

THE ESSENTIAL BIOLOGY OF THE ENDOPLASMIC RETICULUM STRESS RESPONSE FOR STRUCTURAL AND COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGISTS

Sadao Wakabayashi ^a, Hiderou Yoshida ^{a,*}

Abstract: The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response is a cytoprotective mechanism that maintains homeostasis of the ER by upregulating the capacity of the ER in accordance with cellular demands. If the ER stress response cannot function correctly, because of reasons such as aging, genetic mutation or environmental stress, unfolded proteins accumulate in the ER and cause ER stress-induced apoptosis, resulting in the onset of folding diseases, including Alzheimer's disease and diabetes mellitus. Although the mechanism of the ER stress response has been analyzed extensively by biochemists, cell biologists and molecular biologists, many aspects remain to be elucidated. For example, it is unclear how sensor molecules detect ER stress, or how cells choose the two opposite cell fates (survival or apoptosis) during the ER stress response. To resolve these critical issues, structural and computational approaches will be indispensable, although the mechanism of the ER stress response for structural and computational biologists.

6^{TH} Annual Protein and Peptide Conference 2013

Introduction

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an organelle where secretory proteins are synthesized and folded with the assistance of ER chaperones, including BiP and calreticulin [I] (Figure I). Correctly folded secretory proteins are transported to the Golgi apparatus, receive various modifications and are then secreted. Secretory proteins that cannot be properly folded in the ER are retrotranslocated to the cytoplasm by a mechanism called ER-associated degradation (ERAD) and degraded by the proteasome [2]. Thus, the function of ER chaperones and the ERAD system is important for homeostasis of the ER. When the synthesis of secretory proteins increases and overwhelms the capacity of ER chaperones and the ERAD, unfolded proteins accumulate in the ER and form aggregates (ER stress), which are highly toxic to cells and induce apoptosis [3]. Neurons are especially sensitive to ER stress, and ER stress can cause various neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease [4], Parkinson's disease [5] and prion disease [6]. ER stress also involved in the onset of other diseases such as diabetes mellitus [7-9], atherosclerosis [10], and UVA-induced cell damage [11]. These diseases caused by unfolded proteins are collectively called folding diseases or comformational diseases [12,13].

To cope with ER stress, mammalian cells activate a cytoprotective mechanism called the ER stress response (also called the unfolded protein response) [14-18]. Upon activation of the ER stress response, the transcription of genes encoding ER chaperones and ERAD components is upregulated, resulting in increased expression of ER chaperones and ERAD components. It has been suggested that the ER stress response is attenuated with aging, and this is one of the

1

^aDepartment of Molecular Biochemistry, Graduate School of Life Science, University of Hyogo, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 791580212; Fax: +81 791580219 *E-mail address*: hide@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp (Hiderou Yoshida) diagnosis and treatment of folding diseases. Moreover, mammalian cells in which the ER capacity is artificially upregulated by manipulating the ER stress response could be useful for the production of large amounts of secretory proteins, including epidermal growth factor (EGF) and erythropoietin (EPO) in industry. Clarification of the mechanisms regulating the ER stress response is crucial to the above objectives, although there are numerous issues to be clarified; for example, the mechanism how molecular sensors detect ER stress (accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER). In addition, acute ER stress induces the expression of ER chaperones and ERAD components for the survival of cells, whereas prolonged ER stress causes apoptosis to eliminate cells damaged by ER stress in order to ensure survival of the organism, but the mechanism that determines the cell fate (survival or cell death) has not been elucidated. Structural and computational approaches will be critical to decipher these critical problems.

In the following sections, we first describe the basic mechanism of the mammalian ER stress response and then briefly summarize the current status of structural and computational studies of the ER stress response. Because we have focused on the core story of the mammalian ER stress response, readers should also refer to the excellent review articles published recently for more detailed information on specific parts of the ER stress response.

The basic mechanism of the mammalian ER stress response

The mammalian ER stress response consists of three pathways: the ATF6, IREI and PERK pathways, of which the main functions are augmentation of folding and ERAD capacity, and translational attenuation, respectively. Although these response pathways cross-talk with each other and have several branched subpathways, we focus on the main pathways in this section.

The ATF6 pathway regulates the transcriptional induction of ER chaperone genes [19-23]. pATF6(P) is a sensor molecule comprising a type II transmembrane protein residing on the ER membrane

(Figure 2). When pATF6(P) detects ER stress, the protein is transported to the Golgi apparatus through vesicular transport in a COP-II vesicle and sequentially cleaved by two proteases residing in the Golgi, namely site I protease (SIP) and site 2 protease (S2P) [24]. The cytoplasmic portion of pATF6(P) (pATF6(N)) is released from the Golgi membrane, translocates into the nucleus, binds to an enhancer element called the ER stress response element (ERSE), and activates the transcription of ER chaperone genes, including BiP, GRP94, calreticulin and protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) [19]. The consensus nucleotide sequence of ERSE is CCAAT(N9)CCACG, and pATF6(N) recognizes both the CCACG portion and another transcription factor NF-Y, which binds to the CCAAT portion [25]. NF-Y is a general transcription factor required for the transcription of various human genes [26].



Figure 1. The ER stress response. The ER stress response is a cytoprotective mechanism that regulates the expression of ER chaperones as well as ERAD factors at the transcriptional level in accordance with cellular demands. When unfolded proteins accumulate in the ER, sensor molecules activate specific transcription factors, leading to transcriptional activation of genes encoding ER chaperones and ERAD factors. The ER stress response is thought to wane with aging, resulting in ER stress-induced apoptosis of cells and finally various diseases, which are collectively called "folding diseases".

Mammalian cells have two ATF6 genes, ATF6 α and ATF6 β [27]. Recently, it was reported that the double knockout of murine ATF6 α and ATF6 β resulted in embryonic lethality [22,28,29], and a similar knockout in medaka fish (*Oryzias latipes*) caused impaired notochord development [30,31], indicating that the ATF6 pathway is essential for vertebrate embryogenesis. ATF6 α and ATF6 β are expressed ubiquitously, and mammalian cells have several genes similar to ATF6, of which the expression is restricted to specific tissues. OASIS and BBF2H7 contribute to the development of bone and cartilage, respectively [32-34], whereas CREB-H is specifically expressed in the liver and involved in inflammation [29]. Luman regulates the transcription of ERAD genes such as *Herp* and *EDEM* [35-37], whereas Tisp40 expression is restricted to the testis [38-40]. These tissue-specific ATF6 family proteins may be specialized for tissuespecific ER stress responses.

