

Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology

Biotechnological approaches for the production of camptothecin

**Akshatha Banadka¹, Sudheer Wudali Narasimha¹, Vijayalaxmi S Dandin², Poornanand M. Naik³,
Amaranatha Reddy Vennapusa^{4*}, Kalpalatha Melmaiee⁴, Ramu S. Vemanna⁵, Jameel M. Al-Khayri⁶,
Muthu Thiruvengadam⁷, Praveen Nagella^{1*}**

¹Department of Life Sciences, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bangalore – 560 029, Karnataka, India.

²Department of Biology, JSS College, Dharwad, India.

³Department of Botany, Karnatak University, Dharwad 580003, Karnataka, India.

⁴Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Delaware State University, Dover, DE 19901, USA.

⁵Laboratory of Plant Functional Genomics, Regional Center for Biotechnology, Faridabad, Haryana 121001, India.

⁶Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, College of Agriculture and Food Sciences, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

⁷Department of Crop Science, College of Sanghuh Life Science, Konkuk University, Seoul, South Korea

*Corresponding author: praveen.n@christuniversity.in; Tel: +91-80-40129717; avennapusa@desu.edu; Phone: +17852361351

Table S1 Precursor feeding for enhanced camptothecin production

Plant/ fungus name	Explant	Optimal precursor concentration	CPT content	Reference
<i>C. acuminata</i>	Cell lines	1 mM strictosidine	CPT undetected	(Silvestrini et al. 2002)
<i>E. heyneana</i>	Leaf, callus culture	tryptophan	CPT undetected	(Kulkarni 2008)
<i>O. eriantha</i>	Cell suspension culture	50 μ M secologanine + tryptamine	0.0914 mg g ⁻¹ and 0.0843 mg g ⁻¹	(Rani 2011)

Table S2 Various methods for extraction and quantification of CPT from plants and endophytes

Plant/ Endophyte name	Extraction method	Quantification method	CPT Content	Reference
<i>C. acuminata</i> Decne.	Maceration +rotary evaporation	HPLC (HP 1090)	0.042-0.051%	(Liu and Adams 1996)
	Sonication + Stirring	HPLC (Waters model 510) TLC	0.85-3.6%	(van Hengel et al. 1994)
	Assisted solvent extraction	HPLC (HP1100)	0.048-0.554%	(Li et al. 2002)
	Sonication + vigorous stirring	HPLC (Spectra System)	0.0029 mg L ⁻¹	(Kim et al. 1999)
<i>N. nimmoniana</i>	Sonication	-	0.000025%	(Fulzele et al. 2001)
	Microwave-assisted extraction	HPTLC (CAMAG)	350-400 µg g ⁻¹	(Isah 2017)
	Sonication	HPLC (Schimadzu)	29.8 µg g ⁻¹	(Karwasara and Dixit 2013)

	Maceration		0.1875 g 100 g ⁻¹	(Upadhya et al. 2014)
	Maceration	HPLC (Shimadzu SPD-20A)	0.075-0.7%	(Isah and Mujib 2015a)
	Water bath	-	50- 749 µg g ⁻¹	(Mithun et al. 2018)
	Solvent	HPLC (Thermo scientific)	0.01%	(Mithun et al. 2017)
	Soxhlet	TLC (E-Merck 60F254)	0.010 % - 0.084 %	(Lokesh et al. 2014)
	Solvent	HPLC (Waters delta-pak)	9.5 µg g ⁻¹	(Ciddi and Shuler 2000)
	Sonication		0.70%- 2.62%	(Namdeo et al. 2012)
	Sonication	HPLC (Waters 600 system)	0.0537-0.1555%	(Chang et al. 2014)
<i>E. heyneana</i>	Solvent	-	0.00013%	(Gunasekera et al. 1979)

<i>Tabernaemontana alternifolia</i> L.	Cold	TLC (E-Merck 60F254), HPTLC (CAMAG), HPLC (Perkin Elmer series 2000)	0.0003% - 0.0013%	(Kulkarni et al. 2010)
<i>M. dentata</i>	Water bath	HPLC, LCMS (Shimadzu)	1.0–1.4%	(Ramesha et al. 2013)
<i>M. megacarpum</i>	Solvent + Column chromatography	-	0.053%	(Arisawa et al. 1981)
<i>Pyrenacantha klaineana</i> Pierre ex Exell & Mendonça	Water bath	HPLC, LCMS (Shimadzu)	0.488%	(Ramesha et al. 2013)
<i>I. coccinea</i>	Water bath	HPLC (Shimadzu)	5.0611 µg g ⁻¹	(Saravanan and Boopalan 2011)
<i>Ophiorrhiza species</i>	Soxhlet	HPTLC(CAMAG)	0.05 - 476.89 µg g ⁻¹	(Rajan et al. 2013)
<i>O. rugosa</i>	Soxhlet	TLC and HPLC (JASCO)	0.0002- 0.00485 %	(Jaimsha Rani et al. 2010)
	Solvent		0.008- 0.0096 %	(Roja 2006)
			0.002- 0.090%	(Roja 2008)
<i>O. alata</i>	Ultrasonication	HPLC (Zorbax Eclipse XDB)	83-785 µg g ⁻¹	(Ya-ut et al. 2011)

