ELSEVIER



## Global Epidemiology



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/global-epidemiology

## Reliability of a short diet and vitamin supplement questionnaire for retrospective collection of maternal nutrient intake

Rebecca J. Schmidt<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Amanda J. Goodrich<sup>a</sup>, Lauren Granillo<sup>c</sup>, Yunru Huang<sup>c</sup>, Paula Krakowiak<sup>a</sup>, Adrianne Widaman<sup>d</sup>, J. Erin Dienes<sup>e</sup>, Deborah H. Bennett<sup>a</sup>, Cheryl K. Walker<sup>b,f</sup>, Daniel J. Tancredi<sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Davis, CA, United States of America

<sup>b</sup> MIND Institute, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA, United States of America

<sup>c</sup> Graduate Group in Epidemiology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, United States of America

<sup>d</sup> Graduate Group in Nutritional Biology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, United States of America

<sup>e</sup> Department of Statistics, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, United States of America

<sup>f</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Davis, CA, United States of America

<sup>8</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA, United States of America

ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords: Nutrients/diet/vitamins Pregnancy/pregnant women Surveys and questionnaires Autism/autistic disorder Reliability

## ABSTRACT

Background: Gestational nutrition can protect against adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes.

*Objectives:* We developed a short tool for collecting maternal nutritional intake during pregnancy to facilitate research in this area and compared its retrospective use to prospectively-collected food frequency questionnaires (FFQ).

*Methods*: Maternal nutritional intake was retrospectively assessed using three versions (full interview, full selfadministered online, and shortened interview) of the Early Life Exposure Assessment Tool (ELEAT) among participants of the MARBLES pregnancy cohort study of younger siblings of autistic children. Retrospective responses were compared with responses to supplement questions and the validated 2005 Block FFQ prospectively collected in MARBLES during pregnancies 2–7 years prior. ELEAT nutrient values were calculated using reported food intake frequencies and nutrient values from the USDA nutrient database. Correlations between retrospectively- and prospectively-reported intake were evaluated using Kappa coefficients, Youden's J, and Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients (r<sub>s</sub>).

*Results:* MARBLES FFQ dietary intakes were compared among 54 women who completed the ELEAT full form including 12 online, and among 23 who completed the ELEAT short form. Correlations across most foods were fair to moderate. Most ELEAT quantified nutrient values were moderately correlated ( $r_s = 0.3-0.6$ ) with those on the Block FFQ. Supplement questions in both MARBLES and the ELEAT were completed by 114 women. Kappas were moderate for whether or not supplements were taken, but modest for timing. Correlations varied by version and child diagnosis or concerns, and were higher when mothers completed the ELEAT when their child was 4 years old or younger.

*Conclusions:* With recall up to several years, ELEAT dietary and supplement module responses were modestly to moderately reliable and produced nutrient values moderately correlated with prospectively-collected measures. The ELEAT dietary and vitamin supplements modules can be used to rank participants in terms of intake of several nutrients relevant for neurodevelopment.

## Background

Nutrition needs increase during pregnancy and are critical for brain development [1-3]. A link between maternal gestational nutrition and

prevention of neural tube defects is established [4,5], and evidence is accumulating for a role of gestational nutrition in the etiology of autism [6-14] and other neurodevelopmental disorders [8,15-20]. Prospectively collecting information on maternal supplement and dietary intake

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloepi.2024.100150

Received 31 July 2023; Received in revised form 29 May 2024; Accepted 12 June 2024 Available online 14 June 2024 2590-1133/© 2024 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Medical Sciences 1C, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616-8638, United States of America. *E-mail address:* rjschmidt@ucdavis.edu (R.J. Schmidt).

using gold standard tools that can quantify numerous macro- and micronutrients, including multiple 24-h dietary recalls [21] or validated food frequencies [22-24] can be burdensome for participants and research staff and often is infeasible for studies of autism and other relatively rare developmental conditions. In addition, few questionnaires are designed to target nutrients and time periods in the pre- and peri-natal periods relevant for neurodevelopmental outcomes [8,25], for example, folic acid intake specifically near the time of conception, which has been shown to be a critical window for neural tube defect prevention [26] and potentially relevant for autism [7,9]. Some validated and shorter nutritional screeners exist, but these each tend to only target a few specific foods or nutrients, such as fruit and vegetable intake, fat, fiber, added sugar, red and processed meat, dairy and calcium, and folate intake [27-31]. Other food frequencies have been designed for retrospective use after a couple of years [32], or use in pregnancy [33,34], but these tend to be burdensome and do not include foods sources of contaminants that could impact neurodevelopment. Although trade-offs need to be made between the length of a tool and the details needed for a valid, accurate estimate of intake, a new shorter tool for collection of maternal nutritional intake around and during pregnancy could facilitate research in this area.

## Objectives

We developed a tool to collect maternal dietary and supplement intake with a focus on nutritional factors and timing relevant to neurodevelopment and likely to influence autism risk. This was designed to be administered after pregnancy. We then assessed the tool's reliability in an elevated familial likelihood autism spectrum disorder (ASD) population, and examined whether the tool's reliability varied based on the length of time since pregnancy (child's age / length of recall) and by parental and clinical concerns regarding the child's neurodevelopmental status. We also investigated reliability by the parent's self-reported confidence in their retrospective responses.

#### Methods

## Questionnaire development

Maternal diet and supplement intake modules (Modules D and S, respectively) were constructed as part of the development of the Early Life Exposures Assessment Tool (ELEAT). The ELEAT was designed as a relatively short exposure assessment tool to be used in studies of autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders in a variety of study populations, with the ultimate goal of expanding research on modifiable risk factors by facilitating data collection and potential for pooled data analysis studies. Standardized and/or validated questions were included in the ELEAT when they were available; however, no short set of questions that assessed intake of supplements and foods relevant to nutrition and contaminants relevant to neurodevelopment specifically during the critical periods during/near gestation were found. Thus the ELEAT Modules D and S were developed for this purpose and evaluated for their reliability with retrospective use. The UC Davis Institutional Review Board has approved this study, and informed and written consent was obtained before data collection.

#### Food and supplement items

Candidate nutritional factors were selected based on a thorough literature review that identified nutrients either associated with risk for ASD or other neurodevelopmental disorders. These included folate and folic acid [7,9,35], iron [10,36], and omega-3 fatty acids [37–39]. Nutrients with increased demand during pregnancy and those likely to become depleted (primarily folate and iron, but also vitamin B-6 and vitamin B-12 [40] were also prioritized. Finally, the ability to quantify nutrients from food and vitamin/supplement sources was also considered, which eliminated zinc, vitamin A and iodine. Prioritized nutrients

included: folic acid and folate, iron, omega-3 fatty acids, vitamin B12, calcium, fiber, potassium, and choline. Foods and supplements that were the best sources of primary nutrients of interest, as determined primarily using data from the USDA nutrient database [41], were prioritized. Other foods were added to inform intake of USDA established food groups (fruits, vegetables, protein, dairy, grains, and sweets) and as indicators of healthy diets (whole grains) or unhealthy diets (red meat, fried foods). Consideration was given to whether accurate intake of each food, supplement, or nutrient was likely to be feasible using a short food frequency questionnaire. For example, though maternal fat intake is likely important for neurodevelopment, accurate quantification of fat consumption has been shown to be difficult using food frequency questionnaires [42]. Supplements, foods, and nutrients that were deemed to be of high demand in pregnancy, critical to brain development, and that could be measured using few questions were selected. When possible, items from standardized, validated, and freely available nutrition questionnaires, were modified to fit the context of the ELEAT. This included supplement questions from the Sure-QX [43] derived from PhenX: Measure #050501 and dietary items from the NCI Five-Factor Screener 2005 [30] and the NCI Mutli-Factor Screener 2000 [31] of the National Health Interview Survey. Because this questionnaire was part of the ELEAT which was collecting information on other environmental exposures, foods that are important sources of environmental contaminants, such as mercury and other heavy metals and persistent organic compounds in fish [44], perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) in popcorn [45,46], acrylamide, heterocyclic amines, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [47,48], aldehyde [49] and acrolein [50] in deep-fat fried foods, were also included. Documentation on the rational, source, and exposures relevant to each item is available on the ELEAT website: https://eleat.ucdavis.edu/.

#### Versions and administration methods

The full version of the questionnaire (Supplemental Material Module D) was administered by telephone interview by study staff and selfadministered through an online version in pilot work that included eligible participants of the Markers of Autism Risk in Babies: Learning Early Signs (MARBLES) ongoing pregnancy cohort study [51] that had collected similar information prospectively. MARBLES recruits and follows pregnant women who already have a child diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and thus have an elevated likelihood of having another child who develops ASD or other neurodevelopmental concerns [51,52]. In efforts to develop the most parsimonious FFO possible, a shortened version of the ELEAT FFQ was also created where foods within a food group were combined together. Rather than ask about separate types of fruits and vegetables, the entire food group was asked as an item, e.g., 'fruits' instead of 'apples', 'bananas', 'pears', etc. unless the food item was a main source of a priority nutrient (Supplemental Material 'Module D Short Version'). This version was administered by telephone interview. All versions of the ELEAT administered included an Instrument Rating module at the end that included questions that asked respondents how sure they were about their answers in each module (including the Diet and Vitamins/Supplements modules), ranging from 'Very Sure' to 'Very Unsure' (Supplemental Material 'Module I). These responses were used to stratify findings by the respondant's confidence in their responses.

## Timing

Because the critical period for ASD is likely to overlap with the critical periods of other neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., neural tube defects) based on findings from neuropathology and epidemiology studies [8,25], the ELEAT targeted the three months prior to conception, all of pregnancy, and for maternal exposures, the duration of breast-feeding or while feeding the child breast milk. Questions on whether supplements were taken were asked for each of these periods, and because intake tends to change during pregnancy, for each trimester of pregnancy. Because dietary patterns are relatively stable [53,54], and to

reduce respondent burden, the ELEAT only asked about maternal dietary intake during pregnancy, with the exception of a few select items that were sources of contaminants (e.g., fish).

## Frequency and dose

To reduce respondent burden, the ELEAT dietary questionnaire did not include questions about portion size and number of servings, but rather asked about 'how often' food items were consumed on average, and participants were given a list of frequencies ranging from never to 5 times or more per day. Similarly, supplement questions did not ask about dose taken, but asked about when (before, during, and after pregnancy) and how often (times per day, week, month) each supplement was taken. Timing for supplement use during pregnancy was further divided by months in the first trimester, and into second and third trimester.

## Quantification of ELEAT nutrient intake

Nutrient values were calculated for the ELEAT dietary module using reported frequency of intake and nutrient values for foods from the USDA nutrient database [41] obtained through Nutrition Data Systems for Research (NDSR) [55]. Over 146 macronutrient, micronutrients, bioactive components and food group servings (Supplemental Material 1) are calculated, but only nutrients and food groups of primary interest (as described above) are likely to be well-represented by foods included in the ELEAT. Detailed documentation on how each item is scored is available in Supplemental Materials 2–6. We evaluated a subset of 18 nutrients/groups for reliability/validity which included those of priority.

Supplement nutrients were also calculated using reported frequency and nutrient source values that would be found in generic supplements (Supplemental Material 7). Only source supplements with robust response rates were included for the nutrient calculation. This included prenatal vitamins, multivitamins, iron, calcium, folic acid, omega-3/fish oil/flax seed combined, vitamin B12, vitamin C, and vitamin D supplements. From these sources, 11 nutrients were calculated.

## Prospective MARBLES maternal nutrient assessments

Food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) are given to all mothers twice during pregnancy to provide a comprehensive history of her usual dietary and supplemental intake across the first half of pregnancy (gestational weeks 1–20), and the second half of pregnancy (gestational weeks 20–40) [51]. MARBLES uses the Block 2005 food frequency questionnaire (http://nutritionquest.com/assessment/list-of-ques tionnaires-and-screeners/) that was designed to estimate usual and customary intake of a wide array of nutrients and food groups. The approximately 110 food item questionnaire takes 30–40 min to complete. The food list was developed from NHANES 1999–2002 dietary recall data; the nutrient database was developed from the USDA Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (FNDDS), version 1.0. Individual portion size is asked for each food, and pictures are provided to enhance accuracy of quantification.