The second pathway is the IREI pathway, which regulates the transcriptional induction of genes encoding ERAD components. IREI is a type I transmembrane protein residing on the ER

Structural analysis of the ER stress response

membrane [41-44], of which the cytosolic portion contains kinase and RNase domains (Figure 3) [45-47]. IREI is an inactive monomer in normal growth conditions, whereas IREI becomes an active oligomer and forms clusters on the ER membrane in response to ER stress [48,49]. IREI oligomers autophosphorylate each other to activate the RNase domain. IREI cleaves the pre-mRNA of XBPI at two sites, and an unidentified RNA ligase ligates the two exons of the XBPI mRNA, resulting in splicing of XBPI mRNA and the excision of a small intron [50-52]. Because the length of the intron is 26 nt, splicing of XBPI mRNA by IREI causes a frame shift. Thus, the pre-mRNA and mature mRNA of XBPI encode different proteins, pXBPI(U) and pXBPI(S), respectively. pXBPI(S) is an active transcription factor and contains both of the DNA-binding domain and the transcriptional activation domain. pXBPI(S) forms a heterodimer with pATF6(N) and binds to the enhancer element called the unfolded protein response element (UPRE), resulting in the transcriptional activation of ERAD genes such as HRDI, EDEM and Derlins [53,54]. pXBPI(S) is a very unstable protein degraded by the proteasome, and UBC9 protects it from degradation through direct binding [55].



Figure 2. The ATF6 pathway. The sensor molecule pATF6(P) located on the ER membrane is transported to the Golgi apparatus by transport vesicles in response to ER stress. In the Golgi apparatus, pATF6(P) is sequentially cleaved by two proteases, S1P and S2P, resulting in release of the cytoplasmic portion pATF6(N) from the ER membrane. pATF6(N) translocates into the nucleus and activates transcription of ER chaperone genes through binding to the cis-acting enhancer ERSE.

Interestingly, the IREI pathway has unique features. Activated IREI degrades mRNAs associated with the ER membrane, which encode secretory proteins, in order to prevent further accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER. This mechanism is called the regulated IREI-dependent mRNA decay (RIDD) [49,56]. In fission yeast Schizosaccaromyces pombe, RIDD is the main response mechanism of the ER stress response [57]. Moreover, the IREI pathway induces the apoptotic cascade through the TRAF2-ASKI-JNK pathway (see below). In addition, mammalian cells have two IREI genes, IREI α and IREI β . Both are involved in XBPI splicing and RIDD, although IREI β seems to be more closely involved with RIDD [58]. Expression of IREI α is ubiquitous, whereas IREI β is selectively expressed in the digestive tract and one of its main substrates is *mucin2* mRNA in Goblet cells [59]. The splicing of XBPI mRNA by IREI is highly unusual, in that conventional mRNA splicing occurs in the nucleus and is catalyzed by the spliceosome, whereas splicing of *XBPI* mRNA takes place in the cytoplasm and the spliceosome is not involved [60]. The mechanism of cytoplasmic splicing is conserved from the yeast to mammals [61].



Figure 3. The IRE1 pathway. In normal growth conditions, the sensor molecule IRE1 is an inactive monomer, whereas IRE1 forms an active oligomer in response to ER stress. Activated IRE1 converts unspliced *XBP1* mRNA to mature mRNA by the cytoplasmic mRNA splicing. From mature *XBP1* mRNA, an active transcription factor pXBP1(S) is translated and activates the transcription of ERAD genes through binding to the enhancer UPRE.

The third pathway is the PERK pathway (Figure 4). PERK is a sensor molecule residing on the ER membrane [62,63]. The molecular structure of PERK is similar to that of IREI, but the cytosolic domain of the PERK contains only the kinase domain. In the absence of ER stress, PERK is an inactive monomer, whereas PERK becomes an active oligomer upon ER stress, like IREI. Activated PERK phosphorylates the α subunit of eukaryotic transcriptional initiation factor (eIF2 α), resulting in the inactivation of eIF2 α and translational attenuation, which prevents further accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER. Interestingly, attenuation of general translation results in translational upregulation of ATF4 [64]. ATF4 is a transcription factor that binds to an enhancer element called the amino acid response element (AARE) and activates transcription of genes involved in translation [65] and antioxidative stress [66]. ATF4 also activates the apoptosis cascade by upregulating transcription of CHOP, a transcription factor involved in apoptosis. Phosphorylated eIF2 α is gradually dephosphorylated by specific phosphatases such as CReP [67], PPIC-GADD34 [68] and p58IPK [69]. CReP is constitutively expressed, whereas transcription of GADD34 and p58IPK is upregulated by the PERK pathway and the ATF6 and IREI pathways, respectively.



Figure 4. The PERK pathway. When PERK detects unfolded proteins in the ER, PERK phosphorylates eIF2 α , resulting in translational attenuation and translational induction of ATF4. ATF4 activates the transcription of target genes encoding translation factors, anti-oxidation factors and a transcription factor CHOP. Other kinases such as PKR, GCN2 and HRI also phosphorylate eIF2 α , and phosphorylated eIF2 α is dephosphorylated by CReP, PP1C-GADD34 and p58IPK.

Interestingly, eIF2 α is also phosphorylated by other kinases, such as PKR, GCN2 and HRI [70]. Upon viral infection, PKR is activated and prevents production of viral proteins through eIF2 α mediated translational attenuation. When cellular amino acid levels drop, GCN2 is activated and halts translation by phosphorylating eIF2 α . Hemoglobin consists of heme and globin, and when production of globin exceeds that of heme, HRI is activated and suppresses globin synthesis in erythrocytes by phosphorylating eIF2 α . These subpathways of eIF2 α -mediated translational attenuation are collectively called the integrated stress response [66].



Figure 5. Working hypothesis of the activation mechanism of IRE1. In normal growth conditions, BiP binds to an IRE1 monomer and prevents IRE1 from forming an oligomer. Upon ER stress, BiP binds to unfolded proteins, and IRE1 forms an oligomer, to which unfolded proteins directly bind and cause a conformational change in IRE1. Then, ADP binds to IRE1 and IRE1 is transphosphorylated, resulting in activation of its RNase. Hypothetical cytosolic co-factors may bind to the cytoplasmic ligand-binding pocket and may modulate IRE1 activity.

