RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Identification of DNA response elements regulating expression of CCAAT/ enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) β and δ and MAP kinase-interacting kinases during early adipogenesis

James E. Merrett ^(a,b), Tao Bo^{a,c}, Peter J. Psaltis^{a,d}, and Christopher G. Proud^{a,b}

^aLifelong Health, South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, Australia; ^bDepartment of Molecular and Biomedical Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia; ^cShandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, China; ^dAdelaide Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

ABSTRACT

Given the high and increasing prevalence of obesity and associated disorders, such as type-2 diabetes, it is important to understand the mechanisms that regulate lipid storage and the differentiation of fat cells, a process termed adipogenesis. Using the well-established mouse 3T3-L1 *in vitro* model of adipogenesis, we refine how the induction of two key adipogenic transcription factors, CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBPs) β and δ are regulated during early adipogenesis. We identify, in the gene promoters of *Cebpb* and *Cebpd*, the DNA response elements responsible for binding transcription factors that are activated by cAMP or glucocorticoids. We also show that mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)-interacting kinase 2 (MNK2; *Mknk2*), which plays a distinct role in diet-induced obesity, is induced during early adipogenesis and identify the functional DNA response elements responsible for regulating its expression. *Mknk2* expression is maintained in differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes and is expressed at high levels across a range of mouse adipose tissue depots. Together, these new insights help to clarify the transcriptional programme of early adipogenesis and identify *Mknk2* as one of potentially many genes up-regulated during adipogenesis.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 25 March 2020 Revised 7 July 2020 Accepted 9 July 2020

KEYWORDS

Adipogenesis; adipocyte; cyclic AMP (cAMP); cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB); CCAAT/ enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP); glucocorticoid; glucocorticoid receptor; MAPK-interacting kinase (MNK)

Introduction

Triacylglycerol (TAG) is a stable and major long-term energy reserve in mammals. TAG stores expand when energy intake exceeds expenditure, leading to individuals becoming overweight or obese; conditions which are associated with a range of chronic disorders including diabetes, cardiovascular disease and some cancers [1,2]. Excessive energy promotes weight gain by driving both the expansion of existing adipocytes (hypertrophy) and the development of new ones derived from stem cells (hyperplasia). Given the ever-increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity in the human population, there is an important need to understand the underlying mechanisms that lead to weight gain and diet-induced obesity (DIO), particularly in relation to the development of new adipocytes, a process termed 'adipogenesis'.

Adipogenesis and the synthesis and breakdown of TAG stores are subject to tight and complex regulation by hormones, neural signals and other pathways [3,4]. Established pre-adipocyte cell models have been

indispensable for the study of the transcriptional programmes that regulate adipogenesis [3,5,6]. 3T3-L1 preadipocytes are the most widely used model as they faithfully recapitulate the events that occur during in vivo adipogenesis [7-9]. Established protocols have been developed to initiate the differentiation of pre-adipocytes; inducers that activate the insulin [10], glucocorticoid and cAMP-signalling pathways [11] initiate a phase of early transcription, which is followed by synchronous entry into the cell cycle and several rounds of mitosis. Upon exit from the cell cycle, cells lose their fibroblastic morphology, accumulate triglyceride and acquire the metabolic features and appearance of adipocytes [7,9-12]. TAG accumulation is closely correlated with an increased rate of de novo lipogenesis and a coordinate rise in expression of the enzymes of fatty acid and TAG biosynthesis [10,11,13]. Rosiglitazone (ROSI), a thiadiazol used as a diabetic treatment to enhance insulin sensitivity [14], is now routinely included in the medium for adipogenic induction as it significantly enhances the conversion of pre-adipocytes to adipocytes [15].

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CONTACT Christopher G. Proud Achieve Christopher.proud@sahmri.com Diffeong Health, South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, South Australia SA5000, Australia

Adipogenesis involves the coordinated and temporal expression of a number of key transcriptional regulators, beginning with the immediate induction of early transcription factors C/EBP β and C/EBP δ [16]. C/EBP β and C/EBP δ are the direct targets of cAMP- and glucocorticoid signalling, respectively [17,18]. Together, these factors are responsible for inducing the expression of PPAR γ which in turn transactivate the expression of C/EBP α . C/EBP α , in concert with PPAR γ , co-ordinately activate the expression of adipogenesis [19,20].

The cAMP-elevating agent, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), directly induces expression of *Cebpb*, as mediated by the binding of cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) to a previously identified cAMP response element (CRE) in its promoter [21]. *Cebpb* is also reportedly induced by the glucocorticoid dexamethasone (DEX) in hepatocytes [22], muscle [23] and brown adipocytes [24]; but this is yet to be shown in differentiating pre-adipocytes. Despite extensive studies of the transcriptional programmes regulating early adipogenesis, mapping of the *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* gene promoters (with the exception of [21]) has not been undertaken to identify the CREs and glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) responsible for regulating their expression.

Numerous genes and proteins have been implicated in regulating biological responses to DIO. We recently reported that mice in which the genes for MAPKinteracting kinase 2 (MNK2, Mknk2) have been knocked out are protected against DIO [25]. Mice in which the genes for the closely related enzyme, MNK1, are knocked out are also protected against some adverse effects of elevated energy intake [25]. Both MNK1 and MNK2 phosphorylate eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), a key component of the cell's protein synthesis machinery [26]. The activity of MNK1 is acutely and markedly stimulated by MAPK signalling, whilst MNK2 has high basal activity that is only slightly elevated by upstream signalling through the MAPKs [27,28]. Expression of Mknk2, but not Mknk1, is strongly induced during adipogenesis [25]. However, whilst the regulation of MNK activity is quite well understood, there is no information on the mechanisms that govern their expression in differentiating and mature adipocytes, or indeed any other cell type.

In this study, we have probed the fundamental transcriptional events that regulate the expression of C/ EBP β and C/EBP δ . We show that *Cebpb* expression is augmented by DEX and identify the putative GREs likely responsible for mediating this. In addition to the previously reported CRE, we identify an additional distal CRE element that binds CREB in response to elevated cAMP levels. Moreover, we report, for the first time, the presence and location of a GRE in the promoter of *Cebpd* which likely regulates its expression. Given the relevance of the MNKs for adipose tissue biology and DIO [25], we also investigate how *Mknk* expression may be transcriptionally regulated during adipogenesis and analyse its expression profile in the adipose depots of mice.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

Murine 3T3-L1 embryonic fibroblasts (ATCC; CL-173) were maintained in high glucose Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen; 11,995–065) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (Invitrogen; 10,-099–141) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen; 15,140–122) and grown at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 .