This Block FFQ was developed in a scientific and data-based way [56,57], and has been extensively studied and validated [22,23]. The full-length Block questionnaires (e.g. Block98, Block2005) have been shown to come quite close to the point estimates produced by multiple days of diet recalls and records, producing reasonable estimates of an individual's intake, for most individuals. Modified versions of the Block FFQ have also been validated for use during pregnancy [58].

Completed Block FFQ questionnaires are checked for quality and completeness, and quality index scores ranging from 0 to 1 were calculated for key nutrients relevant to pregnancy and development (folate and dietary folate equivalents, iron, vitamins A, B6, B12, C, and E, calcium, choline, omega-3 and omega 6 fatty acids, dietary fiber, fats, and protein) based on the completeness of the information on food items

that were major sources; a value of 1 represented complete data. FFQs then are sent to NutritionQuest to be scanned and nutrient information calculated. Dietary and nutrient data are returned to MARBLES investigators, along with individual respondent nutrient reports that summarize the participants' nutrient intake; these reports are sent out to participants whenever a batch of FFQs is analyzed (approximately annually) in order to provide nutrition education.

Environmental exposure questionnaires (EEQ) were also prospectively collected for MARBLES mothers and evaluated monthly intake of supplements, starting 6 months before pregnancy and through delivery. Since ELEAT focused on 3 months prior to pregnancy, only 3 months before pregnancy was used from the EEQ for this study in comparisons with the ELEAT supplemental questions/calculated nutrients. For each type of supplement, mothers were asked whether they took it, what dose/number of tablets they took, and how often they took it. The MARBLES EEQ supplemental questions have been published previously [11].

## Retrospective ELEAT maternal nutrient assessments

Retrospective assessments of maternal nutritional intakes during and around pregnancy were conducted using the ELEAT in a subset of participants from the MARBLES pregnancy cohort study of high-risk siblings of children with autism [51]. Mothers were eligible for the ELEAT subset if they were active MARBLES participants whose baby sibling was at least 2 years old. Retrospective responses were compared with responses to supplement intake questions and/or the previously validated 2005 Block food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) prospectively collected by MARBLES during a pregnancy at least 2 years previously. We also conducted stratified analyses by the child's age at the time the mother completed the ELEAT (equivalent to the time since pregnancy) dichotomized at the median age of 4 years old to evaluate the length of recall on reliability.

## Parental concerns

To assess recall bias or differential recall accuracy across the parent's perception of their child's health status, we evaluated correlations of maternal ELEAT responses with prospectivelycollected responses by whether the mother reported being worried about their child's health outcomes on the parent concerns form. When the child is 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months old, MARBLES mothers are asked to complete a 'Concerns' form assessing whether they have any of a list of concerns about their child's development or behavior (Supplemental Material 8). Parent responses were categorized into 'No concerns' or 'Any concerns' for analyses, using the Parent Concerns form that was completed closest to the date the mother completed the ELEAT if it was done prior, or if the closest parent concerns form was completed after the ELEAT, we checked whether the status of concerns/no concerns was different across forms, and if so, we chose the one reporting concerns.

## Child clinical best estimate diagnostic outcome

To assess recall bias or differential recall accuracy across child's health status, we evaluated correlation of maternal ELEAT responses by the child's clinical best estimate (CBE) outcome classification [51] made after 36-month assessments including the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule [59,60] and the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised [61], where a trained and reliable clinician classified the child as typically developing (TD), having ASD (DSM-5), or other developmental concerns (ODC), which included: DSM-5 Social (Pragmatic) Communication Disorder, broader autism phenotype, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder concerns, other externalizing behavior problems, anxiety or mood problems, learning difficulties/global developmental delay, speech-language problems, as defined previously [51]. The CBE outcome classification was used rather than the algorithmic outcome

classification used for research purposes [62,63] to represent a broader scope of clinical concerns that would have been communicated to parents, and potentially influencing their level of concern about their child's development.

## Statistical analysis

Correlations between retrospectively-assessed food and nutrient intakes and prospectively-reported intakes based on supplement questions and the Block FFQ were evaluated using Kappa coefficients and Youden's J index for categorical items (e.g., vitamins taken in a time period, yes or no), and Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients (rs) for continuous measures (e.g., servings, calculated nutrient values). Youden's J index was used because, unlike kappa, which treats the compared assessments as of equal validity, Youden's J statistic is an asymmetric measure of correlation that treats one of the compared assessments as more accurate, so we preferred it when comparing the retrospective ELEAT assessment to the prospective EEQ assessment. Youden's J combines together sensitivity and specificity into a single measure and is closely related to the area under the curve index (for the lower-valued binary assessment as predictor of the higher-valued binary assessment), which equals 0.5(J + 1) [64]. Asymptotic 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for Spearman correlations are based on Fisher's Z transformation. To create summary measures of correlation by module, timing, age, concerns, outcome, or confidence, we applied Fisher's Z transformation to the correlation coefficient (r<sub>s</sub>), averaged the z-transformed values, and then back-transformed the averaged correlation value [65]; to show central tendency, we also reported the first and third quartile correlation values. Sensitivity and specificity were also calculated. These results were further straitified, separately, by child's age at time of ELEAT (> 4 years or  $\leq$  4 years), parental concern of child's development based on Parent Concern form ('No concerns' or 'Any concerns'), child's CBE outcome (ASD, TD, or ODC), and maternal confidence in their responses ("Somewhat Sure" or "Very Sure").

Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were interpreted based on published categories: 1.0 Perfect, 0.8–0.99 Very Strong, 0.5–0.79 Strong, 0.3–0.49 Moderate, 0.1–0.29 Modest, <0.1 Weak, 0.0 None [66,67]. Kappa coefficients and Youden's J index were interpreted based on published categories for Kappa coefficients: 0.81–1.0 Almost Perfect, 0.61–0.8 Substantial, 0.41–0.6 Moderate, 0.21–0.4 Fair, 0.0–0.2 Slight, <0.0 None [68].

## Results

## ELEAT diet and supplement modules

The dietary module is comprised of 46 food items and the supplement module asks about 23 supplement items (Supplementary Materials 9-10). In addition, items on consumption of caffeinated beverages from the Lifestyle Module were included because of their nutrient contributions, including caffeine, calories, calcium, fat, and protein. Rationale for each of the selected foods and these nutrients is provided on our web page: www.ELEAT.ucdavis.edu. A total of 120 women completed the ELEAT survey, and the majority, roughly 80%, of the surveys completed were from the long form survey. The average age of the mother at the time of the ELEAT survey was 38 years ( $\pm$  4.8 years) (eTable 1). Mothers tended to be non-Hispanic White (59%) and Hispanic White (24%), born in California (65%), with at least some college education (91%). The majority of mothers owned their home (66%) and carried a private insurance (84%) at the time of birth. Nearly 70% of respondants felt "very sure" in their repsonses, while none of the responses noted feeling less that "somewhat sure." The average gestational age of the child was 6.6 months ( $\pm$  3.9 months) at the time of the first EEQ/FFQ and 13.5 months ( $\pm$  4.1 months) at the time of the second EEQ/FFQ. The average age of the child at the time of the ELEAT survey was 47 months ( $\pm$  14.1 months) (eTable 1). The majority of children were male (64%) and

typically developing (53%).

## Reliability of foods

MARBLES FFQ dietary intakes were compared among 54 women who completed the ELEAT long form, including 12 who completed it online, and among 23 who completed the ELEAT short form. For most items that were on both the long and short forms, correlation between the ELEAT and the FFQ was modest to strong (Table 1).

#### By version

Correlations across most individual food items and categories were moderate, ranging from modest to strong on both the long and short ELEAT modules (Table 1). Correlations for food items on both forms was highest for soda, eggs, and fruit (r<sub>s</sub> (95% CI): 0.60 (0.43,0.72), 0.57 (0.39,0.71), and 0.51 (0.31,0.66), respectively). On the long form, correlations were highest for tofu, dried beans, cold cereal, and rice (r<sub>s</sub> (95% CI): 0.71 (0.53,0.82), 0.68 (0.50,0.80), 0.57 (0.35,0.73), and 0.57 (0.35,0.73), respectively). Deep fat fried foods had weak correlation on the long form (r<sub>s</sub> (95% CI): 0.05 (-0.22, 0.32) (Table 1), but strong correation on the short form (r<sub>s</sub> (95% CI): 0.63 (0.27,0.82)) (Table 1). Average correlation across foods on the short form (summary r<sub>s</sub> = 0.45) was somewhat lower than across foods on the long version (summary r<sub>s</sub> = 0.52).

## By child age, parent concerns, and child clinical outcome

Correlations of individual foods and food groups differed somewhat by whether the child was greater or less than age 4 years at the time the mother completed the ELEAT, with higher correlations observed when the child was less than age 4, especially on the short form (eTable 2). Correlations for reported foods did not differ substantially by whether the mother had concerns about her child's development or behavior at the time she completed the ELEAT, compared to when she did not (eTable 3). There were some differences by the child's clinical classification at 36-months (eTable 4) with lower average correlations for mothers of children with other developmental concerns (summary  $r_s =$ 0.23) compared to mothers of children with ASD (summary  $r_s = 0.47$ ) and mothers of typically developing children (summary  $r_s = 0.45$ ). There were some differences by whether or not the mother was very sure of her responses (eTable 5) with lower average correlation for mothers who were somewhat sure or somewhat unsure of their answers (summary  $r_s = 0.39$ ) compared to mothers who were very sure of their answers (summary  $r_s = 0.48$ ).

## Correlations between quantified dietary nutrient values

#### By version

Quantified nutrient values from the ELEAT long form had modest to moderate correlatations ( $r_s = 0.24-0.44$ ; summary  $r_s$  (Q1, Q3) = 0.30 (0.26, 0.35)) with those quantified from the Block FFQ, and were moderately to very strongly correlated ( $r_s = 0.37-0.83$ ; summary  $r_s$  (Q1, Q3) = 0.56 (0.50, 0.58)) for nutrients from the online version (Table 2). More nutrients based on the short ELEAT module had only fair, weak or even inverse correlations with the FFQ; however primary nutrients of interest as well as niacin and magnesium displayed strong correlations: dietary folate equivalents,  $r_s = 0.73$  (CI: 0.44, 0.87); iron,  $r_s = 0.67$  (CI: 0.34, 0.84); fiber,  $r_s = 0.60$  (CI: 0.24, 0.81); niacin  $r_s = 0.80$  (CI: 0.56, 0.91); and  $r_s = 0.57$  (CI: 0.19, 0.79) summary  $r_s = 0.31$ ; Q1 0.10, Q3 0.55 (Table 2).

# By child age, parent concerns, child clinical outcome, and quality index score

Correlations between the nutrient values for the ELEAT (all versions combined) and the Block FFQ nutrients were on average slightly stronger for mothers of children 4 years old or younger (summary  $r_s = 0.31$ ) than for mothers of older children (summary  $r_s = 0.39$ ) (eTable 6).

Correlations between mean daily consumption of food items during pregnancy<sup>a</sup> collected retrospectively on the ELEAT long and short forms with the prospectively-collected Block Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ).