Why does the mammalian ER stress response have multiple response pathways, namely the ATF6, IREI and PERK pathways? The answer has not been clarified, and we speculated that these multiple pathways functions consecutively in order to flexibly deal with ER stress [53]. The PERK pathway is a very rapid response pathway. Thus, the first response of mammalian cells is to attenuate translation and to refold unfolded proteins with already existing ER chaperones. If unfolded proteins still persist, cells activate the next pathway, the ATF6 pathway. Upon activation of this pathway, the folding capacity of the ER is augmented and many unfolded proteins would be refolded. The ATF6 pathway is relatively rapid but not so robust because pATF6(P) is consumed after cleavage by SIP and S2P. Thus, in the case that ER stress still persists, the IREI pathway is activated. The IREI pathway is not rapid but robust because pXBPI(S) activates its own transcription, leading to self amplification of the IREI pathway. If ER stress still has not been resolved, the apoptotic cascades are activated and cells damaged by ER stress are disposed [71,72].

The stress sensing mechanism of IREI and PERK

The activation mechanisms of IREI and PERK are similar because the amino acid sequences of their luminal domains are similar. The current working hypothesis of the activation mechanism of IREI is as follows (Figure 5). In normal growth conditions, IREI is a monomer because BiP binds to the luminal domain of IREI and prevents it from forming an oligomer [48,71-77]. Upon ER stress, BiP is sequestered to unfolded proteins accumulating in the ER lumen, and IREI is released from BiP. Then IREI forms a dimer, which binds unfolded proteins directly through a domain that is structurally similar to the antigen-peptide binding domain of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class-I molecule [78]. This leads to oligomerization and clustering of IREI [48,49,79] and activation of the kinase domain of IREI, which transphosphorylates IREI. ADP-binding and transphosphorylation of IREI result in activation of the RNase domain, which cleaves the XBPI(U) mRNA and RIDD substrates.

Walter and colleagues analyzed the structure of the conserved core region of the IreIp luminal domain (cLD) from the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae by X-ray crystallography, and showed that dimerization of cLD monomers creates a groove formed by ahelices and a β -sheet floor, which is reminiscent of the peptidebinding domains of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class-I molecule [80]. They speculated that the binding of unfolded proteins to cLD changes the quaternary structure of IREI, resulting in autophosphorylation of IREI. On the contrary, Kaufman and colleagues determined the X-ray crystal structure of the luminal domain of human IREI α and reported that dimerization of the luminal domains creates an MHC-like groove but this groove is too narrow for peptide binding, although it would be possible that the luminal domain changes its structure to accommodate unfolded proteins like the induced-fit model. Structural analysis of IREI bound to an unfolded protein would be helpful to resolve this important issue. Walter and colleagues also analyzed the crystal structure of the oligomer of the cytosolic domains of yeast IreIp and found that they assemble like a rod, which may be important for autophosphorylation and the activation of RNase of yeast IreIp [49]. Ron and colleagues analyzed the structure of a cocrystal of yeast IreIp complexed with ADP and quercetin and revealed that the flavonol quercetin binds to the ligand-binding pocket at the dimer interface of the kinase extension nuclease domain of IreIp, suggesting the existence of endogenous cytoplasmic ligands that may modulate IreIp activity [81]. Sicheri and colleagues and Pearl and colleagues also reported the crystal structure of the cytoplasmic domain of yeast and human IreIp, respectively [82,83].



Figure 6. Two working models of the activation mechanism of ATF6. According to the first model, BiP binds to pATF6(P) and masks the Golgi localization signal in normal growth conditions. Upon ER stress, unfolded proteins sequester BiP from pATF6(P), resulting in translocation of pATF6(P) to the Golgi apparatus. In the second model, pATF6(P) forms an oligomer through disulfide bonds and is anchored in the ER membrane, while disulfide bonds are cleaved by reduction upon ER stress and a pATF6(P) monomer translocates to the Golgi apparatus. Both models are not mutually exclusive.

The stress sensing mechanism of ATF6

The sensor molecule of the ATF6 pathway is pATF6(P), which is a type II transmembrane protein with one transmembrane domain. Prywes and colleagues reported that BiP binds to the luminal domain of pATF6(P), which masks the Golgi-localization signal and keeps pATF6(P) in the ER [84-87] (Figure 6). They proposed that BiP is sequestered to unfolded proteins upon ER stress from pATF6(P), and then pATF6(P) is packaged into COPII vesicles to be transported to the Golgi apparatus. Mori and colleagues reported another mode of regulation of ATF6 activation [88-91]. They found that pATF6(P) forms oligomers through disulfide bonds between cysteine residues in normal growth conditions, and that pATF6(P) oligomers are reduced and become monomers upon ER stress. Thus, they proposed that oligomerization and reduction are involved in the activation process of the ATF6 pathway. Structural analysis of the luminal domain of pATF6(P) would greatly help in understanding the stress-sensing and activation mechanism of the ATF6 pathway.

The molecular mechanism of pXBPI(U) function

The other issue that would be interesting for structural and computational biologists is the function of pXBPI(U) (Figure 7). In normal growth conditions, *XBPI* mRNA is unspliced and produces pXBPI(U), which contains the DNA-binding domain but not the transcriptional activation domain. Instead, pXBPI(U) has a degradation-enhancing domain, a membrane-association domain and a domain associated with the ribosomal tunnel. During the recovery phase of ER stress, IREI is inactivated but pATF6(N) and pXBPI(S) still activate the transcription of ER chaperone genes and the XBPI gene, which results in an increase in the level of the XBPI(U) transcript and pXBPI(U). pXBPI(U) binds to pATF6(N) and pXBPI(S) and enhances their degradation, leading to the shut-off of the ATF6 and IREI pathways [92-94]. In normal growth conditions, the C-terminal region of pXBPI(U) associates with the ribosomal tunnel and reduces the speed of translation [95], while the

membrane-association domain of pXBPI(U) binds to the ER membrane [96], resulting in membrane anchoring of XBPI(U) mRNA on the ER membrane. This mechanism contributes to the rapid and enhanced splicing of XBPI(U) mRNA by IREI in response to ER stress. Thus, pXBPI(U) is a multi-functional protein with remarkably interesting functions, but its structure remains to be clarified.



