Review Article

A Clinical Roadmap to Investigate the Genetic Basis of Pediatric Pheochromocytoma: Which Genes Should Physicians Think About?

Bernardo Dias Pereira,¹ Tiago Nunes da Silva,² Ana Teresa Bernardo,³ Rui César,¹ Henrique Vara Luiz,² Karel Pacak,⁴ and Luísa Mota-Vieira,^{5,6,7}

¹Serviço de Endocrinologia e Nutrição, Hospital do Divino Espírito Santo de Ponta Delgada (EPER), Av. D. Manuel I, 9500-370 Ponta Delgada, Açores, Portugal

²Serviço de Endocrinologia e Diabetes, Hospital Garcia de Orta (EPE), Av. Torrado da Silva, 2851-951 Almada, Setúbal, Portugal ³Serviço de Cirurgia Geral, Hospital do Divino Espírito Santo de Ponta Delgada (EPER), Av. D. Manuel I, 9500-370 Ponta Delgada, Açores, Portugal

⁴Section on Medical Neuroendocrinology, Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD, NIH, Building 10 CRC 1E-3140 10 Center Drive MSC-1109, Bethesda, MD 20892-1109, USA

⁵Unidade de Genética e Patologia Moleculares, Hospital do Divino Espírito Santo de Ponta Delgada (EPER), Av. D. Manuel I, 9500-370 Ponta Delgada, Açores, Portugal

⁶Biosystems & Integrative Sciences Institute (BioISI), Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal ⁷Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal

Correspondence should be addressed to Bernardo Dias Pereira; bernardo.gc.pereira@azores.gov.pt

Received 6 October 2017; Accepted 18 January 2018; Published 20 March 2018

Academic Editor: Thomas J. Fahey

Copyright © 2018 Bernardo Dias Pereira et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pheochromocytoma is very rare at a pediatric age, and when it is present, the probability of a causative genetic mutation is high. Due to high costs of genetic surveys and an increasing number of genes associated with pheochromocytoma, a sequential genetic analysis driven by clinical and biochemical phenotypes is advised. The published literature regarding the genetic landscape of pediatric pheochromocytoma is scarce, which may hinder the establishment of genotype-phenotype correlations and the selection of appropriate genetic testing at this population. In the present review, we focus on the clinical phenotypes of pediatric patients with pheochromocytoma in an attempt to contribute to an optimized genetic testing in this clinical context. We describe epidemiological data on the prevalence of pheochromocytoma susceptibility genes, including new genes that are expanding the genetic etiology of this neuroendocrine tumor in pediatric patients. The clinical phenotypes associated with a higher pretest probability for hereditary pheochromocytoma are presented, focusing on differences between pediatric and adult patients. We also describe new syndromes, as well as rates of malignancy and multifocal disease associated with these syndromes and pheochromocytoma susceptibility genes published more recently. Finally, we discuss new tools for genetic screening of patients with pheochromocytoma, with an emphasis on its applicability in a pediatric population.

1. Introduction

Pheochromocytoma (PHEO; MIM #171300) is a rare neuroendocrine tumor of chromaffin cells originating in the adrenal medulla, whereas paragangliomas (PGLs; MIM #168000) are even rarer tumors arising in the paraganglia along the parasympathetic and sympathetic chains [1]. PHEO is rare among a pediatric population, representing 9.6–17.7% of all cases [2, 3]. In the last fifteen years, several new genes have been implicated in the development of this tumor [4, 5]. Besides the three classical PHEO-associated cancer syndromes, namely, multiple endocrine neoplasia

type 2 (MEN2), von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease, and neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), new entities have been associated with PHEO: the PGL syndrome types 1 to 5 [(PGL1–5) caused by mutations in succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) subunits D/AF2/C/B/A genes (SDHx), resp.], familial PHEO [caused by mutations in Myc-associated protein X (MAX) and transmembrane protein 127 (TMEM127) genes] [6-15], and several new susceptibility genes. These include hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha (HIF2A), fumarate hydratase (FH), prolyl hydroxylase types 1 and 2 (PHD1 and PHD2), Harvey rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (HRAS), kinesin family member 1B (KIF1B), and Xlinked alpha thalassemia mental retardation (ATRX) genes [16-20]. Thus, nearly one-third of all patients with PHEO have germline mutations [5, 21], and this number is significantly higher at younger ages [3, 22]. Consequently, all patients \leq 18 years old (yo) diagnosed with this tumor should be considered for genetic testing [23, 24]. Besides young age, there are other clinical features where a PHEO is more likely to be associated with a genetic etiology. Syndromic presentations, multifocal disease (adrenal and extraadrenal), bilateral and recurrent PHEO, and metastatic disease are all associated with a higher likelihood of a hereditary PHEO [3, 22].

Considering the growing number of PHEO susceptibility genes and the well-established genotype-phenotype correlations for some of these gene mutations [3, 22], it is recommended that clinicians follow an algorithm based on the phenotype when requesting a genetic analysis [22, 23]. Also, it may be clinically unsuitable to order a genetic study for genes that have never been associated with specific features of a patient's phenotype [23, 24]. Furthermore, two other reasons should be taken into account while considering genetic testing: (1) the high rate of metastatic PHEO associated with some mutations, which may aid in tailoring the appropriate follow-up [25], and (2) the finding of a mutation in the index case and their relatives, which allows for an individualized surveillance program to timely detect and treat chromaffin and other nonchromaffin cell tumors or disorders [26]. Additionally, when a genetic mutation is suspected to be linked to PHEO, other parameters may aid in the selection of the molecular analysis, namely, the type of catecholamine production [27] and/or the pattern of SDHA/B immunostaining in pathology specimens [28, 29] and a specific imaging phenotype [30].

Due to the rarity of pediatric PHEO, few cohort studies in this age range have been published that allow for precise genotype-phenotype correlations for all associated mutations. The majority are based on small samples and takes into account small susceptibility gene panels [2, 25, 31–37]. Thus, the amount of published data may hinder, at least in some cases, the appropriate selection of genetic analysis for a pediatric PHEO. Here, we review the clinical phenotypes of pediatric patients with PHEO and associated mutations in susceptibility genes reported in the literature, in an attempt to contribute to a comprehensive genetic screening of PHEO in pediatric age.

The present review was conducted taking into account the English literature retrieved from PubMed until August 2017. Main keywords used were "pheochromocytoma," "paraganglioma," "genetic testing," "mutation," "genotype," "phenotype," "malignant," "metastatic," "pediatrics," "child," "preschool child," "VHL," "RET," "NF1," "SDHB," "SDHD," "SDHA," "SDHC," "SDHAF2," "TMEM127," "MAX," "HIF2A," "FH," "PHD1," "PHD2," "MDH2," and "KIF1B." We included clinical and molecular studies (single cases and case series); we did not exclude any age to minimize missing pediatric cases of cohort studies that considered all ages. We also considered leading reviews in the field of genetics of PHEO. We then excluded genes not reported to be associated with pediatric PHEO.

2. Mechanisms of Hereditary PHEO

The susceptibility genes involved in the development of pediatric PHEO may be grouped according to three primary mechanisms of oncogenesis: a pseudohypoxic cluster [mutations in VHL, HIF2A (or EPAS1), PHD1, PHD2, FH, SDHx, and MDH2], a cluster composed of kinase receptor signaling and protein translation pathways (mutations in RET, NF1, and MAX), and a Wnt-altered pathway cluster [38–40]. This last cluster comprises only somatic driver mutations (CSDE1 truncating mutations, and MAML3 fusion genes) that cause sporadic aggressive/recurrent PHEO [40].

In the pseudohypoxic cluster, there is a common denominator of overexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor alpha (HIF- α), which is expressed predominantly by its HIF- 2α isoform in the neural crest cells [41, 42]. Under hypoxia (or pseudohypoxia, a condition where there is normal concentration of oxygen that is not consumed due to a defect in the oxygen sensor pathways), the cell develops a set of adaptive responses in which HIF- α plays a central role in regulating genes involved in erythropoiesis (e.g., EPO), angiogenesis (e.g., ADM and VEGFA), glucose metabolism (e.g., HK1 and HK2), cellular proliferation (e.g., TGFB and CCND1), and survival (e.g., BNIP3). However, a long-standing process of hypoxia (or pseudohypoxia) causes HIF- α excess, which promotes a nuclear overexpression of these genes, ultimately leading to cancer development, migration, invasion, and metastasis [41, 42].

