CKj



https:/doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfac066 Advance Access Publication Date: 5 March 2022 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk of outcomes in a Spanish population with chronic kidney disease

Roberto Alcázar¹, Carlos Escobar [©]², Beatriz Palacios³, Unai Aranda³, Luis Varela³, Margarita Capel³, Antoni Sicras⁴, Aram Sicras⁴, Antonio Hormigo⁵, Nicolás Manito⁶ and Manuel Botana⁷

¹University Hospital Infanta Leonor, Madrid, Spain, ²University Hospital La Paz, Madrid, Spain, ³AstraZeneca, Madrid, Spain, ⁴Health Economics and Outcomes Research, Atrys Health, Barcelona, Spain, ⁵Primary Care Center Salud Puerta Blanca, Malaga, Spain, ⁶Hospital de Bellvitge, Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain and ⁷Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain

Correspondence to: Carlos Escobar; E-mail: escobar_cervantes_carlos@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives. To assess mortality and cardiovascular and renal outcomes among patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) (primary objective), with a particular focus on heart failure (HF) risk following diagnosis of CKD (secondary objective) in Spain.

Methods. We conducted an observational study comprising cross-sectional and longitudinal retrospective analyses using secondary data from electronic health records. For the primary objective, adults with prevalent CKD [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 or \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m² with a urine albumin:creatinine ratio (UACR) \geq 30 mg/g at the index date (1 January 2017)] were included. For the secondary objective, adults with incident CKD in 2017 were enrolled. **Results.** In the prevalent population, 46 786 patients with CKD without HF [75.8 ± 14.4 years, eGFR 51.4 ± 10.1 mL/min/1.73 m²; 75.1% on renin–angiotensin system inhibitors (RASis)] and 8391 with CKD and HF (79.4 ± 10.9 years, eGFR 46.4 ± 9.8 mL/min/1.73 m²) were included. In the prevalent population, the risk of all-cause death {hazard ratio [HR] 1.107 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.064–1.153]}, HF hospitalization [HR 1.439 (95% CI 1.387–1.493)] and UACR progression [HR 1.323 (95% CI 1.182–1.481)] was greater in those patients with CKD and HF versus CKD only. For the incident population, 1594 patients with CKD without HF and 727 with CKD and HF were included. Within 24 months from the CKD diagnosis (with/without HF at baseline), 6.5% of patients developed their first HF

hospitalization. Although 60.7% were taking RASis, only 3.4% were at maximal doses and among diabetics, 1.3% were taking sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors.

Conclusions. The presence of HF among CKD patients markedly increases the risk of outcomes. CKD patients have a high risk of HF, which could be partially related to insufficient treatment.

Keywords: cardiovascular, chronic kidney disease, death, renal, SGLT2 inhibitors

Received: 13.10.2021; Editorial decision: 27.1.2022

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is very common in clinical practice, accounting for ~850 million persons with this condition around the world [1, 2]. Importantly, CKD substantially increases the risk of cardiovascular and all-cause death as well as the risk of developing cardiovascular and renal complications, including heart failure (HF), ischaemic heart disease and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [2, 3]. In fact, CKD is expected to become the second leading cause of death before the end of the century in Spain [4]. Of note, the risk of adverse outcomes increases with renal function impairment and the presence of albuminuria progress [5]. In addition, CKD is associated with substantial healthcare costs, with cardiovascular hospitalizations being the main determinant [6, 7].

Although it is expected that the prevalence of CKD will rise in the coming years due to the ageing of the population as well as the increase in the prevalence of some related conditions such as hypertension, diabetes and chronic cardiovascular disease [1, 2], some authors have suggested that the use of cardioprotective and nephroprotective agents, such as renin-angiotensin system inhibitors (RASis) could decrease these numbers [8-11]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that the use of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors with proven renal benefits might change the prognosis of patients with CKD. In this line, the Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE) trial showed that in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), CKD and albuminuria, treatment with canagliflozin co-administered with RAS blockade significantly reduced the risk of kidney failure and cardiovascular events [12]. Furthermore, the Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse Outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease (DAPA-CKD) trial demonstrated that in patients with CKD and albuminuria, dapagliflozin reduced the risk of renal and cardiovascular complications, including cardiovascular mortality and HF hospitalizations, as well as the risk of all-cause mortality, regardless of the presence of T2D [13]. More recently, the Effect of Sotagliflozin on Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Moderate Renal Impairment Who Are at Cardiovascular Risk (SCORED) trial showed that in patients with T2D and CKD, with or without albuminuria, sotagliflozin reduced the risk of the composite of cardiovascular death, HF hospitalizations and urgent visits for HF compared with placebo, but with a higher risk of side effects [14].

As a result, it is important to determine the impact of cardiovascular and nephroprotective treatments on outcomes in patients with CKD. Unfortunately, current data are scarce [1– 3] and more information is needed. The objective of this study was to assess all-cause mortality and cardiovascular and renal outcomes among patients with CKD (primary objective), with a particular focus on the risk of developing HF following diagnosis of CKD (secondary objective) in Spain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an observational cohort study comprising crosssectional and longitudinal retrospective analyses using secondary data captured in electronic health records from seven Spanish regions, using the BIG-PAC database. The BIG-PAC database includes anonymized and dissociated data from 1.7 million non-selected persons from primary health centres and referral hospitals within the Spanish national health system, including information from routine practice, without requiring manual input. Previous studies have demonstrated the representativeness of the Spanish population's clinical profile and management in the BIG-PAC database [15]. The database was approved by the Investigation Ethics Committee of Consorci Sanitari from Terrassa. No informed consent was provided, as this was a secondary data study and data were fully anonymized and dissociated from patients.