Figure 7. Three functions of pXBP1(U). pXBP1(U) translated from *XBP1(U)* mRNA binds to pXBP1(S) and enhances its degradation. The CTR region of pXBP1(U) interacts with the ribosome tunnel and slows translation, while the HR2 region anchors *XBP1(U)* mRNA to the ER membrane, in order to enhance splicing of *XBP1(U)* mRNA by IRE1.

The mechanism of ER stress-induced apoptosis

The accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER is very toxic to cells, although the precise mechanism of cytotoxicity caused by unfolded proteins has not been determined [97,98]. Small oligomers of unfolded proteins are thought to be more toxic than large aggregates, and oxidative stress evoked by unfolded proteins is assumed to be one of the major causes of toxicity.

Of note, the mammalian ER stress response induces apoptotic pathways [3,99-101] (Figure 8). ATF4 and pATF6(N) bind directly to AARE and ERSE, respectively, in the promoter of the CHOP gene and increase its transcription. CHOP is a well known transcription factor that positively regulates the apoptotic pathway [102-104] and induces transcription of pro-apoptotic genes, including PUMA and BIM [105,106]. In addition, IRE1 is also involved in the induction of apoptosis. IRE1 activates an apoptotic kinase, JNK, through the signal cascade of the TRAF2-ASKI pathway [107,108]. Moreover, murine caspase-12 is also activated upon ER stress and activates the apoptotic pathway; it should be noted, however, that the human caspase-12 gene is a pseudogene [109,110], and caspase-4 might be involved in ER stress-induced apoptosis in humans [110].

It seems unreasonable that the mammalian ER stress response induces the two opposite responses, namely pro-survival responses and pro-apoptotic responses. However, this is a part of the multilayered defense system of the mammalian ER stress response, and switching from the adaptive phase to the apoptotic phase seems strictly regulated [III-II3]. In acute and mild ER stress, the ER stress response tries to deal with unfolded proteins accumulating in the ER, whereas if cells are damaged by strong and sustained ER stress that they cannot deal with and ER stress still persists and hampers the survival of the organism, the ER stress response activates the apoptotic pathways and disposes of damaged cells from the body. Computational simulation of response pathways to analyze the decision mechanism that determines cell fate (survival or apoptosis) provides a valuable analysis tool, although there have been few such studies to date [114].

Erguler and colleagues reported a mathematical model of the mammalian ER stress response based on the literature, by which they tried to explain how the decision could be made to generate an appropriate response under ER stress conditions of various strengths [115]. The model revealed that the balance between ER stress and the folding capacity of the ER plays a pivotal role in determining cell fate, an adaptive response or apoptosis. There exist three distinct states of behavior, namely, low, intermediate and high activity states. The intermediate state may exhibit oscillations in translation attenuation and apoptotic signals. Though their model integrates the adaptive response mechanisms of the three signaling pathways, their crosstalk, and the associated genetic and post-translational interactions, the signaling cascades of the apoptotic pathway are rather simplified; the model considers only the CHOP cascade. Recently, numerous apoptotic signal cascades have been found to be involved in ER stressinduced apoptosis. For example, calnexin, an ER chaperone specialized for glycoproteins, associates and regulates pro-apoptotic factor Bap31, which is essential for apoptosis [116]. The tyrosine kinase c-Abl translocates from the ER to the mitochondria, resulting in cytochrome c release [117]. Bax inhibitor-I (BI-I) is an ER protein that suppresses cell death, and BI-I deficient mice are hypersensitive to apoptosis induced by ER stress [116]. The RING finger E3 ligase RNF186 is localized in the ER and enhances degradation of BNIP1, a member of the Bcl-2 family, in response to ER stress, [118]. miR-106b-25 recognizes the 3'-UTR region of Bim mRNA and suppresses Bim expression. Upon ER stress, the PERK pathway represses miR-106b-25 expression, resulting in increased apoptosis by Bim. Thus, an improved model including these apoptotic factors could significantly advance understanding of the complete decisionmaking process during the ER stress response.



Figure 8. Major pathways of ER stress-induced apoptosis. ER stress induces apoptosis through various pathways, including transcriptional induction of CHOP by the PERK and ATF6 pathways, the IRE1-TRAF2 pathway and the caspase-12 pathway.

Conclusion

The regulatory mechanism of the ER stress response has been analyzed extensively by cell biologists and biochemists, and now the structural and computational approaches are definitely needed for the complete elucidation of the regulatory system as a whole. Recently, homeostatic mechanisms similar to the ER stress response, including the mitochondrial unfolded protein response [I19,I20], the lysosomal stress response [I21-I23], and the Golgi stress response [I24], have been reported. The systematic analysis of the homeostatic mechanisms of these organelles will be critical for understanding of the functioning of eukaryotic cells and may provide remarkable contributions to the development of medical science.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Mikiko Ochiai for secretarial assistance. This work was supported by MEXT and JSPS KAKENHI (No. 25650070) and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas of MEXT (No. 23110007).

Citation

Wakabayashi S, Yoshida H (2013) The essential biology of the endoplasmic reticulum stress response for structural and computational biologists. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal. 6 (7): e201303010. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.5936/csbj.201303010

References

- 1. Gething M-J (1997) Guidebook to Molecular Chaperones and Protein-Folding Catalysts. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hebert DN, Molinari M (2012) Flagging and docking: dual roles for N-glycans in protein quality control and cellular proteostasis. Trends Biochem Sci 37: 404-410.
- 3. Tabas I, Ron D (2011) Integrating the mechanisms of apoptosis induced by endoplasmic reticulum stress. Nat Cell Biol 13: 184-190.
- Imaizumi K, Miyoshi K, Katayama T, Yoneda T, Taniguchi M, et al. (2001) The unfolded protein response and Alzheimer's disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 1536: 85-96.
- 5. Wang HQ, Takahashi R (2007) Expanding insights on the involvement of endoplasmic reticulum stress in Parkinson's disease. Antioxid Redox Signal 9: 553-561.
- 6. Hetz CA, Soto C (2006) Stressing out the ER: a role of the unfolded protein response in prion-related disorders. Curr Mol Med 6: 37-43.
- Harding HP, Ron D (2002) Endoplasmic reticulum stress and the development of diabetes: a review. Diabetes 51 Suppl 3: S455-S461.
- 8. Back SH, Kaufman RJ (2012) Endoplasmic reticulum stress and type 2 diabetes. Annu Rev Biochem 81: 767-793.
- 9. Ozcan U, Cao Q, Yilmaz E, Lee AH, Iwakoshi NN, et al. (2004) Endoplasmic reticulum stress links obesity, insulin action, and type 2 diabetes. Science 306: 457-461.
- Scull CM, Tabas I (2011) Mechanisms of ER stress-induced apoptosis in atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 31: 2792-2797.

