microbial biotechnology

Open Access

Immunization with a combination of recombinant *Brucella abortus* proteins induces T helper immune response and confers protection against wild-type challenge in BALB/c mice

Zhiqiang Li,¹ Shuli Wang,¹ Shujuan Wei,² Guangli Yang,¹ Chunmei Zhang,¹ Li Xi,¹ Jinliang Zhang,¹ Yanyan Cui,¹ Junfang Hao,¹ Huan Zhang³ and Hui Zhang^{3,*} (D)

¹College of Biology and Food, Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu, Henan Provence 476000, China. ²College of Life Sciences, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan Province 453007, China.

³College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, Shihezi, Xinjiang Provence 832003, China.

Summary

Protective efficiency of a combination of four recombinant Brucella abortus (B. abortus) proteins, namely, ribosomal protein L7/L12, outer membrane protein (OMP) 22, OMP25 and OMP31, was evaluated as a combined subunit vaccine (CSV) against B. abortus infection in RAW 264.7 cell line and murine model. Four proteins were cloned, expressed and purified, and their immunocompetence was analysed. BALB/c mice were immunized subcutaneously with single subunit vaccines (SSVs) or CSV. Cellular and humoral immune responses were determined by ELISA. Results of immunoreactivity showed that these four recombinant proteins reacted with Brucella-positive serum individually but not with Brucella-negative serum. A massive production of IFN-y and IL-2 but low degree of IL-10 was observed in mice immunized with SSVs or CSV. In addition, the titres of IgG2a were heightened compared with

Received 30 September, 2021; revised 26 January, 2022; accepted 28 January, 2022.

For correspondence. E-mail allanzhh@sohu.com; Tel. +86 993 2058077; Fax +86 993 2058512.

Microbial Biotechnology (2022) 15(6), 1811–1823

doi:10.1111/1751-7915.14015

Funding Information

This work was supported by grants from the Key Scientific Research Project of Colleges and Universities in Henan Province (21A230015), the Foundation of the Technology Department of Henan Province (212102310746), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31602080, 31860691) and the National Innovation Training Program for College Students of China (202010483007).

IgG1 in SSV- or CSV-immunized mice, which indicated that SSVs and CSV induced a typical T-helper-1-dominated immune response *in vivo*. Further investigation of the CSV showed a superior protective effect in mice against brucellosis. The protection level induced by CSV was significantly higher than that induced by SSVs, which was not significantly different compared with a group immunized with RB51. Collectively, these antigens of *Brucella* could be potential candidates to develop subunit vaccines, and the CSV used in this study could be a potential candidate therapy for the prevention of brucellosis.

Introduction

Brucella spp. are Gram-negative, facultative intracellular, non-motile and non-spore forming pathogens that cause brucellosis in humans and animals worldwide, which threaten their health (Gheibi *et al.*, 2018). Brucellosis has caused huge economic losses to developing countries (Yagupsky and Baron, 2005). The genus *Brucella* has been classified into eleven species based on its primary preferred host, such as *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, *B. suis*, *B. ovis*, *B. canis*, *B. neotomae*, *B. microti*, *B. papionis*, *B. pinnipedialis*, *B. ceti* and *B. inopinata* (Moreno, 2014). *Brucella* infections induce various clinical symptoms in animals and humans, for example, recurrent fever, arthritis, neurological symptoms and infertility or abortion (Atluri *et al.*, 2011).

Vaccine immunization is the most efficient mean for prevention and control of animal brucellosis (Avila-Calderon *et al.*, 2013). Subunit vaccine is the hot spot in the research and development of *Brucella* vaccine. Subunit vaccines do not use living *Brucella*, thereby eliminating safety (Pasquevich *et al.*, 2011). Subunit vaccines are safe for animals because they cannot cause abortion. In general, purified proteins as subunit vaccines can stimulate immune responses in animals. Consequently, many protective antigens for brucellosis have been used to develop single subunit vaccines (SSVs). More studies have been reported on the protective efficiency of recombinant *Brucella* proteins as subunit vacine against *Brucella* infection. These single SSVs include outer membrane protein (OMP) 10 (Im *et al.*,

© 2022 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

2018; Shim et al., 2020), OMP19 (Im et al., 2018; Shim et al., 2020), OMP28 (Im et al., 2018), BP26 (Gupta et al., 2019), 50S ribosomal protein L7/L12 (Gupta et al., 2019), VIRB10 (Pathak et al., 2018), BCSP31 (Xu et al., 2019) and P39 (Tadepalli et al., 2017). Based on previous results, combined subunit vaccine (CSV) using more than two recombinant proteins could confer higher potential immune response against Brucella infection than SSV. These CSVs include L7/L12-SOmp2b (Golshani et al., 2018a,b), L7/L12-TOmp31-SOmp2b (Golshani et al., 2018a,b), L7/L12-Omp25 (Paul et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2020) and Adk-SecB (Huy et al., 2020a,b). Amongst these CSVs, recombinant proteins L7/L12 and OMPs are considered as potential immunogens, which can induce strong protective effects against Brucella infection. Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the ability of a combination of four B. abortus recombinant proteins, namely, L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31, as SSVs or CSV to induce immune response against B. abortus infection in BALB/c mice.

OMPs are integral proteins that are located on the outer membrane of Brucella. The weight and size of these OMPs are different. They are divided into three groups (Verstreate et al., 1982). L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31 belong to the third group (Cloeckaert et al., 2002). OMP22 name refers to its calculated mass of 22 kDa but was called previously OMP3b (Guzman-Verri et al., 2002). The antigenic protein OMP22 plays an essential role in the pathogenicity of Brucella (Cloeckaert et al., 2002; Saadi et al., 2017). OMP25 is conserved amongst the Brucella species. OMP25 mutant strain of Brucella is shown to be attenuated in mice emphasizing on the role of OMP25 in Brucella virulence (Edmonds et al., 2002). OMP25 could induce Th1- and Th2-type immune responses (Goel and Bhatnagar, 2012). In Brucella-infected macrophages, OMP25 could inhibit TNF- α production (Jubier-Maurin *et al.*, 2001). OMP31 antigen is an essential protein of Brucella, which is a critical factor for bacterial pathogenicity (Vishnu et al., 2017; Shirdast et al., 2021). The recombinant protein L7/L12 was considered as a potential immunogen and demonstrated to induce strong protective effects against Brucella infection (Oliveira and Splitter, 1994; Golshani et al., 2015). Thus, in the present study, we assessed the ability of purified recombinant proteins, including L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31, to prevent brucellosis when administered to BALB/c mice alone or in combination.