For the primary objective of the study, patients ≥ 18 years of age and with prevalent CKD, defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m² by Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation or \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m² with a urine albumin:creatinine ratio (UACR) \geq 30 mg/g at the index date (1 January 2017) (prevalent population) were included. For the secondary objective, patients \geq 18 years of age with incident CKD, defined as at least one diagnosis of CKD or meeting the above eGFR or UACR definitions in 2017 were included (incident population). The index date was the first CKD diagnosis date in 2017. In both populations, at the index date, CKD stages were classified as follows: stage 1: eGFR \geq 90 mL/min/1.73 m² and UACR \geq 30 mg/g or International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) code N18.1; stage 2 (mild): eGFR 60-89 mL/min/1.73 m² and UACR \geq 30 mg/g or ICD-10 code N18.2; stage 3a (mild-moderate): eGFR 45-59 mL/min/1.73 m² or ICD-10 code N18.3; stage 3b (moderate-severe): eGFR 30-44 mL/min/1.73 m² or ICD-10 code N18.3; stage 4 (severe): eGFR 15-29 mL/min/1.73 m² or ICD-10 code N18.4; stage 5 (kidney failure): eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m² or ICD-10 code N18.1 or dialysis or ICD-10 code Z49 or Z99.2; CKD unspecified: no eGFR data available and ICD-10 code N18.9. In addition, to be included in the study, patients should have been registered on the database at least 12 months before the initiation of the study period, should have been included in the prescription programme (with verified records of daily doses, interdose intervals and treatment duration for each drug and at least two prescriptions during the follow-up period) and also should be traceable through certified regular follow-up (at least two recorded consultations in the electronic records). In contrast, patients who had moved or were located outside the included healthcare areas or were permanent elderly care home residents were excluded from the study.

In the prevalent population, all baseline characteristics, including biodemographic data (age, sex), physical examination data (blood pressure, body mass index), laboratory data [haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), eGFR, UACR, serum potassium, left ventricular ejection fraction], comorbidities [stroke, myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease, atrial fibrillation, HF with reduced ejection fraction (left ventricular ejection fraction ≤40%) and HF with preserved ejection fraction (left ventricular ejection fraction >40%), CKD stages, T2D, hyperkalaemia (defined as serum potassium >5.5 mmol/L)] and medications (antihypertensives, antidiabetic, statins, digoxin, anticoagulants and antiplatelets) were described in relation to the index date (1 January 2017). Diagnostic codes are presented in Supplementary data, Table S1. Maximal doses of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEis) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) were defined as 'maximal labelled daily doses'. In the incident population, all baseline characteristics, including comorbidities and medications were described in relation to the index date, defined as the first CKD diagnosis date in 2017. Data were presented according to diabetes status and CKD stage.

With regard to the primary objective (prevalent population), for all-cause mortality, patient follow-up began on the index date (1 January 2017) and continued until the death date or censored at the earliest of the end of enrolment for the latest available linked data or observational study period end date (i.e. 31 December 2019). For other outcomes, patient follow-up began on the index date and continued until the specified cardiorenal event (hospitalization for HF, CKD, or acute kidney failure or albuminuria transitions measured by UACR) occurred or was censored at the earliest of the end of enrolment for the latest available linked data, death date or observational study period end date (i.e. 31 December 2019). Within each event category, except for albuminuria transitions, patients were censored after the first event for the category but not for events from other categories. CKD outcome referred to the first hospitalization secondary to CKD after the index date. For albuminuria transitions, all events for each patient were considered without censoring. Therefore the same patient could be listed in several categories. For the secondary objective of the study, patients were followed for 24 months from CKD diagnosis (by diagnostic code or laboratory criteria) in 2017.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described by their absolute (n) and relative frequencies (%) and continuous variables by the mean and standard deviation. Event rates were calculated as the number of new cases from the index date in the 24 months of follow-up divided by the total time at risk of the event. Event rates were presented as events and events per 100 patient-years for allcause death, HF, CKD and albuminuria. Time to first hospitalization due to the event was analysed descriptively. Follow-up was censored at the observation period or study end unless an event occurred. The corresponding adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIa) to estimate the risk of outcomes in the prevalent population after 3 years of follow-up were calculated. In contrast, the pathway to developing HF in patients with CKD and its types was evaluated for 24 months from the index. Categorical variables were compared with the chi-squared test or the Fisher's exact test when appropriate. When two means were compared, the Student's t-test was used. A level of statistical significance of 0.05 was applied in all the statistical tests. The data were analysed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

For the prevalent population, a total of 46 786 patients with CKD without HF and 8391 patients with CKD and HF were included (Supplementary data, Figure S1). The clinical characteristics and treatments in the prevalent CKD population at baseline are described in Table 1. Among patients with CKD without HF, the mean age was 75.8 \pm 14.4 years, 52.4% were men, mean eGFR was 51.4 \pm 10.1 mL/min/1.73 m², 47.5% of patients had T2D and 12.5% had previous myocardial infarction. Regarding treatments, 89.5% were taking antihypertensive drugs, particularly RASis (75.1%). Among patients with T2D, the most common antidiabetic drugs prescribed were metformin (54.5%) and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitors (31.5%). When compared with the CKD population, those patients with CKD and HF were older and had higher systolic blood pressure, HbA1c and UACR. In contrast, eGFR was lower in this population. In addition, all comorbidities, such as T2D, stroke, myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease and atrial fibrillation, were more frequent in those patients with CKD and HF.

In Table 2 and in Supplementary data, Figures S2–S10, the risk of outcomes [all-cause mortality and first hospitalization for cardiorenal events (HF, CKD, acute kidney failure) or albuminuria transitions during follow-up] between CKD compared with CKD and HF patients in the prevalent population after 3 years of follow-up is reported. The risk of all-cause death, hospitalization for HF and UACR progression from 30-300 to >300 mg/g was greater in those patients with CKD and HF compared with CKD only patients.