- 11. Komori R, Taniguchi M, Ichikawa Y, Uemura A, Oku M, et al. (2012) Ultraviolet A induces endoplasmic reticulum stress response in human dermal fibroblasts. Cell Struct Funct 37: 49-53.
- 12. Wang S, Kaufman RJ (2012) The impact of the unfolded protein response on human disease. J Cell Biol 197: 857-867.
- 13. Yoshida H (2007) ER stress and diseases. FEBS J 274: 630-658.
- 14. Walter P, Ron D (2011) The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. Science 334: 1081-1086.
- Mori K (2009) Signalling pathways in the unfolded protein response: development from yeast to mammals. J Biochem 146: 743-750.
- 16. Cao SS, Kaufman RJ (2013) Targeting endoplasmic reticulum stress in metabolic disease. Expert Opin Ther Targets 17: 437-448.
- 17. Kimata Y, Kohno K (2011) Endoplasmic reticulum stress-sensing mechanisms in yeast and mammalian cells. Curr Opin Cell Biol 23: 135-142.
- Yoshida H (2009) ER stress response, peroxisome proliferation, mitochondrial unfolded protein response and Golgi stress response. IUBMB Life 61: 871-879.
- Yoshida H, Haze K, Yanagi H, Yura T, Mori K (1998) Identification of the cis-acting endoplasmic reticulum stress response element responsible for transcriptional induction of mammalian glucose-regulated proteins. Involvement of basic leucine zipper transcription factors. J Biol Chem 273: 33741-33749.
- 20. Haze K, Okada T, Yoshida H, Yanagi H, Yura T, et al. (2001) Identification of the G13 (cAMP-response-element-binding protein-related protein) gene product related to activating transcription factor 6 as a transcriptional activator of the mammalian unfolded protein response. Biochem J 355: 19-28.
- Yoshida H, Okada T, Haze K, Yanagi H, Yura T, et al. (2000) ATF6 activated by proteolysis binds in the presence of NF-Y (CBF) directly to the cis-acting element responsible for the mammalian unfolded protein response. Mol Cell Biol 20: 6755-6767.
- 22. Yamamoto K, Sato T, Matsui T, Sato M, Okada T, et al. (2007) Transcriptional induction of mammalian ER quality control proteins is mediated by single or combined action of ATF6alpha and XBP1. Dev Cell 13: 365-376.
- 23. Adachi Y, Yamamoto K, Okada K, Yoshida H, Harada A, et al. (2008) ATF6 is a transcription factor specialized in the regulation of quality control proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum. Cell Struct Funct 33: 75-89.
- 24. Ye J, Rawson RB, Komuro R, Chen X, Dave UP, et al. (2000) ER stress induces cleavage of membrane-bound ATF6 by the same proteases that process SREBPs. Mol Cell 6: 1355-1364.
- 25. Yoshida H, Okada T, Haze K, Yanagi H, Yura T, et al. (2001) Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced formation of transcription factor complex ERSF including NF-Y (CBF) and activating transcription factors 6alpha and 6beta that activates the mammalian unfolded protein response. Mol Cell Biol 21: 1239-1248.
- 26. Maity SN, de Crombrugghe B (1998) Role of the CCAATbinding protein CBF/NF-Y in transcription. Trends Biochem Sci 23: 174-178.
- 27. Haze K, Yoshida H, Yanagi H, Yura T, Mori K (1999) Mammalian transcription factor ATF6 is synthesized as a transmembrane protein and activated by proteolysis in response to endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mol Biol Cell 10: 3787-3399.
- 28. Yamamoto K, Takahara K, Oyadomari S, Okada T, Sato T, et al. (2010) Induction of liver steatosis and lipid droplet formation in

ATF6alpha-knockout mice burdened with pharmacological endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mol Biol Cell 21: 2975-29786.

- 29. Zhang K, Shen X, Wu J, Sakaki K, Saunders T, et al. (2006) Endoplasmic reticulum stress activates cleavage of CREBH to induce a systemic inflammatory response. Cell 124: 587-599.
- Ishikawa T, Okada T, Ishikawa-Fujiwara T, Todo T, Kamei Y, et al. (2013) ATF6alpha/beta-mediated adjustment of ER chaperone levels is essential for development of the notochord in medaka fish. Mol Biol Cell 24: 1387-1395.
- 31. Ishikawa T, Taniguchi Y, Okada T, Takeda S, Mori K (2011) Vertebrate unfolded protein response: mammalian signaling pathways are conserved in Medaka fish. Cell Struct Funct 36: 247-259.
- 32. Kondo S, Murakami T, Tatsumi K, Ogata M, Kanemoto S, et al. (2005) OASIS, a CREB/ATF-family member, modulates UPR signalling in astrocytes. Nat Cell Biol 7: 186-194.
- 33. Kondo S, Saito A, Hino S, Murakami T, Ogata M, et al. (2007) BBF2H7, a novel transmembrane bZIP transcription factor, is a new type of endoplasmic reticulum stress transducer. Mol Cell Biol 27: 1716-1729.
- 34. Saito A, Hino S, Murakami T, Kanemoto S, Kondo S, et al. (2009) Regulation of endoplasmic reticulum stress response by a BBF2H7-mediated Sec23a pathway is essential for chondrogenesis. Nat Cell Biol 11: 1197-1204.
- 35. DenBoer LM, Hardy-Smith PW, Hogan MR, Cockram GP, Audas TE, et al. (2005) Luman is capable of binding and activating transcription from the unfolded protein response element. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 331: 113-119.
- 36. Liang G, Audas TE, Li Y, Cockram GP, Dean JD, et al. (2006) Luman/CREB3 induces transcription of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response protein Herp through an ER stress response element. Mol Cell Biol 26: 7999-8010.
- Raggo C, Rapin N, Stirling J, Gobeil P, Smith-Windsor E, et al. (2002) Luman, the cellular counterpart of herpes simplex virus VP16, is processed by regulated intramembrane proteolysis. Mol Cell Biol 22: 5639-5649.
- 38. Nagamori I, Yabuta N, Fujii T, Tanaka H, Yomogida K, et al. (2005) Tisp40, a spermatid specific bZip transcription factor, functions by binding to the unfolded protein response element via the Rip pathway. Genes Cells 10: 575-594.
- Nagamori I, Yomogida K, Adams PD, Sassone-Corsi P, Nojima H (2006) Transcription factors, cAMP-responsive element modulator (CREM) and Tisp40, act in concert in postmeiotic transcriptional regulation. J Biol Chem 281: 15073-15081.
- 40. Nagamori I, Yomogida K, Ikawa M, Okabe M, Yabuta N, et al. (2006) The testes-specific bZip type transcription factor Tisp40 plays a role in ER stress responses and chromatin packaging during spermiogenesis. Genes Cells 11: 1161-1171.
- 41. Mori K, Ma W, Gething MJ, Sambrook J (1993) A transmembrane protein with a cdc2+/CDC28-related kinase activity is required for signaling from the ER to the nucleus. Cell 74: 743-756.
- 42. Cox JS, Shamu CE, Walter P (1993) Transcriptional induction of genes encoding endoplasmic reticulum resident proteins requires a transmembrane protein kinase. Cell 73: 1197-1206.
- 43. Wang XZ, Harding HP, Zhang Y, Jolicoeur EM, Kuroda M, et al. (1998) Cloning of mammalian Ire1 reveals diversity in the ER stress responses. EMBO J 17: 5708-5717.
- 44. Iwawaki T, Hosoda A, Okuda T, Kamigori Y, Nomura-Furuwatari C, et al. (2001) Translational control by the ER transmembrane kinase/ribonuclease IRE1 under ER stress. Nat Cell Biol 3: 158-164.

