. PMID: 14643885
- Hossain MS, Asano F, Fujiyama T, Miyoshi C, Sato M, Ikkyu A, et al. Identification of mutations through dominant screening for obesity using C57BL/6 substrains. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:32453. https://doi.org/10. 1038/srep32453 PMID: 27585985
- Kublaoui BM, Holder JL Jr., Tolson KP, Gemelli T, Zinn AR. SIM1 overexpression partially rescues agouti yellow and diet-induced obesity by normalizing food intake. Endocrinology. 2006; 147(10):4542– 9. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2006-0453 PMID: 16709610
- Balthasar N, Dalgaard LT, Lee CE, Yu J, Funahashi H, Williams T, et al. Divergence of melanocortin pathways in the control of food intake and energy expenditure. Cell. 2005; 123(3):493–505. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.035 PMID: 16269339

- Farooqi IS, Yeo GS, Keogh JM, Aminian S, Jebb SA, Butler G, et al. Dominant and recessive inheritance of morbid obesity associated with melanocortin 4 receptor deficiency. J Clin Invest. 2000; 106(2):271–9. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI9397 PMID: 10903343
- Melchior C, Schulz A, Windholz J, Kiess W, Schoneberg T, Korner A. Clinical and functional relevance of melanocortin-4 receptor variants in obese German children. Horm Res Paediatr. 2012; 78(4):237– 46. Epub 2012/11/14. https://doi.org/10.1159/000343816 PMID: 23146882
- Vaisse C, Clement K, Durand E, Hercberg S, Guy-Grand B, Froguel P. Melanocortin-4 receptor mutations are a frequent and heterogeneous cause of morbid obesity. J Clin Invest. 2000; 106(2):253–62. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI9238 PMID: 10903341
- 44. Thearle MS, Muller YL, Hanson RL, Mullins M, Abdussamad M, Tran J, et al. Greater impact of melanocortin-4 receptor deficiency on rates of growth and risk of type 2 diabetes during childhood compared with adulthood in Pima Indians. Diabetes. 2012; 61(1):250–7. https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-0708 PMID: 22106157
- Huszar D, Lynch CA, Fairchild-Huntress V, Dunmore JH, Fang Q, Berkemeier LR, et al. Targeted disruption of the melanocortin-4 receptor results in obesity in mice. Cell. 1997; 88(1):131–41. PMID: 9019399
- 46. Xi D, Roizen J, Lai M, Gandhi N, Kublaoui B. Paraventricular nucleus Sim1 neuron ablation mediated obesity is resistant to high fat diet. PLoS One. 2013; 8(11):e81087. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0081087 PMID: 24260538