For the incident population, a total of 2321 patients with CKD (1594 without HF and 727 patients with CKD and HF) were included (Supplementary data, Figure S1). Clinical characteristics and treatments in the incident CKD population at baseline are presented in Table 3 and Supplementary data, Table S2. Overall, the mean age was 64.9 \pm 23.4 years, 52.4% were men, mean eGFR was 60.6 \pm 20.5 mL/min/1.73 m^2 and mean UACR was 317.7 ± 168.4 mg/g. Although 60.7% of patients were taking RA-Sis, only 3.4% of them were taking them at maximal doses. The use of RASis according to UACR and blood pressure levels according to treatment with RASis are reported in Supplementary data, Tables S3 and S4, respectively. Among diabetics, only 2.8% of patients were taking SGLT2 inhibitors and 2.5% were taking glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists. Age increased as renal function worsened, as well as comorbidities. Within 24 months from CKD diagnosis (with/without HF at baseline), 6.5% of patients developed their first HF hospitalization, regardless of renal function (Figure 1 and Supplementary data, Table S5). Among patients with CKD without HF at the index date, all-cause death, myocardial infarction, hospitalization for HF and stroke rates were 4.9, 3.4, 3.2 and 2.9 per 100 patientyears, respectively, after 24 months of follow-up (Table 4). Among patients with CKD with HF, all-cause death, myocardial infarction, hospitalization for HF and stroke rates were 5.0, 3.6, 3.2 and 3.0 per 100 patient-years, respectively, after 24 months of follow-up (Table 5). In both patients with and without HF, outcomes rates increased and the time to the first event decreased as renal function worsened (Tables 4 and 5).

DISCUSSION

Our study showed in a large sample of patients representative of the Spanish population that both prevalent and incident patients with CKD are predominantly at stage 3, have many comorbidities and, with regard to cardio- and nephroprotective medications, there is much room for improvement. This undertreatment may consequently be translated into a higher risk of cardiovascular and renal complications.

Different studies performed in different clinical settings have reported the same clinical profile, with a high risk of developing cardiovascular complications [16–18]. Of note, our data were collected from the BIG-PAC database, which has been previously validated. In addition, data included in this database are representative of the Spanish population attended in primary health centres and referral hospitals within the Spanish national health system [15].

There is a bidirectional relationship between CKD and HF. The presence of one condition promotes the development of the other and vice versa [19]. Our study showed that patients with both conditions were older and had a worse clinical profile and poorer cardiovascular risk factor control rates, with more comorbidities, lower renal function and more albuminuria. As a result, these patients have a marked risk of developing outcomes during the follow-up. In fact, our data in the prevalent population showed that compared with CKD-only patients, the concomitance of both conditions substantially increased the risk of allcause death, HF hospitalizations and UACR progression. In addition, outcomes increased as renal function worsened. This was more evident when CKD and HF occurred concomitantly. As a

Table 1. Clinical characteristics and treatments in the prevalent CKD population at the index date

Characteristics	Only CKD [n = 46.786 (84.8%)]	HF and CKD [n = 8.391 (15.2%)]	P-value (HF and CKD versus CKD)
	Biodemographic	data	
Age (years)	75.8 ± 14.4	$\textbf{79.4} \pm \textbf{10.9}$	<0.001
Gender (male), n (%)	24 493 (52.4)	4 237 (50.5)	<0.001
	Physical examin	ation	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	131.3 ± 19.2	133.9 ± 20.5	<0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	84.6 ± 7.3	83.7 ± 6.9	<0.001
BMI (kg/m²)	28.2 ± 4.9	28.9 ± 5.2	<0.001
BMI >30 kg/m², n (%)	10 941 (23.4)	2013 (24.0)	0.332
	Laboratory da	ta	
HbA1c (%)	7.0 ± 1.9	7.7 ± 2.0	<0.001
<7%, n (%)	24 256 (51.8)	4256 (50.7)	0.177
7–<8%, n (%)	7733 (16.5)	1680 (20.0)	<0.001
8–<9%, n (%)	4056 (8.7)	867 (10.3)	<0.001
≥9%, n (%)	3439 (7.4)	769 (9.2)	<0.001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)	51.4 ± 10.1	46.4 ± 9.8	<0.001
UACR (mg/g)	329.1 ± 145.3	361.2 ± 148.5	<0.001
Median (25th–75th percentile)	276.4 (159.7–384.9)	280.0 (130.3-444.1)	
<30 mg/g (stage 1), n (%)	255 (0.5)	366 (4.4)	<0.001
30–300 mg/g (stage 2), n (%)	29 234 (62.5)	4077 (48.6)	<0.001
>300 mg/g (stage 3), n (%)	17 297 (37.0)	3948 (47.1)	< 0.001
Serum potassium (mmol/L)	5.2 ± 1.5	5.7 ± 1.6	< 0.001
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	_	43.4 ± 10.1	_
Comorbidities, n (%)			
CVD	14 578 (31.2)	5180 (61.7)	<0.001
Stroke	3480 (7.4)	1030 (12.3)	<0.001
Myocardial infarction	5861 (12.5)	2154 (25.7)	<0.001
PAD	2303 (4.9)	564 (6.7)	< 0.001
Atrial fibrillation	5630 (12.0)	2970 (35.4)	< 0.001
HF		8391 (100)	_
HFrEF	-	4465 (53.2)	_
HFDEF	-	3926 (46.8)	_
CKD	46 786 (100)	8391 (100)	0.908
Stage 1	1370 (2.9)	977 (11.6)	< 0.001
Stage 2	8403 (18.0)	1584 (18.9)	0 192
Stage 3a	15 578 (33 3)	1753 (20.9)	< 0.001
Stage 3b	12 266 (26.2)	1961 (23.4)	< 0.001
Stage 4	3389 (7.2)	1127 (13.4)	< 0.001
Stage 5	2433 (5.2)	296 (3.5)	<0.001
T2D	22 229 (47.5)	5034 (60.0)	< 0.001
Hyperkalaemia	15 252 (32.6)	3104 (37.0)	< 0.001
Medications n (%)	()		
CVD risk treatment	38 116 (81.5)	8391 (100)	-
Antihypertensives	41 869 (89.5)	7960 (94.9)	< 0.001
ACEi	15 754 (33.7)	2716 (32.4)	< 0.001
ARB	19 377 (41.4)	3548 (42.3)	0.246
ARNI	0	743 (8 9)	< 0.001
Beta-blocker	22 854 (48 8)	5998 (71 5)	<0.001
Loop divretic	22 499 (48 1)	5978 (71.2)	<0.001
Aldosterone antagonist	3133 (6 7)	2781 (33 1)	<0.001
Calcium channel blocker	2536 (5.4)	658 (7.8)	<0.001
Thiazide diuretic	15 018 (32 1)	3037 (36.2)	<0.001
Antidiabetics	17 456 (37 3)	3571 (42.6)	<0.001
Metformin	12 806 (27 4)	2021 (24.1)	<0.001
Sulfonylurea	2700 (21)	969 (11 5)	<0.001
DPP4 inhibitor	5025 (10.7)	962 (11 5)	0.285
SGIT2 inhibitor	1700 (3 6)	333 (4 0)	0.205
CLP-1 recentor agonist	1100 (3.0)	201 (2 G)	0.75
Motiglinidos	1401 (2.4)	221 (2.0) 275 (A E)	0.700
Thiazolidinodionoc	1401 (3.0)	2/2 (4.2) 27 /0 2)	<0.001
Acarbasa	00 (0 2)	27 (0.3)	0.302
Inculin	98 (U.Z)	20 (U.2) 1255 (15 0)	0.711
IIISUIIII	5194 (11.1)	1200 (15.0)	<0.001