Category	Food	Ν	FFQ	ELEAT	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)	
			Median	Median		
			(Q1, Q3)	(Q1, Q3)		
ELEAT Food	Items/Groups o	n Both	Long and Short	t Forms		
Emit	Emit	74	0.82 (0.43,	1.47 (0.87,	0.47 (0.27,	
Ffult	FIUIt	74	1.25)	2.50)	0.63)	
	Fruit [2]	73	1.21 (0.70,	1.47 (0.87,	0.51 (0.31,	
			2.01)	2.50)	0.66)	
Juice	Juice	75	0.27 (0.12,	0.40 (0.20,	0.24 (0.01,	
	Green		0.55)	0.53)	0.44)	
Vegetables	Salad	75	0.13 (0.08,	0.73)	0.48 (0.28,	
	Juliu		0.03 (0.00.	0.07 (0.00.	0.36 (0.14.	
	Greens	74	0.07)	0.20)	0.54)	
	Dotatoos	76	0.08 (0.03,	0.20 (0.12,	0.48 (0.28,	
	Folaloes	70	0.13)	0.40)	0.63)	
	Peas <sup>b</sup>	76	0.08 (0.03,	0.03 (0.00,	0.28 (0.05,	
	Other		0.13)	0.07)	0.47)	
	Veggies	73	0.68 (0.43,	1.00 (0.47,	0.45 (0.24,	
	veggies		0.20 (0.11	0.47 (0.20	0.22(-0.01)	
Proteins	Poultry	76	0.28)	0.47)	0.42)	
	T: -1-		0.06 (0.00,	0.03 (0.00,	0.46 (0.26,	
	FISH	//	0.10)	0.07)	0.61)	
	Other	77	0.03 (0.00,	0.00 (0.00,	0.44 (0.23,	
	Seafood	//	0.03)	0.03)	0.60)	
	Red Meat	74	0.58 (0.39,	0.20 (0.20,	0.44 (0.23,	
			0.78)	0.47)	0.60)	
	Eggs	75	0.12 (0.07,	0.20 (0.20,	0.57 (0.39,	
			0.00 (0.00.	0.00 (0.00.	0.43 (0.23.	
	Diet Shakes	76	0.00)	0.00)	0.60)	
	Protein	75	0.00 (0.00,	0.00 (0.00,	0.37 (0.15,	
	Bars	/5	0.03)	0.00)	0.55)	
Snacks	Soda	76	0.08 (0.03,	0.07 (0.00,	0.60 (0.43,	
			0.47)	0.20)	0.72)	
	Sugary	75	0.06 (0.00,	0.00 (0.00,	0.24 (0.02,	
	DIIIKS		0.20)	0.07)	0.43 (0.36	
Summary r <sub>s</sub> (0	Q1, Q3)				0.48)	
ELEAT Long	Form Food Item	s/Gro	ups			
Dairy	Milk	53	0.73 (0.22,	0.20 (0.03,	0.39 (0.14,	
Duny	(original)	00	1.03)	1.00)	0.60)	
	Milk	52	0.73 (0.19,	0.20 (0.07,	0.54 (0.31,	
	(match)		1.03)	1.00)	0.71)	
	Cheese	53	0.47(0.27)	1.00)	0.65)	
			0.08 (0.03,	0.20 (0.20,	0.51 (0.28,	
	Yogurt	54	0.27)	0.47)	0.68)	
Grains	Hot Cereal	53	0.08 (0.03,	0.07 (0.03,	0.49 (0.25,	
Gruins	not dereal	00	0.13)	0.20)	0.67)	
	Cold Cereal	53	0.13 (0.08,	0.20 (0.07,	0.57 (0.35,	
			0.47)	0.47)	0.73)	
	Tortillas	53	0.08)	0.20 (0.07,	0.66)	
	<b>D</b> .	50	0.13 (0.08,	0.20 (0.20,	0.57 (0.35,	
	Rice	53	0.27)	0.47)	0.73)	
	Pasta	53	0.20 (0.12,	0.20 (0.20,	0.40 (0.14,	
	1 dota	00	0.30)	0.47)	0.60)	
	Breads	53	0.65 (0.42,	0.73 (0.47,	0.37 (0.10,	
			0.00)	0.20 (0.07	0.58) 0.52 (0.29	
Vegetable	Fries	54	0.13)	0.20 (0.07,	0.69)	
	Dried	50	0.12 (0.07,	0.07 (0.03,	0.68 (0.50,	
Legumes	Beans	53	0.22)	0.20)	0.80)	
	Nute	54	0.03 (0.03,	0.20 (0.03,	0.44 (0.19,	
	inuts	54	0.13)	0.47)	0.63)	
	Tofu	52	0.00 (0.00,	0.00 (0.00,	0.71 (0.53,	
			0.00)	0.00)	0.82)	
Snacks	Sweets	54	0.44 (0.34,	0.43 (0.27,	0.28 (0.01,	
	Chips/		0.08 (0.03	0.22 (0.10	0.43 (0.18	
	Popcorn	54	0.27)	0.40)	0.62)	

Table 1 (continued)

Category	Food	N	FFQ Median (Q1, Q3)	ELEAT Median (Q1, Q3)	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)
Summary r <sub>s</sub> (e	Deep Fried Q1, Q3)	54	0.17 (0.10, 0.25)	0.03 (0.00, 0.07)	0.05 (-0.22, 0.32) 0.52 (0.40, 0.54)
ELEAT Short	Form Food Iten	ns/Gro	ups		
Dairy	Dairy	23	1.28 (0.62, 1.87)	2.00 (1.00, 2.00)	0.41 (-0.01, 0.70)
Grains	Cold Cereal	23	0.27 (0.08, 0.73)	0.47 (0.20, 1.00)	0.73 (0.44, 0.88)
	Other	22	1.05 (0.63,	2.00 (1.00,	-0.10
	Grains	23	1.57)	2.00)	(-0.49, 0.33)
Speake	Envooto	22	0.53 (0.34,	0.47 (0.20,	0.37 (-0.07,
SHACKS	Sweets	22	0.63)	0.73)	0.68)
	Deep Fried	22	0.13 (0.08, 0.22)	0.07 (0.07, 0.20)	0.63 (0.27, 0.82)
Summary r <sub>s</sub> (	Q1, Q3)			0.45 (0.37, 0.6	3)

FFQ = Prospectively collected Block Food Frequency Questionnaire; ELEAT = Early Life Exposures Assessment Tool Questionnaire; SD = Standard Deviation;  $r_{\rm S}$  = Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient; CI = Confidence Interval; <sup>a</sup> For ELEAT, average number of times per day consumed, for Block FFQ, average number of servings per day; <sup>b</sup> FFQ question included green beans and peas while ELEAT questions only included peas;

<sup>c</sup> Includes seasonal fruit questions from the FFQ.

Correlations between the nutrient values for the ELEAT (all versions combined) and the Block FFQ nutrients were on average stronger for mothers who had concerns about their child's development or behavior at the time they completed the ELEAT (summary  $r_s = 0.43$ ) than for mothers who had no concerns (summary  $r_s = 0.33$ ) (eTables 7). When compared by the child's 36-month clinical classification, average nutrient correlations were on average lower for children with ASD or development (summary  $r_s = 0.42$ ) (eTable 8). Correlations between the nutrient values for the ELEAT (all versions combined) and the Block FFQ nutrients were similar when limited to those with a high quality index score, 0.95 or above (eTable 9).

## Reliability of information on vitamin and supplement intake

Vitamin and supplement questions in both MARBLES and the ELEAT were completed by 118 women. We evaluated reliability for vitamins/ supplements in questions that remained the same during the course of ELEAT survey revisions. The following supplements were assessed for reliability: prenatal vitamins, multivitamins, iron, folic acid, vitamin B12, vitamin C, vitamin D, calcium, and omega-3. The data were too sparse to compare vitamin B complex, vitamins B6, A, or E, zinc, or any herbal supplements. Overall, Kappas and Youden's J were fair to moderate for most supplements on whether or not they were taken anytime or in specific times during the index period (Table 3). Correlation across all vitamins/supplements for whether or not they were taken before or during pregnancy was moderate (summary J (Q1, Q3) = 0.39 (0.29, 0.47)) and was slightly higher for the period before pregnancy and second trimester than for other times (Table 3). Correlations tended to be lower for prenatal vitamins and multivitamins compared to nutrientspecific vitamin supplements, with the exception of vitamin B12, which was also low. The strongest correlation was observed for omega-3 + flaxseed (summary J (Q1, Q3) = 0.53 (0.52, 0.59)) and vitamin D (summary J (Q1, Q3) = 0.53 (0.45, 0.57)). Prenatal vitamin comparisons yielded fair correlation between the instruments (summary J (Q1, Q3) = 0.28 (0.23, 0.29)), with higher moderate correlation for the period before pregnancy (J (95% CI) = 0.46 (0.29, 0.63)). Responses to "did the prenatal vitamin contain iron?" showed only slight correlation (J = 0.08, 95%CI: -0.23, 0.38) with a composite Yes/No variable created using iron quantities from prenatal vitamins in the EEQs; a

Correlation of nutrients calculated from foods collected retrospectively on the lculated fi .i+h ELEAT lo prospect

#### Table 2 (continued)

Nutrient	N	ELEAT Median (Q1, O3)	FFQ Median (Q1, O3)	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)	Magnesium (m
ELEAT Long Form		<b>2</b> 0)	<b>4</b> 0)		Vitamin K (mc
Version					Omega-3
Energy (kcal)	42	1404.4 (1115.1, 1723.0) 60.7 (51.4	1658.9 (1360.7, 1956.3)	0.29 (-0.02, 0.55) 0.33 (0.03	Choline (mg)
Protein (g)	42	76.7)	64.0 (47.9, 78.9)	0.58)	
Fat (g)	42	64.8)	65.1 (51.8, 84.0)	0.59)	ELEAT Short F
Carbohydrates	42	175.9 (132.2, 230.5)	197.4 (159.8, 244.2)	0.24 (-0.07, 0.51)	Energy (kcal)
Calcium (mg)	42	787.3 (557.0, 936.2)	814.2 (621.7, 1055.2)	0.44 (0.16, 0.66)	Protein (g)
Iron (mg)	42	11.9 (8.7, 16.0)	12.4 (9.7, 15.8)	0.27 (-0.04, 0.53)	Fat (g)
Potassium (mg)	42	1793.6 (1571.6, 2694.8)	2346.5 (1688.8, 2790.2)	0.40 (0.10, 0.62)	Carbohydrates
Thiamin	42	1.3 (0.9, 1.7)	1.4 (1.1, 1.6)	0.25 (-0.06, 0.51)	Calcium (mg)
Niacin (mg)	42	17.3 (14.2, 20.9)	17.6 (12.7, 20.3)	0.24 (-0.07, 0.51)	Iron (mg)
Vitamin C (mg)	42	84.5 (71.9, 114.1)	94.6 (73.0, 136.2)	0.33 (0.02, 0.57)	Potassium (mg
Satur. Fatty Acids	42	18.3 (14.5, 25.8)	20.6 (17.1, 27.8)	0.29 (-0.01, 0.55)	Thiamin
Fiber (g)	42	14.8 (11.9, 18.9)	16.5 (11.7, 20.3)	0.31 (0.00, 0.56)	Niacin (mg)
DFE	42	467.6 (319.7, 721.1)	477.0 (340.4, 584.4)	0.26 (-0.05, 0.52)	Vitamin C (mg
Vitamin E (mg)	42	7.3 (5.8, 9.0)	7.2 (5.1, 8.5)	0.26 (-0.05, 0.52)	Satur. Fatty Ac (g)
Magnesium (mg)	42	232.5 (186.6, 271.5)	265.2 (195.9, 312.3)	0.36 (0.06, 0.59)	Fiber (g)
Vitamin K (mcg)	42	141.9 (99.8, 215.8)	120.0 (68.6, 188.2)	0.38 (0.09, 0.61)	DFE
Omega-3	42	1.1 (0.9, 1.7)	1.3 (0.9, 1.6)	0.31 (0.00, 0.55)	Vitamin E (mg
Choline (mg)	42	249.4 (218.9, 389.8)	252.3 (168.4, 313.5)	0.31 (0.00, 0.56)	Magnesium (m
			Summary <b>r</b> <sub>s</sub> (Q1 Q3):	0.30 (0.26, 0.35)	Vitamin K (mc
ELEAT Long Form C	Online \	Version			Omega-3
Energy (kcal)	12	1521.5 (1108.7, 2211.9)	1616.4 (1229.2, 1791.8)	0.55 (-0.06, 0.85)	Choline (mg)
Protein (g)	12	67.2 (52.4, 112.8)	59.3 (38.9, 72.4)	0.55 (-0.07, 0.85)	0101110 (118)
Fat (g)	12	56.8 (38.7, 108.1)	60.2 (36.3, 85.5)	0.46 (-0.17, 0.81)	EEO Dreamant
Carbohydrates	12	179.5 (134.6, 218.1)	198.3 (164.0, 226.0)	0.83 (0.47, 0.95)	Early Life Exposed
Calcium (mg)	12	715.9 (581.8, 1134.3)	847.6 (606.4, 1085.5)	0.55 (-0.07, 0.85)	$r_S = Spearman$
Iron (mg)	12	13.3 (9.0, 20.4)	11.8 (7.8, 14.6)	0.48 (-0.16, 0.82)	sizeable propo
Potassium (mg)	12	1985.7 (1483.4, 2612.1)	2141.3 (1538.2, 2642.3)	0.66 (0.10, 0.89)	response was
Thiamin	12	1.6 (1.1, 2.2)	1.3 (1.0, 1.6)	0.56 (-0.05, 0.85)	By child age, p
Niacin (mg)	12	22.5 (12.9, 26.0)	15.9 (13.1, 21.2)	0.57 (-0.03, 0.86)	confidence in t
Vitamin C (mg)	12	82.9 (53.6,	88.4 (70.4, 186.2)	0.37 (-0.27,	parent concer
Satur. Fatty Acids	10	21.2 (12.5,	100.2)	0.50 (-0.13,	classification,
(g)	12	41.3)	18.3 (11.1, 27.8)	0.83)	not conducted
Fiber (g)	12	13.2 (13.2, 20.8) 585 3 (240 5	16.1 (12.1, 21.3)	0.83) 0.56 ( 0.05	were too spars No signific
DFE	12	385.3 (340.5, 861.0)	452.0 (366.0, 561.5)	0.85)	observed by cl
Vitamin E (mg)	12	7.4 (5.0, 12.6)	7.2 (4.0, 8.7)	0.55 (-0.07, 0.85)	was similar ac