- 45. Cox JS, Walter P (1996) A novel mechanism for regulating activity of a transcription factor that controls the unfolded protein response. Cell 87: 391-404.
- 46. Sidrauski C, Cox JS, Walter P (1996) tRNA ligase is required for regulated mRNA splicing in the unfolded protein response [see comments]. Cell 87: 405-413.
- 47. Sidrauski C, Walter P (1997) The transmembrane kinase Ire1p is a site-specific endonuclease that initiates mRNA splicing in the unfolded protein response. Cell 90: 1031-1039.
- Kimata Y, Ishiwata-Kimata Y, Ito T, Hirata A, Suzuki T, et al. (2007) Two regulatory steps of ER-stress sensor Ire1 involving its cluster formation and interaction with unfolded proteins. J Cell Biol 179: 75-86.
- 49. Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Zhang C, et al. (2009) The unfolded protein response signals through high-order assembly of Ire1. Nature 457: 687-693.
- 50. Calfon M, Zeng H, Urano F, Till JH, Hubbard SR, et al. (2002) IRE1 couples endoplasmic reticulum load to secretory capacity by processing the XBP-1 mRNA. Nature 415: 92-96.
- Shen X, Ellis RE, Lee K, Liu CY, Yang K, et al. (2001) Complementary signaling pathways regulate the unfolded protein response and are required for C. elegans development. Cell 107: 893-903.
- 52. Yoshida H, Matsui T, Yamamoto A, Okada T, Mori K (2001) XBP1 mRNA is induced by ATF6 and spliced by IRE1 in response to ER stress to produce a highly active transcription factor. Cell 107: 881-891.
- 53. Yoshida H, Matsui T, Hosokawa N, Kaufman RJ, Nagata K, et al. (2003) A time-dependent phase shift in the mammalian unfolded protein response. Dev Cell 4: 265-271.
- 54. Lee AH, Iwakoshi NN, Glimcher LH (2003) XBP-1 regulates a subset of endoplasmic reticulum resident chaperone genes in the unfolded protein response. Mol Cell Biol 23: 7448-7459.
- 55. Uemura A, Taniguchi M, Matsuo Y, Oku M, Wakabayashi S, et al. (2013) UBC9 Regulates the Stability of XBP1, a Key Transcription Factor Controlling the ER Stress Response. Cell Struct Funct 38: 67-79.
- 56. Hollien J, Lin JH, Li H, Stevens N, Walter P, et al. (2009) Regulated Ire1-dependent decay of messenger RNAs in mammalian cells. J Cell Biol 186: 323-331.
- 57. Kimmig P, Diaz M, Zheng J, Williams CC, Lang A, et al. (2012) The unfolded protein response in fission yeast modulates stability of select mRNAs to maintain protein homeostasis. Elife 1: e00048.
- 58. Nakamura D, Tsuru A, Ikegami K, Imagawa Y, Fujimoto N, et al. (2011) Mammalian ER stress sensor IRE1beta specifically downregulates the synthesis of secretory pathway proteins. FEBS Lett 585: 133-138.
- 59. Tsuru A, Fujimoto N, Takahashi S, Saito M, Nakamura D, et al. (2013) Negative feedback by IRE1beta optimizes mucin production in goblet cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110: 2864-2869.
- 60. Uemura A, Oku M, Mori K, Yoshida H (2009) Unconventional splicing of XBP1 mRNA occurs in the cytoplasm during the mammalian unfolded protein response. J Cell Sci 122: 2877-2886.
- 61. Ruegsegger U, Leber JH, Walter P (2001) Block of HAC1 mRNA translation by long-range base pairing is released by cytoplasmic splicing upon induction of the unfolded protein response. Cell 107: 103-114.
- 62. Harding HP, Zhang Y, Ron D (1999) Protein translation and folding are coupled by an endoplasmic-reticulum- resident kinase. Nature 397: 271-274.