Table 1. Continued.

	Only CKD	HF + CKD	
	(n = 46.786; 84.8%)	(n = 8.391; 15.2%)	$P_{\rm HF+CKD}$ vs cKD
Statins	20 714 (44.3)	5327 (63.5)	<0.001
Digoxin	378 (0.8)	524 (6.2)	<0.001
Warfarin/acenocoumarol ^a	4176 (8.9)	1887 (22.5)	<0.001
Low-dose aspirin	11 245 (24.0)	2518 (30.0)	<0.001
Receptor P2Y12 antagonist	3459 (7.4)	880 (10.5)	<0.001

Values presented as mean \pm standard deviation unless stated otherwise. ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI, angiotensin receptor and neprilysin inhibition; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; hyperkalaemia, serum potassium >5.5 mmol/L.

^aUse of direct oral anticoagulants was not collected.

Table 2. Risk of outcomes" between GKD versus GKD and HF battents in the prevalent population after 3 years (

Group	Endpoint	Follow-up (median, days)	Events, n	%	Event rates per 100 patient-years	HR ^b (CKD and HF versus CKD)	95% CI	P- value
CKD and HF	All-cause death	428	3132	37.3	17.1	1.107	1.064–1.153	<.001
CKD		506	10 701	22.9	10.1			
CKD and HF	Heart failure	447	3994	47.6	21.7	1.439	1.387–1.493	<.001
CKD		541	8293	17.7	7.1			
CKD and HF	CKD	545	2097	25.0	10.2	1.019	0.964-1.078	.505
CKD		408	4114	8.8	3.6			
CKD and HF	UACR	504	43	0.5	0.2	1.300	0.961-1.761	.089
	Progression:							
	<30 to							
	30–300 mg/g							
CKD		551	1865	4.0	1.6			
CKD and HF	UACR	490	1158	13.8	5.5	1.323	1.182–1.481	<.001
	Progression:							
	30–300							
	to >300 mg/g							
CKD		558	451	1.0	0.4			
CKD and HF	UACR	522	85	1.0	0.4	1.147	0.854-1.538	.363
	Regression: \geq 30							
	to <30 mg/g							
CKD		601	109	0.2	0.1			
CKD and HF	UACR	493	40	0.5	0.2	1.166	0.789-1.721	.441
	Regression: \geq 300							
	to <300 mg/g							
CKD		552	80	0.2	0.1			
CKD and HF	Acute kidney	592	164	2.0	0.7	1.082	0.784-1.493	.633
	failure (ICD-10							
	code N17)							
CKD		532	686	1.5	0.6			

^a All-cause mortality and first hospitalization for cardiorenal events (HF, CKD, acute kidney failure) or albuminuria transitions during follow-up.

^bHR was adjusted according to age, sex, eGFR and the number of associated clinical conditions.

result, a comprehensive therapeutic approach is warranted to reduce the risk of cardiovascular and renal complications in this population.

Unfortunately, our data also indicated that a substantial number of patients with CKD were not taking those drugs that have been shown to be cardio- and nephroprotective in the CKD population. For instance, ~25–40% of patients were not taking RASis and only a small proportion at maximal doses. This undertreatment was not justified by differences in UACR, renal function or blood pressure levels. In addition, among diabetics, the use of SGLT2 inhibitors was marginal. However, it should be taken into account that during the study period, treatment with SGLT2 inhibitors was not to be initiated to improve glycaemic

control in patients with an eGFR <60 mL/min and was to be discontinued at a GFR persistently <45 mL/min, and also that penetration was low since the completion of pivotal clinical trials was close to or after index date [19–21]. For years, RASis have been considered a cornerstone in the management of patients with CKD and are recommended by clinical practice guidelines for the prevention of cardiovascular and renal complications [22, 23]. In this regard, more efforts are needed to increase the proportion of patients taking RASis [24]. In addition, it is important to achieve the maximal tolerated doses of RASis, as their beneficial effects are greater at maximal doses compared with lower doses [25]. However, our study showed that despite the fact that hyperkalaemia was reported in only a small proportion of Table 3. Clinical characteristics and treatments in the incident CKD population at baseline in the overall population and according to T2D and HF status