Nutrient	N	ELEAT Median (Q1,	FFQ Median (Q1,	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)
		Q3)	Q3)	
Magnesium (mg)	12	259.5 (229.8, 317.4)	261.6 (193.5, 352.2)	0.58 (-0.02, 0.86)
Vitamin K (mcg)	12	98.2 (74.5, 194.4)	137.9 (74.1, 167.4)	0.60 (0.01, 0.87)
Omega-3	12	1.0 (0.8, 1.7)	1.2 (0.6, 1.5)	0.61 (0.03, 0.87)
Choline (mg)	12	285.7 (196.2, 444.4)	222.0 (145.9, 288.5) Summary <b>r</b> s (Q1 Q3):	0.39 (-0.25, 0.78) 0.56 (0.50, 0.58)
ELEAT Short Form V	ersion			
Energy (kcal)	23	756.1 (627.2, 849.3) 48 5 (38 8	1349.0 (1092.3, 1960.1)	0.12 (-0.31, 0.51) 0.10 (-0.33
Protein (g)	23	59.7) 49.5 (35.9,	49.8 (37.0, 68.8)	0.49) -0.25
Fat (g)	23	59.4) 113.5 (86.8,	54.4 (40.5, 70.3) 189.6 (151.9,	(-0.60, 0.18) 0.18 (-0.26,
Carbohydrates	23	142.1) 738.3 (458.5,	260.3) 776.1 (595.6,	0.55) 0.24 (–0.19,
Calcium (mg)	23	874.0)	1074.5)	0.59) 0.67 (0.34,
Iron (mg)	23	13.0 (9.2, 17.5) 1004.7 (852.1,	10.5 (7.9, 14.7) 1946.9 (1712.7,	0.84) 0.41 (-0.01,
Potassium (mg)	23	1279.5)	2650.9)	0.70) 0.44 (0.02,
Thiamin	23	1.8 (1.2, 2.1) 16.4 (12.5,	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	0.72) 0.80 (0.56,
Niacin (mg)	23	21.2) 83.2 (55.8,	14.1 (10.5, 20.0) 94.3 (66.1,	0.91) 0.37 (–0.05,
Vitamin C (mg) Satur. Fatty Acids	23	112.2)	153.6)	0.68) -0.11
(g)	23	10.6 (8.6, 13.5)	15.2 (12.8, 22.2)	(-0.49, 0.32) 0.60 (0.24,
Fiber (g)	23	8.0 (6.5, 12.1) 611.1 (305.8,	14.3 (8.9, 18.6) 427.2 (338.0,	0.81) 0.73 (0.44,
DFE	23	869.5)	577.2)	0.87) 0.41 (-0.01,
Vitamin E (mg)	23	4.8 (3.0, 7.1) 108.0 (87.8,	5.7 (4.1, 7.8) 222.4 (170.4,	0.70) 0.57 (0.19,
Magnesium (mg)	23	168.2) 108.4 (83.6,	312.8) 73.3 (60.7,	0.79) 0.48 (0.08,
Vitamin K (mcg)	23	148.8)	214.4)	0.74) -0.16
Omega-3	23	0.5 (0.4, 0.6) 184.3 (146.1,	1.1 (0.8, 1.3) 205.4 (170.8,	(-0.53, 0.28) 0.09 (-0.34,
Choline (mg)	23	229.9)	295.2) Summary <b>r</b> <sub>s</sub> (Q1 O3):	0.48) 0.31 (0.10, 0.55)

tively collected Block Food Frequency Questionnaire; ELEAT =sures Assessment Tool Questionnaire; SD = Standard Deviation; Rank Correlation Coefficient; CI = Confidence Interval.

ortion (n = 21, 18%) of mothers were excluded because 'don't know' in the ELEAT for this question, plus the missing for another 6% (n = 7).

## parent concerns, child diagnosis, and parent rating of their responses

nalyses by child age at the time the ELEAT was completed, ns about the child's development, the child's clinical and the respondent's confidence in their responses were for vitamin B12, vitamin C, and vitamin D because data se to produce reliable estimates.

ant differences in correlations for supplement use were hild's age, and average correlation across all supplements ross the index period for mothers of children under 4 years and mothers of children 4 years and over (eTable 10). Correlations for reported supplement use were overall similar across whether the parents had concerns about their child's development at the time they

Correlations between vitamin and supplement use collected retrospectively on the ELEAT with the prospectively collected Environmental Exposures Questionnaire (EEQ).

Item/Timing	Ν	Y/Y <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	Y/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/Y <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	к (95% CI)	Se (95% CI)	Sp (95% CI)	J (95% CI)
Prenatal vitamins									
Anytime in index period	114	103	4	5	2	0.27 (-0.07, 0.60)	0.95 (0.90, 0.98)	0.33	0.29 (-0.09, 0.67)
Before pregnancy	108	31	23	8	46	0.43	0.79	0.67	0.46
During pregnancy	114	103	4	5	2	(0.26, 0.59) 0.27	(0.64, 0.91) 0.95	0.33	(0.29, 0.83) 0.29
2 and programely	110			6	-	(-0.07, 0.60) 0.24	(0.90, 0.98) 0.94	(0.04, 0.78) 0.27	(-0.09, 0.67) 0.21
1st trimester	113	92	11	6	4	(-0.01, 0.49)	(0.87, 0.98)	(0.08, 0.55)	(-0.02, 0.43)
2nd trimester	115	94	9	7	5	(0.05, 0.56)	(0.86, 0.97)	(0.13, 0.65)	(0.03, 0.54)
3rd trimester	114	88	12	10	4	0.16 (-0.07, 0.38)	0.9 (0.82, 0.95)	0.25 (0.07, 0.52)	0.15 (-0.07, 0.37)
With iron <sup>a</sup>	86	73	5	7	1	0.07 (-0.19, 0.33)	0.91 (0.83, 0.96)	0.17 (0.00, 0.64)	0.08 (-0.23, 0.38)
				[1]Summar	ук	0.28		[1]Summary J (Q1, Q3)	0.28
Multivitamins				(Q1, Q3)		(0.25, 0.30)			(0.23, 0.29)
Anytime in index period	112	7	4	14	87	0.35	0.33	0.96	0.29
						(0.13, 0.58) 0.18	(0.15, 0.57) 0.18	(0.89, 0.99) 0.96	(0.08, 0.50) 0.13
Before pregnancy	112	3	4	14	91	(-0.06, 0.41)	(0.04, 0.43)	(0.90, 0.99)	(-0.05, 0.32)
During pregnancy	112	5	5	13	89	0.27	0.28	0.95	0.22
1st trimester	113	4	5	13	91	0.23	0.24	0.95	0.18
1st unicster	115	7	5	15	<i>J</i> 1	(-0.01, 0.47)	(0.07, 0.50)	(0.88, 0.98)	(-0.02, 0.39)
2nd trimester	113	3	4	6	100	(0.01, 0.64)	(0.07, 0.70)	(0.90, 0.99)	(-0.02, 0.61)
3rd trimester	113	3	6	7	97	0.25	0.3	0.94	0.24
				Summary ĸ		(-0.03, 0.54) 0.27	(0.07, 0.65)	(0.88, 0.98)	0.23
<b>T</b>				(Q1, Q3)		(0.24, 0.32)		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	(0.19, 0.28)
Iron				10	-	0.4	0.58	0.84	0.42
Anytime in index period	111	14	14	10	73	(0.20, 0.60)	(0.37, 0.78)	(0.74, 0.91)	(0.21, 0.63)
Before pregnancy	102	3	1	4	94	0.52 (0.16, 0.89)	0.43 (0.10, 0.82)	(0.94, 0.99)	0.42 (0.05, 0.79)
During pregnancy	111	13	14	10	74	0.38	0.57 (0.35, 0.77)	0.84	0.41
1st trimester	111	6	9	6	90	0.37	0.5	0.91	0.41
		U U	2	Ū	20	(0.11, 0.62) 0.38	(0.21, 0.79) 0.62	(0.83, 0.96) 0.87	(0.12, 0.70) 0.48
2nd trimester	112	8	13	5	86	(0.16, 0.61)	(0.32, 0.86)	(0.79, 0.93)	(0.21, 0.76)
3rd trimester	111	9	16	11	75	0.25 (0.04, 0.46)	0.45 (0.23, 0.68)	0.82 (0.73, 0.90)	0.27 (0.04, 0.51)
				Summary K		0.39		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	0.40
Folic acid				(Q1, Q3)		(0.37, 0.40)			(0.41, 0.42)
Anytime in index period	107	7	14	6	80	0.31	0.54	0.85	0.39
Defene macmonou	100	0	7	0	00	0.36	0.6	0.93	0.53
before pregnancy	102	3	/	2	90	(0.04, 0.68)	(0.15, 0.95)	(0.86, 0.97)	(0.10, 0.96)
During pregnancy	107	7	13	6	81	(0.09, 0.56)	(0.54) (0.25, 0.81)	(0.78, 0.92)	(0.12, 0.68)
1st trimester	108	6	14	5	83	0.29	0.55	0.86	0.4
2nd trimester	108	6	12	2	88	0.4	0.75	0.88	0.63
and trainsport on	100	F	11	4	00	(0.15, 0.65) 0.33	(0.35, 0.97) 0.56	(0.80, 0.94) 0.89	(0.32, 0.94) 0.44
3rd trimester	108	5	11	4 Summary r	88	(0.07, 0.58) 0.34	(0.21, 0.86)	(0.81, 0.94)	(0.11, 0.77) 0.47
				(Q1, Q3)		(0.31, 0.35)		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	(0.40, 0.51)
Vitamin B12						0.21	0.20	0.04	0.02
Anytime in index period	109	2	6	5	96	(-0.10, 0.52)	(0.04, 0.71)	(0.88, 0.98)	(-0.11, 0.56)
Before pregnancy	108	2	3	3	100	0.37	0.4	0.97	0.37
During processes	100	1	E	4	00	0.14	0.2	0.95	0.15
During pregnancy	109	1	э	4	99	(-0.18, 0.46)	(0.01, 0.72)	(0.89, 0.98)	(-0.20, 0.50)
1st trimester	110	1	4	3	102	(-0.18, 0.56)	0.25 (0.01, 0.81)	(0.91, 0.99)	(-0.21, 0.64)
2nd trimester	110	1	5	2	102	0.19	0.33	0.95	0.29
						(-0.17, 0.30)	(0.01, 0.91)	(0.09, 0.90)	(-0.23, 0.82)