In the Krebs cycle, the SDH complex (formed by its catalytic subunits A and B, and anchorage subunits C and D) and FH enzymes catalyze the oxidation of succinate to fumarate and the conversion of fumarate to malate, respectively. Loss-of-function mutations in SDHx or FH lead to succinate and fumarate accumulation, respectively, and to a subsequent inhibition of HIF- α hydroxylation, a necessary signal recognition step for its degradation by the VHL protein [42, 43]. The PHD1 and PHD2 hydroxylate HIF- α isoforms and loss-of-function mutations in their genes (PHD1 and *PHD2*) cause an excess of HIF- α and its proneoplastic actions [17, 42]. Mutations in HIF- α promote electrostatic changes in the protein isoforms, which impair hydroxylation by PHD molecules, preventing the signaling for degradation by the VHL protein [44, 45]. Finally, VHL mutations originate defective proteins that do not recognize hydroxylated HIF- α isoforms for degradation. This excess of HIF- α leads to an overexpression of hypoxia-related genes, favoring metastasis [44, 45].

The proto-oncogene RET encodes a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase involved in organ development (e.g., gut, kidney, and neural crest), proliferation, and apoptosis. Germline mutations in specific exons of the RET may lead to constitutive activation of its protein tyrosine kinase domain and subsequent downstream activation of Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase and PI3 kinase/AKT pathways, promoting tumorigenesis through cell proliferation and reduced apoptosis [46]. Contrary to RET, the NF1 acts as a tumor suppressor gene. Its protein-neurofibromin 1—is a GTPase activator that inhibits Ras signaling through the mTOR kinase pathway. Loss-of-function mutations in NF1 result in an enhanced cell proliferation through impaired Ras signaling inhibition [47]. The MAX gene encodes a protein that acts as a nuclear transcriptional repressor of Myc. Loss-of-function mutations in MAX generate a protein incapable of binding to Myc in the nucleus, leading to proliferation, angiogenesis, and repression of cell differentiation [14, 48].

3. Genetic Basis of Pediatric PHEO: Which Genes Should We Think About?

3.1. Genetic Prevalence and PHEO-Associated Genes. Considering the largest cohorts of pediatric PHEO [31-33], where a wider set of genes were studied, the prevalence of gene mutations was estimated to be 68.2-80.0% in a total of 268 patients. To our knowledge, 10 genes have been described in association with PHEO at a pediatric age: VHL (MIM * 608537), rearranged during transfection (RET; MIM +164761), NF1 (MIM *613113), SDHD (MIM *602690), SDHB (MIM * 185470), SDHA (MIM * 600857), MAX (MIM *154950), HIF2A (MIM *603349), FH (MIM *136850), and *PHD1* (MIM * 606424) [17, 24, 31–33, 49–51]. The other seven genes that have been associated with PHEO were only reported in adults. These genes are TMEM127 (MIM * 613403), PHD2 (MIM * 606425), SDHAF2 (MIM * 613019), SDHC (MIM * 602413), HRAS (MIM * 190020), KIF1B (MIM * 605995), and ATRX (MIM * 300032) [10, 11, 15, 17-20, 31-33, 52, 53].

3.2. PHEO-Associated Cancer Syndromes. A pediatric PHEO can be included in one of the following five cancer syndromes: VHL, MEN2, NF1, and those associated with PHD1/2 and HIF2A mutations. The VHL disease (MIM #193300) is a highly penetrant, autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by central nervous system and retinal hemangiomas (60.0%), renal cysts (50.0-70.0%), renal cell carcinomas (RCC, 28.0%), PHEO (7.0-20.0%), and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (5.0-10.0%) and cysts. The VHL disease has an average age onset of 27 years, and by 65 yo, almost all carriers have developed clinical disease [7, 54, 55]. The most common cause of death is RCC, but this tumor almost always develops after 20 yo [7]. Pediatric PHEO tends to present earlier when VHL is mutated, compared with other PHEOassociated germline mutations: The mean age of diagnosis of PHEO is 12 years, and the youngest age reported to date is 4 years [31]. Large deletions and truncating mutations of VHL predispose to hemangiomas of central nervous system

(including retina) and RCC, but not to PHEO (VHL disease type 1). Missense mutations predispose to PHEO (VHL disease type 2), which may be associated with hemangioblastomas (VHL disease type 2A), hemangioblastomas and RCC (VHL disease type 2B), or only PHEO (VHL disease type 2C) [56]. VHL mutations are the most prevalent in pediatric patients with PHEO, ranging from 28.0% to 49.0% of cases [31-33]. However, although syndromic features that raise suspicion for VHL disease have a high penetrance across the age spectrum [7, 56], pediatric patients with PHEOassociated VHL mutations often present without other syndromic features of the disease [31-33]. In the largest cohort of pediatric PHEO, published to date, only 10 of 93 VHL patients had the prototypic lesions at study entry [31]. Additionally, more than half of patients with VHL disease have de novo mutations; that is, the family history is unremarkable in these cases. Thus, in a seemingly sporadic case, clinicians should always bear in mind the relatively high frequency of VHL-germline mutations in pediatric PHEO [6, 31-33].

MEN2 is a syndrome caused by RET mutations inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern. It may be subdivided into two clinical subtypes: MEN2A (MIM #171400) and MEN2B (MIM #162300). MEN2A is the most prevalent, with a mean age of onset of disease (first prototypic tumor) of 37.5 years. Patients are susceptible to medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC, 97.0%), PHEO (68.1%), and primary hyperparathyroidism (13.4%) [57]. MEN2A patients with specific mutations in RET codons 631 and 634 have the highest incidence of PHEO [58]. MEN2B patients are usually diagnosed earlier (average age of first prototypic tumor: 13-22 years) than are MEN2A individuals. MEN2B is associated with an aggressive form of MTC (100.0%; usually incurable if diagnosed ≥13 yo), PHEO (58.0%), marfanoid habitus, and ganglioneuromatosis of the gut and oral mucosa [57, 59–61]. Pediatric PHEO is part of MEN2 syndromes in 1.0-5.4% of cases [31-33]. Contrary to adults, where PHEO can be the first manifestation of MEN2 in 24.0-37.3% of cases [6, 57, 62], the vast majority of pediatric patients with MEN2 have a previous history of MTC or family history of typical tumors of the syndrome [62-64]. PHEO may develop as early as 8 yo in MEN2 [64], although the majority of the reported cases were >12 yo [31-34, 62].

NF1 (MIM #162200) is a multisystem autosomal dominant disorder, characterized by a progressive development (since birth) of *café au lait* spots (~100.0%), axillary/inguinal freckling (90.0%), neurofibromas (84.0%), Lisch nodules of the iris (>70.0%), typical osseous lesions (14.0%; scoliosis, sphenoid wing, and/or long bone dysplasia), and optic glioma (4.0%) [65]. NF1 also predisposes to breast, lung, and colorectal carcinomas (16.0%); PHEO (7.7%); sarcomas (7.0%); gastrointestinal stromal tumors (7.0%); melanoma (0.1–5.4%); and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors [66–69]. The mean age at a first tumor diagnosis is 44 years [67], including PHEO (43 yo) [8]. Pediatric PHEO is associated with NF1 in 3.0% of cases, and the clinical diagnosis is often straightforward since 97.0–100.0% of patients develop at least two cardinal features of the syndrome by 8 yo [31, 65].

The youngest age of diagnosis of PHEO in NF1 is 7 years [70], but it usually develops ≥ 14 yo [8].

The syndrome of PHEO/PGL and somatostatinoma associated with polycythemia, caused by HIF2A mutations, is a new PHEO-associated cancer syndrome described initially in 2012 [71]. Patients are prone to a set of clinical features, occurring isolated or in different combinations: polycythemia since early childhood, PHEO, PGL, duodenal somatostatinomas, and retinopathy [50, 72-82]. The full syndrome-"Pacak-Zhuang" syndrome-is considered if the patient develops polycythemia, PHEO/PGL, and somatostatinoma [50]. Overall clinical manifestations and their frequency in the 62 published cases with HIF2A mutations are as follows: isolated polycythemia in 29 patients (45.0%); polycythemia and PHEO/PGL in nine patients (14.5%); polycythemia, PHEO/PGL, and somatostatinoma in six patients (9.6%); isolated PHEO/PGL in 14 patients (22.6%); brain hemangiomas in three patients (4.8%, one with a concomitant PGL); and duodenal gangliocytic PGL in two patients (3.2%) [50, 72-82]. The median age of diagnosis of PHEO is 40 years (range: 13-78), whereas for PGL, it is 20 years (range: 8-78) [50, 72-82]. The prevalence of HIF2A mutations associated with pediatric PHEO is unknown, as no case series in this age range have been published that included the analysis of this gene. Considering all ages, the prevalence of HIF2A mutations is estimated to be 5.3% [74, 77, 78] in cohorts of PHEO/PGL. Of these, 25.0% and 42.8% are patients that developed PHEO and PGL, respectively, at a pediatric age [50, 72, 74, 75, 77]. These tumors are initially benign and multiple, but later on, they recur frequently, requiring repeated surgeries, and develop metastases, especially PGLs [50]. Somatostatinoma occurs only in females at the median age of diagnosis of 32 years (range: 22-59), and they are always located around the duodenal ampulla [50, 72]. Considering all cases published to date, these tumors are associated with symptomatic gallbladder disease; occur in the duodenum (100.0%) and pancreas (50.0%); carry a considerable risk of recurrence (50.0%) and malignancy (50.0%); and are diagnosed after the development of PHEO/PGL [50, 72]. The majority of HIF2A mutations are somatic, and thus, the family history is negative. However, some patients have somatic mosaicism, where the mutation is found in tumor cells and in a fraction of normal tissues (e.g., leukocytes and buccal cells) [50, 72, 74, 78, 83]. Thus, there may be a possibility of transmission of a HIF2A mutation to the next generation by an affected member who has mosaicism that includes the gametes; however, such cases have never been described until now [72]. Additionally, there are seven familial cases of HIF2A mutations, but the majority had only polycythemia [50], and two nonrelated cases of germline mutations in adult patients with isolated PHEO [78]. This evidence has led experts to develop recommendations regarding the genetic testing and counseling, as well as to the clinical follow-up of patients with HIF2A mutations [50, 72].