Differentiation of 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes

3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes were seeded at a density of 3.5×10^4 cells/cm² and grown to two-days postconfluence (day 0) at which point the media was replaced with growth medium freshly supplemented with 350 nM insulin, 500 μ M IBMX, 0.5 μ M DEX and 2 μ M ROSI ('differentiation' media). On day 3, the media was replaced with growth media supplemented with 350 nM insulin ('maintenance' media) which was replenished every 3 days. A 0.35% (w/v) solution of ORO (Sigma-Aldrich) in isopropanol was prepared and used to stain differentiated adipocytes, according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Stained cells were imaged on a ZEISS Axio Vert A1 inverted microscope (ZEISS) using a 5X objective lens.

RNA isolation

RNA was routinely harvested from cells using TRI Reagent (Sigma; T9424) and cDNA was synthesized using the QuantiNova Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen; 205,413), according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Quantitative gene expression analysis was performed using Fast SYBR Green Master (Applied Biosystems; 4,385,617) Mix on the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Beverly, MA). RT-qPCR reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations using gene-specific primers (Table 1). Relative gene expression was calculated using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$; with the geometric mean of Nono and Hprt as the

Table 1. Primers used for RT-qPCR gene expression analyses.

Gene	F Primer (5'-3')	R Primer (5'-3')
Acaca	TGCCTCTGAGAACCCGAAAC	GCCAATCCACTCGAAGACCA
B2m	CTGCTACGTAACACAGTTCCACCC	CATGATGCTTGATCACATGTCTCG
Cebpa	AAACAACGCAACGTGGAGAC	AGTTCACGGCTCAGCTGTTC
Cebpb	CTGCGGGGTTGTTGATGT	ATGCTCGAAACGGAAAAGGT
Cebpd	GCAGCCCCAAAAGCCAGTAAT	GATCAGGGAAGGGGTTGGAA
Hprt	ACATTGTGGCCCTCTGTGTG	TTATGTCCCCCGTTGACTGA
Mknk1	GATTCCTCTGAGACTCCAAGTTAA	ACGCTTCTTCTTCCTCCTCTT
Mknk2	CCAGTGCCAGGGACATAGG	GCCACGCATCTTCTCAAACA
Nono	TGCTCCTGTGCCACCTGGTACTC	CCGGAGCTGGACGGTTGAATGC
Pparg	TCCGTGATGGAAGACCACTCGCAT	CAGCAACCATTGGGTCAGCTCTTG

internal reference. The relative levels of *Mknk1* and *Mknk2* in mouse tissues were calculated using $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ x 100, using the geometric mean of *B2m* and *Hprt* as the internal reference.

Extraction of protein

Cell monolayers were harvested on ice in RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) NP-40, 1% (v/v) sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% (v/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acteic acid (EDTA), 50 mM β -glycerophosphate, 0.5 mM NaVO₃, 0.1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and 1× protease inhibitors (Roche; 11836170001). Insoluble material was removed by centrifuging at >12,000 × *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Protein content was determined by the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad; 5000006) and lysates prepared to equal concentrations.

Immunoblot analyses

Cell lysates were heated at 95°C for 5 min in Laemmli Sample Buffer and then subjected to sodium-dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE [29];) followed by electrophoretic transfer to 0.45 μ m nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad; 1620115). Membranes were blocked in PBS-0.05% Tween20 (PBST) containing 5% (w/ v) skim milk powder for 60 min at room temperature. Membranes were probed with primary antibody in PBST with 5% BSA (w/v) (Table 2) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then washed (3 × 5 min) in PBST and incubated with fluorescently tagged secondary antibody, diluted in PBST, for 1 h. Membranes were washed again in PBST (3 × 5 min) and fluorescent signals were visualized using the Odyssey Quantitative Imaging System (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE).

Identification of DNA response element-binding sites

Publicly accessible ChIP-sequence (ChIP-seq) data were extracted from ChIP-atlas (chip-atlas.org) using the 'Peak Browser' function. Briefly, ChIP-seq data for

 Table 2. Primary antibodies used for detection of proteins by

 Western blot. Abbreviations: M: mouse, R: Rabbit.

Target	Dilution	Species	Catalog.	Supplier
elF4E	1:1000	R	CST-9742	Cell Signaling
P-elF4E (Ser209)	1:1000	R	PA-44528G	ThermoFisher
rpS6	1:1000	М	sc-74459	Santa-Cruz
P-rpS6 (Ser240/244)	1:1000	R	CST-2215	Cell Signaling
ERK	1:1000	R	CST-9102	Cell Signaling
P-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204)	1:1000	R	CST-4370	Cell Signaling
PKB	1:1000	R	CST-4685	Cell Signaling
P-PKB (Ser473)	1:500	R	CST-9271	Cell Signaling
4EBP1	1:1000	R	CST-9644	Cell Signaling
P-4EBP1 (Ser65)	1:500	R	CST-9451	Cell Signaling
C/EBPa	1:500	R	CST-2295	Cell Signaling
C/EBPβ	1:500	R	sc-150	Santa-Cruz
C/EBPδ	1:500	R	CST-2318	Cell Signaling
PPARγ	1:1000	R	CST-2443	Cell Signaling
FABP4	1:1000	R	CST-3544	Cell Signaling
MNK1	1:1000	R	CST-2195	Cell Signaling
CREB	1:500	R	CST-9197	Cell Signaling
P-CREB (Ser133)	1:500	R	CST-9198	Cell Signaling
ACTIN	1:5000	М	A2228	Sigma-Aldrich
GAPDH	1:1000	М	G8795	Sigma-Aldrich

the antigen of interest were pulled from all cell types using a threshold significance of 100. The data were analysed using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) software (v2.0) (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA), aligned to the mouse NCBI37/mm9 genome assembly (July 2007). Enrichment peaks for the antigen of interest were analysed within \pm 10 kb of a given gene's transcription start site. The corresponding regions were analysed on a genome browser (benchling.com) and putative binding sequences were identified using the available consensus sequence data. All reported transcription start site locations are referenced to the mouse NCBI37/mm9 genome assembly (July 2007).