Results

Purification and immunoreactivity of recombinant proteins

The four genes encoded for L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31 were cloned, expressed and purified. Using

SDS-PAGE and Coomassie brilliant blue staining, the target molecular masses for rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 were approximately 77.55, 82.38, 82.22 and 87.56 kDa respectively (Fig. 1A). Meanwhile, the immunoreactivity of these purified proteins was evaluated by Western blot assay, and the results indicated that all purified proteins strongly reacted with S2308-vaccinated mouse serum (Fig. 1B).

Humoral immunoreaction analysis by inducing IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies in mice immunized with SSVs and CSV

In this study, sera of mice were collected at week 8 after the last immunization for subsequent immunological analyses. ELISA was utilized to measure the presence of SSV- and CSV-specific IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies in serum samples. The results showed that after completing the immunization, SSVs and CSV induced significantly higher humoral immunity than the PBS and adjuvant-immunized groups, whereas no difference in the humoral immune response was observed between the PBS and adjuvant-immunized groups (Fig. 2). In the rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 groups, the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio was 1.03, 1.06, 1.06 and 1.07 respectively (Fig. 2). The CSV group induced the highest IgG1 and IgG2a production in which the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio was 1.15. Furthermore, the titres of IgG2a induced by these purified proteins were higher than those of IgG1,



Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE identification and Western blot analysis of the recombinant proteins. (A) SDS-PAGE identification of the rL7/L12 (77.55 kDa), rOMP22 (82.38 kDa), rOMP25 (82.22 kDa) and rOMP31 (87.56 kDa). Lanes: M, protein marker; 1, expression product of rL7/L12; 2, expression product of rOMP22; 3, expression product of rOMP25; 4, expression product of rOMP31. (B) Western blot identification of the recombinant proteins using mouse anti-rL7/L12 polyclonal antibody, mouse anti-rOMP25 polyclonal antibody and mouse anti-rOMP31 polyclonal antibody. Lanes: 1, expression product of rL7/L12; 2, expression product of rCMP31; 4, expression product of rCMP25; 4, expression product of rOMP25; 4, expression product of rOMP25;

indicating that these proteins induced a Th1-dominant immune response in mice.

Production of cytokines in mice immunized with SSVs and CSV

The presence of cytokines in sera was assessed by ELISA to investigate the cell-mediated immune response. Sera were collected from all mice at week 8 after the last immunization. The secretion of IFN-v and IL-2 in the SSV and CSV groups was significantly increased compared with that of the PBS or adjuvant control group (P < 0.01, Fig. 3A and B). The CSV group displayed considerably increased IFN-y production by 2.41-fold and IL-2 production by 2.88-fold compared with PBS (Fig. 3A and B). However, the RB51 group remarkably induced the highest IFN- γ and IL-2 levels, which play an important role in resisting against Brucella infection (Fig. 3A and B). By contrast, IL-10 production was decreased in all experimental groups compared with the PBS or adjuvant group (Fig. 3C). The CSV group showed decreased IL-10 production by 1.85-fold compared with PBS (Fig. 3C). In addition, the CSV group induced higher IFN-y and IL-2 levels than IL-10 levels of approximately 1.75-fold and 1.58-fold, respectively, compared with PBS. The IFN- γ and IL-2 levels induced by SSVs and CSV were significantly higher than the IL-10 level, thereby suggesting that SSVs and CSV induced a Th1-dominant immune response in mice. Moreover, the production of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6) was significantly increased in the SSV and CSV groups compared with the PBS or adjuvant group



Fig. 2. IgG1 and IgG2a antibody production in the sera of mice immunized with different vaccines. BALB/c mice were immunized with purified rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 for SSVs, CSV, PBS, adjuvant or pCold-TF at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6. As another positive control, mice were immunized with 1 × 10⁶ CFU of RB51 at day 0. Serum samples were obtained from tail veins at week 8 after the last immunization. IgG1 and IgG2a antibody titre was determined by ELISA. The data are presented as the means \pm SD (*n* = 5 per group). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).

(Fig. 3D–F). In particular, CSV-immunized mice produced 2.16-, 1.95- and 4.72-fold increases in the levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 proinflammatory cytokines, respectively, compared with the PBS group.

In addition, the levels of IFN-y, IL-2, IL-10, TNF-a, IL-1ß and IL-6 cytokines in the splenocytes of the immunized mice at week 8 after the last immunization were detected. The splenocytes of vaccinated mice were stimulated with heat-killed S2308, ConA (positive control), or complete RPMI 1640 medium (negative control). When stimulated with heat-killed S2308, spleen cells from SSVs, CSV and RB51 produced significantly higher amounts of IFN- γ , IL-2, TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 relative to that of PBS- or adjuvant-dosed mice (P < 0.01, Fig. 4). By contrast, IL-10 production was decreased in all experimental groups compared with the PBS or adjuvant group (Fig. 4C). ConA stimulation induced the production of all cytokines in splenocytes from all groups, whereas no cytokine production was induced by RPMI 1640 medium (Fig. 4). Except for IL-10, no cytokine production was induced by PBS or adjuvant stimulation in any of the groups (Fig. 4). However, no statistically significant difference in the production of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-10, TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 was observed between SSVs or CSV and RB51. Collectively, these results indicated that immunization with SSVs and CSV could induce cellular immune response.

Measurement of lymphocyte proliferation

Lymphocyte proliferation ratios were detected to assess cellular immunity. At week 8 after the last immunization, lymphocyte transformation rates in immunized mice were significantly higher than those in the PBS or adjuvant control groups (P < 0.01, Fig. 5). Although the lymphocyte proliferation ratios of the live vaccine group (RB51) were slightly higher than those of the SSV and CSV groups, no significant differences were observed (P > 0.05, Fig. 5). The lymphocyte proliferation ratios in the CSV groups were slightly lower than those in the CSV group, but the difference was not significant (P > 0.05). These results indicated that SSVs and CSV significantly promoted cellular immune responses.