Germline mutations in *PHD1/2* were reported in patients with polycythemia and PHEO/PGL [17, 53]. In this syndrome, patients develop polycythemia at a later age relative to *HIF2A* mutation carriers, but they appear to have a similar high risk of recurrent chromaffin cell tumors, especially PGL [17, 53]. To date, only a *PHD1* mutation was reported in association with pediatric PHEO. The patient was a female with no family history that presented with polycy-themia diagnosed at 6 yo and developed a PHEO at 14 yo. Subsequently, she had a contralateral PHEO and a thoracic PGL [17].

3.3. Multifocal Disease. Pediatric patients with PHEO and PGL usually have germline mutations in the SDHB, SDHD, or VHL [31-33]. SDHB mutations cause PGL4 (MIM #115310) [13], an autosomal dominant disorder characterized mainly by the development of sympathetic abdominal (67.0%) and thoracic PGL (17.6%), parasympathetic head and neck (HN) PGL (27.5%), and/or PHEO (11.4%) [49, 84]. The mean age of presentation is 34 years, and the penetrance reaches 65.0% by 40 yo [49]. Pediatric patients with PHEO harbor an SDHB mutation in 13.6% of cases, and the majority develop this tumor at ≥ 8 yo [31]. PGL4 is associated with the highest incidence of PHEO (96.0%) of all the PGL syndromes in this age range; an SDHB mutation is most likely present when a pediatric PHEO occurs concomitantly with an abdominal PGL (68.0%) [28, 31, 32] but is less likely than other SDHx mutations when it occurs in association with thoracic (8.0%) and HN PGL (4.0%) [31]. SDHB mutations are also associated with the development of RCC (14%), gastrointestinal stromal tumors [GIST; 2%; isolated or associated with PGL (Carney dyad or Carney-Stratakis syndrome) or PGL and chondroma (Carney triad)] and pituitary adenomas (rare) [85-90]. Germline SDHD mutations predispose carriers to PGL1 (MIM #168000) [9]. This syndrome is characterized by parasympathetic HN PGL (89.0%), sympathetic thoracic PGL (16.0%), and/or PHEO (10.5%), with a particularly high incidence of multiple tumors (66.9%). The mean age of presentation is 28 years, and the penetrance reaches >80.0% by 40 yo [49, 84]. Pediatric PHEO is associated with SDHD mutations in 6.7% of cases. The mean age of diagnosis of PGL1 is 14 years, and the earliest presentation reported is at 5 yo [3, 31]. An SDHD-related PHEO is more likely-rather than SDHB or VHL-when thoracic (24.0%) or HN PGL (6.0%) is also diagnosed in pediatric patients. PGL1 is also characterized by recurrent PGL that occurs with a lower latency period in this age range [31]. Nonchromaffin cell tumors may also occur in patients with SDHD mutations (RCC, 8%; GIST rare, isolated, or part of Carney dyad/Carney-Stratakis syndrome/or triad; and pituitary adenomas, rare) [85-90]. PGL1 almost always manifests when the SDHD mutation is paternally inherited, due to a selective somatic loss of the maternal chromosome 11. Lack of the paternal chromosome 11 does not lead to tumor initiation due to a maternal oncosuppressor locus in the 11p15 region (imprinted in the father) [91]. Thus, the family history may show a "skip-generation" pattern [49, 92, 93]. Very rarely, loss of the paternal 11q (where SDHD allele is located) and a mitotic recombination of the maternal 11q (carrying an SDHD mutation) with the paternal 11p15 imprinted oncosuppressor region may lead to the phenotypic expression of the disease, inherited from the mother [49, 91-93].

VHL disease is rarely associated with PGL across the age spectrum [7, 56], but these tumors tend to occur with a higher frequency in pediatric (4.6–5.6%) compared with adult (0.96%) patients [94]. Indeed, recent case series reveal that pediatric PHEO harboring *VHL* mutations can occur in association with abdominal (20.0%) and/or thoracic (3.0%) PGL [31], with a small study reporting this phenotype in 38.0% of cases [32]. Additionally, pediatric patients with PHEO-associated *VHL* mutations have a significantly higher likelihood of new contralateral adrenal and extraadrenal tumors than have mutations in other genes [31].

HIF2A mutations are typically associated with the development of multifocal PGL. The youngest age reported to date is 8 years [50], and although the age of diagnosis of PGL is younger than that of PHEO, PGL may occur simultaneously (33.3%) or develop after PHEO (33.3%) in patients with HIF2A mutations [45, 50, 72, 73]. Additionally, PGL has a particularly high recurrence rate of new tumors in these patients during the follow-up, mainly in the abdomen [45, 50, 73]. Thus, recommendations include screening by imaging studies (MRI for pediatric patients) starting at 8 yo, and from there every 1-2 years [50]. As previously stated, PHD1 mutations may cause a phenotype (pediatric penetrance, multifocal, and recurrent PGL) [17] similar to that of HIF2A mutations, as proteins coded by both genes are partners in the PHD/HIF- α /VHL pathway [42]. Patients with PHD1/ PHD2/HIF2A mutations should be closely followed up with functional imaging techniques for recurrence, with ¹⁸F-fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine (18F-FDOPA) position emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) being the most accurate among all the available techniques [50]. This evidence highlights the importance of genetic screening for the delivery of the best clinical practice to patients with PHEO/PGL.

FH mutations are among the rare genetic etiologies of PHEO, with an estimated prevalence of 1.05% in two cohorts of PHEO/PGL patients (totalizing 670 cases) [16, 51]. Considering the seven unrelated cases published to date, the median age of diagnosis is 41 years (range: 6–70) [16, 51], with one single pediatric patient reported (14.2%) who developed a unilateral PHEO at 6 yo [51]. *FH* mutations have shown to predispose patients to PHEO and multifocal PGL (mainly abdominal) with a significantly higher rate than mutations in other PHEO susceptibility genes [16].

The familial PHEO syndrome caused by *MAX* mutations has a prevalence of <2.0% among the genetic etiologies of PHEO [14, 24]. Besides a paternal pattern of heritability ("skip-generation" pattern) [14], probands do not have a positive family history in >65.0% of cases, which may hinder the identification of a hereditary disease. The mean age of diagnosis is 32 years, and the youngest age published is 13 years [14, 24]. *MAX* mutations may predispose patients with PHEO to thoracic and abdominal PGL (18.5%) [14], including at a pediatric age (14.3%) [14, 32, 33].

3.4. Bilateral PHEO. Bilateral PHEO (bPHEO) at a pediatric age is associated mainly with VHL disease, and less frequently with MEN2 syndrome, PGL1, and familial PHEO 5

caused by *MAX* mutations [14, 31–33]. VHL disease may be associated with bPHEO at presentation in 6.2% of patients, but at a pediatric age, bPHEO tends to occur with a higher frequency, ranging from 19.0% to 39.0% [31, 33, 94]. Additionally, 35.0% of pediatric patients with VHL disease and a unilateral PHEO may develop a contralateral tumor in the long-term follow-up [31].

MEN2 is associated with the highest incidence (50.0– 78.0%) of bPHEO among the susceptibility genes for PHEO [95, 96], and a contralateral tumor often (16.0–40.0%) develops 1–14 years after unilateral presentations (considering all ages) [61, 95, 96]. As stated above, *RET* mutations are rare in cohorts of pediatric PHEO, but when present, there is a usually high incidence of bPHEO (66.0–100.0%) [31–36, 95]. The high frequency of high risk *RET* mutations [in particular, the NM_020975.4(RET):c.1900T>C (p.Cys634Arg)] for bPHEO in published cohorts could be an explanation, although sample bias (small sample sizes) should also be considered [32, 34, 63, 96].