ChIP

Proteins were cross-linked to DNA by the addition of 37% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich; F8775) to a final concentration of 1% for 10 min at the desired time of analysis. Glycine was then added to a final concentration of 125 mM for 5 min. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, then collected in 1 mL PBS supplemented with $1 \times$ protease inhibitor cocktail and centrifuged at 1000 ×

g for 5 min at 4°C. Cell pellets were lysed in 400 µL ChIP lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS). Lysates were sonicated on ice for 3 min (in 30 s bursts, with 30 s breaks) after which cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at $8000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. Sonicated chromatin was diluted in 600 µL RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS), then pre-cleared with 20 µL ChIP-grade Protein G Magnetic Beads (Cell Signalling Technology; 9006) for 2 h at 4°C. 1% of the chromatin was removed to serve as the input control, and then ChIP antibodies (Table 3) were added to the chromatin and incubated overnight at 4°C. Immune complexes were collected by adding 20 µL ChIP-grade Protein G Magnetic Beads to each IP for 2 h at 4°C, then washed three times in 1 mL low salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS) for 5 min, followed by a final wash in high salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS). Chromatin was eluted in 150 µL elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO₃, 1% SDS) for 30 min at 65°C with shaking (1,200 rpm). Cross-links were reversed from eluted chromatin by adding 6 µL of

Table 3. Antibodies used for ChIP.

			-	
Target	Dilution	Species	Catalogue.	Supplier
C/EBPa	1:100	R	CST-2295	Cell Signaling
C/EBPβ	1:100	R	sc-150	Santa-Cruz
P-CREB	1:100	R	CST-9198	Cell Signaling
GR	1:100	R	CST-12041	Cell Signaling
lgG	1:1000	R	CST-2729	Cell Signaling
PPARγ	1:100	R	CST-2443	Cell Signaling

5 M NaCl and 2 µL Proteinase K (NEB; P8107S) and incubation overnight at 65°C. DNA was purified using QIAquick PCR clean-up kit (Qiagen; 28106) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

qRT-PCR was performed using 1 μ L of eluted chromatin as template with the following cycling conditions: DNA polymerase activation 95°C/180 s, followed by cycles of denaturation (95°C, 15 s) and annealing/extension (60°C, 60 s). Primers were designed manually using Primer3 software to flank the putative DNA binding site(s) for the relevant protein (Table 4). Protein enrichment was calculated using the 'percent input method' whereby signals obtained from each IP were expressed as a percentage of the total input chromatin.

Per cent Input = $1\% \times 2^{(C[T] \ 1\% \ Input \ Sample \ - \ C[T] \ IP \ Sample)}$

Results

Characterization of rapid changes in gene expression in 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes

When assessed across the differentiation programme we observed that the mRNAs for *Cebpb* and *Cebpd*, two well-established early adipogenic transcription factors, were induced rapidly (3 h after initiating adipogenic induction; Figure 1(a,b)). This was subsequently reflected in their respective protein levels (Figure 2(a)). Staining with Oil Red O (ORO) revealed the expected accumulation of lipid and confirmed the effectiveness of the differentiation programme (Figure 2(b)).

Levels of the *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* mRNAs fell thereafter, returning to basal levels by days 3-6 (Figure 1(a,b)).

Table 4. Primers used for enrichment of protein-bound DNA regions. Gene promoter, type of response element and the distance from transcription start site are indicated. Regions where no specific enrichment was expected are indicated as NEG (negative).

Protein	Region	F Primer (5'-3')	R Primer (5'-3')
CREB	Cebpb CRE-60/106	CCCCGCGTTCATGCAC	CCACTTCCATGGGTCTAAAGGC
	Cebpb CRE -2343/2398	CATGGCCTATTGAGCAAAGAACC	ACCTCATCACAGAGCTTGGC
	Cebpd CRE –41	AAACCGCACAAACAGGAAGGAG	ACCGCCGCCTTTTCTAGC
	Mknk1 CRE –60	AGTGAACTGGCCTTGCTTCTC	GTCGAGAACGCGGAAGAGG
	Mknk2 CRE –372	AAGGGGAGATTCCGAGGGAAG	AGCCTCTCCACACAGTCCTC
GR	Cebpb GRE +20	GCGCCGCCTTATAAACCTC	CAGGCGGTGCATGAACG
	Cebpb GRE –1161	GCTAGCGTCTTACCCTTTCCC	CAACCTTCGGTGTTATCTGCTGAG
	Cebpd GRE –98	TCCGCCTTTGCTATGTCTGAAG	ACTCCTTGCCTTCCCTCCTTC
	Mknk1 GRE –142	CTGCCCTCAGGTTTACAAGAACTC	AGAAGCAAGGCCAGTTCACTG
	Mknk2 GRE –86	ACCAGTCTCCGCCTTTCTCAG	TAAGCTCCGCCCCTTAAACG
	Mknk2 GRE –1883	TCTGACAGCCAAGTACGTCTTC	TGGTGCCTATAGGGTGAACATC
	Mknk2 GRE –3270	GTCAGTGTCCTATGCTTGGGATTG	CTTATGTGGTGTGATCTGGTGAGG
C/EBPa	Mknk2 C/EBPRE -1204/1258	CAGGGGATAGAACTTCAGCTCAAG	CTCTTACATGTGTCCCAGGAATGG
C/EBPβ	Mknk2 C/EBPRE -264/290	AACACGGCTGCGCACTTC	GGCTGCAGTCGAGTATCTTTTCAC
	Mknk2 C/EBPRE -1204/1258	CAGGGGATAGAACTTCAGCTCAAG	CTCTTACATGTGTCCCAGGAATGG
PPARy	Mknk2 PPARE –1975	ATGTTCACCCTATAGGCACCAG	TGGGAGCCTAGCTTGTAAACAG
	Mknk2 PPARE –3348	CCATAGAGGAAGAACTGAGACAGC	GGCAGAGCATGTGTCTATTGTACC
	Mknk2 PPARE –3993	CCATAGAGGAAGAACTGAGACAGC	GGCAGAGCATGTGTCTATTGTACC
NEG	<i>Cebpb</i> NEG –12,460	TTCCTCAACCTCCTGTCTTGTCTC	GAACTGTACAGCATTCCTGACCAG
	Cebpd NEG –1378	GTTGGCGGGTTTCTGAATACAC	CTGGGTCCACTCACTACGTTTATG
	Mknk1 NEG –6325	GTGGCTTCACCTTTACACATTACC	TTTGTACCTCTGCCCTCTGCTC
	Mknk2 NEG -4862	GAGTCAACATGGCAGGCTAAAC	GGAGAAGAGAAATATAGGCTTGGG



Figure 1. (a-d) 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were treated with differentiation medium for the indicated times (h, unless indicated by D = days) at which point samples were analysed for expression of *Cebpb, Cebpd, Cebpa* and *Pparg* by RT-qPCR. (e,f) 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were treated with the indicated components of the differentiation medium or the entire cocktail, as indicated, for 3 h at which point samples were analysed for expression of *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* by RT-qPCR. Data presented are mean \pm SEM (n = 3).