- 63. Harding HP, Zhang Y, Bertolotti A, Zeng H, Ron D (2000) Perk is essential for translational regulation and cell survival during the unfolded protein response. Mol Cell 5: 897-904.
- 64. Harding HP, Novoa I, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Wek R, et al. (2000) Regulated translation initiation controls stress-induced gene expression in mammalian cells. Mol Cell 6: 1099-1108.
- 65. Okada T, Yoshida H, Akazawa R, Negishi M, Mori K (2002) Distinct roles of activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) and double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) in transcription during the mammalian unfolded protein response. Biochem J 366: 585-594.
- 66. Harding HP, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Novoa I, Lu PD, et al. (2003) An integrated stress response regulates amino acid metabolism and resistance to oxidative stress. Mol Cell 11: 619-633.
- 67. Jousse C, Oyadomari S, Novoa I, Lu P, Zhang Y, et al. (2003) Inhibition of a constitutive translation initiation factor 2alpha phosphatase, CReP, promotes survival of stressed cells. J Cell Biol 163: 767-775.
- 68. Novoa I, Zeng H, Harding HP, Ron D (2001) Feedback inhibition of the unfolded protein response by GADD34-mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2alpha. J Cell Biol 153: 1011-1022.
- 69. Yan W, Frank CL, Korth MJ, Sopher BL, Novoa I, et al. (2002) Control of PERK eIF2alpha kinase activity by the endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced molecular chaperone P58IPK. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 15920-15925.
- 70. Donnelly N, Gorman AM, Gupta S, Samali A (2013) The eIF2alpha kinases: their structures and functions. Cell Mol Life Sci. in press.
- Pincus D, Chevalier MW, Aragon T, van Anken E, Vidal SE, et al. (2010) BiP binding to the ER-stress sensor Ire1 tunes the homeostatic behavior of the unfolded protein response. PLoS Biol 8: e1000415.
- 72. Oikawa D, Kimata Y, Kohno K (2007) Self-association and BiP dissociation are not sufficient for activation of the ER stress sensor Ire1. J Cell Sci 120: 1681-1688.
- 73. Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Hendershot LM, Harding HP, Ron D (2000) Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. Nat Cell Biol 2: 326-332.
- 74. Kimata Y, Kimata YI, Shimizu Y, Abe H, Farcasanu IC, et al. (2003) Genetic evidence for a role of BiP/Kar2 that regulates Ire1 in response to accumulation of unfolded proteins. Mol Biol Cell 14: 2559-2569.
- 75. Kimata Y, Oikawa D, Shimizu Y, Ishiwata-Kimata Y, Kohno K (2004) A role for BiP as an adjustor for the endoplasmic reticulum stress-sensing protein Ire1. J Cell Biol 167: 445-456.
- 76. Ishiwata-Kimata Y, Promlek T, Kohno K, Kimata Y (2013) BiPbound and nonclustered mode of Ire1 evokes a weak but sustained unfolded protein response. Genes Cells 18: 288-301.
- 77. Okamura K, Kimata Y, Higashio H, Tsuru A, Kohno K (2000) Dissociation of Kar2p/BiP from an ER sensory molecule, Ire1p, triggers the unfolded protein response in yeast. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 279: 445-450.
- 78. Gardner BM, Walter P (2011) Unfolded proteins are Irelactivating ligands that directly induce the unfolded protein response. Science 333: 1891-1894.
- 79. Li H, Korennykh AV, Behrman SL, Walter P (2010) Mammalian endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor IRE1 signals by dynamic clustering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 16113-16118.
- Credle JJ, Finer-Moore JS, Papa FR, Stroud RM, Walter P (2005) On the mechanism of sensing unfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 18773-18784.

- 81. Wiseman RL, Zhang Y, Lee KP, Harding HP, Haynes CM, et al. (2010) Flavonol activation defines an unanticipated ligand-binding site in the kinase-RNase domain of IRE1. Mol Cell 38: 291-304.
- 82. Lee KP, Dey M, Neculai D, Cao C, Dever TE, et al. (2008) Structure of the dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in nonconventional RNA splicing. Cell 132: 89-100.
- 83. Ali MM, Bagratuni T, Davenport EL, Nowak PR, Silva-Santisteban MC, et al. (2011) Structure of the Ire1 autophosphorylation complex and implications for the unfolded protein response. EMBO J 30: 894-905.
- 84. Chen X, Shen J, Prywes R (2002) The luminal domain of ATF6 senses endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and causes translocation of ATF6 from the ER to the Golgi. J Biol Chem 277: 13045-13052.
- 85. Shen J, Chen X, Hendershot L, Prywes R (2002) ER stress regulation of ATF6 localization by dissociation of BiP/GRP78 binding and unmasking of Golgi localization signals. Dev Cell 3: 99-111.
- 86. Shen J, Prywes R (2004) Dependence of site-2 protease cleavage of ATF6 on prior site-1 protease digestion is determined by the size of the luminal domain of ATF6. J Biol Chem 279: 43046-43051.
- 87. Shen J, Snapp EL, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Prywes R (2005) Stable binding of ATF6 to BiP in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. Mol Cell Biol 25: 921-932.
- 88. Nadanaka S, Okada T, Yoshida H, Mori K (2007) Role of disulfide bridges formed in the luminal domain of ATF6 in sensing endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mol Cell Biol 27: 1027-1043.
- 89. Nadanaka S, Yoshida H, Kano F, Murata M, Mori K (2004) Activation of mammalian unfolded protein response is compatible with the quality control system operating in the endoplasmic reticulum. Mol Biol Cell 15: 2537-2548.
- 90. Nadanaka S, Yoshida H, Mori K (2006) Reduction of disulfide bridges in the lumenal domain of ATF6 in response to glucose starvation. Cell Struct Funct 31: 127-134.
- Sato Y, Nadanaka S, Okada T, Okawa K, Mori K (2011) Luminal domain of ATF6 alone is sufficient for sensing endoplasmic reticulum stress and subsequent transport to the Golgi apparatus. Cell Struct Funct 36: 35-47.
- Yoshida H, Oku M, Suzuki M, Mori K (2006) pXBP1(U) encoded in XBP1 pre-mRNA negatively regulates unfolded protein response activator pXBP1(S) in mammalian ER stress response. J Cell Biol 172: 565-575.
- 93. Yoshida H, Uemura A, Mori K (2009) pXBP1(U), a negative regulator of the unfolded protein response activator pXBP1(S), targets ATF6 but not ATF4 in proteasome-mediated degradation. Cell Struct Funct 34: 1-10.
- 94. Tirosh B, Iwakoshi NN, Glimcher LH, Ploegh HL (2006) Rapid turnover of unspliced Xbp-1 as a factor that modulates the unfolded protein response. J Biol Chem 281: 5852-5860.
- 95. Yanagitani K, Kimata Y, Kadokura H, Kohno K (2011) Translational pausing ensures membrane targeting and cytoplasmic splicing of XBP1u mRNA. Science 331: 586-589.
- 96. Yanagitani K, Imagawa Y, Iwawaki T, Hosoda A, Saito M, et al. (2009) Cotranslational targeting of XBP1 protein to the membrane promotes cytoplasmic splicing of its own mRNA. Mol Cell 34: 191-200.
- 97. Rutkowski DT, Kaufman RJ (2004) A trip to the ER: coping with stress. Trends Cell Biol 14: 20-28.
- 98. Xu C, Bailly-Maitre B, Reed JC (2005) Endoplasmic reticulum stress: cell life and death decisions. J Clin Invest 115: 2656-2664.
- 99. Oyadomari S, Takeda K, Takiguchi M, Gotoh T, Matsumoto M, et al. (2001) Nitric oxide-induced apoptosis in pancreatic beta cells

is mediated by the endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 10845-10850.