PGL1 is rarely associated with bPHEO across the age spectrum [84]. However, pediatric patients with bPHEO may harbor an *SDHD* mutation in 6.9–12.5% of cases, and its presence might thus be considered in these cases [31, 33].

MAX mutations predispose carriers to a high risk of bPHEO or multifocal synchronous unilateral tumors (68.4%) [14, 24], including at a pediatric age (41.0%) [14].

3.5. Metastatic PHEO. Metastatic PHEO is defined by the presence of metastasis in tissues where chromaffin cells are not normally present (e.g., bone and lymph node) [1]. Its prevalence is reported to be 10.0%, considering all age groups [5, 21, 24]. The current rate of metastatic pediatric PHEO is difficult to establish, as most cohort studies do not distinguish between PHEO and PGL when reporting metastatic frequencies [2, 31, 32, 36]. However, two studies totalizing 95 pediatric patients reported an incidence of metastatic lesions of 8.1–12.0% [33, 35]. The majority of malignant pediatric PHEO cases are associated with PGL4, and less frequently with VHL disease, NF1, PGL5, familial PHEO due to *MAX* mutations, and *FH* mutations [14, 16, 25, 31, 32].

PGL4 is associated with the development of metastatic PHEO and/or PGL in 37.0% of patients across the age spectrum [13, 49]. Thoracic and abdominal sympathetic PGL carries the highest risk of metastasis, mainly to the lymph nodes, liver, lungs, and bones [13]. At a pediatric age, metastatic PHEO occurs in the context of SDHB mutations in 57.0% of cases [25]. Additionally, considering the largest case series of pediatric PHEO, the metastatic rate of PHEO and/or PGL associated with SDHB mutations (18.2-26.0%) was significantly higher when compared with that of carriers of mutations in other susceptibility genes; a lower lifetime expectancy of carriers of SHDB mutations was also recognized [31]. Thus, these patients need a rigorous follow-up for timely detection of metastatic disease. When comparing all the available functional imaging techniques for this purpose, the most accurate for patients with SDHx mutations is [⁶⁸Ga]-DOTA(0)-Tyr(3)-octreotate ([⁶⁸Ga]-DOTATA TE) PET/CT, followed by [¹⁸F]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose PET/CT [97]. Again, this evidence emphasizes the

importance of knowing the patient genotype for the delivery of precision medicine.

VHL disease has a prevalence of metastatic PHEO that is variable between studies, ranging from 0.0% to 8.0% [6, 98, 99], with an extensive review quoting it at 3.4% [24]. In pediatric patients, the reported rate of metastatic tumors was estimated to be 12.5–28.0% in two small sample studies [25, 32], but in the largest cohort, the incidence of malignant PHEO and/or PGL was 4.3% [31]. While the metastatic risk does not appear to be high, it may be worth to consider the analysis of *VHL* in a pediatric patient with a metastatic PHEO, due to the high prevalence of *VHL* mutations at this age range [31–33].

Although associated with PHEO in $\leq 6.0\%$ of cases, NF1 has a prevalence of metastatic PHEO of 7.7–12.0% [8, 100]. In pediatric patients with NF1, metastatic PHEO occurs at a rate of 33.3–66.6% [31, 100], and similar to PGL4, these individuals have lower lifetime expectancy [31]. However, NF1 patients are represented in small subsamples (three to six patients) of pediatric PHEO cohort studies [31, 100], precluding the establishment of their metastatic risk.

The familial PHEO syndrome caused by *MAX* mutations is associated with metastatic PHEO in 10.5% of cases, considering all ages [14]. No cases of *MAX*-related metastatic tumors have been reported so far in cohorts of pediatric PHEO [31, 32]. However, in a study of patients with PHEO-associated *MAX* mutations, five patients were ≤ 18 yo, of which one had a metastatic tumor [14].

PGL5 (MIM #614165) is caused by mutations in the subunit A of the SDH complex [12], which are found in 3.0% of all PHEO/PGL patients [101, 102]. This syndrome has a median age of presentation of 33 years, and the penetrance reaches 38% by 40 yo [12, 28, 31, 101]. The youngest age reported is 8 years, with four pediatric cases published to date [31, 33, 101]. SDHA mutations predispose patients to HN PGL (38.9%), abdominal PGL (27.8%), and unilateral PHEO (24.0%) [12, 28, 31, 33, 101-107]. SDHA mutations also confer susceptibility to GIST (30% of SDHx deficient GIST) and pituitary adenomas (rare) [85-100, 108]. The metastatic rate of pediatric PHEO in PGL5 is difficult to establish, due to its rarity. In the largest case series (totalizing 38 patients) of PHEO/PGL-associated SDHA mutations, the reported prevalence of metastatic PHEO/PGL in general was 11% [101]. Of the four pediatric cases, three presented with unilateral PHEO, one of which was metastatic, and one displayed an abdominal PGL [31, 33, 101].

FH mutations are associated with a high rate of metastatic PHEO. Although only 7 PHEO/PGL cases have been reported to date, three have developed metastasis, of which two (28.6%) were PHEO [16]. In the largest collaborative cohort study of PHEO/PGL where the main susceptibility genes were analyzed, PHEO/PGL caused by *FH* mutations had a significantly higher rate of malignancy than had tumors associated with other gene mutations [16]. Thus, similar to *NF1* and *MAX* mutations, where small samples have been shown a propensity for malignant PHEO [8, 14], it may be important to maintain a higher index of suspicion for the presence of malignancy when following up pediatric patients with PHEO-associated SDHA and FH mutations.

3.6. Solitary PHEO. A unilateral PHEO is the most common presentation of this tumor in clinical grounds [2, 5, 31]. In pediatric patients with a solitary PHEO and an associated gene mutation, *VHL* accounts for the vast majority of cases, followed by *SDHB* and *SDHD* [3, 31–34]. Considering 84 patients with a solitary and apparently nonsyndromic PHEO (the largest case series at a pediatric age), mutations in *VHL*, *SDHB*, and *SDHD* were found in 62, 8, and 3 cases, respectively [31–33].

3.7. Ancillary Surveys to Support the Genetic Screening. The pattern of catecholamine secreted by the PHEO may yield clues to the genetic background of the patient, especially when no family history or syndromic features are evident [23, 27, 109]. PHEO associated with MEN2 or NF1 usually produces and/or cosecretes norepinephrine/normetanephrine and epinephrine/metanephrine [27]. However, PHEO associated with VHL disease produces and/or secretes norepinephrine/normetanephrine, but not epinephrine/metanephrine, due to the lack of the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of norepinephrine to epinephrine [27]. Similar to VHL disease, tumors associated with SDHx, HIF2A, and FH mutations produce and/or secrete noradrenaline/normetanephrine but rarely adrenaline/metanephrine [16, 27, 50]. However, PHEO associated with SDHx mutations also produce and/or secrete dopamine/methoxytyramine, which is rarely detected in VHL disease [27]. In agreement with these findings, the discriminatory rate of the pattern of catecholamine production and/or secretion between NF1/ RET- (normetanephrine and metanephrine) and VHL/ SDHx-associated PHEO (normetanephrine but not metanephrine) was quoted at 99.0%. This last cluster may be correctly discriminated in 78.0% of cases by the levels of methoxytyramine (elevated in SDHx, but not in VHLassociated PHEO) [27]. MAX-associated PHEO secretes high levels of normetanephrine and moderate levels of metanephrine [14].

Immunohistochemistry to SDHA and SDHB in the tumor sample may also provide useful information to prioritize the genetic screenings [28, 102, 110]. Lack of staining for SDHB in PHEO is highly suggestive of germline mutations in *SDHx* genes (90.0%), whereas immunonegative staining for SDHA (75.0%) is indicative of *SDHA* mutations [28]. False negatives (positive or weakly positive staining) may occur in *SDHD*-related lesions for SDHB staining [111], and SDHD immunohistochemistry may aid in these cases (positive staining predicts *SDHx* mutations) [112]. Contrarily, all *RET-*, *HIF2A-*, and *MAX-* and majority of *NF1-* (95.0%) and *VHL*-associated PHEO (84.0%) show positive immunostaining for subunits A/B of the SDH complex [28, 110].