		T2D status					
	Non-T2D [<i>n</i> = 1216	T2D [n = 1105	P-value (T2D versus no	Non-HF [<i>n</i> = 1594	HF [n = 727	P-value (HF	Total [N = 2321
Characteristics	(52.40%)]	(47.60%)]	T2D)	(68.7%)]	(31.3%)]	versus no HF)	(100%)]
Biodemographic data							
Age (years)	65.0 ± 23.4	64.8 ± 23.5	0.837	63.8 ± 23.5	$\textbf{66.3} \pm \textbf{23.2}$	< 0.001	64.9 ± 23.4
Gender (male), n (%)	614 (50.5)	603 (54.6)	< 0.001	832 (52.2)	385 (53.0)	0.720	1217 (52.4)
Physical examination							
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	129.8 ± 20.7	131.8 ± 19.3	0.016	129.7 ± 20.1	131.2 ± 19.6	0.093	130.8 ± 19.7
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	83.9 ± 7	84.5 ± 7	0.039	84.2 ± 7.0	84.6 ± 7.1	0.204	84.3 ± 7
BMI (kg/m²)	29 ± 5	27.7 ± 5.1	0.001	27.8 ± 5.2	29.7 ± 5.2	< 0.001	28.7 ± 5
Laboratory data							
HbA1c (%)	6.2 ± 1.8	7.7 ± 1.8	< 0.001	6.8 ± 1.7	7.0 ± 1.7	0.001	6.9 ± 1.7
<7%, n (%)	834 (68.6)	401 (36.3)	< 0.001	852 (53.5)	383 (52.7)	0.720	1235 (53.2)
7–<8%, n (%)	10 (0.8)	344 (31.1)	< 0.001	239 (15.0)	115 (15.8)	0.619	354 (15.3)
8–<9%, n (%)	3 (0.2)	215 (19.5)	< 0.001	140 (8.8)	78 (10.7)	0146	218 (9.4)
≥9%, n (%)	2 (0.2)	145 (13.1)	< 0.001	97 (6.1)	50 (6.9)	0.437	147 (6.3)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	60.7 ± 18.9	60.5 ± 20.2	0.436				60.6 ± 20.5
UACR (mg/g)	298.1 ± 155	381.9 ± 198.6	< 0.001	305.4 ± 169.2	330.1 ± 167.5	0.001	317.7 ± 168.4
UACR (mg/g), median (IQR)	261	295.3					255.6
	(148.3–372.4)	(142.3–438.6)					(153.8–376.5)
<30 mg/g (stage 1), n (%)	7 (0.6)	2 (0.2)	0.971	6 (0.4)	3 (0.4)	0.999	9 (0.4)
30–300 mg/g (stage 2), n (%)	838 (68.9)	585 (52.9)	< 0.001	976 (58.3)	447 (61.5)	0.146	1423 (61.3)
>300 mg/g (stage 3), n (%)	371 (30.5)	518 (46.9)	< 0.001	612 (35.7)	277 (38.1)	0.265	889 (38.3)
Serum potassium, (mmol/L)	5.2 ± 1.5	5.3 ± 1.5	0.532	4.8 ± 1.7	5.3 ± 1.8	<0.001	5.0 ± 1.4
Left ventricular ejection fraction	44.1 ± 12.8	42.6 ± 12.4		-	43.5 ± 12.2	-	43.5 ± 12.2
(%)							
Comorbidities, n (%)							
CVD	159 (13.1)	220 (19.9)	< 0.001	178 (11.2)	201 (27.6)	< 0.001	379 (16.3)
Stroke	95 (7.8)	111 (10.0)	0.054	91 (5.7)	115 (15.8)	<0.001	206 (8.9)
Myocardial infarction	122 (10.0)	162 (14.7)	< 0.001	136 (8.5)	148 (20.4)	< 0.001	284 (12.2)
PAD	43 (3.5)	56 (5.1)	0.081	64 (4.0)	35 (4.8)	0.376	99 (4.3)
Atrial Fibrillation	164 (13.5)	151 (13.7)	0.97	151 (9.5)	164 (22.6)	<0.001	315 (13.6)
Heart failure	354 (29.1)	3/3 (33.8)	< 0.001	0	/2/ (100)	-	/2/ (31.2)
HFrEF	180 (14.8)	192 (17.4)	< 0.001	0	372 (51.2)	-	372 (16.0)
HFPEF	174 (14.3)	181 (16.4)	0.23	0	355 (48.8)	-	355 (15.3)
T2D	48 (3.9)	1105 (100)	-	783 (49.1)	370 (50.9)	0.421	1153 (49.7)
Hyperkalaemia	318 (26.6)	299 (27.1)	0.786	420 (26.3)	197 (27.1)	0.686	617 (26.6)
(potassium >5.5 mmol/L)							
Medications, n (%)	705 (50 6)	007 (74 0)	0.001	070 (64 0)	570 (70 C)	0.001	4550 (66.0)
Antinypertensive medication	725 (59.6)	827 (74.8)	< 0.001	9/3 (61.0)	5/9 (/9.6)	< 0.001	1552 (66.9)
RASI	633 (52.1)	775 (70.1)	< 0.001	920 (57.7)	488 (67.1)	< 0.001	1408 (60.7)
AGEI	311 (25.6)	294 (26.6)	0.309	383 (24.0)	222 (30.5)	0.002	605 (26.1)
AGEI at maximal dose	15 (1.2)	23 (2.1)	0.623	24(1.5)	14 (1.9)	0.367	38 (1.6)
ARB	347 (28.5)	516 (46.7)	< 0.001	564 (35.4)	299 (41.1)	0008	863 (37.2)
ARB at maximal dose	18 (1.5)	23 (2.1)	0.818	20 (1.0)	15 (2.1)	0.395	41 (1.8)
Direct repin inhibitor	49 (4.0)	07 (0.1)	0.265	77 (4.8)	39 (5.4)	0.538	116 (5.0)
	3 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	0.84	3 (0.2)	1 (0.1) 40 (F F)	0.586	4 (0.2)
	51 (4.2)	67 (6.1)	0.059	78 (4.9)	40 (5.5)	0.542	118 (5.1)
Diurotics	342 (28.1) 276 (20.0)	202 (32.9) 207 (25 0)	<0.001	400 (28.6)	247 (34.3) 280 (20 0)	0.001	/US (3U.4)
Thiorido diurotic	3/0 (3U.9)	377 (35.7) 220 (21 C)	< 0.001	484 (30.4)	207 (37.0) 164 (00.0)	0.001	//3 (33.3)
Loop divretia	237 (21.1) 211 (25 C)	237 (21.6)	0.769	332 (20.8) 422 (27.2)	104 (22.6) 242 (22.4)	0.224	490 (21.4)
Dotogojum anorina divertia	SII (23.0)	505 (55.U)	< 0.001	400 (27.2)	243 (33.4)	0.002	10E (E 4)
Colcium channel blocker	2/ (4./) 201 (24 0)	212 (20 2)	0.18	201 (D.C)	40 (0.3)	-0.001	120 (0.4)
Dibudropuridinos	201 (24.0)	212 (20.2) 262 (22 7)	<0.001	245 (24.1)	222 (21.2)	< 0.001	013 (20.4) 551 (22.7)
Non-dihydropyridines	209 (23.8) 22 (1.8)	26 (2.4)	0.982	30 (1.9)	18 (2.5)	0.348	48 (2.1)

Table 3. Continued.