Levels of the C/EBP β and C/EBP δ proteins also gradually declined, but remained above basal levels until at least 72 h after the start of treatment (Figure 2(a)). *Cebpa* and *Pparg*, encoding the two main drivers of terminal adipogenesis, were induced within 48 h and their mRNA levels continued to rise thereafter (Figure 1(c,d)). Expression of the C/EBP α and PPAR γ proteins increased steadily across

the differentiation programme, reaching maximal levels in mature, differentiated adipocytes on days 6 and 9 (Figure 2(a)). PPAR γ and C/EBP α drive a programme of gene expression that facilitates terminal adipocyte differentiation. One marker of terminal differentiation is fatty acid-binding protein 4 (FABP4), which was induced concomitantly with PPAR γ and C/EBP α after 72 h,



Figure 2. (a,c) 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were treated with differentiation medium for the indicated times (h or min, unless indicated by D = days) at which point cells were harvested and analysed by immunoblot using the indicated antibodies. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. (b) Day 9 adipocytes were stained with ORO to assess extent of differentiation and lipid accumulation; representative image shown, scale bar 0.2 μ m.

reaching maximal levels in mature adipocytes on days 6–9 (Figure 2(a)).

The induction of adipocyte differentiation stimulates several signalling pathways; the level of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) increased after 24 h of adipogenic induction, remained elevated until 72 h but was greatly diminished at days 6 and 9 (Figure 2(a)). The phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 (rpS6) (Ser240/ 244), a readout of mTORC1 signalling, was elevated at 3, 6, 48 and 72 h (Figure 2(a)). Lower P-rpS6 levels however, were recorded at 24 h and on days 6 and 9. Total levels of eIF4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), the availability and phosphorylation of which regulate the initiation of mRNA translation, were elevated in terminally differentiated adipocytes on days 6 and 9. However, the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 (P-4E-BP1), which is catalysed by mTORC1, was not increased relative to total levels at those times (Figure 2(a)). The phosphorylation of protein kinase B (PKB, also termed Akt) (Ser473), which is a marker of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3 K)/insulin signalling was acutely elevated at 3 and 6 h, but this fell by 24 h. Analysis at earlier time points (30 and 60 min) revealed that the differentiation induction rapidly activated the ERK pathway, while mTORC1 signalling lagged somewhat, only being evident by 45 min (Figure 2(a) and data not shown). Thus, the adipogenic cocktail induces rapid but transient activation of PKB, swift and sustained activation of the mTORC1 pathway and slower stimulation of the ERK MAPK pathway which is sustained up to 72 h.

The differentiation medium (which contains the cAMP-phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX) rapidly stimulated the phosphorylation of CREB, a transcription factor which is the direct substrate for cAMPdependent protein kinase, PKA (Figure 2(c)). Its increased phosphorylation was already evident at 30 min and remained elevated until 1 h, after which it gradually declined. We also observed modest and transient rises in the phosphorylation of the MNK substrate eIF4E and of ERK1/2, which lie upstream of the MNKs (Figure 2(c)). Since MNK1, in particular, is activated by ERK [26,30] its activation likely explains the transient increase in P-eIF4E while the basal level of P-eIF4E is likely due to MNK2, which has constitutive activity [28].

Induction of early gene expression by individual components of the adipogenic cocktail

As shown above, adipogenic stimulation resulted in an immediate induction in Cebpb and Cebpd. To understand how early adipogenic signalling brings about this induction, individual components of the adipogenic induction medium were tested to assess their roles and dissect the signalling pathways involved. By activating PKA, IBMX induces the phosphorylation of CREB, which then enters the nucleus and binds to cAMP response elements (CREs) to activate expression of target genes. DEX is a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist, which binds to and activates the GR. Ligandbound GR translocates to the nucleus where it binds to glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) to promote the expression of its target genes. Insulin is an anabolic hormone that binds to its extracellular receptor which in turn activates PI3 K/PKB signalling and leads to diverse cellular responses. ROSI is a synthetic ligand that promotes PPARy activity during terminal adipogenesis and (because PPARy is only present later in adipogenesis) is not expected to directly modulate early adipogenic signalling. These components were used either individually, in combination or all together ('cocktail') to assess their abilities to induce Cebpb, Cebpd, Mknk1 and Mknk2 during early adipogenesis.

IBMX and DEX each induced similar levels of *Cebpb* mRNA expression and its levels were further increased when IBMX and DEX were used in combination (Figure 1(e)). *Cebpb* expression was not further elevated by the addition of insulin and ROSI, suggesting that IBMX and DEX are responsible for inducing *Cebpb* expression through separate pathways (Figure 1(e)).

DEX alone induced *Cebpd* (Figure 1(f)), while IBMX alone did not; rather, when combined with DEX, IBMX actually reduced expression to half the level observed with DEX alone (Figure 1(f)). The addition of insulin and ROSI further blunted *Cebpd* expression, suggesting DEX alone is responsible for *Cebpd* expression, and that the effect of DEX on *Cebpd* is negatively regulated by IBMX, insulin and/or ROSI.

Transcription factor binding sites in the *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* genes

Apart from a study mapping the CRE-like elements responsible for cAMP-induced Cebpb expression [21] and a genome-wide study identifying putative CRE elements based on computational prediction [31] there have been no detailed studies mapping transcription factor binding sites on the promoters of Cebpb or Cebpd, Mknk1 or Mknk2. Publicly accessible ChIP-seq data were therefore used to locate possible CRE's and GRE's, respectively, in these genes' promoters. Analysis identified three half-site GRE-like elements in Cebpb, two of which were distal (-1161 and -2370) to the transcription start site (TSS), the other being located in the proximal promoter (+20) (Figure 3(a)). The previously mapped CRE-like elements in Cebpb were identified (-60/106) [21], along with two additional CRE-like elements (-2343/2398), which shared the half-CRE core sequence (TGACG), with the exception of their final nucleotide (TGACA/TGACC) (Figure 3(a)).

In *Cebpd*, only a single CRE (-41) and GRE-like element (-98) were identified in the proximal promoter (Figure 4(a)). The CRE (-41) shared the half-CRE core sequence, whilst the GRE shared the full-length consensus sequence (Figure 4(a)). There are no published reports of CRE or GRE features in the promoter region of the *Cebpd* gene.