(continued on next page)

Item/Timing	Ν	Y/Y <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	Y/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/Y <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	к (95% CI)	Se (95% CI)	Sp (95% CI)	J (95% CI)
3rd trimester	110	1	5	3	101	0.16	0.25	0.95	0.2
				Summary K		(-0.18, 0.50) 0.21	(0.01, 0.81)	(0.89, 0.98)	(-0.22, 0.63) 0.24
				(Q1, Q3)		(0.17, 0.21)		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	(0.20, 0.28)
Vitamin C		_				0.37	0.56	0.91	0.46
Anytime in index period	107	5	9	4	89	(0.10, 0.64)	(0.21, 0.86)	(0.83, 0.96)	(0.13, 0.79)
Before pregnancy	106	3	7	2	94	0.36 (0.04, 0.68)	0.6 (0.15, 0.95)	0.93 (0.86, 0.97)	0.53 (0.10, 0.96)
During pregnancy	107	4	8	4	91	0.34	0.5	0.92	0.42
		_				(0.05, 0.63) 0.3	(0.16, 0.84) 0.5	(0.85, 0.96) 0.92	(0.07, 0.77) 0.42
1st trimester	108	3	8	3	94	(0.00, 0.60)	(0.12, 0.88)	(0.85, 0.97)	(0.02, 0.83)
2nd trimester	108	1	9	2	96	0.12 (-0.15, 0.38)	0.33 (0.01, 0.91)	0.91 (0.84, 0.96)	0.25 (-0.29, 0.78)
3rd trimester	108	2	8	3	95	0.22	0.4	0.92	0.32
				Summary K		(-0.08, 0.52) 0.29	(0.05, 0.85)	(0.85, 0.97)	(-0.11, 0.75) 0.40
				(Q1, Q3)		(0.24, 0.36)		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	(0.35, 0.45)
Vitamin D						0.48	0.5	0.96	0.46
Anytime in index period	109	5	4	5	95	(0.19, 0.77)	(0.19, 0.81)	(0.90, 0.99)	(0.15, 0.77)
Before pregnancy	106	2	5	2	97	0.33	0.5	0.95	0.45
During program	100	5	4	4	06	0.52	0.56	0.96	0.52
During pregnancy	109	5	7	4	90	(0.22, 0.81)	(0.21, 0.86)	(0.90, 0.99)	(0.19, 0.84)
1st trimester	110	5	4	4	96	(0.26, 0.85)	(0.24, 0.91)	(0.90, 0.99)	(0.19, 0.84)
2nd trimester	110	5	4	3	98	0.6	0.71	0.96	0.68
0.1.4	110	-		0	00	0.39	(0.29, 0.96) 0.5	0.95	(0.25, 0.92) 0.45
3rd trimester	110	5	4	2	99	(0.05, 0.73)	(0.12, 0.88)	(0.89, 0.98)	(0.34, 1.00)
				(Q1, Q3)		0.48 (0.41, 0.54)		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	0.53 (0.45, 0.57)
Calcium									
Anytime in index period	109	17	11	13	68	0.44 (0.25, 0.62)	0.57 (0.37, 0.75)	0.86 (0.76, 0.93)	0.43 (0.23, 0.62)
Before pregnancy	103	5	5	3	90	0.51	0.63	0.95	0.57
						(0.22, 0.81) 0.45	(0.24, 0.91) 0.57	(0.88, 0.98) 0.87	(0.23, 0.91) 0.44
During pregnancy	109	17	10	13	69	(0.27, 0.64)	(0.37, 0.75)	(0.78, 0.94)	(0.25, 0.63)
1st trimester	110	7	10	7	86	0.36 (0.12, 0.60)	0.5 (0.23, 0.77)	0.9 (0.82, 0.95)	0.4 (0.13, 0.66)
2nd trimester	111	9	13	12	77	0.28	0.43	0.86	0.28
		-				(0.06, 0.49) 0.48	(0.22, 0.66) 0.62	(0.77, 0.92) 0.87	(0.06, 0.51) 0.49
3rd trimester	111	16	11	10	74	(0.29, 0.67)	(0.41, 0.80)	(0.78, 0.93)	(0.29, 0.69)
				Summary K		0.42		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	0.44 (0.41, 0.48)
Omega-3 + Flaxseed				(Q1, Q0)		(0.00, 0.17)			(0.11, 0.10)
Anytime in index period	109	26	8	12	63	0.59	0.68	0.89	0.57
Before pregnancy	100	6	14	7	82	0.26	0.46	0.85	0.32
before pregnancy	105	0	14	,	02	(0.03, 0.49) 0.62	(0.19, 0.75) 0.68	(0.77, 0.92) 0.92	(0.04, 0.60) 0.59
During pregnancy	109	25	6	12	66	(0.46, 0.78)	(0.50, 0.82)	(0.83, 0.97)	(0.43, 0.76)
1st trimester	110	16	12	8	74	0.5	0.67	0.86	0.53
2nd trimester	111	10	13	9	70	0.5	0.68	0.84	0.52
2nd trinester	111	19	15	3	70	(0.32, 0.68)	(0.48, 0.84)	(0.75, 0.91)	(0.33, 0.71)
3rd trimester	111	22	9	8	72	(0.45, 0.78)	(0.54, 0.88)	(0.80, 0.95)	(0.45, 0.79)
				Summary K		0.52		Summary J (Q1, Q3)	0.53
Average Correlation Acro	ss All V	itamin		(Q1, Q3)		(0.50, 0.01)			(0.32, 0.39)
Supplements						0.30			0.40
Anytime in index period						(0.31, 0.44)			(0.29, 0.46)
Poforo magne						0.37			0.43
before pregnancy						(0.33, 0.43) 0.38			(0.37, 0.53) 0.39
During pregnancy						(0.27, 0.45)			(0.29, 0.44)
1st trimester						0.34 (0.24, 0.37)			0.38 (0.21, 0.42)
On the training of the trainin						0.35			0.43
2nd trimester						(0.28, 0.40)			(0.29, 0.52)

## Table 3 (continued)

(continued on next page)

8

Table 9 (sometimes of)

Table 3 (continued)									
Item/Timing	Ν	$Y/Y_{(EEQ)}$	Y/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/Y <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	N/N <sub>(EEQ)</sub>	к (95% CI)	Se (95% CI)	Sp (95% CI)	J (95% CI)
						0.33			0.36
3rd trimester						(0.22, 0.39)			(0.24, 0.45)

EEQ = Prospectively collected Environmental Exposure Questionnaire; ELEAT = Early Life Exposures Assessment Tool Questionnaire; Y/Y<sub>(EEQ)</sub> = Yes response in ELEAT and Yes response in EEQ;  $\kappa =$  Kappa Coefficient; Se = sensitivity; Sp = specificity; J = Youden's J statistic; CI = Confidence Interval; <sup>a</sup> Summary  $\kappa$  and J (Q1, Q3) for prenatal vitamins excluded the question on whether or not they contained iron.

completed ELEAT. Significant differences by parent concerns only tended to arise when data was sparse (prenatal vitamins and folic acid) and the pattern for which group had stronger correlations was inconsistent across supplements (eTable 11). The data for prenatal vitamins and vitamin B12 became sparse when stratified by clinical classification (i.e., marginal totals <5 for one or more rows/columns). There was some evidence for slightly greater correlations for responses on supplement use before pregnancy for mothers of children with typical development (eTable 12). The correlations by Kappa were slightly higher in the mothers who reported being 'very sure' of their responses compared with those that reported being 'somewhat sure' for most supplements (eTable 13). Correlations by Youden's J were similar for the index period, but differed by before or during pregnancy across confidence in responses. Data was sparse for vitamins B12 and C.

## Correlations of nutrient values quantified from vitamins and supplements

Mean values and correlations between nutrient values calculated from vitamins and supplements reported on the retrospective ELEAT and prospective EEQs varied by timing (Table 4), with moderate correlations overall (summary  $r_s$  (Q1, Q3) = 0.34 (0.26, 0.45)). Most nutrients were moderately correlated before pregnancy, with a higher summary  $r_s$  than for other periods  $r_s$  (Q1, Q3) = 0.43 (0.40, 0.46)). During pregnancy the correlations were generally modest to moderate with the exceptions of weak correlations for omega-3 in all trimesters. Folic acid, vitamin D, and omega-3 were the most highly and consistently correlated among the nutrients calculated from vitamin and supplement intake.

# By child age, parent concerns, child diagnosis, and parent rating of confidence in their responses

More moderate correlations for mothers interviewed when the child was <4 years old compared to those interviewed when the child was 4 or older were found only for supplemental nutrients in the second and third trimesters (eTable 14). Those with no parental concerns and typical development had weak to moderate correlations before and throughout pregnancy, while those with parental concerns and developmental concerns had moderate to strong correlations before and throughout pregnancy (eTables 15–16). Correlations were higher for mothers selfdescribed as somewhat certain in their response compared to those with high certainty in their response for nutrients during pregnancy but not before (eTable 17).

## Comments

## Principle findings

Few studies have tested the reliability of a short dietary assessment tool aimed at measuring nutrient intake relevant for pregnancy and neurodevelopment. Nutrient values quantified using the ELEAT were on average lower than that of the Block FFQ as expected given fewer food items. Nutrient values in this population from the Block FFQ also tended to be lower than that reported for other populations [69]. Average summary correlations between the Block FFQ and the ELEAT FFQ food items ( $r_s = 0.36$ ), dietary nutrients ( $r_s = 0.42$ ), vitamin/supplement intake (J = 0.39), and supplemental nutrients ( $r_s = 0.34$ ) were fair to moderate overall, and generally lower than those reported in most

previous reliability studies of FFQs in women which typically range from 0.4 to 0.7 [69-76]. There are several potential explanations for lower correlations including the fact that in this study, we were not directly measuring reliability of the same instrument but rather compared different FFOs administered at different time points, and although many of the food items were comparable, the questions were not asked in exactly the same way, sometimes differed on foods listed as examples or grouped together, and included different frequency responses. In addition, we asked about pregnancy on the ELEAT, whereas the Block FFOs we compared to asked about intake in the first and second halves of pregnancy, which were then averaged (for those who completed FFQs for both halves). Furthermore, the ELEAT asked about retrospective diet for a period that occurred on average several years prior, and the period of recall was much longer than assessed in previous studies that asked about recent diet two [69] to six months [70] apart; correlations across different instruments in studies with periods of recall up to a year [71,73] were lower (0.25–0.5) and closer to the range in our study.