Cytokine production in RAW 264.7 cells

Macrophages have been shown to constitute an important site for *Brucella* intracellular replication within tissues. Here, cytokine production from cell culture supernatant was measured using ELISA. Significantly higher IFN- γ , IL-2 and IL-12p70 production levels were observed in SSV- and CSV-treated cells than in PBStreated ones (P < 0.01), and this difference increased with time (Fig. 6A–C). By contrast, the IL-10 level was



Fig. 3. Cytokine concentration in the sera of immunized mice. BALB/c mice were immunized with purified rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 for SSVs, CSV, PBS or adjuvant, respectively, at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6. As another positive control, mice were immunized with 1×10^{6} CFU of RB51 at day 0. Serum samples were obtained from tail veins at week 8 after the last immunization. Concentration of IFN- γ (A), IL-2 (B), IL-10 (C), TNF- α (D), IL-1 β (E) and IL-6 (F) was analysed by ELISA. The data are presented as the means \pm SD (n = 5 per group). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

decreased in SSV- and CSV-treated cells (Fig. 6D). CSV-treated cells significantly induced 1.38-fold higher in IFN- γ , 1.38-fold higher in IL-2 and 1.36-fold higher in IL-12p70 production at 12 h post-infection and continuously increased at 24 and 48 h. However, the IL-10 level showed a 3.45-fold, 4.21-fold and 6.09-fold decrease at 12, 24 and 48 h post-infection, compared with PBS-treated cells respectively (Fig. 6).

Immunization with SSVs and CSV confer protection in mice

The protective capacity provided by the CSV was determined by challenging mice immunized with 1 \times 10 6 CFU

of S2308. Four weeks post-challenge, the infection in each mouse was evaluated by determining the CFU in the spleen. The rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 groups conferred significant degree of protection with 1.07-, 1.30-, 1.19- and 1.69-log units of protection compared with control mice receiving PBS, respectively. Mice immunized with adjuvant exhibited induced 0.09-log unit of protection compared with the PBS groups, but the result was not significant. Furthermore, immunization with CSV resulted in significantly higher degree of protection with 2.17- and 2.18-log units of protection compared with control mice receiving PBS and adjuvant respectively. By contrast, we immunized mice with the live-attenuated vaccine RB51. The RB51 group displayed the highest degree



Fig. 4. Production of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-10, TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 in stimulated splenocytes from BALB/c mice inoculated with PBS, adjuvant, pCold-TF, SSV, CSV and RB51. At week 8 after the last immunization, mice (n = 5 per group) were euthanized and spleen cells were isolated and stimulated with heat-killed S2308, ConA or RPMI 1640 medium as control. Splenocyte culture supernatants were harvested after 72 h stimulation. IFN- γ (A), IL-2 (B), IL-10 (C), TNF- α (D), IL-1 β (E) and IL-6 (F) concentrations in the supernatant were measured by ELISA. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

of protection and induced 2.75-log unit of protection than the PBS group. The difference in the degree of protection amongst the SSV, RB51 and CSV groups was not significantly different (Table 1).

Discussion

Brucella spp. are intracellular pathogens that reside in host cells, including professional and non-professional phagocytes. The brucellae reside within macrophages where they replicate in a specialized compartment,

namely, the *Brucella*-containing vacuole (BCV) (Celli and Gorvel, 2004). The membrane of the BCV contains endoplasmic reticulum (ER) proteins (Roy, 2002). Once inside the host macrophage, *Brucella* must fight against a variety of harmful conditions, including oxidative stress, decreased pH, scarcity of nutrients and pursuit of cellular and humoral immunity (Roop *et al.*, 2009). However, the immune systems of mammals play an important role in resisting against pathogens. Furthermore, the activated macrophages, dendritic cells and cytokines are predominant in protection against *Brucella*.

At present, vaccination is the effective way to prevent and control animal brucellosis. An ideal vaccine for brucellosis should be avirulent or attenuated for vaccinated



Fig. 5. Changes in T lymphocyte proliferation ratio in mice. Mice were immunized with purified rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 for SSVs, CSV, PBS or adjuvant at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6. As another positive control, mice were immunized with 1×10^{6} CFU of RB51 at day 0. Peripheral blood samples were collected at week 8 after the last immunization. The ratio of T lymphocyte proliferation was tested. The values shown are means \pm SD. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

animals to prevent *Brucella* infections, while not interfering with serological diagnoses (Schurig *et al.*, 2002). In addition, it should be able to prevent abortion and virulence reversion and promote long periods of protection (Oliveira *et al.*, 2010). However, current vaccines have major disadvantages that limit their application, although they can prevent infection in animals. Therefore, the development of a safe and effective vaccine is an arduous task.

Recently, some researchers have found that subunit vaccine was safe and non-infectious. Some studies have demonstrated that multivalent subunit vaccines prepared with multiple immunogens may provide better immune protection than monovalent vaccines (Hop *et al.*, 2018; Gupta *et al.*, 2019; Nazifi *et al.*, 2019; Huy *et al.*, 2020a, b; Rezaei *et al.*, 2020; Shim *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, the development of multivalent subunit vaccine may be an effective way to develop new generation vaccines.

Outer membrane proteins (OMPs) belong to surface antigens of *Brucella*. OMPs are considered as suitable candidates to produce recombinant proteins for prevention and diagnosis because of their protected sequences, antigenicity and high pathogenicity (Ducrotoy *et al.*, 2016). OMPs played an important role in stimulating cellular and humoral immunity, which were recognized as protective and potentially immunogenic



Fig. 6. Cytokine concentration in RAW 264.7 cell culture supernatants. After infection, 50 μ l of cell culture supernatant was collected and analysed for cytokine production including IFN- γ (A), IL-2 (B), IL-12 (C) and IL-10 (D). The data are represented as the means \pm SD of duplicate samples from at least two independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

 Table 1. Protection conferred by different vaccines against S2308 in mice.

	Protection criteria				
Vaccine or control	Log CFU of bacteria in spleens (mean \pm SD)	Units of protection ^b	Significant ^a		
PBS	5.92 ± 0.23	_	_		
Adjuvant	5.83 ± 0.20	0.09	<i>P</i> > 0.05		
pCold-TF	5.38 ± 0.38	0.54	P < 0.05		
rL7/L12	4.85 ± 0.21	1.07	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
rOMP22	4.62 ± 0.19	1.30	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
rOMP25	4.73 ± 0.14	1.19	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
rOMP31	4.23 ± 0.38	1.69	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
CSV	3.65 ± 0.36	2.27	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
RB51	$\textbf{3.17} \pm \textbf{0.23}$	2.75	<i>P</i> < 0.01		

a. Significant differences from PBS-immunized mice were estimated by Student's *t*-test.

 ${\bf b}.$ Log units of protection = average of log CFU in spleens of control PBS-immunized mice minus the average of log CFU in spleens of vaccinated mice.

antigens (Sharghi et al., 2017). OMPs of Brucella were classified as 36- to 38-kDa OMPs (group 1 proteins), 31to 34-kDa OMPs (group 2 proteins) and 25- to 27-kDa OMPs (group 3 proteins) based on their apparent molecular mass (Cloeckaert et al., 2002). Amongst these, OMP22 (also known as OMP3b), OMP25 (also known as OMP3a) and OMP31 belong to group 3 proteins. In addition, ribosomal protein L7/L12 functionally constitutes the 50S ribosome and plays an important role in controlling protein translational accuracy (Kirsebom and Isaksson, 1985). Based on previous reports, L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31 could stimulate strong immunity against Brucella infection (Goel and Bhatnagar, 2012; Zheng et al., 2015; Du et al., 2016; Minhas et al., 2021). Therefore, in this study, a combination of four recombinant proteins L7/L12, OMP22, OMP25 and OMP31 can activate strong immune responses against Brucella infection in RAW 264.7 cells and BALB/c mice.