ChIP assays were performed to enrich for CREB and GR at the CRE- and GRE-like elements following 3 h of adipogenic stimulation. Antibodies for P-CREB (Ser133) and GR were used for ChIP. In *Cebpb*, P-CREB was most significantly enriched at the -60/106 CRE, consistent with the findings of Zhang *et al.* [21] which identified a CRE in this region, and also at the -2343/2398 CRE (Figure 3(b, c)). GR was most significantly enriched at the +20 and -2370 GRE-like elements but less significantly at the -1161 element (Figure 3(d-f)). In *Cebpd*, P-CREB and GR were significantly enriched at the -41 CRE- and -98 GRE-like elements, respectively (Figure 4(b,c)). There was no significant enrichment in the negative non-specific (NEG) controls indicating that enrichment observed at the proposed REs was specific (Figure 3(g,h) and 4(d,e)).



Figure 3. (a) Schematic diagram depicting the genomic position of putative response elements on the *Cebpb* promoter relative to the TSS (chr2:167,514,466). The sequences of the putative response elements are displayed in the table; nucleotides corresponding to the core consensus sequence are shown bold and underlined. ChIP assays were performed in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts to assess enrichment of (b,c) P-CREB at putative CREs and (d-f) GR at putative GREs after 3 h of adipogenic induction. (g,h) Enrichment was also assessed at the indicated NEG region. Data are mean \pm SEM; n = 4; unpaired two-tailed t-test (*P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 ***P < 0.001).

lgG

ĠR

0.10 input % 0.05

0.00



Site	sequence
CRE -41	CCCAGC <u>TGACG</u> CGCACG
GRE –98	CCCAGC <u>AGCACC</u> CCA <u>GTCCCT</u> CCCCGC



Figure 4. (a) Schematic diagram depicting the genomic position of putative response elements on the *Cebpd* promoter relative to the TSS (chr16:15,887,379). The sequences of the putative response elements are displayed in the table; nucleotides corresponding to the core consensus sequence are shown bold and underlined. ChIP assays were performed in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts to assess enrichment of (b) P-CREB at putative CREs and (c) GR at putative GREs after 3 h of adipogenic induction. (d,e) Enrichment was also assessed at the indicated NEG region. Data are mean \pm SEM; n = 4; unpaired two-tailed t-test (*P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 ***P < 0.001).

Control of expression of the MNKs

We have previously shown that mice in which the genes for MNK1 (*Mknk1*) or MNK2 (*Mknk2*) are knocked out are protected against DIO and provided data suggesting that MNKs may regulate adipogenesis [25]. As noted, expression of *Mknk2* increases markedly during adipogenesis in the 3T3-L1 system [25]. However, there is no information concerning the regulation of the expression of MNK1 or MNK2 or the transcription factors that may be involved.

Analysis of the expression of the Mknk1 and Mknk2genes in different mouse tissues revealed that they are expressed at relatively low levels in liver and subcutaneous fat (Figure 5(a,b)). In omental, gonadal and scapular adipose tissue and especially in muscle, Mknk2 is highly expressed and appears to be the predominant MNK isoform (Figure 5(a,b)). Interestingly, Mknk2 appears to be expressed at somewhat lower levels on a high-fat diet, most evidently in scapular adipose tissue (Figure 5(b)). Given the role of the MNKs in 3T3-L1 differentiation, it was of interest to examine whether their expression changed during adipogenesis. The expression of Mknk1 declined within 3 h but then remained steady (Figure 5(c)). In contrast, Mknk2 mRNA levels increased quickly after addition of the cocktail and continued to increase up to day 9 where it was maintained in mature adipocytes (Figure 5(d)).

Analysis of the effects of individual components of the cocktail showed that no individual agent or any combination tested significantly altered the levels of Mknk1 mRNA (Figure 5(e)). IBMX alone increased Mknk2 mRNA levels and this effect was enhanced by co-treatment with DEX and further by the full cocktail (Figure 5(f)). This suggests that cAMP and glucocorticoid signalling regulate the expression of Mknk2.

In *Mknk1*, a single CRE- (-60) and half-site GRElike element (-142) were identified (Figure 6(a)). A single CRE-like element was identified in *Mknk2* (-372) along with two half-site (-86, -1883) and one full-length (-3270) GRE-like element (Figure 6(f)). The CRE-like elements in both *Mknk1* (TGACT) and *Mknk2* (TGACC) share the half-CRE core sequence, with the exception of the final nucleotide, as indicated in bold.

ChIP analysis using antibodies for P-CREB or the GR revealed that P-CREB and the GR were significantly enriched in *Mknk1* at the -60 CRE and -142 GRE-like elements, respectively (Figure 6(b,c)). P-CREB was significantly enriched at the *Mknk2* CRE (-356) (Figure 6 (h)), whilst GR was most significantly enriched at the -1883 GRE but less so at the -86 and -3385 GREs (Figure 6(g,i,j)). Enrichment was specific to the putative response elements as there was no significant enrichment in the NEGregions (Figure 6(d,e,k,l)).

Given that Mknk2 is up-regulated substantially and in a sustained manner during adipogenesis, it seemed possible that adipogenic transcription factors were involved in regulating its expression. Again, publicly accessible ChIP-seq data were used to analyse the enrichment peaks of C/EBPa, C/EBPβ and PPARy to locate putative C/EBP response elements (C/EBPREs) and PPAR response elements (PPAREs) in the promoter of Mknk2. The analysis identified two putative C/ EBPREs (-264/290 and -1204/1258) and three putative PPAREs (-1975, -3348 and -3993) (Figure 7(a)). However, when assessed by ChIP, there was no significant enrichment of PPARy or C/EBPa after 72 h at their respective response elements (Figure 7(b-d,g)). In contrast, C/EBPB was enriched, although not significantly, at the -1204/1258 and -264/290 C/EBPREs after 24 h, suggesting that Mknk2 may be regulated by C/ EBP β (Figure 7(e,f)). Enrichment was specific to the putative response elements as there was no significant enrichment in the NEGregions (Figure 7(h-j)).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the early transcriptional programme of adipogenesis and revealed how the expression of two important adipogenic transcription factors, C/EBP β and C/EBP δ , are regulated in 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes. We identified (or refined) for the first time, the DNA response elements in the promoters of *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* that are bound by transcription factors activated by cAMP and glucocorticoids. We analysed the expression of MNK1/2 during 3T3-L1 adipogenesis and identified the response elements likely responsible for regulating their expression. We also showed that *Mknk2* is the predominant isoform in mouse adipose tissue and that it may be changed when mice consume a high-fat diet.