Functional imaging is used in the management of PHEO to localize the primary tumor or to define the tumor burden of a metastatic PHEO that may be missed by CT or MRI, and to characterize the metabolic activity of PHEO/PGL for therapeutic purposes (e.g., pretreatment uptake avidity



7



FIGURE 1: Algorithm for genetic testing in patients with pediatric PHEO, according to clinical presentation, tumor immunohistochemistry, and biochemical profiles. –ve: negative; +ve: positive; ¹⁸F-FDOPA: ¹⁸F-fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine; PHEO: pheochromocytoma; PGL: paraganglioma; A: adrenaline; D: dopamine; NA: noradrenaline; *FH*: fumarate hydratase gene; *HIF2A*: hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha gene; *MAX*: Myc-associated protein X gene; *NF1*: neurofibromatosis type 1 gene; *PHD1*: prolyl hydroxylase domain protein 1 gene; *RET*: rearranged during transfection gene; *SDHA*: succinate dehydrogenase subunit A gene; SDHA: succinate dehydrogenase subunit A protein; *SDHB*: succinate dehydrogenase subunit B gene; *SDHB*: succinate dehydrogenase subunit C gene; *SDHD*: succinate dehydrogenase subunit D gene; *VHL*: von Hippel-Lindau gene. *Consider *SDHC* mutations (PGL; PHEO reported only in patients > 18 years).

evaluation of metastatic disease with ¹²³I-metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy) [23, 113]. The ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET/ CT is a highly accurate functional imaging tool in the investigation of PHEO [30]. However, false-negative results may infrequently occur, mainly with abdominal PGL or PHEO. These missed lesions on ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET/CT are often associated with *SDHB* and *SDHD* mutations, and it is worth to consider focused genetic screening for *SDHx* mutations in ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET/CT-negative PHEO/PGL [30, 113].

4. Next-Generation Sequencing: A New Pediatric PHEO Diagnostic Tool?

Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) is a new technology that processes DNA samples for simultaneous parallel sequencing of multiple genes [114, 116]. Considering the high number of PHEO-related genes, NGS is attractive in this context. Indeed, the application of NGS in cohorts of patients with PHEO/PGL has proved to be faster with lower costs than has that of the conventional Sanger sequencing techniques [116, 117]. However, some limitations of NGS may need to be resolved before its full implementation in the everyday practice, namely, the clinical relevance of variants of uncertain significance or methodological errors induced by repetitive DNA sequences and pseudogenes [113–115]. Additionally, specific NGS panels may need to be constructed for samples of pediatric patients with PHEO, as several susceptibility genes analyzed in the current commercially available NGS technologies have never been reported in this age range (e.g., *TMEM127* and *SDHAF2*).

5. Conclusions

Genetic testing is of paramount importance in pediatric patients with an apparently sporadic PHEO, because (1) the rate of mutations found in this clinical setting is close to 80.0%; (2) 10 PHEO-associated genes have been reported in pediatric patients, each gene conferring distinct profiles of propensity for the development of chromaffin and nonchromaffin cell tumors and for biological behaviors; and (3) it allows for tailoring specific diagnostic, treatment, and surveillance programs to these patients, taking into account the germline mutation founded and its genotype-phenotype correlation [5, 22, 23, 30–33, 50, 118].

Considering the high costs of genetic screenings and the increasing number of susceptibility genes for PHEO, clinicians should follow a phenotype-driven algorithm when requesting a genetic test (see Figure 1 and Table 1) [22, 23]. Several genes (e.g., *TMEM127* and *PHD2*) have only been reported in adults [10, 11, 15, 17–20, 31–33, 52, 53, 101], and the genetic analysis of a pediatric PHEO should initially disregard them. *VHL*, *SDHB*, and *SDHD* are the most frequently mutated, whereas other genes are rarely found in pediatric patients [23, 31–33]. The high rate of malignancy with *SDHB* mutations demands extensive initial diagnostic surveys and a close surveillance program [25, 118]. Similarly,

		Clinical features				Ancillary surveys	
PHEO- associated genes	age at diagnosis (years)	Most common associated tumors and features	Multifocal tumors	Bilateral PHEO	Metastatic PHEO	Biochemical phenotype	SDHA/B IHC
VHL	4	 (i) CNS hemangiomas (ii) Renal cysts (iii) RCC (iv) pNET (v) Pancreatic cysts (vi) Abdominal PGL (vi) Thoracic PGL 	4.6-5.6	19.0–39.0	4.3	NA	+ve/+ve
RET	8	(i) MEN2A (MTC, pHPT)(ii) MEN2B (MTC, marfanoid habitus, ganglioneuromatosis of the gut/oral mucosa)	Rare	66.0–100.0	Rare	А	+ve/+ve
NF1	7	 (i) <i>Café au lait</i> spots (ii) Axillary/inguinal freckling (iii) Neurofibromas (iv) Lisch nodules of the iris (v) Typical osseous lesions (vi) Optic glioma (vii) Carcinomas (breast, lung, colorectal) (viii) Sarcomas, GIST (ix) Melanoma 	Rare	Rare	33.3-66.6*	A	+ve/+ve
SDHB	6	 (i) Abdominal PGL (ii) Thoracic PGL (iii) HN PGL (iv) RCC (v) GIST (vi) Pituitary adenoma (vii) Chondroma 	68.0	Rare	57.0	NA; D	+ve/-ve
SDHD	5	 (i) HN PGL (ii) Thoracic PGL (iii) RCC (iv) GIST (v) Pituitary adenoma (vi) Chondroma 	66.9	6.9–12.5	Rare	NA; D	+ve/-ve
SDHA	8	(i) HN PGL(ii) Abdominal PGL(iii) GIST(iv) Pituitary adenomas	9.0	4.0	11.0	NA; D	-ve/-ve
HIF2A	8	(i) Polycythemia since early childhood(ii) Abdominal PGL(iii) Duodenal somatostatinomas(iv) Retinopathy	66.6	Rare	Rare**	NA	+ve/+ve
PHD1	14	(i) Polycythemia(ii) Abdominal/thoracic PGL	100	ND	ND	NA	ND
MAX	13	(i) Abdominal PGL(ii) Thoracic PGL	14.3	41.0	20.0	NA; NA, A	+ve/+ve

TABLE 1: Clinical features of PHEO-associated genes at a pediatric age.

PHEO-	Youngest	Clinical features Most common associated tumors and features	Frequency (%)			Ancillary surveys	
associated genes	age at diagnosis (years)		Multifocal tumors	Bilateral PHEO	Metastatic PHEO	Biochemical phenotype	SDHA/B IHC
FH	6	(i) Abdominal PGL (ii) Thoracic PGL	42.8	0.0	28.6	NA	ND

TABLE 1: Continued.

-ve: negative; +ve: positive; CNS: central nervous system; GIST: gastrointestinal stromal tumor; HN: head and neck; MTC: medullary thyroid carcinoma; PHEO: pheochromocytoma; PGL: paraganglioma; RCC: renal cell carcinoma; pNET: pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; A: adrenaline; D: dopamine; IHC: immunohistochemistry; NA: noradrenaline; FH: fumarate hydratase gene; HIF2A: hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha gene; MAX: Myc-associated protein X gene; MEN2A: multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A; MEN2B: multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B; NF1: neurofibromatosis type 1 gene; PHD1: prolyl hydroxylase type 1 gene; RET: rearranged during transfection gene; SDHA: succinate dehydrogenase subunit A gene; SDHA: succinate dehydrogenase subunit A protein; SDHB: succinate dehydrogenase subunit B gene; SDHB: succinate dehydrogenase subunit B protein; SDHC: succinate dehydrogenase subunit C gene; SDHD: succinate dehydrogenase subunit D gene; VHL: von Hippel-Lindau gene; ND: not defined. * Small samples in case series. ** Metastatic PGL: 29%.

the greater likelihood of recurrent tumors in pediatric patients with VHL and SDHD mutations needs a proactive long-term follow-up [31]. Also, pediatric carriers of PHEO-associated mutations may differ in the clinical phenotype when compared to adult carriers (e.g., the higher rate of bPHEO and PGL in pediatric VHL disease) [31], findings that may change the clinical attitude regarding the extent of diagnostic and follow-up strategies. Due to the rarity of PHEO-associated mutations in other susceptibility genes, data retrieved from the published literature may hinder the establishment of genotype-phenotype correlations for some of these genes. Nevertheless, new mutations have been described at a pediatric age that correlate with specific phenotypes (e.g., HIF2A mutations and "Pacak-Zhuang" syndrome), opening new options for sequential genetic testing approach and for individualized strategies regarding diagnosis, treatment, longterm follow-up, and genetic counseling [50, 51].