Host immunity involves cellular immunity and humoral immunity during Brucella infection. The former is characterized by the production of IgG2a antibodies, whereas the latter is characterized by the production of IgG1 antibodies. IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies play an important role in the clearance of Brucella by macrophages (Perkins et al., 2010). Based on previous reports, the immune responses peaked after three independent immunizations of recombinant proteins (Hop et al., 2015). BALB/c mice were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with PBS, adjuvant, pCold-TF, SSVs or CSV to detect the effect of humoral immunity. Serum samples from mice were collected at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 weeks after the last immunization. Antibodies were detected in the sera of mice at 2 weeks after the last immunization, and IgG1 and IgG2a levels increased with time, and the IgG1 and IgG2a levels peaked at week 8 after the last vaccination (data not shown). IFN- γ can stimulate Th1 differentiation, which elicits the production of IgG2a from activated plasma cells (Motaharinia et al., 2013). IFN- γ is required for macrophage bactericidal activity, which activates macrophages to enhance killing and inhibit microbial replication (Dorneles et al., 2015). IFN-y plays an important role in eradicating intracellular Brucella during the early stages of infection (Luo et al., 2006). In the present study, the production of IFN- γ was increased in CSVimmunized mice and CSV-infected RAW 264.7 cells compared with control. TNF- α and IL-6 are known as key effectors in mediating macrophages against Brucella infection. TNF- α is important for the influx of phagocytes to the site of infection and for macrophage activation. and it is critically involved in immune responses to intracellular pathogens (Zhan et al., 1996). IL-1ß can induce the expression of several chemokines and adhesion molecules to enhance the phagocytic activity of neutrophils and monocytic cells (Hielpos et al., 2018). The present results showed that the production of proinflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-6, IL-2 and IL-1 β was elevated in the CSV group. IL-12 promotes efficient immune responses against intracellular pathogens. During Brucella infection, IL-12 controls the intracellular growth of Brucella strains within macrophages (Macedo et al., 2008). We found that CSV-infected RAW 264.7 cells induced IL-12 production compared with the PBS group. By contrast, cytokine IL-10 can inhibit activity of macrophages and increase resistance of Brucella infection (Fernandes and Baldwin, 1995). The results in the present study showed that CSV-immunized mice and CSV-infected RAW 264.7 cells displayed decreased production of IL-10 compared with control. However, the concentration of IFN- γ was greater than that of IL-10 in the CSV group in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the levels of humoral immune response mediated by IgG antibodies in the serum are important for evaluating the immunogenicity of brucellosis. Elevated IgG1 and IgG2a antibody production in sera in SSV- and CSV-vaccinated mice was observed compared with PBS or adjuvantimmunized ones. These results indicated that SSVs and CSV induced strong cellular and humoral immune responses in vivo and in vitro.

Despite the absence of virulent for the host, the ideal vaccine must induce higher protection. Therefore, we detected the protection of the SSVs and CSV in BALB/c and found the superior protective effect conferred by SSVs and CSV. Immunization with SSVs or CSV conferred a significant level of protection compared with the PBS and adjuvant groups. Although the live-attenuated vaccine (RB51) conferred the highest protection against *Brucella* infection in this study, which was higher than mice immunized with SSVs or CSV, this difference was

not significant. We also found that adjuvant could elicit protection against *Brucella* infection, but its protective effect was not significant.

In conclusion, our study clearly indicated that a combination of four different immunogenic antigens, namely, rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31, could significantly induce cell-mediated immunity response and humoral immunity response as compared with the PBS and adjuvant groups. In addition, CSV maintained a protective efficacy against *Brucella* infection similar to that of the RB51 vaccine strain. Therefore, CSV may be a suitable CSV candidate. However, this study was conducted in a murine model, which is not a natural host of *B. abortus*. Therefore, the efficacy and safety of this vaccine in livestock should be further investigated.

Experimental procedures

Ethical statement

The study was performed in strict accordance with the experimental practices and standards approved by the Animal Welfare and Research Ethics Committee at Shihezi University (Permit No. SHZU-MO-0139). All animal handling procedures were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Shihezi University (Authorization Number: 2021-2). The animal operation procedures were implemented on the basis of the "Guidelines for Experimental Animals" of the Ministry of Science and Technology (Beijing, China). All efforts were made to minimize suffering during animal handling and experimentation. The mice were kept in biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) animal facilities.

Bacterial strains and growth condition

The virulent, wild-type *B. abortus* 2308 strain (S2308) and *B. abortus* RB51 vaccine strain were obtained from the Center of Chinese Disease Prevention and Control (Beijing, China). All *Brucella* strains were cultured in tryptic soy agar (TSA) or tryptic soy broth (TSB, Difco, MI, USA) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. All experiments related to live S2308 and RB51 were performed in a biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory. *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strains DH5 α and BL21 were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). *E. coli* cultures were grown at 37°C in a Luria–Bertani (LB) medium (Difco, MI, USA) supplemented with 100 µg ml⁻¹ of ampicillin (Sigma, Missouri, USA) for the expression of recombinant proteins.

Vector, cell culture and mice

The pCold[™] Trigger factor (TF) vector (pCold-TF) was purchased from Takara (Shiga, Japan). The RAW 264.7 (ATCC) murine macrophage line (obtained from Cell Resource Center, IBMS, CAMS/PUMC, Beijing, China) was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) basic (Gibco Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA) without antibiotics and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ (v/v) atmosphere. Female 6-week-old BALB/c mice were obtained from the Experimental Animal Center of the Academy of Military Medical Science (Beijing, China). The animals were provided with humane care and healthful conditions during their stay in the facility. All experimental procedures and animal care were performed in compliance with institutional animal care regulations.

Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant proteins

Expression of recombinant plasmids and purified recombinant proteins was performed as described previously (Im et al., 2018; Huy et al., 2020a,b). In brief, the open reading frames (ORFs) of the four B. abortus genes, namely, L7/L12 (BAB1_1265, 375bp), omp22 (BAB1_1302, 639bp), omp25 (BAB1_0722, 642bp) and omp31 (BAB1_1639, 786bp), were amplified by PCR from the S2308 genome using their respective primer pairs (Table 2). The amplified DNA fragments were cloned into the pCold-TF vector to generate recombinant plasmids, namely, pCold-L7/L12, pCold-Omp22, pCold-Omp25 and pCold-Omp31. Then, these recombinant plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21 cells. Afterward, the final clones obtained on LB agar plates supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) were inoculated into LB broth supplemented with ampicillin overnight. This overnight culture was used for further inoculation in fresh LB broth induced with 1 mM of IPTG at 0.6 OD. The induced and uninduced fragments were collected at 6 h after the induction. 12% SDS-PAGE analysis of the collected fragments was performed using a 5× sample lysis buffer. Five millilitres of induced bacterial pellet was dissolved in lysis buffer to verify whether the protein was soluble or could form inclusion bodies (50 mM of NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM of NaCl, 10 mM of imidazole and 1 mg ml⁻¹ of lysozyme), incubated on ice and then sonicated (Vibra cell, Sonics, USA) at 40 W amplitude for 10 min and 8 s of pulse. The sonicated suspension was then centrifuged at 9000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Pellet and supernatant were collected and checked for the expressed recombinant protein by SDS-PAGE analysis for insoluble and soluble protein respectively.

Recombinant proteins, namely, rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31, were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 and were purified by chromatography through Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. As described previously, these recombinant

^{© 2022} The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **15**, 1811–1823

Primer		5'-3' sequence	
L7/L12-F	Forward	TCTAGAATGGCTGATCTCGCAAAGATC (Xba I)	Amplification L7/L12
L7/L12-R	Reverse	CTGCAGTTACTTGAGTTCAACCTTGGC (Pst I)	
OMP22-F	Forward	GGATCCATGTTCAAGCGTTCTATCACC (BamH I)	Amplification OMP22
OMP22-R	Reverse	AAGCTTCTAGAATTTGTAGTTCAGGCC (Hind III)	·
OMP25-F	Forward	GGATCCATGCGCACTCTTAAGTCTCTC (BamH I)	Amplification OMP25
OMP25-R	Reverse	CTGCAGTTAGAACTTGTAGCCGATGCC (Pst I)	·
OMP31-F	Forward	GGATCCATGTTTAGCTTAAAAGGGACTGTT (BamH I)	Amplification OMP31
OMP31-R	Reverse	CTGCAGTTAGAACTTGTAGTTCAGACCGAG (Pst I)	

Table 2.	Primers	used in	this	study
----------	---------	---------	------	-------

proteins were purified under denaturing conditions because they were forming inclusion bodies (Pathak et al., 2018). In brief, 50 ml of induced bacterial culture was pelleted and washed with PBS two times. The washed pellet was dissolved in 8 ml of lysis buffer [8 M of urea, 100 mM of NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM of Tris-Cl, 20 mM of β-mercaptoethanol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), pH 8.0], sonicated for 10 min and incubated for 1 h with continuous stirring at room temperature and 180 rpm. After 1 h incubation, the lysed cell suspension was centrifuged at 9000 g for 30 min at room temperature and supernatant was collected and mixed with Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) in 1:3 ratio (Super-flow Ni-NTA slurry: Lysate) for 1 h to allow the rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 6×-His tagged protein to bind with Ni²⁺ in the super-flow slurry. The agarose super-flow Ni-NTA slurry-lysate mix was then packed in a 15 ml column, and then flow-thru was collected. Furthermore, the column was washed with 20 ml of wash buffer (8 M of urea, 100 mM of NaH₂PO₄ 10 mM of Tris-Cl, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, pH 6.3) to remove the unbound or weakly bound nonspecific proteins. Then, the rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 proteins were eluted from the column using elution buffer (8 M of urea, 100 mM of NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM of Tris-Cl, pH 4.5) in 1 ml of 5 fractions and further analysed on 12% SDS-PAGE. Refolding or dialysis of the purified porin protein was performed against a decreasing urea gradient (8, 6, 4, 2 and 1 M) and finally against PBS. The expression and immunoreactivity of these recombinant proteins were analysed by 12% SDS-PAGE and Western blot as described previously (Li et al., 2018a,b; Zhu et al., 2020).

Immunization of mice

Mice immunization was conducted as previously described (Hop *et al.*, 2018) with some modifications to evaluate the immunogenicity of these expression proteins. In brief, a total of 45 six-week-old female pathogen-free (SPF) BALB/c mice were randomly distributed into eight groups of five mice each and reared

under identical environmental conditions. Each mouse was i.p. injected with a mixture of incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA, Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA) and 100 µg of purified pCold-TF, rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 or rOMP31 in a total volume of 200 ul at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6 for the SSV groups, or 100 µg of purified rL7/L12, rOMP22, rOMP25 and rOMP31 at a ratio of 1:1:1:1 in a total volume of 200 μ l at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6 for the CSV group. The reference vaccine group was i.p. inoculated with 200 µl of PBS containing 1×10^6 CFU of RB51. The negative control group was i.p. injected with 200 µl of PBS or adjuvant. Mice immunized with different vaccines were performed in a BSL-3 laboratory. All the performed procedures were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Shihezi University (Authorization Number: 2021-2).

Measurement of antibody levels from serum samples

The IgG1 and IgG2a antibody levels were detected using indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (iELIAS) as previously described to evaluate humoral immunity induced by SSVs or CSV (Huy et al., 2020a,b). Serum samples were obtained from peripheral blood (tail vein) of immunized BALB/c mice at week 8 after the last immunization (Zhang et al., 2013). The IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies in serum samples obtained from immunized mice were determined by iELISA as previously described (Li et al., 2017). The high binding 96-Well Single-Break Strip Plates were coated with fully inactivated bacteria at 100 µl overnight at 4°C. Horseradish peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies were used to measure. The absorbance at 450 nm (OD₄₅₀) of each well was detected at 30 min after substrate addition. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Measurement of cytokine concentrations from serum samples and splenocytes

The levels of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-10, TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 in serum samples were determined using mouse cytokine ELISA kits (ebioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) in

accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. All assays were performed in triplicate.