C/EBP β and C/EBP δ have important and partially redundant roles in adipogenesis, in part by activating expression of PPARy [17,32-35]. It is well-established that IBMX directly induces expression of Cebpb, as mediated by the binding of CREB to a previously identified CRE (-60/106) [21]. Upon analysis of the Cebpb promoter, however, we located an additional CRE-like element at -2343/2398 which was occupied by CREB, albeit to a lesser extent (Figure 3(c)). This suggests that CREB may regulate Cebpb expression from more than one CRE. However, Zhang et al. [21] did not identify such a distal CRE-like element and their gene-reporter constructs indicated that sequences greater 100 nucleotides from the TSS were not required for reporter expression. Therefore, the functional significance of the -2343/2398 site remains unknown until determined experimentally using a similar gene-reporter assay.

Notably, and for the first time, DEX was found to directly induce the expression of *Cebpb* (Figure 1(e)) through the occupancy of GR at three half-site GRE core sequence elements in its proximal and distal promoter (Figure 3). Previous reports of DEX-induced Cebpb expression used other cell systems [22-24] and this is the first report of DEX-induced Cebpb expression in pre-adipocytes. Furthermore, when DEX was combined with IBMX, it was found to further augment Cebpb expression (Figure 1(e)). Augmented Cebpb induction has been previously reported in hepatocytes using DEX in combination with either glucagon (a beta-adrenergic receptor agonist that increases cAMP) [36] or a cAMP analogue [37]. The combination of DEX and glucagon was shown to facilitate a greater maximal increase and more gradual decline in Cebpb



Figure 5. (a,b) RT-qPCR analysis of *Mknk1* and *Mknk2* expression in the indicated tissues of C57BL6/J mice fed chow (CD) or a highfat diet (HFD) for 16 weeks. Data presented are mean \pm SEM (n = 5–6 per group). (c,d) 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were treated with the differentiation medium for the indicated times (h, unless denoted by D = days) at which point samples were analysed for expression of *Mknk1* and *Mknk2* by RT-qPCR. (e,f) 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were treated with the indicated components of the differentiation medium or the entire cocktail, as indicated, for 3 h at which point samples were analysed for expression of *Mknk1* and *Mknk2* by RT-qPCR. Data presented are mean \pm SEM (n = 3).

levels than was observed with either DEX or glucagon alone [36]. This suggests that IBMX and DEX are each necessary for maximal and sustained C/EBP β expression and may help to explain why pre-adipocytes incubated in the absence of DEX show diminished expression of C/EBP β , C/EBP δ and C/EBP α and very little evidence of differentiation [17]. These findings challenge the existing adipogenic transcriptional paradigm which assumes that *Cebpb* is solely induced by IBMX [17,18]. It may also have implications for other



Figure 6. Schematic diagram depicting the genomic position of putative response elements on the (a) *Mknk1* and (f) *Mknk2* promoters relative to their TSS (chr4:115,511,826 and chr10:80,139,038, respectively). The sequences of the putative response elements are displayed in the tables below; nucleotides corresponding to the core consensus sequence are shown bold and underlined. ChIP assays were performed in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts to assess enrichment of (b,h) P-CREB at putative CREs and (c,g,i,j) GR at putative GREs after 3 h of adipogenic induction. Enrichment was also assessed at the indicated NEG regions of the two genes (d,e,k, l). Data are mean \pm SEM; n = 4; unpaired two-tailed t-test (*P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 ***P < 0.001).





Figure 7. (a) Schematic diagram depicting the genomic position of putative response elements on the *Mknk2* promoter relative to the TSS (chr10:80,139,038). The sequences of the putative response elements are displayed; nucleotides corresponding to the core consensus sequence are shown bold and underlined. ChIP assays were performed in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts to assess enrichment of (b-d) PPARy at putative PPAREs and (g) C/EBP α at putative C/EBPREs after 72 h of induction. (e,f) Enrichment of C/EBP β at putative C/EBPREs was assessed after 24 h. (h-j) Enrichment was also assessed at the indicated NEG region. Data are mean \pm SEM; n = 2; unpaired two-tailed t-test (*P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 ***P < 0.001).

IBMX-driven genes and suggests a complex relationship between CREB and GR-driven gene expression.

It is well-established that DEX directly induces the expression of *Cebpd* [17,18,23] but the location of the

responsible GRE(s) has not been previously reported. In this study, two imperfect palindrome 6-bp half-sites separated by three nucleotides were identified in the *Cebpd* proximal promoter (-98), corresponding to

a full-length GRE consensus sequence (Figure 4(b)). This site was found to be occupied by the GR following adipogenic induction, and is therefore likely to be the GRE element responsible for regulating Cebpd expression (Figure 4(c)). Analysis also identified a half-site CRE element in the Cebpd promoter that was occupied by CREB (Figure 4(b)); however, unlike *Cebpb*, IBMX and DEX did not augment Cebpd expression. Rather, IBMX actually impaired Cebpd expression suggesting that CREB may somehow repress Cebpd expression (Figure 1(f)). Given that IBMX is present in the initial induction media, such down-regulation of Cebpd appears counter-intuitive; however, this may be a mechanism by which cells appropriately modulate expression of *Cebpd* given that $C/EBP\delta$ is already very highly expressed after 3 h in the presence of IBMX (Figure 2(a)). CREB is typically thought of as a factor that activates gene expression but it can also act to negatively regulate gene expression [38-41]. The regulation of CREB-mediated transcription appears to be gene- and context-specific dependent on the recruitment of co-activators and co-repressors which vary between promoter environments [42,43]. CREB may therefore negatively regulate *Cebpd* levels to modulate and balance its expression through recruitment of corepressors at or near its promoter; however, further work is required to investigate this.

