Fiberoptic Intubation Using LMA[™] as A Conduit and Cook® Airway Catheter as An Exchanger in A Case of Tessier 7 Facial Cleft Syndrome

D Dasgupta¹, Anand Jain², Vaibhavi Baxi³, A Parab⁴, A Budhakar⁵

Summary

Any anaesthesiologist handling a paediatric airway must have a detailed understanding of the differences in airway anatomy, signs and symptoms of airway compromise and common paediatric airway abnormalities. In addition to various equipments needed to manage a difficult airway, there should be a clear plan for evaluation, preparation and management of life threatening complications. We share our experience of successfully managing a difficult airway of a 5 year old child with Tessier 7 facial cleft syndrome. We emphasize the importance of preoperative evaluation, preparation and use of various airway adjuncts.

Key words Tessier syndrome, Macrostomia, LMA[™], Fiberoptic bronchoscope, Cook[®] airway catheter

Introduction

In 1976, Tessier proposed an anatomic classification of rare facial, craniofacial, and laterofacial clefts. In this classification, the orbit is used as the primary structure of reference. Fifteen locations for clefts can be differentiated. The classification facilitates an understanding of tridimensional structure of these deformities.¹ The etiology of these clefts is an embryonic developmental failure of structures derived from 1st & 2nd branchial arches resulting in maxillary, mandibular and aural hypoplasia. The clinical expression of Tessier 7 ranges from a preauricular skin tag to a cleft across the cheek from angle of the mouth to the ear. When the cleft is bilateral, it leads to gross macrostomia.¹

Case report

A 5-year-old male child, known case of bilateral Tessier 7 facial cleft syndrome, was posted for total correction of facial deformity. He was a preterm child delivered vaginally. Presence of gross macrostomia at birth precluded breastfeeding. Till the age of 3 months, he was fed through a nasogastric tube after which a feeding gastrostomy was done under general anaesthesia, details of which were not available. Feeding gastrostomy was closed at the age of 2 years under GA, the details of which are not known. The child was on liquids and semi solid food since then.Before the surgery at our Hospital, he was kept NBM for 6 hours. There were no other associated congenital deformities. Milestones were normal. A history of snoring and repeated upper respiratory tract infections was present. On general examination, height was 95cm and weight was 15kg with a low set of ears. Airway examination revealed a facial cleft extending from angle of the mouth across the cheek to the ear on both sides amounting to gross macrostomia (Fig 1 & 2). Mouth opening was 3cm (Fig 1 Showing lateral view), Mallampatti classification-IV and thyromental distance-2.5cm with severe retrognathia. Teeth were severely maloccluded. Neck movements and other systems were normal. Routine investigations were normal. Preoperatively, the child was kept fasting for 6 hrs. Venous access was taken in the ward. No sedative premedication was administered in the ward due to history of snoring. In the operation

^{1.}Head of the Department, 2,3. DNB student, 4,5.Consultant Anaesthetist, Department of Anaesthesia, Jaslok Hospital and Reserch Center, Mumbai. **Correspondence to:** Anand Jain, 601, Luv Apartments, Veera Desai Road, Andheri West, Mumbai–400 053 Email:drandy80@hotmail.com *Accepted for publication on:26.2.09*



Fig 1 Showing lateral view



Fig 2 Front view showing mouth opening

theater, a difficult airway cart including fiberoptic bronchoscope and tracheostomy kit was kept ready. Monitoring included a precordial stethoscope, electrocardiogram, pulse oximeter, non invasive blood pressure cuff, capnogram and temperature probe. Plan of intubation was to secure a non surgical airway in an anaesthetised patient. Awake fiberoptic intubation was not possible since it would require a quite cooperative child for good bronchoscopic views. Our PLANA was to attempt a direct laryngoscopy after sevoflurane induction. PLAN B was to do LMATM guided fiberoptic intubation. For preoxygenation, we had to use a No 5 face mask due to the gross macrostomia. Gamgee pads were applied around the mask to reduce the leak and improve the seal. Injection glycopyrrolate 0.06 mg was administered and the child was anaesthetised with sevoflurane. Direct laryngoscopy revealed Cormack Lehane IV. Since it was becoming increasingly difficult to maintain the airway with mask, we decided to introduce an LMATM size 2¹/₂. After confirming adequate ventilation with the LMATM, fentanyl 30 mcg, propofol 30 mg, atracurium 10 mg were given intravenously. Then, through the LMATM, fiberoptic bronchscope with a 5mm safety flex tube mounted on it was introduced. The tube was then passed across the cords to secure the airway.