		T2D status			HF status			
	Non T2D (n = 1216; 52.40%)	T2D (n = 1105; 47.60%)	PT2D vs no T2D	Non-HF (n = 1594; 68.7%)	HF (n = 727; 31.3%)	P _{HF vs no HF}	Total (n = 2321; 100%)	
Antidiabetics	11 (0.9)	957 (86.6)	<0.001	607 (38.1)	361 (49.7)	<0.001	968 (41.7)	
Metformin	0	602 (54.5)	-	385 (24.2)	217 (29.8)	0.004	602 (25.9)	
Sulfonylurea	0	108 (9.8)	-	69 (4.3)	39 (5.4)	0.243	108 (4.7)	
DPP4 inhibitor	0	348 (31.5)	-	225 (14.1)	123 (16.9)	0.079	348 (15.0)	
SGLT2 inhibitor	0	31 (2.8)	-	20 (1.3)	11 (1.5)	0.699	31 (1.3)	
GLP-1 receptor agonist	0	28 (2.5)	-	18 (1.1)	10 (1.4)	0.537	28 (1.2)	
Metiglinides	0	123 (11.1)	-	81 (5.1)	42 (5.8)	0.486	123 (5.3)	
Glitazones	0	14 (1.3)	-	9 (0.6)	5 (0.7)	0.778	14 (0.6)	
Acarbose	0	19 (1.7)	-	12 (0.8)	7 (1.0)	0.629	19 (0.8)	
Insulin	11 (0.9)	184 (16.7)	< 0.001	123 (7.7)	72 (9.9)	0.076	195 (8.4)	
Statins	506 (41.6)	491 (44.4)	< 0.001	625 (39.2)	372 (512)	< 0.001	997 (43.0)	
Warfarin/acenocoumarolª	131 (10.8)	121 (11.0)	0.992	161 (10.1)	91 (12.5)	0.085	252 (10.9)	
Low-dose aspirin	237 (19.5)	274 (24.8)	< 0.001	334 (21.0)	177 (24.3)	0.075	511 (22.0)	
Receptor P2Y12 antagonist	45 (3.7)	75 (6.8)	< 0.001	76 (4.8)	44 (6.1)	0.191	120 (5.2)	

Values presented as mean \pm standard deviation unless stated otherwise. ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI, angiotensin receptor and neprilysin inhibition; BMI, body mass index; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; hyperkalaemia, serum potassium >5.5 mmol/L.

^aUse of direct oral anticoagulants was not collected



FIGURE 1: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for patients with/without HF at baseline who developed first HF hospitalization within 24 months from CKD diagnosis.

patients, regardless of CKD stage, the great majority of patients were not taking RASis at maximal doses, leading to inappropriate use of these drugs and consequently to a higher risk of developing cardiovascular and renal complications. Moreover, the use of drugs that reduce potassium levels, such as patiromer and sodium zirconium cyclosilicate, could allow optimizing the use of RASis [26, 27].

In our study, after only 2 years from CKD diagnosis, 6.5% of patients developed HF. In addition, in the incident population, the risk of myocardial infarction and HF was equally high in patients with CKD. This means that the aim of the therapeutic approach in patients with CKD should not be limited to the prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular outcomes, but also HF and renal complications [22, 23]. Similarly, this has been observed in previous studies [28]. For instance, in a DAPA-CKD-like cohort of real-life patients, the number of adverse renal and cardiovascular outcomes was substantial [28]. In the DAPA-CKD trial, treatment with dapagliflozin was associated with significant reductions in the risk of major adverse kidney and cardiovascular events, including HF hospitalizations, as well as all-cause mortality in both diabetic and non-diabetic CKD patients. In addition, these beneficial effects were consistent across all eGFR

Table 4. Event rates per 100 patient-years for CKD patients diagnosed in 2017 without HF at baseline and followed for 24 months

Events	Stage 1 (n = 95)	Stage 2 (n = 335)	Stage 3a (n = 522)	Stage 3b (n = 402)	Stage 4 (n = 116)	Stage 5 (n = 49)	Unspecified $(n = 75)$	Total CKD (N = 1594)
All-cause death, n (event rate)	7 (3.9)	26 (4.2)	44 (4.5)	36 (4.9)	11 (5.3)	5 (5.7)	6 (4.0)	135 (4.9)
Time to first event (days)	516.0	482.2	450.7	405.6	369.1	343.3	484.2	429.2
Heart failure, n (event rate)	5 (2.6)	18 (2.8)	29 (3.0)	24 (3.3)	8 (3.5)	3 (3.8)	4 (2.6)	91 (3.2)
Time to first event (days)	317.5	288.7	269.8	248.2	223.4	203.3	309.1	287.0
CKD, n (event rate)	3 (1.8)	12 (2.0)	20 (2.1)	18 (2.3)	5 (2.5)	3 (2.8)	3 (2.0)	64 (2.6)
Time to first event (days)	479.1	447.7	426.4	396.6	356.9	324.8	463.4	394.8
Myocardial infarction, <i>n</i> (event rate)	5 (2.6)	17 (2.8)	28 (2.9)	23 (3.2)	7 (3.4)	3 (3.7)	4 (2.6)	87 (3.4)
Time to first event (days)	423.3	403.1	366.5	337.1	316.9	288.4	396.7	336.2
Stroke, n (event rate)	4 (2.1)	14 (2.3)	24 (2.5)	21 (2.8)	7 (3.0)	3 (3.2)	3 (2.1)	76 (2.9)
Time to first event (days)	390.7	368.6	338.2	314.5	292.5	272.0	364.5	363.6
PAD, n (event rate)	2 (1.1)	8 (1.2)	13 (1.3)	10 (1.4)	3 (1.6)	2 (1.7)	2 (1.2)	40 (1.3)
Time to first event (days)	359.9	342.8	320.4	304.3	277.0	249.3	358.4	299.4
Albuminuria (UACR \geq 30 mg/g), n	2 (1.0)	7 (1.1)	11 (1.2)	10 (1.3)	3 (1.4)	1 (1.4)	1 (1.1)	35 (1.3)
(event rate)	521.5	492.0	455.6	410.0	389.5	350.6	496.6	429.8
Time to first event (days)								
Albuminuria (UACR \geq 300 mg/g), n	1 (0.5)	3 (0.5)	6 (0.6)	5 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.5)	18 (0.7)
(event rate)	562.2	525.4	491.0	446.8	411.1	386.4	516.6	446.4
Time to first event (days)								