At week 8 after the last immunization. BALB/c mice (n = 5 per group) were euthanized and their spleens were removed aseptically. Single-cell suspensions were obtained from the spleens by homogenization. The cells were suspended in complete RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA) supplemented with 2 mM of L-glutamine (Solarbio Science and Technology, Beijing, China) and 10% (v/v) FBS. Erythrocytes were eliminated with ACK lysis solution (150 mM of NH₄Cl, 1 mM of Na₂-EDTA, pH 7.3). Splenocytes $(5 \times 10^5$ cells/well) were cultured in 96-well plates; the cultures were stimulated by adding 40 µg of heat-killed S2308 lysate/well, 1 µg of Concanavalin A (ConA) (positive control) or medium alone (negative control). The cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 (v/v) for 72 h. Subsequently, the clear culture supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C until tested. IFN-y, IL-2, IL-10, TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 levels in the supernatants were measured using mouse cytokine ELISA kits (eBioscience) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Methyl thiazol tetrazolium (MTT) assay

Fresh anticoagulated peripheral blood samples from five mice (1.0 ml per mice) were collected in each group at week 8 after the last immunization to evaluate the proliferation of peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL). The proliferation of PBL was detected by the MTT method as previously described (Mwanza *et al.*, 2009; Zhu *et al.*, 2020). The optical density (OD) at 490 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer. Lymphocyte transformation rate (LTR) = (mean of Con A stimulation group – mean of non-Con A stimulation group)/mean of non-Con A stimulation group.

Measurement of cytokine concentrations in RAW 264.7 cells

RAW 264.7 cells of 2×10^5 cells/well were cultured in 96-well plates at 37°C under 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were pretreated with SSVs or CSV for 4 h. The control group was pretreated with PBS. The cells were washed with PBS, incubated in fresh medium (DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated FBS) and then infected with S2308 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100. Culture plates were centrifuged at 350 *g* for 5 min at room temperature and incubated at 37°C for 45 min. After washing two times with medium without antibiotics, the infected cells were incubated for 60 min in the presence of 50 µg ml⁻¹ of gentamicin to kill extracellular bacteria. Then, the cultures were placed in fresh DMEM containing 25 µg ml⁻¹ of gentamicin (defined as time zero), and treated with pCold-TF, SSVs or CSV for 12, 24 and 48 h. At 12, 24 and 48 h post-treatment, 50 μ l of cell culture supernatant from each well was collected to evaluate the levels of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-12p70 and IL-10 using mouse cytokine ELISA kits (eBioscience) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Protection induced by SSVs and CSV

Protective efficacy of SSVs and CSV was performed as previously described (Golshani et al., 2018a,b; Li et al., 2018a,b) with some modifications. In brief, mice were immunized using the above-mentioned methods. At week 8 after the last immunization, mice were i.p. challenged with approximately 1×10^6 CFU of S2308 virulent strain in 200 µl of PBS. The mice were euthanized at week 4 post-challenge, and their spleens were removed aseptically. Each spleen was homogenized in 1 ml of PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, ten-fold serially diluted and then plated on TSA plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C, and the number of CFU per spleen was counted after 3 days. The unit of protection was calculated as the mean log10 CFU of the PBS group minus log₁₀ CFU of the experimental group. Animal challenges with S2308 were performed in the BSL-3 laboratory. All the performed procedures were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Shihezi University (Authorization Number: 2021-2).

Statistical analysis

Antibody response was expressed as the mean Log titre \pm the standard deviation (SD). Cytokine production was expressed as the mean cytokine concentration \pm SD. The lymphocyte proliferation rate was expressed as the mean percentages for analysis \pm SD. The protective efficiency at different time points was expressed as the mean Log CFU \pm SD. Statistical significance (*P* value) was calculated with Student's *t*-test using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software version 19.0 (MS, Chicago, IL, USA). *P* values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Key Scientific Research Project of Colleges and Universities in Henan Province (21A230015), the Foundation of the Technology Department of Henan Province (212102310746), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31602080, 31860691) and the National Innovation Training Program for College Students of China (202010483007).

Author contributions

ZL and HZ designed the experiments. ZL, SW, GY, CZ, LX, JZ, YC, JH and HZ performed the experiments and analysed the data. ZL and HZ contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. ZL, SW and HZ wrote and revised the paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that there are no competing interests.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

- Atluri, V., Xavier, M., de Jong, M., den Hartigh, A., and Tsolis, R. (2011) Interactions of the human pathogenic *Brucella* species with their hosts. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **65**: 523–541.
- Avila-Calderon, E.D., Lopez-Merino, A., Sriranganathan, N., Boyle, S.M., and Contreras-Rodriguez, A. (2013) A history of the development of Brucella vaccines. *BioMed Res Int* **2013**: 743509.
- Celli, J., and Gorvel, J.P. (2004) Organelle robbery: Brucella interactions with the endoplasmic reticulum. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **7:** 93–97.
- Cloeckaert, A., Vizcaino, N., Paquet, J.Y., Bowden, R.A., and Elzer, P.H. (2002) Major outer membrane proteins of *Brucella* spp.: past, present and future. *Vet Microbiol* **90**: 229–247.
- Dorneles, E.M., Teixeira-Carvalho, A., Araujo, M.S., Sriranganathan, N., and Lage, A.P. (2015) Immune response triggered by *Brucella abortus* following infection or vaccination. *Vaccine* **33**: 3659–3666.
- Du, Z.Q., Li, X., and Wang, J.Y. (2016) Immunogenicity analysis of a novel subunit vaccine candidate moleculerecombinant L7/L12 ribosomal protein of *Brucella suis*. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* **179**: 1445–1455.
- Ducrotoy, M.J., Conde-Alvarez, R., Blasco, J.M., and Moriyon, I. (2016) A review of the basis of the immunological diagnosis of ruminant brucellosis. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* **171**: 81–102.
- Edmonds, M.D., Cloeckaert, A., and Elzer, P.H. (2002) Brucella species lacking the major outer membrane protein Omp25 are attenuated in mice and protect against *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella ovis*. *Vet Microbiol* **88**: 205–221.
- Fernandes, D.M., and Baldwin, C.L. (1995) Interleukin-10 downregulates protective immunity to *Brucella abortus*. *Infect Immun* **63**: 1130–1133.
- Gheibi, A., Khanahmad, H., Kashfi, K., Sarmadi, M., and Khorramizadeh, M.R. (2018) Development of new generation of vaccines for Brucella abortus. *Heliyon* **4:** e01079.