Here it was shown that Mknk2, but not Mknk1, is progressively increased during adipogenesis (Figure 5(d)). In the first analysis of the Mknk2 promoter, we identified three GRE-like elements bound by GR and a single CRElike element occupied by CREB, indicating that Mknk2 expression is likely regulated through cAMP and GR signalling (Figure 6f-j). During adipogenesis, such pathways are activated immediately upon hormone induction [17,18], suggesting MNK2 up-regulation may be important for adipocyte differentiation. These findings suggest Mknk2 may be just one of a number of other genes up-regulated by CREB and GR to promote adipogenesis [24,44]. Indeed, given its expression in mature adipocytes (Figure 5(b)), MNK2 may well perform other functions that regulate adipocyte biology. On another note, the finding that Mknk2 is regulated by cAMP and glucocorticoids may provide a useful insight into certain types of cancers in which MNK2 is highly expressed and associated with poor prognosis [45-47]. In our subsequent analysis, we identified two C/EBPREs in the Mknk2 promoter that were occupied by C/EBP β (Figure 7(e,f)), suggesting that this early adipogenic factor may be involved in regulating Mknk2 expression together with CREB and GR. However, Mknk2 does not appear to be regulated by PPARy or C/ EBPa, at least after 72 h, at the identified response elements (Figure 7(a-d,g)). Further work is needed to fully define how the expression of *Mknk2* is controlled both during adipogenesis and in other settings.

In contrast, Mknk1 levels remained quite stable (Figure 5(c)), despite the occupancy of its putative CRE and GRE-like elements by CREB and GR, respectively (Figure 6(a-c)). However, it is possible that whilst CREB occupancy on the CRE of *Mknk2* is inducible [48], the CRE-like element in *Mknk1* may be constitutively occupied, as has been observed in the gene promoters for Pepck, Pcna, Ccna and c-Fos [49-52]. Based upon their differential regulation, MNK1/2 may therefore perform distinct functions in adipocytes. The expression levels of Mknk1 and Mknk2 in differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes were reflected in the expression profile of mouse adipose tissue; Mknk2 was highly expressed and predominated over Mknk1 in omental, gonadal and scapular adipose tissue (Figure 5a,b). The expression of *Mknk2* in gonadal fat was consistent with earlier findings [25], and suggests more generally that MNK2 may be important for regulating aspects of adipocyte biology. The expression of Mknk1 and Mknk2 was relatively low in subcutaneous adipose tissue (Figure 5(a,b)), suggesting that there may be a lesser role for MNKs in this depot. Mknk2 expression was lower in adipose tissue from mice fed a high-fat diet, most evidently in scapular adipose tissue (Figure 5 (b)). This suggests that *Mknk2* expression may respond to changes in diet, perhaps allowing it to modulate changes in adipocyte metabolism. This may help to explain why MNK2-KO mice are protected from DIO [25], although the detailed mechanisms are yet to be established.

In conclusion, these studies provide new insights on the elements that control the expression of *Cebpb* and *Cebpd* during early adipogenesis.

Acknowledgments

The 3T3-L1 fibroblasts used in this study were generously provided by Yeesim Khew-Goodhall from the Centre for Cancer Biology (SA Pathology). We thank Dr Jianling Xie (SAHMRI) for his excellent assistance with aspects of this study and Professor Murray Whitelaw (University of Adelaide) for valuable advice.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Funding

This work was supported by funding from the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute. JEM

acknowledges the support received through the provision of an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. PJP is supported by an L2 Future Leader Fellowship from the National Heart Foundation of Australia [FLF102056] and L2 Career Development Fellowship from the National Health and Medical Research Council [CDF1161506].

ORCID

James E. Merrett in http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4644-7022

References

- [1] O'Neill S, O'Driscoll L. Metabolic syndrome: a closer look at the growing epidemic and its associated pathologies. Obesity Rev. 2014;16:1–12.
- [2] Upadhyay J, Farr O, Perakakis N, et al. Obesity as a Disease. Med Clin North Am. 2018;102:13–33.
- [3] Tang QQ, Lane MD. Adipogenesis: from stem cell to adipocyte. Annu Rev Biochem. 2012;81:715–736.
- [4] Pellegrinelli V, Carobbio S, Vidal-Puig A. Adipose tissue plasticity: how fat depots respond differently to pathophysiological cues. Diabetologia. 2016;59:1075–1088.
- [5] Farmer SR. Transcriptional control of adipocyte formation. Cell Metab. 2006;4:263–273.
- [6] Lowe CE, O'Rahilly S, Rochford JJ. Adipogenesis at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2011;124:3726.
- [7] Green H, Kehinde O. An established preadipose cell line and its differentiation in culture II. Factors affecting the adipose conversion. Cell. 1975;5:19–27.
- [8] Green H, Kehinde O. Spontaneous heritable changes leading to increased adipose conversion in 3T3 cells. Cell. 1976;7:105–113.
- [9] Green H, Meuth M. An established pre-adipose cell line and its differentiation in culture. Cell. 1974;3:127–133.
- [10] MacDougald OA, Lane MD. Transcriptional regulation of gene expression during adipocyte differentiation. Annu Rev Biochem. 1995;64:345–373.
- [11] Student AK, Hsu RY, Lane MD. Induction of fatty acid synthetase synthesis in differentiating 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. J Biol Chem. 1980;255:4745–4750.
- [12] Green H, Kehinde O. Sublines of mouse 3T3 cells that accumulate lipid. Cell. 1974;1:113–116.
- [13] Coleman RA, Reed BC, Mackall JC, et al. Selective changes in microsomal enzymes of triacylglycerol phosphatidylcholine, and phosphatidylethanolamine biosynthesis during differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. J Biol Chem. 1978;253:7256-7261.
- [14] Day C. Thiazolidinediones: a new class of antidiabetic drugs. Diabetic Med. 1999;16:179–192.
- [15] Zebisch K, Voigt V, Wabitsch M, et al. Protocol for effective differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells to adipocytes. Anal Biochem. 2012;425:88–90.
- [16] Tang -Q-Q, Lane MD. Activation and centromeric localization of CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins during the mitotic clonal expansion of adipocyte differentiation. Genes Dev. 1999;13:2231–2241.