The correlations of the ELEAT long and short versions were similarly moderate for dietary items represented on both, but the long form covered many more foods. Correlations of nutrient values with the prospective FFQ were also similar overall across the long and short ELEAT versions with similarly moderate summary coefficients; however the correlations for individual nutrients varied greatly across versions with the short version having much weaker correlations for macronutrients and certain other nutrients and much stronger correlations for the focus nutrients (folate, iron, fiber). This suggests that if one is seeking a better tool for overall dietary and nutrient intake, the long version would be preferred, but if one is primarily interested in a few key foods and nutrients important in pregnancy for neurodevelopment, then using the shorter version might be preferred. Given potential importance of additional nutrients (vitamin C, omega-3 fatty acids, choline), the short version would need to modified to better capture other relevant nutrients. Correlation regarding frequency of foods consumed was not higher on average for mothers recalling over shorter periods (4 years or less) compared to those recalling over longer periods (>4 years) as would be expected; however, the correlation of calculated nutrients from the retrospective ELEAT with the nutrients calculated from the prospective FFQ was higher for mothers recalling for shorter periods. This suggests that length of recall has an impact on recall accuracy of certain foods (for example, green salad, greens, cold cereal) that contribute to key nutrients relevant in pregnancy (e.g., folate, iron). Similarly, there were no consistent or strong differences in correlations for foods consumed when stratified by whether or not the parent had concerns about their child's development at the time they recalled their pregnancy diet, but there was higher correlation of calculated nutrients when parents reported concerns, suggesting some evidence for differential recall accuracy in the expected direction.

For both food consumption and calculated nutrients, there were no differences in average correlations by the clinical classification of the child as having ASD or typical development; however, there were weaker correlations for mothers of children clinically classified as having other developmental concerns.

Vitamin and supplement frequencies reported retrospectively on the ELEAT Module S showed higher average correlations with the prospectively-collected responses for single vitamin supplements than for multivitamins and prenatal vitamins, and varied somewhat inconsistently by timing. On the other hand, correlations with calculated

Nutrient	N	EEQ Median (Q1, Q3)	ELEAT Median (Q1, Q3)	Maximum (EEQ)/ (ELEAT)	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)
Before Preg	nancy				
					0.47
		0.0 (0.0,	0.0 (0.0,		(0.29,
FA (mcg)	87	800.0)	800.0)	1800/2200	0.62)
					0.49
		0.0 (0.0,	0.0 (0.0,		(0.32,
FE (mg)	95	18.0)	28.0)	151/114	0.63)
					0.42
		0.0 (0.0,	100.0 (0.0,	22,229/	(0.23,
Vit D (IU)	81	400.0)	400.0)	4200	0.59)
					0.39
Calcium		0.0 (0.0,	0.0 (0.0,		(0.20,
(mg)	86	200.0)	200.0)	2000/1254	0.56)
. 0,					0.39
VitB12					(0.20,
(ug)	90	0.0 (0.0, 8.0)	0.0 (0.0, 8.0)	2003/520	0.55)
		,,		,	0.43
VitB6					(0.25
(mg)	94	0.0 (0.0. 2.6)	0.0 (0.0 2.6)	102/7	0.58)
	- 1	5.0 (0.0, 2.0)	5.0 (0.0, 2.0)	102, /	0.40
		0.0 (0.0	0.0 (0.0		(0.21
VitA (III)	94	2800.0)	4000 0)	8250/10424	0.55)
(10)	21	2000.07		0200/10727	0.44
		0.0.00	36(00		(0.25
VitE (III)	88	20.01	30.0	400/160	0.50)
· (10)	00	20.07	30.07	100/100	0.59)
		0.0.00	36(00		(0.31
VitC (mg)	99	80.0)	120.0)	1000/370	0.65)
	00	00.0)	120.0)	1000/3/0	0.03)
		0.0.00	0.0.(0.0		(0.26
Zine (ma)	04	10.0	20.0)	20/44	0.50)
Line (ing)	94	10.0)	20.0)	29/44	0.39)
Omogo?					(0.10
(mg)	106	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	7700/1220	(0.16,
(ing)	100	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	Summary r	//00/1250	0.51)
			(01, 03)	0 43 (0 40 0 4	6)
Frimøstør 1			(Q1, Q3)	0.43 (0.40, 0.4	0)
i i unester 1		666 7			0.22
		(266.7	800.0 (800.0		(0.03
FA (mcg)	105	800.0)	800.0)	3200/2200	0.40)
m (meg)	100	000.0)	000.0)	0200/2200	0.26
		187(93	28.0 (4.5		(0.07
FE (mg)	108	28.0)	28.0)	179/114	0.43)
- (mg)	100	400.0	20.0)	1/ // 117	0.43
		(170.0	400.0 (400.0	22 520/	(0.45
/it D (III)	102	(170.0,	500.0	4200	0.20,
и D (10)	102	720.03	300.0)	7200	0.37)
aloin		200 0 (66 7	200.0 (200.0		0.10
(ma)	106	200.0 (00.7,	200.0 (200.0,	2000/1254	(-0.01, 0.24)
(mg)	100	230.0)	200.0)	2000/1234	0.30)
/i+B10					0.25
(11012	106	E 2 (2 7 8 7)		2002/520	0.400
(ug)	100	J.J (2.7, 8.7)	0.0 (8.0, 8.0)	2003/320	0.42)
T+DC					0.33
(m-)	110	06(17(7)	26(26.20)	102/6.6	(0.16,
(ing)	110	2.0 (1.7, 6.7)	2.0 (2.0, 2.0)	102/0.0	0.49)
		2916.7	4000.0		0.10
11. A (***	110	(1333.3,	(4000.0,	0050 (10.10.1	(-0.09,
vitA (IU)	110	4000.0)	4000.0)	8250/10424	0.28)
		0000000	00.0 (00.5		0.09
		20.0 (10.0,	30.0 (30.0,	007 11 11	(-0.11,
/1tE (IU)	103	30.0)	35.0)	297/160	0.28)
					0.21
		80.0 (39.4,	120.0 (120.0,		(0.02,
/itC (mg)	103	120.0)	122.1)	853/370	0.39)
					0.04
		15.0 (6.7,	20.0 (20.0,		(-0.14,
linc (mg)	110	25.0)	20.0)	27/44	0.23)
					0.57
)mega3		0.0 (0.0,			(0.43,
(mg)	107	178.0)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	5415/1230	0.69)
			Summary r <sub>s</sub> (O1, O3)	0.25 (0.14, 0.3	0)

vutilent	N	EEQ Median (Q1, Q3)	ELEAT Median (Q1, Q3)	Maximum (EEQ)/ (ELEAT)	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)
Frimester 2					
nuncster 2		800.0			0.43
		(533.3	800.0 (800.0		(0.26
FA (mcg)	106	800.0)	800.0)	4800/3000	0.58)
(		,		,	0.30
		27.0 (10.7,	28.0 (3.6,		(0.11,
FE (mg)	108	28.0)	28.0)	179/156	0.46)
		400.0		,	0.35
		(200.0,	400.0 (400.0.	22.679/	(0.16.
Vit D (IU)	101	400.0)	514.3)	4200	0.51)
		200.0	ŕ		0.32
Calcium		(100.0,	200.0 (200.0,		(0.14,
(mg)	106	250.0)	200.0)	2700/1614	0.48)
					0.28
VitB12		8.0 (2.7,			(0.10,
(ug)	107	12.0)	8.0 (8.0, 8.0)	2155/520	0.45)
					0.39
VitB6		2.6 (1.7,			(0.22,
(mg)	111	10.0)	2.6 (2.6, 2.6)	101/9	0.56)
		4000.0	4000.0		0.24
		(333.3, 4,	(4000.0,	20,000/	(0.05.
VitA (IU)	111	000.0)	4000.0)	14424	0.41)
		-		-	0.20
		30.0 (11.0,	30.0 (30.0,		(0.01,
VitE (IU)	103	30.0)	35.0)	273/180	0.38)
		-	÷		0.26
		100.0 (51.4.	120.0 (120.0.		(0.07.
VitC (mg)	103	120.0)	122.1)	902/480	0.43)
					0.22
		16.7 (7.5.	20.0 (20.0.		(0.03.
Zinc (mg)	111	25.0)	20.0)	36/64	0.39)
			ŗ		0.52
Omega3		0.0 (0.0,	0.0 (0.0,		(0.37,
(mg)	106	267.0)	87.9)	7700/1230	0.65)
			Summary r <sub>s</sub> (Q1, Q3)	0.32 (0.25, 0.3	37)
Trimester 3					
		800.0			0.42
		(304.8,	800.0 (800.0,		(0.25,
FA (mcg)	100	(304.8, 928.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)	9133/3000	(0.25, 0.57)
FA (mcg)	100	(304.8, 928.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)	9133/3000	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37
FA (mcg)	100	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0,	9133/3000	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18,
FA (mcg) FE (mg)	100 102	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0)	9133/3000 208/156	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52)
FA (mcg) FE (mg)	100 102	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0)	9133/3000 208/156	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40
FA (mcg) FE (mg)	100 102	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0,	9133/3000 208/156	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU)	100 102 95	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56)
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU)	100 102 95	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium	100 102 95	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg)	100 102 95 99	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54)
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg)	100 102 95 99	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12	100 102 95 99	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug)	100 102 95 99 101	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.54) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51)
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug)	100 102 95 99 101	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6	100 102 95 99 101	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg)	100 102 95 99 101 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44)
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg)	100 102 95 99 101 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg)	100 102 95 99 101 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33 (0.15,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 99 101 105 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33 (0.15, 0.49)
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 99 101 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.39 (0.21, 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33 (0.15, 0.49) 0.33
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 99 101 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33 (0.15, 0.49) 0.33 (0.14,
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 101 105 105 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 35.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25, \\ 0.57) \\ 0.37 \\ (0.18, \\ 0.52) \\ 0.40 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.54) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.17, \\ 0.51) \\ 0.27 \\ (0.09, \\ 0.44) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.15, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.14, \\ 0.49) \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 101 105 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.25 (0.17, 0.51) 0.33 (0.14, 0.49) 0.19
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU)	100 102 95 101 105 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0) 120.0 (120.0,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.52)\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.27\\ (0.09,\\ 0.44)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.14,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU)	<ol> <li>100</li> <li>102</li> <li>95</li> <li>99</li> <li>101</li> <li>105</li> <li>98</li> <li>98</li> </ol>	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 35.0)         120.0 (120.0, 120.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.27\\ (0.09,\\ 0.44)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.14,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ 0.37)\\ \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU)	<ol> <li>100</li> <li>102</li> <li>95</li> <li>99</li> <li>101</li> <li>105</li> <li>98</li> <li>98</li> </ol>	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0) 120.0 (120.0, 120.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25, \\ 0.57) \\ 0.37 \\ (0.18, \\ 0.52) \\ 0.40 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.54) \\ 0.35 \\ (0.17, \\ 0.51) \\ 0.27 \\ (0.09, \\ 0.44) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.15, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.14, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.19 \\ (-0.01, \\ 0.37) \\ 0.25 \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU)	100 102 95 101 105 98 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 35.0)         120.0 (120.0, 120.0)         20.0 (20.0,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.52)\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.54)\\ 0.33\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.27\\ (0.09,\\ 0.44)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.14,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ 0.37)\\ 0.25\\ (0.06,\\ \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU) VitC (mg) Zinc (mg)	100 102 95 101 105 98 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1, 25.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0) 120.0 (120.0, 120.0) 20.0 (20.0, 20.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480 36/64	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.52)\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ 0.37)\\ 0.25\\ (0.06,\\ 0.42) \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU) VitE (IU)	100 102 95 101 105 98 98	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1, 25.0)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0) 120.0 (120.0, 120.0) 20.0 (20.0, 20.0)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480 36/64	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.52)\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.27\\ (0.09,\\ 0.44)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.14,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ 0.37)\\ 0.25\\ (0.06,\\ 0.42)\\ 0.55\end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitE (IU) VitE (IU) VitC (mg) Zinc (mg) Omega3	100 102 95 101 105 98 98 105	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1, 25.0) 0.0 (0.0,	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 35.0)         120.0 (120.0, 120.0)         20.0 (20.0, 20.0)         0.0 (0.0,	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480 36/64	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25,\\ 0.57)\\ 0.37\\ (0.18,\\ 0.52)\\ 0.40\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.39\\ (0.21,\\ 0.56)\\ 0.35\\ (0.21,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.35\\ (0.17,\\ 0.51)\\ 0.27\\ (0.09,\\ 0.44)\\ 0.33\\ (0.15,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.33\\ (0.14,\\ 0.49)\\ 0.19\\ (-0.01,\\ 0.37)\\ 0.25\\ (0.60,\\ 0.42)\\ 0.55\\ (0.40,\\ \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU) VitC (mg) Zinc (mg) Omega3 (mg)	<ol> <li>100</li> <li>102</li> <li>95</li> <li>99</li> <li>101</li> <li>105</li> <li>98</li> <li>98</li> <li>105</li> <li>105</li> <li>105</li> </ol>	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1, 25.0) 0.0 (0.0, 271.8)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0)         28.0 (12.0, 28.0)         400.0 (400.0, 728.6)         200.0 (200.0, 342.9)         8.0 (8.0, 8.0)         2.6 (2.6, 2.6)         4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0)         30.0 (30.0, 35.0)         120.0 (120.0, 120.0)         20.0 (20.0, 20.0) (20.0, 20.0)         0.0 (0.0, 43.9)	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480 36/64 7700/1230	$\begin{array}{c} (0.25, \\ 0.57) \\ 0.37 \\ (0.18, \\ 0.57) \\ 0.40 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.56) \\ 0.39 \\ (0.21, \\ 0.51) \\ 0.35 \\ (0.17, \\ 0.51) \\ 0.35 \\ (0.17, \\ 0.51) \\ 0.27 \\ (0.09, \\ 0.41) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.15, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.15, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.33 \\ (0.14, \\ 0.49) \\ 0.19 \\ (-0.01, \\ 0.37) \\ 0.25 \\ (0.06, \\ 0.42) \\ 0.55 \\ (0.40, \\ 0.68) \\ \end{array}$
FA (mcg) FE (mg) Vit D (IU) Calcium (mg) VitB12 (ug) VitB6 (mg) VitA (IU) VitA (IU) VitE (IU) VitE (IU) VitC (mg) Zinc (mg) Dmega3 (mg)	<ol> <li>100</li> <li>95</li> <li>99</li> <li>101</li> <li>105</li> <li>98</li> <li>105</li> <li>105</li> <li>105</li> <li>105</li> </ol>	(304.8, 928.0) 27.0 (8.0, 28.0) 400.0 (170.0, 466.7) 200.0 (66.7, 250.0) 8.0 (2.5, 12.0) 2.6 (1.6, 14.1) 3, 952.4 (1, 333.3, 4000.0) 28.6 (8.6, 30.0) 100.0 (34.3, 120.0) 16.7 (7.1, 25.0) 0.0 (0.0, 271.8)	800.0 (800.0, 800.0) 28.0 (12.0, 28.0) 400.0 (400.0, 728.6) 200.0 (200.0, 342.9) 8.0 (8.0, 8.0) 2.6 (2.6, 2.6) 4, 000.0 (4, 000.0, 4000.0) 30.0 (30.0, 35.0) 120.0 (120.0, 120.0) 20.0 (20.0, 20.0) 0.0 (0.0, 43.9) Summary r <sub>s</sub>	9133/3000 208/156 3000/4200 3200/1614 2155/520 135/9 15,000/ 14424 250/180 902/480 36/64 7700/1230	(0.25, 0.57) 0.37 (0.18, 0.52) 0.40 (0.21, 0.56) 0.39 (0.21, 0.56) (0.21, 0.54) 0.35 (0.17, 0.51) 0.27 (0.09, 0.44) 0.33 (0.15, 0.49) 0.33 (0.14, 0.49) 0.33 (0.14, 0.49) 0.25 (0.06, 0.42) 0.55 (0.40, 0.68)