- Goel, D., and Bhatnagar, R. (2012) Intradermal immunization with outer membrane protein 25 protects Balb/c mice from virulent *B. abortus* 544. *Mol Immunol* **51:** 159–168.
- Golshani, M., Amani, M., Siadat, S.D., Nejati-Moheimani, M., Arsang, A., and Bouzari, S. (2018a) Comparison of the protective immunity elicited by a Brucella cocktail protein vaccine (rL7/L12+rTOmp31+rSOmp2b) in two different adjuvant formulations in BALB/c mice. *Mol Immunol* **103:** 306–311.
- Golshani, M., Ghasemian, M., Gheibi, N., and Bouzari, S. (2018b) In silico design, and in vitro expression of a fusion protein encoding *Brucella abortus* L7/L12 and SOmp2b antigens. *Adv Biomed Res* **7**: 21.
- Golshani, M., Rafati, S., Siadat, S.D., Nejati-Moheimani, M., Shahcheraghi, F., Arsang, A., and Bouzari, S. (2015) Improved immunogenicity and protective efficacy of a divalent DNA vaccine encoding Brucella L7/L12-truncated Omp31 fusion protein by a DNA priming and protein boosting regimen. *Mol Immunol* **66**: 384–391.
- Gupta, S., Mohan, S., Somani, V.K., Aggarwal, S., and Bhatnagar, R. (2020) Simultaneous immunization with Omp25 and L7/L12 provides protection against brucellosis in mice. *Pathogens* **9:** 152.
- Gupta, S., Singh, D., Gupta, M., and Bhatnagar, R. (2019) A combined subunit vaccine comprising BP26, Omp25 and L7/L12 against brucellosis. *Pathog Dis* **77:** ftaa002.
- Guzman-Verri, C., Manterola, L., Sola-Landa, A., Parra, A., Cloeckaert, A., Garin, J., *et al.* (2002) The two-component system BvrR/BvrS essential for Brucella abortus virulence regulates the expression of outer membrane proteins with counterparts in members of the Rhizobiaceae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99:** 12375–12380.
- Hielpos, M.S., Fernández, A.G., Falivene, J., Alonso Paiva, I.M., Muñoz González, F., Ferrero, M.C., *et al.* (2018) IL-1R and inflammasomes mediate early pulmonary protective mechanisms in respiratory Brucella abortus infection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 8: 391.
- Hop, H.T., Arayan, L.T., Huy, T.X.N., Reyes, A.W.B., Min, W.G., Lee, H.J., *et al.* (2018) Immunization of BALB/c mice with a combination of four recombinant Brucella abortus proteins, AspC, Dps, InpB and Ndk, confers a marked protection against a virulent strain of *Brucella abortus. Vaccine* **36**: 3027–3033.
- Hop, H.T., Simborio, H.L., Reyes, A.W., Arayan, L.T., Min, W., Lee, H.J., *et al.* (2015) Immunogenicity and protective effect of recombinant *Brucella abortus* Ndk (rNdk) against a virulent strain B. abortus 544 infection in BALB/c mice. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **362**, fnu063.
- Huy, T.X.N., Bernardo Reyes, A.W., Vu, S.H., Arayan, L.T., Hop, H.T., Min, W.G., *et al.* (2020a) Immunogenicity and protective response induced by recombinant Brucella abortus proteins Adk, SecB and combination of these two recombinant proteins against a virulent strain *B. abortus* 544 infection in BALB/c mice. *Microb Pathog* **143**: 104137.
- Huy, T.X.N., Nguyen, T.T., Reyes, A.W.B., Vu, S.H., Min, W.G., Lee, H.J., *et al.* (2020b) Immunization with a combination of four recombinant *Brucella abortus* proteins Omp16, Omp19, Omp28, and L7/L12 induces T helper 1 immune response against virulent B. abortus 544 infection in BALB/c Mice. *Front Vet Sci* **7**: 577026.

^{© 2022} The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **15**, 1811–1823

- Im, Y.B., Park, W.B., Jung, M., Kim, S., and Yoo, H.S. (2018) Comparative analysis of immune responses to outer membrane antigens OMP10, OMP19, and OMP28 of *Brucella abortus. Jpn J Infect Dis* **71**: 197–204.
- Jubier-Maurin, V., Boigegrain, R., Cloeckaert, A., Gross, A., Alvarez-Martinez, M., Terraza, A., et al. (2001) Major outer membrane protein Omp25 of *Brucella suis* is involved in inhibition of tumor necrosis factor alpha production during infection of human macrophages. *Infect Immun* 69: 4823–4830.
- Kirsebom, L.A., and Isaksson, L.A. (1985) Involvement of ribosomal protein L7/L12 in control of translational accuracy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 82: 717–721.
- Li, Z., Wang, S., Zhang, J., Yang, G., Yuan, B., Huang, J., et al. (2017) Brucella abortus 2308∆NodV∆NodW doublemutant is highly attenuated and confers protection against wild-type challenge in BALB/c mice. *Microb Pathog* **106**: 30–39.
- Li, Z., Wang, S., Zhang, H., Xi, L.I., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., et al. (2018a) Development and evaluation of in murine model, of an improved live-vaccine candidate against brucellosis from to Brucella melitensis vjbR deletion mutant. *Microb Pathog* **124**: 250–257.
- Li, Z., Zhang, H., Zhang, J., Xi, L.I., Yang, G., Wang, S., et al. (2018b) *Brucella abortus* phosphoglyceromutase and dihydrodipicolinate reductase induce Th1 and Th2related immune responses. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* **34:** 22.
- Luo, D., Ni, B., Li, P., Shi, W., Zhang, S., Han, Y., *et al.* (2006) Protective immunity elicited by a divalent DNA vaccine encoding both the L7/L12 and Omp16 genes of Brucella abortus in BALB/c mice. *Infect Immun* **74:** 2734–2741.
- Macedo, G.C., Magnani, D.M., Carvalho, N.B., Bruna-Romero, O., Gazzinelli, R.T., and Oliveira, S.C. (2008) Central role of MyD88-dependent dendritic cell maturation and proinflammatory cytokine production to control *Brucella abortus* infection. *J Immunol* **180**: 1080–1087.
- Minhas, P., Kumar, B.V.S., and Verma, R. (2021) Expression of recombinant DnaK of *Brucella abortus* and its evaluation as immuno-modulator. *Arch Microbiol* **203**: 2719–2725.
- Moreno, E. (2014) Retrospective and prospective perspectives on zoonotic brucellosis. *Front Microbiol* **5:** 213.
- Motaharinia, Y., Rezaee, M.A., Rashidi, A., Jalili, A., Rezaie, M.J., Shapouri, R., *et al.* (2013) Induction of protective immunity against brucellosis in mice by vaccination with a combination of naloxone, alum, and heat-killed *Brucella melitensis* 16 M. J Microbiol Immunol Infect **46**: 253–258.
- Mwanza, M., Kametler, L., Bonai, A., Rajli, V., Kovacs, M., and Dutton, M.F. (2009) The cytotoxic effect of fumonisin B1 and ochratoxin A on human and pig lymphocytes using the Methyl Thiazol Tetrazolium (MTT) assay. *Mycotoxin Res* 25: 233–238.
- Nazifi, N., Tahmoorespur, M., Sekhavati, M.H., Haghparast, A., and Behroozikhah, A.M. (2019) In vivo immunogenicity assessment and vaccine efficacy evaluation of a chimeric tandem repeat of epitopic region of OMP31 antigen fused to interleukin 2 (IL-2) against *Brucella melitensis* in BALB/ c mice. *BMC Vet Res* 15: 402.
- Oliveira, S.C., Macedo, G.C., Almeida, L., Oliveira, F., and Giambartolomei, G.H. (2010) Recent advances in

understanding immunity against Brucellosis: application for vaccine development. *Open Vet Sci J* **4**: 102–108.