Abbreviations

ADM:	Adrenomedullin
ATRX:	X-Linked alpha thalassemia mental
	retardation
bPHEO:	Bilateral pheochromocytoma
BNIP3:	BCL2/adenovirus E1B 19 kDa
	protein-interacting protein 3
CT:	Computed tomography
CCND1:	G1/S-Specific cyclin-D1
CSDE1:	Cold shock domain-containing E1
EPO:	Erythropoietin gene
¹⁸ F-FDOPA:	¹⁸ F-Fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine
FH:	Fumarate hydratase
[⁶⁸ Ga]-DOTATATE:	[⁶⁸ Ga]-DOTA(0)-Tyr(3)-octreotate
GIST:	Gastrointestinal stromal tumors
HRAS:	Harvey rat sarcoma viral oncogene
	homolog
HK1:	Hexokinase 1
HK2:	Hexokinase 2
HIF- α :	Hypoxia-inducible factor alpha
HIF2A:	Hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha
KIF1B β :	Kinesin family member 1B
MAML3:	Mastermind-like transcriptional
	coactivator 3

MTC:	Medullary thyroid carcinoma
MEN2:	Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2
MAX:	Myc-Associated protein X
NF1:	Neurofibromatosis type 1
NGS:	Next-generation sequencing
PGL:	Paraganglioma
PGL1:	Paraganglioma syndrome type 1
PGL2:	Paraganglioma syndrome type 2
PGL3:	Paraganglioma syndrome type 3
PGL4:	Paraganglioma syndrome type 4
PGL5:	Paraganglioma syndrome type 5
PHEO:	Pheochromocytoma
PET:	Position emission tomography
PHD1:	Prolyl hydroxylase type 1
PHD2:	Prolyl hydroxylase type 2
RET:	Rearranged during transfection
RCC:	Renal cell carcinomas
SDH:	Succinate dehydrogenase
SDHA:	Succinate dehydrogenase subunit A
SDHB:	Succinate dehydrogenase subunit B
SDHC:	Succinate dehydrogenase subunit C
SDHD:	Succinate dehydrogenase subunit D
SDHAF2:	Succinate dehydrogenase assembly
	factor 2
TGFB:	Transforming growth factor beta gene
TMEM127:	Transmembrane protein 127
VEGFA:	Vascular endothelial growth factor A
VHL:	Von Hippel-Lindau
Yo:	Years old.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, Maryland, and by the Fundação para a Ciência e

References

- [1] R. A. DeLellis, R. V. Lloyd, P. U. Heitz, and C. Eng, *Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Endocrine Organs*, IARC Press, Lyon, France, 2004.
- [2] D. G. Beltsevich, N. S. Kuznetsov, A. M. Kazaryan, and M. A. Lysenko, "Pheochromocytoma surgery: epidemiologic peculiarities in children," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 592–596, 2004.
- [3] H. P. Neumann, B. Bausch, S. R. McWhinney et al., "Germline mutations in nonsyndromic pheochromocytoma," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 346, no. 19, pp. 1459–1466, 2002.
- [4] E. R. Maher and C. Eng, "The pressure rises: update on the genetics of phaeochromocytoma," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 11, no. 20, pp. 2347–2354, 2002.
- [5] A. V. Buffet, V. Nau, I. Roncellin et al., "A decade (2001–2010) of genetic testing for pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 44, no. 05, pp. 359–366, 2012.
- [6] H. P. Neumann, D. P. Berger, G. Sigmund et al., "Pheochromocytomas, multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2, and von Hippel-Lindau disease," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 329, no. 21, pp. 1531–1538, 1993.
- [7] E. R. Maher, J. R. Yates, R. Harries et al., "Clinical features and natural history of von Hippel-Lindau disease," *The Quarterly Journal of Medicine*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 1151–1163, 1990.
- [8] B. Bausch, W. Borozdin, H. P. Neumann, and European-American Pheochromocytoma Study G, "Clinical and genetic characteristics of patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 and pheochromocytoma," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 354, no. 25, pp. 2729–2731, 2006.
- [9] B. E. Baysal, R. E. Ferrell, J. E. Willett-Brozick et al., "Mutations in *SDHD*, a mitochondrial complex II gene, in hereditary paraganglioma," *Science*, vol. 287, no. 5454, pp. 848–851, 2000.
- [10] R. Casey, A. Garrahy, A. Tuthill et al., "Universal genetic screening uncovers a novel presentation of an SDHAF2 mutation," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. E1392–E1396, 2014.
- [11] M. Peczkowska, A. Cascon, A. Prejbisz et al., "Extra-adrenal and adrenal pheochromocytomas associated with a germline *SDHC* mutation," *Nature Clinical Practice Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 111–115, 2008.
- [12] N. Burnichon, J. J. Briere, R. Libe et al., "SDHA is a tumor suppressor gene causing paraganglioma," Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 19, no. 15, pp. 3011–3020, 2010.
- [13] P. Gimenez-Roqueplo, J. Favier, P. Rustin et al., "Mutations in the *SDHB* gene are associated with extra-adrenal and/or malignant phaeochromocytomas," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 17, pp. 5615–5621, 2003.
- [14] N. Burnichon, A. Cascon, F. Schiavi et al., "MAX mutations cause hereditary and sporadic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2828–2837, 2012.

- [15] Y. Qin, L. Yao, E. E. King et al., "Germline mutations in *TMEM127* confer susceptibility to pheochromocytoma," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 229–233, 2010.
- [16] L. J. Castro-Vega, A. Buffet, A. A. De Cubas et al., "Germline mutations in *FH* confer predisposition to malignant pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 2440–2446, 2014.
- [17] C. Yang, Z. Zhuang, S. M. Fliedner et al., "Germ-line PHD1 and PHD2 mutations detected in patients with pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma-polycythemia," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 93–104, 2015.
- [18] J. W. Stenman, I. Gustavsson, L. Brunaud et al., "HRAS mutation prevalence and associated expression patterns in pheochromocytoma," *Genes, Chromosomes & Cancer*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 452–459, 2016.
- [19] T. Yeh, R. E. Lenci, Y. Qin et al., "A germline mutation of the *KIF1Bβ* gene on 1p36 in a family with neural and nonneural tumors," *Human Genetics*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 279–285, 2008.
- [20] I. Comino-Méndez, A. M. Tejera, M. Curras-Freixes et al., "ATRX driver mutation in a composite malignant pheochromocytoma," *Cancer Genetics*, vol. 209, no. 6, pp. 272–277, 2016.
- [21] J. Crona, M. Nordling, R. Maharjan et al., "Integrative genetic characterization and phenotype correlations in pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma tumours," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 1, article e86756, 2014.
- [22] Z. Erlic, L. Rybicki, M. Peczkowska et al., "Clinical predictors and algorithm for the genetic diagnosis of pheochromocytoma patients," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 15, no. 20, pp. 6378–6385, 2009.
- [23] W. Lenders, Q. Y. Duh, G. Eisenhofer et al., "Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 1915–1942, 2014.
- [24] J. Welander, P. Soderkvist, and O. Gimm, "Genetics and clinical characteristics of hereditary pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas," *Endocrine Related Cancer*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. R253–R276, 2011.
- [25] S. King, T. Prodanov, V. Kantorovich et al., "Metastatic pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma related to primary tumor development in childhood or adolescence: significant link to *SDHB* mutations," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 31, pp. 4137–4142, 2011.
- [26] B. Shuch, C. J. Ricketts, A. R. Metwalli, K. Pacak, and W. N. Linehan, "The genetic basis of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: implications for management," *Urology*, vol. 83, no. 6, pp. 1225–1232, 2014.
- [27] G. Eisenhofer, W. J. Lenders, H. Timmers et al., "Measurements of plasma methoxytyramine, normetanephrine, and metanephrine as discriminators of different hereditary forms of pheochromocytoma," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 411–420, 2011.
- [28] T. G. Papathomas, L. Oudijk, A. Persu et al., "SDHB/SDHA immunohistochemistry in pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas: a multicenter interobserver variation analysis using virtual microscopy: a Multinational Study of the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors (ENS@T)," *Modern Pathology*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 807–821, 2015.
- [29] F. H. van Nederveen, J. Gaal, J. Favier et al., "An immunohistochemical procedure to detect patients with paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma with germline SDHB, SDHC, or

SDHD gene mutations: a retrospective and prospective analysis," *Lancet Oncology*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 764–771, 2009.