(continued on next page)

## Table 4 (continued)

Nutrient	N	EEQ Median (Q1, Q3)	ELEAT Median (Q1, Q3)	Maximum (EEQ)/ (ELEAT)	r <sub>s</sub> (95% CI)
					0.39
					(0.37,
FA (mcg)					0.44)
					0.36
					(0.29,
FE (mg)					0.40)
					0.40
					(0.39,
Vit D (IU)					0.42)
					0.32
Calcium					(0.29,
(mg)					0.39)
					0.32
VitB12					(0.27,
(ug)					0.36)
					0.36
VitB6					(0.32,
(mg)					0.40)
					0.27
					(0.21,
VitA (IU)					0.35)
					0.27
					(0.17,
VitE (IU)					0.36)
					0.30
					(0.21,
VitC (mg)					0.32)
					0.24
					(0.18,
Zinc (mg)					0.30)
					0.50
Omega3					(0.48,
(mg)					0.56)
					0.34
				Summary r <sub>s</sub>	(0.26,
				(Q1, Q3)	0.45)

$$\begin{split} EEQ &= Prospectively \ collected \ Environmental \ Exposure \ Questionnaire; \ ELEAT \\ &= \ Early \ Life \ Exposures \ Assessment \ Tool \ Questionnaire; \ IQR \ = \ Interquartile \ Range; \ r_S &= \ Spearman \ Rank \ Correlation \ Coefficient; \ CI \ = \ Confidence \ Interval. \end{split}$$

nutrient values for before pregnancy were moderate, while correlations during each trimester and across pregnancy were lower on average, ranging from modest to strong for omega 3. This could be due to more accurate reporting of supplements taken specifically as part of pregnancy planning and/or due to higher regularity of taking supplements before pregnancy and variability of consistency and amount of supplements at different stages of pregnancy. No meaningful differences in correlation of supplement use were observed by recall period, parent concerns, clinical classification, or participant confidence in their responses, but there were higher correlations of calculated nutrients when there were parent and clinical concerns, again indicating differential accuracy of reporting.

## Study limitations and strengths

Limitations were that the ELEAT Module D included ELEAT questions that were not directly comparable for item-specific reliability with the FFQ and Module S had different formats for asking about frequency when compared to the EEQ. There was a small sample size when evaluating different subpopulations, especially when considering by version. Further, the sample size was insufficient to evaluate item level reliability in terms of frequency of consumption. Findings might not be generalizable to other populations that are not primarily white, born in California, college-educated, and have a child with autism. Further, nutrients that were not prioritized based on the literature at the time the ELEAT was developed and are later found to be important for neurodevelopment might or might not be captured with this tool. Strengths included the collection of parent reported concerns for the child, and the ability to obtain the child's clinical diagnosis at the time of ELEAT administration to evaluate potential for recall bias.

## Interpretation

Responses on the ELEAT long form dietary and supplement modules were modestly to moderately reliable overall, even with recall after several years, and produced values for certain key nutrients that were moderately correlated to previously collected prospective measures. As with all FFQs, the ELEAT dietary module is not meant to assess exact nutrient intake for each participant, but rather can be used to rank participants on their responses in terms of food group intake, calcium, iron, folate, potassium, fiber, choline, vitamin K and vitamin C intake. It could also be used to assess presence or absence of supplement use before and during pregnancy. While other FFQs like the Block FFQ [23] and the National Cancer Institute's Dietary History Questionnaire [22,24] include a broader range of foods and nutrients, they are much more time consuming to complete, not designed specifically for pregnancy, and have not been assessed for retrospective use for periods up to years later. Other FFQs that were designed and assessed for retrospective use for the prenatal period years later are also longer [77], not designed for self-report [34], designed for specific cultural diets [34,78,79], or not designed to measure micronutrients relevant to neurodevelopment [78]. This relatively short instrument could be useful in studies of pregnancy and neurodevelopment when participant burden is a concern, prospective dietary/supplement information was not collected, and measures of neurodevelopmentally-relevant nutrients are of interest.

## Conclusions

The ELEAT dietary and supplement modules are moderately reliable for recall up to several years after pregnancy for most neurodevelopmentally-relevant nutrients, and can be added to autism studies to retrospectively assess maternal nutrient contributions to ASD etiology.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Rebecca J. Schmidt: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization, Amanda J. Goodrich: Writing - review & editing, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Lauren Granillo: Writing - review & editing, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Yunru Huang: Writing review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Paula Krakowiak: Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Formal analysis, Data curation. Adrianne Widaman: Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Formal analysis, Data curation. J. Erin Dienes: Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Formal analysis, Data curation. Deborah H. Bennett: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. Cheryl K. Walker: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. Daniel J. Tancredi: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Methodology, Formal analysis.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: This project was supported by funding from Autism Speaks, Inc. Dr. Schmidt received funding for travel reimbursement for invited talks from: Obstetrics, Placenta, Epigenetics and Neurodevelopment) in Autism (OPEN Autism) at the Centre for Advanced Research and Excellence in Autism and Developmental Disorders, St. John's Research Institute (Mar 2023) and the Organization of Teratology Information Specialists (OTIS, June 2023). Dr. Schmidt has received funding for consultation services for Beasley Allen Law Firm and Linus Biotechnology, Inc. Dr. Bennett has received funding for consultation services for Linus Biotechnology, Inc. No other authors declare potential competing interests.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Autism Speaks Targeted Application [grant number 9038], and the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers R01-ES020392, R24-ES028533, and U24-ES028533].

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloepi.2024.100150.

## References

- Institute of Medicine. Nutrition during pregnancy 1990. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; 1990.
- [2] Picciano MF. Pregnancy and lactation: physiological adjustments, nutritional requirements and the role of dietary supplements. J Nutr 2003;133(6): 1997S–2002S.
- [3] Prado EL, Dewey KG. Nutrition and brain development in early life. Nutr Rev 2014; 72(4):267–84.
- [4] Czeizel AE, Dudas I. Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptional vitamin supplementation. [comment]. N Engl J Med 1992;327 (26):1832–5.
- [5] MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. Prevention of neural tube defects: results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. Lancet 1991;338(8760):131–7.
- [6] Schmidt RJ, Hansen RL, Hartiala J, et al. Prenatal vitamins, one-carbon metabolism gene variants, and risk for autism. Epidemiology 2011;22(4):476–85.
- [7] Schmidt RJ, Tancredi DJ, Ozonoff S, et al. Maternal periconceptional folic acid intake and risk of autism spectrum disorders and developmental delay in the CHARGE (CHildhood Autism Risks from Genetics and Environment) case-control study. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96(1):80–9.
- [8] Lyall K, Schmidt RJ, Hertz-Picciotto I. Maternal lifestyle and environmental risk factors for autism spectrum disorders. Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(2):443–64.
- [9] Suren P, Roth C, Bresnahan M, et al. Association between maternal use of folic acid supplements and risk of autism spectrum disorders in children. JAMA 2013;309(6): 570–7.
- [10] Schmidt RJ, Tancredi DJ, Krakowiak P, Hansen RL, Ozonoff S. Maternal intake of supplemental iron and risk of autism spectrum disorder. Am J Epidemiol 2014;180 (9):890–900.
- [11] Schmidt RJ, Iosif AM, Guerrero Angel E, Ozonoff S. Association of maternal prenatal vitamin use with risk for autism spectrum disorder recurrence in young siblings. JAMA Psychiat 2019;76:391–8.
- [12] Iglesias Vazquez L, Canals J, Arija V. Review and meta-analysis found that prenatal folic acid was associated with a 58% reduction in autism but had no effect on mental and motor development. Acta Paediatr 2019;108:600–10.
- [13] Wang M, Li K, Zhao D, Li L. The association between maternal use of folic acid supplements during pregnancy and risk of autism spectrum disorders in children: a meta-analysis. Mol Autism 2017;8:51.
- [14] Guo BQ, Li HB, Zhai DS, Ding SB. Maternal multivitamin supplementation is associated with a reduced risk of autism spectrum disorder in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Res 2019;65:4–16.
- [15] Reynolds LC, Inder TE, Neil JJ, Pineda RG, Rogers CE. Maternal obesity and increased risk for autism and developmental delay among very preterm infants. J Perinatol 2014;34(9):688–92.
- [16] Li M, Fallin MD, Riley A, et al. The association of maternal obesity and diabetes with autism and other developmental disabilities. Pediatrics 2016;137(2):1–10.
- [17] Rodriguez A, Miettunen J, Henriksen TB, et al. Maternal adiposity prior to pregnancy is associated with ADHD symptoms in offspring: evidence from three prospective pregnancy cohorts. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(3):550–7.
- [18] Sullivan EL, Nousen EK, Chamlou KA. Maternal high fat diet consumption during the perinatal period programs offspring behavior. Physiol Behav 2014;123:236–42.
  [19] Schlotz W, Jones A, Phillips DI, Gale CR, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM. Lower
- maternal folate status in early pregnancy is associated with childhood hyperactivity and peer problems in offspring. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2010;51 (5):594–602.
- [20] Julvez J, Fortuny J, Mendez M, Torrent M, Ribas-Fito N, Sunyer J. Maternal use of folic acid supplements during pregnancy and four-year-old neurodevelopment in a population-based birth cohort. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2009;23(3):199–206.
- [21] Ma Y, Olendzki BC, Pagoto SL, et al. Number of 24-hour diet recalls needed to estimate energy intake. Ann Epidemiol 2009;19(8):553–9.