Although the child was being ventilated adequately, the LMATM had to be removed as it would hinder the oral surgery. Hence, we decided to remove the LMATMtube assembly over a Cook[®] airway exchange catheter(Fig 3). Finally, the same 5mm safety flex tube



Fig 3 Cook' catheter

was then railroaded back over Cook[®] catheter and ventilation was confirmed with EtCO2. We have used two uncuffed tubes of the same size without the connectors. The distal tip of the upper tube including the Murphy eye was cut away and then the cut end was plugged into the enlarged cavity of the proximal end of the lower tube to form a stable tube of double length. The tube was then fixed in midline with surgical sutures and the throat was packed. Surgery was uneventful. Post operatively the child was shifted to Paediatric ICU on ventilator.

Discussion

Children with Tessier 7 syndrome often prove to be difficult candidates for airway management. Huge macrostomia leads to a difficult mask fit, while intubation can be very challenging. Always anticipate difficult airway and choose an appropriate technique.² Direct laryngoscopy can either be difficult or impossible. Multiple attempts cause edema and bleeding with subsequent difficult ventilation. (Fig 2 Front view showing mouth opening, Fig 4 X ray skull lateral view showing malocclusion of teeth). Hence an alternative technique to secure the airway without traumatizing the larynx



Fig 4 X ray skull lateral view showing malocclusion of teeth

should be sought. In our patient, following direct laryngoscopy, we were unable to visualize even the epiglottis. So we went to our plan B, which was LMATM guided fiberoptic intubation.³ Performing an awake fiberoptic intubation in paediatric population is challenging, if not impossible. For intubation through LMATM, both blind as well as fiberoptic techniques are described. However, blind methods should be avoided due to the risk of trauma and bleeding. LMATM guided fiberoptic intubation has gained popularity as the LMATM provides a patent airway, a conduit for the bronchoscope and control ventilation at the same time.^{4,5} If the LMATM does not interfere with the surgery, it can be left in place. However the greatest challenge encountered when intubating through an LMATM is its removal without dislodging the endotracheal tube. This difficulty is unique to paediatric airway as the lengths of an age appropriate endotracheal tube and LMATM are similar. The proximal end of the tube tends to disappear into the LMATM once the tube has passed through the vocal cords.⁶ Various methods have been described to circumvent this problem. Most commonly, this is done by removing the tube connector and attaching another similar sized tube proximally to the first tube.7 Peter et al 8 have described the use of LMATM guided fiberoptic intubation and Cook® airway exchange catheter in difficult paediatric airway. The advantages of this catheter are long length, atraumatic, continuous access to airway and ability to oxygenate and ventilate during exchange process.

Walburn et al ⁹ have used a guidewire for the exchange of LMATM-tube assembly in a difficult paediatric case. However, the guidewire proves to be too soft and thin for support. Use of gum elastic bougie, adult stylets and ureteral dilators have also been described.¹⁰ One must always anticipate, prepare and plan for a difficult paediatric airway. Various airway adjuncts should be used judiciously. LMATM guided fiberoptic intubation has become gold standard for difficult paediatric airway. Cook[®] airway catheter should be used as an exchanger in difficult airway as it has distinct advantage over other adjuncts.

References

- 1. Tessier P. Anatomical classification of facial, craniofacial and laterofacial clefts. J Maxillofac Surg 1976; 4:69–92.
- 2. Benumof JL. The laryngeal mask airway and The ASA difficult airway algorithm. Anesthesiology 1996; 84: 689-699.
- 3. John M, Berger TM. Fiberoptic intubation through the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) as a standardized procedure. Pediatr Anesth 2004; 14: 614-15.
- 4. Yang YS, Son CS. Laryngeal mask airway guided fibreoptic tracheal intubation in a child with a lingual thyroglossal duct cyst. Paediatr Anaesth 2003; 13: 829-831.
- 5. Walker RWM, Aklen DL, Rothera MR. A fibreoptic intubation technique for children with mucopolysaccharidoses using the laryngeal mask airway. Paed Anesth 1997; 7: 421-426.
- 6. Inada T, Fujise K, Tachibana K, Shingu K. Orotracheal intubation through the laryngeal mask airway in paediatric patients with Treacher-Collins syndrome. Paediatr Anaesth 1995;5:129-32.
- 7. Markus Weiss, Andreas C. Gerber, Achim Schmitz. Continuous ventilation technique for laryngeal mask airway (LMATM) removal after fiberoptic intubation in children. Pediatric Anesthesia 2004;14:936–940.
- 8. Peter B. Thomas, Martin G. Parry. The difficult paediatric airway: a new method of intubation using the laryngeal mask airway[™], Cook® airway exchange catheter and tracheal intubation fibrescope. Pediatric Anesthesia. 2001;11:618–621.
- 9. Walburn MB, Cornes J, Ryder IG. Fiberoptic intubation through a laryngeal mask airway facilitated by a guide wire. Anaesthesia 2000; 55 : 1027-28.
- 10. Rajan GR. Fiberoptic wire guided transoral and through the LMA intubation technique using modified gum elastic bougie. Anesthesia & Analgesia 2005; 101: 1880-94.