PAD, peripheral artery disease.

Table 5. Event rates per 100 patient-years for CKD patients diagnosed in 2017 with HF at baseline and followed for 24 months

Events	Stage 1 (n = 23)	Stage 2 (n = 98)	Stage 3a (n = 242)	Stage 3b (n = 194)	Stage 4 (n = 66)	Stage 5 (n = 36)	Unspecified (n = 68)	Total CKD (N = 727)	P-value (Total CKD + HF versus total CKD without HF)	Total CKD with and without HF in 2017 (N = 2321)
All-cause death, <i>n</i> (event	2 (4.2)	9 (4.7)	22 (4.9)	18 (5.2)	6 (5.4)	4 (5.8)	5 (4.3)	66 (5.0)	0.889	201 (5.0)
rate) Timo to first ovent (davs)	500.5	4//.4	428.2	3/3.2	339.6	315.8	440.6	424.9	0.647	423.6
Heart failure <i>n</i> (event rate)	1 (2 6)	5 (3 0)	14 (3 2)	13 (3.6)	5 (3 9)	3 (4 1)	3 (2 7)	44 (3 2)	0 999	135 (3.2)
Time to first event (days)	304.8	282.9	256.3	223.4	221.1	189.0	296.8	278.4	0.078	272.9
CKD, n (event rate)	1 (1.9)	4 (2.1)	9 (2.1)	9 (2.5)	3 (2.7)	2 (2.9)	3 (2.1)	31 (2.7)	0.772	95 (2.5)
Time to first event (days)	445.5	411.9	396.6	384.7	353.3	308.5	421.7	386.9	0.356	396.3
Myocardial infarction, n	1 (2.7)	5 (2.9)	14 (3.0)	12 (3.4)	4 (3.7)	3 (4.0)	3 (2.6)	42 (3.6)	0.671	129 (3.6)
(event rate)	419.0	383.0	362.8	330.4	307.4	268.2	380.8	319.4	0.013	327.6
Time to first event (days)										
Stroke, n (event rate)	1 (2.1)	4 (2.5)	12 (2.7)	10 (2.9)	4 (3.3)	2 (3.2)	3 (2.1)	36 (3.0)	0.858	112 (3.0)
Time to first event (days)	351.6	335.4	304.3	289.3	266.2	266.6	360.8	341.8	0.060	374.6
PAD, n (event rate)	1 (1.2)	2 (1.2)	7 (1.4)	5 (1.5)	2 (1.7)	1 (1.8)	2 (1.3)	20 (1.4)	0.893	60 (1.3)
Time to first event (days)	338.3	325.6	307.5	289.1	257.6	236.8	329.7	272.5	< 0.001	285.2
Albuminuria	0 (1.0)	2 (1.1)	5 (1.2)	5 (1.5)	2 (1.4)	1 (1.5)	1 (1.1)	16 (1.3)	0.894	51 (1.3)
(UACR ≥30 mg/g), n (event rate)	479.8	457.6	423.7	405.9	373.9	326.0	466.8	395.4	<0.001	423.2
Time to first event (days)										
Albuminuria	0	1 (0.6)	3 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	1 (0.7)	0	1 (0.5)	8 (0.7)	0.999	26 (0.7)
(UACR ≥300 mg/g), n (event rate)	528.5	488.6	456.7	433.4	370.0	371.0	480.4	401.8	<0.001	449.8
Time to first event (days)										

PAD, peripheral artery disease.

and UACR stages [29–32]. Moreover, in the Dapagliflozin Effect on Cardiovascular Events–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 58 trial, dapagliflozin not only prevented and reduced the progression of renal disease among patients with T2D at high risk for cardiovascular events, but also HF hospitalizations in patients with normal and impaired renal function [19, 33]. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis in patients with T2D and CKD showed that treatment with SGLT2 inhibitors was associated with a decreased risk of cardiovascular and renal events [34], indicating the protective effects of SGLT2 inhibitors across the cardiorenal continuum, even regardless of T2D status [35]. In fact, a recent study has shown that following the 2020 Kidney Disease:

Improving Global Outcomes guidelines, more than one-third of patients with T2D in the USA should be treated with SGLT2 inhibitors, as all patients with CKD would obtain a cardiovascular benefit with such drugs [36]. Unfortunately, in our study, the use of SGLT2 inhibitors was marginal in patients with T2D, even in those patients with CKD and HF. As a result, greater use of these types of drugs would be desirable to reduce the cardiovascular and renal burden in patients with CKD.

Limitations

Due to the design of the study (observational and retrospective cohort study), without a control group, only indirect causality can be suggested that should be confirmed in specific studies. As this was a retrospective study, taking data from secondary healthcare resources, some relevant variables, such as UACR, could not be reported in all patients, leading to an underdiagnosis of CKD. However, the high number of patients included, with prevalent and incident CKD, as well as the robustness of the data, may provide an accurate picture of the current management and cardiovascular/renal risk in the Spanish population with CKD. On the other hand, although the ICD-10 code N-17 may underestimate AKI, this code was used, as it is widely used in electronic health records in Spain.