- [17] Yeh WC, Cao Z, Classon M, et al. Cascade regulation of terminal adipocyte differentiation by three members of the C/EBP family of leucine zipper proteins. Genes Dev. 1995;9:168–181.
- [18] Cao Z, Umek RM, McKnight SL. Regulated expression of three C/EBP isoforms during adipose conversion of 3T3-L1 cells. Genes Dev. 1991;5:1538–1552.
- [19] Hamm JK, Park BH, Farmer SR. A role for C/EBPβ in regulating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ activity during adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:18464–18471.
- [20] Wu Z, Rosen ED, Brun R, et al. Cross-regulation of C/ EBPα and PPARγ controls the transcriptional pathway of adipogenesis and insulin sensitivity. Mol Cell. 1999;3:151–158.
- [21] Zhang J-W, Klemm DJ, Vinson C, et al. Role of CREB in transcriptional regulation of CCAAT/enhancerbinding protein β gene during adipogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:4471–4478.
- [22] Campos SP, Baumann H. Insulin is a prominent modulator of the cytokine-stimulated expression of acute-phase plasma protein genes. Mol Cell Biol. 1992;12:1789–1797.
- [23] Yang H, Mammen J, Wei W, et al. Expression and activity of C/EBP β and δ are upregulated by dexamethasone in skeletal muscle. J Cell Physiol. 2005;204:219–226.
- [24] Park Y-K, Ge K. Glucocorticoid receptor accelerates, but is dispensable for, adipogenesis. Mol Cell Biol. 2016. DOI:10.1128/mcb.00260-16
- [25] Moore CEJ, Pickford J, Cagampang FR, et al. MNK1 and MNK2 mediate adverse effects of high-fat feeding in distinct ways. Sci Rep. 2016. DOI:10.1038/srep23476
- [26] Waskiewicz AJ, Flynn A, Proud CG, et al. Mitogenactivated protein kinases activate the serine/threonine kinases Mnk1 and Mnk2. Embo J. 1997;16:1909–1920.
- [27] Buxade M, Parra-Palau JL, Proud CG. The Mnks: MAP kinase-interacting kinases (MAP kinase signal-integrating kinases). Front Biosci. 2008;13:5359-5373.
- [28] Scheper GC, Morrice NA, Kleijn M, et al. The mitogen-activated protein kinase signal-integrating kinase Mnk2 Is a eukaryotic initiation factor 4E kinase with high levels of basal activity in mammalian cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21:743–754.
- [29] Xie J, de Souza Alves V, von der Haar T, et al. Regulation of the elongation phase of protein synthesis enhances translation accuracy and modulates lifespan. Curr Biol. 2019;29:737–749.e5.
- [30] Wang X, Flynn A, Waskiewicz AJ, et al. The Phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor eIF4E in response to phorbol esters, cell stresses, and cytokines is mediated by distinct MAP kinase pathways. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:9373–9377.
- [31] Zhang X, Odom DT, Koo S-H, et al. Genome-wide analysis of cAMP-response element binding protein occupancy, phosphorylation, and target gene activation in human tissues. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2005;102:4459–4464.
- [32] Tanaka T, Yoshida N, Kishimoto T, et al. Defective adipocyte differentiation in mice lacking the C/EBPβ and/or C/EBPδ gene. Embo J. 1997;16:7432–7443.

- [33] Wu Z, Xie Y, Bucher NL, et al. Conditional ectopic expression of C/EBP beta in NIH-3T3 cells induces PPAR gamma and stimulates adipogenesis. Genes Dev. 1995;9:2350-2363.
- [34] Tang -Q-Q, Otto TC, Lane MD. CCAAT/enhancerbinding protein β is required for mitotic clonal expansion during adipogenesis. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2003;100:850–855.
- [35] Wu Z, Bucher NL, Farmer SR. Induction of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma during the conversion of 3T3 fibroblasts into adipocytes is mediated by C/EBPbeta, C/ EBPdelta, and glucocorticoids. Mol Cell Biol. 1996;16:4128–4136.
- [36] Matsuno F, Chowdhury S, Gotoh T, et al. Induction of the C/EBP gene by dexamethasone and glucagon in primary-cultured rat hepatocytes. J Biochem. 1996;119:524–532.
- [37] Tönjes RR, Xanthopoulos KG, Darnell JE, et al. Transcriptional control in hepatocytes of normal and c14CoS albino deletion mice. Embo J. 1992;11:127–133.
- [38] Liu N, Wei K, Xun Y, et al. Transcription factor cyclic adenosine monophosphate responsive element binding protein negatively regulates tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 1 expression. Mol Med Rep. 2015;12:7763–7769.
- [39] Wang G, Cheng Z, Liu F, et al. CREB is a key negative regulator of carbonic anhydrase IX (CA9) in gastric cancer. Cell Signal. 2015;27:1369–1379.
- [40] Lamph WW, Dwarki VJ, Ofir R, et al. Negative and positive regulation by transcription factor cAMP response element-binding protein is modulated by phosphorylation. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 1990;87:4320–4324.
- [41] Chen W-K, Kuo -W-W, Hsieh D, et al. CREB negatively regulates IGF2R gene expression and downstream pathways to inhibit hypoxia-induced H9c2 cardiomyoblast cell death. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16: 27921–27930.
- [42] Johannessen M, Delghandi MP, Moens U. What turns CREB on? Cell Signal. 2004;16:1211–1227.

- [43] Naqvi S, Martin KJ, Arthur JSC. CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 regulates transcription via distinct mechanisms downstream of cAMP and MAPK signalling. Biochem J. 2014;458:469–479.
- [44] Reusch JEB, Colton LA, Klemm DJ. CREB activation induces adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20:1008–1020.
- [45] Chrestensen CA, Shuman JK, Eschenroeder A, et al. MNK1 and MNK2 regulation in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer lines. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:4243–4252.
- [46] Bell JB, Eckerdt FD, Alley K, et al. MNK inhibition disrupts mesenchymal glioma stem cells and prolongs survival in a mouse model of glioblastoma. Mol Cancer Res. 2016;14:984–993.
- [47] Guo Z, Peng G, Li E, et al. MAP kinase-interacting serine/threonine kinase 2 promotes proliferation, metastasis, and predicts poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. Sci Rep. 2017. DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-10397-9
- [48] Wölfl S, Martinez C, Majzoub JA. Inducible binding of cyclic adenosine 3',5'-Monophosphate (cAMP)responsive element binding protein (CREB) to a cAMP-responsive promoter in vivo. Mol Endocrinol. 1999;13:659–669.
- [49] DEY A, NEBERT DW, OZATO K. The AP-1 site and the cAMP- and serum response elements of the c-fos gene are constitutively occupied in vivo. DNA Cell Biol. 1991;10:537–544.
- [50] Sibinga NES, Wang H, Perrella MA, et al. Interferon-γmediated inhibition of cyclin A gene transcription is independent of individual cis-Acting elements in the cyclin A promoter. J Biol Chem. 1999;274:12 139–12146.
- [51] Tommasi S, Pfeifer GP. In vivo structure of two divergent promoters at the human PCNA locus. J Biol Chem. 1999;274:27829–27838.
- [52] Nichols M, Weih F, Schmid W, et al. Phosphorylation of CREB affects its binding to high and low affinity sites: implications for cAMP induced gene transcription. Embo J. 1992;11:3337–3346.