- [30] S. Gabriel, E. M. Blanchet, F. Sebag et al., "Functional characterization of nonmetastatic paraganglioma and pheochromocytoma by ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET: focus on missed lesions," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 170–177, 2013.
- [31] B. Bausch, U. Wellner, D. Bausch et al., "Long-term prognosis of patients with pediatric pheochromocytoma," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 17–25, 2014.
- [32] A. Cascon, L. Inglada-Perez, I. Comino-Mendez et al., "Genetics of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in Spanish pediatric patients," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. L1–L6, 2013.
- [33] B. Babic, D. Patel, R. Aufforth et al., "Pediatric patients with pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma should have routine preoperative genetic testing for common susceptibility genes in addition to imaging to detect extra-adrenal tumors and metastic tumors," *Surgery*, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 220–227, 2017.
- [34] R. R. De Krijger, B. J. Petri, F. H. Van Nederveen et al., "Frequent genetic changes in childhood pheochromocytomas," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1073, no. 1, pp. 166–176, 2006.
- [35] G. L. Barontini and G. Sanso, "Characteristics of pheochromocytoma in a 4- to 20-year-old population," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1073, no. 1, pp. 30–37, 2006.
- [36] T. H. Pham, C. Moir, G. B. Thompson et al., "Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in children: a review of medical and surgical management at a tertiary care center," *Pediatrics*, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 1109–1117, 2006.
- [37] O. Ciftci, F. C. Tanyel, M. E. Senocak, and N. Buyukpamukcu, "Pheochromocytoma in children," *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 447–452, 2001.
- [38] P. Gimenez-Roqueplo, P. L. Dahia, and M. Robledo, "An update on the genetics of paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma, and associated hereditary syndromes," *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 328–333, 2012.
- [39] I. Jochmanova and K. Pacak, "Pheochromocytoma: the first metabolic endocrine cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 22, no. 20, pp. 5001–5011, 2016.
- [40] L. Fishbein, I. Leshchiner, V. Walter et al., "Comprehensive molecular characterization of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 181–193, 2017.
- [41] U. Shankavaram, S. M. Fliedner, A. G. Elkahloun et al., "Genotype and tumor locus determine expression profile of pseudohypoxic pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas," *Neoplasia*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 435–447, 2013.
- [42] C. Y. Jochmanova, Z. Zhuang, and K. Pacak, "Hypoxiainducible factor signaling in pheochromocytoma: turning the rudder in the right direction," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 105, no. 17, pp. 1270–1283, 2013.
- [43] P. J. Pollard, J. J. Briere, N. A. Alam et al., "Accumulation of Krebs cycle intermediates and over-expression of HIF1α in tumours which result from germline *FH* and *SDH* mutations," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 14, no. 15, pp. 2231–2239, 2005.
- [44] C. Yang, M. G. Sun, J. Matro et al., "Novel *HIF2A* mutations disrupt oxygen sensing, leading to polycythemia, paragangliomas, and somatostatinomas," *Blood*, vol. 121, no. 13, pp. 2563–2566, 2013.

- [45] I. J. Pacak, T. Prodanov, C. Yang et al., "New syndrome of paraganglioma and somatostatinoma associated with polycythemia," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 31, no. 13, pp. 1690–1698, 2013.
- [46] F. Ibanez, "Structure and physiology of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase," *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, vol. 5, no. 2, article a009134, 2013.
- [47] M. Johannessen, B. W. Johnson, S. M. Williams et al., "TORC1 is essential for NF1-associated malignancies," *Current Biology*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 56–62, 2008.
- [48] A. Cascon and M. Robledo, "MAX and MYC: a heritable breakup," *Cancer Research*, vol. 72, no. 13, pp. 3119–3124, 2012.
- [49] E. Benn, B. G. Robinson, and R. J. Clifton-Bligh, "15 years of paraganglioma: clinical manifestations of paraganglioma syndromes types 1-5," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. T91–T103, 2015.
- [50] R. Darr, J. Nambuba, J. Del Rivero et al., "Novel insights into the polycythemia-paraganglioma-somatostatinoma syndrome," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 899– 908, 2016.
- [51] R. Clark, M. Sciacovelli, E. Gaude et al., "Germline FH mutations presenting with pheochromocytoma," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 10, pp. E2046–E2050, 2014.
- [52] E. E. King, Y. Qin, R. A. Toledo et al., "Integrity of the pheochromocytoma susceptibility *TMEM127* gene in patients with pediatric malignancies," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. L5–L7, 2015.
- [53] C. Ladroue, R. Carcenac, M. Leporrier et al., "PHD2 mutation and congenital erythrocytosis with paraganglioma," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 359, no. 25, pp. 2685–2692, 2008.
- [54] D. Karasek, U. Shah, Z. Frysak, C. Stratakis, and K. Pacak, "An update on the genetics of pheochromocytoma," *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 141–147, 2013.
- [55] E. R. Maher and W. G. Kaelin Jr., "von Hippel-Lindau disease," *Medicine*, vol. 76, no. 6, pp. 381–391, 1997.
- [56] E. R. Maher, H. P. N. ER, and S. Richard, "von Hippel-Lindau disease: a clinical and scientific review," *European Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 617–623, 2011.
- [57] M. T. Iihara, T. Yamashita, T. Okamoto et al., "A nationwide clinical survey of patients with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 and familial medullary thyroid carcinoma in Japan," *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 128–134, 1997.
- [58] A. Wells Samuel Jr., L. Asa Sylvia, D. Henning et al., "Revised American Thyroid Association guidelines for the management of medullary thyroid carcinoma," *Thyroid*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 567–610, 2015.
- [59] M. L. Brandi, R. F. Gagel, A. Angeli et al., "Guidelines for diagnosis and therapy of MEN type 1 and type 2," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 86, no. 12, pp. 5658–5671, 2001.
- [60] D. S. O'Riordain, T. O'Brien, T. B. Crotty, H. Gharib, C. S. Grant, and J. A. van Heerden, "Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B: more than an endocrine disorder," *Surgery*, vol. 118, no. 6, pp. 936–942, 1995.
- [61] S. Leboulleux, J. P. Travagli, B. Caillou et al., "Medullary thyroid carcinoma as part of a multiple endocrine neoplasia type

2B syndrome: influence of the stage on the clinical course," *Cancer*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 44–50, 2002.

- [62] M. B. Machens, H. J. Holzhausen, P. N. Thanh, H. Lehnert, and H. Dralle, "Codon-specific development of pheochromocytoma in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2," *The Journal* of *Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 90, no. 7, pp. 3999–4003, 2005.
- [63] A. Machens, P. Niccoli-Sire, J. Hoegel et al., "Early malignant progression of hereditary medullary thyroid cancer," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 349, no. 16, pp. 1517– 1525, 2003.
- [64] J. Rowland, R. D. Chernock, and J. F. Moley, "Pheochromocytoma in an 8-year-old patient with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A: implications for screening," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 203– 206, 2013.
- [65] K. DeBella, J. Szudek, and J. M. Friedman, "Use of the national institutes of health criteria for diagnosis of neurofibromatosis 1 in children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 608–614, 2000.
- [66] K. P. Boyd, B. R. Korf, and A. Theos, "Neurofibromatosis type 1," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2009.
- [67] E. Zoller, B. Rembeck, A. Oden, M. Samuelsson, and L. Angervall, "Malignant and benign tumors in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 in a defined Swedish population," *Cancer*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 2125–2131, 1997.
- [68] L. Képénékian, T. Mognetti, J. C. Lifante et al., "Interest of systematic screening of pheochromocytoma in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1," *European Journal of Endocrinol*ogy, vol. 175, no. 4, pp. 335–344, 2016.
- [69] A. Perren, P. Wiesli, S. Schmid et al., "Pancreatic endocrine tumors are a rare manifestation of the neurofibromatosis type 1 phenotype: molecular analysis of a malignant insulinoma in a NF-1 patient," *American Journal of Surgical Pathology*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 1047–1051, 2006.
- [70] F. Cañizares Hernandez, M. Sanchez, A. Alvarez et al., "A five-year report on experience in the detection of pheochromocytoma," *Clinical Biochemistry*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 649– 655, 2000.
- [71] Z. Zhuang, C. Yang, F. Lorenzo et al., "Somatic HIF2A gainof-function mutations in paraganglioma with polycythemia," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 367, no. 10, pp. 922–930, 2012.
- [72] A. Buffet, S. Smati, L. Mansuy et al., "Mosaicism in *HIF2A*related polycythemia-paraganglioma syndrome," *The Journal* of *Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. E369–E373, 2014.
- [73] D. Taieb, C. Yang, B. Delenne et al., "First report of bilateral pheochromocytoma in the clinical spectrum of *HIF2A*related polycythemia-paraganglioma syndrome," *The Journal* of *Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. E908–E913, 2013.
- [74] I. Comino-Méndez, A. A. de Cubas, C. Bernal et al., "Tumoral EPAS1 (HIF2A) mutations explain sporadic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in the absence of erythrocytosis," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 2169–2176, 2013.
- [75] T. Toyoda, J. Hirayama, Y. Sugimoto et al., "Polycythemia and paraganglioma with a novel somatic *HIF2A* mutation in a male," *Pediatrics*, vol. 133, no. 6, pp. e1787–e1791, 2014.