- [22] Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, Klein R, Ritter LL, Fisher MR, Freudenheim JL. A diet history questionnaire ranks nutrient intakes in middle-aged and older men and women similarly to multiple food records. J Nutr 1993;123(3):489–501.
- [23] Block G, Woods M, Potosky A, Clifford C. Validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire using multiple diet records. J Clin Epidemiol 1990;43(12): 1327–35.
- [24] Millen AE, Midthune D, Thompson FE, Kipnis V, Subar AF. The National Cancer Institute diet history questionnaire: validation of pyramid food servings. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163(3):279–88.
- [25] Schmidt RJ, Lyall K, Hertz-Picciotto I. Environment and autism: current state of the science. Cutting Edge Psychiatry Pract 2014;1:21–38.
- [26] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects: recommendation statement. Am Fam Physician 2010;82(12):1526–7.
- [27] NutritionQuest. Assessment & Analysis Services. Questionnaires and Screeners 2023. http://nutritionquest.com/assessment/list-of-questionnaires-and-screeners/. Accessed July 12, 2023, 2023.
- [28] NIH National Cancer Institute. Dietary Screener Questionnaires (DSQ) in the NHANES 2009–10: DSQ. In: Sciences DoCCP, ed. https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/nh anes/dietscreen/questionnaires.html2023.
- [29] Kim DJ, Holowaty EJ. Brief, validated survey instruments for the measurement of fruit and vegetable intakes in adults: a review. Prev Med 2003;36(4):440–7.
- [30] National Cancer Institute. Five-Factor Screener: Validation Results. In. http://he althcaredelivery.cancer.gov/nhis/5factor/results.html2005.
- [31] Thompson FE, Midthune D, Subar AF, Kahle LL, Schatzkin A, Kipnis V. Performance of a short tool to assess dietary intakes of fruits and vegetables, percentage energy from fat and fibre. Public Health Nutr 2004;7(8):1097–105.
- [32] Mejia-Rodriguez F, Neufeld LM, Garcia-Guerra A, Quezada-Sanchez AD, Orjuela MA. Validation of a food frequency questionnaire for retrospective estimation of diet during the first 2 years of life. Matern Child Health J 2014;18(1): 268–85.
- [33] Mouratidou T, Ford F, Fraser RB. Validation of a food-frequency questionnaire for use in pregnancy. Public Health Nutr 2006;9(4):515–22.
- [34] Mejia-Rodriguez F, Orjuela MA, Garcia-Guerra A, Quezada-Sanchez AD, Neufeld LM. Validation of a novel method for retrospectively estimating nutrient intake during pregnancy using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. Matern Child Health J 2012;16(7):1468–83.
- [35] Liu X, Zou M, Sun C, Wu L, Chen WX. Prenatal folic acid supplements and offspring's autism spectrum disorder: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. J Autism Dev Disord 2022;52(2):522–39.
- [36] Wiegersma AM, Dalman C, Lee BK, Karlsson H, Gardner RM. Association of prenatal maternal anemia with neurodevelopmental disorders. JAMA Psychiat 2019;76(12):1294–304.
- [37] Nevins JEH, Donovan SM, Snetselaar L, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid dietary supplements consumed during pregnancy and lactation and child neurodevelopment: a systematic review. J Nutr 2021;151(11):3483–94.
- [38] Lyall K, Munger KL, O'Reilly EJ, Santangelo SL, Ascherio A. Maternal dietary fat intake in association with autism spectrum disorders. Am J Epidemiol 2013;178 (2):209–20.
- [39] Huang Y, Iosif AM, Hansen RL, Schmidt RJ. Maternal polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk for autism spectrum disorder in the MARBLES high-risk study. Autism 2020;24(5):1191–200.
- [40] King JC. The risk of maternal nutritional depletion and poor outcomes increases in early or closely spaced pregnancies. J Nutr 2003;133(5 Suppl 2):1732S–6S.
- [41] USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference. United States Department of Agriculture. 2016.
- [42] Schaefer EJ, Augustin JL, Schaefer MM, et al. Lack of efficacy of a food-frequency questionnaire in assessing dietary macronutrient intakes in subjects consuming diets of known composition. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71(3):746–51.
- [43] Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Yonemori KM, Steffen A. Development of a supplement composition database for the SURE-QX, a publicly available questionnaire to quantify intakes from commonly consumed dietary supplements. In: Poster presented at the Eighth International Food Data Conference, Bangkok, Thailand; 2009.
- [44] Lee S-J, Mamun M, Atique U, An K-G. Fish tissue contamination with organic pollutants and heavy metals: link between land use and ecological health. Water Res 2023;15:1845.
- [45] Begley TH, White K, Honigfort P, Twaroski ML, Neches R, Walker RA. Perfluorochemicals: potential sources of and migration from food packaging. Food Addit Contam 2005;22(10):1023–31.
- [46] Renner R. It's in the microwave popcorn, not the Teflon pan. Environ Sci Technol 2006;40(1):4.
- [47] Lee JS, Han JW, Jung M, Lee KW, Chung MS. Effects of thawing and frying methods on the formation of acrylamide and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in chicken meat. Foods 2020;9(5).
- [48] Hee P-TE, Liang Z, Zhang P, Fang Z. Formation mechanisms, detection methods and mitigation strategies of acrylamide, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heterocyclic amines in food products. Food Control 2024:158.
- [49] Moumtaz S, Percival BC, Parmar D, Grootveld KL, Jansson P, Grootveld M. Toxic aldehyde generation in and food uptake from culinary oils during frying practices: peroxidative resistance of a monounsaturate-rich algae oil. Sci Rep 2019;9(1): 4125.
- [50] Jiang K, Huang C, Liu F, et al. Origin and fate of acrolein in foods. Foods 2022;11 (13).
- [51] Hertz-Picciotto I, Schmidt RJ, Walker CK, et al. A prospective study of environmental exposures and early biomarkers in autism spectrum disorder:

#### R.J. Schmidt et al.

#### Global Epidemiology 8 (2024) 100150

design, protocols, and preliminary data from the MARBLES study. Environ Health Perspect 2018;126(11):117004.

- [52] Ozonoff S, Young GS, Carter A, et al. Recurrence risk for autism spectrum disorders: a baby siblings research consortium study. Pediatrics 2011;128(3): e488–95.
- [53] Cuco G, Fernandez-Ballart J, Sala J, et al. Dietary patterns and associated lifestyles in preconception, pregnancy and postpartum. Eur J Clin Nutr 2006;60(3):364–71.
   [54] Borland SE, Robinson SM, Crozier SR, Inskip HM, Group SWSS. Stability of dietary
- patients in young women over a 2-year period. Eur J Clin Nutr 2008;62(1):119–26.
   University of Minnesota. Nutrition Data System for Research (NDSR). In. http://
- www.ncc.umn.edu/products/2019.
  [56] Block G, Hartman AM, Dresser CM, Carroll MD, Gannon J, Gardner L. A data-based approach to diet questionnaire design and testing. Am J Epidemiol 1986;124(3): 453–69.
- [57] Block G, Coyle LM, Hartman AM, Scoppa SM. Revision of dietary analysis software for the Health Habits and History Questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol 1994;139(12): 1190–6.
- [58] Johnson BA, Herring AH, Ibrahim JG, Siega-Riz AM. Structured measurement error in nutritional epidemiology: applications in the Pregnancy, Infection, and Nutrition (PIN) study. J Am Stat Assoc 2007;102(479):856–66.
- [59] Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedulegeneric: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. J Autism Dev Disord 2000;30(3):205–23.
- [60] Lord C, Rutter M, DiLavore PC, Risi S. The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS). In. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services. 2000.
- [61] Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J Autism Dev Disord 1994;24(5):659–85.
- [62] Chawarska K, Shic F, Macari S, et al. 18-month predictors of later outcomes in younger siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder: a baby siblings research consortium study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2014;53(12): 1317–1327 e1311.
- [63] Ozonoff S, Young GS, Belding A, et al. The broader autism phenotype in infancy: when does it emerge? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2014;53(4):398–407 e392.
- [64] Cantor SB, Kattan MW. Determining the area under the ROC curve for a binary diagnostic test. Med Decis Making 2000;20(4):468–70.

- [65] Borenstein M, Hedges LV, JPT Higgins, Rothstein HR. Introduction to Meta-Analysis. Chichester, West Sussex, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2009.
- [66] de Vaus DA. Surveys in Social Research. London: UCL Press; 1991.[67] Kline P. An easy guide to factor analysis. London: Routledge; 1994.
- [68] Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33(1):159–74.
- [69] Boucher B, Cotterchio M, Kreiger N, Nadalin V, Block T, Block G. Validity and reliability of the Block98 food-frequency questionnaire in a sample of Canadian women. Public Health Nutr 2006;9(1):84–93.
- [70] Kristal AR, Feng Z, Coates RJ, Oberman A, George V. Associations of race/ ethnicity, education, and dietary intervention with the validity and reliability of a food frequency questionnaire: the Women's Health Trial Feasibility Study in Minority Populations. Am J Epidemiol 1997;146(10):856–69.
- [71] Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol 1985;122(1): 51–65.
- [72] Erkkola M, Karppinen M, Javanainen J, Rasanen L, Knip M, Virtanen SM. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire for pregnant Finnish women. Am J Epidemiol 2001;154(5):466–76.
- [73] Pisani P, Faggiano F, Krogh V, Palli D, Vineis P, Berrino F. Relative validity and reproducibility of a food frequency dietary questionnaire for use in the Italian EPIC centres. Int J Epidemiol 1997;26(Suppl. 1):S152–60.
- [74] Stuff JE, Garza C, Smith EO, Nichols BL, Montandon CM. A comparison of dietary methods in nutritional studies. Am J Clin Nutr 1983;37(2):300–6.
- [75] Longnecker MP, Lissner L, Holden JM, et al. The reproducibility and validity of a self-administered semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire in subjects from South Dakota and Wyoming. Epidemiology 1993;4(4):356–65.
- [76] Mannisto S, Virtanen M, Mikkonen T, Pietinen P. Reproducibility and validity of a food frequency questionnaire in a case-control study on breast cancer. J Clin Epidemiol 1996;49(4):401–9.
- [77] Bunin GR, Gyllstrom ME, Brown JE, Kahn EB, Kushi LH. Recall of diet during a past pregnancy. Am J Epidemiol 2001;154(12):1136–42.
- [78] Sharafi SF, Javadi M, Barikani A. Reliability and validity of short food frequency questionnaire among pregnant females. Biotech Health Sci 2016;3(2):e34608.
- [79] Tayyem R, Allehdan S, Mustafa L, Thekraallah F, Al-Asali F. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire for estimating macro- and micronutrient intakes among pregnant women in Jordan. J Am Coll Nutr 2020;39 (1):29–38.