<i>O. eriantha</i>	Soxhlet	TLC and HPLC (JASCO)	0.002- 0.0485 mg g ⁻¹	(Jaimsha Rani et al. 2010)
<i>O. trichocarpa</i>	Soxhlet	HPLC (Gilson 321 series)	0.0021-0.0486 mg g ⁻¹	(Varghese 2017)
<i>Ophiorrhiza mungos</i> L.	Water bath	HPLC (Schimadzu)	0.15%- 0.23%	(Nagesha et al. 2018)
<i>Ophiorrhiza mungos</i> var. <i>Angustifolia</i>	Solvent	HPLC (Shimadzu)	297.94 µg g ⁻¹	(Krishna Kumar et al. 2018)
	Soxhlet		0.14 mg g ⁻¹	(Krishnan et al. 2018)
	Sonication	HPLC Jasco 900)	0.0026 -0.0768%	(Namdeo et al. 2012)
<i>O. pumila</i>	Solvent	HPLC	0.03-0.1%	(Saito et al. 2001)
<i>Ophiorrhiza pectinata</i> Arn.	Solvent	TLC(Sisco)	0.001042- 0.00152 %	(Lekshmi 2011)
<i>Ophiorrhiza prostrata</i> D. Don	Solvent	HPLC (Shimadzu)	0.16%	(Martin et al. 2008)
<i>O. kuroiwa</i>	Sonication	HPLC	219.3 µg g ⁻¹	(Asano et al. 2004)
<i>I. coccinea</i>	Water bath	HPLC (Shimadzu)	5.0611 µg g ⁻¹	(Saravanan and Boopalan 2011))

<i>C. grandiflora</i>	Cold treatment	TLC (E-Merck 60F254)	0.0007-0.0013%	(Kulkarni et al. 2010)
	Solvent	HPTLC (CAMAG)	0.024-0.030%	(Kedari and Malpathak 2014)
<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> LY357*	Solvent	HPLC-DAD-HRMS (SSI 1500 series)	197.82 µg L ⁻¹	(Pu et al. 2013))
<i>Aspergillus sp.</i> LY341 and LY355*			7.93 - 42.92µg L ⁻¹	
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> ON908494.1	Solvent	TLC (E-Merck 60F254)	170.5 µg L ⁻¹	(El-Sayed et al. 2023)
			150 µg L ⁻¹	(El-Sayed et al. 2022)
<i>Fomitopsis sp.</i> (MTCC 10177) *, <i>Alternaria alternata</i> (MTCC 5477)* and <i>Phomopsis sp</i> *	Water bath	LC-MS with HPLC (Dionex Ultimate 3000)	37- 53 µg 100 g ⁻¹	(Shweta et al. 2010)
<i>Fusarium solani</i> *	Ultrasonication		0.8mg L ⁻¹ and 0.52 mg L ⁻¹	(Kusari et al. 2009)
<i>Fusarium oxysporum kolhapuriensis</i> *	Ultra-sound assisted extraction	HPTLC (CAMAG) HPLC (Shimadzu) LCMS Model-	283 mg L ⁻¹	(Bhalkar et al. 2015)

<i>Colletotrichum fruticola</i> SUK1* (F1) and <i>Corynespora cassicola</i> *		G6540B (Agilent Technologies)	146 mg L ⁻¹	(Bhalkar et al. 2016)
<i>Entrophospora infrequens</i> *, <i>Nodulisporium sp</i> *	Solvent	TLC (64271 Darmstadt Merck K GaA)	0.575 ± 0.031 mg 100 g ⁻¹	(Amna et al. 2006)
<i>Neurospora crassa</i> *	Solvent	HPLC (Luna) LC-MS Bruker-Bremer system	5.5 µg g ⁻¹	(Rehman et al. 2008), (Rehman et al. 2009)

* Indicates endophytes isolated from different plant sources

Table S3 Bioreactor studies in plants and endophytes

Plant/ Endophyte name	Explant/ plant source	Bioreactor studies	CPT content	Reference
<i>C. acuminata</i>	Callus	5 L airlift bioreactor, 25 °C, 0.33 L min ⁻¹ aeration, pH 5.8	3.47% ICPTA (isocamptothecin A) 1.23% ICPTB (isocamptothecin B)	(Yu et al. 2005)
<i>O. pumila</i>	Hairy roots	3 L Bioreactor, 25°C; 0.25 min ⁻¹ aeration rate; 60 rpm agitation rate	0.0023%	(Sudo et al. 2002)
<i>Entrophospora infrequens</i> *	<i>N. nimmoniana</i>	5 L and 18 L batch bioreactor, 28°C, pH 5.6, 1 vvm aeration rate, 200–220 rpm agitation rate	0.00496%	(Amna et al. 2006)
<i>Nodulisporium</i> *		40 L bioreactor, 18 L working volume, 1 vvm aeration rate, 0.2 kg cm ⁻¹ pressure, 28°C 220 rpm agitation rate	0.0045%	(Rehman et al. 2009)
<i>Fusarium oxysporum kolhapuriensis</i> *		Bench-scale upflow column bioreactor, whey concentrate powder, 60% moisture, 2.45×10 ⁻⁶ spores/ g, 30 °C, 7 days. 20 mL h ⁻¹ feeding rate	0.0128%	(Bhalkar et al. 2016)

* Indicates endophytes isolated from different plant sources