- [76] J. Favier, A. Buffet, and A. P. Gimenez-Roqueplo, "HIF2A mutations in paraganglioma with polycythemia," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 367, no. 22, pp. 2161-2162, 2012.
- [77] R. A. Toledo, Y. Qin, S. Srikantan et al., "In vivo and in vitro oncogenic effects of HIF2A mutations in pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 349–359, 2013.
- [78] J. Welander, A. Andreasson, M. Brauckhoff et al., "Frequent *EPAS1/HIF2* α exons 9 and 12 mutations in non-familial pheochromocytoma," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 495–504, 2014.
- [79] Z. Zhuang, C. Yang, A. Ryska et al., "*HIF2A* gain-of-function mutations detected in duodenal gangliocytic paraganglioma," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. L13–L16, 2016.
- [80] D. Taieb, A. Barlier, C. Yang et al., "Somatic gain-of-function *HIF2A* mutations in sporadic central nervous system hemangioblastomas," *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 473–481, 2016.
- [81] K. Pacak, E. Y. Chew, A. S. Pappo et al., "Ocular manifestations of hypoxia-inducible factor- 2α paragangliomasomatostatinoma-polycythemia syndrome," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 121, no. 11, pp. 2291–2293, 2014.
- [82] F. R. Lorenzo, C. Yang, M. Ng Tang Fui et al., "A novel EPAS1/HIF2A germline mutation in a congenital polycythemia with paraganglioma," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 507–512, 2013.
- [83] C. Yang, S. Hong, J. T. Prchal, M. T. Balint, K. Pacak, and Z. Zhuang, "Somatic mosaicism of EPAS1 mutations in the syndrome of paraganglioma and somatostatinoma associated with polycythemia," *Human Genome Variation*, vol. 2, no. 1, article 15053, 2015.
- [84] N. Burnichon, V. Rohmer, L. Amar et al., "The succinate dehydrogenase genetic testing in a large prospective series of patients with paragangliomas," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 94, no. 8, pp. 2817–2827, 2009.
- [85] A. J. Gill, O. Hes, T. Papathomas et al., "Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH)-deficient renal carcinoma: a morphologically distinct entity: a clinicopathologic series of 36 tumors from 27 patients," *The American Journal of Surgical Pathology*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 1588–1602, 2014.
- [86] P. Xekouki and C. A. Stratakis, "Succinate dehydrogenase (SDHx) mutations in pituitary tumors: could this be a new role for mitochondrial complex II and/or Krebs cycle defects?," *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. C33– C40, 2012.
- [87] J. Dénes, F. Swords, E. Rattenberry et al., "Heterogeneous genetic background of the association of pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma and pituitary adenoma: results from a large patient cohort," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* & *Metabolism*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. E531–E541, 2015.
- [88] J. K. Killian, M. Miettinen, R. L. Walker et al., "Recurrent epimutation of *SDHC* in gastrointestinal stromal tumors," *Science Translational Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 268, article 268ra177, 2014.
- [89] K. A. Janeway, S. Y. Kim, M. Lodish et al., "Defects in succinate dehydrogenase in gastrointestinal stromal tumors lacking KIT and PDGFRA mutations," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 314–318, 2011.

- [90] B. Pasini, S. R. McWhinney, T. Bei et al., "Clinical and molecular genetics of patients with the Carney-Stratakis syndrome and germline mutations of the genes coding for the succinate dehydrogenasesubunits SDHB, SDHC, and SDHD," *European Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 79– 88, 2008.
- [91] E. F. Hensen, E. S. Jordanova, I. J. H. M. van Minderhout et al., "Somatic loss of maternal chromosome 11 causes parent-of-origin-dependent inheritance in SDHD-linked paraganglioma and pheochromocytoma families," *Oncogene*, vol. 23, no. 23, pp. 4076–4083, 2004.
- [92] A. S. Hoekstra, E. F. Hensen, E. S. Jordanova et al., "Loss of maternal chromossome 11 is a signature event in SDHAF2, SDHD, and VHL-related paragangliomas, but less significant in SDHB-related paragangliomas," Oncotarget, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 14525–14536, 2017.
- [93] N. Burnichon, J. M. Mazella, D. Drui et al., "Risk assessment of maternally inherited *SDHD* paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma," *Journal of Medical Genetics*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 125–133, 2017.
- [94] R. D. Aufforth, P. Ramakant, S. M. Sadowski et al., "Pheochromocytoma screening initiation and frequency in von Hippel-Lindau syndrome," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 100, no. 12, pp. 4498–4504, 2015.
- [95] L. Nguyen, P. Niccoli-Sire, P. Caron et al., "Pheochromocytoma in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2: a prospective study," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 37–44, 2001.
- [96] J. M. Rodriguez, M. Balsalobre, J. L. Ponce et al., "Pheochromocytoma in MEN 2A syndrome. Study of 54 patients," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 2520–2526, 2008.
- [97] I. Janssen, E. M. Blanchet, K. Adams et al., "Superiority of [⁶⁸Ga]-DOTATATE PET/CT to other functional imaging modalities in the localization of *SDHB*-associated metastatic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 21, no. 17, pp. 3888–3895, 2015.
- [98] M. Mannelli, M. Castellano, F. Schiavi et al., "Clinically guided genetic screening in a large cohort of Italian patients with pheochromocytomas and/or functional or nonfunctional paragangliomas," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* & *Metabolism*, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 1541–1547, 2009.
- [99] L. Amar, J. Bertherat, E. Baudin et al., "Genetic testing in pheochromocytoma or functional paraganglioma," *Journal* of Clinical Oncology, vol. 23, no. 34, pp. 8812–8818, 2005.
- [100] B. Bausch, W. Borozdin, V. F. Mautner et al., "Germline NF1 mutational spectra and loss-of-heterozygosity analyses in patients with pheochromocytoma and neurofibromatosis type 1," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 92, no. 7, pp. 2784–2792, 2007.
- [101] B. Bausch, F. Schiavi, Y. Ni et al., "Clinical characterization of the pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma susceptibility genes SDHA, TMEM127, MAX, and SDHAF2 for geneinformed prevention," JAMA Oncology, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 1204–1212, 2017.
- [102] E. Korpershoek, J. Favier, J. Gaal et al., "SDHA immunohistochemistry detects germline SDHA gene mutations in apparently sporadic paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 96, no. 9, pp. E1472–E1476, 2011.
- [103] E. Rattenberry, L. Vialard, A. Yeung et al., "A comprehensive next generation sequencing-based genetic testing strategy to

improve diagnosis of inherited pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 98, no. 7, pp. E1248–E1256, 2013.

- [104] J. Welander, S. Garvin, R. Bohnmark et al., "Germline SDHA mutation detected by next generation sequencing in a young index patient with large paraganglioma," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 98, no. 8, pp. E1379–E1380, 2013.
- [105] M. Currás-Freixes, L. Inglada-Pérez, V. Mancikova et al., "Recommendations for somatic and germline genetic testing of single pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma based on findings from a series of 329 patients," *Journal of Medical Genetics*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 647–656, 2015.
- [106] N. D. Niemeijer, T. G. Papathomas, E. Korpershoek et al., "Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) deficient pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor expands the SDH-related tumor spectrum," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 100, no. 10, pp. E1386–E1393, 2015.
- [107] T. Dwight, K. Mann, D. E. Benn et al., "Familial SDHA mutation associated with pituitary adenoma and pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* & Metabolism, vol. 98, no. 6, pp. E1103–E1108, 2013.
- [108] L. Evenepoel, T. G. Papathomas, N. Krol et al., "Toward an improved definition of the genetic and tumor spectrum associated with *SDH* germ-line mutations," *Genetics in Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 610–620, 2015.
- [109] G. Gupta, K. Pacak, and AACE Adrenal Scientific Committee, "Precision medicine: an update on genotype/biochemical phenotype relationships in pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma patients," *Endocrine Practice*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 690– 704, 2017.
- [110] A. J. Gill, D. E. Benn, A. Chou et al., "Immunohistochemistry for SDHB triages genetic testing of SDHB, SDHC, and SDHD in paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma syndromes," *Human Pathology*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 805–814, 2010.
- [111] R. Santi, E. Rapizzi, L. Canu et al., "Potential pitfalls of SDH immunohistochemical detection in paragangliomas and phaeochromocytomas harbouring germline *SDHx* gene mutation," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 805–812, 2017.
- [112] M. Menara, L. Oudijk, C. Badoual et al., "SDHD immunohistochemistry: a new tool to validate SDHx mutations in pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma," The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 100, no. 2, pp. E287– E291, 2015.
- [113] V. L. Martucci and K. Pacak, "Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: diagnosis, genetics, management, and treatment," *Current Problems in Cancer*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 7– 41, 2014.
- [114] R. A. Toledo and P. L. M. Dahia, "Next-generation sequencing for the diagnosis of hereditary pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma syndromes," *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 169–179, 2015.
- [115] E. R. Maher, "Phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma: next-generation sequencing and evolving Mendelian syndromes," *Clinical Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 440–444, 2014.
- [116] J. Crona, A. D. Verdugo, D. Granberg et al., "Next-generation sequencing in the clinical genetic screening of patients with pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma," *Endocrine Connections*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 104–111, 2013.

- [117] R. A. Toledo and P. L. M. Dahia, "Next-generation sequencing for the genetic screening of phaeochromcytomas and paragangliomas: riding the new wave, but with caution," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 23-24, 2014.
- [118] J. D. Schiffman, "No child left behind in SDHB testing for paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 31, pp. 4070–4072, 2011.