CONCLUSIONS

In Spain, patients with CKD are predominantly at stage 3 and have many comorbidities and there is a marked underuse of cardio- and nephroprotective medications. Patients with CKD have a substantial risk of developing HF, as well as atherosclerotic cardiovascular outcomes, renal progression disease and all-cause mortality. Therefore it is expected that greater use of guideline-recommended therapy could translate into a reduction of cardiovascular and renal burden in patients with CKD.

FUNDING

This study was fully funded by AstraZeneca.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at CKJ online.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Jager KJ, Kovesdy C, Langham R et al. A single number for advocacy and communication-worldwide more than 850 million individuals have kidney diseases. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2019; 34: 1803–1805
- Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL et al. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet 2017; 389: 1238–1252
- Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. Lancet 2013; 382: 260–272
- Ortiz A, Sanchez-Niño MD, Crespo-Barrio M et al. The Spanish Society of Nephrology (SENEFRO) commentary to the Spain GBD 2016 report: keeping chronic kidney disease out of sight of health authorities will only magnify the problem. Nefrologia (Engl Ed) 2019; 39: 29–34

- Darlington O, Dickerson C, Evans M et al. Costs and healthcare resource use associated with risk of cardiovascular morbidity in patients with chronic kidney disease: evidence from a systematic literature review. Adv Ther 2021; 38: 994– 1010
- Lim GJ, Liu YL, Low S et al. Medical costs associated with severity of chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singap 2020; 49: 731–741
- Escobar C, Palacios B, Aranda U et al. Costs and healthcare utilisation of patients with chronic kidney disease in Spain. BMC Health Serv Res 2021; 21: 536
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Diabetes Work Group. KDIGO 2020 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int 2020; 98(4 Suppl): S1–S115
- 9. Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Gherardi G *et al*. Renoprotective properties of ACE-inhibition in non-diabetic nephropathies with non-nephrotic proteinuria. *Lancet* 1999; 354: 359–364
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 851–860
- Escobar C, Aranda U, Palacios B et al. Epidemiology, clinical profile, management, and two-year risk complications among patients with chronic kidney disease in Spain (Engl Ed). Nefrologia 2021; doi: 10.1016/j.nefro.2021.03.006
- Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2019; 380: 2295–2306
- Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 1436–1446
- Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Pitt B et al. Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 129–139
- Sicras-Mainar A, Sicras-Navarro A, Palacios B et al. Epidemiology and treatment of heart failure in Spain: the HF-PATHWAYS study. Rev Esp Cardiol 2022; 75: 31–38
- Simal F, Martín Escudero JC, Bellido J et al. [Prevalence of mild to moderate chronic kidney disease in the general population of Spain. Hortega study]. Nefrologia 2004; 24: 329–332
- Otero A, de Francisco A, Gayoso P et al. Prevalence of chronic renal disease in Spain: results of the EPIRCE study. Nefrologia 2010; 30: 78–86
- Gorostidi M, Sánchez-Martínez M, Ruilope LM et al. Chronic kidney disease in Spain: prevalence and impact of accumulation of cardiovascular risk factors. Nefrologia 2018; 38: 606–615
- Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP et al. DECLARE–TIMI 58 investigators. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019; 380: 347–357
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 2117–2128
- Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW et al. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 644–657
- 22. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016; 18: 891–975

- 23. de Boer IH, Caramori ML, Chan JCN et al. Executive summary of the 2020 KDIGO Diabetes Management in CKD Guideline: evidence-based advances in monitoring and treatment. *Kidney Int* 2020; 98: 839–848
- 24. Epstein M, Reaven NL, Funk SE et al. Evaluation of the treatment gap between clinical guidelines and the utilization of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors. *Am J Manag Care* 2015; 21(Suppl 11): S212–S220
- Blacklock CL, Hirst JA, Taylor KS et al. Evidence for a dose effect of renin-angiotensin system inhibition on progression of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabet Med 2011; 28: 1182–1187
- 26. Palmer BF, Carrero JJ, Clegg DJ *et al*. Clinical management of hyperkalemia. Mayo Clin Proc 2021; 96: 744–762
- 27. Takkar C, Nassar T, Qunibi W. An evaluation of sodium zirconium cyclosilicate as a treatment option for hyperkalemia. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2021; 22: 19–28
- Olufade T, Lamerato L, Sánchez JJG et al. Clinical outcomes and healthcare resource utilization in a real-world population reflecting the DAPA-CKD trial participants. Adv Ther 2021; 38: 1352–1363
- 29. Wheeler DC, Stefánsson BV, Jongs N et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on major adverse kidney and cardiovascular events in patients with diabetic and non-diabetic chronic kidney disease: a prespecified analysis from the DAPA-CKD trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021; 9: 22–31
- 30. McMurray JJV, Wheeler DC, Stefánsson BV et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on clinical outcomes in patients with chronic

kidney disease, with and without cardiovascular disease. Circulation 2021; 143: 438–448

- Heerspink HJL, Sjöström CD, Jongs N et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease: a pre-specified analysis from the DAPA-CKD randomized controlled trial. Eur Heart J 2021; 42: 1216–1227
- 32. Zelniker TA, Raz I, Mosenzon O et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on cardiovascular outcomes according to baseline kidney function and albuminuria status in patients with type 2 diabetes: a prespecified secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Cardiol 2021; 6: 801–810
- Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Cahn A et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on development and progression of kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: an analysis from the DECLARE-TIMI 58 randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2019; 7: 606–617
- 34. McGuire DK, Shih WJ, Cosentino F et al. Association of SGLT2 inhibitors with cardiovascular and kidney outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. JAMA Cardiol 2021; 6: 148–158
- 35. Fontes-Carvalho R, Santos-Ferreira D, Raz I et al. Protective effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors across the cardiorenal continuum: two faces of the same coin. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2021; zwab034; doi: 10.1093/eurjpc/zwab034
- 36. Ciardullo S, Trevisan R, Perseghin G. Sodium-glucose transporter 2 inhibitors for renal and cardiovascular protection in US adults with type 2 diabetes: impact of the 2020 KDIGO clinical practice guidelines. Pharmacol Res 2021; 166: 105530