- Oyadomari S, Araki E, Mori M (2002) Endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated apoptosis in pancreatic beta-cells. Apoptosis 7: 335-345.
- 101. Kadowaki H, Nishitoh H, Ichijo H (2004) Survival and apoptosis signals in ER stress: the role of protein kinases. J Chem Neuroanat 28: 93-100.
- 102. Oyadomari S, Mori M (2004) Roles of CHOP/GADD153 in endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cell Death Differ 11: 381-389.
- 103. Oyadomari S, Koizumi A, Takeda K, Gotoh T, Akira S, et al. (2002) Targeted disruption of the Chop gene delays endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated diabetes. J Clin Invest 109: 525-532.
- 104. Gotoh T, Oyadomari S, Mori K, Mori M (2002) Nitric oxideinduced apoptosis in RAW 264.7 macrophages is mediated by endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway involving ATF6 and CHOP. J Biol Chem 277: 12343-12350.
- 105. Wang XZ, Kuroda M, Sok J, Batchvarova N, Kimmel R, et al. (1998) Identification of novel stress-induced genes downstream of chop. EMBO J 17: 3619-3630.
- 106. Ghosh AP, Klocke BJ, Ballestas ME, Roth KA (2012) CHOP potentially co-operates with FOXO3a in neuronal cells to regulate PUMA and BIM expression in response to ER stress. PLoS One 7: e39586.
- 107. Urano F, Wang X, Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Chung P, et al. (2000) Coupling of stress in the ER to activation of JNK protein kinases by transmembrane protein kinase IRE1. Science 287: 664-666.
- Nishitoh H, Matsuzawa A, Tobiume K, Saegusa K, Takeda K, et al. (2002) ASK1 is essential for endoplasmic reticulum stressinduced neuronal cell death triggered by expanded polyglutamine repeats. Genes Dev 16: 1345-1355.
- 109. Yoneda T, Imaizumi K, Oono K, Yui D, Gomi F, et al. (2001) Activation of caspase-12, an endoplastic reticulum (ER) resident caspase, through tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 2dependent mechanism in response to the ER stress. J Biol Chem 276: 13935-13940.
- Hitomi J, Katayama T, Eguchi Y, Kudo T, Taniguchi M, et al. (2004) Involvement of caspase-4 in endoplasmic reticulum stressinduced apoptosis and Abeta-induced cell death. J Cell Biol 165: 347-356.
- Szegezdi E, Logue SE, Gorman AM, Samali A (2006) Mediators of endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis. EMBO Rep 7: 880-885.
- 112. Rutkowski DT, Arnold SM, Miller CN, Wu J, Li J, et al. (2006) Adaptation to ER stress is mediated by differential stabilities of pro-survival and pro-apoptotic mRNAs and proteins. PLoS Biol 4: e374.
- 113. Chan JY, Cooney GJ, Biden TJ, Laybutt DR (2011) Differential regulation of adaptive and apoptotic unfolded protein response signalling by cytokine-induced nitric oxide production in mouse pancreatic beta cells. Diabetologia 54: 1766-1776.
- 114. Onn A, Ron D (2010) Modeling the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17: 924-925.
- 115. Erguler K, Pieri M, Deltas C (2013) A mathematical model of the unfolded protein stress response reveals the decision mechanism for recovery, adaptation and apoptosis. BMC Syst Biol 7: 16.
- 116. Zuppini A, Groenendyk J, Cormack LA, Shore G, Opas M, et al. (2002) Calnexin deficiency and endoplasmic reticulum stressinduced apoptosis. Biochemistry 41: 2850-2858.

- 117. Ito Y, Pandey P, Mishra N, Kumar S, Narula N, et al. (2001) Targeting of the c-Abl tyrosine kinase to mitochondria in endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol 21: 6233-6242.
- 118. Wang P, Wu Y, Li Y, Zheng J, Tang J (2013) A novel RING finger E3 ligase RNF186 regulate ER stress-mediated apoptosis through interaction with BNip1. Cell Signal.
- 119. Haynes CM, Petrova K, Benedetti C, Yang Y, Ron D (2007) ClpP mediates activation of a mitochondrial unfolded protein response in C. elegans. Dev Cell 13: 467-480.
- 120. Haynes CM, Yang Y, Blais SP, Neubert TA, Ron D (2010) The matrix peptide exporter HAF-1 signals a mitochondrial UPR by activating the transcription factor ZC376.7 in C. elegans. Mol Cell 37: 529-540.
- 121. Sardiello M, Palmieri M, di Ronza A, Medina DL, Valenza M, et al. (2009) A gene network regulating lysosomal biogenesis and function. Science 325: 473-477.
- Settembre C, Di Malta C, Polito VA, Garcia Arencibia M, Vetrini F, et al. (2011) TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. Science 332: 1429-1433.
- 123. Settembre C, Zoncu R, Medina DL, Vetrini F, Erdin S, et al. (2012) A lysosome-to-nucleus signalling mechanism senses and regulates the lysosome via mTOR and TFEB. EMBO J 31: 1095-1108.
- 124. Oku M, Tanakura S, Uemura A, Sohda M, Misumi Y, et al. (2011) Novel cis-acting element GASE regulates transcriptional induction by the Golgi stress response. Cell Struct Funct 36: 1-12.

Keywords:

ER stress; unfolded protein response; ATF6; IRE1; PERK; XBP1; ERAD

Competing Interests:

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.



© 2013 Wakabayashi and Yoshida.

Licensee: Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited.

What is the advantage to you of publishing in *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal (CSBJ)* ?

- Easy 5 step online submission system & online manuscript tracking
- Fastest turnaround time with thorough peer review
- Inclusion in scholarly databases
- Low Article Processing Charges
- Author Copyright
- Open access, available to anyone in the world to download for free

WWW.CSBJ.ORG