- Oliveira, S.C., and Splitter, G.A. (1994) Subcloning and expression of the *Brucella abortus* L7/L12 ribosomal gene and T-lymphocyte recognition of the recombinant protein. *Infect Immun* **62**: 5201–5204.
- Pasquevich, K.A., Ibanez, A.E., Coria, L.M., Garcia Samartino, C., Estein, S.M., Zwerdling, A., *et al.* (2011) An oral vaccine based on U-Omp19 induces protection against B. abortus mucosal challenge by inducing an adaptive IL-17 immune response in mice. *PLoS One* **6**: e16203.
- Pathak, P., Kumar, A., Sarangi, P.P., Bhagyawant, S., and Thavaselvam, D. (2018) Cloning, expression and purification of virB10 protein of *Brucella melitensis* and evaluation of its role as a serological marker for Brucella infection in experimental and natural host. *Protein Expr Purif* 145: 53–58.
- Paul, S., Peddayelachagiri, B.V., Nagaraj, S., Konduru, B., and Batra, H.V. (2018) Protective and therapeutic efficacy study of divalent fusion protein rL7/L12-Omp25 against B. abortus 544 in presence of IFN_γ. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **102**: 8895–8907.
- Perkins, S.D., Smither, S.J., and Atkins, H.S. (2010) Towards a Brucella vaccine for humans. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 34: 379–394.
- Rezaei, M., Rabbani Khorasgani, M., Zarkesh Esfahani, S.H., Emamzadeh, R., and Abtahi, H. (2020) Production of Brucella melitensis Omp16 protein fused to the human interleukin 2 in Lactococcus lactis MG1363 toward developing a Lactococcus-based vaccine against brucellosis. *Can J Microbiol* **66**: 39–45.
- Roop, R., Gaines, J., Anderson, E., Caswell, C., and Martin, D. (2009) Survival of the fittest: how Brucella strains adapt to their intracellular niche in the host. *Med Microbiol Immunol* **198:** 221–238.
- Roy, C.R. (2002) Exploitation of the endoplasmic reticulum by bacterial pathogens. *Trends Microbiol* **10:** 418–424.
- Saadi, M., Karkhah, A., and Nouri, H.R. (2017) Development of a multi-epitope peptide vaccine inducing robust T cell responses against brucellosis using immunoinformatics based approaches. *Infect Genet Evol* **51**: 227–234.
- Schurig, G.G., Sriranganathan, N., and Corbel, M.J. (2002) Brucellosis vaccines: past, present and future. *Vet Microbiol* **90**: 479–496.
- Sharghi, S.H., Pakzad, P.P., and Bandehpour, M. (2017) Design and production of a novel polypeptide with immunogenic potentials for immunoassay of *Brucella melitensis. Trends Peptide Protein Sci* **2**: 44–48.
- Shim, S., Soh, S.H., Im, Y.B., Park, H.E., Cho, C.S., Kim, S., and Yoo, H.S. (2020) Elicitation of Th1/Th2 related responses in mice by chitosan nanoparticles loaded with *Brucella abortus* malate dehydrogenase, outer membrane proteins 10 and 19. *Int J Med Microbiol* **310**: 151362.
- Shirdast, H., Ebrahimzadeh, F., Taromchi, A.H., Mortazavi, Y., Esmaeilzadeh, A., Sekhavati, M.H., *et al.* (2021) Recombinant Lactococcus Lactis displaying Omp31 antigen of *Brucella melitensis* can induce an immunogenic response in BALB/c mice. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins* **13:** 80–89.
- Tadepalli, G., Konduru, B., Murali, H.S., and Batra, H.V. (2017) Intraperitoneal administration of a novel chimeric

^{© 2022} The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **15**, 1811–1823

immunogen (rOP) elicits IFN-gamma and IL-12p70 protective immune response in BALB/c mice against virulent Brucella. *Immunol Lett* **192:** 79–87.

- Verstreate, D.R., Creasy, M.T., Caveney, N.T., Baldwin, C.L., Blab, M.W., and Winter, A.J. (1982) Outer membrane proteins of *Brucella abortus*: isolation and characterization. *Infect Immun* **35**: 979–989.
- Vishnu, U.S., Sankarasubramanian, J., Gunasekaran, P., and Rajendhran, J. (2017) Identification of potential antigens from non-classically secreted proteins and designing novel multitope peptide vaccine candidate against Brucella melitensis through reverse vaccinology and immunoinformatics approach. *Infect Genet Evol* **55:** 151– 158.
- Xu, X., Ding, Z., Li, J., Liang, J., Bu, Z., Ding, J., *et al.* (2019) Newcastle disease virus-like particles containing the Brucella BCSP31 protein induce dendritic cell activation and protect mice against virulent Brucella challenge. *Vet Microbiol* **229**: 39–47.

- Yagupsky, P., and Baron, E.J. (2005) Laboratory exposures to brucellae and implications for bioterrorism. *Emerg Infect Dis* **11:** 1180–1185.
- Zhan, Y., Liu, Z., and Cheers, C. (1996) Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-12 contribute to resistance to the intracellular bacterium Brucella abortus by different mechanisms. *Infect Immun* 64: 2782–2786.
- Zhang, J., Guo, F., Chen, C., Li, Z., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., et al. (2013) Brucella melitensis 16M∆hfq attenuation confers protection against wild-type challenge in BALB/c mice. *Microbiol Immunol* **57**: 502–510.
- Zheng, W.Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z.C., and Yan, F. (2015) Immunological characteristics of outer membrane protein omp31 of goat Brucella and its monoclonal antibody. *Genet Mol Res* **14:** 11965–11974.
- Zhu, L., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Xu, Y., Peng, D., Huang, H., et al. (2020) Comparison of immune effects between recombinant Omp10-Omp28-L7/L12 proteins expressed in eukaryotic and prokaryotic systems. *Front Vet Sci* 7: 576.